

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Kadrin Rajun Singleton, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-000306

---

Appeal From Charleston County  
Kristi Lea Harrington, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-283  
Submitted May 1, 2017 – Filed July 12, 2017

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender David Alexander, of Columbia, for  
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson, Deputy  
Attorney General Donald J. Zelenka, and Assistant  
Attorney General J. Anthony Mabry, all of Columbia;  
and Solicitor Scarlett Anne Wilson, of Charleston, all for  
Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Kadrin Rajun Singleton appeals his murder conviction and  
sentence, arguing the circuit court erred (1) in refusing to give his requested jury

charge and (2) granting the State's motion pursuant to *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U.S. 79 (1986). We affirm<sup>1</sup> pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities:

1. As to whether the circuit court erred in refusing to give Singleton's requested jury charge: *State v. Rye*, 375 S.C. 119, 123, 651 S.E.2d 321, 323 (2007) ("A [circuit] court's decision regarding jury charges will not be reversed where the charges, as a whole, properly charged the law to be applied."); *State v. Brandt*, 393 S.C. 526, 549, 713 S.E.2d 591, 603 (2011) ("The substance of the law is what must be charged to the jury, not any particular verbiage."); *State v. Harris*, 382 S.C. 107, 115, 674 S.E.2d 532, 536 (Ct. App. 2009) ("The simple fact that the [circuit] court refused to use the '[gets] the drop on him' language does not render the charge improper.").

2. As to whether the circuit court erred in granting the State's *Batson* motion: *Batson*, 476 U.S. at 86 ("Purposeful racial discrimination in selection of the venire violates a defendant's right to equal protection."); *State v. Taylor*, 399 S.C. 51, 57, 731 S.E.2d 596, 599 (Ct. App. 2012) ("Whether a *Batson* violation has occurred must be determined by examining the totality of the facts and circumstances in the record."); *State v. Garris*, 394 S.C. 336, 353, 714 S.E.2d 888, 897 (Ct. App. 2011) ("The [circuit] court's findings regarding purposeful discrimination are given great deference and will not be set aside by this court unless clearly erroneous.").

**AFFIRMED.**

**WILLIAMS and KONDUROS, JJ., and LEE, A.J., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.