

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE Supreme Court

Quintin Shajonte Lumpkins
Petitioner

Appellate Case NO: 2016-002404

RECEIVED

V.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Respondent

JUL 03 2017

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Petitioner's Motion For Extension of time to the Honorable Court:

By FRCP 6(A), Quintin Lumpkins, Pro Se, hereby moves this Honorable Court for an Extension of time of thirty (30) days to respond to A Pro Se Response to the Petition filed by Counsel for the following reasons:

- (1) Petitioner, Pro Se has been in maximum security lock up 24 hours a day : 7 days a week and has very limited access to legal Resources. Petitioner, Pro Se is unlicensed in the legal profession and has limited computer skills whereas the Respondent has unlimited resources at its discretion.
- (2.) Due to reasons beyond his control, Petitioner needs a one-time extension of thirty (30) days in which to:
 - a. Respond to Petition filed by Counsel, and
 - b.) To assure that proper service has been made on all parties.
- (3.) In Haines v. Kerner 404 U.S. 519 (1972), the U.S. Supreme Court recognized the appellate process without a lawyers assistance is a perilous endeavor for a lay person (emphasizing that a document filed pro se is to be liberally construed.)

Wherefore, for reasons set forth above, Petitioner, pro-se moves
this Honorable Court to grant this Motion.

Verified by penalties under South Carolina
Legislature Section 16-9-10

Respectfully Submitted,

Quintin Lumpkins

Quintin Lumpkins ~~to~~ 6/27/17
pro-se Petitioner
Perry Correctional Institution
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, SC 29669
CX-18 SCDC # 00359238

Ims

Quintin Lumpkins
SIDC # 354238
Perry Correctional Inst.
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer SC 29669

RECEIVED

JUN 28 2017

PCI Mailroom

The Supreme Court of South Carolina
Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk of Court
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

LEGAL MAIL