

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

John Doe 2 and Jane Doe 4, John Doe 10, Jane Doe 11,
John Doe 193, Father Doe 194, and John Doe 194,
Respondents,

v.

The Bishop of Charleston, A Corporation Sole; Robert
Guglielmone, The Bishop of Charleston, in his official
Capacity; Rev. Monsignor Martin Laughlin, former
Administrator of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official
Capacity; Robert J. Baker, former Bishop of Charleston,
in his official capacity; Lawrence E. Richter, Jr.; David
K. Haller; and Richter and Haller, LLC; Defendants,

Of whom, The Bishop of Charleston, A Corporation
Sole; Robert Guglielmone, The Bishop of Charleston, in
his official Capacity; Rev. Monsignor Martin Laughlin,
former Administrator of the Diocese of Charleston, in his
official Capacity; and Robert J. Baker, former Bishop of
Charleston, in his official capacity; are Appellants,

And Lawrence E. Richter, Jr.; David K. Haller; and
Richter and Haller, LLC; are Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2017-001092

ORDER

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

J.
 J.
 J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc: Richard S. Dukes, Jr., Esquire
Brian James Kern, Esquire
Gregg E. Meyers, Esquire
Susan Taylor Wall, Esquire
James L. Bruner, Esquire
Benjamin C. Bruner, Esquire
Henry Wilkins Frampton, IV, Esquire
Albert P. Shahid, Jr., Esquire