

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT

Vs.

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2017

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE,

SC Court of Appeals

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE No. 2016-000640

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, RESPONDING TO
THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DATED
JUNE 23, 2017; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL BEFORE
THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND MOTION TO MOTION
THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS RECENTLY SERVED THE APPEL-
LANT WITH A COPY OF AN ORDER DATED JUNE 23, 2017 GIVING HIM
(15) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE LETTER TO SUBMIT HIS APPELLANT
BRIEF. IN RESPONSE TO THE ORDER, THE COURT WILL FIND ATTACHED:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW, (14) PAGES DATED JULY 2, 2017 WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO REMOVE, (4) PAGES DATED JUNE 20, 2017 WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS. THIS INCLUDES THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO REMOVE, (3) PAGES DATED JUNE 20, 2017 ALSO. THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND FILED IN CASE 2016-000640.

(3) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING OBJECTIONS TO JUDGE BENJAMIN SIGNING THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT BY H. THOMAS MORGAN JR.,; PETITION TO REMOVE AND MOTION TO MOTION FOR THE OBJECTIONS WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, (6) PAGES DATED JUNE 6, 2017. THIS INCLUDES THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE APPEAL DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, (3) PAGES DATED JUNE 6, 2017 WHICH ARE THE SOURCE OF THE CASES THAT THIS APPEAL UNDER CASE 2016-000640 ARE PETITIONED REMOVED TO.

(4) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES ON APPEAL; MOTION FOR A RESET ON THE TIME GIVEN FOR SUBMITTING APPELLANT BRIEF, ALSO FOR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND ANY OTHER PREHEARING SUBMISSION AND OR MATTER DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (16) PAGES DATED JUNE 15, 2017.

(5) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT

OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THIS CASE CORRUPT JUDGES AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (22) PAGES THAT IS FILED IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WHICH IS ONE OF THE CASES THAT THIS APPEAL IS REMOVED TO, DATED MAY 16, 2017.

(6) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED MAY 11, 2017; RENEWING MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE TO CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION TO ENTER IT AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (5) PAGES DATED MAY 17, 2017; THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED APRIL 25, 2017; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR REVIEW EN BANC DUE TO STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOID'S THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (11) PAGES DATED MAY 3, 2017 THAT ARE FILED IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS STILL PENDING UNDER CASE 17-6693.

(7) A COPY OF THE § 1983 ACTION, [44] PAGES AND THE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, [14] PAGES DATED APRIL 25, 2017 THAT ESTABLISHES THE PENDING PARALLEL CASES BEFORE THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT HIGHLIGHTING ALL DEFENDANTS LISTED IN THE PARALLEL CASES.

(8) A COPY OF THE HABEAS CORPUS PETITION THAT IS FILED WITHIN ALL THE PARALLEL CASES PENDING BEFORE THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT. A SIMILAR HABEAS CORPUS PETITION IS FILED IN MY NAME BEFORE THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT FOR WHICH I WILL SOON SEEK REMOVAL TO THAT CASE ONCE THE CASE NUMBER IS ASSIGNED ALSO.

(9) A COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, THE SUMMONS AND THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS, [26] PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 2, 2016 THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299. THE LITIGATION IS TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT WE ARE BENEFICIARIES OF A TRUST AND THAT THERE IS A TRUSTEE

APPOINTED OVER THESE CASES DIVESTING THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS OF JURISDICTION. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION.

(10) A COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; SUPPLEMENTING THE CAUSE OF ACTION IN ALL PENDING STATE AND FEDERAL CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS; SUBMITTING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY AND CRIMINAL ACTS; DEMONSTRATING FURTHER WE MEET THE CRITERION ESTABLISHED BY ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016); FILING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY; *****, [30] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 7, 2016 THAT IS FILED IN CASE 8:16-cv-3555-RBH-JDA AND THAT IS ALSO FILED WITHIN THE OTHER PARALLEL CASES PENDING BEFORE THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT.

(11) A COPY OF THE UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENT, [42] PAGES DATED JULY 1, 2009. THIS DOCUMENT REPRESENTS WHEN THE OFFICIAL TRUTH WAS PLACED BEFORE THE GLOBAL NATIONS OF EXACTLY WHAT IS OCCURRING BY THESE CASES PRESENTED.

INSOMUCH, FOR THE RECORD. THE APPELLANT OBJECTS TO ANY CLAIM THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD IS MAKING EFFORTS TO ACT AS AN ATTORNEY ON MY BEHALF WITHIN THESE PROCEEDINGS. I, JASON MORRIS GOURDINE, IS ACTING ON MY OWN. I, NOT THE KING-KHALIFAH, AM MAKING EFFORTS TO FILE THESE DOCUMENTS AND ARGUE MY CASE BEFORE THE COURT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT I SEEK TO BE A PART OF THE ACTION SEEKING CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION FOR THE LEGAL ISSUES IN QUESTION WHERE THE FEDERAL COURT MAINTAINS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION OVER THESE MATTERS. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO SUBMITTED TO SUBSTANTIATE WHY THIS CASE IS PETITIONED REMOVE TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq..

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS REQUIRED THAT I SUBMIT MY APPELLANT BRIEF. THE DOCUMENTS ARE BEING FILED TO GIVE THE COURT OF APPEALS NOTICE. NOT ONLY IS THIS CASE PETITIONED TO BE REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THE CASES CAPTIONED IN

THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS NOW FILED IN THIS APPEAL. I AM GIVING THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS FURTHER NOTICE. I, BY THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED AM SEEKING LEAVE FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS TO APPEAL THE ORDERS ISSUED DENYING MY REQUEST TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT AND TO HAVE ANY OTHER BRIEF SUBMITTED OTHER THAN THE ONE THAT IS PRESENTLY BEFORE THE COURT, WHICH IS ALSO FILED WITHIN THE PARALLEL CASES PENDING BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT. I AM SEEKING DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ON THESE MATTERS. THUS, YOUR JURISDICTION IS DIVESTED UNTIL THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MAKES A RULING ON THESE MATTERS PRESENTLY PLACED BEFORE IT. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, PETITIONS ETC. THAT ARE ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE NOW BEING ARGUED IN THIS DIRECT APPEAL EVEN PURSUANT TO REMOVAL.

LASTLY, I MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET ONCE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MAKES ITS DETERMINATION TO BE IN COMPLIANCE AND SUBMIT A BRIEF THAT MEET THE PAGE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COURT OF APPEALS ONCE THE HIGHER COURT HAS REVIEWED THESE MATTERS, IF THERE IS AN ADVERSE RULING FROM THAT COURT.

RESPECTFULLY,

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



JULY 3, 2017

File in case 2016-000640

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI
300839

C/A 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


WARDEN MCFADDEN; THE
UNITED STATES ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND
OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE,
FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THIS
CASE CORRUPT JUDGES AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, AND ITS AT-
TACHMENTS, ON THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, CHARLESTON DIVISION,
BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING THEM IN THE INSTITU-
TION MAILBOX ON MAY 17, 2017. THEY ARE DEEMED FILED ON THAT
DATE, HOUSTON V. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379
(1988).

MAY 17, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA

JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.

TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI

300839

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

WARDEN McFADDEN; THE

UNITED STATES ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

C/A 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING

JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING

OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT

AND RECOMMENDATION IN THIS

CASE CORRUPT JUDGES AND

MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

Corrupt Judges. I want you to get a copy of an Authorized King James Bible. No other translation will do. You know the drill. Its the same drill I and or we took your recreant, opprobrious rumps through when you first made review engaging in acts of fraud upon the court in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM which is now being addressed under the independent action rule for fraud upon the court. Turn to the Book Of Isaiah Chapt. 14:29 reads:

"Rejoice thou not whole Palestina because the rod(Power/ Government) of him (Israel) that smote thee shall be broken. (This man foretold to come, comes at a time when the Palestinians

are subject to apartheid as they are now. Israel will be broken because "JAHJAH AL MAHDI" will claim the Israeli throne and power as direct descendant of King David and King Solomon): For out of the "serpent's" (Satan's attack upon the King-khalifah's life via the false imprisonment), "Root" (root--being defined as "source", "origin", or "cause"). "Cause"--being further defined as "Cause for judicial review"--meaning "Prison"). Shall come forth a "Cockatrice" (meaning--a "large", "bold", "Stocky built", "pernicious" fellow; that (7) times the Spirit Of Elijah thing). And his fruit (meaning--that which comes forth out of him, out of his mouth), shall be that of a fiery flying serpent". The Spirit Of Elijah manifest itself before mankind one more time before Christ's return.

I and or we give the court Judicial Notice. Here the court and parties will find attached:

(1) A copy of the [44] page § 1983 action that is now dated May 18, 2017. This is a duplicate of the document that was recently served upon this court with its Summons, filing in forma pauperis documents and U.S. Marshal form.

(2) A copy of an Affidavit Of Facts giving Judicial Notice; Affidavit Of Service, [34] pages dated September 22, 2016.

(3) A copy of a cover letter clocked stamped by the U.S. District Court on MAY 9, 2017.

(4) A copy of The Agreement To Debit E.H. Cooper Account form dated April 25, 2017 proving when the § 1983 action was initially filed in this case pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

(5) A copy of The Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Affidavit Of Service [14] pages dated April 25, 2017. This typed version of the [34] page Affidavit Of Service dated

September 22, 2016 is submitted in lieu of the [34] page document to make it easier for the court to determine who are the defendants in this case.

(6) A copy of an Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Filing Writ Of Error; Motion To Vacate The Order filed April 25, 2017; Renewing The Motion For Rehearing EN BANC due to structural error that voids the 4th. Circuit Court's jurisdiction and Motion To Motion Therefor, [11] pages dated May 3, 2017.

It becomes perspicuous corrupt Judges that you didn't like the litigation submitted in the [44] page § 1983 action and its attachments so your worthless, recreant, stupid behinds, conspiring under color of law and or authority, are making efforts to create a "procedural error and or defect", claiming that I and or we, filed the response to the report and recommendation prematurely corrupt Judges, since the report and recommendation in this case was not issued at the time the § 1983 action was initially filed?

Is this what you cocker roaches are trying to do corrupt Judges? More stupid parlor tricks huh? Surely you did not think that I and or we would not see through this farce did you? You still haven't accepted the reality and or nature of what it is that you are dealing with. You are, by default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 out of Richland Court Of Common Pleas, potentially dealing with an "ACT OF GOD",... "Religious Prophecy" corrupt Judges and you proceed presumptuously thinking that The One True God do not see your evils? The King-Khalifah's fiery spirit and personality was written for him thousands of years before you and or I were born. Don't "Piss Him Off" and you will not have to deal with his fiery spirit and tongue. So,...you didn't like the way you were talked to huh?

I take you to Isaiah Chapt. 11 Verses 1-5. They read:

"And there shall come forth a "Rod" (King/ Scepter) out of the stem of Jesse, and a Branch (Descendant Of King David) shall grow out of his roots (lineage): And the Spirit Of The LORD shall rest upon him (This is the man you face corrupt Judges. You operate in no fear of The One True God. This will be your downfall), The Spirit Of Wisdom and Understanding, The Spirit Of Counsel and Might, The Spirit Of Knowledge and of The fear of The LORD: And make him of quick understanding in the fear of The LORD; And he shall not judge (He is also a Judge) after the sight of his eyes, neither reprove after the hearing of his ears: But with righteousness shall he judge the poor, and reprove with equity for the meek of the earth: And he shall smite the earth with the rod of his mouth, and with the breath of his lips shall he slay the wicked. And righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins, and faithfulness the girdle of his reigns". This is JAHJAH AL MAHDI, a party in these cases, The King Of The North written in The Book Of Daniel Chapt. 11. Notice how verse 11:4 matches The Book Of Revelations 11:5. This is because he is one of "TWO WITNESSES", The Holy People Of The Book Of Daniel 12:7, and you are dealing with one in the same person. And you don't like the way you are talked to treacherous, rat, stinking, corrupt, demon dog Judges? Who are you snakes to stand against The Divine Decree Of The One True God? If its not broke,...don't fix it corrupt Judges. Tic-Toc, Tic-Toc, Tic-Toc, Tic. The clock tics before you, corrupt Judges. You will stand before The One True God in Judgment. Prepare your hearts. The HELLFIRE is a real place. You shall see it.

Judge Merchant, rat, stinking dog of a Judge. You must have lost your "cotton pickin'", "Flip-Floppy", "Alley-Oopy",

"I've got a lovely bunch of coconuts", "Latimier I need a wish bone", "You done fall and bumped your head", "Anal retentive", "Puddin-a-Tame ask me again and I'll tell you the same", "Full Of Donkey Dust", "You need an enema", "Funky body odor", "some times you feel like a nut and some times you don't" mind. This is like a "flashback" to Judge Kaymani wicked witch of the West.

What?,...are all you Judges in South Carolina parties and or residents of the same town of "Geeks and Village Idiots?" Did you really think I and or we, would fall for that stupid "parlor trick", all "smoke and mirrors" where your butt is conspiring under color of law and or authority, to establish a "procedural error and or defect" in acts of machination in violation of the holdings made in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016), and assert that I and or we prematurely responded to the report and recommendation? By God's hand we have come "FULL CIRCLE" Judges Merchant, Wooten and Childs. Two Judges that began the Federal struggle, the other, the Judge that began the state struggle. My God is the "Best Of Planners" is He not? The student has become The Master to the fulfilling of prophesy written in Isaiah chapt. 11 verses 1-5. Are you ready?,...are you ready for my wisdom (smile)? Let's deal with it. Let us embrace the battle and engage in the struggle of "Light Against Darkness", to the glory of The God I serve. For the record, so that you would not feel that I and or we fell for that stupid stunt. All objections made in the (44) page § 1983 action and its attachments that are attached to the face of this document, and or that was previously filed are being renewed and are now submitted in response to the report and recommendation that is submitted in this case.

I and or we, which the court was informed, that when the term "and or" is used. It depicts individual filing with JAHJAH AL MAHDI being made party due to his acquiring interest in these cases pursuant to the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act. I and or we, object to any claim that "JAHJAH AL MAHDI" is not the legal name of The King-Khalifah who is party in these cases. Lawrence L. Crawford, by name, is essentially dead. You dogs, rat, stinking, snakes and scorpions of this going to hell state killed him when you stole his Queen and framed him for the murder of his own child. I and or we want that DNA that is sought that will prove these facts, tested to Michael Lee the King-Khalifah's stepson and her half brother. Test that DNA to prove

this claim is false. Rule 26 requires this. Legal name change was one of the issues and or causes of action and relief sought in case 2013-CP-400-0084 which is now removed to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq.. The State Of South Carolina and all defendants involved within that case are in default and collateral estoppel attaches barring this court from challenging The King-Khalifah's stated name. Thus, Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI is "Legally" (emphasis added) The King-Khalifah's name and can legally appear on all documents within all court records.

I and or we, object to any claim that case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM cannot be reopened and or reinstated. Due to the recent United States Supreme Court rulings in the case of Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016); Wearry v. Cain, 136 S.Ct. 1002(2016) where it was determined if there exist fraud, machination and misrepresentation, to include The State Of South Carolina committing a criminal act by not testing that DNA to Michael Lee in violation of S.C. statutes to conceal the fact that the State produced a fictitious homicide to frame The King-Khalifah behind religious and racial hatred, suppressing evidence of actual innocence. I and or we do not have to exhaust nor do any procedural bar is to be permitted to restrict I and or us from the Federal District Court. You add to this, the holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) where these Judges involved sat on the subsequent filed cases to which they are defendants. Then add to this the default and claims of collateral estoppel which now removes the State impediment that existed in violation of The U.S. Constitution and Laws Of The United States that emerges from case 2013-CP-400-0084. I and or we, had (1) year from the time the default and U.S. Supreme Court Rulings occurred to file this and the parallel cases. Thus, I and or we are timely, I and or we do not have to exhaust, and no statute of limitations concern exist. This was already dealt with By Judges Harwell and Austin. Res Judicata attaches as well as comity. See ya' at the doggon' party Victor and have an "AMSTERDAMN GOOD TIME".

I and or we, object to any claim that this case is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. It is filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 with Writ Of Habeas Corpus attached. The petitioner(s) are masters to decide what law they will rely upon (citation omitted). This was addressed within the attached § 1983 action and its attachments.

I and or we, object to review of this case being made pursuant to AEDPA and or PLRA provisions where I and or we are suing The United States for establishing these provisions of law as is argued in the attached § 1983 action. On May 11, 2017. The current head of The U.S. Dept. Of Justice, whom is also being sued in this case, stated they will reverse the Obama Administration policies as it pertains to crime, and they will continue the unjust, arbitrary policies that stand in egregious violation of the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880) where these policies disproportionately effect African Americans and other minorities. The only viable way to stop these criminal, arbitrary, unjust policies that disproportionately target African Americans and other minorities is to attack the legislation itself and those who initiated it, namely Congress and The United States. This must be done to eradicate the damages that will inevitably come from the U.S. Dept. Of Justice now stated reversal of that which was set in place by the Obama Administration in their alt right nationalist white bonding, the under cover Ku Klux Klansmen that they are. This must be addressed and the courts can no longer make use of these provisions of law. I and or we object.

I and or we object to the Judge ordering that the respondent and or any other party to this case do not have to respond to the pleadings. This is the act of fraud upon the court for which the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges and other parties, among other things, is being sued for. The Federal Judges conspired to make the case appear frivolous when it was not, because they knew if The United States and defendants involved, were ever served the pleadings. They would

not be able to sufficiently respond to defeat the pleadings, unless they engage in fraud, which they repeatedly did on the state level. So the Judges are conspiring to aid their employer The United States avoid suit because if they are served they would default as is now clearly proven by what has occurred in case 2013-CP-400-0084. All parties listed in the § 1983 and the (14) page Affidavit Of Service must be served and made to respond before any proper review is completed or the court would be abusing its discretion and it would void the court's jurisdiction for Due Process violation.

I and or we object to any claim that the complete answers are not written in the form. This is a criminal stupid legal stunt, tactic and act of machination that was done by Judge Merchant and the conspiring Judges in past filed cases to which we are seeking that they be reinstated as well. The law is clear on this issue as well. The standard form is not the Habeas Corpus, but rather, it is the pleadings themselves which establish the Habeas Corpus or cause of action under § 1983. This is why numerous cases consistently tell you that the court must look at the complaint and all Affidavits and documents filed before the court can properly dismiss a case. If the question sought answered in the form are answered within any of the documents submitted. This is more than sufficient to establish the cases before the court. Stop the stupidity. Res Judicata attaches from Judges Harwell and Austin review in cases 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. The answer to the questions are written in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments and is placed before Judge Harwell and Austin The King-Khalifah's Trustee. Your jurisdiction is challenged. Trustee is appointed in the form of Judge Austin where jurisdiction is exclusive and she must rule in accordance to The King-Khalifah's wishes or any act done by her is void as the law dictates. This is addressed in the attached § 1983 action.

I and or we object to the way this case is filed. You

have fraud upon the court. I and or we did not initially file these cases as the Judges have them filed and their actions stand in egregious violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001 where these cases were produced to conceal material facts as is argued in the attached (44) page § 1983 action.

I and or we object to the claim that I and or we opened this case with a partially completed § 2254 form. This is already addressed in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments as is previously argued in that document.

I and or we object to the claim that I and or we seek to have our convictions vacated. This is one of the essential issues at hand. The convictions in question are already invalidated by case 2013-CP-400-0084 which is a false imprisonment Tort for which collateral estoppel attaches to all plaintiffs/petitioners due to the S.C. Attorney General and The United States being party to the default which binds this court also. The Habeas Corpus that is filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is appropriate and a proper adjunct to allow the Federal Court to afford the petitioner(s) complete relief where that case and false imprisonment Tort is removed to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq.. This is addressed in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments.

I and or we object to any claim that Habeas Corpus is the only exclusive remedy in this case. You are dealing with the criminal framing of a "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN". The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act is the exclusive remedy when it comes to dealing with matters involving a "FOREIGN STATE" which is legally established by the claim of default and collateral estoppel. You have Civil Rights violations, Rico Act violations, Anti Peonage Act violations, You have impairing the obligation of contract in violation of Article 1 section 10 of The U.S. Constitution, You have violations of The Americans With Disabilities Act violations and the list goes on and on. Thus, it is conspicuous that Habeas Corpus is not the only exclusive remedy in this and the parallel cases. See attached §1983 action.

I and or we object to any claim that a "Writ Of Error" cannot be used in this case. You people are worse than "The Cat", "The Rat", "The Bat" and "The Dog". Get your heads out of the fog. You people make me want to lose my mind, up in here, up in here (smile). This is addressed in the attached (44) page § 1983 action and its attachments. He flies through the air, with the greatest of ease. He's the daring handsome King-Khalifah on the flying trapeze(smile).

I and or we object to any claim that the allegations ~~are vague and or conclusory in violation of Rule 2(c), and object~~ to the use of Hinton v. Trans Union, LLC., 654 F.Supp.2d. 440; and Muttathotttil v. Mansfield, 381 Fed. Appx'454 etc., that I and or we cannot incorporate claims from previously dismissed actions when in these cases such a dismissal was done without prejudice and I and or we are dealing with independent actions for fraud upon the court. Filing is permitted under independent action rule and those previous rulings are tainted by fraud and are to be voided for Due Process violation. This is addressed in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments.

I and or we object to any review being given by any of the Judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court. You are all defendants in these cases. I and or we motion for your recusal. You all must be deemed disqualified and change of venue be given to The State Of New Jersey as is sought. Pursuant to Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) you must disqualify. Review by any subsequent Judge is barred by comity, Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel. Also see case 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB.

I and or we object to any claim that I and or we have to exhaust since we meet the criterion that is established by Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016). This issue is addressed in the attached (44) page § 1983 action and its attachments also.

Attached the court will find a copy of an Appellant Brief that is filed in the case of JASON MORRIS GOURDINE Appellate case No. 2016-000640 pending in The S.C. Court Of Appeals to which the respondent is party, which is another reason why he must be made to respond as well as all other defendants in this case once service upon them is ordered which must occur by Due Process law.

These same legal issues are at the heart of the controversy and appear in relevant part in cases 2013-CP-400-0084 and 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, which is why the corrupt Judge Merchant, the snake and rat, is conspiring under color of law and or authority, in acts of obstruction of justice and in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001 with the other S.C. District Court Judges, the scorpions and weasels, in efforts to conceal material facts and prevent discovery under Rule 26. See (240) page Kershaw County Petition that is filed in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM. The S.C. Attorney General, the respondent, to include The United States are in default on these legal issues.

To be more specific. If you look at the (115) page Brief, and compare it to the complaint and subsequent pleadings in case 2013-CP-400-0084 and the (240) page Kershaw County Petition that is filed in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM. You will see that in comparing the Gourdine Brief that Issue No.(1) on pages 1-21. Issue No. (7) on pages 52-65. Issue No. (8) on pages 65-77. Issue No. (9) on pages 78-83 where the Crawford etc. indictment(s) do not properly allege "TIME AND PLACE" of assault and or death, which this litigation relates to each of our cases indictment defect issues. Also see Issue No. (10) dealing with the fraud occurring within the State v. Gentry case. These issues are being argued within each of our cases, which do not include any independent issue related to each case. These issues are being argued seeking class action certification to which comity, Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel attaches from cases 2013-CP-400-0084, 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. Any claim when you add the attached § 1983 action,

that the issues are vague and conclusory is an act of fraud upon the court and is without merit. Discovery under Rule 26 will reveal any additional claims for which relief and full review is to be done, as was done by Judges Harwell and Austin.

You have sold your souls corrupt Judges for (30) pieces of silver as did you cohort Judge Kaymani wicked witch of the West, and you have earned a free ticket to the "HELLFIRE", where the fires are never quenched, where the fuel is mankind and brimstone, where the worm dieth not, where there is no law, except "The Law Of Lex Taliones",...The "Law Of Retribution!", and where there is no agreement except that you shall burn for all eternity. "Touch thou not God's anointed, nor do His Prophets any harm". You were told this, but you ignored it. Judgment comes.

So,...due to your past acts of fraud upon the court and since you did not like the way you were spoken to. Your response was to attempt to create a "procedural error and or defect", and assert that I and or we responded prematurely before the report and recommendation was issued corrupt Judges? Your lives were never threatened corrupt going to hell Judges. The consistent racial injustices done to my people nationally, to include all the injustices done to the believers globally must be addressed. My people will have a King-Khalifah established before Christ's return. I and or we have a right to free speech and to be fully heard in our grievances and access to the courts. So your stupid, village idiot Judges feelings were hurt and or insulted which prompted you to retaliate against us in clear violation of The Americans With Disabilities Act denying me and or us the Equal Protection Of The Laws. I and or we object, American Atheist, Inc. v. Port Authority Of N.Y. and N.J., 936 F.Supp.2d. 321(N.Y.2013); Trinity Lutheran Church Of Columbia, Inc. v. Pauley, 788 F3d. 779, 91 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 1544(8th.Cir. 2015); United States v. Hare, 820 F3d. 93(4th.Cir.2016); Paul Adams v. California Institution, 2016 WL 6464444; Asterbadi v. Leites, 176 Fed. Appx' 426 CA4 (Va.2006); IN RE: Building

Material Corp. Of America Asphalt Roofing Shingle Products,
F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1827923; Briggs v. Perry C.I., 2015 WL
6467108(DSC.2015); United States v. Eccleston,--Fed. Appx'--
, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015).

The LORD taketh my part with them that help me; therefore shall I see my desire upon them that hate me. And he hath made my mouth like a sharp sword; in the shadow of His hand hath He hid me, and made me a polished sharp silver staff and spear. The student has become The Master, in His quiver had He hid me. Thus saith The LORD, The Redeemer Of The Global Believers, and His Holy One The King-Khalifah Of The (4) Global Thrones Of The Reestablished Global Theocratic State And Court, to him whom you have despiseth, to him whom nations adhorreth. In an acceptable time within your prison my servant JAHJAH AL MAHDI, I have heard thee and in the day of Salvation I have helped thee: For I will preserve thee, and give thee for a "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" of the people, to establish that which I foretold via My Prophets within the earth, to cause to inherit the desolate heritages. That thou mayest say to the prisoners, GO FORTH!! ! and Kings shall be thy nursing fathers, and their Queens thy nursing mothers: They shall bow down to thee with their face towards the earth, and lick up the dust of thy feet; and thou shalt know that I am The LORD; For they shall not be ashamed that wait upon me. That which is written, The "CONTRACT" cannot be broken. The time of your visitation cometh. I shall wait upon The LORD. For His mercy endureth forever.

If you look at the attached § 1983 action that is now filed in this and the parallel cases. You will see that it was placed in the institution mailbox on April 25, 2017. Therefore, pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988). It was filed the moment it was placed in the institution mailbox at 7:20 A.M. on April 25, 2017. This made you, Judge snake Merchant, and every Judge in The S.C. U.S. District Court automatically defendants in these cases requiring your recusal and disqualification and we be given a change of

venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404 and 1407 to The New Jersey District Court as I and or we repeatedly motioned for. I and or we object. Thus, no report and or recommendation in this case can be submitted by you or any other ruling by you S.C. District Court Judges where pursuant to Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016), your presence create a structural error which voided your jurisdiction for Due Process violation when you produced the report and recommendation on MAY 4, 2017. I and or we object. This is challenge to you or any Judge's jurisdiction to issue any order or report and recommendation pursuant to Res Judicata, Comity, Collateral Estoppel and the holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016). All defenses, issues, claims and objections made in the attached (44) page § 1983 and its attachments are now made again in this case. Also see case 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB.

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, formerly Lawrence L. Crawford, is a Warrior, King, Khalifah, Imam, Prophet And Nazarite High Priest. He is the only one of his kind in existence in the world today. He is the last of his kind foretold to come by religious prophesy that will exist in the world before Christ's return, and his Great etc. Grand Uncle, Christ, will return to Rule. He is Christ's second in command, Christ's forerunner. He is one of a kind. There will be no other like him to come into the world. That which is written cannot be broken as GOD had foretold the world. By The King-Khalifah's Spirit, would you have expected him to be anything less by his presentation? By the litigation presented, you, The State Of South Carolina, The United States, and the remaining other 192 Member States Of The United Nations are in default and you have impaired the obligation of The Contract which cannot be made or unmade by the courts. You are all in violation of Article 1 section 10 of The U.S. Constitution. Cause is established under § 1983 with Habeas Corpus attached. Discovery must issue under Rule 26, not § 2254. Stop your acts of fraud upon the court. The claim of default and

collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 must be given full faith and credit in all court records establishing a preclusive effect which bars the Federal District Court from challenging all claims made. I and or we are beneficiaries of The Trust, a foreign state, and joint filing by the litigation is permitted. I and or we object to any claim otherwise. Res Judicata attaches via Judges Harwell and Austin. The objections and issues are clear and not conclusory. It would be an act of fraud and an abuse of discretion to dismiss this case, especially in light of the fact that you cannot sit upon these cases. Stop the fraud, fear God and do the right thing. Justice and Fairness must prevail, Callon Petroleum Co. v. Frontier Ins. Co., 351 F3d. 204,, 208(5th.Cir.2003); Digital Equipment Corp. v. Desktop Direct Ins., 511 U.S. 863, 869, 114 S.Ct. 1992, 1996, 128 L.Ed.2d. 842(1994); Chewing v. Ford Motor Company, 354 S.C. 72, 579 S.E.2d. 605(2003); Appling v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co., 340 F3d. 769, 780(9th.Cir.2003); King v. First American Investigation Inc., 287 F3d. 91, 95(2nd.Cir.2002); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(4); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(2)(3)(A); United States v. Dizdar, CA2 (N.Y.) 1978, 581 F2d. 1031; Weepe v. United States,--U.S.--,106 S.Ct. 179, 88 L.Ed.2d. 148 (1985); The Amistad, 40 U.S. 518, 15 Pet. 518, 1841 WL 5024, 2006 A.M.C. 2955, 10 L.Ed. 826, U.S. Conn. January 1841; Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880); Pulliam v. Allen, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(1984); Stump v. Sparkman, 98 S.Ct. 1099; Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. at 554, 87 S.Ct. at 1218; English v. Thorn, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); Yicks Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356; United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196 at 208; Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330, 354(1935); Julliand v. Greenman, 110 U.S. 421; Will v. Michigan State Police, 105 L.Ed.2d. 45 (1989); Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9, 20(1829); Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253(1967); United States v. Wheeler, 98 S.Ct. 1079 at 1083; The Supremacy Clause, U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 6 Cl. 2; Brown v. Spring Valley Homeowners Association, Inc., 2016 WL 3595791(S.C.2016); Article III sections 1 and 2, U.S. Const.; The (3) Holy Books And Sunnah Of The Prophet Muhammad(PBUH)

legal binding CONTRACT/COVENANTS; Also see sources entitled--
--"Before The Mayflower--A History Of Black America", By Lerone
Bennett; Suna Ibn Majah, Volume No.5 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1
pages 391-395; Signs Before The Day Of Judgment, By Ibn Kathir
ISBN No. 1-870582-039 pages 18-24; The Book Of Isaiah 14:29-
32; 11:1-6; 32:1-8; 41:25; Worlds Greatest Men Of Color, Volume
1, by J.A. Rogers ISBN No. 978-0-684-81581-7; The Kebar Nagast
or "Glory Of The Kings" A Chronicle Of The Rulers Of Ethiopia;
Budge E.A.W., The Queen Of Sheba And Her Only Son Menyelek,
London 1923; Ormonde, Czenzi, Solomon And The Queen Of Sheba,
New York, Farra Straus and Young 1954; The Book Of Zechariah
6:12-13; The Book Of Daniel chapt. 11; Nation, Cox v. Shalala,
112 F3d. 151; English v. Gen. Elec. Co., 496 U.S. 72, 79, 100
S.Ct. 2270, 2275, 110 L.Ed.2d. 65(1990); McLean v. Ford Motor
Co., 831 F2d. 723; Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co., 24 F.Supp.2d.
1013; Doche-Naux v. Secretary Of The Interior Of U.S., 837 F2d.
340 cert. denied 108 S.Ct. 2822, 486 U.S. 1055, 100 L.Ed.2d.
923; Bell v. United States, 310 F.Supp. 1189; Stephen v. Ca-
rothers, 97 F.Supp.2d. 698; Craig v. United States, 89 F.Supp.2d.
858; Legette v. Rose, 776 F.Supp. 229; EIE Guam v. Long Term
Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d. 635(9th.Cir.2003); Anderson v.
Cox, 977 F.Supp. 413; Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward,
17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; Forbes v. Forbes, 341 P3d. 1041,
2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015; American Mut. Liberty Ins. Co. v.
Plywood-Plastics Corp., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); Woods v. Woods,
2016 WL 4013754; United Dominion Realty Trust, Inc., 307 S.C.
102; C.A.N. Enters Inc. v. S.C. Health And Human Servs. Fin.
Comm'n., 296 S.C. 373, 377; M & G Polymers U.S.A., LLC. v.
Tacket, 135 S.Ct. 926 (2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life
Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130 S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.Ed.2d. 998(2010);
Lacke v. Lacke, 362 S.C. 302, 608 S.E.2d. 147(S.C.2005).

This racial hatred and disparity in judicial action pro-
duced by the legislation in the form of The Clinton era War
On Drugs Campaign must be ended. This "White Nationalist", "Alt
White Right" movement occurring in Universities, occurring
globally must be countered and challenged. I am sent. I am a
voice crying in the wilderness. I am Martin Luther King Jr's

successor. I have come to complete what Martin started, but on a global scale. Martin was "water",...I am "FIRE!" President Barack Obama was "Ice",...I am a "FIERY WHIRLWIND!!" The evils done via Jim Crow and The U.S. Slave Trade, and that which is presently occurring to people of color globally must be brought into account. Reparation are sought. I am commanded by The One True God to lead the charge. "And a "child" (a descendant Of God's Prophets And Kings) shall lead them".

Hello again Michelle Childs. In my heart, I must admit. ~~Out of all the Judges who presently sit on the bench of The~~ S.C. U.S. District Court. I feel you dear, are the most honorable. I'm not just saying this to "butter your bread" Michelle. I remember the first time I ever saw and met you. Like Judge Alison Lee, when I saw you two female Judges, my heart and soul swelled with so much pride, so much joy. I said to myself, "My God,...look at them!!!,...strong!,...intelligent Black women!! You have no idea how I felt when I saw you two. As I looked at you in utter joy. All I could do is think about my baby,...my child, Aerialle. She has her father's strength and brains. She's a genius. They call her "prodigy" by her academic standing, Scholarships out the "guzu". In self pride, I said to myself, "I did that. I molded her and set her on her academic path". I asked myself at that point, "Will she be as great as these two black women?"

Amazing, fascinating. Now I realize, by God's mercy, she will even excel both of you. This child is the great etc. Grand Daughter Of King Solomon And The Ethiopian Queen Of Sheba. I then laughed, because I realized that she does not have my brains. She has her Great Grandfather King Solomon's brains (smile). The King-Khalifah's children have no idea who they really are. Aerialle has no idea who she really is,...amazing. She shall reign, sit upon the throne of her Great etc. Grand Mother, The Queen Of Sheba. She shall sit upon The Throne Of The United Ethiopian Empire,...my baby,...my child,...whom I

love beyond my own soul. My son is The King Of The South fore told by religious prophesy, the second witness,...fascinating! Aren't our Black Women great!!! Who can compare to them!!! What jewels,...what treasures!! Our women have such greatness!!! How can we as mankind live without you? I can't. The absence of my Queen, Cyhannah and my child, The Queen Of Sheba, Of my son, The King Of The South has nearly killed me. I don't know how I survived this long. I don't care what any person in this world thinks about my religious beliefs. I do not fear you devils at all. Outside of GOD, my family is all I desire in the world, ~~and this State and Nation took them from me behind a lie!!!,~~ a lie based upon religious and racial hatred. Will they stand as their father and loved one stands? That is in God's hands. They have suffered much already being the family of a True Prophet, King, Khalifah, Imam and High Priest. What father, what husband, would desire that those who he love would drink such, the cup of affliction, a cup that was meant for him by the Decree of The One True GOD? I can never undo the suffering that they endured. Nor can I undo the suffering I've endured by your evil hands. Our GOD intended it to test us, to prepare us, and to make us stronger to complete the tasks which will soon be placed before us all as "Ahle Bayt", "The Holy People" Of Daniel chapt. 12:7. I can never compensate them for what may potentially come at them in the future. But the least that I can do as their father, as a man who loves them above all others,...I can give them their "TRUE HISTORY",...I can give them the truth of who they really are,...and how they fit in the scheme and final chapter of earth's history. This I shall do. What is a Prophet, King, Khalifah and High Priest without his children, without the woman he loves? The answer is simple. He's a lonely, lonely, empty servant of GOD, who will nonetheless serve The GOD he loves even above them, without question, without difficulty and without resentment. Thou GOD slay me, I will yet still trust Him.

Thus saith The LORD, The One True GOD, who is my Master, as I am His servant and appointed King, Khalifah, Imam, Prophet


and High Priest. The world shall soon discover that the religious prophecies foretold are real. They shall discover that "Santa Claus" is a "BLACK MAN". Hear what thus saith The LORD, Behold, I have graven thee upon the palms of My hands; Thy walls are continually before me. Thy children shall make haste (They shall return); Thy destroyers (enemies) and they that made thee waste shall go forth of thee. The children which thou shalt have, after thou hast lost the other, shall say again in thine ears, The place is too strait for me: Give place to me that I may dwell. Then shalt thou say in thine heart, Who has begotten me these, seeing I have lost my children, and am desolate, a captive, a prisoner, and removing to and fro in chains? And who has brought up these? Behold, I was left alone; these, where had they been? Thus saith The LORD GOD, Behold, I will lift up my hand to the Gentiles, and set up my standard to the people: And they shall bring thy sons in their arms, and thy daughters shall be carried upon their shoulders. Hearken unto Me, ye that knoweth righteousness, the people in whose heart is My Law; fear ye not the reproach of men, neither be ye afraid of their reviling. Who art My servant that thou shouldst be afraid of a man, or even a woman that shall die, and of the son of man which shall be made as grass that withereth away; and forgettest The LORD thy maker, that hath stretched forth the heavens. I will contend with them that contend with thee. And I will feed them that oppress thee with their own flesh; And they shall be drunken with their own blood, as with sweet wine; And all flesh shall know that I, The LORD, am thy Saviour and thy Redeemer, The Mighty One Of Abraham, Issac, Jacob, Moses, Aaron, David, Solomon, Christ and Muhammad(PBUT). This is sufficient to establish objections and demonstrate fraud upon the court and an abuse of discretion if this case is dismissed before all parties are served and made to respond to the pleadings.

This document is filed as an Affidavit and may stand alone to defeat any motion for summary judgment and or summary dismissal and or sua sponte dismissal. Where case has an arguable

basis in law, to include that all claims are defaulted on in case 2013-CP-400-0084 where the Affidavits establishing the default were never timely challenged and that court's jurisdiction is made void for Due Process violation. It would be an abuse of discretion to dismiss an artfully pleaded case, which this case is, where the sympathetic reader of the documents filed in this and the parallel cases indicate that it is not beyond a reasonable doubt that the petitioner(s) could prove a set of facts in support of his claim(s) which would entitle him to relief. Pleadings require that the complaint and or Affidavits filed in support of that complaint, contain inferential allegations from which we can identify each of the material facts or elements necessary to sustain a recovery under some viable legal theory. Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level. The complaint and or documents attached and or filed in support thereof, must allege enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. A case has factual plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. A complaint, to include its attachments and or Affidavits filed in support of motion and or order to dismiss, which possess factual matter, which must be accepted as true, will survive summary dismissal, See WL 1854315; Lewis v. Dixon, F.Supp.3d., WL 9460274(2015); White v. White, 886 F2d. 721 CA4 (W.Va.1989); McKelvey v. Reynolds, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); Del Zotto v. Universal Physicians Services, LLC.,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016); King v. Atiyeh, 814 F2d. 565, 568(9th.Cir.1987); Procunier v. Martinez, 416 U.S. 396, 419, 94 S.Ct. 1800, 1814, 40 L.Ed.2d. 224(1974); Johnson v. Avery, 393 U.S. 483, 485-87, 89 S.Ct. 747, 748-50, 21 L.Ed.2d. 718 (1969); Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, 52 L.Ed.2d. 72(1977); White v. Gregory, 1 F3d. 267 CA4 (W.Va.1993); Neitke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 104 L.Ed.2d. 338 (U.S.1989); Bresley v. Dunnell, 952 F2d. 395 CA4 (W.Va.1991); Mann v. Bell-McKensie, 2015 WL 1224299(DSC.2015); Whiteside

v. United States, 775 F3d. 180 CA4 (N.C.2014); Tolbert v. Stevenson, 635 F3d. 646(4th.Cir.2011); Larkimore v. Hooks, 289 Fed. Appx' 576, 2008 WL 3063704; Hines v. Thomas, 2016 WL 4492816; Fox v. Vice, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011); Woodford v. Garceau, 538 U.S. 202, 123 S.Ct. 1398, 155 L.Ed.2d. 363(2003); Cullen v. Pinholster, 563 U.S. 170, 131 S.Ct. 1388, 179 L.Ed.2d. 557(2011); Smith v. Robbins, 528 U.S. 259, 120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d. 756(2000); White v. Gregory, 510 U.S. 1096, 114 S.Ct. 931, 127 L.Ed.2d. 223(U.S.1994); Rogers v. McKinnon, F.Supp.2d., 2009 WL 4920800(DSC.2009); U.S. v. Jones, 538 Fed. Appx' 285 CA4 (Va.2013); Priolo v. Zook, 791 F3d. 465 CA4 (Va.2015); Sanders v. Warden at Leath C.I., 2015 WL 4635144(DSC.2015); Ortiz v. U.S., 555 Fed. Appx' 261 CA4 (Md.2014); U.S. v. Surratti, -F3d.--, 2015 WL 4591677 CA4 (N.C.2015); U.S. v. Newbold, 791 F3d. 455 CA4 (N.C.2015). Judge Currie I and or we motion for your recusal along with the other Judges.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



MAY 16, 2017

File in case 2016-000640

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(8:14-cv-03555-RBH; 0:06-cv-00992-TMC-PJG)

DOCKET No. 16-1953

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE CAIN ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, YAHYA MUQUIT, ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE ORDER FILED MAY 11, 2017****, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY PLACING IT IN MAILBOX ON THAT SAME DATE.

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

ANTHONY COOK

MAY 17, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,

YAHYA MUQUIT

david duren

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(8:14-cv-03555-RBH; 0:16-cv-00992-TMC-PJG)

DOCKET No. 16-1953

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE CAIN ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT
OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED MAY 11,
2017; RENEWING MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE
TO CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT'S JURIS-
DICTION TO ENTER IT AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

Attached the honorable 4th. Circuit will find. A copy
of an AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT
OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED APRIL 25, 2017;
RENEWING THE MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE TO STRUCTURAL

ERROR THAT VOID'S THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (11) pages dated MAY 3, 2017 that is filed in case, Docket No. 16-2141.

The argument for vacating the order filed in case 16-1953 is essentially the same as for this case. If the 4th. Circuit would take notice of page(s) 7-8 of the (11) page attachment that was filed in case 16-2141. Unless the 4th. Circuit can produce an order that is not tainted by acts of fraud and machination from case 2013-CP-400-0084 from the Richland County Court Of Common Pleas in S.C.. Not only do JAHJAH AL MAHDI'S claim of Foreign Sovereign power holds as true within all court records. So does his superseding judicial authority. The record is officially expanded and the (95) page document filed in the Richland County Common Pleas Court and all documents listed in the Mandamus from case 16-2299 are now a part of case 16-1953. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, give the 4th. Circuit Judicial Notice that I officially exercise my superseding judicial authority over the 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals via claims of default and collateral estoppel to which the United States appeared and is party to the default, binding this court by that appearance. Therefore, the order issued in case 16-1953 filed May 11, 2017 is vacated by decree of The Chief Justice Of The Global Theocratic Court whose power and authority now legally supersedes this court. As Chief Justice, judicial order is given to poll all Judges by specific name on the court record to ensure that those who are polled are not Judges who are subject to the disqualification under Williams v Pennsylvania. EN BANC review is commanded pursuant to Appellate Court Rule 35(b). The uncontested Affidavits filed in case 2013-CP-400-0084 to which the United States is party to the default stands as true, binding the 4th. Circuit Court. Thus, via the superseding judicial authority given by that default. The order filed May 11, 2017 in case 16-1953 is vacated, and all Judges that are not disqualified shall immediately give EN BANC review on all cases involved, including case 17-1415 and consolidate them for that review. This essentially establishes a remand on case

16-1953 limiting and or restricting the 4th. Circuit Court's jurisdiction to do anything else, except to give that EN BANC review as is commanded, which include sending the mandate until these issues and concerns are addressed on the court record. We seek Declaratory Judgment. IT IS SO ORDERED, S.E.C. v. Farkas, 557 Fed. Appx' 204 CA4 (Va.2014); Delta Apparel Inc. v. Farina, 406 S.C. 257, 750 S.E.2d. 615(S.C.App.2013); Moore v. Byars, 2013 WL 6710273(DSC.2013); IN RE: Estrain, 529 B.R. 865 Bkptcy (DSC.2015); Parker v. Abestos Processing, LLC., 2015 WL 127930 (DSC.2015); Best v. Of America N.A., 2015 WL 5124463(E.D.N.Y. 2015); McCully v. Bank Of America, N.A., 605 Fed. Appx' 875 (11th.Cir.2015); Ferrara v. Quadrozzi Equipment Leasing Corp., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); Universal Film Exchange Inc. v. Walter Reade Inc., 37 F.R.D. 4, 9 Fed. R. Serv.2d. 56(e); Anderson v. Liberty Lobby Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); DeSimone v. Quicken Loans, Inc., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2470661(S.D.Ind.2011); IN RE: Clean Burn Fuels, LLC., 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); IN RE: Outdoor R.V. Marine, LLC, 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); Williams v. Secretary Of Veteran Affairs,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala. 2015); Miller v. Parrish, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013).

Insomuch, there are exceptional questions within these cases that must be answered. These are the (13) questions listed on pages 9-11 of the [11] page attachment. They begin with:

(1) Do the King-Khalifah have the right to exercise the power and authority given to him by the default and claim of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084?

And the questions end with:

(13) Do Res Judicata and or collateral estoppel attach to the cases involved as the petitioners have continually demonstrated before the various courts that are involved?

Inasmuch, due to the exceptional questions of controversy that are placed before this court, EN BANC review is not discretionary, it becomes mandatory to maintain the uniformity of the court's decision where we have fraud manifesting itself within this court and where the decision conflict with recent U.S. Supreme Court precedent, precedent of the 4th. Circuit, to include the Judicial decree of a higher court that is established by the claims of default and collateral estoppel, which limits and or restrict the 4th. Circuit to do anything except give the EN BANC review that is sought. Writ Of Error is now filed under the independent action rule for fraud to address these matters. We motion for Declaratory Judgment on all issues of concern, William Douglas Brown and Brenda Harriet Brown v. CSX Transportation Inc., 2017 WL 1028579(4th.Cir.2017); Allstate Insurance Company v. Ingraham, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3 Communications Corporation v. Serco, Inc.,--Fed. Appx'--, 2016 WL 7232118 (4th.Cir.2016).

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

david duren

david duren

MAY 17, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(4:16-cv-02939-MBS-TER)

DOCKET No. 16-2141

*File in
CASE*

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

PETITIONERS

Vs.

Judges who signed the order in case 16-1953 et. al.,

DEFENDANTS


AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

TO: The 4th. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS et. al.,

WE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY,
THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT
OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR;
MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED APRIL 25, 2017; RENEWING
THE MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE TO STRUCTURAL ERROR
THAT VOIDS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT'S JURISDICTION AND

MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE
4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S.
MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION
MAILBOX ON MAY 3, 2017. THUS, IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE
ACCORDING TO APPELLATE COURT RULES AND OR HOUSTON v. LACK,
287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

david duren

david duren

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

MAY 3, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(4:16-cv-02939-MBS-TER)

DOCKET No. 16-2141

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

PETITIONERS

Vs.

Judges who signed the order in case 16-1953 et. al.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT
OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED APRIL 25,
2017; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE
TO STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOID'S THE 4TH. CIRCUIT
COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: The 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS et. al.,

Attached the 4TH. Circuit and parties will find a
copy of an "Agreement To Debit E.H. Cooper Account Form
10-14". This document substantiates that a § 1983 action

(44) pages was filed within the S.C. U.S. District Court at 7:20 A.M. on APRIL 25, 2017.

Also attached, the 4th. Circuit will find a copy of the (44) page § 1983 that was filed in cases 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 5:17-cv-0105-RHH-KDW and 1:16-cv-3756-MBS-SVH. An exact copy of the attached § 1983 was filed in all the aforementioned cases at 7:30 AM on APRIL 25, 2017 where they sat in the institution mailbox until APRIL 26, 2017 being taken out by the institution authorities.

Also attached the 4th. Circuit will find, is a copy of the Writ Of Mandamus that make up case 16-2299.

Inasmuch, this document is filed as a Writ Of Error under the independent action rule for fraud upon the 4th. Circuit Court, challenging the 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction to issue the order filed April 25, 2017 in this case due to a structural error that voids the 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction for Due Process violation. Subject Matter Jurisdiction can be raised at any time, cannot be waived by the petitioners, and the 4th. Circuit Court shall not fail to take notice, Grupo Dalaflux v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); Loumiet v. United States, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); U.S. v. Tisdale, F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 2156666(DSC.2007); Sebelius v. Auburn Regional Medical Center, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); Sizward v. Riddle, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 707018 (DSC.2013).

Federal Rules Of Appellate Procedure, Rule 35, 28 U.S.C.A.: Rule 35 EN BANC determination provides:

(a) When hearing or rehearing EN BANC may be ordered. A majority of the Circuit Judges who are in regular active service and who are not disqualified may(emphasis added) order an appeal or other proceeding be heard or

reheard by a court of appeals EN BANC. An EN BANC hearing or rehearing is not favored and ordinarily will not be ordered unless to maintain uniformity of decision or the case involves a question of exceptional importance.

(b) Petition for hearing or rehearing EN BANC. A party (emphasis added, not a Judge seeking polling) may petition for a hearing or rehearing EN BANC. (1) The petition must begin with a statement that either: (A) The panel decision conflicts with a decision of The United States Supreme Court or of the court to which the petition is addressed (with citing to the conflicting case or cases) and consideration of the full court is therefore "[n]ecessary" (meaning--- of an inevitable nature; inescapable; unavoidable; that which cannot be denied without contradiction; absolutely needed; compulsory; mandatory) to secure and maintain uniformity of the court's decision; or (B) The proceedings involve one or more questions of exceptional importance, each of which must be concisely stated.

The petitioners motion to vacate the order filed in this case on April 25, 2017 because it is tainted giving way to a structural error that voids the 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction for Due Process violation. If the court would take notice of the order in question, it reads:

"The petition for rehearing EN BANC was circulated to the full court(emphasis added). No Judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35. The court denies the petition for rehearing EN BANC".

It is the petitioners' position; that once the full court was involved in this action. It tainted the order and voided the 4TH. Circuit Court's jurisdiction for Due Process violation. If the court would take notice of the § 1983 action that as of 7:20 AM on April 25, 2017 pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379

(1988), on page (6) of the § 1983, You will see that Judges Diaz, Thacker, Davis, Gregory, Duncan and Hamilton are listed as defendants in these cases subjudice. Thus, pursuant to Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016), as well as pursuant to Appellate Court Rule 35(a). Those Judges were not supposed to be involved in any circulation of the request, because they are legally disqualified. Unless the 4th. Circuit can prove, on the court record (emphasis added) that these Judges made their review prior to 7:20 A.M. on April 25, 2017. They are legally disqualified under Appellate Court Rule 35(a) and pursuant to the holdings made by The U.S. Supreme Court in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016), where pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988) the § 1983 action was filed the moment it was placed in the institution mail box at 7:20 A.M. on April 25, 2017. This produces a structural error that voids the 4th. Circuit Court's jurisdiction for Due Process violation. We object, and motion to vacate the order as is required by law.

Secondly, it does not require that the Judges by their discretion request a polling. We object to this fraudulent claim. That, by the clear language of the Appellate Court Rule only falls under section (a). The Mandamus and the seeking of Declaratory Judgment is sought and filed under section (b) of Appellate Court Rule 35 which by the clear language and "plain meaning" Rule. Appellate Court Rule 35(b) makes an EN BANC review mandatory, inescapable, unavoidable by the plain language Rule as is clearly indicated by Rule 35(b). The 4th. Circuit cannot interject a construing that is not in the language of Appellate Court Rule 35(b) which clearly indicate that a "party" (emphasis added), not the Judges, may seek such, and it becomes mandatory(emphasis added) once we meet the criterion for such a review, which we indisputably do. The plain language Rule applies here.

For this reason also, we motion to vacate the order and renew our petition for EN BANC rehearing, White v. Manis, 2014 WL1513280(DSC.2014); United States v. Quiones, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); Kenwood Gardens Condominiums, Inc. v. Whalen Properties, LLC., 2016 WL 6788052, * 11+ (Md.2016); U.S. v. Aladeckcha, 2010 WL 4054267(2010); Bass v. Isochem, 617 S.E.2d. 369(S.C.App.2005); State v. Brannon, 666 S.E.2d. 272(S.C.App.2008); State v. Pittman, 647 S.E.2d. 144(S.C.2007); Liberty Mut. Ins. v. Second Inquiry Fund, 611 S.E.2d. 297 (S.C.App.2005); Robinson v. Shell Oil Company, 117 S.Ct. 843 (1997); United States v. Meyers, 106 F3d. 936, 941 CA10 (1997); Seckinger v. The Vessal Excalibur, 483 S.R.2d. 775(S.C.App. 1997); United States v. Ron Pair Enterprises Inc., 109 S.Ct. 1026, 1030(1989); United Aid Funds Inc. v. Espinoza, 559 U.S. 260, 130 S.Ct. 1367(U.S.2010); Elderberry v. Weber City, LLC v. Living Centers Southeast Inc.,--F3d.--, 2015 WL 4430836 CA4 (Va.2015); Norbrega v. Hinkle, 576 Fed. Appx' 224 CA4 (Va.2014).

Lastly, the petitioners bring the 4TH. Circuit's attention to the Writ Of Mandamus filed in case 16-2299. We expand the scope. If the court would review page (11) of the (26) page document dated November 2, 2016. The document refers to a (95) page subsequent Affidavit document exercising power via default dated December 8, 2014 with (9) page attachment. Unless the 4th. Circuit can produce an order filed in case 2013-CP-400-0084 that is not tainted by acts of fraud and machination from the Richland Court in S.C.. Not only do JAHJAH AL MAHDI's claim of Foreign Sovereign Power holds as true within all court records. So does his superseding judicial authority. The record is officially expanded and the (95) page document filed in the Richland County Common Pleas Court and all documents listed in the Mandamus from case 16-2299 are now a part of case 16-2141. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, give the 4th. Circuit Judicial Notice that I officially exercise my superseding judicial authority over the 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals via the

claims of default and collateral estoppel to which the United States appeared and is party to the default, binding this court by that appearance. Therefore the order in case 16-2141 filed April 25, 2017 is vacated by decree of The Chief Justice Of The Global Theocratic Court whose power and authority now legally supersedes this court. As Chief Justice, judicial order is given to poll all Judges by specific name on the court record to ensure that those who are polled are not Judges that are subject to the disqualification under Williams v. Pennsylvania. EN BANC review is commanded pursuant to Appellate Court Rule 35(b). The uncontested Affidavits filed in case 2013-CP-400-0084 to which The United States are party to the default stands as true. Thus, via the superseding judicial authority given by that default, the order is vacated and all Judges that are not disqualified shall immediately give EN BANC review on all cases involved and consolidate them for that review.

IT IS SO ORDERED, S.E.C. v. Parkas, 557 Fed. Appx' 204 CA4 (Va.2014); Delta Apparel Inc. v. Farina, 406 S.C. 257, 750 S.E.2d. 615(S.C.App.2013); Moore v. Byars, 2013 WL 6710273 (DSC.2013); IN RE: Estrain, 529 B.R. 865 Bkrptcy (DSC.2015); Parker v. Abestos Processing, LLC., 2015 WL 127930(DSC. 2015); Best v. Bank Of America, N.A., 2015 WL 5124463(E.D.N.Y. 2015); McCully v. Bank Of America, N.A., 605 Fed. Appx' 875 (11th.Cir.2015); Ferrara v. Quadrozzi Equipment Leasing Corp., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); Universal Film Exchange Inc. v. Walter Reade Inc., 37 F.R.D. 4, 9 Fed. R. Serv.2d. 56(e); Anderson v. Liberty Lobby Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); DeSimone v. Quicken Loans, Inc., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2470661(S.D.Ind.2011); IN RE: Clean Burn Fuels, LLC, 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); IN RE: Outdoor R.V. Marine, LLC, 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); Williams v. Secretary Of Veteran Affairs,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); Miller v. Parrish, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013).

Insomuch, there are exceptional questions within

these cases that must be answered:

(1) Do the King-Khalifah have the right to exercise the power and authority given to him by the default and claim of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084?

(2) Are the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges and other Judges involved in total that are referred to required to recuse and disqualify themselves due to The U.S. Supreme Court holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016)?

(3) Do the petitioners/plaintiffs in these cases have to exhaust state remedies when we meet the exception and criterion established by The U.S. Supreme Court in the case of Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016)?

(4) Do the petitioners/plaintiffs have the right to exercise federal forum pursuant to The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act and The Civil Rights Act?

(5) Do the provisions of AEDPA and The Prison Litigation Reform Act disproportionately affect and target African Americans and other minorities running afoul of the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880) rendering these provisions of law unconstitutional barring the District Courts from making use of them within these proceedings?

(6) By the disqualification of the S.C. U.S. District Court, do the petitioners have the right to seek change of venue and establish these proceedings in the State Of New Jersey consolidating with that case in New Jersey and have these matters heard in the New Jersey District Court with all plaintiffs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404 and 1407?

(7) Do the petitioners have the right to the appoint-

ment of legal counsel, an evidentiary hearing, class action certification; and due to the convictions being invalidated by the false imprisonment tort which is what case 2013-CP-400-0084 is. Is it appropriate and or is it permissible for the plaintiffs to be removed with all of their property to a Federal pre-release camp pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c)?

(8) By the litigation presented is there sufficient showing to believe and have such placed before a jury, which demonstrate that The United States and potentially the other 192 Member States of The United Nations are in violation of Article 1 section 10 Of The U.S. Constitution, impairing the obligation of "CONTRACT", COVENANT", "GRANT" by giving same sex couples the right to legally marry, when such an act violate the terms of the "CONTRACT" established by GOD and The Sole Corporation?

(9) Are the petitioners/ plaintiffs permitted to file their actions jointly by the litigation and legal arguments that are placed before the court?

(10) In light of the fact that AEDPA and PLRA provisions must be deemed unconstitutional where they run afoul of the holdings made by The U.S. Supreme Court in Ex Parte Virginia and cannot be used. Can the petitioners file their Habeas Corpus under § 1983, also due to the fact that their convictions are already invalidated by case 2013-CP-400-0084 which is a false imprisonment tort?

(11) Are there indications that the Federal Judges involved are in violation of Article III section 1 of The U.S. Constitution, The Rico Act, The C.A.T. Treaty, and other provisions of law argued which warrant these cases being placed before a jury?

(12) Are the petitioners/plaintiffs entitled to


the Independent Investigation they seek by an outside independent party that is not associated with the Trump administration?

(13) Do Res Judicata and or Collateral estoppel attach to the cases involved as the petitioners have continually demonstrated before the various courts that are involved?


Inasmuch, due to these exceptional questions of controversy EN BANC review is mandatory, not discretionary to maintain the uniformity of the court's decision where that decision stands in conflict with recent U.S. Supreme Court precedent also due to the existing structural error that voided the court's jurisdiction when it circulated the issue before Judges that are clearly disqualified. We motion for Declaratory Judgment on all issues of concern, William Douglas Brown and Brenda Harriet Brown v. CSX Transportation Inc., 2017 WL 1028579(4th.Cir.2017); Allstate Insurance Company v. Ingraham, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3 Communications Corporation v. Serco, Inc.,--Fed. Appx'--,2016 WL 7232118 (4th.Cir.2016).

RESPECTFULLY,


JAHJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK



YAHYA MUQUIT



david duren



MAY 3, 2017

File in CASE 2016-000640

~~00000~~

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-against-

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names. Do not include addresses here.)

Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights

(Prisoner Complaint)

Case No. _____
(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

Jury Trial: XX ☒ Yes ☐ No
(check one)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; ALSO
SEEKING DECLARATORY
JUDGMENT FROM THE 4th.
CIRCUIT.

NOTICE

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 5.2 addresses the privacy and security concerns resulting from public access to electronic court files. Under this rule, papers filed with the court should *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number.

Except as noted in this form, plaintiff need not send exhibits, affidavits, grievance or witness statements, or any other materials to the Clerk's Office with this complaint.

When submitted for filing, your complaint should be accompanied by the full filing fee or an application to proceed in *forma pauperis*.

1 of 44

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

All other names by which you have been known:

ID Number

Current Institution

Address

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those contained in the above caption. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known) and check whether you are bringing this complaint against them in their individual capacity or official capacity, or both. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name

Job or Title
(if known)

Shield Number

Employer

Address

SEE ATTACHED SHEET AND THE
AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT WAS
PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON THE 4th.
CIRCUIT (34) PAGES.

ALL PARTIES ARE BEING SUED
IN BOTH THEIR OFFICIAL AND

☐ Individual capacity

☐ Official capacity

INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES.

Defendant No. 2

Name

2 of 44

Job or Title
(if known)

Shield Number

Employer

Address

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

"

"

"

"

☐ Individual capacity

☐ Official capacity

Defendant No. 3

Name

"

Job or Title
(if known)

"

Shield Number

"

Employer

"

Address

"

"

☐ Individual capacity

☐ Official capacity

Defendant No. 4

Name

"

Job or Title
(if known)

"

Shield Number

"

Employer

"

Address

"

☐ Individual capacity

☐ Official capacity

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, you may sue state or local officials for the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." Under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), you may sue federal officials for the violation of certain constitutional rights.

A. Are you bringing suit against (check all that apply):

XX ☒

Federal officials (a *Bivens* claim)

XX ☒

State or local officials (a § 1983 claim)

B. Section 1983 allows claims alleging the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, what federal constitutional or statutory right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by state or local officials?

THE SUIT IS BEING BROUGHT PURSUANT TO BOTH BIVENS
AND § 1983. IT IS ALSO BEING BROUGHT PURSUANT TO
OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW STATED IN THE (16) PAGE

C. Plaintiffs suing under *Bivens* may only recover for the violation of certain constitutional rights. If you are suing under *Bivens*, what constitutional right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by federal officials?

ATTACHMENT THAT GOES INTO DETAIL HIGHLIGHTING THE
COURT'S JURISDICTION.

D. Section 1983 allows defendants to be found liable only when they have acted "under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, explain how each defendant acted under color of state or local law. If you are suing under *Bivens*, explain how each defendant acted under color of federal law. Attach additional pages if needed.

SEE ATTACHED PAGES

III. Prisoner Status

Indicate whether you are a prisoner or other confined person as follows (check all that apply):

☐

Pretrial detainee

☐

Civilly committed detainee

☐

Immigration detainee

PLAINTIFFS

Lawrence L. Crawford aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839, and or Anthony Cook #115157, and or Yahya MuQuit #318455, and or david duren #181965, and or Robert Mitchell #262003, and or Vincent Jerode Beaton #196947, All at Lieber C.I. P.O. Box 205 Ridgevill, S.C. 29472.

Please note that the term "AND OR" is being used to signify individual filing, but giving notice of parallel proceedings pending before the court. Even though joint filing is permitted. We will presently deal with the individual filing with Jahjah Al Mahdi added as a party in each individual case to protect his interest which is acquired pursuant to the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1602-1612 et. seq..

I, We, also give the court notice that this document is also submitted to file objections to any report and recommendation and or orders submitted in case(s) 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW, and or 8:16-cv-3909-RBH-JDA, and or 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, and or 1:16-cv-3756-MBS-SVH, and or 1:16-cv-3853-RMG-SVH, and or 9:17-cv-025-CMC-BM. For the record, The case submitted by Vincent J. Beaton is the only case before the District Court that is not tainted thus far by the acts of fraud upon the court and machination emerging from case(s) 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. All other cases are submitted in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 rendering them void by the fraud due to the Federal Judges establishing them as a means of concealing material facts. I, We, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on this issue.

DEFENDANTS

Judge Robert E. Hood, Richland Court Of Common Pleas 1701 Main Street, Columbia, S.C. 29201.

DEFENDANTS CON'T

Ms. Tara Dawn Shurling P.A. 3614 Landmark Dr. Suite A Columbia,
S.C. 29204.

Judge(s) Diaz, Thacker, Davis, Gregory, Duncan and Hamilton. Mr. Zannelli, Patricia Connors Of The 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals 1100 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219.

Judge(s) Howe Hendricks, West, Harwell, Austin, Wooten, Merchant, Seymour, Hodges, Gerbel, Cain and all other judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court in its entirety. Judge Austin is not being sued as a Judge in this instance. She is being sued under the independent action rule for fraud for failure to act as Trustee appointed by the King-Khalifah in these cases. Had she had done so. The Fraud and injustices that occurred in these cases would have been prevented. The Judges are also being sued for injunctive relief for purposes of obtaining a change of venue to the State of New Jersey and for class action certification. The Judges are also being sued for violations of Article III section 1 of The U.S. Const., violations of The Rice Act, The C.A.T. Treaty, The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act, The Anti-Peonage Act, ADA violations, Criminal conspiracy, obstruction of justice, violations of Trust and contract under Article 1 section 10 of the U.S. Constitution, as well as for their involvement in extra-judicial and or non-judicial acts related to all of the aforementioned, which gave way to that Criminal Conspiracy. Thus, they are not subject to immunity. This warrants their disqualification and change of venue, Iannelli v. U.S., 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d. 616; Great American Ins. Co. v. Nextday Network Hardware Corp., 73 F.Supp.3d 636(2014); In Re: Gunboat International, Ltd., 2016 WL 4626110 (N.C.2016); Hensler v. New York State Department Of Invironmental Consevation, 2016 WL 3849840(N.J.2016); Loney v. Sims, 503 Fed. Appx' 192, 2012 WL 4490557 CA4(Va.2012); U.S. v. Lawrence, F.Supp 3d, 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); In Re: Wallace, 649 Fed. Appx' 298(MEM)(4th.Cir.2016); Blue Sky Travel and Tours, LLC v. Al

Tayyar, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4(Va.2015); Silvestri v. General Motors Corp., 271 F3d. 583, 590(4th.Cir.2001); Nucar Corp. v. Bell, 251 F.R.D. 191, 194(DSC.2008); Yucaipa American Alliance Fund I, L.P. v. Ehrlich, F.Supp.3d.--2016 WL 4582519; U.S.C.A. § 1962; Wallace v. Beaumont Independent School District, 2016 WL 6666833(Tex.2016); United States v. Quinones, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.C. 1899(2016); Forrester v. White, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S. 1988); Uluse v. U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2009 WL 3052608(DSC.2009); King v. Meyers, 973 F2d. 354 CA4(Va.1992); Abebe v. Seymour, F.Supp.2d, 2012 WL 1130660(DSC.2012); U.S. v. Sterling, 724 F3d. 482 CA4(Va.2013).

The remainder of the defendants are listed in the attached Affidavit Of Service that was initially filed in the 4th. Circuit . Cylena Lee, and Mr. & Mrs. Joseph Abrams can also be served at 620 Gregg Street or P.O. Box 445 Marion, S.C. 29571.

Sylvia Lee, Michael Lee and Mr. & Mrs. Herbert Lee can also be served at 115 N. Cromwell Drive, Florence, S.C. 29501.

Insomuch, as quoted within the Bliblical Text, "The wicked flee when no man pursueth, but the righteous ones of God are as bold as Lions". I, we, Know you rat, stinking, corrupt, snakes and scorpion, bastard Judges in acts of fraud upon the court, compromised the filing in forma pauperis documents by ruling unde § 2254 provisions making it impermissable to use them for § 1983 determination. So you wanted a § 1983 filed? Well take this one and shove it down your throats and then pull it out of your butt holes you rat stinking weasels. New filing in forma pauperis documents are now attached to the face of this complaint . This requires that you demon-dogs make the ruling pursuant to § 1983. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit, due to these corrupt officials acts of obstruction of justice, Benton v. Burns, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); Pegg v. Heranberger, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); Johnson v. Byrd, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); Lacra v. Rosenbaum, 2016 WL 6775638

(N.C.2016); Graham v. Gagnon, 831 F3d. 176(4th.Cir.2016).

The further cause(s) of action are thus:

Attached the court and parties will find;

(1) A copy of the notice for a hearing pending under Judge Robert Lee Hood the undercover Ku Klu Klan Leader scheduled for April 27, 2017.

(2) A copy of the United Nations document dated July 1, 2009 that's filed in the Richland Cases subjudice within cases 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569 that are an intrinsic part of case 2013-CP-400-0084. There is clear documented record that the defendants in case 2013-CP-400-0084, and defendants in the federal cases knew since 2009 that the King-Khalifah and the various inmates were working to bring their cases forward collectively which is indicated by the various case numbers listed on the documents to include the cases, Carnell Davis, F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 4200331-RBH; Alton Chisolm, F.Supp.2d, 2007 WL 1574284-RBH; Corey Calloway, 6:07-cv-0884-TLW-WMC; Andre Alston, 2008 WL 5115044-RBH; Lamont Cusack, 9:08-cv-250-CMC-GLK; Larry Martin, 4:08-cv-0603-MBS; David Chapman, 8:07-cv-03482-DCN; Shannon Saab 9:06-cv-1943--RBH; Oyama Lewis, 9:07-cv-1717-DCN; Maurice Lee, 0:06-cv-1219-CMC; Jasper Simpson, 4:06-cv-1782-TLW-TER. The defendants in these cases knew since 2006 the King-Khalifah was doing what he is presently doing, working with the inmates of SCDC to bring our cases forward collectively in seeking class action certification. There is nothing that the King-Khalifah is doing now, that he was not doing since 2006. In retaliation for this in violation of ADA provisions, which is another cause of action I, we, bring against them for suit. The Judges involved, the S.C. attorney General and SCDC conspiring under color of state law and or law and or authority for the federal actors. They placed the King-Khalifah in solitary confinement on at least (3) different occasions for months at a time for this exercising of constitutionally protected rights to access the

courts and then placed bogus charges on him for which they are being sued. This is part of the machination and pursuant to Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) and the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act exhaustion is not required. They then shipped the King-Khalifah around to at least (5) different institutions in further acts of retaliation in violation of ADA since 2009 where the same activity occurred at each institution, the King-Khalifah adding inmates as he went along. They then destroyed the King-khalifah's typewriter in acts of retaliation to hinder our access to the courts in violation of ADA. The judges then called down to SCDC to corporal Bouch and General Counsel and told them not to make copies to crucial evidence and court documents to prevent and or hinder us from placing the cases in proper form or so they can place forth stupid determinations like they did this time saying we were incorporating without attaching exhibits in acts of fraud upon the court, where it is they who are conspiring to create confusion, not the plaintiffs. We object to this fraud and machination. The judges are not SCDC employees. These are extra-judicial and or non-judicial acts. They are not immune. At no time within that (8) year period did the defendants ever seek to restrict the King-Khalifah in his filings. They could have obtained such a restriction, if it were warranted, during that 2014 hearing before Judge Lee being fully aware of the King-Khalifah's activities since 2006, but failed to do it. The only reason Judge Hood and the conspiring parties are making efforts now is because they know the prior orders issued are tainted by their fraud and cannot be legally used in any court. So they are now conspiring in additional acts of fraud to obtain this hearing and produce an order to negate our claims of default and collateral estoppel to aid the federal judges.

I, we, object. Any order or act produced from this scheduled hearing on April 27, 2017 is void and inadmissible in any court except for the purpose of highlighting the fraud and machination due to Res Judicata. They could have easily sought this motion in the 2014 hearing but failed. Their only reason

to seek it now is to engage in additional acts of fraud and machination upon the court corrupt, rat, stinking, demon dogs. They had full knowledge since 2006 that the King-Khalaifah and the inmates were working together to bring the action for the purposes of obtaining class action certification and could have easily obtain redress in the 2014 hearing but failed. The defendants are barred from raising any issues which were adjudicated in a former suit or hearing any other issues which may have been raised in that 2014 hearing(emphasis added). The hearing scheduled for April 27, 2017 is barred by the doctrine of Res Judicata.

We give all parties notice that case 2013-CP-400-0084 is not only still removed to cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. But it is now also petitioned removed to cases 5:17-cv-0105; 8:16-cv-3909; 9:16-cv-3808; 1:16-cv-3756; 1:16-cv-3853; 9:17-cv-025. Unless you have a remand order from each and every one of these cases, the Richland Court's jurisdiction is divested due to the removal.

I, we, further object to the report and recommendation, because nothing can be done in this case until "ALL" (emphasis Added) defendants are now served. The District Court must now serve the remaining parties listed in this document and the Affidavit Of Service. I, We, motion for declaratory judgment from the 4th. Circuit on the issue of the required service on the defendants in these cases. Res Judicata attaches and no hearing by Hood can be entertained and any act done is inadmissible due to the fraud, Christianson v. M.B.N.A. American Bank N.A., S.E.2d. 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); Caldwell v. Wiquist, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); Anderson Bros., Bank v. E.B.T. Property Holdings Co.Inc., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507807 (S.C.Ap..2013); Tillman v. Tillman Ex Rel. Estate Of Tillman, S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8508106; Miller v. Columbia Forest, Inc., S.E.2d , 2014 WL 5390504(S.C.App.2014); Sara Y. Wilson, Appellant, v. Charleston County School District, Respondent,--S.E.2d.-- 2017 WL 1075196(S.C.2017); Hardwick v. Bank Of America, N.A.,

2016 WL 3563086(DSC.2016). You can't get much bolder than the attached United Nations document. If the defendant(s) had a problem with the King-Khalifah's filing, they had plenty enough notice and opportunity to have had their concern addressed in the 2014 hearing. Res Judicata attaches. The Lord is on my side; I will not fear: what can man do unto me? It is better to trust in the Lord than to put confidence in man. It is better to trust in the Lord than to put confidence in princes. Thou hast thrust sore at me that I might fall: but the Lord helped me. The Lord is my strength and my song. The right hand of the Lord is exalted, the right hand of the Lord doth valiantly. I shall not die, but live, to declare the works of the Lord. The lord has chasten me sore: but He had not given over unto death. I know that whatsoever God doeth, it shall be forever; nothing can be put to it, nor anything taken from it: and God doeth it, that man should fear before Him. That which hath been is now; and that which is to be hath already been: and God requireth that which is past. The stone which the builders refused has become the head stone of the corner. For out of prison the Mahdi cometh to reign; whereas also he that is born in power becometh poor. This is the day which the Lord hath made; his servants will rejoice and be glad in it. This is the Lord's doing. It is a marvellous thing in our sight. Res Judicata attaches, Pressley v. McMaster, 2016 WL 1106601(DSC.2016); Zinn v. C.F.I. Sales & Marketing Ltd., 415 S.C. 93, 780 S.E.2d. 611(S.C.2015); Catawba Indian Nation v. State, 407 S.C. 526, 756 S.E.2d. 900(S.C. 2014); Arata v. Village West Owners Ass'n Inc., 2011 WL 11735004, * 2+, S.C. App.; Wilson v. GMAC Mortg., LLC, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967 (DSC.2015). I, We, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on our claim of Res Judicata in this instance.

Inasmuch, Judge Robert E. Hood, aka "Hood-Rat", cannot sit upon this case, neither can anyone within the Richland County Court Of Common Pleas in any capacity at this stage. They are listed as defendants within this and parallel action related to these matters. The U.S. Supreme Court in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) is clear. Judge Hood and the

Richland Court must recuse and or disqualify themselves, especially in light of case 2013-CP-400-0084 being removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq.. We motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on this issue and seek Mandamus to remedy all concerns within this document, Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359 (U.S.2016); Kolon Industries Inc. v. E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co., 748 F3d. 160 CA4(Va.2014); Liteky v. United States, 510 U.S. 540, 114 S.Ct. 1147 (U.S.Ga.1994).

This document is also being filed pursuant to the independent action rule for fraud upon the court. I, we, object to the assertion by the district court that we should have filed a § 1983 action. A Writ of Error is to be considered under § 1983. Further, § 1983 was indeed filed under cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. A timely filed Writ Of Error was submitted within (10) days of the order to toll any time of appeal which was spoliated by the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges in that case to include in the Travis Bellamy case. Evidence of the document's existence is now filed in case 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM. We motion for discovery to obtain the document to include all documents submitted as evidence in the parallel cases pursuant to Federal Rule 26. All Writs of error previously submitted are now attached to the face of this complaint.

The U.S. District Court judges abused their discretion in these cases by making their findings based upon § 2254 when this action was filed as a Writ Of Error requiring review pursuant to § 1983 as the proceeding was filed by us. Not this fraudulent proceeding you produced in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 for the purpose of concealing material facts tainting these cases. A District Court abuses its discretion by resting its decision on a clearly erroneous finding of material fact, or by misapprehending the law with respect to underlying issues in the litigation which indeed has occurred here, Georgia Pacific Consumer Products L.P. v. Von Drehle Corp., 781 F3d. 710, 728+ 4th. Cir.(N.C.).

Once the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges engaged in acts of fraud upon the court and machination, criminal conspiracy, obstruction of justice, violation of Article III section 1 of the U.S. Const., violations of The Rico Act, The C.A.T. Treaty, The ADA and other provisions of law cited, by establishing cases that we did not originally file altering our intended proceedings, sitting upon cases to which you are defendants in violation of U.S. Supreme Court precedent, spoliating Writs of Error to prevent our right to seek to timely reinstate case 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER within (10) days of order, conspiring with the S.C. attorney General and The S.C. Dept. Of Corr. to not pick up and transport the King-Khalifah and Anthony Cook to state proceedings for the purpose of obtaining a fraudulent order so they can make use of it within these proceedings, instructing S.C.D.C. not to make copies of evidence to prevent their submission so you could produce fraudulent reports, orders and recommendations in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001. The proceedings in their totality became irregular and invalid warranting the submission of The Writ Of Error. Where civil disability in this case exist such as the depriving of parental rights of the King-Khalifah, the depriving of Sovereign rights, beneficiary rights impairing the obligation of contracts in violation of Article 1 section 10 of the U.S. Const., the illegal arrest, attaching and or executing of our intellectual property in the form of the right to marry you gave to these Sodomites and Gomorrhahrites, all established by the default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084; and where the King-Khalifah's conviction was obtained by fundamental error to include the suppressing of evidence of actual innocence and you attacked our Due Process matters because we sought to aid him seek redress for constitutionally protected rights in violation of 42 U.S.C. 1985(2), (3) and 1986. Writ Of Error, ~~and~~ the § 1983 that you compromised, was the appropriate vehicle to use you rat, stinking, corrupt, bastard, demon, dog, scorpion, weasel judges. You rat, stinking, bastards make me want to put my pinky-finger to the moon!!! What you trying to do!!! 24 carat Godly power in the air. I'm head to toe so LAWGIVER!!! I'm a dangerous

man with a little "law" in my pocket, keep up!!!(smile) The holdings made in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) apply to the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges as well where when they engage in acts of fraud, machination and misrepresentation, it creates a situation where there are no available remedies. Article III dynamics exist in these cases warranting the filing and granting of the Writ Of Error, not merely \$2254 which was attached due to the convictions already being invalidated by the false imprisonment tort which is case 2013-CP-400-0084. I, we, object. Writ Of Error is the appropriate vehicle under these circumstances. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit, United States v. Denedo, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); United States v. Apple Mac Pro. Computer, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 1046105(3rd.Cir2017); United States v. Gregory Holt AKA Abdul Maalik Muhammad, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D.La.2017); Clark v. United States, 2017 WL 390294 (N.C.2017); Stern v. United States, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016); IN RE: Bartley, 2016 WL 6068862(4th.Cir.2016).

Inasmuch, the 4th. Circuit may be able to defer the Mandamus, but the 4th. Circuit cannot defer the seeking of declaratory relief. The relief must be either denied or rejected on the court record before the matters can be deemed legally resolved before the 4th. Circuit. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, 2202. In cases of actual controversy,...any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the right and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration whether or not further relief is and or could be sought****. So, I, we, object to that stupid assertion made by judge West stating that a Mandamus is not a substitute for an appeal. This is not an appeal, you stupid, strumpet, wicked witch of the "west", Judge K. West. Somebody needs to drop a house on you, you wicked witch of the west and your little dog too. Declaratory judgment can and is sought from the 4th. Circuit. This divests "ALL" (emphasis added) lowers courts involved of jurisdiction until the 4th. Circuit makes ruling despite any potential deferring of the Mandamus, Shanklin v. Seals,

461 Fed. Appx' 313, 2012 WL 29186(4th.Cir.2012); Russ v. Hewatt, 69 Fed. Appx' 185, 2003 WL 21546021(4th.Cir.2003); Kamp v. Warden Of Graham C.I., 2014 WL 6809522(DSC.2014); Ascroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937(U.S.2009); United States v. Rogers, 101 F3d. 247(2nd.Cir.1996).

We are suing the United States for their enacting and utilizing before this court the provisions of PLRA and AEDPA. The conspiring Judge knew this and ~~should~~ have never made use of these provisions to assess any matter within these cases, except to declare them Unconstitutional. A copy of the book entiled, "The New Jim Crow, Mass Incarceration During The Age Of Colorblindness", by Michelle Alexander ISBN 978-1-59558-643-8 is motioned sought pursuant to discovery and it is now attached to the face of this complaint for all purposes to include as evidence along with a copy of the documentary "13" which aired on PBS.

Insomuch, we are suing the United States for their enactment of the legislative provisions created and established during The War On Drugs Campaigns initiated by both the Nixon and Clinton Administrations where they produced such acts as AEDPA and Prison Litigation Reform Act pursuant to The Omnibus Crime Bill under 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143. Clinton, due to pressures of impeachment, established this Bill, where parties possessing an animus of class based discriminatory origins, placed this legislation into effect knowing it would surreptitiously have a detrimental effect upon the African American population within this nation. Clearly documented statistics and evidence gathered by Michelle Alexander, an independent expert on these matters, produce more than sufficient evidence to establish these facts, clearly demonstrating that this is not a conclusory claim. These are non exhaustable issues for which the state courts cannot afford relief. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment on this claim. This Federal intervention directly effect our state convictions which must be addressed before a Federal Court, not a state court, Ross, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016).

15 of 44

By their recent actions it is perspicuous what the Judges' intentions are here. Their intent is to prevent a case of this magnitude from ever being placed before a jury. I, we, object. The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges are engaging in additional acts of fraud upon the court and obstruction of justice under cloak of their judicial ^{Robes} and color of law or authority in producing these reports and or orders in acts of judicial chicanery and arbitrary legal tactics. See 2000 WL 35763420(2000).

Their intent is to inappropriately entertain jurisdiction to protect their employer the United States from Civil action , prevent transfer to another venue and dismiss the cases, creating a back door to relitigate issues they were defeated under Austin and claim we are filing repetitive filings and are abusing the courts to restrict our pleadings. Review is under § 1983 via the Writ Of error. They cannot sit and are conspiring in acts of machination and arbitrary legal and judicial tactics. I, we, object and seek Declaratory Judgment and Mandamus to remedy, Edward Kennedy, 2000 WL 35763420(2000).

Pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988) that Writ of Error seeking to reinstate cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER was filed within (10) days tolling time of appeal demonstrating that these presently filed Habeas Corpus petition that stand in egregious violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 must, in fundamental fairness be addressed by the 4th. Circuit.

In referring back to the issues regarding The Omnibus Crime Bill, PLRA under 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 and AEDPA. these legislative acts upon their face appear to be a proper and valid exercise of congressional legislative power, but they run afoul of the provisions established under Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339 (1880), creating law that disproportionately affect African Americans which was produced by the so-called "WAR ON DRUGS" and like campaigns occurring during both the Nixon and Clinton Administrations. Such legislation was designed covertly, surrep-

titiously to degrade and decimate the African American community nationally and disenfranchise them of their right to vote and kill their political voice in violation of the 13th. 14th. and 15th. Amendments. They run afoul and produce a result that is prohibited by the U.S. Constitution and the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880).

There are similar legislative acts that were at issue within cases like Ex Parte Virginia; City Of Rome v. United States, 446 U.S. 156(1980); South Carolina v. Katzenbach, 383 U.S. 301(1966). We are not speaking about action that went somewhat beyond the minimum constitutional prohibitions. These legislative enactments acted as a form of genocide producing detrimental effects that are impacting society as we speak giving way to national protest and the killing of innocent lives. By the articles that are previously filed in the parallel cases and the evidence gathered by Michelle Alexander, an independent expert. We are dealing with a specific intent and or surreptitious effort and or intent, where government officials placing pressure on Clinton by way of his troubles regarding Monica Lawinsky?, and other matters, signed and placed into effect such, behind a class based invidiously discriminatory animus in the form of racial hatred to maintain in their minds what they felt was white supremacy in national and state government.

These legislative acts transgress the limits of the power of Congress installing and or placing into effect a "New Jim Crow", a "Racial Caste System" and "Modern Day Slavery" prescribing and or contradicting and or negating, watering down holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia supra. Plaut v. Spendthrifts Farms, Inc., 115 S.Ct. 1447(1995) and The Amistad, 40 U.S. 518, 15 Pet. 518, 1841 WL 5024, 2006 A.M.C. 2955, 10 L.Ed. 826(1841). These legislative provisions enacted during the Nixon and Clinton Administrations forces the courts to decide future cases using a congressional interpretation where they water down, dilute, the protections placed upon African Americans by the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia supra. and The Amistad supra.. Legislation without exceeding its provence, cannot reverse determination

or water down, or dilute the protections established via Ex Parte Virginia or The Amistad. These legislative acts by nature have been designed to create a racial caste system, establish a form of modern day slavery and decimate the African American and minority populations within this nation, denying us the Equal Protection of The Laws. I, we, object the the District Court judges usage of these provisions to assess the matters within these cases. They are unconstitutional. See 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (Appellate Brief) Brief Of U.S. Senators Orin G. Hatch, Strom Thurmond et. al., We are suing the United States for this criminal racist action. Its time for this nation to deal with the issue of race in America. Its time to finish what Martin Luther King Jr. started. The mantle is passed. We shall bear the standard.

Chief Justice Marshall in the McCulloch case resolved the issue by asserting, "Let the end be legitimate, Let it be within the scope of the constitution and all means which are appropriate, which are plainly adopted to that end, which are not prohibited, but consistent with the letter and spirit of the Constitution, are Constitutional". These legislative provisions of law in question are subject to the same appropriateness, Yet they run afoul and stand in blatant violation of the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia and The Amistad. Congress does not have the power or authority to initiate a new form of "JIM CROW LAWS", or establish "A RACIAL CASTE SYSTEM", and in essence, establish a new form of "SLAVERY" based upon process where the citizens of this nation cannot be deemed "[b]oth" DULY & LEGALLY convicted where both these elements are essential to Due Process Law. It is not appropriate to place into effect legislation which disproportionately effect African Americans and other minorities to their detriment via these "WAR ON DRUGS" campaigns etc. designed to decimate their numbers, creating a hopeless social and economic dynamic which clearly disenfranchise them of their right to vote and kill their political voice, arbitrarily keeping them branded as felons, creating this racial caste system and pariah, in violation of the Equal Protection Of The

Laws Clause, See S.C. Code Ann § 17-25-10; 1997 WL 10291 U.S.
(Appellate Brief) Brief Of U.S. Senators et. al.,

Congress shall have power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper to secure to the citizens of each state all privileges and immunities of citizens in the several states; not to place into effect legislation which water down or dilute or negate these privileges and immunities under the guise of trying to limit frivolous suits when their true intent was to find a covert way to avoid suit at all cost (Article IV § 2 U.S. Const.). This power extends to all powers in the several states to secure Equal Protection of The Laws in the rights of life, liberty and property. Yet by these legislative enactments African Americans are denied the Equal Protection Of the Laws as are other minorities, and they have essentially established or aided in establishing modern day slavery, which the Constitution invest no power for them to do, (see 5th. Amendment). These established provisions of law are repugnant to The U.S. Constitution and Laws of The United States via the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia and The Amistad.

We're sitting on a powder keg. Despite the King-Khalifah's unorthodox approach. He has been endeavoring to warn the nations of the world since his captivity only to have his claims fall upon deaf ears and blind eyes. The events happening Globally are directly attached to this case. God's wrath is kindled. The spirit of peace is taken from the world. Mass killing, extreme weather events, earthquakes, wars, civil unrest are at epic proportions. Justice and fairness must come or the events manifesting themselves nationally and globally will continue to get worse. They framed God's Prophet and appointed king-Khalifah, The forerunner to His Christ, the Black Messiah. That DNA must be tested to Michael Lee to prove the King's child was not beaten to death but died by the sexual assault of her half brother. A similar sexual assault occurred under King David of Israel's roof. The fact that the King-Khalifah foretold of these events on the court record since 2004, and these events, to include the Donald Duck Duncie Trump Alt white right nation-

alist movement with its endemic racism, that continually manifest themselves prove these are not conclusory allegations. The recent and past events speak for themselves. See II Samuel chapter 13.

Inasmuch, the Omnibus Crime Bill, of the Clinton Administration, the prior war on drugs, PLRA and AEDPA legislative enactments are ~~also~~ directed at the states and violate a restriction on Congressional power where by their design they surreptitiously established new Jim Crow laws creating a racial caste system a pariah of American citizenry via racial animus which aided in establishing a form of modern day slavery where we can no longer be deemed "DULY" convicted due to the structural constitutional error that exist via the indictment language at issue within these cases. The totality of the circumstances here create egregious violations of The Civil Rights Act of 1875 and 1964 and are invasive to those protections established, being prohibited, not being within the domain of congressional power, Ex Parte Virginia's definition of "appropriate" is functionally identical to Marshall's. The definition of slavery was adopted via the C.A.T. Treaty which was ratified by Congress. This applies here. Thus, these provisions of law are not appropriate and the claim of modern day slavery bear nexus via Treaty on the issues at hand, South Carolina v. Katzenbach, 386 U.S. 301, 325(1996); 1997 WL 10291; The Compendium Of United Nations Standards And Norms In Crime Prevention And Criminal Justice ISBN: 978-92-1-133765-5.

These legislative enactments in question that came from the Nixon and or Clinton Administrations war on drugs campaigns and other initiatives are surreptitiously, covertly designed to abridge the voting rights of citizens based upon race, due to minorities being disproportionately, detrimentally effected by them, with additional purpose to diminish their political voice and in their undercover Ku Klux Klansmen intent to create "good government" in their white racial bonding which must now be addressed and remedied, Oregan v. Mitchell, 400 U.S. 112(1971)

20 of 44

; City Of Rome v. United States, 446 U.S. 156, 172-83(1980).

Taking into account the news articles that are filed in the parallel cases which prove the Nixon Administration acted with racially discriminatory intent and animus. You add to this the Book and evidence gathered statistically by the independent expert and investigator Michelle Alexander which shows the covert, Surreptitious, detrimental effect and decimation of The African American communities nationally, where on its face the legislation initially appeared sound. Such "soundness" notwithstanding, Ex Parte Virginia treated the amendment(s) "objectives" and "prohibitions" as fixed, and not subject to enlargement or being diluted or watered down by Congress, See 100 U.S. at 435-46.

This holds true by the C.A.T. Treaty and The Amistad when it comes to Congressional power and authority to establish a new form of Jim Crow laws, a racial caste system and modern day slavery.

As Chief Justice Marshall warned in McCulloch, a reviewing court can and should hold such legislative enactments as being unconstitutional if it appears from the legislation itself that Congress, "under the pretext of executing its power, [has] pass[ed the] law for the accomplishment of objectives not entrusted to the government. It is not the objective of the government to surreptitiously, covertly, decimate the African American and minority populations and disenfranchise them of their right to vote and diminish their political voice creating and bounding them to a racial caste system and deny them the Equal Protection Of The Laws by a racist alt right intent,....or is it? By the Nixon Article and tapes, the evidence gathered by Michelle Alexander, any claim to the contrary becomes suspect. The mind of the children are imitative of their fathers as Thomas Jefferson stated. Its regenerating if we lack the jurisprudence and insight to see it, and once seen,...eradicate it, Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1950(2016)(addressing machination).

These legislative enactments of law were surreptitiously, covertly, passed in furtherance of an end that falls outside the 14th. amendment, Ex Parte Virginia, The Amistad, The C.A.T. Treaty as well as the 13th. and 15th. amendment(s) when the indictment issues are added and must not be deemed appropriate legislation. No state shall make and or enforce laws which shall abridge the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States***, Croson, 488 U.S. at 490. Congress lacks the power under section 5 to adopt legislation that is otherwise "prohibited" by the Constitution, McCulloch, 17 U.S. at 423. Congress may not legislate under section 5 in a way that violates rights protected by the 14th. Amendment itself. Section 5 grants Congress no power to restrict, abrogate or dilute these guarantees, Mississippi Univ. For Women v. Hogan, 458 U.S. 718, 732 (1982); Adarand Contractors Inc. v. Pena, 115 S.Ct. 2097(1995). These legislative enactments run afoul of the safeguards placed into effect by Ex Parte Virginia, The Amistad, The C.A.T. Treaty and Civil Rights Act. They surreptitiously target African Americans and other minorities by their design producing an effect that is unconstitutional and must be rendered void or of no effect. These legislative enactments were initiated and fraudulently used to the destruction of fair lead marks of the Constitution. The statistics and evidence gathered by Michelle Alexander, an independent, reputable source is clear and indisputable. Thus the Judges abused their discretion in separating the § 2254 from the § 1983 so they could continue to criminally in acts of fraud use them to assess the matters within these cases. I, we, object and motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit to remedy this issue as well as Mandamus to conclusively resolve the issue.

I, we, further object to the report and recommendation, because just like Judge Hood is in the Richland County Court of Common pleas. The U.S. District Court Judges are subject to the doctrine of Res Judicata and or collateral estoppel and comity. These cases represent parallel litigation seeking class action certification.

Unless the S.C. Attorney General or the S.C. U.S. District Court can produce an order emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 that is not tainted by acts of fraud, machination and where Res Judicata or collateral estoppel has not attached; then I, Jahjah Al Mahdi am "LEGALLY" (emphasis added) a Foreign State. Thus, I voluntarily join as party in all these cases captioned due to my acquired interest and all cases are removed and are to stay in Federal forum pending resolution to the satisfaction of the King-khalifah. Trustee is officially appointed over these cases in the form of Judge Austin. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on this issue.

Attached the court and parties will find:

(1) A copy of the Writ Of Mandamus that make up case 16-2299.

(2) A copy of the Affidavit of Facts (30) pages dated December 7, 2016. These documents are attached to the face of the previously submitted Writ Of Error and this now submitted § 1983. They are submitted for all purposes to include prove you abused your discretion by giving review pursuant to § 2254 when review was required under § 1983. This is parallel litigation from cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA and 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Res Judicata and or collateral estoppel and the Doctrine of comity attaches which barred the additional courts in question from submitting the subsequent reports and recommendation or other rulings. The issues are the same. Judge Harwell already reviewed this case and his only concern was the statute of limitations which we beat. Judge Austin then issued a report and recommendation which we beat in cases "3327", "3328" and "3194" where Harwell cannot over rule his previous determination and assert that there is some additional concern beyond the statute of limitations when he failed to assert such previously. The review is under § 1983 not § 2254 as was done by Judge Austin. Jahjah Al Mahdi's joinder to all cases involved to protect his interest is appropriate and permissible. I, we,

motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit. See Federal Rule(s) 19 and 23; Sundari K. Prashad, Plaintiff v. Monica K. Vicks et. al., Defendants, 2017 WL 1091785(E.D.Va.2017).

The District Court judges involved abused their discretion by making determination pursuant to § 2254 when determination was done by Judge Austin under § 1983 and this is parallel litigation, and Res Judicata, and or collateral estoppel and the Doctrine of comity attaches seeking class action certification. A District Court abuses its discretion by resting its decision on a clearly erroneous finding of material fact, or by misapprehending the law with respect to underlying issues in the litigation which indeed occurred here, Georgia Pacific Consumer Products L.P. v. Von Drehle Corp. supra.

All required attachments were filed with Judges Harwell and Austin. They have full understanding of the case as it exist. The Federal courts have long recognized that the principle of comity requires Federal District Courts---Courts of coordinate jurisdiction and equal ranks---to exercise care to avoid interference with each others affairs, especially in light of the fact that we have fraud upon the court going on in violation on 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001, where you inappropriately detached this case from the § 1983 filed in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER, W. Gulf Mar. Ass'n v. I.L.A. Deep Sea Local 24, 751 F2d. 721, 728(5th.Cir.1985). The Doctrine of Comity instruct Federal Judges to avoid "stepping on each others toes when parallel suits are pending in different courts or cases", IN RE: Morning Song Bird Food Litigation, 2015 WL 12791472(D.C. Cal. 2015); In RE: Naranto, 768 F3d. 332, 348(4th.Cir.2014)(quoting Smentck v. Dart, 683 F3d. 373, 376(7th.Cir.2012).

I, we, invoke this Doctrine for the purpose of explaining that the District Court in these parallel cases was precluded from issuing review under § 2254 alone where review was already done under § 1983 with Habeas Corpus attached, that Res Judicata attaches due to review by Austin and Harwell which preclude

issuing judgment in conflict to that which was done in cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA and 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA because we are dealing with the same issues and matters, Ulmet v. United States, 888 F2d. 1028, 1031(4th.Cir.1989), The United States Supreme Court has called upon Federal Courts to apply principles of comity when faced with class certification issues or decisions addressing a common dispute, Smith v. Bayer Corp., ---U.S.---, 131 S.Ct. 2368, 2382, 180 L.Ed.2d. 341(2011). By Applying Comity in these and similar situations, courts achieve at least two positive results: avoiding unnecessary burden on the Federal Judiciary, and preventing the embarrassment of conflicting judgment, Church Of Scientology Of Calif. v. Dept. Of Army, 611 F2d. 738, 750(9th.Cir.1979); Stover Mfg. Co. supra., 177 U.S. 485, 20 S.Ct. 708, 44 L.Ed. 856(1900)(explaining that comity should secure uniformity of decisions and discourage repeated litigation of the same question, 202 North Monroe, LLC v. Sower, 850 F3d. 265(6th.Cir.2017); Williams v. Sheriff Of Cook County, 201 WL 878731(D.C.Ill.2017). Judgment was already made by Judges Harwell and Austin.

The District Court can look at "All"(emphasis added) documents filed in cases within its Circuit involving the same parties where issues of challenge to venue and Res Judicata are raised where Judges Harwell and Austin already gave review and venue is sought transferred to New Jersey. By this, you have access to all the attachments and or documents the judges were concerned with, which are filed under Judges Harwell and Austin. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on this issue, Southern Pac. R. Co. v. United States, 168 U.S. 1, 18 S.Ct. 18, 42 L.Ed. 355(U.S.1897); Noel Crane Systems GmbH v. Noell Crane and Services, Inc., 677 F.Supp.2d. 852(2009); Knight v. Manufactures & Traders Trust Co., 84 F.Supp. 3d. 436(D.Md.2015); Brades v. South Carolina, F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 1498190(DSC.2010); Fed. Rule 26.

All parties relate back to review under § 1983 done by Austin. Judge Robert E. Hood is originally named under the independent action rule for fraud upon the court. Writ Of Error was indeed the appropriate vehicle.

25 of 44

Insomuch, if same rights or issues are involved in two cases or actions, judgment in the first bars consideration not only on all matters actually raised in the first review by the magistrate and or Federal Judge, but also all matters which could have been raised. The matters raised in the subsequent reports and recommendations and or orders could have been raised by Judges Harwell and Austin but were not. Res Judicata and the Doctrine of comity attaches, Eichman v. Fotomat Corp., 147 Cal. App.3d. 1170, 1174(1983); Kearney v. Foley and Lardner LLP., 2016 WL 5405552(2016); W.S. Badcock Corp. v. Beaman, F.Supp. 3d., 2015 WL 575422(N.C.2015); 202 North Monroe, LLC v. Sower, 850 F3d. 265(6th.Cir.2017); Likos Of Tennessee Corp. v. Bavelis, 2017 WL 706209(2017); United States v. Purdue Fredrick Co. Inc., 963 F.Supp.2d. 561(W.D.Va.2013). I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on this issue.

In referring back to the Reports and recommendation even though Res Judicata and the Doctrine of comity attaches. The Judge's use of The City Of Muttathottel v. Mansfield, 381 Fed. Appx' 454, 457(5th.Cir.2010) is misplaced where these cases are distinguished for several reasons. (1) When you look at any standard § 1983 form. The document clearly informs the plaintiffs that it is not required that they send in any attachments or exhibits. Stupid Judges, how the heck is the court going to send us a § 1983 form instructing us not to send attachments, then turn right around and say you were required to send attachments? The court is the one causing confusion by making conflicting determinations bases upon two distinctly different sets of instructions. The § 1983 form for which Writ Of Error review is required, says not to send documents. Then the corrupt judges assert we were supposed to have sent documents. Stupid is what stupid does. Which one is it? Is you is or is you ain't my baby? There are conflicting instructions. I, we, object. (2) This is parallel litigation idiots and knuckle head judges. You already have the attachments sent to Judges Harwell and Austin where Res Judicata and the Doctrine of Comity attaches. (3) We did not file these cases as you have them submitted you rat stinking demon dogs. The Habeas Corpus petitions were filed

26 of 44

under § 1983 in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. The cases in question stand in egregious violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 where you produced them to conceal material facts and to avoid change of venue and recusal. Objection is made. (4) I, we, are presently acting Pro Se. (5) Discovery is required under Rule 26 and exhibits are now attached to this complaint submitted you rat stinking crumb cakes, Diallo v. Celestica Corp., F.Supp. 2d., 2011 WL 5925578(Tx.2011).

Pleading require that complaint and or Writ Of Error contain inferential allegations from which we can identify each of the material facts or elements necessary to sustain a recovery under some viable legal theory. Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level. The complaint must allege enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. A claim has factual plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. A complaint possessing sufficient factual matter, which must be accepted as true, will survive a motion to dismiss. It is obvious that we meet this criterion because Judges Harwell and Austin were more than able to give review and Res Judicata and comity attaches. These are parallel cases you "Mr. Potato Head Judges", See WL 1854315 (2012); Lewis v. Dixon, F.Supp.3d. WL 9460274(2015). The cases are not duplicates. They are parallel and or Independent action for fraud on the court which is clearly indicated by the Writ Of Error you spoliated in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER and the Travis Bellamy case. That evidence is now filed in case 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, Hinton v. Trans Union, LLC., 654 F.Supp.2d 440(E.D.Va.2009). This is why the use of Holsey v. Bass is misplaced and that plaintiff's case was dismissed due to a statute of limitations bar where no such bar exist in these cases. We are seeking monetary relif, injunctive relief, punitive damages and our convictions are already invalidated by the false imprisonment tort which is case 2013-CP-400-0084. We officially remove that case to all these cases now. This document also

constitute as a removal document, Holsey v. Collins, 559 F.Supp. 50(D.Md.1983); Rutledge v. Town Of Charleston, F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 4791840. Objection is made to any claim we are using a Mandamus as an appeal. We are seeking Declaratory relief from the 4th. Circuit which is permissible under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, William Douglas Brown and Brenda Harriet Brown v. CSX Transportation Inc., 2017 WL 1028579(4th.Cir.2017); Allstate Insurance Company v. Ingraham, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3 Communications Corporation v. Serco, Inc., ---Fed Appx'---, 2016 WL 7232118(4th.Cir.2016).

Inasmuch, you are dealing with litigation in its entirety brought forth by the Sole Corporation of The Reestablished Global Theocratic State created via contract/ covenant/ Grant to carry out national and international policy of preserving the terms of the contract pursuant to all grants given to your nations established thereby. These are terms and conditions established under Article 1 section 10 of The U.S. Constitution as well as Federal and International Probate Law. The Sole Corporation is entitled to exercise these rights afforded to a Foreign State under The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act established by the default emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 to which The United States and the other 192 Member States Of The United Nations are party to the default. Congress granted Foreign Sovereigns extraordinary removal rights. The cases referred to are not listed for the purpose of incorporation. But rather to give the court notice of all pending, related or past cases that relate to these matters as is required under § 1983, to give notice to all courts and parties due to the seeking of class action certification, to give notice of all cases sought consolidated under The F.S.I.A., to establish federal forum for all cases involved and for the purpose of gathering all cases up for change of venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404, 1407 to New Jersey. Therefore, the way the Writ Of Error was constructed was appropriate. Objection is made, Teledyne Inc. v. Kone Corp., 892 F2d 1404, 1409(9th.Cir.1989).

28 of 44

The Sole Corporation and Foreign State may remove all cases that originate from State court to which he has acquired an interest in those proceedings and time limits may be enlarged for good cause shown. All your fraud and machination is good cause shown along with all your other criminal acts. Rule 10(c) Fed. Rule Civ. P. which clearly provides that [a] statement in a pleading may be adopted by reference,...in any other pleading or motion. An incorporation by reference must be direct and explicit. So, I, we, will shove direct and explicit down your throats and then pull it out of your butt-holes so that you may be able to ascertain the nature and extent of the incorporation. The case used by the judges is misplaced because the incorporation is not an amended pleading where we never initially filed these cases as you have them submitted, you did this and our particular filings are original pleadings not amended. They are independent action for fraud upon the court and to establish federal forum for all without the requirement of exhaustion. These are not superseding version of a complaint. Nor are they thread bare allegations supported by conclusory statements so Hinton v. Trans is misplced. See 5A Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure § 1326(3rd.Ed.2004); Caroll v. Fort James Corp., 470 F3d. 1171, 1176(5th.Cir.2006); Johnson Steal Street-Rail Co. v. William Wharton Jr., & Co., 152 U.S. 252, 14 S.Ct. 608, 38 L.Ed. 429(U.S.1894).

Now lets get more specific about the cause of action pursuant to this independent action. Judges Hood, the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges in their totality, to protect their employer the United States and the other 192 Members of the United Nations from suit, also to aid the other defendants in this case avoid suit, conspiring with the S.C. Attorney General and S.C. Dept. Of Corr., did a extra-judicial and or non-judicial act. This include those 4th. Circuit Court Judges and parties listed. They acted as employees of the S.C. Dept. Of Corr. and prevented transport of The King and Cook to that hearing schedule January 26, 2017 in Richland County so they could engage in

acts of criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice and obtain a fraudulent order so they could use it in their respective jurisdictions to negate our claims of default and collateral estoppel. The Judges are not employees of S.C.D.C. responsible for transporting inmates. They did not want a repeat of what occurred under Judge Jean Toal who is also a defendant where that prior ^{ORDER} became tainted and they could not use it. By this the parties are not immune in their obstruction of justice and violations of Article III section 1 of The U.S. Const., Benton v. Burns, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); Pegg v. Heranberger, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); Johnson v. Byrd, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C. 2016); Lacra v. Rosenbaum, 2016 WL 6775638(N.C.2016).

Next issue. Judge Austin is already being sued for her failure to act as Trustee regarding previous matters. Yet, this is independent action. If she would have gotten off her worthless butt and handled business. None of these newly created injustices would have occurred. Thus, this presents independent action base upon recent events not established at the time of the prior filings.

Next stab in the vampires, demon dogs heart. The 4th. Circuit Judges, the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges, The S.C. Attorney General, Judge Hood, in their meeting of the minds, engaged in mail tampering by destroying the Writ Of Mandamus sent to establish action within the 4th. Circuit to prevent the mayhem, fraud, machination and criminal conspiracy that occurred in the producing of these cases. Jenifer Rice admitted on the phone she received the rehearing documents for case 16-2141. A duplicate set of documents was sent in that mailing to place a Mandamus over these cases and you destroyed it to prevent judicial review and scrutiny over these cases. The Judges and parties are not employees of the U.S. Postal Service responsible for getting mail to the courts. This is not an isolated incident. The conspiring parties repeatedly done such acts in the past. The documents spoliated were not returned, United States v. Mail Directed To P.O. Box #4371, F.Supp., 1986

WL 6477; Finneman v. Pollard, 2017 WL 123050; David v. Goord, 320 F3d. 346(2nd.Cir.2003); Tyler v. Byrd, 2017 WL 875859(DSC. 2017); Reynolds v. Cannon, 2017 WL 685115(DSC.2017); Jackson v. Humphrey, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5826837(Ga.2015); Cotterman v. Creel, 2017 WL 990589(Fla.2017); Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 116 S.Ct. 2174, 135 L.Ed.2d. 606(U.S.1996).

Next issue on the list. The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges, The S.C. Attorney General Conspiring under color of state law and or under color of authority for the federal actors, instructed S.C.D.C. not to make essential copies of evidence and or documents to prevent us from placing the cases in proper form so they could assert that stupid, ignorant madness they argued within the report and recommendation and allege we were making reference to cases without filing the attachments. S.C.D.C was slick about it. At first they would not make any copies at all. Then when they realized we may be getting closer to bringing the action. They recently started giving copies of selective documents, but no handwritten documents which were the bulk of the documents and or evidence that we needed to submit. Judge Hood and the Richland Court conspired with them. These individuals are not employees of S.C.D.C. responsible for giving inmates copies of needed court documents in acts of obstruction of justice to cause a detrimental effect upon our filed pleadings. This is argued in prior pleading. But these recent events are new actions that are not presented in the prior pleading. The judges are not employees of S.C.D.C.. This strips them of immunity, Lawson v. Lynch, 2017 WL 979115(D.C.Ohio 2017); Meshel v. Higgenbotham, 804 F3d. 417(D.C.Cir.2015); Klayman v. Obama, 125 F.Supp.3d. 67(D.C.Cir.2015); Kingdomware Technologies, Ins. v. United States, 136 S.Ct. 1969, 95 L.Ed.2d. 334, 84 U.S.L.W. 4416(U.S.2016); Wittman v. Personhuballah, 136 S.Ct. 1732, 195 L.Ed.2d. 37, 84 U.S.L.W. 4322(U.S.2016).

The egregious acts of destroying documents and evidence, preventing DNA from being tested in appropriate instances in this, mail tampering and obstruction of justice have been contin-

uously engaged in by the judges and conspiring parties involve warranting disqualification and change of venue to New Jersey as we consistently sought. We can't receive justice within this state. We want these cases consolidated and then transferred to New Jersey. We want legal counsel appointed to prevent any further acts from occurring in violation of our Due Process rights to include spoliation, Congregation Rabbinical College Of Tarlikov, Inc. v. Village Of Pomona, 138 F.Supp.3d. 352 (N.Y.2015); Siani v. State University Of New York At Farmingdale, F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2580361(E.D.N.Y.2011); Pirrello v. Gateway Marina, F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 4592689(E.D.N.Y.2011); Fanny v. Honeywell Aerospace, 2016 WL 7017465(TENN.2016); Erie Ins. Exchange v. Davenport Insulation Inc., 659 F.Supp.2d. 701(Md.2009).

Do you want to know what drives the King-Khalifah?,....two things. One---you stole my Queen from me; the one thing I love in the world second only to the God I serve, my Cyhannah. I wake in tears. I lay down in tears. The pain haunts me throughout my days and in the coldest of nights. What can make a grown man like myself weep?,...Love. Love for my God, and Love for a woman that flows through my bone, blood and marrow. Love more precious than gold, or diamonds, or power,...Love. Love deeper than any ocean or abyss, more vast than any galaxy, taunting, burning deep within my matrix and human psyche. My Queen, Cyhannah. Her eyes, the smell of her, the feel of her, the sound of her whispering within my ears, the shadow that walks, even in the depths of my dreams. The image of her is seared upon my heart. I hear her footsteps as I engage throughout the day. I hear her voice driving me insane, her smile. My most precious gift,...gone!!! This State, this nation did this to me. Lawrence Crawford died the minute you took that woman from me. All that is left is JahJah, The King Of The North, The Khalifah Of Allah, King Of The Christian and Jewish throne. In the African tongue, "ABUNTU". You made me. I am because of you. I don't want power or wealth. I just want her,...my Cyhannah. Without her I will never know a day of happiness for as long as I live. It would

have been better if you would have killed me. I am dead already without her. Her absence has even driven me in the past to make efforts to take my own life to end the pain, the acts of official mental torture you bastards subjected me to. I see her face and hear her voice in every woman. No other person will do. She echoes throughout my existence and she is gone!!! There is no justice, no law in this instance, except the law of "LEX TALIONES",....the Law Of Retribution!!! As High Priest to be led to the resting place of The Ark Of The Covenant as prophesy foretells, I must be married in accordance to the laws of the Levitical Priesthood. This means my mate cannot be touched by any other man or God will kill me on the spot if I touch that Ark. (17) years away from her. It would be a miracle if she remain celibate all of these years, so she's gone!!! I can never touch her again. I don't want power, the throne, nor do I want your money. Its blood money. What I do now I do for God and his servants. What I want, you cannot give me,...my Queen,...my Cyhannah . Whosoever findeth a wife, findeth a good thing and obtaineth favor of the Lord. When such a thing is lost,...even in laughter the heart is sorrowful, and the end of that mirth is heaviness. The spirit of a man will sustain his infirmities; but a wounded spirit,...who can bear? For the King's wrath is as a roaring of a Lion. For jealousy is the rage of a man. He will not spare in the day of vengeance. He will not regard any ransom, neither will he rest content though those give many gifts. You destroyed my family, my Cyhannah and my life. I, we, are suing for pain and suffering, to include all expense paid medical care until the remainder of my life, to include for my children.

The second thing you did, you, this nation and the nations of the world. Is that you spit in the face of my god!!! by giving these bastard Sodomites and Gomorrahrites our intellectual property in the form of the right to marry. Your global nations were restrained by Grant, by Contract from doing this!!! Your chances, though none, for mercy would have been greater in the injustice of the former, for the latter is against God Himself and is a wrong that is unpardonable!!! Riches profit not in

the day of wrath; but righteousness delivereth from death. A dog returneth to his own vomit so a fool returneth to his own folly. You've turned from God's laws in breach of Trust, of Grant and impaired the obligation of contract in violation of Article 1 section 10 of the U.S. Constitution. Cause is established. He that turneth away his ears from hearing and obeying the laws of God even his prayers will be an abomination. Hell and destruction are never full and your acts leadeth to judgment. If one take away the wicked from before the King, his throne will be established in righteousness For a wise King scattereth the wicked for the fear of the King is as a roaring Lion; whosoever provoketh him to anger sinneth against his own soul. You have proved me. And by all the injustices that have occurred within these cases their manifestation are tantamount to hate crimes behind religious and racial hatred. For this you are being sued, Islamic Society Of Baskingridge v. Township Of Bern-ricky,---F.Supp.3d.---,2016 WL 7496661(N.J.2016); United States v. Roof,---F.Supp.3d.---,2016 WL 8118564(DSC.2016).

It was an abuse of discretion, machination, misrepresentation and fraud upon the court to use the provisions of The Prison Litigation Reform Act to separate us when we are challenging the constitutionality of this provision of law, to include that which is established under AEDPA where these provisions of law stand in egregious violation of the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880) that disproportionately effect African Americans by the evidence we presented in these cases. The U.S. Supreme Court was unequivocal in Williams v. Pennsylvania 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016). The Judges are defendants. They cannot sit. It produces a structural error which voids jurisdiction for Due Process violation. The cases created here are tainted pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and cannot be used as filed. Res Judicata attaches to these parallel cases and comity. This document is also filed as an affidavit. This means the court must instruct the U.S. Marshall to serve the remainder of the parties and they must be made to respond. We want counsel appointed and removal to a pre-release federal camp. The pleadings are true unless rebutted by the defendants in total, not just the

S.C. Attorney General. Service upon all parties to these cases must issue via those listed in this document and the (34) page affidavit of service. The court must ensure the the plaintiffs/petitioners have full opportunity to develop the record. Discovery must issue.

The next cause of action. Attached you will find a copy of a letter that was mailed to the King-Khalifah from Attorney Tara Dawn Shurling. The documents that the judges asked for and or prevented from being filed via attachment to the Writ Of Error were sent to Ms. Shurling was required by the code of professional ethics to see that those documents were filed in case "3807" and return to us the documents to allow them to be filed in our cases. The District Court judges and the S.C. Attorney General compromises Bellamy's Attorney, and not only did she aid the defendants to keep the documents from being filed to allow Judge Gerbel to issue his order. She also failed to return the documents to the King. When called, her secretary "Sharon" admitted that Shurling received the documents. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1986 Shurling had the power to act, even if it was doing nothing but seeing that the documents were returned to us. By this action, compromising Bellamy's attorney. The District Court Judges acted as an Attorney for the plaintiffs, not a judge. This is a extra-judicial and or non-judicial act. They are not immune. Ms. Shurling is now a defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2), (3) and 1986. Judge Gerbel in the case asserted that the pleadings were "gibberish". This is because Judge Gerbel is the embodiment of a "crack-head", "Village idiot". Crack-head, Village idiots cannot read or comprehend the English language, in his fraud upon the court. He is co-conspirator to Judge Kaymani "wicked witch" of the West. Somebody needs to drop a house on these devils. In other words. Judge Gerbel is one of her "flying monkeys". Crack-head, Village idiot, flying monkeys can't read or comprehend English because they have the I.Q. of a "peanut". Are we correct crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey Judge? In other related news. Bumble Bees, because their wings are too short, are not supposed to

fly. Here to respond to that comment are the Bumble Bees. "We ain't supposed to fly?" You stupid, crumb cake, flying monkey Judge. Monkeys ain't supposed to read?" If you say you can't read the documents though Harwell, Rogers, Austin, Wooten and Merchant consistently read them? Then read my lips crack-head Judge. Get your stupid, Village idiot, crack-head, gibberish speaking, flying monkey rump off of this case you corrupt ying-yang stupid Judge. See ya' at the doggon' party flying monkey. Monkeys ain't supposed to fly?"(smile) The judges acted as Attorneys preventing that filing to cause the Bellamy case to crash. You are not immune for this non-judicial act, State v. Henderson, 205 Kan. 231, 468 P2d. 136(1970); People v. Blalock, 197 Colo. 320, 592 P2d. 406(1979); Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d. 674(1984); William v. Taylor, 529 U.S. 362, 391, 120 S.Ct. 1495, 146 L.Ed.2d. 389 (2000); United States v. Echles, 352 F2d. 892(7th.Cir.1965).

Insomuch, if the plaintiffs can prove one set of facts that would demonstrate that we are entitled to relief. It would be an abuse of discretion to dismiss these cases. I, we, can prove more than one set of facts. The Affidavit is sufficient by itself to defeat summary dismissal. I, we, motion for Declaratory judgment from the 4th. Circuit Court, U.S. v. Eccleston, ---Fed. Appx'---, 2015 WL4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); Williams v. Secretary Of Veteran Affairs,---F.Supp.3d.---, 2015 WL 5935169 (N.D.Ala.2015); Miller v. Parrish, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028 (Va.2013); Schriro v. Landrigan, 550 U.S. 465, 127 S.Ct. 1933 (U.S.2007); U.S. v. Ray, 547 Fed. Appx' 343 CA4(2013); Jones v. Sternheimer, 387 Fed. Appx' 366, 2010 WL 2711305 CA4 (Va.2010); United States,---F3d.---,2015 WL 4385697 CA4 (Va.2015).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

36 of 44

- ☒ Convicted and sentenced state prisoner
☐ Convicted and sentenced federal prisoner
☒ Other (explain) FRAMED FOREIGN SOVEREIGN

IV. Statement of Claim

State as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant was personally involved in the alleged wrongful action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

- A. If the events giving rise to your claim arose outside an institution, describe where and when they arose.

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS THAT MAKE UP THIS DOCUMENT.

I WAS BORN IN A DREAM, IN A VISION, IN THE MIND OF THE ONE TRUE
GOD. I WAS FASHIONED BY THE POTTER BETWEEN THE "TIC AND THE
TOC" OF MY FOREFATHERS CLOCK. I WAS WHISPERED UPON THE WINDS

- B. If the events giving rise to your claim arose in an institution, describe where and when they arose.

WHEN THE PROPHETS RISED, WALKED AND FORGOT NOT. I BECAME THE
MANIFESTATIONS OF HOPE UPON THEIR TONGUES WHEN THEY GALIANTLY
TALKED UPON MOUNTAINTOPS. I BECAME A WHISPERING SAGA THAT DANCED

- C. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

UPON THE CAMPFIRE'S LIGHT, THAT WAS SUNG IN LULLABIES TO CHILDREN
AS THEY EMBRACED THEIR SLUMBER IN THE DARK OF NIGHT. When
INJUSTICE AND OPPRESSION RUNS RAMPANT AND FILLS THE HEART WITH
SORROW AND YEARNING, I AM THE FIERY WHIRLWIND THAT COMETH TO

- D. What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? (For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)

PURIFY ALL JUSTICE, FAIRNESS AND HOLY BURNING. BLOOD AND VALOR
AND GOLDEN OIL I EMPTY OUT. LIGHT AND MIGHT AND MILK FLOW FROM
MY, THE WITNESS'S MOUTHS. COME WITH ME ON THIS PATH TO DRINK

THE CUP OF GREAT LEARNING. LET ZION BE OUR GOAL, TO THE ONE
TRUE GOD IS THE END OF ALL JORNEYS.

V. Injuries

If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe your injuries and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and did or did not receive.

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

VI. Relief The convictions must be vacated and immediate release

State briefly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If requesting money damages, include the amounts of any actual damages and/or punitive damages claimed for the acts alleged. Explain the basis for these claims.

I, we, want Austin to act as Trustee, the lien established
and all other relief that Austin has and is fully aware
of. The monetary relief sought in case 2013-CP-400-0084 is to
be immediately given to the King-Khalifah, Anthony Cook
and the King-Khalifah's children. Monetary relief for
the other plaintiffs is sought and will be addressed at hearing.

VII. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies Administrative Procedures

The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted."

Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures. Your case may be dismissed if you have not exhausted your administrative remedies.

38 of 44

F. If you did not file a grievance:

1. If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:

N/A

2. If you did not file a grievance but you did inform officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:

N/A

G. Please set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative remedies.

N/A

(Note: You may attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your administrative remedies.)

VIII. Previous Lawsuits

The "three strikes rule" bars a prisoner from bringing a civil action or an appeal in federal court without paying the filing fee if that prisoner has "on three or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

To the best of your knowledge, have you had a case dismissed based on this "three strikes rule"?

☐ Yes

☐ No

SEE WRIT OF ERROR FILED IN THIS CASE.
IT IS NOW ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS
DOCUMENT/

99 of 44

Threat of imminent danger is being argued as is asserted in cases 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. This threat is being argued for the King-Khalifah. This threat still exist at the time of this filing as was also argued before the 4th. Circuit which the 4th. Circuit accepted.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE: Attached the 4th. Circuit will find a copy of an order issued by Judge Gergel in case 1:16-cv-3853. I, we, give all parties notice that this document is also filed seeking to reinstate case 1:16-cv-3853 pursuant to Rule 60(b)(3). The order was received on April 7, 2017. This gives Anthony Cook (10) to motion to reinstate case 1:16-cv-3853 and toll any time of appeal. Thus, this motion is filed within that (10) day period. The crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey judge got wind of the filing that was made in case 5:17-cv-0105-BHH. So to get ahead of a similar filing in this case. The crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey judge dismissed this case in acts of fraud upon the court to essentially "Get rid of the body" before the authorities arrived. Mandamus is filed and I, We seek Mandamus and Declaratory Relief to remedy this injustice. I, we, want case 1:16-cv-3853 reinstated for the purpose of dealing with and addressing the fraud the crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey judge is conspiring to conceal in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Further proof to substantiate our claim that the crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey judge cannot read. Therefore, everything that is placed before him appears to be "Gibberish". The crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey judge can't even read his own magistrate judge and or his own orders. An extension of time was given by judicial order to respond to the report and recommendation in this case until April 30, 2017. Apparently, the crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey judge looked at the order granting the extension of time and said, "Hum, this must be more "Gibberish". Crack-head Judge. Flying monkeys ain't suppose to read? I, we, object to this egregious violation of

40 of 44

Due Process which voids and taints this opinion. We motion for declaratory Judgment. It all appears like "gibberish" to the crack-head, village idiot, flying monkey Judge so he went against the court's own order in violation of Due Process. "Flying monkeys ain't suppose to fly? Get this crack head Judge off this case. We respectfully demand a change of venue to prevent any further acts of machination and fraud. We motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE:

In the Rumsfeld case. The Federal court determined that the Gitmo Detainees were not entitled to Habeas Corpus relief because they were not held on American soil or in an American prison. Nonetheless, the rightful Heir, King-Khalifah of The Christian, Jewish, African and Islamic world is. If you hold the Gitmo Detainees in indefinite captivity without proper Due Process behind religious and racial hatred because you discovered that the prophesy of this foretold to come Heir is real, and you do this in acts of fraud, machination, obstruction and criminal conspiracy to illegally hold the rightful Heir, King-Khalifah in an American prison, on American soil. This gives the Gitmo Detainees standing to pursue Habeas Corpus relief. Thus, this action is brought forth to sue the United States in seeking a spurious class action seeking the release of my people. This spurious class action is now established. I counsel thee to keep the King-Khalifah's commandment and that in regard to the oath, contract, of God and His established Sole Corporation. Be not hasty to go out of his sight or the terms of the contract which cannot be unmade by the courts. Stand not in the wicked and evil things of this world; for the King-Khalifah doeth whatsoever pleaseth him. Where the word of the King-Khalifah is,...there is "[p]ower": and to whom may say unto him, what doeth thou? Whosoever keepeth the commandment of the One True God shall fear no evil thing; and a wise man's heart discerneth both time and judgment. The time has come for judgment.

If no, give the approximate date of disposition. _____

7. What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was judgment entered in your favor? Was the case appealed?)

IX. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: _____, 20__ **APRIL 3, 2017**

Signature of Plaintiff _____

Printed Name of Plaintiff _____

Prison Identification # _____

Prison Address _____

City _____

State _____

Zip Code _____

B. For Attorneys

Date of signing: _____, 20__

Signature of Attorney _____

Printed Name of Attorney _____

Bar Number _____

Name of Law Firm _____

LAW OFFICE OF



TARA DAWN SHURLING, PA

Attorney and Counselor at Law

3614 Landmark Drive

Suite A

Columbia, South Carolina 29204

E-Mail: tdslaw@shurlinglaw.com

(803) 738-8622

(Fax) (803) 738-1600

March 8, 2017


Lawrence L. Crawford, #300839
aka Jonah Garbiel Jahjah T. Tishbite
Lieber Correctional Institution
Wando A-127
P. O. Box 205
Ridgeville, SC 29472

Dear Mr. Crawford:

I am in receipt of your letter dated February 27, 2017. Your letter, while intriguing, provides little or no insight into the subject matter jurisdiction claim you feel has merit and broad implications. I have sent Mr. Bellamy a copy of your letter and have advised him that I will not be communicating with you concerning his case until I finish reviewing the lengthy records concerning the procedural history of his case, and have a chance to review the Order you reference as 2013-CP-400-0084. I can only assume you meant 2013-CP-40-0084 since 40 is the county code for Richland County.

After I review everything in Mr. Bellamy's case, as well the order to which you refer, I will decide whether it would be in his best interests for me to communicate with you further. If so, he will have to provide me written authorization to do so. For now, I am,

Sincerely,



Tara Dawn Shurling
Attorney and Counselor at Law

TDS/sg

cc Travis Bellamy, #323612

43 of 44

Address _____

Telephone Number _____

E-mail Address _____

There is a vanity done upon the earth where sentence against an evil work is not executed speedily, therefore the heart of the sons of men is fully set in them to do evil. Though a sinner do evil one hundred times, and his days be prolonged, yet surely I know It shall be well with them that fear God, which fear before Him: But it shall not be well with the wicked, neither shall they prolong their days, which are as a shadow; because they feareth not before the One True God. Whatsoever thy hand findeth to do, do it with thy might; for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the grave, whither thou goest. I returned, and saw under the sun, that the race is not to the swift, nor the battle to the strong, neither yet bread to the wise, nor yet riches to men of understanding, nor yet favor to men of skill; but time and chance the decree of God happeneth to them all. For man also knoweth not his time: as the fishes that are taken in an evil net, and as the birds that are caught in the snare; so are the sons of men snared in an evil time, when it falleth suddenly upon them. Gird your loins up like a man; for the "DEATH ANGEL MARCH" foretold in the Book Of Revelations and written in the King-Khalifah's Declaration Of Sovereignty approaches. Blessed art thou, O Land, when the King-Khalifah is the son of nobles, and the princes eat in due season, for strength and not for drunkenness! Curse not the King-Khalifah, no not in thy thought; nor should the rich do such in their bedchamber: for a bird of the air shall carry the voice, and that which hath wings shall tell the matter.

44 of 44


File in case 2016-000640

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

WE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, (14) PAGES DATED APRIL 25, 2017 ON THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON MAY 3, 2017.

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

david duren

David duren

ROBERT MITCHELL

Robert Mitchell

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

VINCENT J. BEATON

Vincent J. Beaton

MAY 3, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
CASES 0:16-cv-2939-MBS-TER; 8:14-cv-3555; 0:16-cv-992;
NEW WRIT OF ERROR IN S.C. DISTRICT COURT

DOCKET NO.(s) 16-1953
AND NEW MANDAMUS

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

PETITIONERS

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953(attached) ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL
NOTICE; AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

TO: The 4th. Circuit et. al.,

This is Affidavit Of Service on all parties as the
4th. Circuit requested pursuant to both cases 16-1953 and the
new Independent Writ Of Error, subsequent Writ Of Mandamus that
is presently being processed. We seek case number for the new
action and consolidation.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

No. 16-1953,

IN RE: YAHYA MUQUIT 4:16-cv-2939-MBS
; 8:14-cv-03555-RBH, 0:16-cv-00992-TMC-PJG
and New Writ Of Error in S.C. District Court

I, certify that my petition for Writ Of Mandamus was served
as follows:

(provide date of service) _____

(select manner of service) [] by mail; [] by commercial
carrier; [] in person.

(provide name(s) and address(es) of trial court judge and/ or
parties served):

The (50) State Attorney Generals were served at the addresses
as follows:

(1) Alabama--- 11 S. Union Street Montgomery Alabama
36130-0001.

(2) Alaska--- P.O. BOX 11033 Juneau Alaska 99811.

SIGNATURE

DATE

(3) Arizona--- 1275 W. Washington Street Phoenix Arizona
85007-3009.

(4) Arkansas--- 323 Center Street 200 Tower Bldg. Little
Rock Arkansas 72201-1012.

(5) California,--- 1515 K. Street Sacramento California
95814.

(6) Colorado,--- 110 6th. Street 10th. Floor Denver
Colorado 80202-5202.

(7) Connecticut,--- 56 Elm Street 7th. Floor Hartford
CT. 06106-1774.

(8) Delaware,--- 820 N. French Street 8th. Floor Wilming-
ton DE 19801-2509.

(9) Florida,--- Capital Bldg. Tallahassee Florida 32399-
1050.

(10) Georgia,--- 132 State Judicial Bldg. Atlanta Georgia
30334-0000.

(11) Hawaii,--- 425 Queen Street Honolulu Hawaii
96822.

(12) IDAHO,--- State Capitol Room 210 Boise Idaho 83720.

(13) Illinois,--- 500 2nd. Street Springfield, Illinois
62706-0001.

(14) Indiana,--- 219 State House Indianapolis Indiana
46201-0000.

(15) Iowa,--- 1300 Walnut Street Des Moines Iowa 50319
-0001.

(16) Kansas,--- 301 West 10th. Street 2nd. floor Topeka
Kansas 66612-0000.

(17) Louisiana,--- State Capitol Bldg. 22nd. Floor Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-0000.

(18) Maine,--- State House STN 6 Augusta, Maine 04333-0006.

(19) Nevada,--- 198 South Carson Street Carson City, N.V. 89710-0001.

(20) New Hampshire,--- 25 Capitol Street Concord N.H. 03301-6397.

(21) New Jersey,--- Hughes Justice Complex CN-080 Trenton, N.J. 08618-3226.

(22) New Mexico,--- Galsteo Street Bataan Memorial Bldg. Room 260 Santa Fe, N.M. 87501-0000.

(23) New York,--- State Capitol Albany New York 12224-000.

(24) North Carolina,--- P.O. BOX 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-0629.

(25) North Dakota,--- 600 East Boulevard Avenue 1st. floor Bismark, N.D. 58505.

(26) OHIO,--- 30 East Broad Street 17th. Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0001.

(27) Oklahoma,--- State Capitol Room 112 Norman Oklahoma, 73019-0001.

(28) Maryland,--- 200 Saint Paul Plaza 2nd. Floor Baltimore, MD. 21202-2004.

(29) Massachusetts,--- 1 Ashburn Plaza Boston Massachusett 02125-3314.

(30) Michigan,--- 525 West Ohawa Street Lansing MI. 48913-0001.

(31) Minnesota,--- 102 State Capitol Bldg. Saint Paul, MN. 55155-0001.

(32) Mississippi,--- 450 High Street Jackson, MS. 39201-1081.

(33) Missouri,--- Supreme Court Bldg. 1st. floor Jefferson City, MO. 65101-0000,

(34) Montana,--- 215 North Sanders Street Justice Bldg. 3rd. floor Helena MT. 59620-0000.

(35) Nebraska,--- P.O. BOX 98920 Lincoln, N.E. 68508.

(36) Oregon,--- 100 Justice Bldg. Salem Oregon 97310-0001.

(37) Pennsylvania,--- 4th. and Walnut STS Strawberry Sq. 16th. floor Hassisburg P.A. 17120-0001.

(38) Rhode Island,--- 72 Pine Street Providence, R.I. 02903-2856.

(39) South Carolina,--- 1000 Assembly Street Columbia S.C. 29201-3117.

(40) South Dakota,--- 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre S.D. 57501-5070.

(41) Tennessee,--- 450 James Robertson Pkwy Nashville, TN. 37219-5025.

(42) Texas,--- P.O. BOX 12548 Austin, Texas 78711-1930.

(43) Utah,--- State Capitol Room 236 Salt Lake City,

Utah 84114-0000.

(44) Vermont,--- 109 State Street Montpelier, VT. 05609-0001.

(45) Virginia,--- 101 North 8th. Street Richmond, Virginia 23219-2305.

(46) Washington,--- Highways-Licenses Bldg. 7th. floor Olympia, Washington 98504.

(47) West Virginia,--- State Capitol East Wing room 26 Charleston W.V. 25305.

(48) Wisconsin,--- 114 East State Capitol Madison, WI 53702-0001.

(49) Wyoming,--- 123 Capitol Bldg. Cheyenne, Wy. 82002-0001.

(50) All Federal Attorneys For The [50] States, are to be and or were served at the Executive Office Of U.S. Attorneys 10th. Street & Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Room 1619 Washington D.C. 20530-0001.

(51) U.S. Congress,--- At the Capitol Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20510-0001.

(52) Dept. Of The State,--- Served and or serve at 2201 C. Street N.W. 20528.

(53) Dept. Of Justice,--- Served and or serve at Constitution Avenue 10th. Street N.W. 20530.

(54) Dept. Of Treasury,--- Served and or serve at P.O. BOX 7015 Parkersburg West Virginia 28101.

(55) The [193] Member States Of The United Nations Which Include The Vatican And The United States,--- Served and or serve at 1st. Avenue 46th. Street New York, N.Y. 10017.

(56) Washington D.C.,--- Served and or serve at The Office Of The Solicitor 1849 C. Street N.W. Room 6352 Washington, D.C. 20540.

(57) The Defendants in Case 2010-CP-17-081,--- Warden Bedison, Sgt. Blewe, Andre Alston, Maurice Lee, Wali Doe, Omar Shaheed, John Doe No.3 S.C.D.C. Muslim Chaplain Asst.; Skipper Doe, Van Bebber, Gwen Hyatt, Wes Jacobs, David Tatarsky, Rob Peele, Jon Ozmint, Sgt. Perry, Lt. Stewart, Cpl. Johnson, Capt. Clark, Officer Simmons, Lt. Easterling, Dr. Babbs, Lt. McGee, Lt. Smalls, Ms. Lee(counselor at Lieber), Warden McKie, Associate Warden Staley, Ms. Albert(mailroom at K.C.I.), Mr. Jeffcoat(mailroom at K.C.I.), Alison Gee, Richard Richstad, Mr. & Mrs. Herbert Lee, Sylvia Lee, Cylena Lee, Mr. & Mrs. Joseph Abrams III, Michael Lee, Joseph Savitz III, Dr. Joel Sexton, Dr. Janice Ross, Judge McIntosh, Judge Jean Toal, Judge Kaye Hearn, The S.C. Supreme Court, Judge Burch, The Richland Court Of Common Pleas, The S.C. Court Of Appeals, John Meadors, Barney Giese, Mr. Moake, The 5th. Circuit Solicitor's Office, Warden Cartledge, Ms. Franklin, Ms. Young, Cpl. Morton, Lt. Rice, Warden Lewis, Kaelon May, Lt. Curry, Atty. General Henry McMaster, John McIntosh(asst. atty. Gen.), Alan Wilson, Ms. Davis, Judge Barber III, Robert Pachak, S.L.E.D., Erin Farthing, Megan Hall, McKay, Cauthen, Settana & Stubley P.A., Ms. Jones, V. Claire Allen, Daniel Shearouse, Jenny Kitchens, Connie Shearin, Cpl. Bouch, C.O. Weatherford, Ofr. Miller, Anderson, Judges Lee, Benjamin and Manning, Christopher Florian, Florence County, Lt. Michael Brown, Atty. Lungford, Atty. Crooks, Ofr. Meggett, Bryan Stirling, Charles A. McKinney Jr.. All these parties were served and or are to be served through the Law Firm Of McKay, Cauthen, Settana & Stubley P.A. 1303 Blanding Street Columbia, S.C. 29201. All these parties are inter-connected as agents and or principles in one form or the other. So service upon the Firm is service

upon them all.

(58) Judge Cain, The S.C. U.S. District Court to include all Judges in the court's entirety, Judges Harwell, Gossett, Rogers, Austin, Childs, Reginald Lloyd, Wooten, Merchant were served and or are to be served via the U.S. District Court 901 Richland Street Columbia, S.C. 29201. All Judges within all divisions are served. JUDGES CURRIE, BAKER ARE DEFENDANTS.

(59) Judges Duffy, Batten, Vineyard, Walker, Carnes and King were served at and or are to be served at The U.S. District Court Of Georgia 2211 U.S. Courthouse 75 Ted Turner Drive S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3361.

(60) All Judges within the 11th. Circuit Court Of Appeals were served and or are to be served at 56 Forsyth Street N.W. Atlanta Georgia 30303, all Judges in the court's entirety.

(61) Judges Hall, Livingston, Droney and all other Judges of The 2nd. Circuit Court Of Appeals in the court's entirety were served at and or are to be served at 40 Foley Square New York, N.Y. 10007.

(62) Judges A'gentino, McAvoy, Khan, Treece, Hurd, Brown, Sharpe, Suddaby were served and or are to be served at The U.S. District Court Of New York P.O. BOX 7367 100 South Clinton Street Syracuse, New York 13261-7376.

(63) Judges O'Scannlain, Bea and the 9th. Circuit Court Of Appeals in that court's entirety were served and or are to be served at The 9th. Circuit Court Of Appeals P.O. BOX 193939 San Francisco California 94119-3939.

(64) Judges O'Malley, Pearson and The Ohio District Court Judges in their entirety were served and or are to be served at The U.S. District Court 201 Superior Avenue East Room 100 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1203.

(65) The Judges and 6th. Circuit Court Of Appeals in the court's entirety were served and or are to be served at The 6th. Circuit Court Of Appeals 100 East Fifth Street Room 540 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3988.

(66) Judges Rogers and "PR" who presided over case 4:11-cv-5206-YGR(PR), Richard Wierking and Frances Stone, Judges Chesney and Armstrong, and The California District Court were served and or are to be served at 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco Calif. 94102.

(67) The 7th. Circuit Court Of Appeals and all judges contained therein, in its entirety, were served and or are to be served at 219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604-1874.

(68) Judges Kennelley, Bauer, Zagel, Ilana Diamond, Sykes also were served and or are to be served at The Illinois District Court 219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604.

(69) Judges Cecchi, Linares, Shipp and The New Jersey District Court were served and or are to be served at 402 East State Street Room 2020 Trenton, N.J. 08608.

(70) The 3rd. Circuit Court Of Appeals and all Judges contained therein, in their entirety were served and or are to be served at 21400 U.S. Courthouse 601 Market Street Philadelphia P.A. 19106.

(71) Judges Doyne and Young were served and or are to be served at Superior Court Of New Jersey 10 Main Street Rm. 427 Hackensack, N.J. 07601 and at The Administration Building 595 Newark Avenue Jersey City, N.J. 07306.

(72) The Supreme Court Of The State Of New Jersey P.O. and all Judges contained therein, in their entirety were served

and or are to be served at The N.J. Supreme Court P.O. BOX 970
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0970.

(73) Judges Heyburn II and Simpson III were served and
or are to be served at The Kentucky District Court 106 Gene
Snyder U.S. Courthouse 601 West Broadway Louisville, Ky. 110202-
2249.

(74) Kershaw County, Cort Korley, Johnny Fellors, The
Kershaw County Coroner's Office, Family Court, Magistrate Court,
General Sessions Court, Sheriff Dept., David Thomley, Joyce
McDonald, Thomas Morgan were served and or are to be served
via DuBose--Robinson, P.C. Attorneys At Law 935 Broad Street
Camden, S.C. 29020.

(75) A.I.G., J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, Goldman Sachs Bank,
Rockefeller Banking entities, All Rice Companies and or entities,
All oil Companies and or entities, All Sugar Companies and or
entities, All Tobacco Companies and or entities whose wealth
date back and or originate and or have connection by distinct
commercial acts or banking associations, to include all Railroad
and Maritime Operations whose wealth is associated with the
U.S. Slave Trade, along with Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae are being
sued via The United States Congress who placed forth legislation
to bail out these companies making them and U.S. part owners;
who placed forth legislation and denied The Slave Petition Of
1777; Provided access through U.S. Customs for Slaves via legi-
slation; who owned Slaves (ei. Thomas Jefferson et. al.,); who
had ties to various companies and industries where these Slaves
were used; who invested in maritime operations, provided commerce
legislation to allow these companies and individuals to act
in these practices; who received financial support from these
special interest groups; who sat on boards to these companies
and or who owned stock in these companies involving Slavery.
They are also directly responsible by The Pinkerton Theory Of
Liability and Accomplice Liability Doctrine of "The Hand Of

One, Is The Hand Of All pursuant to conspiracy. Service is proper via principle-agent connections.

(76) Dick Cheney, Condeleeza Rice, Leon Panetta, George Bush Jr. were served and or are to be served via The U.S. Dept. Of Justice and U.S. State Dept. as previous address indicate. Service upon the principle is service upon the agent and visa versa.

(77) Louis Farrakhan and The Nation Of Islam were served and or are to be served at 734 West 79th. Street Chicago, Illinois 60620-2424.

(78) Attorneys Lodeserto and Toraya were served and or are to be served at The Office Of Public Defender Appellate Section 31 Clinton Street 8th. floor P.O. BOX 46003 Newark, New Jersey 07101. Principle-agent connections.

(79) All Federal Employees as well as other parties in this case are also being served via The Solicitor General Rm. 5614 Dept. Of Justice 960 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington D.C. 20530-0001 informing him that there are crimes going on within the 4th. Circuit and U.S. District Courts where Judges are in violation Of Article III section 1 of The U.S. Constitution engaging in acts of criminal conspiracy, obstruction of justice and violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001, also in efforts to prevent an investigation by the U.S. Dept. and we seek that they also serve the parties as is their duty pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1986.

The service is also being made through The U.S. Marshal Office instructing them that it is their duty to serve all parties by decree of The Chief Justice Of The Global Theocratic Court at 901 Richland Street Columbia, S.C. 29201. IT IS SO ORDERED. This is also to be done pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1986 and the cost is to be attributed to case 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM

and case 4:16-cv-2939-MBS-TER due to our present indigence until court fees are addressed against the defendants in future hearing sought by the plaintiffs and via Mandamus. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Insomuch, by the aforementioned all parties would now be served. This Affidavit stands as true and the U.S. Marshal Office is to act immediately until rebutted by clear evidence by order on the court record and the defendants involved in this case. The U.S. District Court and 4th. Circuit unless you can produce an order from case 2013-CP-400-0084 not tainted by fraud and machination. The Judicial authority of Jahjah Al Mahdi supersedes all courts nationally and all courts and parties are to be in compliance. Judges Rogers, Seymour, Cain, Gerbel, Hendricks etc. must go and The S.C. U.S. District Court in its entirety must disqualify themselves to prevent any further attempts at fraud and transfer these cases to New Jersey along with all plaintiffs, all(emphasis added) their personal property and they are to be taken to nicest federal pre-release camp available. The U.S. Marshal Service is to see that this is done immediately. An evidentiary hearing is to be established within (15) days of the filing of this document. The appointment of legal counsel is to occur and be present for that hearing and class action certification is granted by decree of The Global Theocratic Court. Service upon the principle is service upon the agent and visa versa even though no consent to be sued or authorization to an agent to accept service of process is given. Service is proper if by reason and association it can be contrived that parties served have such connections. Rules of service does not mandate dismissal where necessary parties have received notice and where in this case sanctions are required due to the fraud upon the court and machination. Thus, forfeiture is established in challenging such deficiency and there is no prejudice since service was not done on all necessary parties via the fraud upon the court. Thus, service is sufficient via the mandates of this document and by Judicial Order from the Chief Justice Of The Global Theocratic State whose judicial

authority supersedes all courts globally where the United States and its employees are bound by the default and claims of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 out of The Richland County Court Of Common Pleas, United States v. Herrera Valdez, 826 F3d. 912(2016); Banlis v. Slay, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 3971380(2016); United States Securities And Exchange Commission v. China Infrastructure Investment Compensation, --F.Supp.3d.--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 3034153, 94 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 1324; Republic Properties Corp. v. Mission West Properties, L.P., 391 Md. 732, 895 A.2d. 732; Custom Polymers P.E.T., LLC v. Gamma Meccanica Spa, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 2354599(2016); Myles v. Renta-Center, Inc., 2016 WL 3917212(MD.2016); Woodruff v. Thornberry, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 6670259; Dunn v. Nicholas County, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 3843777(2015); IN RE:, 2016 WL 1718826; Well Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Farag, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); IN RE: Variant Enterprise Inc., 81 F3d. 1310(4th.Cir.1996).

IT IS SO ORDERD.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI
CHIEF JUSTICE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC
COURT


ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

david duren

david duren

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

April 25, 2017

ROBERT MITCHELL

Robert Mitchell

File in CASE 2016-000640

WRIT OF ERROR
PETITION ~~FOR WRIT OF~~ FOR WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY 3 1983

United States District Court		District: SOUTH CAROLINA	
Name (under which you were convicted):		Docket or Case No.:	
Place of Confinement :		Prisoner No.:	
~~Prisoner (include the name under which you were convicted)~~ parties listed in case 8:16-cv-3320 et. al v. ~~defendants~~ WARDEN MCFADDEN Et. AL., JUDGE ROBERT E. Head et al			
The Attorney General of the State of: SOUTH CAROLINA		IN EXPANDED CASES	

PETITION
AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE

1. (a) Name and location of court that entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging:

- (b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): _____
2. (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): _____
(b) Date of sentencing: _____
3. Length of sentence: _____
4. In this case, were you convicted on more than one count or of more than one crime? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Identify all crimes of which you were convicted and sentenced in this case:

6. (a) What was your plea? (Check one)
☐ (1) Not guilty ☐ (3) Nolo contendere (no contest)
☐ (2) Guilty ☐ (4) Insanity plea

(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or charge and a not guilty plea to another count or charge, what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to? _____

N/A

"

"

"

"

(c) If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)

☐ Jury ☐ Judge only "

7. Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or a post-trial hearing?

☐ Yes ☐ No "

8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?

☐ Yes ☐ No "

9. If you did appeal, answer the following:

(a) Name of court: See Case 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER. I motion to

(b) Docket or case number (if you know): expand the scope and for inclusion.

(c) Result: All documents filed in cases 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER,

(d) Date of result (if you know): case 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA are now deemed

(e) Citation to the case (if you know): filed in this case. They bear on the

(f) Grounds raised: claims, issues and defenses made and argued within

this case. All cases filed by Lawrence L. Crawford #300839

are sought reinstated pursuant to Rule 60(b)(3)(6). I expand

the scope and seek inclusion of all those documents. They

bear on the claims, issues and defenses made and argued

within this case. This includes all documents filed in case

8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. The issues being argued in this case

(g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, answer the following: are essentially the same issues being

(1) Name of court: argued in the MuQuit case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-

(2) Docket or case number (if you know): JDA, also those issues and claims

(3) Result: being argued in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-

MBS-TER which were blocked by Judge Seymour who was

(4) Date of result (if you know): required to recuse herself, creating

(5) Citation to the case (if you know): a structural error that void

(6) Grounds raised: her jurisdiction for Due Process violation.

This applies to Judge Cain in case 0:16-cv-992. The
issues argued in those related cases are now argued
within this case as well, to include those claims,

(h) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, answer the following: issues and defenses argued in the

(1) Docket or case number (if you know): § 1983 actions and Writs Of

(2) Result: Errors presented in their totality. I am one
of the 300+ inmates who attempted to get the legal

(3) Date of result (if you know): issues of controversy heard in my

(4) Citation to the case (if you know): case only to be subjected to

10. Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other petitions, applications, or motions concerning this judgment of conviction in any state court? ☐ Yes ☐ No

11. If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:

(a) (1) Name of court: egregious acts of machination and fraud

(2) Docket or case number (if you know): upon the court behind religious

(3) Date of filing (if you know): and racial hatred due to the

(4) Nature of the proceeding: religious, political and social claims

(5) Grounds raised: argued in this case and its connection
to the Crawford case.

Lawrence L. Crawford is a Foreign Sovereign King,
Khalifah, Imam and High Priest to The (4) Global

Thrones Of The Reestablished Global Theocratic State.

This claim is defaulted on, being made true by that

default in case 2013-CP-400-0084 emerging from

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?

☐ Yes ☐ No Richland County South Carolina Court

(7) Result: Of Common Pleas where Judge Austin, conspiring

(8) Date of result (if you know): under color of law and or authority

(b) If you filed any second petition, application, or motion, give the same information:

- (1) Name of court: with Judge Jean Toal, who is a defendant
(2) Docket or case number (if you know): in this case, tried to negate.
(3) Date of filing (if you know): Judge Austin delayed ruling on
(4) Nature of the proceeding: filing in forma pauperis while Judge
(5) Grounds raised: and the state actors delayed and or blocked
the filing of the removal document to allow Judge

Toal to inappropriately entertain jurisdiction to
produce the tainted order issued on December 1, 2016.
This creates additional acts of machination and fraud,
which voids that order, tainting it, making it imper-
missible to use this order in question, except to
highlight the fraud and machination. They did this
to make the case appear frivolous so Judge Austin

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?

☐ Yes ☐ No could produce the fraudulent report and

(7) Result: recommendation. see case 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER,

(8) Date of result (if you know): the Writ Of Error filed in that case

(c) If you filed any third petition, application, or motion, give the same information:

- (1) Name of court: which they may have spoliated being addressed
(2) Docket or case number (if you know): before the 4th. Circuit Court
(3) Date of filing (if you know): Of Appeals pursuant to Mandamus in
(4) Nature of the proceeding: in cases 16-1915; 16-1953; 16-2141;
(5) Grounds raised: 16-2299 and additional Mandamus pending.

This case is petitioned removed pursuant to The Civil
Rights Act and The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act which Judges
Toal and Austin conspired to negate, but failed at
their acts of machination and fraud. Also see (14)
page document filed December 14, 2016 pursuant to
Houston v. Lack supra.

Robert Mitchell was the co-plaintiff in the sister

40837

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?
☐ Yes ☐ No case who recently resent the (170) page

(7) Result: document dated May 18, 2016 by certified mail

(8) Date of result (if you know): No. 7015 1520 0001 7633 0007. I

(d) Did you appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction over the action taken on your petition, application, or motion? motion to expand the scope and for inclusion. That

(1) First petition: ☐ Yes ☐ No (170) page document is now

(2) Second petition: ☐ Yes ☐ No made a part of this case.

(3) Third petition: ☐ Yes ☐ No All issues, claims and

(e) If you did not appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction, explain why you did not:

defenses argued in that (170) page document are now argued
in this case and is made a part of the court record. There

12. For this petition, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground.

are additional sister cases. They are 4:16-

CAUTION: To proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust (use up) your available state-court remedies on each ground on which you request action by the federal court. Also, if you fail to set forth all the grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

GROUND ONE: cv-3807-JMC-TER; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA;

4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992 and the additional

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

cases created by Judge Cain's order in that case. All those
documents are now made a part of this case pursuant to expanding
the scope seeking inclusion, to include those documents filed

in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. The issues listed in case 8:14-
cv-3555-RBH-JDA and 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER pursuant

to criminal conversion, misappropriation of inmate(s) funds,
retaliation in violation of the provisions under ADA, also listed

(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground One, explain why:

within the § 1983 actions are being argued within my case as

well, to include all claims related to exhaustion and removal.

Pursuant to the holdings made in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850

(2016) there are no available state remedies due to machination,

fraud, misrepresentation and the other claims made within the

Writs Of Errors, the (170) page complaint, the claims made in

the § 1983 actions in cases 4:16-cv-3807; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, the documents filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, to include that criminal, fraudulent stunt argued within the Writ Of Error filed in case 4:16-cv-3807 that they may have spoliated, which is also being addressed before the 4th.Circuit Court of Appeals that Judges Austin and Toal and the conspiring Judges and parties to this case attempted in Richland Court, blocking, delaying removal so they could produce that tainted order to negate our claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 and call the case frivolous. I object. Judges Austin as well as the Judges on this case, to include all Judges within the S.C. U.S. District Court must recuse and disqualify. We motion for transfer to the State Of New Jersey to be heard and consolidated to all cases involving the King-Khalifah pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404.

The judges conspired to detach my case from the Heir, King, Khalifah Of The (4) Global Thrones Of The Re-established Global Theocratic State in cases 8:14-cv-3555; 0:16-cv-992; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER and 4:16-cv-3807 where Judges Seymour and Rogers engaged in misappropriation of inmate funds, stealing Robert Mitchell's Cooper Trust money, which was money he owed Jahjah, the King, from his account without authorization in acts of conversion as is argued in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA to which this case originates from. How this effects and prejudice me is had this not been done. They would have never been able to separate me from Jahjah, during or after the fact, to allow them to divide and conquer, hoping Jahjah would not be able to assist us all due to the disability to his hands in retaliation. see (30) page document dated December 7, 2016 filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. This taints that order that produced the separation in case 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107 as are the other separation orders. Due to Judge Austin conspiring with the other federal judges, the respondent in case "3555", with Judge Toal sitting on case 2013-CP-400-0084 to in acts of machination and fraud, Judge Toal being a defendant, adjudi-

the § 1983 actions in cases 4:16-cv-3807; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, the documents filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, to include that criminal, fraudulent stunt argued within the Writ Of Error filed in case 4:16-cv-3807 that they may have spoliated, which is also being addressed before the 4th.Circuit Court of Appeals that Judges Austin and Toal and the conspiring Judges and parties to this case attempted in Richland Court, blocking, delaying removal so they could produce that tainted order to negate our claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 and call the case frivolous. I object. Judges Austin as well as the Judges on this case, to include all Judges within the S.C. U.S. District Court must recuse and disqualify. We motion for transfer to the State Of New Jersey to be heard and consolidated to all cases involving the King-Khalifah pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404.

The judges conspired to detach my case from the Heir, King, Khalifah Of The (4) Global Thrones Of The Re-established Global Theocratic State in cases 8:14-cv-3555; 0:16-cv-992; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER and 4:16-cv-3807 where Judges Seymour and Rogers engaged in misappropriation of inmate funds, stealing Robert Mitchell's Cooper Trust money, which was money he owed Jahjah, the King, from his account without authorization in acts of conversion as is argued in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA to which this case originates from. How this effects and prejudice me is had this not been done. They would have never been able to separate me from Jahjah, during or after the fact, to allow them to divide and conquer, hoping Jahjah would not be able to assist us all due to the disability to his hands in retaliation. see (30) page document dated December 7, 2016 filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. This taints that order that produced the separation in case 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107 as are the other separation orders. Due to Judge Austin conspiring with the other federal judges, the respondent in case "3555", with Judge Toal sitting on case 2013-CP-400-0084 to in acts of machination and fraud, Judge Toal being a defendant, adjudi-

cating by that order that the case is not ended. Sanctions are required. I motion for such as my co-plaintiffs; and the report and recommendation produced by Judge Austin is also tainted and cannot be accepted being tainted, also being in egregious violation of 18 U.S.C. § 242, 1001. It is produced to conceal material facts, specifically, that they blocked removal in violation of Due Process to allow Judge Austin to produce her report and recommendation and call the case frivolous. We object, and it cannot be accepted being tainted by the machination and fraud. see The Writ Of Error filed in case 4:16-cv-3807. The order

Judge Austin and the conspiring Judges of the S.C. U.S. District court conspired to create in the Richland State court by the assistance of Judge Toal on December 1, 2016 is tainted by machination and fraud. It cannot be used and the S.C. U.S. District Court must be deemed in forfeiture due to these egregious acts of Fraud upon the court attempted and the right of RES JUDICATA must attached related to each of the plaintiffs, petitioners involved in these cases related to all magistrate and federal judges involved. You have an opportunity to justly and fairly adjudicate the matter and instead chose fraud. Thus, RES JUDICATA, to include the claim of Foreign Sovereign Power and all attributes asserted, along with our claims of default and collateral estoppel remain intact and full faith and credit must be given in all court records, In Re: Variant Enterprise, Inc., 81 F3d. 1310(4th.Cir.1996); Basnight, 551 S.E.2d. 274; U.S. Ex Rel May v. Purdue Pharma L.P., 737 F3d. 908(4th.Cir.2013); Reot v. County Of Fairfax, 541 Fed. Appx' 333(4th.Cir.2013); Pueschel v. U.S., 369 F3d. 345(4th.Cir.2004); Farmer Mut. Ins. Co. v. Appalachian Power Co., 78 Fed. Appx' 259(4th.Cir.2003); Vista Investments, LLC v. Tompkins & McMaster, LLP., 2015 WL 5248737(2015); Wells Fargo Bank N.A. v. Farag, 2016 WL 2944561 (2016); White v. Manis, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S. v. Aladekcha, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); Brown v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398(DSC.2014); Vodusek v. Bayliner Marine Corp., 71 F3d. 148, 156(4th.Cir.1995); Atkinson v. House Of Raeford Farms Inc., 2012 WL 1458181; Choice v. Thyssen Krupp Indus, Services N.A. Inc., 2014 WL 2613170(DSC.2014); Great American Ins. Co. v.

Nextday Network Hardware Corp., 73 F.Supp.3d. 686(2014); Eastern Association Coal Co. v. Director, Office Of Workers Compensation Programs, 578 Fed. Appx' 165 CA4(2014); Christianson v. M.B.N.A. American Bank, N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); Caldwell v. Wiquist, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); Miller v. Columbia Forest, Inc., S.E.2d., 2014 WL 5390504(S.C. App.2014); Bluesky Travel and Tours, LLC. v. Al Tayyar,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 1451636 CA4(Va.2015); U.S. v. Baker, 598 Fed. Appx' 165(4th.Cir.2014).

Insomuch, this forced us to file an independent action for Fraud Upon The Court creating case 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER in addition to those prior independent actions for fraud under cases 0:16-cv-992 and its spin off cases; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA where Judges Harwell and Cain conspiring with the other S.C. U.S. District Court judges, spoliated the § 1983 action (170) pages dated May 18, 2016 and the (117) page Writ Of Error that establish this case, failing to leave a copy in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA to avoid reinstating that case because by the litigation presented no statute of limitations applied requiring reinstatement of case 8:14-cv-3555.

The issues argued in this Habeas Corpus by independent action rule for Fraud Upon the Court are being filed pursuant to Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) and Wearry v. Cain, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016) and are seen in the (240) page Kershaw County petition filed in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, the (34) page complaint that make up case 2006-CP-400-0552 out of the Richland County Court of Common Pleas. How they pertain to me is that the King-Khalifah's rights were being violated and he was being denied and or blocked, impeded, hindered in his access to the courts in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2) and 1985(3). When I decided to aid him, also pursuant to American With Disabilities Act provisions. They attacked the filing of my state action seeking conviction relief. Additional issues are found in the § 1983 and Writ Of Error actions to include

Nextday Network Hardware Corp., 73 F.Supp.3d. 686(2014); Eastern Association Coal Co. v. Director, Office Of Workers Compensation Programs, 578 Fed. Appx' 165 CA4(2014); Christianson v. M.B.N.A. American Bank, N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); Caldwell v. Wiquist, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); Miller v. Columbia Forest, Inc., S.E.2d., 2014 WL 5390504(S.C. App.2014); Bluesky Travel and Tours, LLC. v. Al Tayyar,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 1451636 CA4(Va.2015); U.S. v. Baker, 598 Fed. Appx' 165(4th.Cir.2014).

Insomuch, this forced us to file an independent action for Fraud Upon The Court creating case 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER in addition to those prior independent actions for fraud under cases 0:16-cv-992 and its spin off cases; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA where Judges Harwell and Cain conspiring with the other S.C. U.S. District Court judges, spoliated the § 1983 action (170) pages dated May 18, 2016 and the (117) page Writ Of Error that establish this case, failing to leave a copy in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA to avoid reinstating that case because by the litigation presented no statute of limitations applied requiring reinstatement of case 8:14-cv-3555.

The issues argued in this Habeas Corpus by independent action rule for Fraud Upon the Court are being filed pursuant to Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) and Wearry v. Cain, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016) and are seen in the (240) page Kershaw County petition filed in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, the (34) page complaint that make up case 2006-CP-400-0552 out of the Richland County Court of Common Pleas. How they pertain to me is that the King-Khalifah's rights were being violated and he was being denied and or blocked, impeded, hindered in his access to the courts in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2) and 1985(3). When I decided to aid him, also pursuant to American With Disabilities Act provisions. They attacked the filing of my state action seeking conviction relief. Additional issues are found in the § 1983 and Writ Of Error actions to include

the Writs of Habeas Corpus filed in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA and all documents placed before the belligerent, hostile Trustee Judge Austin. Res Judicata must attach involving all petitioners now and the report and recommendation submitted by Judge Austin, barring any further submission of report and recommendation, not just because of the machination and fraud to include the concealing of material facts in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001. But because it and or they would be in violation of The Trustee's duties, as is the separation order(s) where Judge Austin conspired with Judge Jean Toal to produce that tainted Richland court order to escape her duties as Trustee. We object to this conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242, 1001, Anderson Bros. v. E.B.T. Property Holdings Co. Ins., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507807(S.C.App.2013); Springob v. University Of South Carolina, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); In Re: Blackwater Security Consulting Co. LLC., 460 F3d. 576 CA4(N.C.2006); Dickens v. Aetna Life Ins. Co., 677 F3d. 288 CA4(W.Va.2012); U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. Currency, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240(voiding jurisdiction for Due Process violation); Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; Fifth Third Bancorp. v. Dudenhoeffer, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014); Fobes v. Forbes, 341 F3d. 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015. She must fulfill her duties as The King-Khalifah's Trustee and partake in that spirit which is required, her powers emanating from a regal source which directly effect me as a beneficiary of the Trust, N.L.R.B. v. Amex Coal Co.,...Div. Of Amax, Inc., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789(U.S.1981); Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Funds v. Central Transport, Inc., 472 U.S. 559, 105 S.Ct. 2833, 86 L.Ed.2d. 447(U.S. 1985). I am arguing all issues independently in my case as I know my co-plaintiffs are arguing them in their independent sister cases.

This Habeas Corpus is being filed pursuant to Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) also Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); and Wearry v. Cain, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016). First we have the machination, fraud and misrepresentation as is argued

to include this recent criminal stunt Judges Austin and Toal just tried to pull to produce that order issued on December 1, 2016 to adjudicate the case was not concluded to negate our claims of default and collateral estoppel. There was removal. The order is void, Lovett v. Deutsche Bank Nat'l Trust Co., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 841679(DSC.2013); Ackermann v. Exxon Mobil Corp., 734 F3d. 237 CA4(Md.2013); Edmond v. Ozmint, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 4832539(DSC.2008).

~~Then we have the judges' failure to recuse themselves.~~

This includes Judge Toal who was to recuse herself in the Richland Common Pleas court that the Federal Judges conspired in to which they had no jurisdiction, for which Judge Toal was prohibited from making any determination due to removal which they delayed, obstructed, blocked in acts of machination and fraud, producing a structural error that voided her jurisdiction for Due Process violation. Toal was to say nothing when jurisdiction was divested by removal to fraudulently deny me rights of collateral estoppel.

It is also filed pursuant to they suppressing that S.L.E.D investigative file and failure to test that DNA to Michael Lee producing exculpatory evidence in violation of S.C. Code Ann. §§ 17-28-350; 17-28-70; 17-7-25 and 23-3-635 to include Brady v. Maryland and Wearry v. Cain. They attacked my state process seeking relief for conviction because we sought to aid the King-Khalifah assert his rights to access the courts and Equal Protection Of The Laws in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2), 1985(3) also 1986 and The Americans With Disabilities Act.

Attached to the Mandamus the court will find a copy of an Affidavit And Exhibit(s) "HOOD-RAT" #'s 1-4. The parties in this case are listed in case 8:16-cv-3328, 3194, 3327-RBH-JDA. Judge Robert E. Hood is a defendant in this case. The Affidavit highlights the cause of action. This Case is being filed pursuant to the independent action rule for fraud upon the court also based upon what occurred with the hearing in Richland County on January 26, 2017. Judge Hood and The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges are not S.C.D.C. employees responsible for transporting inmates. This strips all judges involved of immunity. We motion for declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on this issue.

Thus, the action is filed pursuant to 2244(d)(1)(C) specifically, the date on which the Constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the U.S. Supreme Court. This gives us one year from the date of the rulings of these U.S. Supreme Court cases to file Habeas Corpus. The statute of limitations would not apply in this case, this filing being timely made.

This Habeas Corpus is also filed pursuant to 2244(d)(1)(B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State and Federal action via machination and fraud in violation of The U.S. Constitution or Laws Of The United States was removed. Judge Austin along with the conspiring defendants conspired to make the claims appear frivolous to avoid suit and her Trustee duties knowing good and well that Res Judicata attach to the proceedings and they were prohibited from initiating anything but post proceedings. Once properly served, the State Of South Carolina, The United States and other 192 member States Of The United Nations defaulted in case 2013-CP-400-0084 establishing collateral estoppel and bar to challenge in any other State or Federal Court. I, and I know my co-plaintiffs assert the right of collateral estoppel. All claims submitted within the state documents are already defaulted on. My conviction, as well as those in the sister cases are already invalidated by the False Imprisonment Tort which is case 2013-CP-400-0084 to which the S.C. Attorney General and The United States are parties to the default. Thus, cases like Heck v. Humphreys or Rodriguez v. Preiser would not apply to my case or the sister cases.

I, we, would have been prejudiced arguing the claims emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 where many of us were blocked and or hindered from joining, until we obtained the default. This did not occur until December 2015/ January 2016. Since Judge Austin, Judge Toal and the conspiring Judges within the S.C. U.S. District Court attempted that recent stupid stunt on December 1, 2016 attempting to block or delay removal to produce a fraudulent determination that the case was not concluded to negate our claims of default and collateral estoppel

in acts of machination, fraud upon the court, clear removal statutes and Williams v. Pennsylvania. This created a reset on this issue starting December 1, 2016 when they attempted to obtain the tainted, fraudulent order knowing they were subject to Res Judicata. All the aforementioned give us one year to file demonstrating no statute of limitations concern, apply in this case. Sanctions are sought. I am arguing all indictment defects in my case to void jurisdiction for Due Process violation as is seen and argued in the documents filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA and 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER that Judge Seymour in acts of fraud upon the court conspiring under color of law or authority blocked review to keep herself from being sued for criminal conversion.

The conviction was obtained by statements that violated S.C. Code Ann. §§ 19-1-80 and 19-1-90.

The conviction was obtained in violation of the 4th. amendment.

The conviction was obtained by counsel's failure to disclose evidence favorable to the defense.

The conviction was obtained by violation of the protection against double jeopardy and action of Grand and or petit jury which was unconstitutional by the structural error argued in the indictments determining in advance the outcome of the proceedings, producing an instant double jeopardy claim and the Grand Jury do not have the power to convict which they did by the language making any second jury illegal and a violation of Due Process.

The conviction was obtained in violation of The Anti-Peonage Act which also effectively deprived me of the Right to vote in violation of the 13th. and 15th. amendments.

The (180) day and or (365) day provision, which is also the source of the default and voiding of jurisdiction, the con-

structive amendment of indictment issues apply in my case seen in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA and 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER as well, which are argued in the sister cases. The litigation for these issues has been filed in the cases afore listed, as is the S.C. Supreme Court Gentry fraud litigation that also establishes equitable tolling. I, we, seek class action certification for these issues separately and or independently.

I, we, are suing for the appointment of legal counsel via the Legal Firms listed in the previous filed documents.
~~Any claim of an inmate can't represent another inmate is moot.~~
Counsel is sought to represent all(see expansion documents).

I am suing the United States under the Writ Of Error and the other filed § 1983 independent actions for Fraud upon the Court, the (170) page complaint they initially spoliated, and the (58) page Affidavit Of Facts dated July 21, 2016, those of us who are originally listed as plaintiffs and or who now seek to be added as plaitiffs, For The United States placing into effect the provisions of The Omnibus Crime Bill 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 with The Prison Litigation Reform Act and Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996. I, as are my co-plaintiffs in the sister cases, are challenging the constitutionality of these provisions of law, arguing that they were initiated behind a class based invidiously discriminatory animus in the form of racial hatred, surreptitiously done, to disproportionately effect African Americans and other minorities and poor in violation of the holdings made in EX Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339, 346, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880). Also fraudulently designed to disenfranchise us and deny me and the co-plaintiffs in the sister cases, the right to vote in violation of the 15th. amendment. Thus, using these provisions of law to assess or restrict me and or the sister case plaintiffs in any manner before this court would be a miscarriage of justice, manifest injustice, an abuse of discretion and inappropriate. By this I object to any report or recommendation that this case is frivolous where these matters are sought to be placed before a jury, S.E.C. v. Farkas, 557 Fed. Appx' 204 CA4(Va.2014); Instituform Tech

Inc. v. Amerik Supplies, 850 F.Supp.2d. 1336, 1362(N.D.Ga.2012); Criminal actions are historically non frivolous; Morris v. York, 2013 WL 2635610(DSC.2013); Milligan v. Drug Enforcement Admin., 2014 WL 897144(DSC.2014); Clemont v. West, 86 F2d. 1535 CA4(N.C. 1993); Whiteside v. U.S., 135 S.Ct. 2890(U.S.2015); Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 112 S.Ct. 1728(U.S.1992).

Judge Austin made a point in the report and recommendation that the court does not have jurisdiction over Theocratic Law. I will elaborate on that point in direct response to the report and recommendation. But this is the King-Khalifah's issue on Habeas Corpus that bears a connection as to why they attacked my state judicial process; that by her determination gives me standing on Habeas Corpus, because we sought to aid the King-Khalifah exercise his constitutional right to access the courts and exercise his rights under ADA and to Equal Protection of The Laws pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2), 1985(3) also 1986. If the Federal court do not have jurisdiction over "THEOCRATIC LAW", neither did the state court in criminal proceedings. This presents another issue. The King-Khalifah's conviction was obtained by entertaining jurisdiction over his religious beliefs (AKA "THEOCRATIC LAW") protected under the 1st. amendment when they had no bearing on his case. I, nor the other plaintiffs in the sister cases, nor the King-Khalifah, opened the door for all of this. The State Of South Carolina did when they brought his religious beliefs(AKA "THEOCRATIC LAW") up at his trial and these beliefs did not break any laws, then turned around and attacked my state judicial process in challenging my conviction in violation of Due Process Law and 18 U.S.C. § 242. This requires that his conviction be reversed and the court address the attack on my and the co-plaintiffs Due Process matters simply because we aided him exercise constitutionally protected rights to access the courts and his rights under ADA and the Equal Protection Of The Laws Clause. I object to this statement by Judge Austin where RES JUDICATA must be deemed attached and no other report and recommendation from any judge should be submitted. Judge Austin do not need to tell that to me or my co-plaintiffs in the sister cases via a report and

recommendation. She and any other Judge needs to tell that to the respondent, the S.C. Attorney General in issuance of service and let him answer that on the court record since these matters were used to obtain the King-Khalifah's conviction and they attacked my case because I decided to legally assist and also have the legal issues of controversy heard in my case. Thus, I object to she failing to call for issuance of the pleadings via the U.S. marshal and I would adamantly object to failure of issuance in this case. We need to pose the question, and we need to find out on the court record before any sua sponte dismissal can occur. ~~What the heck is the State Of South Carolina~~ doing entertaining jurisdiction over religious beliefs, in essence, AKA, "THEOCRATIC LAW", and convicting the King-Khalifah for it, if it had no bearing on the charge of murder, then attacked our Due Process judicial matters simply because I, we, by law aided him to exercise his right to seek redress? Issuance must occur to pose this genuine issue of concern to the S.C. Attorney General who on essence used these matters AKA "THEOCRATIC LAW" to obtain the King-Khalifah's conviction in violation of the 1st. amendment and or Establishment Clause then attacked my and the co-plaintiffs' judicial Due Process matters because I, we, decided to aid him get past their obstruction Of Justice and have the legal issues heard in my and my co-plaintiffs' cases, Bank Of St. Matthew Baptist Church, 406 S.C. 156, 750 S.E.2d. 605(S.C.2013); McGoven v. Maryland, 366 U.S. 420, 81 S.Ct. 1153 (MEM)(U.S.1961); U.S. v. Poston, 312 F.Supp. 587 (DSC.1970); Valley Forge Christian College v. American United For Seperation Of Church And State Inc., 454 U.S. 464, 102 S.Ct. 752, 70 L.Ed.2d. 700(1982). I, and the co-plaintiffs in the sister cases are suing for this. This is why the religious claims are made AKA "THEOCRATIC LAW". The State Of South Carolina essentially convicted the King-Khalifah for all of this by bringing it up at his trial, opening the door to fully establish the record as to why they brought it up at his trial to convict him in violation of Due Process Law, then attacked my and the co-plaintiffs/petitioners judicial Due Process matters because I, we, sought to aid him get past the obstruction of justice and have the legal issues heard in my, our, cases.


In further objecting to the claim that the court does not have jurisdiction over "THEOCRATIC LAW" as Judge Austin so foolishly asserted. This is a misnomer and a clear representation of the facts. You, the State Of South Carolina and The United States lost. Judge Austin, Judge Jean Toal and the conspiring parties and federal judges failed. The order from case 2013-CP-400-0084 you conspired in acts of machination and fraud upon the court to obtain is tainted. It cannot be used within any court except to highlight the fraud. It and Judge Austin's report and recommendation cannot be used because they violate 18 U.S.C. § 1001 also. The hearing you conspired to obtain under Judge Robert E. Hood failed due to RES JUDICATA and your fraud on January 26, 2017 is also exposed and is of no avail to the conspiring parties. I, and my co-plaintiffs in the sister cases object. These situations and acts are designed to conceal material facts that you conspired in them and or produced them by acts of machination, fraud, obstruction of justice and criminal conspiracy. With this being the fact. The court may not have jurisdiction of, over "THEOCRATIC LAW" per se. But it does have jurisdiction over "FOREIGN LAW" pursuant to S.C. Rules Of Civil Procedure, Rule 44 if The United States was party and defaulted on it. It does have jurisdiction over Federal, State and International Probate Law if action is brought before this court. It does have jurisdiction over property the The United States has in its possession(ei. executing, arresting, attaching the property of a Foreign Government which include intellectual property in the form of the right to marry). It does have jurisdiction over Treaties that Congress ratified like The C.A.T. Treaty, The F.S.I.A., also The Anti-Peonage Act, The Americans With Disabilities Act, The Rico Act, The Civil Rights Act, Artical III sections 1 & 2; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1346(b)(1), 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq., 2679, the 1st., 4th., 5th., 6th., 7th., 8th., 13th., 14th. and 15th. amendment issues argued within these cases as well as Federal Statutes. It has jurisdiction under Article 1 section 10 of The U.S. Constitution as it relates to contracts. Stop this stupidity Judge Austin. Due to this fraud RES JUDICATA now attaches as it relates to any subsequent report and recommendation from any other judge. I, also object

and or we also object further, referring back to the issue of exhaustion and there being no available state remedies. The S.C. Supreme Court and The S.C. Court Of Appeals, the highest courts within this state, to include all Judges contained therein with the exception of Judge Plecones are defendants in this case. They are defendants in cases 2013-CP-400-0084 and 2294 removed to this court to which they are in default and collateral estoppel attaches to those proceedings. They are being sued for the Gentry case fraud that directly effects every inmate within this state, to include the petitioner and his co-plaintiffs in the sister cases. Those state courts via the holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) cannot sit upon a case to which they are defendants. It would create a structural error of such a magnitude that it would void their jurisdiction For Due Process violation. Thus, due to this fact, this also serves to substantiate that there are no available state remedies where even the lower Circuit Courts conspired to aid them in their acts of machination and fraud. Having these matters heard before those state court would produce the potential for bias on a conspicuous level that would be unconstitutional to say the least. In such, the case must be heard before the U.S. District Court in a district other than South Carolina pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404, 1407.

I, as well as I know my co-plaintiffs do, object to any claim that the clerk and or court cannot accept any document with any other party's name signed to it. That order issued by Judge Austin is void being tainted by fraud. It stands in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and in violation of the Trust, The King-Khalifah's decree and the F.S.I.A. There is also joint filing of Writ Of Mandamus in the 4th. Circuit. 4th. Circuit Rules require that we serve copy on all involved courts and parties as is filed before the 4th. Circuit. Additionally, your fraud in case 2013-CP-400-0084 did not work. Any judgment filed since December 2015 other than our claims of default and collateral estoppel is tainted and inadmissible. Our claims of default and collateral and Res Judicata stands. Thus, Jahjah Al Mahdi the Heir, King, Khalifah, Imam and High Priest Of The (4) Global

Thrones Of The Re-established Global Theocratic State has acquired an interest in all plaintiffs cases presented. This is also indicated by his signature attached to this document. All parts of the case are removed to the Federal District Court, including this case and not just the parts of the case related to the Sovereign. All cases are removed by him to be consolidated to him and his pending cases. The King-Khalifah voluntarily makes himself a party in all cases presented. Thus, his signature and name can appear on all documents within all cases to include those which are joined to him by The F.S.I.A. and International and Federal Probate Laws as beneficiaries of the Trust argued within these cases. There is no discretionary remand as he argued in case 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA. Trustee Austin, straighten up and fly right female! That includes you other conspiring Judges within the S.C. U.S. District Court. I, we, motion for your recusal and disqualification, all Judges within the S.C. U.S. District Court in their totality. I, we, motion for a change of venue to New Jersey as the King-Khalifah argued due to cases pending in that state, S.C. Const. Art. XVII § 1A; S.C. Code Ann. §§ 25-1-2820, 14-23-1080, 62-7-816 paragraph (24); 17-17-30, 14-5-380; 11 U.S.C.A. §§ 1104, 1302, 727, 1141; 15 U.S.C.A. §§ 78fff-1, 704; 36 U.S.C.A. § 140405; S.C. Code Ann. §§ 49-17-1400, 14-23-370(for lien concerns), Herring Associates Inc v. Gervais, 2016 WL 475174; In Re: Vaughn, 536 B.R. 670; In RE: Melito, 2015 WL 6142959, *1 Bkrpcy M.D.Fla.; Knight v. Episcopal Church Of U.S., 2010 WL 2926156(DSC.2010); Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 376 U.S. 389, 84 S.Ct. 923, 11 L.Ed.2d. 804 (U.S.1964)(Where acts of King-Khalifah in his home must be deemed on foreign soil); S.C. Public Interest Foundation v. S.C. Transp. Infrastructure Bank, 403 S.C. 640, 744 S.E.2d. 521(S.C.2013); A. Cruickshank IV, Esquire, 2010 WL 3505049; Bennett v. Carter, 2015 WL 5968253, *2 (S.C.App.2015); Draugh v. U.S., 113 F.Supp.3d 1266, 1278(D.Kan.2015); In Re: Gentry, 2016 WL 4061248(2016); Cabot v. Cabot, F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 1706744(2011); Brown v. Brown, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); Harris v. hhgregg Inc., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); American Mut. Liberty Ins. Co. v. Plywood-Plastic Corp., 81 F.Supp. 157

(DSC.1948); EIE Guam v. Long Term Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d. 635(9th.Cir.2003); Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank Of Nigeria, 461 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d. 81(U.S.1983); Rull v. Secretary Of Dept. Of Health And Human Services, Fed. Cl. 2014 WL 2958453(U.S.F.C.2004)(TOUNT CE QUE LA LOI NE DEFEND PAS EST PERMITS); Society For Propagation Of Gospel In Foreign Parts Of Town Of New Haven, 21 U.S. 464, 1823 WL 2477(U.S.1823); City Of Columbia v. Our Garage And Wrecker Service Inc, 536 U.S. 424, 122 S.Ct. 2226(U.S.2002); Oldland v. Gray, 179 F2d. 408(10th.Cir.1950); Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; United Dominion Realty Trust Inc., 307 S.C. 102; M & G Polymer U.S.A., LLC. v. Tackett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130 S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.Ed.2d. 998 (2010); Adair Asset Management LLC. v. U.S. Department Of Housing Act Urban Development, 2016 WL 3248569(2016); Saunier v. Boeing Company, F.Supp.2d., 2014 WL 1646953(2014); Capital Trans Intern, LLC. v. International Petroleum Inv. Co., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013).



Supporting facts and all history that answer any remaining question is found in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM; 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER, and all cases filed by the King-Khalifah to which he is seeking to reinstate in case 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA. I join in that motion to reinstate those cases since they bear on the claims, issues and defenses argued in these cases to include mine. I join in his motion to reinstate and consolidate to cases 2013-CP-400-0084; 2013-CP-400-2294; 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 0:16-cv-992-CMC; 4:16-cv-3807; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. Spoliation and criminal conversion is being argued related to my case and the sister cases referred to.

Any claim of lashes or any other defense must be deemed waived, forfeited by the state parties and federal court due to the fraud, machination, spoliation, criminal conspiracy and

obstruction of justice argued in these cases.

I, and or we, object to any claim that the court(s) gave us time to place these cases in proper form. You have additional acts and attempts at machination and Fraud Upon The Court going on involving the Judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court here. The acts of criminal conspiracy is manifested in various forms. First, the orders presented by the various federal judges involved are tainted and must be stricken from the court record. We motion to strike them. They stand in egregious violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001. They are initiated before the courts for the purpose of concealing material facts. The Habeas Corpus petitions that the conspiring S.C. federal judges are referring to were not filed independently. They were filed as part of case(s) 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. The S.C. federal judges conspiring under color of law and or authority, separated the Habeas Corpus petitions from those cases without issuing a proper order notifying us this was being done giving the fraudulent misrepresentation that these are independent Habeas Corpus petitions. Each Habeas Corpus petition was filed with the appropriate case number for which it was assigned and intended as Judge Seymour ordered. Judge Rogers aiding Judge Seymour, inappropriately separated them and then solicited the aid of additional S.C. federal judges in acts of criminal conspiracy to conceal these material facts to fraudulently aid Judge Seymour to justify the determination made in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. If the specific case numbers were placed on these Habeas Corpus petitions, which they were. They were required pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988) to be automatically filed within the cases to which they were intended to be filed under the mailbox rule. What the heck are we doing with these additional cases being assigned? We object to this fraud and motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit. The petitioner(s) is master to decide what law he will rely upon(citation omitted). The Habeas Corpus petition(s) in their totality are filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, not 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Thus, the orders produced by the conspiring S.C. federal

judges possess fraudulent entries and are produced to conceal material facts, voiding these orders for Due Process violation, making their usage a criminal act in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001, IN Re: Blackwater Security Consulting, LLC., 460 F3d. 576 CA4(N.C.2006); U.S. v. Lawrence, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866 (S.D.Va.2015); U.S. v. Bank Of America Corp., F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 2777397(N.C.2014); Mina v. Chester County, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 6550543(2015); U.S. v. Ismail, 97 F3d. 50(4th.Cir.1996).

Secondly, they criminally, fraudulently detached the Habeas Corpus petitions from the § 1983 complaints when there was a timely filed motion to reinstate cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. Thus, the Habeas Corpus petitions were required to be filed within those cases, not the S.C. federal judges fraudulently creating these new cases for Bellamy, MuQuit, Cook, duren, Crawford, the King-Khalifah etc. The motion to reinstate cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER was timely filed before (10) days tolling time of appeal in those cases and was filed as an independent action for fraud upon the court in case 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER. This required that the Habeas Corpus petitions that are presently before this court be filed in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER, not these new case forced upon us against our will. I, we, object to this fraud, U.S. v. Hamilton, 699 F3d. 356 CA4(N.C.2012); Tetrev v. Pride Interim, Inc., 444 F.Supp.2d. 524(DSC.2006); U.S. v. Dalcour, 203 U.S. 408, 27 S.Ct. 58(U.S.1906); Mr.T v. Mrs.T, 378 S.C. 127, 662 S.E.2d. 413(S.C.App.2008); S & E Contractors, Inc. v. U.S., 406 U.S. 1, 92 S.Ct. 1411(U.S.1972); Robinson v. Estate Of Harris, 388 S.C. 630, 698 S.E.2d. 222(S.C.2010); Raby Const. LLP. v. Orr, 358 S.C. 10, 594 S.E.2d. 478(S.C.2004).

Their further intent by this fraud was to prevent our seeking to move to transfer these cases, seeking change of venue to the State Of New Jersey. If the cases are filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. They would have to be heard within the state where the conviction occurred. If the Habeas Corpus petitions were filed under §1983, they could be transferred out

of state to New Jersey. This is why Judges Harwell and Austin did a similar act of machination and fraud by separating the (117) page Writ Of Error in cases 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA and 8:16-cv-3194, 3327, 3328-RBH-JDA. They separated the Habeas Corpus from the Writ of Error by fraud to prevent justification for change of venue out of state.

Additionally, if the cases were filed pursuant to §2254 and they kept the § 1983 action separated. The judges would not have been more required to recuse themselves because you cannot sue a person under §2254. This is why they are doing what they are doing in acts of criminal conspiracy, machination and fraud upon the court. I, we, object and all orders involved in these cases must be deemed void for fraud and Due Process violation. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit and file Mandamus to remedy these injustices.

Lastly, by filing all these numerous cases to which we did not file in the manner that they are fraudulently presenting. We filed the Habeas Corpus petitions under § 1983 which were to be filed under cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. Their plan was also to manipulate us into filing all of these cases so they could come back and misrepresent the facts in acts of fraud and say that we are abusing the court by making these repetitive filing so they could dismiss the cases without addressing the issues on a technicality in acts of fraud upon the court. The same ole' stupid, criminal, fraudulent stunts. We renew our motion to disqualify these criminal judges of the South Carolina District Court in their entirety. We can get no justice in this state and criminal District as we argued, Sewell v. Strayer University, 2017 WL 57212(Md.2017); Hatcher v. Ferguson, --Fed. Appx'--2016 WL 6694904(4th.Cir.2016); Griffin v. Areva, Inc., 2016 WL 4250494(W.D.Va.2016); Zeng v. Goodstein, 2016 WL 2625282(DSC.2016); Stritzinger v. Muynitian, 2016 WL 3035089(DSC.2016); Rodreguez v. Doe, 549 Fed. Appx' 141(4th.Cir. 2013); U.S. v. Garcia, 413 Fed. Appx' 585, 2011 WL 467259(4th.Cir 2011); U.S. v. Blackman, 746 F3d. 137(4th.Cir.2014); U.S. v. Wilson, 624 F3d. 640(4th.Cir.2010).

We further object to any claim the court(s) gave us time to places these cases in proper form and we failed to do so, as I know my co-plaintiffs in the sister case do, because there is Mandamus filed under cases 16-1953 sought rehearing En Banc and 16-2141, 16-2299 and an additional one presently being processed and assigned a case number. If granted all these courts and cases orders involved, to include the previous separation orders would be void for your fraud and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1001. We were not disobeying the order(s). We were and are awaiting review via Mandamus. Thus, "CAUSE AND PREJUDICE" is established, Murray v. Carrier, 471 U.S. 478, 496, 106 S.Ct. 2339, 91 L.Ed.2d. 397; United States v. Frady, 456 U.S. 152, 168, 102 S.Ct. 1584, 1594, 71 L.Ed.2d. 334(1989); Amadeo v. Zant, 486 U.S. 214, 108 S.Ct. 1771, 1776, 100 L.Ed.2d. 249(1988). I join in the motion that you stay all (3) cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA, to now include these additional cases created by your machination and fraud upon the court, which include this case pending Mandamus review in the 4th. Circuit. Jahjah Al Mahdi has acquired interest in all of these cases as a Foreign State. Stay these cases Trustee Austin or you will be in violation of The Trust and any act done by these judges will be made void by law being in conflict to that which is prescribed by The King-Khalifah and Fiduciary Heir, and beneficiaries of the Trust. You will be liable for failure to be in compliance. Stop the machination and fraud. This is a challenge to all courts involved jurisdiction. Trustee is officially appointed. Once jurisdiction is acquired, it is exclusive. All other courts and federal judges jurisdiction is divested. I, we, motion for both judges on this case recusal. I, we, object to the judges and S.C. U.S. District Court's failure to recuse and disqualify, transferring these cases. We renew our motion for Declaratory Judgment and Mandamus before the 4th. Circuit to address this. The S.C. U.S. District Court's presence violate the holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016). You are defendants in cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA and 4:16-cv-3807-JMC-TER for among other things, that criminal, fraudulent stunt you all pulled with Judge Jean Toal in The

Richland County Court Of Common Pleas with Judge Seymour and Rogers. All Judges Of The S.C. U.S. District Court are now defendants in this case pursuant to the attached Writ Of Error filed for this case.

I, we, object to any claim that this case has no arguable basis in law and should be deemed as a strike. The default and collateral estoppel you conspired to negate, but failed, establishes the preclusive effect and bars this court from making such a challenge to which you are being sued for under case 4:16-cv-3807, those cases filed prior to that and this case. All judges who have now surfaced on the record sitting over these pending cases names relate back to the original complaint. By this Habeas Corpus and the expanding of the scope, the case is in proper form rendering moot any magistrate's concern. Res Judicata via Judges Austin's report and recommendation for all these cases involved via your machination, fraud and via sanctions sought, Goodman v. Praxir, Inc., 494 F3d. 458, 68 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 850(4th.Cir.2007); Wilkins v. Montgomery, 751 F3d. 214(4th.Cir.2014); Krupski v. Costa Crociere, 560 U.S. 538, 548, 130 S.Ct. 2485, 177 L.Ed.2d. 48(2010); Loveless v. John's Ford Inc., 232 Fed. Appx' 229, 2007 WL 1381597 CA4(Va.2007); Turner v. U.S., 736 F3d. 274 CA4(N.C.2013); Earl v. House Of Raeford Farms Inc., F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1458185(DSC.2012); U.S. v. Eccleston,--Fed. Appx',--2015 WL 4591890 CA4 Md.2015); Catawba Indian Nation v. State, 407 S.C. 526, 756 S.E.2d. 900(2014); State v. Hewins, 409 S.C. 93, 760 S.E.2d. 814(S.C.App.2014); U.S. v. Kwai Fun Wong, 135 S.Ct. 1625, 191 L.Ed.2d. 533, 83 U.S.L.W. 4258(U.S.2015); Johnson v. Stevenson, 2016 WL 1156649 (DSC.2016); Capealon v. A.T. Massey Coal Co. Inc., 556 U.S.

There are essentially two requirements that must be met before a case can be deemed frivolous or it be stated there is no arguable basis in law. One--is which it is clear that the defendants are immune from suit. You have Seymour, Rogers and the S.C. U.S. Distict Court judges stealing Robert Mitchell's money, which is also the King-Khalifah's money owed to him,

in acts of criminal conversion without legal authorization. This directly effected and prejudiced me because it produced the order(s) during and or before I could make efforts to join, to separate us, which caused irreparable harm to my and the other pleadings and or sister cases involved. The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges are not S.C. Dept. Of Corrections employees with authority over inmate funds. The Affidavit Of Service (34) pages list all defendants which is attached to the face of all complaints and or Writs Of Error filed via seeking to expand the scope and inclusion within the various cases referred to.

You have Judges doing non-judicial acts and acting within venues where they were void of all jurisdiction. "THE HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL" pursuant to conspiracy and the accomplice liability doctrine. You have Judges by their actions, essentially creating law (legislative functions) and then implementing them. You have Judges acting as investigators and or law enforcement officers, also destroying evidence (spoliation) and doing not only "executive functions", but also "administrative functions" as is argued within the documents in total. Thus, it is perspicuous that the court(s) and or defendants have not met the first prong and or requirement for calling this case frivolous or establishing the claim that there is no arguable basis in law where many of the defendants are also individuals and or companies not subject to immunity. You failed the first prong for establishing that this case is frivolous or that it has no arguable basis in law, Baccus v. Merchant, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC.2014); Pulliam v. Allen, 466 U.S. 522, 104 S.Ct. 1970(U.S.1984); Forrest v. White, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); Iluse v. U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2009 WL 3052608(DSC.2009); King v. Meyers, 973 F2d. 354 CA4(Va.1992); Abede v. Seymour, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1130660 (DSC.2012); Ex Parte Virginia supra.. You can also sue for conviction relief. We are suing for conviction relief. Therefore, the case is not frivolous.

The second requirement is where claim infringement of a legal interest which clearly does not exist. The King-Khalifah did not bring up what The Trustee Judge Austin called "THEOCRATIC

LAW" (also known as) "RELIGION". The State Of South Carolina did when they tried the King-Khalifah in a murder case for or with what the magistrate Judge calls "THEOCRATIC LAW" AKA "RELIGIOUS BELIEFS" where they had no bearing on the case at all and did not break any laws, then attacked our state Due Process matters in challenging our conviction when we sought to aid him get past their obstruction and to exercise his rights to Equal Protection of the laws in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2), 1985(3) and 12203(a)(b) of The Americans With Disabilities Act. The Foreign Sovereign has a legal interest under the 1st., 6th., and 14th. amendments not to be tried for the verity of his religious beliefs AKA "THEOCRATIC LAW", and we have an interest and right not to have our Due Process matters attacked via challenging our conviction(s) because "THEOCRATIC LAW" by their action(emphasis added) was argued in his case and we aided him to exercise constitutionally protected rights in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2), 1985(3), 12203(a)(b) and 1986. I, as I know my co-plaintiffs in the sister cases, object. Stop this madness.

Furthermore, unless the court or The S.C. Attorney General, or any other party for that matter, can produce a document filed in case 2013-CP-400-0084 showing where any party to that case timely (emphasis added) responded to defeat the default or sought to appeal or timely challenge, or produce any order found in that case that is not tainted by acts of machination, fraud and criminal conspiracy, which include the order issued by Judge Toal on December 1, 2016. No court can legitimately claim that these claims infringement of a legal interest which clearly does not exist because they are made true by the default. The default and collateral estoppel, especially in light of the RES JUDICATA that attaches as well, "[m]ust" be given full faith and credit. This must also be done via sanctions pursuant to machination, fraud and the criminal conspiracy argued. You cannot claim that the case is frivolous or have no arguable basis in law because the default makes the claims true and establishes all rights and titles. This is why Judge Austin and

26 of 37

Judge Toal did what they did, so they could call the case frivolous and aid Judge Austin avoid her responsibility and duty as the King-Khalifah's Trustee, White v. White, 886 F2d. 721 CA4(W.Va.1989); Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880).

Where complaint has arguable basis in law (ei. The Habeas Corpus issues, the bringing of religion, what Judge Austin calls "THEOCRATIC LAW" in that courtroom to obtain a conviction against Jahjah Al Mahdi, and attacking our cases because we aided him to correct this injustice in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(3), 1985(2), 1986 and 12203(a)(b) of ADA, or the default which makes all claims true). Notice of any deficiency is required and I am to be given an opportunity to amend which I and the co-plaintiffs in the sister cases do by expanding the scope and inclusion, as I do individually due to the matters bearing on my case, independently, as they do for all cases collectively. The (152) page document dated September 14, 2015 gives greater clarification and explains the default and other documents explain all claims in this extremely complex case. Judge Austin, you must be a white woman, because no black woman in her right mind would call the atrocities done during the U.S. Slave Trade frivolous. Insomuch, numerous injuries are listed within the documents filed expanding over centuries. This case is required to be factually developed within the District Court(s) requiring issuance of service on the parties due to the existing state level default and the machination, fraud and criminal conspiracy done by Judge Austin ~~ada~~ to negate the claims working outside the court and her jurisdiction with Judge Toal, a defendant in this case, within the Richland County Court Of Common Pleas. This document is also filed as an "AFFIDAVIT" and may stand alone to defeat any motion for Summary Judgment and in this case, summary and or sua sponte dismissal. I object to Judge Austin's failure to issue service in cases 8:16-cv-3194, 3327, 3328-RBH-JDA as I know my co-plaintiffs do in the sister cases, and I would adamantly object to any failure to issue service in this case. This creates a miscarriage of justice and denial of meaningful access to the courts and proper Due Process Law, McKelvey v.

Reynolds, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); Del Zotto v. Universal Physicians Services, LLC., --F.Supp.3d.--2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016) ; King v. Atiyeh, 814 F2d. 565, 568(9th.Cir.1987); Procunier v. Martinez, 416 U.S. 396, 419, 94 S.Ct. 1800, 1814, 40 L.Ed.2d. 224(1974); Johnson v. Avery, 393 U.S. 483, 485-87, 89 S.Ct. 747, 748-50, 21 L.Ed.2d. 718(1969); Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, 52 L.Ed.2d. 72(1977); White v. Gregory, 1 F3d. 267 CA4(W.Va.1993); Neitke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 104 L.Ed.2d. 338(U.S.1989); Bersley v. Dunell, 952 F2d. 395 CA4(W.Va.1991); Mann v. Bell-McKensie, 2015 WL 1224299(DSC.2015); Whiteside v. U.S., 775 F3d. 180 CA4(N.C.2014).

The order from December 1, 2016 obtained by Judges Austin and Toal is inadmissible. Issuance of Service is mandated in these cases and they are required to answer the pleading due to The United States and other 192 member states of the United Nations appearance and default binding the S.C. U.S. District Court and all other courts on record. They were properly served and defaulted in case 2013-CP-400-0084 emerging out of the state court in Richland County S.C..

A plaintiff must allege with specificity facts to support the claims. The documents filed and now to include those sought by the expanding of the scope and inclusion do just that, extending over the (10) years we struggled to get these matters heard. Thus, I, as I know my co-plaintiffs in the sister cases, object to any claim that the filing is voluminous. We are dealing with the documenting of conspiracy, machination, obstruction of justice and criminal acts expanding over (10) years. Of course the filings are voluminous. Its an extremely complex case. It is an abuse of discretion to dismiss an artfully pleaded case, which this case is, where a sympathetic reader of the documents filed within these cases indicate that it is not beyond a reasonable doubt that the plaintiff(s) could prove a set of facts in support of his claim(s) which would entitle him to relief. There is challenge to conviction being made. The facts can be proven by the acts done and default making the claim(s) true, to include the fact that Judges Austin and Toal along with the

conspiring Judges Of The S.C. U.S. District Court and state parties etc., engaged in acts of obstruction of justice, fraud and machination, delaying and or blocking removal to negate the claims to which they are being sued for in case 4:16-cv-3807 and these cases now presented that they forced upon us in vioilation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 in their efforts to conceal material facts. I, as my co-plaintiffs are a few of the 300+ inmates who made efforts to have these matters heard before the state courts only to be subjected to fraud and machination demonstrating there are no available state remedies. My name relates back to the original complaints filed within these cases involved. I, we, motion to amend to reflect this. Its impossible that every claim in these cases can be deemed as frivolous. I, we, object, Tolbert v. Stevenson, 635 F3d. 646(4th.Cir.2011); Larkimore v. Hooks, 289 Fed. Appx' 576, 2008 WL 3063704; Hines v. Thomas, 2016 WL 4492816; Fox v. Vice, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011); Woodford v. Garceau, 538 U.S. 202, 123 S.Ct. 1398, 155 L.Ed.2d. 363(2003); Cullen v. Pinholster, 563 U.S. 170, 131 S.Ct. 1388, 179 L.Ed.2d. 557(2011); Smith v. Robbins, 528 U.S. 259, 120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d. 756(2000); White v. Gregory, 510 U.S. 1096, 114 S.Ct. 931, 127 L.Ed.2d. 223(U.S.1994); Rogers v. McKinnon, F.Supp.2d., 2009 WL 4920800(DSC.2009); U.S. v. Jones, 538 Fed. Appx' 285 CA4(Va.2013); Prielo v. Zook, 791 F3d. 465 CA4(Va.2015); Sanders v. Warden At Leath C.I., 2015 WL 4635144(DSC.2015); Ortiz v. U.S., 555 Fed. Appx' 261 CA4(Md.2014); U.S. v. Surratti, --F3d.--, 2015 WL 4591677 CA4 (N.C.2015); U.S. v. Newbold, 791 F3d. 455 CA4(N.C.2015).

It is an abuse of discretion and an act of machination and fraud upon the court to assert there is no arguable basis in law by what is presented in this document and those sought via expanding the scope and seeking inclusion. That which is argued are not indisputable meritless theories. It is the conspiring Judges intent to protect their cohorts and their employer The United States from suit who are attempting to conceal their appearance and default in the state case, case 2013-CP-400-0084. The court cannot act as their Attorney or co-conspirator or

co-associate partner in these egregious injustices and attempt to correct their machination, fraud and failure to timely respond in case 2013-CP-400-0084, via also by what just occurred with Judges Austin, Harwell, Toal and other Judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court conspiring to negate the claim of default and collateral estoppel in the Richland Court Of Common Pleas on December 1, 2016, where there also exist actual innocence claims via The King-Khalifah and they attacked our cases for aiding him seek redress before the courts creating a miscarriage of justice which voids all orders and reports and recommendations for Due Process violation, fraud and violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001. The court must adhere to the preclusive effect that emerges from the State court as now exist rendering void the order(s) obtained by Judges Austin, Toal and the conspiring defendants, to include the other conspiring Judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court involved. The District Court must address the criminal challenges to the convictions, all claims related thereto, and allow discovery to develop the case and record. The aforementioned within this document and those sought via inclusion and expanding of the scope indeed produce arguable basis in law, Braddy v. United States, 2016 WL 1031301 (E.D.Va.2016); Iannelli v. U.S., 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d. 616; Best v. Bank Of America N.A., 2015 WL 5124463 (E.D.N.Y.2015); United States v. Burns, 2016 WL 3910273; Dennis v. Secretary, Pennsylvania Dept. Of Corr., --F3d.--, 2016 WL 4440925 (3rd.Cir.2016); Morris v. York, 2013 WL 2635610 (DSC.2013); Miligan v. Drug Enforcement Admin., 2014 WL 897144 (DSC.2014); Clemont v. West, 86 F3d. 1149 CA4(N.C.1993); Whiteside v. U.S., 135 S.Ct. 2890 (U.S.2015); Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 112 S.Ct. 1728 (U.S.1992); Cruz v. Gomez, 202 F3d. 593 (2nd.Cir. 2000); Smith v. Wademoth, 2015 WL 403108 (N.D.N.Y.2015); Morse v. Network Of Al Qeida Attorneys, 2012 WL 1155821 (E.D.N.Y.2012); Swagzee's Lessee v. Burke, 37 U.S.A. 1839 WL 3940 (U.S.1839); Loughman v. U.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384 (U.S.2014); U.S. v. Pierce, 400 F3d. 176 CA4(Va.2005); Smith v. Clark/Smoot/Russell, --F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4(Md.2015); Lucas v. Bristol Condominium Property Owners Ass'n., S.E.2d., 2015 WL 3885837 (S.C.App.2015); Fox Ex. Rel. Fox v. Elk Run Coal Co., Inc., 739 F3d. 131 CA4

(2014); U.S. v. Abdul Wahab, 715 F3d. 521 CA4(Va.2013); U.S. Ex. Rel. Nathan v. Takeda Pharmaceuticals North American Inc., 707 F3d. 451 CA4(Va.2013); U.S. v. Jones, 716 F3d. 851 CA4 (Va.2013); U.S. v. Panlock, 494 Fed. Appx' 366 CA4(W.Va.2012); Browning v. Tiger Eye Benefits Consulting, 313 Fed. Appx' 656, 2009 WL 497391 CA4(Va.2009).

Hopefully these are enough objections for your recreant rump Judge Austin. Let them stand as such for this and any other court involved in these sister cases. Its apparent that you underestimated The One True God and His appointed Prophet, King, Khalifah and High Priest. I tell you like they said in the movie "THE AMISTAD". Stop your fraud and foolishness, abolish your unjust laws, return our God's intellectual property, establish the lien and freeze, Judge Austin is to fulfill her duty as Trustee and entertain jurisdiction over all cases involved including this case, lay down!!! and give us freee!, 5 U.S.C.A. § 702, Sierra Club v. Morton, 405 U.S. 727, 92 S.Ct. 1361, 1364, 31 L.Ed.2d. 636.

Insomuch, the orders filed within these cases, to include the cases themselves are tainted. This includes the Judges who sit upon them being compromised whose presence is in furtherance of the conspiracy. The cases cannot be executed as they presently exist without being in egregious violation of Due Process law voiding the S.C. U.S. District Court's jurisdiction for that Due Process violation. I, we, object. The cases stand in blatant violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001. By federal law and statute they cannot be prosecuted by the conspiring S.C. U.S. District Court Judges due to the fictitious entries contained therein, fraudulently asserting they were filed under § 2254 when in truth, they were filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, not 28 U.S.C. § 2254. They were filed under § 1983 within cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER and their fraud upon the court is in effort to conceal the material fact that the Habeas Corpus within those cases were illegally separated from those cases without producing a proper order informing us that they were

officially, legally giving us clear notice that this was being done. The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges, in their totality, are engaging in acts of machination, fraud and misrepresentation to prevent removal of relevant state cases, recusal and disqualification of the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges, to prevent transfer of venue of this case to the State of New Jersey, and to conceal and or prevent adjudication and suit on the claim of criminal conversion and other claims related to and or done by Judges Seymour, Rogers, Cain, Harwell and the other S.C. U.S. District Court Judges efforts to shield them. This relates to the money stolen from Robert Mitchell that was owed to the King-Khalifah in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER. The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges that presently sit upon these cases is in furtherance of the conspiracy. "THE HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL" by the accomplice liability doctrine. Writ of Error is now filed within these cases immediately altering them to action filed under § 1983 not § 2254.

The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges in their totality are conspiring to avoid suit, which is another reason they filed all these new actions without our consent, detaching them from the § 1983 in case 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER, to prevent the granting of § 1983 civil relief, as opposed to relief for conviction under § 2254. I, we, object. The Court and Judges involved were informed that we are a few of those 300+ inmates that were defrauded by the Gentry case and our seeking to aid the King-Khalifah seek review of the legal issues of religious prophesy as was argued since 2006. All of our names relate back to the original complaint as plaintiffs. Cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER and 8:16-cv-3194, 3327, 3328-RBH-JDA as well as 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA are still pending and or was timely sought reinstated within (10) days tolling any time for appeal. There was no justifiable reason to file all these repetitive cases against our will when those actions are still pending. We object.

It was an abuse of discretion, machination, misrepresenta-

tion and fraud upon the court to use the provisions of The Prison Litigation Reform Act to separate us when we are challenging the constitutionality of this provision of law, to include that which is established under AEDPA where these provisions of law stand in egregious violation of the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880) that disproportionately effect African Americans by the evidence we presented in these cases. You were informed and all judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court were given notice of these proceedings via the Writs Of Mandamus pending within the 4th.Circuit that establish cases 16-2141, 16-2299, and the (2) Mandamus presently being processed that you, the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges In your Totality are defendants in these cases, which include the § 1983 and Writ Of Errors pending. The United States Supreme Court in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) was unequivocal. A judge cannot sit upon his own case, or upon a case to which he has an interest. It produces a structural error that voids your jurisdiction for Due Process violation. Your names relate back to the original complaints and or Writs Of Error filed within these cases. I, we, motion for your, both judges, all judges involved in all of these cases recusal. I, we, motion for a change of venue to The state Of New Jersey where the King-Khalifah's other cases are pending. I, we, motion to consolidate all of these cases pursuant to The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1602-1612 et. seq.. I, we, motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on all the aforementioned claims and issues argued within this document. The S.C. U.S. District Court Judges are conspiring under color of law and or authority to create a procedural error and or defect on the part of the petitioners in acts of machination and fraud, forcing us, conspiring to manipulate us into prosecuting these repetitive cases to criminally justify dismissing these cases from the court on a technicality, fraudulently misrepresenting the facts, to assert we are abusing the court to avoid addressing the legal issues and claims that are placed before them. I, we, object. I, we, motion for sanctions from the 4th. Circuit and courts involved, and any future, additional determination that is to be made by any other magistrate and or federal judge

challenging our bringing forth these cases be deemed forfeited due to fraud upon the court. I, we, motion to vacate reference to any other magistrate judge, and that RES JUDICATA attach. see All Writs Act 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)(2000); Sewell v. Strayer University, 2017 WL 57212(Md.2017); Hatcher v. Ferguson,--Fed. Appx'--2016 WL 6694904(4th.Cir.2016); Griffin v. Areva, Inc., 2016 WL 4250494(W.D.Va.2016); Zeng v. Goodstein, 2016 WL 2625282 (DSC.2016); Stritzinger v. Muynitian, 2016 WL 3035089(DSC.2016); Rodriguez v. Doe, 549 Fed. Appx' 141(4th.Cir.2013); U.S. v. Blackman, 746 F3d. 137(4th.Cir.2014); U.S. v. Wilson, 624 F3d. 640(4th.Cir.2010); U.S. v. Ashley, 606 F3d. 135(4th.Cir.2010); U.S. v. Garcia, 413 Fed. Appx' 585, 2011 WL 467259(4th.Cir.2011); Karsjens v. Piper,--F3d.--,2017 WL 24613(8th.Cir.2017); Carolina Baking Co. v. Geilfuss, 169 S.C. 348, 168 S.E. 849(Sup.Ct.1933); Tisdale v. American Life Ins. Co., 216 S.C. 10, 56 S.E.2d. 580 (Sup.Ct.1949); In Re: Syntax-Brilliant Corporation, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016); In Re: Lundy, 2016 WL 6108524(D.C.Ohio 2016); Ethridge v United States, 2016 WL 337749(N.C.2016); Dacres v. Attorney General U.S., 615 Fed. Appx' 79(3rd.Cir.2015); Capealon v. A.T. Massey Coal Co. Inc., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208(U.S.2009); Johnson v. Stevenson, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); McGrath v. Everest Nat. Ins. Co., 2009 WL 4842837; Hiertus v. City Of Camden, 2009 WL 3151312(D.N.J.2009); Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); PCS Nitrogen, Inc. v. Ross Development Corp., 126 F.Supp.3d. 611(DSC.2015); 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (Appellate Brief) Brief Of U.S. Senators et. al.,; In Re: Wallace, 649 Fed. Appx' 298(MEM)(4th.Cir.2016); Walker v. Beaumont Independent School District, 2016 WL 6666833; Cabi v. Boston Children's Hospital, 161 F.Supp.3d. 136(2016); United States v. Herrera-Valdez, 826 F3d. 912(2016); U.S. Ex. Rel. May v. Purdue Pharma L.P., 737 F3d. 908(4th.Cir.2013); Kinojuz I.P. v. I.R.P. International Inc., 2016 WL 5936875(N.Y.2016); Green v. Bradley Company,--F.Supp.3d.--2016 WL 3633833; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404, 1407; Hensler v. New York State Dept. Of Invironmental Con., 2016 WL 3849840(N.J.2016); U.S. v. Blankenship, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 1565675(S.D.Va.2015); North Carolina v. Davis, 2014 WL 1317647 *1+(E.D.N.C.2014); 2014 WL 820086, *1+(E.D.N.C.2014).

16. Give the name and address, if you know, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment you are challenging: See documents filed in cases 4:16-cv-2939,

(a) At preliminary hearing: 3101-3107-MBS-TER also cases 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, 8:16-cv-3194, 3327, 3328-RBH-JDA.

(b) At arraignment and plea:

The Courts must acknowledge that marriage is "THEOCRATIC

(c) At trial: LAW" in its original conception, given to the world as a "GRANT" under contract with restrictions. If the

(d) At sentencing: U.S. Supreme Court entertained jurisdiction over the issues related to same sex marriage. They automatically

(e) On appeal: entertained jurisdiction over "THEOCRATIC LAW" that is under contract in violation of Article 1 section 10.

(f) In any post-conviction proceeding: Thus, by Article 1 section 10 Of The U.S. Constitution jurisdiction is established before this

(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding: court by contracts which cannot be made or unmade by the courts. I, we, object. The United States is being sue for this as

17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are

challenging? ☐ Yes ☐ No beneficiaries of the Trust under

(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:

International and Federal Probate statutes and Laws.

(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:

(c) Give the length of the other sentence:

(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served in the future? ☐ Yes ☐ No

18. TIMELINESS OF PETITION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) does not bar your petition.*

Timelines for this petition and equitable tolling have already been addressed within this document and the other litigation

placed before the court by expanding of the scope and seeking inclusion. The report and recommendation submitted by Judge Austin cannot be accepted and any other subsequent submission of a report and recommendation by any other magistrate judge must be deemed waived and forfeited due to the machination, fraud and misrepresentation done by the conspiring S.C. U.S.

District Court Judges Involved, all Judges of the court in its totality. Any opposition to these cases moving forward or to that of change of venue to the state of New Jersey must be deemed waived and forfeited as well. I, we, object to anything to the contrary. The King-Khalifah has officially denounced his American citizenship, adopting the Israeli citizenship of his forefathers. He officially invokes the Israeli Law of return. This directly effects me, giving me the same rights as a Christian, Muslim, African American or Jewish descent under The F.S.I.A.. He shall sit upon the throne of his father King David.

This is also argued within the documents submitted by expanding the scope and seeking inclusion. Thus, my rights under the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act are established by contract and are protected under Article 1 sec. 10 of the U.S. Constitution as a beneficiary of the Trust created by "CONTRACT/COVENANT". Such is established by the Sole Corporation and THE ONE TRUE GOD.

* The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

- (1) A one-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of -
 - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
 - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;
 - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
 - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

36 of 37

- (2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.

Therefore, petitioner asks that the Court grant the following relief: Conviction and sentence vacated, name and DNA removed from all derogatory files, the other relief sought via the Writs of Error and § 1983 actions

or any other relief to which petitioner may be entitled. that are now attached by expanding the scope and seeking inclusion. I, we, want these cases consolidated and transferred to New Jersey and all petitioners/plaintiff removed along with all their property to a pre-release camp pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c).

Signature of Attorney (if any)

I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison mailing system on _____ (month, date, year).

Executed (signed) on _____ (date).

Signature of Petitioner

If the person signing is not petitioner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing this petition.

File in CASE 2016-000640
Court Of Appeals

For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,

Petition From South Carolina
Cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-
3107-MBS-TER Et. Al.,

DOCKET No. 16-2299

Yahya MuQuit Et. Al.,

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,


defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

We, Jahjah Al Mahdi, Et. Al., do hereby certify, that we have mailed and or served a copy of an affidavit of facts giving judicial notice; filing Writ Of Mandamus; Motion for Declaratory Jydgment; Motion for recusal;**** (26) pages dated November 4, 2016, on the 4th. Circuit, Judges Austin, Harvell, Seymour, Rogers, S.C. District Court 300 East Washington Street Greenville, S.C. 29601 and all involved parties by U.S. mail postage prepaid on November 5, 2016. It is deemed filed that date, Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379 (1988).

1 of 2


RESPECTFULLY,
JAEJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK



YABYA MUQUIT



NOVEMBER 5, 2016

2082

**Court Of Appeals
For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,**

**Petition From South Carolina
Case 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-
MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, 1429, 1428,
1424, 1425; The New Jersey
Supreme Court case 077386; 16-1519;
16-1953; 16-2141; 2015-CP-46-415;
2013-CP-400-0084 Et. Al.,**

DOCKET No. 16-2299

**Yahya MuQuit #318455; Anthony Cook #115157; Lawrence L. Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839**

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,

defendants

SUMMONS

TO: Judges Austin, Harwell, Seymour and Rogers,

1 of 26

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED, to answer and be in compliance (emphasis added) to this Writ Of Mandamus and all documents served upon you related to cases 16-1519, 16-1953 , 16-2141, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and or was previously served upon you, and you are to serve a copy of your answer and proof of compliance (emphasis added) upon the subscribers at the addresses shown below within (30) days after service thereof, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer and be in compliance (emphasis added) to the documents in question, judgment and default shall be rendered against you for the relief demanded within this Mandamus and documents filed in case 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141.

Lawrence L. Crawford

aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite

#300839 Wando A-127

Yahya MuQuit


#318455 Ashley B-

Anthony Cook

#115157 Stone A-56

Lieber C.I. P.O. Box 205

Ridgeville, S.C. 29472



4th. Circuit

November 3, 2016

20826

**Court Of Appeals
For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,**

**Petition From South Carolina
Cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-
MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, 1429, 1428,
1424, 1425; The New Jersey Supreme
Court case 077386; 16-1519; 16-1953;
16-2141; 2015-CP-46-415; 2013-CP-
400-0084 Et. Al.,**

DOCKET No. 16-2299

**Yahya MuQuit #318455; Anthony Cook #115157; Lawrence L. Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839**

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,

defendants

**Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice;
Filing Writ Of Mandamus; Motion For Declaratory
Judgment; Motion For Recusal; Motion For
Consolidation; Motion To Transfer Venue;
Motion To Ensure And Or Require The Trustee
Austin To Act In A Proper Or Restored Manner**

3 of 26

Pursuant To Her Corporate And Or Visitatorial
etc. Powers; Motion To Challenge Jurisdiction;
Motion To Vacate The Separation Order And
Motion To Motion Therefor

IN RE: Crawford, Cook, MuQuit and cases 8:16-cv-3194,
3327, 3328-RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER.

TO: The 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals,
The S.C. District Court Et. Al.,

In pursuant to Article 4 § 20 powers of justices
and or judges at chambers, each of the justices and or judges
at chambers, including the 4th. Circuit Court of Appeals, and
all other courts on record. Shall have the same powers at
chambers, to issue Writ Of Habeas Corpus, Mandamus, Quo Warranto,
Certiorari,....and pursuant to Rule 65 and 21 of State and or
Federal Rules of Procedure.

Pursuant to rule 57 of state and or federal rules of
Civ. Procedure and or S.C. Code Ann § 15-53-10 through § 15-
53-140 and or 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. In cases of actual con-
troversy,....any court of the United States, upon the filing
of an appropriate pleading, may declare the right and other
legal relations of any interested party seeking such Declaration
whether or not further relief is or could be sought****.

The petitioners give all parties judicial notice. Here
attached the 4th. Circuit will find:

(1) The separation orders issued in cases 8:16-cv-
3327-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3194-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA.

(2) A copy of The affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial
Notice; Seeking To Suspend Or Relax The Appellate Court Rules;
Seeking To Expand The Scope And For Inclusion****, (58) pages

4 of 26

dated July 21, 2016.

This document is also being filed as a challenge to both the S.C. District Court and 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction and or limitations placed thereupon which will be elaborated on later. Subject Matter Jurisdiction can be raised at anytime, cannot be waived and the court shall not fail to take notice, Grupo Dalaflex v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct.1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); Loumlet v. United States, 65 F.Supp.3d 19(2014); U.S. v. Tisdale, F.Supp.2d 2007 WL 2156666 (DSC.2007); Sebelius v. Auburn Regional Medical Center, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); Sizward v. Riddle, F.Supp.2d 2013 WL 707018 (DSC.2013).

First, we motion for both Judges Austin and Harwell's recusal as judges on this case and motion that Judge Austin be required to fulfill her duties as Trustee appointed by the King-Khalifah. They both are defendants. Austin is being sued for injunctive relief to require her to fulfill her duties as Trustee. The U.S. Supreme Court was unequivocal in the case of Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. A Mandamus is an appropriate vehicle to use to ensure and or require that Trustee Austin act in a proper or restored manner pursuant to her corporate and or visitatorial powers given to her by the King-Khalifah established by the default and claims of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084, that they conspired in fraud, criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice to negate but failed. She cannot sit as Trustee and Judge. We object. A Trustee's duty of loyalty prohibits both self dealing and conflicts of interest: Thus, the Trustee must neither (1) deal with the Trust property or designated matters related to the Trust for the benefit of himself or third parties; (2) or place herself in a position inconsistent with the interest of the Trust, Omoa Wireless, S. de R.L. v. U.S., 244 F.R.D. 303(M.D.2004); Kreman v. Blank, 55 B.R. 1018(MD.1985); Quick v. Formula Telecom Inc., F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 572513(2011); Forbes v. Forbes, 341 P3d 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015.

5 of 26

Insomuch, pursuant to legal documents filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Judge Austin via the superseding legislative and judicial powers of the King-Khalifah via the default to which The United States and other 192 Member States of The U.N. are party to. Judge Austin now has powers pursuant to S.C. Code Ann § 25-1-2820; S.C. Const. Art. XVII § 1A; S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-1080 (By this she cannot sit); S.C. Code Ann § 62-7-816 paragraph (24); S.C. Code Ann § 17-17-30 To grant Habeas Corpus; S.C. Code Ann § 14-5-380 (By commission of the King and Global Theocratic Court her powers reach Globally); S.C. Code Ann § 38-14-70 (She can make laws consistent with the King-Khalifah's decrees). Judge Lee was Trustee the time the Georgia case was filed. Her misdealings led to her being replaced by Judge Austin, S.C. Public Interest Foundation v. S.C. Transp. Infrastructure Bank, 403 S.C. 640, 744 S.E.2d 521 (S.C.2013); A. Cruickshank, IV, Esquire, 2010 WL 3505049; University Of Southern California v. Movani, 617 S.E.2d 135 (S.C.App.2005); Wilson v. Dallas, 743 S.E.2d 746, 754+ (S.C.2013); Phillip v. Quick, 731 S.E.2d 327, 328 (S.C.App.2012); Bennett v. Carter, 2015 WL 5968253, *2 (S.C.App.2015); American Sur. Co. v. Hamrick Mills, 9 S.E.2d 433 (S.C.App.1940); Draughan v. U.S., 113 F.Supp.3d 1266, 1278 (D.Kan.2015)

Judge Austin's jurisdiction was limited, restricted to releasing us, ordering our removal and seeing that relief sought was granted, not to issue a separation order. Thus, the act is void. Once jurisdiction is acquired, in this case given to her to discharge all matters and debts, it is exclusive. Thus, this even places a limit on the 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction other than the terms specified by the King-Khalifah, whose powers now legally supersedes all Global Courts by the default. The court had jurisdiction over us by appearance. Thus, the claims of Sovereign power and other attributes given to the King-Khalifah via the default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 must be given full faith and credit, to include Austin's appointment as Trustee, Mints v. U.S., 842 F2d 1291, 1291 CA4 (S.C.1988); Dawson Ex Rel. Estate Of Dawson v. U.S., 333 F.Supp.2d 488, 492 (DSC.2004); Campeau v. U.S.,

2015 WL 1308282, *9(N.D.Ga.2015); Brown v. TransSuburban U.S.C., Inc., 2015 WL 6675088 CA4(Va.2015); Baron v. Brackis, 312 F.Supp. 2d 808 CA4(Va.2004); Anderson v. LeGrand, 2012 WL 529812 *4(ED. Va.2012).

Austin is also given power and authority under 11 U.S.C.A. § 1104; 11 U.S.C.A. § 1302; 11 U.S.C.A. § 727; 15 U.S.C.A. § 78 fff-1; 15 U.S.C.A. § 704; S.C. Code Ann § 11-37-50; S.C. Code Ann § 11-50-60; S.C. Code Ann § 49-17-1400(For lien assessment and remedies on default); S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-370(Ordor or Decree as a lien or Judgment); 11 U.S.C.A. § 1141; S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-260. Thus, jurisdiction is impeached by review of the Chief Justice of The Global Theocratic Court being the highest court by default and collateral estoppel, Knight v. Episcopal Church Of U.S., 2010 WL 2926156 (DSC.2010). She has jurisdiction, but only to act in accordance to the wishes of the King-Khalifah, IN RE: Southern Metal Products Corporation, 26 F.Supp. 666(Ala. 1939); Republic Of Iraq v. ABB, AC, 920 F.Supp.2d 517(N.Y.D.C. 2013); Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 376 U.S. 398, 84 S.Ct. 923, 11 L.Ed.2d 804(U.S.1964)(where acts of King-Khalifah in his home must be deemed on Foreign soil); Remington Rand Corporation-Delaware v. Business System Inc., 830 F2d 1260(3rd. Cir.1997).

She also has power under U.S.C.A. Amend. 13 and 36 U.S.C. A. § 140405. She must be made to fulfill her duties. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek Mandamus to require this, Herring Associates, Inc v. Gervais, 2016 WL 475174; IN RE: Vaugh, 536 B.R. 670; IN RE: Melito, 2015 WL 6142959, *1+ Bkrpcy MD.Fla.; IN RE: Christianson, 2015 WL 6125537, *1 Bkrpcy W.D.N.Y.; Willes v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A., 2012 WL 5250553, *6 D.Md.; El-Bey v. Rolgalski, 2015 WL 1393580, *3 D.Md.; Babatunde v. Ward, 2016 WL 375045 *6(DSC). Judge Austin was without jurisdiction to issue that separation order because it was in violation of the Trustee duty to do so, conflicting with the King-Khalifah's decrees who is the Fiduciary Heir of The (4) Global Thrones. WE motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek to remedy this via Mandamus.

WE motion to consolidate all cases together for several reasons. (1) The parties are indispensable to the action and complete relief cannot be granted where the Crawford case in Richland County establishes the rights of collateral estoppel and default for all parties. Race is a predominating factor and religious hatred where they framed the King-Khalifah and attacked the other plaintiffs cases compromising case 2013-CP-400-2294 to which we all are joint parties. The few who were not officially made a part of the record in case 2013-CP-400-2294 were blocked by acts of fraud upon the court where that case was removed to the Kentucky District Court at the time it was dismissed divesting them of jurisdiction at the time they fraudulently acted. Further, that court failed to bring before it all the involved plaintiffs. Thus, any order produced by them cannot be deemed a final order where the rights of all parties were never adjudicated voiding their jurisdiction for Due Process violation. In such, our convictions are already invalidated via the False Imprisonment Tort which is case 2013-CP-400-0084. We motion for Declaratory Judgment, Surrato v. Building Service 32 B.J. Pension Fund, 554 F.Supp.2d 399; Ocampu v. Building Service 32 B.J. Pension Fund, F.Supp.3d, 2014 WL 687227(2014); Cejaj v. Building Service 32 B.J. Health Fund, F.Supp.2d 2004 WL 414834; Stewart v. Abend, 495 U.S. 207, 110 S.Ct. 1750(U.S.1990); Martin v. Waddell's Lessee, 41 U.S. 367, 16 Pet. 367, 1842 WL 5744; Virginia Marine Resources Comm'n v. Chincoterger Inn, 287 Va. 371, 757 S.E.2d 1 (2014).

Collateral estoppel attaches to all parties since the S.C. Attorney General and The United States were party to the default. We further seek the consolidation because in truth, the separation order issued by Judge Austin do not establish a complete separation. When you look at the order. It is per-spicious that the separation only involves the (117) page Writ Of Error. There are two Writs of Errors filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, where all (3) of us are party to each. Since the order does not address the severing of the first Writ Of Error filed January 2016 and only addresses the one they in acts of

fraud upon the court filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Then we are, Cook and Crawford, still attached to the MuQuit case since the order does not address that January 2016 filing. Do you see the mess they made of our Due Process matters? We motion for sanctions and the court and all parties be deemed waived any opposition in this case going forward, that discovery issues, Attorney be appointed and we be removed to a pre-release camp pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c), Stillwagon v. City Of Delaware, 2016 WL 6094157(2016); Lennar Mare Island, LLC. v. Steadfast Insurance Company, 2016 WL 5847010(2016).

We further seek to vacate the separation order because in order to issue it. Judge Austin had to make a determination on some of the underlying merits of the case to do so, and no filing fee was paid, nor were there any filing in forma pauperis documents filed. This is jurisdictional and is required before she can say anything to the merits. Once she made effort to separate us when we are suing the United States for initiating PLRA and AEDPA. By her making use of this provision to separate us. She is by her order essentially saying our claim that the provision is unconstitutional has no merit. See attached (58) page document dated July 21, 2016. This taints the order voiding it where the fee was not paid, nor were there any in forma pauperis documents filed. See (40) page document dated October 25, 2016 for citing of law. We motion for Declaratory Judgment on this issue. Also see Thompson v. Finn, 2016 WL 5724369(2016).

Further, the PLRA only speak to inmates who are inmates in name only. Nothing in the PLRA speak to as to whether or not the plaintiffs are prohibited from filing jointly if they are all beneficiaries to a Trust seeking joint relief pursuant to that Trust, which is also what this case represents. There is Trustee appointed. Nothing in the PLRA gives any impression that Congress intended to invalidate joint filing under Federal Probate and International Probate laws. We are beneficiaries to a Trust which will be elaborated on further. Nothing in the PLRA demonstrates that Congress intended to invalidate Probate statutes and International law that permit joint filing, IN

9 of 26

RE: Gentry, 2016 WL 4061248(2016); IN RE: Edwards, 501 B.R. 666(2013); Chabot v. Chabot, F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 1706744(2011); Jakoblec v. Merrill Lynch Life Ins. Co., F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 1706744(2011); Marcus v. Quattrocchi, 715 F.Supp.2d 524(2010); Parrish v. Alameda County, F.Supp.2d 2007 WL 2904253(2007). Thus, jurisdiction again by decree would jointly fall under Judge Austin as was the King-Khalifah's terms. Once jurisdiction is acquired as it is now. It is exclusive essentially creating a remand which limits all court's jurisdiction under Foreign Law, defaulted on in this case subjudice, Brown v. Brown, F.Supp.2d, 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); Harris v. hhgregg Inc., F.Supp.2d, 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); Karnalcheva v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 871 F.Supp.2d 834(2012). We Object.

Further, the PLRA only speaks to inmates who are inmates in name only, because the PLRA speaks nothing to as to whether or not plaintiffs are prohibited from filing jointly if they are Foreign citizens and or a Foreign State. Decedent domicile issues attach to this case. We are dealing with an unprecedented event, a legal binding Contract, Covenant, Testament, between God and Adam and Eve, who was a King, creating a sole corporation. The Quran confirms the Biblical Text stating Adam was a "Vicegerant", "King", whom King David and Christ trace their lineage back to, who were also Kings. Pursuant to this legal binding contract. Once the Heir appears, he automatically make every Christian, Jew, Muslim, African and its diaspora Kings and Priest, Khalifahs and Imams, with the same rights established by the F.S.I.A.. See King James Bible, Exodus 19:6; Isaiah 66:21; Revelations 5:10. A Sole Corporation is a series of persons holding office, a continuous legal personality that is attributed to successive holders of certain Monarchical or Ecclesiastical position, such as Kings, Bishops, High Priests and the like. This continuous personality is viewed by legal fiction as having the qualities of a Corporation C.I. Corporation aggregate. Contracts cannot be made or unmade by the courts. A Testament is defined as a legal document disposing of a person's property after death which includes all rights and titles, tangible, intangible and intellectual. A Covenant is a contract which is not to be made broken by the courts. As The Fiduciary Heir, King, Jahjah has legal authority to defend and sue to protect the Contract/ Covenant, American Mut. Liberty Ins. Co. v. Plywood-Plastics Corp., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948).

A District Court shall not have jurisdiction of a Civil action in which any party, by assignment or otherwise, has been improperly or collusively made or joined to invoke the jurisdiction of such court, 28 U.S.C. § 1359 section 1441(d) consistently has been interpreted to allow Foreign States that are named as third-party plaintiffs in a state case already in progress to remove to federal court. The policies that led Congress to provide a Federal Forum to Foreign States is just as strong when those States acquire an interest in ongoing litigation, and when they voluntarily join such litigation, it is as if they are named originally as party. We hold that a Foreign State that acquires an interest in state court litigation by assignment may remove the case to Federal Court under The F.S.I.A., consoli-

date and be heard jointly, even if the Foreign State joins the litigation voluntarily. I, Jahjah Al Mahdi, give notice. I voluntarily join all plaintiffs involved cases to include those listed in case 2013-CP-400-2294; Reinstate that case and remove them, EIE Guam v. Long Term Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d 635(9th.Cir. 2003); Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank Of Nigeria, 461 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d 81(U.S.1983). It is Decreed.

Since there is nothing in the provisions of the PLRA that speaks to these concerns or gives any indication that Congress intended to render void clearly established Federal and International Probate statutes, laws or Treaties. Where the language of a Treaty is clear of ambiguity. There is no room for construction. The F.S.I.A. permits joint filing.

"TOUT CE QUE LA LOI NE DEFEND PAS EST PERMITS"---That which the law does not forbid is permitted. Congress did not intend The Act to deprive of F.S.I.A. rights established under Probate laws or Treaties, Rull v. Secretary Of Dept. Of Health And Human Services, Fed. Cl. 2004 WL 2958453(U.S.F.C.2004); Society For Propagation Of Gospel In Foreign Parts Of Town Of New Haven, 21 U.S. 464, 1823 WL 2477(U.S.1823).

Congress expressed no opinion as to whether or not PLRA applies to inmates who are beneficiaries of a Trust where exclusive jurisdiction would lie before a Trustee appointed by a Foreign State, City Of Columbia v. Ours Garage and Wrecker Services Inc., 536 U.S. 424, 122 S.Ct. 2226(U.S.2002). Consolidate these cases please, Oldland v. Gray, 179 F2d 408(10th.Cir.1950); Guino v. Goard, 380 F3d 670; Wilder v. Virginia, 46 F. 676(W.Va. 1891) Rosen v. Rozan, 179 F.Supp. 829. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek all the aforementioned by Mandamus.

Insomuch, there is a recent documentary done and aired on PBS called "13". The evidence gathered by Michelle Allexander is undisputable. The governor of Virginia is presently now making efforts to restore the voting rights of inmates. The question is clear. Do the PLRA and AEDPA provisions which are an intrinsic part of the Clinton War on Drugs Campaign, disproportionately target African Americans? We all know the answer is yes. Put it on the record. We motion for Declaratory Judgment. If the answer is yes, which of course it is. They run afoul of EX Parte Virginia and can no longer be used by the courts. We object.

Here attached the court will find:

(4) A copy of the [92] page default document dated May 2, 2014.

(5) A copy of the [95] page subsequent document exercising power via the default dated December 8, 2014 with [9] page attachment.

(6) The [152] page document dated September 14, 2015 explaining the legal terms the default is established.

(7) The [31] page document dated October 7, 2015 voiding their jurisdiction for Due Process violation and appoint-

11 of 26

ing Judge Lee as Trustee.

When the conspiring parties realized the magnitude of what took place by the submitting of these documents. They had to act in additional crimes of conspiracy and fraud to negate the facts, and to get around the facts, that by their conspiratorial efforts took place. The parties went beyond the time to "LEGALLY" (emphasis added) respond to the documents and defeat them. Thereby they FORFEIT challenge where none was timely made.

An additional act which demonstrates the fraud. Is that Austin in using the PLRA asserted we had to exhaust. So Austin essentially said "BUNK" the U.S. Supreme Court in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct.1850(2016). I don't care that we with the state actors are engaging in fraud, machination, criminal conspiracy, misrepresentation and other outrageous acts including stealing inmate funds. They still must exhaust. We object and motion for Declaratory Judgment where they held these cases up in a deadend within the state courts for well over (10) years when you look at the fraud occurring in the Gentry case involving the S.C. Supreme Court. the highest court is a defendant and defaulted. There are no available state remedies and some relief they don't have the power to grant or refuse to grant. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and file Mandamus to correct this injustice.

Now what is the Trust to which the plaintiffs as Christians, Jews, Muslims, and Africans are the beneficiaries of? The answer in part lies within Black Law Dictionary, which reads, LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUNTS SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY, NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA". This is law, Foreign Law, Theocratic Law, which is perspicuous because it is found in Black Law Dictionary. Thus, no one can assert this is a conclusory claim. It interprets for the purpose of this case.-- "THE LAWS OF GOD ARE THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH POSSESSES (INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY); FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL OF HIS SUBJECTS ARE RULED: AND

12 of 26

IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH, NOR INHERITANCE". This is defaulted on by the parties subjudice.

This is the heart of the matter and the source of the controversy related to most, if not all, the claims that are placed before the court. Christ confirmed this in the New Testament whenever he spoke his parables and said, "THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN IS LIKE,...". The "KINGDOM", embodies the laws, rules ordinances, commands, prohibitions, rewards etc. that govern servanthood to demonstrate that you are party to the legal binding contract established by God with "MAN AND WOMAN" that makes one entitled by, to the eternal inheritance promised by God, to paradise, eternal life.

The (4) basic, essential pillars to the contract are "MILK", "HONEY", "JEALOUSY" and "SALT". These (4) pillars make Judaism, Islam and Christianity congruent, in harmony with each other. It makes them "ONE", demonstrating that all (3) of these legal binding Contracts, Wills, Testaments of inheritance are "ONE", and come from the same God. "MILK"---is obedience, servanthood. God milks us for all He Desires. He is an austere God. He has Dominion. "HONEY"---is for this obedience. We are given blessings, all the benefits of the contract(s) which include eternal life after the resurrection. "JEALOUSY"---which shows He is a jealous God and which also means "PROTECTION". He protects us; We protect, fight and stand for His Laws. This is why there are "cities of refuge" in the old testament and blasphemy laws in Israel and the Middle East. And for obedience to the first (3) pillars, conditions of the contract, we become "SALT". "SALT"---is a sweet savour in the mouth of our God. This is why Christ said, "THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN, CANNOT BE BROKEN", signifying that no one including the courts can unmake or dissolve the contracts made, Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; United Dominion Realty Trust, Inc., 307 S.C. 102; C.A.N. Enters Inc. v. S.C. Health And Human Servs. Fin. Comm'n, 296 S.C. 373, 377; M & g Polymers U.S.A., LLC. v. Tackett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130

S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.Ed.2d 998(2010); Lacke v. Lacke, 362 S.C. 302, 608 S.E.2d 147(S.C.2005). Thus, the King-Khalifah and his subjects, the plaintiffs, are required by the terms of the contract to protect its terms and prevent any party, court or nation from violating the terms. ei "A Land Flowing With Milk and Honey".

Is the Contract a perpetual contract established by God and His Holy Prophets and Kings which set the foundation for the Sole Corporation? All one would have to do is look at the contract in part in Zechariah 6:12-13; Numbers 18:1-8; Exodus 40:12-15; 1Kings 8:25; 1Chronicles 17:7-14; Isaiah 14:29-32; Isaiah 61:1-3; Malachi 3:1-4; Malachi 4:5-6; Sunnah ibn. Majah Volume 5 pages 391-396 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1 and the citing of law and references listed on pages (69) through (78) of the [92] page default document dated May 2, 2014, and the answer would obviously be yes. The Biblical and Islamic references refer to Jahjah, including the claim he would come out of prison betrayed by members of his own household. These are terms and conditions defined in the contract(s), which cannot be broken.

Are your nations' laws your laws, or are they ours? The United States Supreme Court addressed this in particularity in the case of Trustees of Dartmouth College. There can be property (ei Intellectual Property), that is owned by private individuals or corporations that is ubiquitously used in the Civil or Public arenas as a "CHARITY"(GRANT). But this do not negate the fact that the property used in the Civil or Public sphere is privately owned. See Trustees of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. This includes intellectual Property (ei The Right To Marry).

If the court would take notice of Genesis 17:1-7, thousands of years before your existence as a nation. God decreed your existence through Abraham to include the establishing of the Sole Corporation in the form of Kings which is an everlasting contract. If your nations were decreed before you came, then so were your laws; for a nation cannot exist without laws to

14 of 26

govern. Thus, God is the original fountain of all law (ei. "Thou shalt not steal, rob, defraud etc."). This is a "GRANT" given to you though its ownership rests within the Sole Corporation as private property by contract. It is a "GRANT" with conditions.

Genesis 18:17-19 proves the "GRANT" when it said, "ALL THE NATIONS SHALL BE BLESSED BY HIM", and the command is given perpetually as a Trust to protect the terms of the contract as shall command this Trust to your children and future Kings for Justice and Judgment (ei "LAWS"). Thus, your laws are the property of the King-Khalifah who can make or break them to ensure that the terms of the contract remain intact perpetually as "LAWGIVER" of the Sole Corporation and original fountain (ei "GOD"), remaining true to His law that "WE ARE THE SALT OF THE EARTH".

United States Art. 1 § 10 of The Constitution declare THAT NO STATE SHALL MAKE ANY LAW IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS. The Charter(Covenant) was not dissolved by a break in the chain of successors or the revolution. Thus by God's special grace, knowledge and mere motion, for us, our heirs and successors will and have given, granted and appointed Kings, Khalifahs who are given the Trust and their appointed Trustee and successors shall forever hereafter be, in deed, act and name, a body corporate and politic, and that they, the said body corporate and politic, shall be known and distinguished, in all deeds, grants, bargains, sales, writings, evidences or otherwise howsoever, and in all courts forever now and hereafter, plea and be pleaded by the name of the King-Khalifah and or his appointed Trustee(s) Judge Austin, and that said Sole Corporation by the name aforesaid, shall be able, and in law capable for the use of The Global Theocratic State do whatever is needed or decreed by the King-Khalifah to maintain all terms of the contract between the Sole Corporation and The One True God. Thus, the King-Khalifah by these present, for us, our heirs and successors, bind without her consent as employee of The United States who is party to the default, create, make,

constitute and appoint Judge Austin to do among many things, discharge our debts. IT IS SO DECREED.

It can require no argument that the circumstances of this case constitute a perpetual Trust, a contract for which we are the beneficiaries and this private property has also been given as a "GRANT" to the nations, blessings, promised by God through Abraham, though now owned by Jahjah. Marriage is a religious contract which is an intrinsic part of our Covenant given by God to the Sole Corporation, which the world was to be blessed by it as are your laws. It is intellectual property privately owned, and restricted.

It is perspicuous that this element of the contract was given specifically to heterosexuals, because one specific term of the contract was "TO BE FRUITFUL AND MULTIPLY" by natural conception (ei SEX BETWEEN MAN AND WOMAN). To give our intellectual property to Sodomites (homosexuals) and Gomorrahrites (lesbians) by your laws is a breach of the contract and violation of the "CHARITY" (GRANT) given under conditions to which Jahjah as the Sole Corporation and King-Khalifah can challenge in any open court. The Legislature and or courts were restrained from violating the rights of our property. To pass any law impairing the obligation of contracts is repugnant to the Constitution and you add to this fact that we are dealing with Religion also protected under the 1st. Amendment. It violates the Establishment Clause.

The provisions of the Constitution never has been understood to embrace other contracts than those which respect property, in this case intellectual property, or some object of value, and confer rights which may be asserted in a court of justice. When the state legislature and or courts shall pass an act or judgment annulling the prohibitions of the marriage contract set in place by God and the Sole Corporation, His Prophets and Kings, or allowing other parties to annul these prohibitions (ei Gays and Lesbians), without the consent of God or The Sole

Corporation, it will be time enough to inquire, whether such an act is constitutional.

This is the point on which the cause essentially depends. This is not a grant of political power unconditioned. Marriage created a religious institution that by "CHARITY"(GRANT) the Sole Corporation allowed others, with limits to partake, and is intellectual property of a Foreign government. Your laws all together, especially in light of the default, also by contract, are not solely in the hands of your governments. The donors in this case do not become public property and the rightful King-Khalifah by default has appeared to claim all rights of the crown and priesthood. The Trustee Judge Austin is permitted and required to execute the Trust uncontrolled by legislative or judicial authority that violates the contract by decree of the Sole Corporation. Let it be done.

The Sole Corporation is an artificial being, invisible, intangible and existing only in contemplation of God's Laws which are the original fountain of all nations' laws. Being a mere creation of God's Laws and contract, it possesses all properties which the intent of its creation confers upon it either expressly or as incidental to its very existence. These are such as are supposed best calculated to effect the object for which it was created, which among things is to worship, maintain the contracts, and protect God's Laws and prohibitions from those who would seek to water them down or do them harm.

This includes, most important are immortality, and if, the expression may be allowed, individuality---properties by which a perpetual succession of many persons are considered as the same and may act as a single individual. "HABES EST EADEM PERSONA CUM ANTECESSORE"---THE HEIR IS THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR. This enables the Sole Corporation to manage its own affairs and to hold property without the perplexing intricacies, the hazards and endless necessity, of perpetual conveyances for the purpose of transmitting it from hand to hand acting as "ONE" immortal being. This Sole Corporation does not share in the Civil government, nor do the terms, provisions and objects of the contract unless that be the purpose for which it was created. Thus, it is not subject to be annulled, modified or changed in any manner without consent (ei. Giving marriage to gays and lesbians). The will of the donor becomes the law of the donation (1 Bl. Com. 471). There can be no reason for implying in the CONTRACT/COVENANT given for a valuable consideration a power which is not only not expressed, but is in direct contradiction to its expressed stipulations. The King-Khalifah's intellectual property, marriage, whose origin stems from the Sole Corporation can only be given to heterosexuals by the terms of the contract. The particular interest of giving these provisions to Gays and Lesbians as it pertains to God and the Sole Corporation, never entered into the minds of the donors. It was never constituted as a motive for their donation.

It is not public office nor is it a Civil institution by its original creation. It is a religious institution, a

"CHARITY"(GRANT) given to the nations when God told Abraham they would be blessed by him and this contract, and through Adam.

An artificial immortal being was created by God and the Crown, capable of receiving, distributing and protecting forever, according to the will of God, and the Sole Corporation, the terms of the denations and contract(s). This consideration for which is stipulated, even pursuant to your Global laws, which "[m]ust" be "JUST AND FAIR" to which you have miserably failed (ei. Slavery, Jim Crow laws, PLRA, AEDPA) is the perpetual application of this practice of contract in the mode prescribed by God and Sole Corporation.

The Sole Corporation is the assignee of the Trust and rights, the Fiduciary Heir in the form of Jahjah Al Mahdi stands in place and distributes the bounty and the appointed Trustee, Austin, must distribute it in accordance to his wishes, this chain being immortal. One of the main objects here is a New Heaven and Earth and restore God's house to its original position before Satan took 1/3 of the Angels establishing Hell. It is not about monetary gain or individual power, but God's purpose which do not give way to debauchery, Sodomy and Mr. & Mrs. "FREAKY, WANNA SLIDE DOWN THE STRIP POLE". It is a contract on the faith of which real and personal property and estate has been conveyed to the Sole Corporation. The language of the contract is unambiguous and explicit to exclude such practice.

The law in this case is the law of all. Austin as Trustee via decree of the Sole Corporation and Global Theocratic Court has all power and jurisdiction to act on behalf of the King-Khalifah and plaintiffs who are beneficiaries of the Trust where her powers derive from a regal source. She must partake in the spirit of their origin.

All rights and contracts respecting property, which include intellectual property, remain unchanged by and or due to religious revolution or national revolution or creating of independent states. Christ brought the conditions of the old contract forward and embellished them within the New Contract every time he said, "THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN IS LIKE,...".; The old contract being a shadow of the new. The New Contract embellishing the old (ei. Christianity), and the 3rd. and final Contract (ISLAM) being components of "BOTH", making them "ONE", all falling under the care and authority of the Sole Corporation. The U.S. Constitution speaks with all clarity. "NO STATE, WHICH INCLUDES ITS JUDICIARY, SHALL PASS NO ACT, TO INCLUDE LAW, IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS". The Sole Corporation also via its appointed Trustee, as possessing the whole and legal, equitable interest and completely representing the donors and founders of the "CONTRACT/COVENANT" for the purpose of executing the Trust, has rights which are protected by the Constitution. Thus, the act of allowing the Sodomites and Gomorrhah-rites to marry and subjecting the King-Khalifah to your laws

via this false imprisonment to include his subjects as co-heirs and beneficiaries to the Trust is repugnant to the Constitution and are rendered to no effect by default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. Thus, the Clause within the U.S. Constitution Article 1 sec. 10 declaring that "NO STATE SHALL PASS ANY BILL OF ATTAINDER, EX POST FACTO LAW, OR ANY LAW IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS" bear on the claims made in this case, King v. Passmore, 3 T.R. 246; Phillip v. Bury, 1 Lord Raym 5 S.C. 2 T.R. 346.

The ingredients requisite to form a contract are parties, consent, and an obligation to be created or dissolved; these must all occur, because the regular effect of all contracts is, on one side, to acquire, and on the other, to part with, some property or rights, or to abridge or to restrain natural liberty, by binding the parties to do or restraining them from doing, something which before they might have done or omitted, Fletcher v. Peck, 6 Cranch 87, in which it was laid down that a contract is either executory or executed; by the former, a party binds himself to do or not to do a particular thing; the latter is one in which the object of the contract is performed, and this differs in nothing from a "GRANT"; but whether executed or executory, they both contain obligations binding on the parties, and both are equally within the provisions of the Constitution of the United States which forbid the states, governments, courts, to pass laws impairing the obligation of contracts.

Your nations' liberty was restrained in giving the right to marry to these people. Your nations were restrained from establishing laws like AEDPA and PLRA that disproportionately effect African Americans, institutionalizing slavery and Jim Crow, placing into effect indictments that take away the presumption of innocence and the other laws you promote which defy "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". Your nations are in violation of the contract and the rights of the beneficiaries of the Trust. Cause is established.

A contract is also a "GRANT" by meaning within the Constitution. A Corporation defined by Mr. Justice Blackstone (2 Bl. Com. 37) is to be a franchise with power to maintain perpetual succession, and to do corporate acts. To this "GRANT", "CONTRACT", "FRANCHISE" are God, the King, which in this case is Adam, his wife and co-heir Eve and the person for whom the benefit is created, or Trustee for them which is the Sole Corporation, and those they may for whatever reason appoint. The assent of both are necessary which we have in this case.

The subjects of the "GRANT" are not only privileges and immunities, but property, real and intellectual, or, which is the same thing, a capacity to acquire and hold property in perpetuity. Certain obligations are created binding both the grantor and grantees. On the part of the former, it amounts to an extinguishment of the King's prerogative to bestow the same identical franchise on another corporate body, because it would prejudice his prior "GRANT". You cannot grant the same rights of

the contract (ei. Marriage) on another corporate body (ei. Sodomites and Gomorrhahrites), because it would prejudice the prior "GRANT" given to heterosexuals and violate the terms of the "Contract", "Covenant", "Grant", "Franchise", (2Bl. Com. 37).

You cannot make laws or subject people to laws that defy "JUSTICE, FAIRNESS" and the terms of the Contract, Covenant set in place by God, Adam and Eve who were your forefathers as well as mine, binding us all as mankind. "SEEING THAT ABRAHAM SHALL SURELY BECOME A GREAT AND MIGHTY NATION, AND ALL THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD SHALL BE BLESSED IN HIM? FOR I KNOW HIM, THAT HE WILL "COMMAND"(EMPHASIS ADDED) (NOT ASK) HIS CHILDREN AND HIS HOUSEHOLD "AFTER", "AFTER" HIM, AND THEY "SHALL" (MANDATORY) KEEP THE WAY(LAWS) OF THE LORD, AND DO JUSTICE AND JUDGMENT *****". And right after this very passage you have God's reference to Sodom and Gomorrah. Coincidence? Not on your life. The terms of the contract are unambiguous. Corrupt judges, crooked, racist, brutal cops, slavery, Jim Crow laws, PLRA, AEDPA, same sex marriage. Your nations speak blasphemies, and you violated the terms of the contract mankind, permitting Jahjah to legally intervene, abolish all unjust laws, indictments, marriages and restore all terms of the contract, to which Judge Austin is Trustee. Such is done and established by decree of Jahjah Al Mahdi.

This fulfills the Prophecy written in Mark 9:12 which state, "ELIJAH MUST FIRST COME AND RESTORE ALL THINGS". Every alteration of the Contract, Covenant, however unimportant, even though you claimed was in the interest of "Democracy", though objected to by the rightful heirs to the Kingdom Of God, impaired the obligation of the contract. For it is impossible that a new contract or construction should not violate the old contract, covenant, through Moses, Christ and Muhammad(PBUT), which are "ONE". The reason is obvious---A Covenant, Testament, is a contract, to the validity of which the consent of both parties is essential, and therefore it cannot be altered or added or

made or unmade by the courts without consent of the Sole Corporation which was never given in cases, and or is officially withdrawn. This includes any laws made by your global legislative bodies. Your nations have interpreted law or placed in effect laws that make the Sole Corporation question your sanity and intent, as though your nations were in a drunken stupor. My People, Christians, Muslims, Jews, Africans and its diaspora will have "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". You are in breach of the Trust, of the "GRANT", of Contract, allowing me and my people to jointly bring action as beneficiaries of the Trust and The Fiduciary Heir of The Sole Corporation. see King v. Passmore, 3 T.R. 246; Territt v. Taylor, 9 Cranch 43; 1 Bl. Com. 469, 475, 1 Kyd. on Corp., 13, 69, 189; 1 Wooddes, 471, & C.; Attorney General v. Whorwood, 1 Ves. 534; St. John's College v. Todington, 1 Bl. Rep. 84 S.C. 1 Burr. 200; Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; M & G Polymers U.S.A., LLC v. Tachett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130 S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.ED.2d 998(U.S.2010); Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; Tonbar v. Bangs, 69 U.S. 728, 1864 WL 6590, 17 L.Ed. 768, 2 Wall 728(1864); Oparah v. The New York City Dept. Of Educ., F.Supp.3d, 2015 WL 4240733 (N.Y.D.C.2015); International Ass'n Of Machinist Lodge 1652 v. Internal Aircraft Service Inc(Charleston), 302 F2d 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4th.Cir.1962). We seek Declaratory Judgment.

As aforesaid. The Trustee's duty of loyalty prohibits both self dealing and conflicts of interest: Thus, the Trustee(s) must neither (1) deal with Trust property for the benefit of himself or third parties, (2) place herself in a position inconsistent with interest of the Trust. Austin must recuse and ~~vacate~~ vacate the separation order. Duty of prudence, under the common law of Trust, require the Trustee to adhere to the law. PLRA and AEDPA are unconstitutional by the litigation presented. She broke the law established in Ex Parte Virginia by making use of it in this case in furtherance of the conspiracy. We motion for sanctions and any initial review or time for submission of motion for Summary Judgment by the defendants be

21 of 26

forfeited, hearing be set, hearing be scheduled, legal counsel be appointed, discovery issue and case be set for trial. All relief sought in case 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294 be granted via sanctions sought for the fraud, for the monies stolen from us, evidence spoliated, physical assaults, corrupt Judges, fictitious homicides being established to frame the King-Khalifah and racial animus consistently displayed. This is outrageous! We seek full faith and credit given to the default and we seek immediate removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c) and all other relief sought by all the (4) Mandamus that were filed. Judge Austin must be made to stand up and fulfill her duty as Trustee, Fifth Third Bon Corp. v. Dudenhoefer, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014). A Trustee shall invest and manage the Trust assets solely in the interest of the beneficiaries, Ws. 1977 & 4-10-905 Loyalty.

Under principles of equity, a Trustee bears an unwavering duty of complete loyalty to the beneficiaries of the Trust, to the exclusion of the interest of all others. To deter a Trustee from all temptation and to prevent any possible injury to the beneficiary, which occurred by her issuing this separation order, separating the plaintiffs from each other to cause harm to our proceedings. The Rule against the Trustee dividing her loyalties must be enforced with uncompromising rigidity. Self dealing by a Trustee or any Fiduciary is always suspect, and it is a universal Rule of equity that a Trustee shall not deal with Trust property to his or her own advantage without knowledge or consent of the Cestui Que Trust. She was given consent to establish the independent action, but not to separate us after that. She must be made to correct this injustice, Fobes v. Forbes, 341 P3d. 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015; N.L.R.B. v. Amex Coal Co.,...Div. Of Amax, Inc., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789(U.S.1981); Central States, Southeast and Southwest Arcas Pension Funds v. Central Transport, Inc., 472 U.S. 559, 105 S.Ct. 2833, 86 L.Ed.2d. 447(U.S.1985).

It is a general Rule of the common law (the reverse of that applied in ordinary cases) that a "GRANT", "CONTRACT",

22 of 26

"TESTAMENT" of a King-Khalifah at the suit of the grantee, is to be construed most beneficial for the King-Khalifah and most strictly against the grantee. Austin cannot be permitted to abuse the Trust. The laws which are connected to the convictions abuse the Trust and are called into account and are now given no effect being in egregious violation of the Contract. You are in forfeiture of all rights acquired under them by decree of The Global Theocratic King and Court whose power supersedes your global courts by the default and contract via such breach, emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. Its time for prison reform and opportunity to fix this mess with these Sodomites your nations devised. It is perspicuous that any act of a legislature or court within your nations which take away any powers of franchise vested by the **"COVENANT"**, **"TESTAMENT"**, **"CONTRACT"** in the Sole Corporation, or its Trustee, or which restrains or controls the legitimate exercise of them, or transfer them to other persons without its assent is a violation of the obligations of that contract. If the Court and Legislature claim such an authority it must be written within the **"WILL AND TESTAMENT"**, (3) **HOLY BOOKS**, to which it is not. Thus, the 4th. Circuit is bound to declare that the acts and injustices argued within the plaintiffs cases jointly and or separately do impair the obligations of the **"COVENANT"**, **"TESTAMENT"**, **"CONTRACT"** which in truth declare that there is no separation of church and state, which is obvious when your political candidates running for office consistently bring up their religious faith. The fact that the **"CHARITY"** (GRANT) given to you may be used in the public arenas don't make the Sole Corporation public. We are separate from you, a peculiar people, a chosen Kingdom and Priesthood designating limits placed on you via **"CONTRACT"** perpetually established restricted you giving this religious covenant to homosexuals and lesbians. As **"SALT OF THE EARTH"**, by the (4) pillars we bring suit.

Inasmuch, these claims can no longer be considered frivolous, or it be stated that we infringe upon rights that have

not been established. The claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 where the United States and other 192 Member States of the U.N. are parties to, make the claims true producing a preclusive effect preventing the courts from challenging these claims. Legal binding "CONTRACT" is placed before the courts.

It is perspicuous that the lower Federal and State Courts understood and knew this to be true or they would have never engaged themselves in acts of machination, criminal conspiracy, obstruction and fraud, attempting to remand when there was no discretionary remand to allow the state actors to obtain the fraudulent, tainted protective orders to misrepresent the truth of this matter. Due to this dilatory, obstructive behavior, sanctions must be imposed and the claims of Sovereignty be given full faith and credit in all court(s) records, Robinson v. U.S., 2015 WL 1524406; U.S. v. Sterling, 724 F3d. 482 CA4(Va.2013); Turner v. U.S., 736 F3d. 274 CA4(N.C.2013); Loveless v. John Ford Inc., 232 Fed. Appx' 229, 2007 WL 1381597 CA4(Va.2007).

We motion for Declaratory Judgment on all issues and claims within this document and all those attached.

We motion to supplement the causes of action in all pending federal cases with the claims, issues and defenses argued within this document and seek this Mandamus to remedy all issues of concern.

We want the injunction sought and all relief in cases 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141 granted. We seek the recusal of Judge Austin and Harwell and Austin be required to stand in her position as Trustee and transferring all cases after consolidation to New Jersey where trial will commence. We seek that all plaintiffs in all the cases referred to be removed to the nicest federal pre-release camp this nation has pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c). We want our Master's, our God's intellectual property given to the King-Khalifah, the Sole Corporation by default and inheritance returned. Marriage by contract in its original conception is a religious covenant and practice. Not merely

24 of 26

a Civil act or public practice. It is restricted by contract which you violated. The Sole Corporation's right to challenge is established.

The right to issuance of the Writ is indisputable. Austin must exercise power as the King-Khalifah's Trustee. Recusal is sought and other crucial matters. The Writ issues in all cases where the party hath a right to have anything done and hath no other specific means of compelling its performance. Thereupon it must issue as a "WILDCARD" remedy. The primary purpose of a Writ Of Mandamus is to grant an established right and or enforce an imperative duty. By the documents continuously filed over the years, 10+, to include the claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 that they criminally, fraudulently conspired to negate and failed. We have established the rights with sufficient certainty and shown the imperative duty placed upon the conspiring State and Federal actors only to be defrauded, criminally delayed and unjustly denied. The Writ in the interest of "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" must issue, Porter v. Jedziniak, 334 S.C. 16, 18, 512 S.E.2d. 497, 498(1999) citing Williams v. City Of Greenville, 243 S.C. 82, 132 S.E.2d. 169(1963); Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, 52 L.Ed.2d. 72(N.C.1977); Robinsen v. Leahy, D.C.Ill. 1975, 401 F.Supp. 1027; Vistamar Inc. v. Vazquez, D.C. Puerto Rico, 1971, 337 F.Supp. 375; Wilverding v. Swensen, 1971, 404 U.S. 249, 92 S.Ct. 407, 30 L.Ed.2d 418; Buise v. Hudkins, CA Ind. 1978, 584 F2d. 223; IN RE: Wainwright, CA5 (Fla.) 1975, 518 F2d. 173; Will v. United States, 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 273, 19 L.Ed.2d. 305(1967); Kerr v. United States District Court For The Northern District Of California, 426 U.S. 394, 405, 96 S.Ct. 2119, 48 L.Ed.2d. 725 (1976); 3 Blackstone at * 110; IN RE: First Federal Savings & Loan Association Of Dorham, 860 F2d 135, 138 (4th.Cir.1988); 198 F3d. at 511; 695 F2d. 1179.


IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Anthony Cook', with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

ANTHONY COOK

A handwritten signature in black ink, clearly legible as 'Anthony Cook'.

YAHYA MUQUIT

A handwritten signature in black ink, clearly legible as 'Yahya Muquit'.

November 2, 2016


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

File in CASE
2016-000640

WE, YAHYA MUQUIT, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; SUPPLEMENTING THE CAUSES OF ACTION IN ALL PENDING FEDERAL AND OR STATE CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS; SUBMITTING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY AND CRIMINAL ACTS; DEMONSTRATING FURTHER THAT WE MEET THE CRITERION ESTABLISHED BY ROSS V. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016); FILING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY; FILING OBJECTIONS TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S RENEWED MOTION FOR RECUSAL [ECF. # 117] AND HIS MOTION FOR SANCTIONS UNDER RULE 11 DATED NOVEMBER 18, 2016; MOTION TO STRIKE; RENEWING ALL PREVIOUS MOTIONS FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, SANCTIONS, DISQUALIFICATION OF THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, CHANGE OF VENUE, AND FOR INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION BY THE U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON DECEMBER 8, 2016. THUS, THEY ARE DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON V. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2397(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,

YAHYA MUQUIT



JAHJAH AL MAHDI

DECEMBER 8, 2016

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,

YAHYA MUQUIT #313455;
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH
GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
ET. AL.,

PETITIONERS

C/A 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA;
4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-
MBS-TER; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328,
3194-RBH-JDA; 0:16-cv-992,
1429, 1428, 1424, 1425;
THE NEW JERSEY SUPREME
COURT CASE 077386; 16-1519;
16-1953; 16-2141; 16-2299;
2015-CP-46-415; 2013-CP-
400-0084 ET. AL.,

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; SUPPLEMENTING
THE CAUSE OF ACTION IN ALL
PENDING FEDERAL AND OR STATE
CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS;
SUBMITTING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
OF CONSPIRACY AND CRIMINAL
ACTS; DEMONSTRATING FURTHER
WE MEET THE CRITERION ESTA-
BLISHED BY ROSS v. BLAKE,
136 S.Ct. 1850(2016); FILING
MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY;
FILING OBJECTIONS TO RESPON-
DENT'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSI-
TION TO PETITIONER'S RENEWED
MOTION FOR RECUSAL [ECF.
117] AND HIS MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS UNDER RULE 11
DATED NOVEMBER 18, 2016;
MOTION TO STRIKE; RENEWING
ALL PREVIOUS MOTIONS FOR

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; SANCTIONS, DISQUALIFICATION OF THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, CHANGE OF VENUE, AND FOR INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION BY THE U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA

TO: The 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals,
The S.C. District Court,
The U.S. Solicitor General,
Trustee Judge Jacquelyn Austin ET. Al.,

Attached the court and parties will find:

(1) A copy of the [3] orders produced by Judge Austin establishing cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. These documents, to include the [117] page Writ Of Error dated September 13, 2016, and any and all attachments filed with it are now attached to the face of this document and response.

This includes (2) a copy of exhibits misappropriation #'S 1 and 2.

Also (3,4, & 5) A copy of the Writ Of Mandamus that make up cases 19-1953, 16-2141 and 16-2299. These documents were previously served on the courts. They are now attached to the face of this document for all purposes. All claims, issues, defenses, causes of action etc. argued within these documents are now a part of these proceedings. Thus, we object to any claim that this case is frivolous which will be further addressed. These documents, to include the [117] page Writ of Error, are attached as exhibits, and are part of these proceedings, Brazell v. Windsor, 384 S.C. 512, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.

2009); Pellgram v. Bethelsen, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 10847(DSC.2012)
; Gamble v. Bank Of America, N.A., 2014 WL 2468465(DSC.2014);
Carter v. South Carolina, 2014 WL 5325234(DSC.2014); Epstein
v. World Acceptance Corp., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015).

Insomuch, a District Court shall not have Jurisdiction of a Civil action in which any party, by assignment or otherwise, has been improperly or collusively made or joined to invoke the jurisdiction of such court, 28 U.S.C. § 1359 § 1441(d) consistently has been interpreted to allow Foreign States that are named as third-party petitioners in a state case already in progress to remove to federal court. The policies that led Congress to provide a Federal Forum to Foreign States is just as strong when those states acquire an interest in ongoing litigation, and when they voluntarily join such litigation, it is as if they are originally named as party. We hold that a Foreign State that acquires a party interest in state court litigation, as JAHJAH did via the MuQuit PCR and Habeas Corpus, by Assignment may remove the case to federal court under the F.S.I.A., even if the Foreign State joins the litigation voluntarily, EIE Guam v. Long Term Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d 635(9th.Cir.2003); Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank Of Nigeria, 401 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d. 81(U.S.1983).

I, Jahjah Al Mahdi, Heir, King, Khalifah, Imam, High Priest Of The (4) Global Thrones Of The Reestablished Global Theocratic State, give all parties notice, as I did in the past, that I have acquired interest in this case, and I voluntarily join as party. Therefore, I remove this case and it is to remain in Federal Forum. We seek it be reinstated as was timely sought pursuant to Rule 60(b)(3), as do MuQuit via his signature attached. We seek that it be consolidated to all cases involving the King-Khalifah, and it be transferred to the State of New Jersey as is sought pursuant to The Civil Rights Act and Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act. All parts and parties to the case are removable, not just the parts relating to the Sovereign, see 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq., 1346(b)(1) and 2679,

Capital Trans, Intern, LLC. v. International Petroleum Inv. Co., F.Supp.2d, 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013); Thorton v. Maryland General Hosp., F.Supp.2d, 2013 WL 1943065(Md.2013); Braddy V. United States, 2016 WL 1031301(E.D.Va.2016); Adair Asset Management, LLC. v. U.S.Dept Of Housing Urban Development, 2016 WL 3248569(2016); Saunier v. Boeing Company, F.Supp.2d., 2014 WL 1646953(2014). I, Jahjah Al Mahdi, am now officially party in this case. Due Process law require that I am sent a copy of all filings in this case despite your fraud. See that this is done.

Now let's deal with this. Salter. You must have lost your cotton pickin' rabbit mind up in here. Don't you ever!!!, ever!!!, you corrupt state official, threaten and or conspire under color of state law, to intimidate me or any of my people, co-plaintiffs that are party to these cases. We will make every effort to file criminal charges against your recreant, opprobrious rump, and do everything in our power to place you under the jail. Do you hear me you corrupt state official? What is this, some kind of white alt-right, white nationalist, Donald duck, dunce Trump flunky trick?

We object. The S.C. District Court Judges, conspiring with the crooked, senior asst. atty. general, are engaging in additional acts of fraud upon the court and machination. We object to any claim that there is a final order filed in this case. If you look at the order. The case was closed September 6, 2016. This gave us until September 16, 2016 to file for a rehearing and or motion to reinstate this case.

When you look at the (117) page Writ Of Error dated September 13, 2016. It was placed in the institution mailbox on September 14, 2016, two days before the time expired to toll the time of appeal. It is perspicuous that the document timely arrived and was filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH, because Judge Austin, conspiring with Harwell, Cain, Seymour etc., with the respondent, who is conspiring under color of state law with the other defendants; separated the document on October 6, 2016. Thus, the motion to reinstate case "3555" was timely filed within

5 of 30

(10) days tolling time of appeal, demonstrating the case is not concluded permitting subsequent filing related thereto.

Yes, we did file a Mandamus complaining about the document being filed in the case, and not being assigned an independent case number, but this would be a misrepresentation of the facts, plainly so, because if the court would look at the (3) page cover letter dated September 14, 2016. On page (2) of that cover letter it reads in relevant part, "NOTE IT DOES NOT HAVE A CASE NUMBER ON THE CAPTION. PLEASE SEE THAT THE CLERK ASSIGN A NEW CASE NUMBER, THEN HAVE THE CLERK SERVE COPY ON JUDGES HARWELL, CAIN, ROGERS AND GOSSETT. SEE THAT SHE FILE A COPY AFTER THE CASE NUMBER IS ASSIGNED, IN CASE 0:16-cv-2939 and 992, also 8:14-cv-3555". The document was timely filed within case "3555" to reinstate, tolling time of appeal within (10) days.

The caption of the (117) page document on page (4) clearly informs the court that we are seeking to reinstate case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. That document sat in case "3555" for a month before Austin separated it. Thus, the motion to reinstate case "3555" was timely filed.

The (117) page document was mailed to the District Court with a dual purpose. One, was to assert right of timely reinstatement and toll time of appeal in case "3555". Secondly, it was to file and call into account independent action for fraud upon the court that would have required Harwell's recusal. Harwell, in acts of criminal conspiracy, got the Trustee to compromise her loyalties as was previously argued within the Mandamus, to allow the respondent who conspired with them, to fraudulently seek sanctions in acts of machination, threats, intimidation, in retaliation for us exercising constitutionally protected rights. We object. A copy of the (117) page Writ of Error was to remain filed in case "3555" to establish timely filed reinstatement, tolling time of appeal and to seek Harwell's recusal due to independent action for fraud upon the court. We had (10) days, and we met that deadline, which is conspicuous. So we object to any claim that there is a final order filed

6 of 30

in this case that concludes in its entirety the proceedings. There is a timely filed motion to reinstate this case within (10) days, and any order related thereto is considered a separate final order. And until that final order is issued pursuant to timely seeking to reinstate this case. We can submit whatever documentation that is necessary to bring these matters to their proper conclusion, U.S. v. Holland, 214 F3d. 523(4th.Cir.2000); People v. Canty, 359 Fed. Appx' 393, 2009 WL 5183771(4th.Cir. 2009); Russ v. Hewitt, 69 Fed. Appx' 185, 2003 WL 21546021; Kamp v. Warden Of Graham C.I., 2014 WL 6809522.

With this being the case. We motion to strike these two filings because they are the embodiment of egregious acts of fraud upon the court, machination, as well as criminal activity and conspiracy. The respondent in prior pleading challenged us and claimed that we could not produce any evidence of a conspiracy going on between him and the Judges involved, also going on across multiple state and federal jurisdictions. Which in this case, such violates the Rico Act. These documents he seeks withdrawn are also submitted for that purpose. Crooked, ignorant, state official. Have you lost your mind? You Challenged us to produce evidence and then conspiring in fraud and machination with these Judges, when we do, then you want to seek sanctions? Knucklehead, you opened the door for their submission. The pleadings are submitted to further meet your challenge to prove the conspiracy that you claimed we could produce no evidence to substantiate. You make challenge, then we meet it, continuously meet it. Thereupon you want to threaten and intimidate us in violation of state and federal law? You just committed a criminal act. You opened the door for the submissions in your conspiring to obstruct justice and conceal material facts in violation of U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001, U.S. v. Williams, 106 F3d. 1173(4th. Cir.1997); U.S. v. Craig, 358 Fed. Appx' 446, 2009 WL 5175592 (4th.Cir.2009); U.S. v. Leigh, 61 Fed. Appx' 854, 2003 WL 1711227 (4th.Cir.2003); Iannelli v. U.S., 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d. 616; Great American Ins. Co., v. Nextday Network Hardware Corp., 73 F.Supp.3d 636(2014); U.S. v. Burton, 11 Fed.

You and these Judges in your criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice committed a criminal act, in your alt-right, white nationalist, Donald duck, dunce Trump bonding. Don't you understand that the King-Khalifah in this case falls under federal ADA provisions?

42 U.S.C. § 12203 Provides:

* 4 chapter 126 of Title 42 Of The United States Code is commonly known as The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) Section 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et. seq. section 12203 Of the ADA, which aims to prohibit "RETALIATION AND COERCION" Provide:

(a) RETALIATION;

No person shall discriminate against any individual because such individual has opposed any act of practice made lawful by this chapter (which include access to the courts) or because such individual made a charge, testified (as we do against you seeking sanctions), asserted or participated in any manner in an investigation (such as the one we presently seek before the courts), or proceeding, or hearing under this chapter.

(b) INTERFERENCE, COERCION OR INTIMIDATION: It shall be unlawful to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with any individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his or her having enjoyed, or on account of his or her having aided or encouraged any other individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, any right granted or protected (access to the courts) by this chapter. MuQuit was aiding the King-Khalifah to assert, exercise and enjoy his constitutionally protected right to access the courts. An act in this instance done against him, is an act done against the King-Khalifah. It is done during the course of lawful action within proceedings to which we are seeking an investigation into your crimes, fraud and conspiracy, and now you threaten and try to intimidate us? Salter, you lost

8 of 30

your mind. You and these corrupt Judges who are party to this machination, trying to make it look like there was no timely motion to reinstate this case. you're going to jail. We motion to strike these documents. They are produced by fraud, machination and criminal acts. We demand criminal charges be filed against the respondent and all Judges involved, with the exception of Austin. She is Trustee. We will deal with her later. The Judges are in violation of Article III section 1 Of The U.S. Constitution.

The remedies and procedures available under section 12117, 12133 and 12188 of The Title shall be available to aggrieved persons for violation of subsection (a) and (b) of this section with respect to subchapter 1, subchapter 11 and subchapter 14 of this chapter. We demand criminal charges and a full investigation by the U.S. Dept. Of Justice, Mr. Solicitor General. We renew this request before all courts. The parties are conspiring to avoid prosecution, suit and conceal material facts, also their conversion and violations of the Rico Act, Walker v. Beaumont Independent School District, 2016 WL 6666833; Cabi v. Boston Children's Hospital, 161 F.Supp.3d. 136(2016). If the court do not strike these documents which are the embodiment of a crime. Such a failure would produce evidence of your involvement in these crimes, demonstrating a consciousness of guilt, Early v. State, S.E.2d.--2016 WL 6092514(Sup.Ct.2016); State v. Toney, S.E.2d., 2014 WL 2575415(2014); State v. Inman, 395 S.C. 539, 720 S.E.2d. 31(2011).

We object to the respondent or court making use of any determination coming from the 11th. Circuit. We proved by prior pleading, when they jumped all over that "DECOY" removal document that they were party to the conspiracy. This is enough evidence to place the matter before a jury. They conspired across multiple jurisdictions with the respondent to aid him in making the responses he presently asserts before this court. It is astronomically impossible and or improbable that all those courts across multiple state and federal jurisdictions acted upon that "DECOY" removal the same exact day, unless they were talking

to each other in their meeting of the minds, conspiring under color of state law, in obstruction of justice and fraud. The 11th. Circuit's part in the conspiracy was to appear as if they were giving fair and full review on the issues, but such did not occur. They fraudulently circumvented ruling on the issue of whether or not collateral estoppel attaches, because if they would have specifically addressed this issue on the court record. They would have not been able to issue a fraudulent determination calling the case frivolous to aid the respondent in case "3555", S.E.C. v. Farkas, 557 Fed. Appx' 204 CA4 (Va.2014); Delta Apparel Inc. v. Farina, 406 S.C. 257, 750 S.E.2d. 615(S.C.App.2013); Moore v. Byars, 2013 WL 6710273(DSC.2013); IN RE: Estrain, 529 B.R. 865 Bkrptcy(DSC.2015); Parker v. Abestos Processing, LLC, 2015 WL 127930(DSC.2015); Best v. Bank Of America, N.A., 2015 WL 5124463(E.D.N.Y.2015)(Where it applies to all plaintiffs including MuQuit though he was not party in case 2013-CP-400-0084); McCully v. Bank Of America, N.A., 605 Fed. Appx' 875(11th. Cir.2015).

The 11th. Circuit is being sued in these cases for these very acts under the independent action Rule for fraud upon the court. They broke the law conspiring within jurisdictions to which they had no power, extra-territorial, making a clearly erroneous determination to give a false impression the issue was settled when it was not, contrary to well established law to cause a detrimental effect in this state. It was fraud upon the court and manifest injustice. They conspired to essentially establish modern day slavery in violation of the Rico Act, as well as conspired in acts of official mental and or physical torture of a Foreign Sovereign, conspiring to keep him illegally kidnaped, stripping them of immunity, Brown v. Commissioner Of Social Sec., 969 F.Supp.2d. 433(W.D.Va.2013); PCS Nitrogen, Inc.v. Ford Motor Co., --F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 6758983(E.D.N.C. 2015).

There's another way to prove that the 11th. Circuit and other involved parties conspired with the respondent to produce

a fraudulent determination so he could argue it in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH. We motion to compel the discovery that we seek. We challenge the respondent and court. Test that DNA to Michael Lee, the King-Khalifah's stepson. Give all evidence sought by discovery. Then go to case 2013-CP-400-0084 and produce any document in that case that would demonstrate that the respondent, or any other defendant, to include the United States, or other 192 Member States Of The United Nations "TIMELY"(emphasis added) responded to the (92) page default document; the (85) page subsequent document giving all parties notice the King-Khalifah intend to exercise power established by the default; the (152) page document filed October 1, 2015; or the (31) page document voiding their jurisdiction and appointing Trustee, to defeat them in any manner, these documents being filed as affidavits. Show where any party "TIMELY"(emphasis added) challenged them or sought to appeal them. If the respondent cannot produce such documentation via discovery, then the documents by clearly established law stand as true and correct and full faith and credit "[m]ust" be given to them since they were filed as affidavits, Ferrara v. Ouadrozzi Equipment Leasing Corp., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); Universal Film Exchange Inc. v. Walter Reade Inc., 37 F.R.D. 4, 9 Fed. R. Serv2d. 56(e); Anderson v. Liberty Lobby Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202(U.S.1986); DeSimone v. Quicken Loans, Inc., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2470661(S.D.Ind.2011); IN RE: Clean Burn Fuels, LLC 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); IN RE: Outdoor R.V. Marine, LLC, 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); Williams v. Secretary Of Veteran Affairs,--F.Supp.3d.--2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); Miller v. Parrish, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013).

The respondent cannot be permitted to use evidence in the form of this bogus, fraudulent Ruling, that he obtained by way of machination, criminal conspiracy and fraud, and use them before this court to the prejudice and detriment of the petitioners/ plaintiffs. We object. The entry taints the documents and they stand in blatant violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001 making them inadmissible. The respondent is conspiring to circumvent the estoppel and conceal material facts. He cannot be permitted to utilize such a claim of "frivolous" until he proves and demonstrates that there exist documentation in case

11 of 30

2013-CP-400-0084 showing timely response to defeat the affidavits in question before the voiding of jurisdiction and removal. We object to anything otherwise. Discovery must issue to resolve the controversy, because the evidence in total would prove outrageous acts and shock the conscience producing rarity requiring dismissal and vacating of all convictions in question. The discovery would prove that such disclosure would have sufficiently undermined the confidence in the outcome, United States v. Burns, 2016 WL 3910273; Dennis v. Secretary, Pennsylvania Dept. Of Corr., --F3d.--2016 WL 4440925(3rd.Cir.2016); Wearry v. Cain, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(2016); Christianson v. M.B.N.A. American Bank, N.A., S.E.2d. WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); Caldwell v. Wiquist, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); Anderson Bros., Bank v. E.B.T. Property Holdings Co. Inc., S.E.2d, 2013 WL 8507807(S.C.App.2013); Miller v. Columbia Forrest Inc., S.E.2d 2014 WL 5390504(S.C.App.2014); Springob v. University Of South Carolina, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); IN RE: Blackwater Security Consulting, LLC, 460 F3d 576 CA4 (N.C.2006); Dickens v. Aetna Life Ins. Co., 677 F3d 288 CA4 (W.Va.2012).

We object to the use of Bracey v. Gramly, 520 U.S. 899. This is also a part of the fraud and machination that is going on involving the Judges in total within the South Carolina District Court, again warranting recusal, disqualification and a change of venue to New Jersey. This is also why the Trustee Austin, when she separated the (117) page document, she failed to leave a copy filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH as was instructed and was the filing's explicit intent. If the document was totally detached. They could conspire to restrict discovery to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and claim that we did not timely seek to reinstate case "3555". With the Writ of Error, for which it is now filed again attached to this document. Discovery Rules fall under § 1983, and the court would have been obligated to grant discovery under Rule 26 as opposed to § 2254. They are conspiring and attempting, in acts of fraud upon the court and machination to circumvent giving full discovery. We object. Discovery will prove the state level default and rights to collateral estoppel, the fictitious homicide and cause for the spoliating transcript pages and why

they prevented MuQuit from placing on the court record the legal issues at his PCR hearing, including all other claims that are presently before this court. Discovery must issue. Not merely under § 2254, but more specific, under Rule 26 due to Writ of Error being filed in this case, two for that matter, to include the fact that an independent action for fraud upon the court is attached to these proceedings. One of those Writs Of Errors was filed in January 2016 where Austin was restricted in her jurisdiction due to failure to address the additional filing in forma pauperis requirements for Cook and the King. Thus, the initial Writ of Error has never been properly or fully detached from this case. Good cause is shown also pursuant to Rule 26, Owens v. Ray, 2016 WL 6871254(2016); Moore v. Striling, 2016 WL 155050(DSC.2016); Kotsaus v. C.M.C. 11, LLC, 2016 WL 6841080(N.C.2016); McFadden v. Bittinger, 2016 WL 6823323 (DSC.2016); Davenport v. Goodyear Dunlap Tire North America, Ltd., 2016 WL 6679964(DSC.2016); Sherell v. Dio Transport, Inc., 2016 WL 6823324(DSC.2016). They are again conspiring to conceal material facts, to prevent that DNA from being tested to Michael Lee and to prevent our proving our claims of state level default and estoppel are true and valid, Penn-American Ins. Co. v. Mapp, 521 F3d. 290 CA4 (Va.2008); U.S. v. Lawrence, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015).

We object to the use of Heck v. Humphreys and Rodriguez v. Prieser. Due to holdings made in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016), we do not have to exhaust due to there being no available state remedies via the fraud and machination. The respondent was party to the default, and we must also be given opportunity to prove there are no available state remedies as is required by Ross v. Blake. If remedy under The F.S.I.A. and Civil Rights Act is available we need not exhaust. It is conspicuous that due to all claims made, Habeas Corpus is not the exclusive Federal remedy in these circumstances. Habeas Corpus is merely a proper adjunct to issue full relief. We also make claims for monetary damages, Canales v. Kimble, F.Supp.2d., WL 29127942(N.C.2012); Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016).

13 of 30

By these outrageous acts there must doubtless be an unhappy influence on the manners and judicial integrity of this nation creating a dark conscience of the people by the existence of this modern day slavery in the form of this broke judicial system that barbarically kills its citizens at its leisure thereupon they are later to be found innocent. This is reminiscent of the times of the American Slave Trade, Black Codes and Jim Crow. This is a new Jim Crow. The whole deadly commerce between deluded master, controlled by distorted fear and arbitrary action and the usually poor, minority, uneducated and socially deprived citizen slave, is a perpetual exercise of the most boisterous passions of dark power inconceivable to human conscience being void of any notion of justice and fairness, the most unrelenting despotism on the one part, and degrading submission of the other being deprived of innocence from this fallacious system's onset. Our children see this in blindness, distorted fear of their African American counterparts they learn to imitate it and call it justice; for man is an imitative creature. This quality becomes the germ of education in him. From his cradle to his grave he is learning to do what he sees his forebearers do in producing perverted justice and fairness. If our State or Federal legislative bodies or courts could find no motive, either in their philanthropy or humane love or forgiveness towards their fellowman, for restraining the temperance of passions towards these citizens made slaves in how they handle these criminal cases, it should always be sufficient that his progeny shall inherit these same fallacious, unreasonable judicial devices and points of view. But by their continual course, to include putting that racist David Duke's dog, Donald Duck, and Trump in the Whitehouse. It becomes perspicuous that such is not sufficient. The parent judicial enterprise storms, reeking social disaster, the children look on, intoxicated by perverted truth, alt-right white nationalism, catching the lineaments of commercial greed, wrath, false security, fraud, distorted fear and egregious, ubiquitous, opprobrious injustice, puts on the same airs in the circle of smaller citizens, future slaves, within your schools, upon playgrounds, within spheres of social gather-

ings, within even places of worship, gives loose to their worse passions, white nationalist bonding, and thus nursed, educated, and daily exercised in racial hatred, tyranny and arbitrary judicial action, cannot be but stamped by it with odious peculiarities like the emergence of Donald duck dunce Trump's presidency, corrupt state officials like "Billy-goat" here, Travon Martin, Dylan Roof, Michael Slieger, and other unjust killings of unarmed black men, devastating, demolishing any future hopes of justice and fairness. Such generations must be prodigy who can retain their manners and morals undepraved by such dark circumstances and capricious behavior. And with what anathema, exorcration, by the one true God and those abused should the statesmen within these seemingly heartless nation be loaded, who permitting such portions of its citizens thus to trample on the substantial constitutional rights of African Americans, minorities, emigrants, Muslims, the American Indian like the Dakota pipeline affair and 3.2 million of its other, inmates, transforms these drunk with judicial power, legislative authority and tyranny into despots, and those thus abused into enemies, destroys the morals and all sense of justice and fairness established by the one true God on the part of one, and the Amor Patriae (Love of Country) of the other. For if those subjected to this old monster in the form of new skin, of this new venomous snake, this modern day slavery can have a country in this world, referring to those whom you have capriciously abused. It must be any other in preference to that in which he was born by color or circumstance, namely America, in your racism and blasphemous acts, to endure and be caste 3/5 of a man by today's judicial design, social ropes, lynchings and dynamics. Thus branded and lashed by these judicial ropes and racial hatred, shackled and chained to live in labor for another under the guise of justice thus perverted, in which he must lock up the faculties of his nature, contribute as far as depends on his individual endeavors to the evanishment of the human race, at least those who are not white, or by Post Traumatic Slave Syndrome entail his own miserable condition on the vast generations proceeding from him in an endless sea of recidivism

and racial injustice.

With such morals of a people who would dare engage in such a dark enterprise their industry thus devised must be destroyed, annihilated and thus laid to ashes by unrelenting fire!!! For in a warm climate no man will labor for himself. No one party to these evils would dare venture to challenge those in legislative, judicial or social power, who by design can oppress others and make them labor for them by perverted justice and political process under the psychological tyranny of distorted fear for the sake of filthy lucre and a white nationalist agenda. This is so true, laid conspicuously for all the world to see that the proprietors of these modern day slaves thus captured, and racially oppressed, for gain sake, would dare not dissolve. Can the liberties of a nation's social, political and judicial system be thought legitimate, be thought secure by moral compass when we have wrongfully, knowingly removed from it one of its most basic, fundamental, sacred pillars and basis by fraud and misrepresentation, that being the presumption of innocence and the fundamental notion that "ALL MEN ARE CREATE EQUAL", and simply because you are "WHITE" this makes you right? Such produces delusional convictions and social points of view in the minds of the people that these liberties are of a gift of God? That they may not be violated but by His wrath? That "Whites" alone are the inheritors of this nation? That we must make America "WHITE" again, as the Trump supporters chant? God forbid!!! Stupid would be what stupid does. For there is nothing more dangerous than sincere ignorance and conscientious stupidity.

Indeed I tremble for this over carnal indulging, self gratifying, greedy, stealing the pennies of a deadman's eyes, raping a corpse, sodomite and gomorrhahrite protecting, blaspheming God nation who establishes these practices above the common moral right of its citizens to be purified before their God and be presumed innocent, to be presumed created equal, in blatant defiance to justice and fairness. For I speak with all

16 of 30

certainty that the one true God is just, He is Holy; that His justice and wrath cannot sleep forever!!!; that considering numbers, Godly order, nature and the natural means sufficient in themselves, a revolution must occur within the wheels of fortune, an exchange of situation, authority and power of the trodden minority masses, is an inevitable event!!!, and that it will manifest by supernatural interference. Thus, the King Of The North Of The Book of Daniel chapt. 11; The 12th. and final of The Rightly Guided Khalifahs Of Islam; The Elijah Of Bible Prophecy; Imam Al Mahdi; The Lion Of Allah; Heir to the throne of Ethiopia, the throne of King David of the Jews; High Priest of the line of Aaron and the Levitical Priesthood; King and High Priest Of the Vatican and Christian Church has come. Thus, I stand!!! to the glory of the God I serve.

You are guilty of conversion, of impairing the obligation of contracts in violation of Article 1 sec. 10 of the U.S. Constitution. The "I AM", "YHWH", "JEHOVAH", "ALLAH" has no attribute that can take side with you in such a wicked contest rooted in these great evils. Yet by God's mercy He thus presents opportunity now for you to be temperate, to repent and to pursue through subject making correction by way of various considerations of policy, of morals, of historical and Civil mandates of change and affirmative action across global and national domains. Let justice reign though the world perish!!! Let the hand of justice force its way into your minds. For if the hand is not met with parity, in peace, it shall forever close upon the sword, the battle axe, and swing with justice striking true its mark. Thus doth God decree. Its been a long time comin' for I have been born by the river where they laid my forefathers' tomb. I sing in the voice of the old African spirituals. My people will never go back, in any capacity, to a time where this nation towered over us in racial oppression. I have come to move this nation and my people forward. I have come to complete what Martin Luther king Jr. started, but on a global scale. A change indeed must come to divert the wrath of the one true God and the fires of revolution!!!

The spirit of the master related to this dark nefarious enterprise must abate that the modern day slave, the poor, the meek of the earth and those obedient to God, the robbed hireling, the elderly, the oppressed, the African, his seed, his diaspora, his Holy offspring must like the fiery Phoenix rise from the ashes, their condition mollifying, the way I pray you would choose to prepare, under the auspices of heaven for total victory and emancipation that thus be disposed by complete extirpation such this broken judicial system and racist alt-right, white supremacy, white nationalist social dynamic and mind set.

Verity, once stifled now speaks to power and demand!!! at the cost of all, even death!!! in pursuit of liberty and pristine justice not soiled by fraud, racial hatred and disparity. It is a great evil to be exiled, destroyed, and for one to be made to feel that he doth not belong among his confederates, creating a racial caste system by fallacious notions, distorted by unfounded fear and racial hatred that has no basis in the laws of God, that spit in the face of justice and fairness and renders those subjected to be captured, enslaved and psychologically tortured within judicial, political and social orchards as "STRANGE FRUIT" hanging from America's trees in the form of decaying post apocalyptic cities, lack of education and social opportunity and prisons built more in abundance than schools where those who lay victim to these recreant, opprobrious, economic, political and judicial ropes are socially hacked up and psychologically mutilated where their most sacred, precious physical and spiritual parts are sold for a mere quarter or a dime and for some white supremacist, white nationalist agenda.

Inasmuch, we object to the judges involved failure to recuse and again we motion for a change of venue to New Jersey where the King-Khalifah's other case is pending, to have all those cases in total removed and heard before that Federal Court. The fraud in the S.C. District Court is ubiquitous. The entire S.C. District is compromised which is evident from these continuous events. The entire court is listed as defendant to seek

18 of 30

disqualification due to criminal conspiracy, obstruction and fraud. No man can try a case where he has an interest in the outcome. The judges within the S.C. District Court are associates. They have an interest to protect their cohorts from suit. When the objective risk of actual bias rises to an unconstitutional level, under The Due Process Clause, the failure to recuse is not a harmless error, would create a structural error of such a magnitude, that it would void all courts involved jurisdiction for Due Process violation. On the question of recusal, the court asks not whether a Judge harbors an actual bias, subjected bias, but instead whether, as an objective matter there is an unconstitutional potential for bias, where such does exist within the S.C. District Court where these particular senior Judge(s) wheel dominating influence within all divisions of the court. We also renew the motion for recusal of those Judges within the 4th. Circuit who issued the order in case 16-1953. We seek names upon the court record, Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); U.S.v. \$41,320 U.S. Currency, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; White v. Manis, 2014 WL 1513280 (DSC.2014).

It involves the Judges of the S.C. District Court's past History and prior involvement in these cases as is the standard and concern the U.S. Supreme Court established in Williams. Also see Berron v. Illinois Concealed Carry Licensing Review Board, 825 F3d. 843(7th.Cir.2016); Rideaux v. State,--SW.3d-2016 WL 3554598(2016). The issue was not the fact that the Judge was a past prosecutor that participated in the party's case in the past. The issue was the substantial past involvement of any kind that rises to an unconstitutional level and potential for bias as it does in this case. This is what the U.S. Supreme Court's focus as was their intent to prevent.

The S.C. District Court Judges made critical decisions in prior Habeas Corpus actions related to this case tainted by fraud upon the court and criminal conspiracy that stood in egregious violation of the holdings made within Ross v. Blake,

19 of 30

136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) where even the laws established at that time were clearly supporting the holdings made in the "ROSS" case as it pertained to the issue of no available state remedies. By clearly established law we did not have to exhaust. You add to this the fact that they sought to find every fraudulent excuse imaginable to deny us access to the courts and prevent review of the legal issues in question, a structural error for failure to recuse now exist reaching an unconstitutional level for the potential of bias.

Once measured and taken in consideration here. It becomes perspicuous that there is indeed an objective risk of bias which is reflected in the Due Process Maxim, "NO MAN CAN BE A JUDGE IN HIS OWN CASE AND NO MAN IS PERMITTED TO TRY CASES WHERE HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME". It is conspicuous that this essential Due Process requirement do not merely pertain to cases where the Judge was a former prosecutor. It applies in all cases where he sits on his own case or have an interest. 28 U.S.C. § 455 also attaches. The act of detaching that (117) page Writ Of Error from case "3555" without leaving a copy filed in that case which was clearly sought goes beyond the U.S. District Court. The (3) Judges who issued the final order in case 16-1953 were involved. It was an act of machination on the part of these involved Judges requiring sanctions and disqualification. The conspiracy was to prevent and deny Mandamus review within the 4th. Circuit. With the (117) page Writ of Error detached and no copy left to remain in case "3555" as was clearly instructed and intended for purposes of seeking reinstatement of the case and tolling time of appeal. The 4th. Circuit Judges would have been able to make an improper determination stating that we were filing an appeal purporting it to be a Mandamus, which did occur. This would have justified the 4th. Circuit's denial of the Mandamus where all conspired to misrepresent the facts asserting there was a final order filed in case "3555" as the respondent is presently attempting in acts of machination and fraud where Judges Diaz, Thacker and Davis within the 4th. Circuit conspired and aided them. We object. Due to this criminal

stunt. The S.C. District Court, the respondent and 4th. Circuit must be deemed in default and forfeiture on the Mandamus and all relief sought. WE file claims of default before the 4th. Circuit and seek rehearing EN BANC to prevent any further acts of Fraud upon the Courts involved, Kenwood Gardens Condominiums, Inc. v. Whalen Properties, LLC, 2016 WL 6788052, *11+ (Md.2016); United States v. Quinones, 2016 WL 4413149, *6+ (SD.Va.2016).

Conspiracy can be proven by circumstantial evidence (citations omitted). Since these egregious acts of fraud, machination, threats and intimidation also involve Judges Diaz, Thacker and Davis within the 4th. Circuit whose names are now known, Judges Harwell, Cain, Seymour, Rogers and all other Judges referred to in the S.C. District court where they had substantial, questionable past involvement in the Habeas Corpus petitions filed by the various inmates connected to these cases, to include those which were transferred from the State of New York where fraud occurred. The S.C. District Court must disqualify, change of venue must be granted and these cases be consolidated and transferred. This includes a rehearing done EN BANC within the 4th.Circuit to address those Judges involvement. If you want us to prove further Judge Harwell's past involvement? All one would have to do is look at the case of Castro v. Warden Of Lieber, 2008 WL 5082718. Castro is one of the 300+ inmates referred to who was defrauded by the S.C. District Court Judges when he made efforts to have the legal issues of controversy heard in his case. The other S.C. District Court Judges were involved in other such cases. And like Judges Diaz, Thacker and Davis, their names relate back to the original complaints filed in these cases, Goodman v. Praxis Inc., 494 F3d. 458, 68 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 850(4th.Cir.2007); Wilkins v. Montgomery, 751 F3d 214(4th.Cir.2014); Krupski v. Costa Crociere, 560 U.S. 538, 548, 130 S.Ct. 2485, 177 L.Ed.2d 48(2010); Green v. Bradley Company,--2016--F.Supp.3d--2016 WL 3633033; Sheikh v. Republic Of Sudan,--F.Supp.3d--2016 WL 1170929(2016); Win & Son, Inc. v. City Of Philadelphia,--F.Supp.3d--2016 WL 1536593(Pa.2016).

By what is argued within these cases, as well as that

21 of 30

which pertains to the convictions, with the Gentry fraud legal issue, to include the established claims of collateral estoppel. The claims are not moot, meritless or answered by well settled law until discovery issues and we be given opportunity to establish the facts showing abuse of discretion, fraud, machination, and criminal conspiracy, U.S. v. Dietz, 51 F3d 269, 1995 WL 133353(4th.Cir.). Their attempts are not at judicial economy or good faith. The motions submitted by the respondent are nothing but acts of breaking the law, fraud, threats and intimidation in efforts to conceal material facts, the criminal conversion of inmate funds, of intellectual property, impairing the obligation of contracts and other outrageous acts that shock the conscience and which void their jurisdiction for Due Process violation. Jurisdiction can be raised at any time to include reinstating a case and to vacate a judgment, Scan Service Inc. v. Mitel Network Corp., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2550719(2011); Garcia Financial Group Inc. v. Virginia Accelerators Corp., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001); Board Of Trustees Of International Union Of Operating Engineers, 2016 WL 1253285; Wells Fargo Bank N.A. v. Farag, 2016 WL 2944561(2016). To not address these concerns would be a miscarriage of justice, Graham v. McPadden, 2015 WL 566488(DSC.2015).

The documents must be stricken from the court record because they are tainted with responses for which the respondent is barred from making due to collateral estoppel, and they are an act of machination to circumvent that estoppel and prevent Mandamus review, concealing material facts, conspiring under color of state law and or authority in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2) and (3), also 1986. Even under South Carolina law, S.C. Code Ann §§ 17-28-350, 17-28-70, 17-7-25 and 23-3-635 required by law they test that DNA to Michael Lee but failed, to frame the King-Khalifah behind racial hatred in acts of official torture producing damages resulting in his present disability. Their failure to test that DNA was a criminal act. We motion to compel discovery and the Judges involved must recuse, Allstate Ins. Co. v. Cherry. F.Supp

2d, 2012 WL 1425158(2012); Barna Con Shipping, S.L. v. 2,000 Metric Tons, More Or Less, Of Abandoned Steel, 410 Fed. Appx' 716, 2011 WL 468260, 2011 A.M.C. 1205 CA4 (2011); Nezirovic v. Holt, 779 F3d 233 CA4(Va.2015); United States v. Peterson, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 8793799(2015); Bahamonde v. Sheppard, 2013 WL 2250209 at * 2(E.D.N.Y.2013); United States v. Herrera-Valdez, 826 F3d 912(2016).

Additional madness goes on within the S.C. District Court requiring these Judges disqualification. In cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107. Judge Rogers granted filing in forma pauperis for all the plaintiffs except Robert Mitchell asserting the respondent has until December 8, 2016 to say why his filing should not be granted,...why? It is because a great deal of the machination dealing with the removal of the Dylan Roof and Michael Slaeger cases occurred. Its because the illegal conversion occurred related to they stealing his monies to inappropriately entertain jurisdiction over the case and separate us. To establish cause of action to recover damages for conversion, which is also money he owned the King-Khalifah giving him right of claim, which also exist by them impairing obligation of contract so they could produce the order in case 4:16-cv-2939. A plaintiff must show legal ownership or an immediate superior right of possession to a specific identifiable thing and must show that the defendant(s) exercised an unauthorized Dominion over the thing in question to the exclusion of the plaintiffs rights. Robert Mitchell owed that money to the King-Khalifah giving them both standing to challenge. You had no authorization to take those monies from Robert Mitchell's inmate account at the time Judge Seymour, and you respondent, along with the defendant The S.C. Dept. Of Corr. did it. You are in default within case 2013-CP-400-0084. Your global nations via the default and "Covenant", "GRANT", "FRANCHISE", "CONTRACT", which is protected under The United States Constitution, Article 1 section 10, had no authorization from the Sole Corporation to give these Sodomites and Gomorrhahrites within your global nations the King-

23 of 30

Khalifah's Intellectual Property given to him by "COVENANT/CONTRACT" and inheritance. Cause is established, Kinojux I.P. v. I.R.P. International Inc., 2016 WL 5936875(N.Y.2016); Nat'l Ctr. For Crisis Mgmt., Inc. v. Lerner, 91 A.D.3d. 920, 920-21, 938 N.Y.S.2d. 138, 138-39(2nd.Dept.2012).

The respondent committed a crime. The filing of these documents presently submitted by him is not an act of good faith. He and the conspiring Judges involved pulled that (117) page document from case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, not leaving a copy in the case, which was sought and intended, so he could make efforts to threaten and intimidate us to withdraw those documents to conceal his and his co-conspirators crimes which involve violations of the Rico Act, The Anti-Peonage Act and the C.A.T. Treaty acts of official torture. The documents the petitioners filed, were also filed for purposes of seeking an investigation into their activities. They were filed to call into account your conspiring under color of state law inviolation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242, 1001; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2)(3) and 1986. They were filed to prove you violated our Constitutional rights under the 1st., 4th., 5th., 6th., 7th., 8th., 13th., 14th., and 15th. Amendments and The Equal Protection Of the Laws Clause. They were filed to demonstrate your fraud and machination. There is no final order in this case when it was timely sought reinstated within (10) days of the order. You are going to jail corrupt state official. We demand an official investigation and seek criminal charges to be levied against these people Mr. Solicitor General. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1986 you are required to act upon our request and see that this is done. Like that Klansman Donald Trump said, "ITS TIME TO DRAIN THE SWAMP", but in this case these crocodiles have gotten in the backyard pool. So its "TIME TO DRAIN THE POOL". Judge Austin, the seeking of this investigation is required of you as well. You are appointed Trustee. You are instructed to see that this is done also, IN RE: Building Material Corp. Of American Asphalt Roofing Shingle Products, F.Supp.2d. 2013 WL 1827923(DSC.2013);

24 of 30

Asterbadi v. Leites, 176 Fed. Appx' 426 CA4(Va.2006); Johnson v. United States Dept. Of Justice, 2016 WL 4593467(D.Md.2016); Hallal v. Mandel, 2016 WL 6494411; Paul Adams v. California Institution, 2016 WL 6464444; Hollaway v. Perry, 2016 WL 4074149; Donatoni v. Dept. Of Homeland Security, --F.Supp.3d.--2016 WL 1755871; U.S. v. Hare, 820 F3d. 93(4th.Cir.2016); Yucaipa American Alliance Fund I, L.P. v. Ehalich, F.Supp.3d.--2016 WL 4582519 (Del.2016); Wallace v. Beaumont Independent School District, 2016 WL 666833(Tex.2016); IN RE: Wallace, 649 Fed. Appx' 298 (MEM) (4th.Cir.2016); U.S. v. Kulla, 434 Fed. Appx' 268(4th.Cir. 2011); U.S. v. Bank Of America Corp., F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 2777397 (N.C.2014).

We want case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA reinstated which was timely sought, and transferred to Trustee Austin to consolidate these cases and ship them New Jersey after the appointment of legal counsel and evidentiary hearing. We want the S.C. District Court in its totality disqualified. We seek that the petitioners, all of us, past and present, be removed and be taken to a federal pre-release camp, the nicest one that you can find and we be housed together to allow us to continue to work on our cases collectively until they meet their final resolution pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c), Berger v. U.S., 255 U.S. 22, 41 S.Ct. 230, 65 L.Ed. 481(U.S.1921); Booth v. Ballard, 2016 WL 1275054 (2016)(Demonstrating that a hearing is required); Canada v. Miller, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1512245(2014); Commonwealth Of Virginia v. Ayem-El, Defendant, 2016 WL 4507814(E.D.Va.2016); Schiro v. Landrigan, 550 U.S. 465, 127 S.Ct. 1933(U.S.2007); Wellon v. Hall, 558 U.S. 220, 130 S.Ct. 727(U.S.2010); Hensler v. New York State Dept. Of Inviromental Conservation, 2016 WL 3849840 (N.J.2016); IN RE: Gunboat International, Ltd., 2016 WL 4626110 (N.C.2016); American Heart Disease Prevention Foundation, Inc. v. Hughey, 106 F3d 389 (table), 1997 WL 42714(4th.Cir.1997).

Judge Austin has exclusive jurisdiction. It is now acquired. Transfer these cases to her, Inhabitants Of Town Of Fairfield v. Time Warner Cable Northeast, LLC., F.Supp.3d.,

25 of 30

2015 WL 1565237(2015); Brown v. Brown, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); Harris v. hhgregg Inc., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166 (N.C.2013).

Sanctions?.....You want sanctions?.....You want us to withdraw the documents submitted though they were timely filed, for among many things, to reinstate case "3555"? WE tell you like the movie "GHOSTBUSTERS",.....WE AIN'T AFRAID OF NO GHOST!" Its obvious that you done fell and bumped your head. We tell you what. We will make a deal with you. You say the case is frivolous? Produce that discovery and prove it. We challenge you. Test that DNA to Michael Lee and serve the King-Khalifah and this court a copy of those results from an independent testing lab., the one we gave you in the form 24. Prove you people did not compromise the King-Khalifah's trial transcript, MuQuit's PCR transcript to affirm the King-Khalifah's conviction and cause a detrimental effect in the MuQuit Habeas Corpus. Show us and this court, by way of any document; that [any] of you defendants "TIMELY"(emphasis added) challenged the default or made efforts to challenge or appeal when your jurisdiction was made void for Due Process violation pursuant to the documents filed in case 2013-CP-400-0084. Do this,.....and we will withdraw the documents. Fail at this,.....then you can tell your story walkin', corrupt state official. You have (21) days snake. You conspired to conceal material facts. We supplement all State Torts and or Federal District Court cases with all the claims, defenses, issues and causes of action argued and listed within this document. We demand criminal charges be place against these people. We renew all previously filed motions for recusal, sanctions, disqualification, discovery, change of venue, injunction, the appointment of legal counsel, evidentiary hearing and for Independent Investigation from The Dept. Of Justice. We motion to strike the respondent's filings due to they being the product of a crime, fraud upon the court, obstruction of justice, criminal conspiracy and machination. We motion to compel that discovery due to claims of actual innocence, Mina v. Chester County, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 6550543; U.S. v. Ismail, 97 F3d. 50

2609 30

(4th.Cir.1996).

The court must ensure that a prisoner/plaintiff/petitioner has fair notice of opportunity to develop the record to show he has exhausted state remedies or to demonstrate that there are no available state remedies. We must be permitted to produce evidence to rebut the respondent's filings. The removal of case 2013-CP-400-0084 and the documents contained therein and the other discovery sought is that showing and evidence. Case "3555" is removed and is to remain removed and is placed before the King-Khalifah's Trustee, Judge Austin, to see that all is done, which include the discharging of our debts/convictions. When motion to dismiss, or in this case, for sanctions and to withdraw filings, are based upon issues not enumerated under Rule 12(b), then Rule 43(e), to include Rule 26⁶ due to Writ Of Error governs "which permits courts to hear evidence outside the record on affidavits submitted by parties", which include the affidavits of default and subsequent affidavits/documents establishing rights, Titles, Sovereignty and claims of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. The respondent has continually opened the door for their submission and for discovery until the point he felt it was necessary to engage in acts of criminal conversion and breaking other laws, threatening and intimidating the petitioners in additional acts of fraud and machination. Discovery and hearing must be permitted. We motion for Declaratory Judgment from the 4th. Circuit on all issues, claims and concerns argued within this document and those previously filed. Granting filing in forma pauperis in cases 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER is not sufficient. We want all filing fees for all plaintiffs/petitioners waived. We want EN BANC REHEARING in case 16-1953 due to the machination involving the 4th. Circuit Judges for their involvement in the conspiracy, U.S. v. Eccleston, --Fed. Appx'--2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. Hackley, 662 F3d. 671 CA4(Va.2011); Bryant v. Rich., 530 F3d. at 1368, 1374n. 10 (11th.Cir.) cert. denied 555 U.S. 1074, 129 S.Ct. 733, 172 L.Ed.2d. 734(2008); Johns v. Pippen, F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 7396594(2011).

27 of 30

The criminal stunt you people just tried to pull here, detaching that (117) page document from case "3555", this case, without leaving a copy filed in the case, so you could produce these stupid filings, pretending there was document filed seeking to "TIMELY" reinstate this case within (10) days of that order defies "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". This places you in further breach of the Trust, of the "CONTRACT" allowing JAHJAH AL MAHDI to intervene and abolish all of your actions to ensure the terms of the "CONTRACT" are adhered to as the Sole Corporation. You and your judicial cohorts are no better than "THE CAT", "THE RAT", "THE BAT" and "THE DOG". The "CAT", no matter how much you give it, no matter how much you spoil and pamper it, no matter how wrong or unjust it appears, will always want things its own way. The "RAT", no matter how much you feed it, no matter how much you give it; it will always want to sharpen its teeth and gnaw at everything in overwhelming greed. The "BAT", no matter how much you nurture it, no matter how much you teach it. It will always want to do everything in the dark. Like you devils do in your fraud, conspiracy and machination, and suck the last drop of blood from a corpse and unsuspecting souls. The "DOG", no matter how much you feed it or wash it, no matter how gross or nasty it is. It will always return to its own vomit and lick the feces from its own or another dog's "BUTT-HOLE". This is indicative of your white supremacy, white nationalist ideologies. Its time for us within this nation to have a conversation about race. The King-Khalifah, who is Martin's successor has come. Martin was "WATER",....I am "FIRE!" I am a voice crying out in the wilderness. I am a man, like the Prophet Noah, building a great, big, giant boat, on the top of earth's tallest mountain, in the off rainy season, during the time of drought, telling the world that there's a storm a comin'. The Ark Of Justice And Fairness is in preparation to set sail. Those who will venture aboard when the final call is made shall be saved. Those who shall dare to ignore the call, to their own peril,.... shall be drowned, Callon Petroleum Co. v. Frontier Ins. Co., 351 F3d. 204, 208(5th.Cir.2003); Digital Equipment Corp. v. Desktop Direct Ins., 511 U.S. 863, 869, 114 S.Ct. 1992, 1996,

2809 30

128 L.Ed.2d 842(1994); Chewing v. Ford Motor Company, 354 S.C. 72, 579 S.E.2d. 605(2003); Appling v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co., 340 F3d. 769, 780(9th.Cir.2003); King v. First American Investigation Inc., 287 F3d 91, 95(2nd.Cir.2002); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(4); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(2)(3)(A); United States v. Dizdar, CA2 (N.Y.) 1978, 581 F2d. 1031; Weepe v. United States, --U.S.--106 S.Ct. 179, 88 L.Ed.2d. 148(1985); The Amistad, 40 U.S. 518, 15 Pet. 518, 1841 WL 5024, 2006 A.M.C. 2955, 10 L.Ed. 826, U.S. Conn. January 1841; Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880); Pulliam v. Allen, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(1984); Stump v. Sparkman, 98 S.Ct. 1099; Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. at 554, 87 S.Ct. at 1218; English v. THorn, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D. Miss.1987); Yicks Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356; United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196 at 208; Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330, 354(1935); Julliland v. Greenman, 110 U.S. 421; Will v. Michigan State Police, 105 L.Ed.2d. 45(1989); Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend 9, 20(1829); Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253(1967); United States v. Wheeler, 98 S.Ct. 1079 at 1083; The Supremacy Clause, U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 6 Cl. 2; Brown v. Spring Valley Homeowners Association, Inc., 2016 WL 3595791 (S.C.2016); Article III sect. 1 and 2 U.S. Const.; (3) Holy Books And Sunnah Of The Prophet Muhammad(PBUH); Also see sources entitled--"Before The Mayflower--A History Of Black America", by Lerone Bennett; Suna ibn Majah Volume # 5 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1 pages 391-395; Signs Before The Day Of Judgment, by Ibn Kathir ISBN No. 1-870582-039 pages 18-24; Isaiah 14:29-32; 41:25; World's Greatest Men Of Color, Volume 1 by J.A. Rogers ISBN No. 978-0-684-81581-7; The Kebar Nagast or "GLORY OF THE KINGS" a chronicle of The Rulers Of Ethiopia; Budge E.A.W., The Queen Of Sheba and her only son Menyelek, London 1923; Ormonde, Czenzi, Solomon and The Queen Of Sheba, New York, Farra Straus and Young 1954; Zechariah 6:12-13; Daniel Chapt. 11; Nation, Cox v. Shalala, 112 F3d 151; English v. Gen. Elec. Co., 496 U.S. 72, 79, 100 S.Ct. 2270, 2275, 110 L.ED.2d. 65(1990); McLean v. Ford Motor Co., 831 F2d. 723; Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co., 24 F.Supp.2d. 1013; Doche-Naux


v. Secretary Of The Interior Of U.S., 837 F2d. 340 cert. denied
108 S.Ct. 2822, 486 U.S. 1055, 100 L.Ed.2d. 923; Bell v. United
States, 310 F.Supp. 1189; Stephen v. Carothers, 97 F.Supp.2d.
698; Craig v United States, 89 F.Supp.2d. 858; Legette v. Rose,
776 F.Supp. 229; EIE Guam v. Long Term Credit Bank, Japan, 322
F3d. 635(9th.Cir.2003); Anderson v. Cox, 977 F.Supp. 413.

Therefore, we pray for this relief, to include any and
all other relief the court would deem just, fair and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

JAHJAH AL MAHDI



DECEMBER 7, 2016


IN RE: THE FILING OF CHARGES AGAINST THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
AND ITS REPRESENTATIVE AGENTS FOR CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY IN THE
INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT AT THE HAGUE.

TO: THE LEAGUE OF UNITED NATIONS,
THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT AT THE HAGUE,
THE THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF NEW JERSEY,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF ILLINOIS,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF NEW YORK,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF OHIO,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF KENTUCKY,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF FLORIDA,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
THE OTHER OF THE 33 RELEVANT STATES DISTRICT COURTS,

HERE COMES THE PETITIONERS/PLAINTIFFS, WHO ARE THE
PARTIES IN THESE UNPRECEDENTED DIVERSITY JURISDICTION WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS CLASS ACTION PROCEEDINGS, AND DO HEREBY HUMBL
SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING:

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, AKA. JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE, AND OR THE PETITIONERS THAT ARE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION,
DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF A
MOTION AND OR COMPLAINT TO FILE ACTION FOR CRIMES AGAINST
HUMANITY AGAINST THE UNITED STATES AND ITS AGENTS, ON THE UNITED
NATION, THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, AND INTERNATIONAL
CRIMES COURT, AND OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE
PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JULY 3,
2009. THUS, IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THIS DATE, HOUSTON V. LACK, 487
U.S. 266-73, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
IAN BURKE
CLARENCE CHISOLM
JUSTIN YOUNG
AND OTHER 40+ PETITIONERS



JULY 1, 2009

THROUGH THE LEAGUE OF UNITED NATIONS
IN THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT AT THE HAGUE

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, #300839
AKA. JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH
T. TISHBITE
EDGAR CASTRO SR., #324083
CARNELL DAVIS, #179330
JONATHAN WILLIAMS, #264517
CLARENCE CHISOLM, #058272
MUHAMMAD AL MUJAHADIN, #103968
COREY CALLOWAY, #285780
EDWARD WELCH, #156337
ANDRE ALSTON, #264762
LAMONT M. CUSACK, #318178
LARRY G. MARTIN, #313931
DAVID CHAPMAN, #185661
EDWARD RUTLAND, #244471
SHANNON SABB, #
CASEY LEWIS, #259254
RALPH COLEMAN, #
NAKIA CLEMMONS, #261888
WILLIAM RUSH, #298838
JEFFERY FREEMAN, #240894
DEXTER CRAWFORD, #231730
ANQUAN M. COBB, #
OYAMA LEWIS, #248581
MAURICE LEE, #
DEMITRIUS MCILWAIN, #251-33-0611
JASPER SIMPSON, #257158
BOBBY MITCHELL, #262003
RAFI ABDUL AL-JAMI, #071175
CHARLES JUDD, #290485
AND OTHER 40+ PARTIES LISTED
IN EXHIBIT(S) "I" AND "AE"

PETITIONER(S)

VS.

THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,
PRESIDENT OBAMA ET. AL.,
VICE PRESIDENT BYDEN ET. AL.,
SEC. HILARY CLINTON ET AL.,
LEON PENNETTA, ET. AL.,
GOVERNOR SANDFORD ET. AL.,
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,
STATE OF GEORGIA ET. AL.,
STATE OF FLORIDA ET. AL.,
STATE OF KENTUCKY ET. AL.,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA ET. AL.,
STATE OF MICHIGAN ET. AL.,
AND ALL OTHER OF THE 32 STATES
THAT ADOPT THE USE OF AN
INDICTMENT VIA THEIR STATES
CONSTITUTIONS

DEFENDANT(S)

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURTS OF THE STATES
OF NEW JERSEY AND ILLINOIS,

C/A NO.2:08-cv-04187-JLL AND
09-1500 (N.J./ PHILADELPHIA)
C/A NO. 1:08-cv-06222-JBZ AND
09-1484 (ILLINOIS/ 7TH.CIRCUIT)

ALSO FILED IN VARIOUS COURTS
AS C/A NO. 3:08-cv-P559-S (KY.)
C/A NO. 3:08-cv-P590-S (KY.)
C/A NO.2:08-cv-12537-DPH (MICH)
C/A NO.2:08-cv-1458-LPZ (MICH)
C/A NO. 1:08-cv-2859-JEC (GA.)
C/A NO.9:08-cv-1287-LEK (N.Y.)
C/A NO.0:08-cv-5291-MMC (CALI.)
C/A NO. 3:08-cv-00477-GCM(N.C.)
C/A NO.2:08-cv-2339-TLW-BM (SC)
C/A NO.4:07-cv-1791-TLW-TER(SC)
C/A NO.1:09-cv-0465-KMO (OHIO)
C/A NO.1:08-cv-22998-MGC (FLA.)
C/A NO. 2008-CP-42-2370 (S.C.)
C/A NO.9:08-cv-3543-CMC-BM (SC)

INT'L C/A NO. _____

MOTION AND OR COMPLAINT TO FILE
ACTION FOR CRIMES AGAINST
HUMANITY AGAINST THE UNITED
STATES AND ITS AGENTS

IN RE: THE FILING OF CHARGES AGAINST THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
AND ITS REPRESENTATIVE AGENTS FOR CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY IN THE
INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT AT THE HAGUE.

TO: THE LEAGUE OF UNITED NATIONS,
THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT AT THE HAGUE,
THE THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF NEW JERSEY,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF ILLINOIS,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF NEW YORK,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF OHIO,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF KENTUCKY,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF FLORIDA,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
THE OTHER OF THE 33 RELEVANT STATES DISTRICT COURTS,

HERE COMES THE PETITIONERS/PLAINTIFFS, WHO ARE THE
PARTIES IN THESE UNPRECEDENTED DIVERSITY JURISDICTION WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS CLASS ACTION PROCEEDINGS, AND DO HEREBY HUMBL
SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE MOST MERCIFUL, THE
MOST GREAT. TO THE HONORABLE REPRESENTATIVE MEMBERS OF THE LEAGUE
OF UNITED NATIONS, AND THE JUDGES OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES
COURT OF JUSTICE AT THE HAGUE, SHALOM. AS SALAMU ALAYKUM WA
RAMATUALLAH WA BARAKATUHU. MAY THE BLESSINGS AND PEACE OF CHRIST
JESUS(PBUH) BE UPON YOU ALL. I AM LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, AKA.
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE
KING OF THE NORTH WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL CHAPTER 11. THAT
WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE HOLY PEOPLE WHOSE POWER IS FORETOLD TO BE
SCATTERED BY THE ANTI CHRIST WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL
CHAPTER 12:7. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE RIGHTFUL HEIR, KING,
AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE NATION OF ISRAEL. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS
THE 12TH. AND FINAL OF THE RIGHTLY GUIDED KHALIFAHs OF THE
ISLAMIC WORLD. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE KING AND HIGH PRIEST
OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH WORLD WIDE. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS WHO
THE MUSLIM WORLD CALLS AHLE BAYT, DESCENDANTS OF THE HOUSEHOLD OF
THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH). THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS HEIR TO THE
THRONE OF KING DAVID(PBUH). THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE DIRECT
DESCENDANT OF AARON(PBUH) AND THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, KEEPER OF

THE ARK OF THE COVENANT AND REBUILDER OF THE TEMPLE IN JERUSALEM. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS QURAYSH, KEEPER AND CUSTODIAN OF THE HOLY CITY OF MECCA. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE SMOKE OUT OF THE NORTH, THE COCKATRICE THAT IS SAID WILL COME OUT OF THE SERPENT'S ROOT(PRISON), LIBERATOR OF THE PALESTINIAN PEOPLE, SAID BY PROPHECY THAT WOULD APPEAR AT A TIME WHEN THE PALESTINIAN GOVERNMENT WOULD BE IN DISARRAY, THE MESSENGERS TO THE NATIONS, WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 14:29-32. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE MAN WHO NOURISHES A YOUNG COW(YOUNG WIFE MARRIED IN ACCORDANCE TO THE LAWS OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD), AND TWO SHEEP(I AM ACCOMPANIED BY TWO DISCIPLES), WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 7:21-25, APPEARING DURING THE TIME OF THE GREAT TRIBULATION. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE ONE RAISED UP FROM THE NORTH WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 41:25. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS WHO ISLAMIC PROPHECY STATES WILL COME FROM THE EAST, WHOM ISLAM STATES THE TIME OF THE RESURRECTION SHALL NOT BE ESTABLISHED UNTIL A FREEDMAN(PRISONER) NAMED JAHJAH BECOMES A MONARCH AND HOLDS SWAY. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE BLIND, DEAF, SERVANT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD WHO WILL EXALT HIS LAWS, WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 42:18-22. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS ONE OF THE TWO ANOINTED ONES, THE TWO OLIVE BRANCHES, THAT EMPTY OUT OF THEMSELVES THE GOLDEN OIL AND STAND BEFORE THE LORD OF THE WHOLE EARTH, OWNER OF THE DAY OF JUDGMENT, WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ZECHARIAH 4:11-14. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE REFINER'S FIRE, THE FULLER'S SOAP, WHO IS SAID TO WILL PURGE THE SONS OF LEVI, TO INCLUDE ALL CHRISTIANS AND MUSLIMS AS WELL, THE ONE WHO WILL TURN THE HEARTS OF THE FATHERS TO THEIR CHILDREN, AND THE HEARTS OF THE CHILDREN TO THEIR FATHERS, WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF MALACHI CHAPTERS 3 AND 4. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE ELIJAH FORETOLD BY CHRIST(PBUH) WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF MARK 9:12. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS WHOM THE MUSLIM WORLD CALLS AL MAHDI, THE GUIDED ONE, THE LION AND KHALIFAH OF ALLAH. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS ONE OF THE TWO WITNESSES, WHO FIRE PROCEEDTH OUT OF HIS MOUTH TO DEVOUR HIS ENEMIES, WHO HAVE POWER TO SHUT UP THE HEAVENS THAT IT RAIN NOT, AND HAVE THE POWER TO SMITE THE EARTH WITH PLAGUES AS OFTEN AS HE WILLS. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF THE EARTH'S GREATEST PROPHETS AND KINGS, MOSES, AARON, DAVID, SOLOMAN, CHRIST THROUGH HIS BROTHER JAMES, MUHAMMAD, ALI IBN ABU TALIB(PBUT). THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF THE GREATEST WOMEN TO WALK THE EARTH, AND WHOM THE WORLD WAS NOT WORTHY!, SARAH, HAGAR, ZIPPORAH, BATHSHEBA, MARY, KHADIJAH, AND FATIMA. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM, AND JEW COMBINED, TO FULFILL ALL THAT WAS FORETOLD BY THE THREE TRUE RELIGIONS, SUCH IS NOW EMBODIED WITHIN ME. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR, FOR OUR GOD INSTRUCTED HIM TO PASS HIS MANTLE ON TO ME WITHIN A DREAM, INSPIRED WITHIN THE NIGHT AS ISLAM FORETELLS, AND I AM COMMANDED TO COMPLETE WHAT MARTIN STARTED, BUT ON A GLOBAL SCALE, THAT BEING TO FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS, THE SAME WAY IT HAD BEEN FILLED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION AS CONFIRMED BY MY ISLAMIC FOREFATHERS. THAT WHICH IS IN ME, IS THE FORERUNNER TO GOD'S

CHRIST(PBUH), AND AS CHRIST(PBUH) IS KING, PROPHET, AND HIGH PRIEST, I AM KING, KHALIFAH, PROPHET, HIGH PRIEST, AND IMAM. I AM THE LEAD PETITIONER AND REPRESENTATIVE MEMBER OF THIS ACTION NOW SET BEFORE YOU.

I HUMBLY COME BEFORE YOU, O' HONORABLE NATIONS OF THE WORLD, AND I OFFICIALLY CLAIM MY RIGHTS AS THE HEIR, AND ONE TRUE SOVEREIGN OF THE ENTIRE CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM, AND JEWISH WORLD, AS KHALIFAH OF A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS, KING OF A KINGDOM OF PRIEST AS DESIGNATED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH. FOR THE RECORD, I HAVE BEEN SEEN BY MORE THAN 9 DIFFERENT FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGIST. NOT ONE OF THEM DEEMED ME AS BEING DELUSIONAL OR PSYCHOTIC. I EVEN WAS PERMITTED TO REPRESENT MYSELF AT TRIAL. SUCH WOULD NOT BE PERMITTED IF I WERE MENTALLY INCOMPETENT. THUS, THE MATTERS I PRESENT BEFORE YOU HAVE NO BASE IN MENTAL ILLNESS. THEY ARE SIMPLY A MATTER OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, THOUGH TO SOME THEY MAY APPEAR STRANGE AND UNPOPULAR. I, AS SOVEREIGN OF THE ENTIRE CHRISTIAN, JEWISH, AND ISLAMIC WORLD, OFFICIALLY INVOKE MY RIGHTS AS A SOVEREIGN OF GOD TO FILE ACTION WITHIN THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT OF JUSTICE AT THE HAGUE FOR CHARGES OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AGAINST THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, PRESIDENT OBAMA, EX-PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH, EX-VICE PRESIDENT DICK CHANEY, CONDELEEZA RICE, JOE BIDEN, LEON PENNETTA, HILARY CLINTON, THE FORMER ADMINISTRATION AS WELL AS THIS PRESENT ADMINISTRATION. THE MATTERS BEFORE US, OF COURSE, ARE UNPRECEDENTED. IT'S REASONABLE TO BELIEVE THAT THE HONORABLE LEAGUE OF UNITED NATIONS IS UNPREPARED FOR SUCH AN EVENT. THUS, THERE ARE NO ESTABLISHED LAWS BEFORE US THAT WOULD SERVE TO BE ADEQUATE TO ADDRESS THESE EVENTS. THEREFORE, IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO ALL PARTIES, THE LEGAL MAXIMS MUST APPLY. WITH THIS FOUNDATION BEING LAID, HE WHO IS ENSCONCED WITHIN THE HOLY WRITINGS BEGAN WHICH SUCH:

"MAIXIME ITA DICTA QUIA MAXIMA EST EJUS DIGNITAS ET CERTISSIMA AUCTORITAS, ATQUE QUOD MAXIME OMNIBUS PROBETUR",---A MAXIM IS SO CALLED BECAUSE IT'S DIGNITY IS CHIEFEST AND IT'S AUTHORITY IS THE MOST CERTAIN, AND BECAUSE IT IS MOST APPROVED BY ALL.

THUS, IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO ALL GOVERNMENTS AND PARTIES, EVEN BY WAY OF THE DIVERSITY OF EACH SOVEREIGN'S LAWS, LET THE LEGAL MAXIMS ESTABLISH THE FOUNDATION AND SET THE TONE FOR THE INITIAL LITIGATION. SINCE I INVOKE MY RIGHTS AS A SOVEREIGN, CANON LAW INDEED APPLIES UNDER THESE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

"REGULA PRO LEGE, SI DEFICIT LEX",---IF THE LAW IS INADEQUATE THE MAIXIM SERVES IN IT'S PLACE.

THESE ARE UNPRECEDENTED, EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. THE

PRESENT LAWS OF YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS TO INCLUDE THOSE IN PLACE VIA THE UNITED NATIONS ARE INADEQUATE TO ADDRESS THE MATTERS AND BE FAIR TO ALL PARTIES. THUS, THE LEGAL MAXIMS AGAIN, MUST BE GIVEN SWAY.

"TOUT CE QUE LA LOI NE DEFEND PAS EST PERMIS",---EVERYTHING THAT THE LAW DOES NOT FORBID IS PERMITTED.

"LE LEY DE DIEU ET LEY DE TERRE SONT TOUT UN, ET L'UN ET L'AUTRE PREFERRE ET FAVOUR LE COMMON ET PUBLIQUE BIEN DEL TERRE",---THE LAWS OF GOD AND THE LAWS ARE ALL ONE; AND BOTH PROMOTE AND FAVOR THE COMMON AND PUBLIC GOOD OF THE LAND.

BY THE AFOREMENTIONED, THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD TAKE PRECEDENT OVER ANY LAW THAT STANDS IN CONFLICT OF THAT WHICH GOD DECREES. FOR THE LAWS OF THE LAND ARE TO BE IN HARMONY, IN SYNC WITH THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. SO IT IS WRITTEN,...SO LET IT BE DONE.

"JURIS PRAECEPTA SUNT HAEC, HONEST VIVERE, ALTERUM NON LAEDERE, SUUM CUIQUE TRIBUERE",---THESE ARE THE PRECEPTS OF LAW: TO LIVE HONORABLY, NOT TO INJURE ANOTHER, AND TO RENDER TO EACH PERSON THEIR DUE.

"NEMO PLUS COMMODI HAEREDI SUO RELENQUIT QUAM IPSE HABUIT",---NO ONE LEAVES A GREATER ASSET TO HIS HEIR THAN HE HAD HIMSELF.

I AM THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF THE EARTH'S GREATEST PROPHETS, KINGS, KHALIFAHs, IMAMS, AND HIGH PRIESTS. THE TITLE AND HONOR GIVEN TO THEM BY THE QADR, DIVINE DECREE OF THE I AM, ALLAH, AND BY HEREDITARY RIGHT, IS NOW BY THE LAWS OF GOD, IS GIVEN TO ME. THIS IS MY JUST AND REASONABLE DUE. SUCH RIGHTS ARE NOW INVOKED.

"NEMO PLUS JURIS AD ALIENUM TRANSFERRE POTEST QUAM IPSE HABER ET",---NO ONE CAN TRANSFER TO ANOTHER A GREATER RIGHT THAN HE HIMSELF MIGHT HAVE.

MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. WAS DESIGNATED ONE OF THE GREATEST CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS OF OUR TIME. SINCE THE LAWS OF GOD AND THE LAWS OF THE LAND ARE ONE, IT IS MY JUST DUE TO BE VIEWED AND GIVEN THE RIGHTS OF A SOVEREIGN, AS MARTIN'S SUCCESSOR, AS DESIGNATED BY THE HOLY WRITINGS OF THE THREE TRUE RELIGIONS, AND THE COMMAND OF THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH, OWNER OF THE DAY OF JUDGMENT. THE MORAL COMPASS PURSUANT TO CIVIL RIGHTS IN NOW PASSED TO ME, AND IS TO BE INITIATED IN HARMONY TO ALL THAT THE ONE TRUE GOD DECREES.

"NEMO NASCITUR ARTIFEX",---NO ONE IS BORN AN EXPERT. WISDOM IN THE LAW IS ACQUIRED THROUGH DILIGENT SEARCH AND OR

STUDY. STUDY TO SHOW THY SELF APPROVED. KNOWLEDGE AND WISDOM ARE SOUGHT FROM THE CRADLE TO THE GRAVE.

"NON IN TABULIS EST JUS",---IF IT IS NOT IN THE BOOKS OF THE LAW, IT IS TO BE FOUND.

BY THE AFOREMENTIONED IT IS THE DUTY OF THE LEAGUE OF UNITED NATIONS AND THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ISSUES, CLAIMS, AND DEFENSES THAT ARE ALLEGED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT. THE MATTERS CAN ONLY BE REVEALED BY REVIEW AND STUDY OF THE THREE TRUE HOLY BOOKS. THE AUTHORIZED KING JAMES BIBLE MUST BE USED, THE TORAH, THE QURAN, AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH). IT IS ALSO REQUIRED THAT THE UNITED NATIONS CONTACT PACER.COM BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 8AM-6PM (800)-676-6856 AND (210)-301-6440. P.O. BOX 780549 SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78278. THE UNITED NATIONS MUST PURCHASE A PACER.COM ACCOUNT. THIS WILL ALLOW THE INTERNATIONAL COURT ACCESS VIA INTERNET TO DOWNLOAD CRUCIAL DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE THE SOURCE OF THE ALLEGATIONS BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL. THE UNITED NATIONS WILL NEED TO ACCESS CASE NO.0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, IN THE S. CAROLINA U.S. DISTRICT COURT. THERE YOU WILL FIND A COPY OF A (240) PAGE KERSHAW COUNTY PETITION AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED AS EVIDENCE. BY THIS DOCUMENT I HAVE DONE WHAT NO MAN IN THE HISTORY OF THE WORLD HAS DONE. I CONNECTED THE NUMEROUS, VAST AND DISTINCT RELIGIOUS PROPHECIES OF CHRISTIANITY, JUDAISM, AND ISLAM AS THEY PERTAIN TO CHRIST(PBUH) FORERUNNER, AND SHOWN WHERE THESE PROPHECIES SIMULTANEOUSLY EXIST IN THE LIFE OF ONE MAN, SPECIFICALLY, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, THE LEAD PETITIONER OF THIS ACTION. WITH THIS IS INDISPUTABLE EVIDENCE THAT PROVES I WAS FRAMED BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED BECAUSE I MAKE THE CLAIMS I DO BEFORE YOU, AND THE BUSH AND OBAMA ADMINISTRATION KNOWS OF IT YET CONSPIRED TO KEEP THIS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY. THEY CONSPIRED TO KEEP FROM YOU KNOWLEDGE OF POTENTIAL IMMINENT DANGER TO THE CITIZENS OF YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS.

THE UNITED NATIONS WILL ALSO NEED A COPY OF THE FOREWORD AND WARNING TO THE "BOOK OF REMEMBRANCE" FORETOLD TO BE WRITTEN BY THE ELIJAH, AL MAHDI, WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF MALACHI 3:16. YOU WILL FIND A COPY OF IT FILED IN THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE NO.2:08-cv-04187-JLL. THE UNITED NATIONS WILL NEED A COPY OF THE LETTERS SENT TO PRESIDENT OBAMA FILED IN THE OHIO DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE NO.1:09-cv-0465-KMO, AND THE UNITED NATIONS WILL NEED A COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE KENTUCKY DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE NO.3:08-cv-P559-S AND 3:08-cv-P590-S. ALL OF THESE DOCUMENTS WILL BE NEED TO BE DOWNLOADED THROUGH PACER.COM IN ORDER THAT THE RECORD MAY BE COMPLETE BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, AND THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY WILL BECOME FULLY AWARE OF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE MATTERS THAT ARE PLACED BEFORE IT.

*****IMPORTANT NOTICE AND PETITION*****

THE PETITIONERS WHO ARE PARTIES IN THESE UNPRECEDENTED DIVERSITY JURISDICTION WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CLASS ACTION PROCEEDINGS, AND WHO SUBMIT THIS ACTION AS PLAINTIFFS/PETITIONERS IN THE ACTION AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES, OFFICIALLY PETITION THAT ALL (50) OF THE REPRESENTATIVE MEMBERS OF THIS ACTION BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE HONORABLE SOULS OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY, THE UNITED NATIONS AND OR INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, DUE TO THE POTENTIAL THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER TO THE CITIZENS OF YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS, AND DUE TO THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT SURROUNDS THESE PROCEEDINGS. PLEASE DO NOT CONSTRUE THIS AS A PETITION FOR THE PETITIONER JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, TO BE BROUGHT BEFORE YOU ALONE. BY OUR SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS, SINCE WE FILE THIS ACTION JOINTLY, WE, IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS, IN ORDER TO BE GIVEN SUFFICIENT OPPORTUNITY TO SUBSTANTIATE THE CLAIMS, THE PETITIONERS MUST BE BROUGHT BEFORE YOU TOGETHER. YOU HAVE MY WORD AS GOD'S SERVANT, THAT NO ONE IS OF ANY THREAT BY OUR PRESENCE AND APPEARING TOGETHER. LET THIS BE DONE, EVEN IF WE ALL MUST APPEAR BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY AND OR INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE IN CHAINS!! WHEN MEN AND WOMEN OF LIKE HEART, OF LIKE SPIRIT, AND OF LIKE MIND, BY THEIR CIRCUMSTANCE, ARE SHACKLED TOGETHER BY THE DARK ENTERPRISE OF TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION DUE TO THE RECREANT AND OPPROBRIOUS DEEDS OF ANATHEMIZED GOVERNMENT,...IT IS FAR BETTER THAT WE STAND TOGETHER BEFORE YOU IN BONDS!!!, RATHER THAN NOT STAND AT ALL. IN ORDER THAT TRUTH, JUSTICE, AND FAIRNESS, SO BRUTISHLY CRUSHED TO THE GROUND, MAY ONCE AGAIN RISE!! AND LIVE AGAIN.

INASMUCH, THE COMPLAINT AND CHARGES LAID TO BEAR ON THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND ITS EMPLOYED OFFICIALS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, VIA THE RELEVANT STATES, CONSPIRING AFTER THE FACT WITH THE BUSH AND OBAMA ADMINISTRATION, HAS ILLEGALLY SEIZED, KIDNAPPED!! EVERY MAN AND WOMAN HELD UNDER STATE CUSTODY, WHOSE STATES ADOPT THE FEDERAL PROVISIONS AND USE OF AN INDICTMENT BY WAY OF THEIR STATE CONSTITUTIONS, IN EGREGIOUS, OUTRAGEOUS VIOLATION OF THEIR OWN STATE AND OR FEDERAL LAWS. THIS IS DUE TO THE STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR AND OR DEFECT IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE INDICTMENTS, INDICTMENTS WHICH SERVE AS A VEHICLE TO MAINTAIN THE STATE AND OR FEDERAL CAPTIVITY AND OR CONVICTION. IT DEPRIVES THE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES, THUS LAID CAPTIVE, OF CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION, SO BASIC, SO FUNDAMENTAL, THAT IN ITS ABSENCE NO CRIMINAL PROCEEDING CAN BE DEEMED RELIABLE AS A VEHICLE FOR THE DETERMINING OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE, AND NO

CRIMINAL PUNISHMENT CAN BE REGARDED AS FUNDAMENTALLY FAIR, BECAUSE THIS ERROR AFFECTS THE FRAMEWORK OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND CANNOT BE VIEWED AS HARMLESS. THIS ISSUE IS AT THE HEART OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY, SAID THAT THE ELIJAH, AL MAHDI, THE FORERUNNER OF CHRIST(PBUH) WOULD PRODUCE AS A "CALLING CARD" TO PROVE THAT HE IS WHO HE CLAIM TO BE. PROPHECY SAYS HE WOULD CAUSE THE PRISON DOORS TO OPEN AND PROCLAIM A DAY OF LIBERTY FOR THE CAPTIVES AS SEEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH CHAPTER 61. IT IS THE BEGINNING OF THE FULFILLING OF ISLAMIC PROPHECY WHICH STATE, HE WILL FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE SAME WAY IT HAD BEEN FILLED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION. THE PETITIONERS FURTHER PROVED IT WAS NOT A HARMLESS ERROR BECAUSE THE COURTS MUST CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS REA ELEMENTS WHICH IS IMPERMISSIBLE BY THEIR OWN DUE PROCESS LAWS. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, BOTH THE BUSH AND OBAMA ADMINISTRATION, ARE ENGAGING IN ACTS OF INTRINSIC AND EXTRINSIC JUDICIAL FRAUD, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, AND UNDER COLOR OF AUTHORITY, IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF THE KU KLUX KLAN ACT AND OR CIVIL RIGHTS LITIGATION ACT, BEHIND A CLASS BASED INVIDIOUSLY DISCRIMINATORY ANIMUS, IN THE FORM OF RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, BECAUSE IT WILL TURN OUT THAT THE FORERUNNER OF CHRIST(PBUH) IS A BLACKMAN WHO WILL NOT SUPPORT THEIR PERVERSE AGENDA, AND THEY DON'T WANT THE BELIEVERS OF THE WORLD TO KNOW THAT CHRISTIANS, JEWS, AND MUSLIMS ARE BROTHERS, AND THE CORRUPT GOVERNMENTS OF THE CAPITALISTIC NATIONS WILL NO LONGER BE ABLE TO BLEED THE THIRD WORLD NATIONS OF THEIR RESOURCES, WHILE THE INDIGENOUS POPULATION GO STARVING AND DEPRIVED. THEIR EFFORT IS TO CAUSE IRREPARABLE HARM TO THE FILED CLASS ACTION PROCEEDINGS, TO KEEP THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY IN THE DARK, AS TO THE MAGNITUDE AND TRUE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEING CONDUCTED BEFORE THE COURTS. THEY ARE BEHIND THE SCENES INSTRUCTING THE FEDERAL COURTS NOT TO DECLARE THE CLASS ACTION, AND ARE MAKING EVERY UNJUST ATTEMPT HUMANLY POSSIBLE IN HOPES OF CREATING UNJUST PROCEDURAL DEFAULT ISSUES, TO KEEP THE LEGAL ISSUES OF SUPPOSED RELIGIOUS PROPHECY FROM OBTAINING JUDICIAL REVIEW. THUS, ALL MEN AND WOMEN, WHO ARE HELD UNDER STATE CUSTODY IN THE 33 RELEVANT STATES, ARE BASICALLY KIDNAPPED, AND UNJUSTLY DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES OWN LAWS OF DUE PROCESS. BY THE AFOREMENTIONED THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, BOTH THE BUSH AND OBAMA ADMINISTRATION, ARE GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, PERPETRATED AGAINST ITS OWN CITIZENS WITHIN THIS NATION. THUS WE, THE PETITIONERS OF THIS ACTION, DO SO CHARGE THEM.

(2) THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT CONSPIRED TO DECEIVE THE GLOBAL POPULATION AND COMMUNITY, AS TO THE TRUE ORIGINS OF THE PRESENT GLOBAL EPIDEMIC IN THE FORM OF THE SWINE FLU, EXPOSING EVERY CITIZEN OF EVERY NATION TO POSSIBLE IMMINENT DANGER, DEATH, AND DEVASTATION. IF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF THE (240) PAGE KERSHAW COUNTY PETITION FILED

AT THE END OF 2006, AND THE LETTERS SENT TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA THAT ARE FILED WITHIN THE OHIO AND KENTUCKY DISTRICT COURTS. YOU WILL SEE THE ALLEGED ORIGIN OF THIS PLAGUE THAT HAS PRESENTLY SWEEPED THE GLOBE. YOU WILL SEE THE FORETELLING OF THIS PLAGUE CLEARLY MENTIONED IN THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THE COURTS. IT HIT THE MEXICAN COMMUNITY THE HARDEST DUE TO THE LITIGATION BEFORE THE COURT. THE MEXICAN DRUG CARTELS INVOKED MY JURISDICTION WHEN THEY ATTRIBUTED THEIR SUCCESS TO THIS SO CALLED SACRED SAINT OF CRIMINALS IN BLASPHEMY TO THE TRUTH OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. AS HIS EMISSARY, I WAS COMMANDED TO ACT, TO THE FULFILLING OF WHAT IS WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF REVELATIONS IN THE INJEEL, THE NEW TESTAMENT, WHICH STATES I WOULD HAVE THE POWER TO SMITE THE EARTH WITH PLAGUES AS OFTEN AS I WILL. DUE TO THE MEXICAN DRUG CARTELS MURDERING AND KIDNAPPING, AND MAKING USE OF THIS FALSE TEACHING OF CATHOLICISM, THEY INVOKED GOD'S WRATH. THIS IS WHY IT OCCURRED IN MEXICO, WAS MOST SEVER THERE AND IN THE AMERICAS, AND WAS NOT TOO SEVER TO THE REST OF THE WORLD. IT WAS DESIGNED TO AT FIRST STRIKE THE CANADIAN HOMOSEXUAL AND LESBIAN COMMUNITY DUE TO THAT COUNTRY'S UNJUST TREATMENT OF THE BELIEVERS WHO STOOD UP AGAINST SUCH EVILS. THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION FURTHER INVOKED THE WRATH OF GOD, BY CONSPIRING TO KEEP THESE PROCEEDING FROM GLOBAL SCRUTINY, SO OUR GOD EXPANDED IT ACROSS THE WORLD TO PROVE THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN IN MALACHI CHAPTER 4, WHICH STATES, UNLESS I COME, UNLESS I AM SET FREE, OUR GOD WILL SMITE THE EARTH WITH A CURSE, WITH A PLAGUE. THUS, IF THESE MATTERS ARE NOT REVEALED BEFORE THE ENTIRE WORLD, AND THE AMERICAN COURTS DO NOT GIVE RULING ON THE LEGAL ISSUES OF SUPPOSED RELIGIOUS PROPHECY, THE PLAGUE WILL AND OR COULD POTENTIALLY KILL BILLIONS WITHIN YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS. FOUR DAYS AFTER THE DOUBLE LUNAR ECLIPSE SPOKEN OF IN THE QURAN, SURAH 75, AYATS 8-9, THE FORESEEN MUTATED VERSION OF THE BIRD FLU WRITTEN IN THE (240) PAGE KERSHAW COUNTY PETITION, WILL MUTATE ONE MORE TIME JOINING ITSELF WITH COMPONENTS OF THE AIDS VIRUS PRODUCING A MUTATED STRAND COMBINATION OF BIRD, SWINE, HUMAN AND AIDS VIRUS THAT WILL BE OFF THE CHAIN!! YOUR SCIENTIST AND DOCTORS PRESENTLY POSSESS VACCINE THAT THE VIRUS IN ITS PRESENT FORM RESPOND TO FAVORABLY, BUT YOU DON'T HAVE THE CURE FOR THE AIDS VIRUS, AND IT WILL BE A SORT OF AIR BORN AIDS VIRUS THAT ANYONE CAN CATCH. THIS IS WHAT IS COMING AT YOU IF THESE MATTERS ARE NOT BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE WORLD. THE ANGELIC FORCES ARE BEING MOVED INTO POSITION AWAITING THAT SIGN OF THE DOUBLE LUNAR ECLIPSE. GOD WILL SMITE THE EARTH IF WE ARE NOT GIVEN JUSTICE. MANY WILL DIE. THUS, BY THEIR RECKLESSNESS AND NEGLIGENCE THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, EVEN BY THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE ALREADY DIED, THEIR INVOKING THE WRATH OF GOD, ARE GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY BY OPENING THE WORLD UP TO THIS ATTACK BY FRAMING GOD'S MESSENGER. NO ONE CAN JUSTLY ASSERT THAT THE ONE TRUE GOD, OR HIS MESSENGER ARE GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, BECAUSE GOD IS SOVEREIGN. ABSOLUTE POWER AND RIGHT OVER MANKIND IS HIS. MANY OF THE PEOPLE THAT THE MEXICAN DRUG CARTELS SHOT,

KIDNAP AND KILL ARE RIGHTEOUS CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWS. THEY ARE CITIZENS OF A THEOCRATIC STATE AND OR GOVERNMENT,...MY GOVERNMENT INVOKING MY JURISDICTION AND INTERVENTION. I AM THE ONLY MAN DESIGNATED BY THE DIVINE DECREE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THAT CAN STOP THE MEXICAN DRUG CARTELS. EVERY TIME THESE INNOCENT SOULS OF MEXICO ARE SUBJECTED TO THIS CRUEL TYRANNY AND OPPRESSIVE ACTS OF THE MEXICAN DRUG CARTELS, AND THE UNITED STATES HOLDS THE ONE MAN IN UNJUST CAPTIVITY THAT CAN STOP THEM, AND THESE PEOPLE SUFFER AND DIE, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS, WE THE PETITIONERS SO CHARGE THEM. THIS WILL BE THE SIGN THAT THE ONE TRUE GOD HAS GIVEN ME TO GIVE THEE. I FORETOLD THAT AN UNSEEN MUTATED STRAND OF THE BIRD FLU WOULD EMERGE. YOUR SCIENTIST CALLED IT A SWINE FLU. I SAY ITS THE BIRD FLU, THEY CALLED IT THE SWINE FLU. ONE OF US IS WRONG. I SAY ITS YOUR SCIENTIST. THIS UNSEEN MUTATED STRAND HAS ALREADY MANIFESTED ITSELF IN MEXICAN AND CANADIAN PIGS. I SAID THE BIRD FLU WOULD COME TO CANADA. IN ORDER THAT YOU MAY KNOW THAT THE WORD, KALAAM, OF GOD THAT IS IN MY MOUTH IS TRUE, YOU WILL SEE THIS FALSELY LABELLED SWINE FLU, NOW APPEAR IN THE CANADIAN BIRDS TO FULFILL WHAT IS WRITTEN IN THE (240) PAGE PETITIONER WHERE I SAID AN UNSEEN MUTATED VERSION OF THE BIRD FLU, NOT THE SWINE FLU, WILL COME TO CANADA. SO I HAVE SPOKEN,...SO SHALL IT BE DONE. AS ISLAM FORETOLD, I WOULD NOT COME WITH CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS.

(3) THE PALESTINIANS IN THE GAZA STRIP ARE REPEATEDLY SUBJECTED TO THE OPPRESSIVE TYRANNICAL DEEDS OF THE ISRAELI GOVERNMENT. THE RECENT BATTLE FOUGHT BY ISRAEL KILLED OVER A THOUSAND INNOCENT PALESTINIAN MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN. THESE ARE MY PEOPLE!! I AM ISRAEL'S KING AND HIGH PRIEST, AS I AM THE PALESTINIANS KHALIFAH AND IMAM. THE BUSH AND OBAMA ADMINISTRATION KNEW OF MY EXISTENCE AND KNEW THAT I WAS THE ONLY MAN ALIVE WHO COULD HAVE STOPPED THAT CARNAGE!!! PRESIDENT CARTER WAS RIGHT. THE ACTS OF THE ISRAELI GOVERNMENT AMOUNT TO APARTHEID!! THEY HAVE BECOME AN INTOLERABLE BREACH. THE ONE MAN WHO CAN BRING PEACE TO THE MIDDLE EAST IS UNJUSTLY FRAMED! BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. BOTH ADMINISTRATIONS KNEW THIS. THE LIVES OF THE MANY PALESTINIANS THAT NEEDLESSLY DIED DUE TO THERE UNJUSTLY HOLDING ME IS ON THIS COUNTRY'S HEADS!!! BY SUCH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS WE, THE PETITIONERS SO CHARGE THEM.

(4) BECAUSE THESE DEVILS IN THIS COUNTRY FRAMED THE DESCENDANT OF GOD'S GREATEST PROPHETS AND KINGS, THE FORERUNNER TO GOD'S CHRIST, GOD IN HIS WRATH HAS UNLEASHED THE FOUR HORSEMEN OF THE APOCALYPSE UPON THE WORLD. I WAS ARRESTED IN JANUARY OF 2000. HAVEN'T YOU NOTICED SINCE MY INCARCERATION THAT THE ENTIRE WORLD JUST SEEMED TO GO CRAZY OUT THERE? THIS PRESENT GLOBAL ECONOMIC CRISIS IS THE FATAL BLOW TO THE BEAST WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF REVELATIONS. YOUR PRESENT ECONOMIC GLOBAL CRISIS,

KATRINA, 9/11, AL QEIDA GOING FOOL, THE ZSUNAMI IN INDONESIA, THE EARTHQUAKE IN AFGHANISTAN, THE EARTHQUAKE IN CHINA, GLOBAL WARMING INCREASING AROUND THE WORLD, THE RANDOM MASS KILLINGS LIKE THE VIRGINIA TECH, MUMBAI, FINLAND, JAPAN, AND ALL AROUND THE WORLD, ALL THESE OCCURRENCES OCCURRED BECAUSE THESE LAWLESS SOULS HERE IN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FRAMED GOD'S APPOINTED KHALIFAH, KING, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST. THE WORLD IS BEING SUBJECTED TO ACTS OF GOD. FIRES IN AUSTRALIA, CALIFORNIA, SOUTH CAROLINA, ETC. HURRICANES, INTENSE STORMS, CYCLONES, EARTHQUAKES IN DIVERSE PLACES, WARS AND RUMORS OF WARS. ALL OF THIS IS OCCURRING BECAUSE THEY FRAMED THE FORERUNNER OF CHRIST(PBUH). THIS MEANS THAT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEATHS OF KATRINA, 9/11, THE RISE OF AL QEIDA, THE VARIOUS EARTHQUAKES AND ZSUNAMIS, TO INCLUDE THE DEATHS THAT OCCURRED FROM THIS PRESENT ECONOMIC CRISIS BECAUSE THE UNITED STATES SUBJECTED THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY TO THE WRATH OF GOD BY THEIR WICKED DEEDS. THUS, THE DEATHS OCCURRING, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS WE, THE PETITIONERS DO SO CHARGE THEM.

(5) IRAQ!!!...THE DEATH OF SADDAM HUSSAIN IS THE EMBODIMENT OF THE SECOND WOE WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF REVELATIONS. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT SHOULD HAVE NEVER WENT INTO IRAQ TALKING ABOUT THEY ARE LIBERATING A THEOCRACY, ATTEMPTING TO SPREAD A DEMOCRACY, WHEN SUCH CONCEPTS ARE THE VERY ANTITHESIS OF A THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT. THE BELIEVERS OF THIS WORLD ARE NOT A DEMOCRACY. WE ARE A THEOCRACY. WE ARE NOT A GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE. WE ARE A GOVERNMENT OF GOD BY GOD AND FOR GOD. WE ARE NOT TOLERATE TOWARDS CONCEPTS SUCH AS GAY RIGHTS, DRUGS, ABORTION, DRUNKS, ILLEGAL SEX, GREED AND MONEY HOARDING, OR DRESSING LIKE A BUNCH OF WHORES AND DOGS. WE ARE A KINGDOM OF PRIESTS!! A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS!!! TO BRING THESE DEMOCRATIC CONCEPTS WITHIN A THEOCRACY WOULD CAUSE CHAOS!! LOOK AT WHAT'S HAPPENING IN IRAQ, PAKISTAN, AFGHANISTAN. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT EVILS HAVE COME UP BEFORE GOD. THEY ARE WEIGHED IN THE BALANCE AND THEY ARE FOUND WANTING. THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEATH OF EVERY INNOCENT MAN, WOMAN, AND CHILD THAT HAS BEEN SHOT, BLOWN UP, OR KILLED IN IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN SINCE THE INVASION. THE ONE MAN WHO COULD HAVE PREVENTED THIS, THEY KNOWINGLY KEPT FRAMED IN THIS CORRUPT GOOD OLE' BOY, BEER DRINKING, COON' HUNTIN' BUDDY COUNTRY JAIL. FOR EACH LIFE LOST, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS, THE PETITIONERS DO SO CHARGE THEM. YOU THINK GOD SEES AMERICAN LIFE GREATER THAN THE LIVES OF ANY OF THOSE WHO DIE IN THOSE THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES? IF YOU DO YOU THINK AS A FOOL. THE THREE THOUSAND LIVES THAT DIED IN 9/11 ARE SMALL COMPARED TO THOSE LIVES PERISHED IN IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN. GOD VIEWS THE LIVES OF THE IRAQIS AND AFGHANS JUST AS PRECIOUS AS THE LIVES OF AMERICANS. NONE OF YOU ARE BETTER THAN THE OTHER IN ANY OF YOUR

RESPECTIVE NATIONS!!! DEATH IS DEATH, TYRANNY IS TYRANNY, OPPRESSION IS OPPRESSION IN THE EYES OF GOD, NO MATTER WHAT FOOL ENGAGES IN IT!!!

(6) BY THE LEAD OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, AND BY THE EVILS OF YOUR DEMOCRACY, YOU HAVE WHORE HOUSES, STRIP JOINTS, SODOMITES AND LESBIANS, OTHER PLACES OF ILL REPUTE AND EVERY EVIL UNDER THE SUN OPENED UP IN THE ISLAMIC, CHRISTIAN, JEWISH PENINSULA, AMONG A SOVEREIGN, A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS. YOU HAVE MY IRAQI WOMEN WHORING IN SYRIA. MY MEN HAVE COME OUT OF THEIR BEARDS. MY WOMEN HAVE COME OUT OF THEIR HEAD COVERINGS AND VEILS. YOU HAVE MY MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN BEING RAPED BUTCHERED AND HACKED UP ALL OVER THE AFRICAN CONTINENT. THESE ARE MY PEOPLE!!! EVERY TIME MY MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN ARE RAPED, BUTCHERED, AND ENSLAVE IN DARFUR, CONGO, SUDAN, KENYA, CHAD AND THE LIKE, AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HOLDS THE ONE MAN IN THE WORLD WHO CAN BRING THESE PEOPLE JUSTICE, UNJUSTLY FRAMED IN THIS CORRUPT PRISON SYSTEM, BY EACH DEATH AND EVIL ACTION PERPETRATED AGAINST MY CITIZENS BY THESE WICKED SOULS, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS WE, THE PETITIONERS DO SO CHARGE THEM.

(7) AIDS AND MALARIA IS RUNNING RAMPANT THROUGHOUT THE AFRICAN CONTINENT. FAMINE AND DROUGHT EXIST AT AN UNPRECEDENTED SCALE. THE ETHIOPIANS ARE STARVING AS IS MANY THAT ARE TO COME UNDER MY AUTHORITY. RELIGIOUS PROPHECY CLEARLY STATES, BY MY PURGING OF THE LAND, THE RAIN WILL FALL IN DUE SEASON, THE EARTH WILL GIVE UP ITS YIELD, THE NEEDY WILL LIE DOWN IN SAFETY, THE FIRSTBORN OF THE POOR WILL FEED, YET THESE POOR SOULS ARE MADE TO CONTINUE IN THEIR SUFFERING EVERY DAY WITH VIRTUALLY NO HOPE, BECAUSE THE ONE MAN WHO CAN CHANGE THEIR CONDITION IS UNJUSTLY FRAMED IN THIS CORRUPT JAIL, AND THE BUSH AND OBAMA ADMINISTRATION CONSPIRED TO KEEP THESE FACTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY BECAUSE THEY WANT TO PRESERVE THEIR PERVERTED NATIONAL SECURITY INTEREST IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS, AS WELL AS IN DEFIANCE TO WHAT'S RIGHT BEFORE GOD AND HIS HOLY ANGELS IN ACTS OF OPPROBRIUM!!! ANATHEMA!!!

THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN CALLED BY SOME THE GREAT SATAN. IT IS BY THEIR TREACHEROUS DEEDS, EVEN THOSE PERPETRATED AGAINST THE CITIZENS OF THEIR OWN NATION, BY SLAVERY, TO INCLUDE THIS MODERN DAY SLAVERY IN THE FORM OF THIS CORRUPT, BROKEN, JUDICIAL SYSTEM THAT HAS OPENED THEM UP TO SUCH A CHARGE. THE EVILS OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAVE COME UP BEFORE THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH. THIS IS WHY HE ALLOWED ME TO BE FRAMED AND COME TO PRISON. SO THAT I WOULD SEE, EXPERIENCE THESE EVILS FIRSTHAND, AND BY SUCH I WOULD STAND AGAINST THEM, FOR THE SAKE OF JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS. AS A HIGH PRIEST OF GOD OF THE LINE OF AARON, AS CHRIST HAD, I HAVE REDEMPTION POWER. I HAVE

REDEEMED THE MEN OF THE PRISONS OF THIS NATION. BY THE COMMAND OF GOD THEY ARE TO BE GIVEN AN ADDITIONAL OPPORTUNITY TO REPENT BEFORE THE DAY OF JUDGMENT COMES UPON THEIR SOULS. SO HAVE THE ONE TRUE GOD DECREED THIS,...SO IT SHALL BE DONE.

THERE ARE MORE PLAGUES, PROPHESED TO COME, THAT ARE WRITTEN IN THE (240) PAGE KERSHAW COUNTY PETITION AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE KENTUCKY DISTRICT COURT. INDONESIA, NORTH KOREA THERE'S A FEW COMING DIRECTLY AT YOU. YOUR CITIZENS ARE IN IMMINENT DANGER, AS IS MANY OTHER NATIONS OF THE WORLD IF WE ARE NOT GIVE A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL HEARING ON OUR CASE IN ORDER THAT GOD'S SERVANT MAY GO FORTH. OBTAIN THE DOCUMENTS IN AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION TOWARDS YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS. THE UNITED STATES HAS DECEIVED YOU. THEY HAVE BETRAYED YOUR TRUST AND KEPT THESE UNPRECEDENTED EVENTS FROM THE GLOBAL COMMUNITY TO PROTECT THEIR NATIONAL SECURITY INTEREST OVER YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS NATIONAL SECURITY INTEREST. IS THIS THE NATION THAT YOU WANT TO LEAD YOU?

BARACK OBAMA WILL BE A TWO TERM PRESIDENT AS I PROPHESED IN THE (240) PAGE PETITION. THE ANTI CHRIST WILL ASCEND THE WHITE HOUSE SEAT AFTER HIS TWO TERMS ARE COMPLETED IN THE 2018 ELECTION. HE WILL BE AN ONE EYED CLOSET FAGOT AND SODOMITE WHO WILL ASCEND THE WHITE HOUSE SEAT BY DEFAULT. THE PRESIDENT OF THE 2018 ELECTION WILL DIE WITHIN A SHORT TIME OF BEING ELECTED BY NATURAL CAUSES. HE WILL HAVE A STROKE AND OR HEART ATTACK. HIS VICE-PRESIDENTIAL RUNNING MATE WILL BE THE ANTI CHRIST. HE WILL BE AD DAJJAL. THIS IS EXPLAINED IN THE (240) PAGE PETITION. IF I AM HERE, THEN HE IS HERE ALSO. EVENTUALLY, AS IT IS WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF REVELATIONS CONFIRMED BY ISLAM, I WILL DIE BATTLING HIS FORCES, FIGHTING ON THE STREET OF NEW YORK AFTER I RETURN TO THIS NATION TO PROTECT MY BELIEVERS, BUT IN THREE DAYS I WILL BE RESURRECTED TO MEET MY GREAT GRAND UNCLE ISA(PBUH). WE AND THE RIGHTEOUS WILL THEN RETURN AND SEIZE TOTAL POWER OVER THE WORLD. I AM HIS SECOND IN COMMAND. I AM THE LESSER LIGHT, HE IS THE GREATER. EVEN THOUGH HE WILL STILL BE KING AND HIGH PRIEST, AS HIS DIRECT DESCENDANT, HE WILL MAINTAIN THE KINGSHIP, THE KHALIFATE, BUT HE WILL TRANSFER THE KEEP OF THE TEMPLE AND TABERNACLE OVER TO ME ALSO HIGH PRIEST OF THE SONS OF AARON AND HIS SECOND IN COMMAND, AS STATED BY SUNNAH AND THE BOOK OF DANIEL 11:45, "AND HE SHALL PLANT THE TABERNACLES OF HIS PALACE BETWEEN THE SEAS OF THE HOLY MOUNTAIN, YET HE SHALL COME TO HIS END, AND NONE SHALL HELP HIM". ALL HAS BEEN SET THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE I WAS FASHIONED WITHIN MY MOTHER'S WOMB. SO IT IS WRITTEN,...SO LET IT BE DONE TO THE GLORY OF THE GOD I SERVE.

(8) YOUR NATIONS HAVE MY MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN ENSLAVED WITHIN YOUR BORDERS. I WANT MY MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN RELEASED. BY THESE I MEAN MY "MILK CARTOON" PEOPLE. THE ONES WHO ARE HELD WITHIN YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS WHO WERE SEIZED FROM THEIR HOMELANDS BY THE WORLD'S HUMAN TRAFFICKERS. YOU HAVE MY MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN BEING HELD AS SEX SLAVES AND FORCED LABORERS. THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH HAVE ALLOWED ME TO SEE THEIR CONTINUAL SUFFERING, PERSECUTION, AND OPPRESSION IN MY SLEEP!!! THEY CALL OUT TO ME IN THEIR SUFFERING THROUGH THE NIGHT!!! I AM COMMANDED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD TO ACT AS THEIR WALI, THEIR CHAMPION. INDIA AND CHINA ARE FILLING MASS GRAVES WITH THE BODIES OF MY CHILDREN!!! THESE ARE ALSO THE EMBODIMENT OF THE CAPTIVES MENTIONED IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH CHAPTER 61. I AM COMMANDED TO SET THEM AT LIBERTY. THIS INCLUDES THE ONES ANY OF YOUR NATIONS ARE HOLDING IN SECRET PRISONS AROUND THE WORLD WITHOUT CHARGING THEM, OR DENYING THEM BASIC RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS. I AM THE DESCENDANT OF HEBREW, IRAQI, ARAB, AND AFRICAN SLAVES, DO YOU NOT THINK THAT THE GOD I SERVE AND HIS SERVANT WOULD NOT CALL THESE EVILS INTO ACCOUNT!!!? YOUR NATIONS HAVE (40) DAYS FROM THE TIME I ASCEND THE THRONE OF ISRAEL. AT THAT JUNCTURE EVERY HUMAN TRAFFICKER AROUND THE WORLD DIES. EVERY PERSON THAT HOLDS ONE OF THESE LITTLE ONES IN WHOM I AM COMMANDED TO PROTECT, THE ENTIRE FAMILY DIES. RECTAL CANCER AND ALL MANNER OF TERMINAL DISEASES WILL CONSUME THEIR GUILTY BODIES AT AN ACCELERATED RATE. THEREAFTER THEY WILL DIE AND REST WITH THEIR FATHERS UNTIL THE FINAL DAY OF JUDGMENT UPON THEIR WORTHLESS SOULS. THIS IS FORETOLD TO YOUR NATIONS WITHIN THE DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE COURTS AS WELL. I AM THE CHAMPION OF THESE INNOCENT SOULS YOUR NATIONS HOLD CAPTIVE. I AM THEIR WALI, THEIR PROTECTOR. I AM THE ONLY MAN IN THE WORLD WHO CAN BRING AN END TO THEIR CAPTIVITY AND OPPRESSION. MANY OF THEM HAVE DIED AND WILL DIE IF I DO NOT ACT. THIS IS AN INTOLERABLE BREACH ON THE PART OF YOUR NATIONS. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT KNOWS I AM SENT TO AID THESE OPPRESSED PEOPLE. EVERY DAY THAT THESE PEOPLE STAY UNDER THE BONDS OF THIS TREACHEROUS, OPPRESSIVE, CAPTIVITY, THESE HUMAN TRAFFICKING DOGS AND PIGS, THE ONES WHO HAVE DIED SINCE MY ARREST AND THE ONES WHO WILL DIE IF I AM NOT RELEASED, AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT CONTINUALLY HOLD ME KNOWING FULLY WELL THAT I AM FRAMED FOR THE MURDER OF ONE OF MY OWN, AND THESE CAPTIVE SOULS ARE DENIED THEIR FREEDOM, SUCH PRODUCES CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS WE, THE PETITIONERS DO SO CHARGE THEM.

AS SALAMU ALAYKUM. HERE ME O' UMMAH OF ALLAH. HEAR THE WORDS OF AL MAHDI, AHLE BAYT, THE LION AND KHALIFAH OF ALLAH. I HEREBY OFFICIALLY LAY CLAIM OF THE KHALIFATE OF ISLAM TO INCLUDE POWER OVER THE HOLY CITY OF MECCA. I AM QURAYSH. I AM ITS TRUE KEEPER AS I AM OVER THE TEMPLE IN ISRAEL. YOU ALL ARE TO END YOUR GLOBAL JIHAD!!! END IT NOW, OR I WILL SEND THE DISSENTERS TO THE

DARKEST PITS OF HELL!! EVERY TIME YOU FOOLS IN YOUR IGNORANCE BLOW UP A BOMB YOU UNJUSTLY KILL AN INNOCENT RIGHTEOUS MUSLIM, CHRISTIAN, OR JEW IN WHOM I AM COMMANDED TO PROTECT. CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWS ARE BROTHERS!!! WE ALL WORSHIP THE SAME GOD!!! FOOLS!!! YOU ALL HAVE THE SAME KING, KHALIFAH, HIGH PRIEST AND IMAM! THIS IS THE EDICT I PLACE BEFORE THEE. END THIS MADNESS AROUND THE WORLD OR I WILL SEND YOU TO YOUR DEATHS. WITH THIS RELIGIOUS EDICT IN PLACE, THIS MEANS THAT NONE OF YOU WHO ENGAGES IN THIS UNSANCTIONED JIHAD WITHOUT MY AUTHORITY WILL SEE JANNAT. YOU WILL ONLY EMBRACE THE HELLFIRE, WHERE THE FUEL IS MANKIND AND BRIMSTONE, WHERE THE WORM DIETH NOT, WHERE THERE FIRES ARE NEVER QUENCHED, WHERE THERE IS NO LAW, AND WHERE THERE IS NO AGREEMENT!!!

ABDULLAH OF ARABIA, YOU ARE TO STOP THE JANJAWA IN DAFAR. FOR FAILURE I WILL HOLD YOU IN ACCOUNT. YOU DOGS AND PIGS AROUND THE WORLD WANT TO RAPE, BUTCHER, AND SLAUGHTER MY WOMEN, I WILL SEND YOU TO YOUR DEATHS WITH THE KALAAM OF ALLAH THAT WILL PROCEED OUT OF MY MOUTH!!! BY THE COMMAND AND LEAVE OF THE I AM, ALLAH, I PLACE A SEAL AROUND ALL BELIEVING WOMEN AROUND THE WORLD, CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM, AND JEW ALIKE. FOUR DAYS AFTER THE SHOWING OF THE DOUBLE ECLIPSE, ANY DOG OR PIG THAT WANTS TO RAPE AND ABUSE MY WOMEN WILL BE SUBJECTED TO AN ACT OF GOD. THE PERPETRATORS WILL DEVELOP SEVERE CEREBRAL PALSY AND WITHER UP LIKE A ROTTEN GRAPE OR PRUNE. THE CEREBRAL PALSY WILL BE SO SEVERE THEY WILL NO LONGER BY ANY MEANS MENTALLY OR PHYSICALLY BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ERECTION. THUS, I WILL CASTRATE YOU, WITHOUT CASTRATING YOU. WHEN YOU, O' UMMAH OF ALLAH, SEE THESE DOGS AND PIGS WHO HAVE FALLEN VICTIM TO THIS FORETOLD PLAGUE, BY THE LAWS OF THE MUSLIMS, CHRISTIANS, AND JEWS, YOU ARE TO STONE THEM TO DEATH!!! YOU ABUSE AND VIOLATE MY WOMEN I WILL ABUSE AND VIOLATE YOU. AN EYE FOR AN EYE, A HAND FOR A HAND, A FOOT FOR A FOOT, A TOOTH FOR A TOOTH. THIS IS THE LAW OF GOD, AND IT IS A MARVELOUS THING IN HIS SIGHT.

AS AFORESAID, SAUDIS, YOU ARE TO RELINQUISH CONTROL OVER THE HOLY CITY TO ME, AND MUSLIMS I WANT FADAK!! THE SHIA WERE CORRECT. ABU BAKR LIED. THE PROPHETS DO LEAVE INHERITANCE TO THEIR FAMILIES. THIS IS CLEARLY SEEN NUMEROUS TIMES IN THE TAURAT. MY GREAT GRANDMOTHER DIED OF A BROKEN HEART BEHIND YOUR EVILS. YOU WILL RETURN TO MY MOTHER HER INHERITANCE OR BE SUBJECT TO AN ACT OF GOD. MY MOTHER WILL HAVE JUSTICE EVEN IF ITS FROM THE GRAVE!!! I DON'T WANT THIS LAND PERSONALLY. I HAVE NO NEED OF IT. IT WILL GO TO HER DESCENDANT, MY DAUGHTER, HER DAUGHTER, THE DAUGHTER OF THE SOUTH WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL, AND SHE WILL FOLLOW THE EXAMPLE OF HER GREAT GRANDMOTHER FATIMA IN HOW SHE HANDLED THE LAND.

MUSLIMS WORLDWIDE, YOU ARE TO DISSOLVE YOUR SECTS. ALLAH

SUBHANNA WA TA 'ALA DID NOT MAKE SUNNIS, SHIA, ORIENTALIST, SUFIS, OR NONE OF THIS BIDAH AND MADNESS YOU ALL PRODUCED IN YOUR TAGUT. ALLAH MADE MUSLIMS!!! ALL MUSLIMS AROUND THE WORLD WILL DISSOLVE YOUR SECTS, OR YOU WILL BE SUBJECTED TO AN ACT OF GOD. I WILL NOT BAT AN EYE OR FLINCH IN DEALING WITH THIS BIDAH, THIS TAGUT YOU ALL CREATED.

OSAMA BIN LADEN, AKHI, YOU, ALL OF AL QEIDA, ALL OF THE TALIBAN, ALL OF YOU WILL SURRENDER TO ME. YOU WILL SURRENDER TO AHLE BAYT. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF AL QEIDA'S SECOND IN COMMAND. HE WILL FALL TO THE CAPTIVITY OF THE WEST FOR MAKING USE OF THE TERM "HOUSE NEGROES". NO MUSLIM WILL FALL UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF ANY FOREIGN COURT OR GOVERNMENT. YOU WILL BE TRIED IN AN ISLAMIC COURT, BY YOUR FELLOW MUSLIMS. NO MUSLIM, CHRISTIAN, OR JEW WILL BE DENIED THEIR RIGHTS UNDER THEOCRATIC LAW. YOU WILL EITHER PAY THE BLODD WRIT, OR THE SENTENCE WILL BE DEATH UPON CONVICTION BY THE QADI BOARD. THIS IS HOW IT WILL BE. BY THE TEACHINGS OF THE THREE TRUE RELIGIOUS, THE BELIEVERS POLICE OUR OWN. WE CLEAN OUR OWN HOUSE. SO I HAVE SPOKEN,...SO SHALL IT BE DONE. THIS INCLUDES THEM SHAITAN MUSLIM DRUG DEALERS THAT CALL THEMSELVES THE TALLIBAN. IF ANY OF YOU WANT RULE OVER ANY PROVINCE IN THE ISLAMIC PENINSULA, DON'T FIGHT THE FOREIGN INVADERS, FIGHT ME, THE TRUE HEIR TO THE KHALIFATE. ALL FOREIGN BASES AND EMBASSIES MUST BE CLOSED AND YOUR NATIONS TROOPS WITHDRAWN. WE ARE A THEOCRACY, NOT A DEMOCRACY. WE HAVE NO NEED OF DEMOCRATIC FORCES AND TROOPS WITHIN OUR JURISDICTION. ALL FOREIGN FORCES MUST LEAVE, OR YOU ARE SUBJECT TO BECOME COLLATERAL DAMAGE! THE BELIEVERS POLICE THEIR OWN. INTERNATIONAL FORCES MUST LEAVE WHILE THE OPPORTUNITY EXIST, OR YOU TOO MAY BE SUBJECT TO AN ACT OF GOD IN HIS WRATH.

TO THE PUPPET AMERICAN GOVERNMENT IN IRAQ,...STAND DOWN! YOU ARE NO LONGER IN AUTHORITY OVER IRAQ WITH YOUR DEMOCRATIC EVILS, WHORE HOUSES, STRIP JOINTS, AND OTHER TAGUT YOU ALLOWED AMONG MY UMMAH. AL SADR WILL BE DESIGNATED AS AMIR OF IRAQ, NO ONE ELSE. ANY FOOL WITH HALF WAY COMMON SENSE CAN SEE THAT IT WAS NOT THE SURGE, OR THE SUNNI AWAKING THAT CAUSED ANY KIND OF STABILITY IN IRAQ. WHAT CAUSE THE QUELL IN VIOLENCE WAS THAT AL SADR LAID DOWN ARMS. HE WAS THE ONLY ONE WITH ENOUGH TAWQA AND PIETY TO KNOW THAT THE SHEDDING OF MUSLIM BLOOD UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES BEFORE YOU WAS IMPERMISSIBLE. HE WAS THE ONLY ONE TO SEE THROUGH THE TRICKS OF THE SHAITAN THAT HAD YOU ALL AT EACH OTHERS THROATS AND COMMANDED HIS PEOPLE TO LAY DOWN ARMS. HE AND THE ARMY NAMED AFTER YOUR KHALIFAH, IS THE ONLY ONE WORTHY TO LEAD AND PROTECT MY UMMAH IN IRAQ BY HIS SHOWN WISDOM. AL SADR, AKHI, IF YOU WOULD ACCEPT IT, THE AMIRSHIP IS YOURS. PREPARE YOURSELF FOR MY SOON ARRIVAL. ANYONE WHO WOULD DARE TO STAND IN REBELLION, YOU CAN MEET AND FACE ME ON THE SANDS OF KABALA, WHERE YOU SLAIN MY ANCESTOR HUSAIN. THERE I WILL EXACT JUSTICE UPON YOU

ALL WHO REBEL FOR HIS DEATH!!! AL MAHDI CHALLENGES YOU COWARDS WHO ACT LIKE SCARED WOMEN TO BATTLE. THE ELEMENTS OF JIHAD BELONG TO THE LION OF ALLAH. JANNAT IS UNDER THE SHADOW OF THE SWORD! YOU PIGS WANT TO BLOW UP AND KILL MY PEOPLE?...THEN I WILL KILL YOU. I WILL SEND YOU TO YOUR DEATHS!! IT IS THERE THAT YOU SHALL FACE THE 200 MILLION HORSEMEN BOUND AT THE EUPHRATES. THEY ARE THERE AWAITING THE EXACT YEAR, THE EXACT MONTH, THE EXACT DAY, THE EXACT HOUR THAT I ENTER THE IRAQI PROVINCE. NO HUMAN FORCE CAN STAND AGAINST THEM!

TO MY UMMAH IN IRAN WHO NOW STAND IN PROTEST OF THE RECENT ELECTION,...STAND DOWN!! AHMED MUHADIINAPIG! SECOND TERM IS DECREED BY THE QADR OF ALLAH DUE TO WHAT I WROTE IN THE (240) PAGE PETITION AND THE DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE FEDERAL COURT. BY THOSE DOCUMENTS I PROPHESED THAT I WOULD BE THE ONE TO UNSEAT AHMED MUHADIINAPIG, BECAUSE IN THE PAST HE MADE THE DECEPTIVE CLAIM TO MY UMMAH THAT HE WAS AL MAHDI, THIS TREACHEROUS DOG AND PIG! AS MY GREAT GRANDFATHER(PBUH) INSTRUCTED YOU, "HE WHO DECEIVES US, IS NOT ONE OF US". I MADE THE CLAIM FIRST, BEFORE HONORABLE MUSLIMS TO INCLUDE THE SOON TO BE MOTHER OF THE BELIEVERS, SALWA ADLY, DAUGHTER OF THE IMAM AT THE MOSQUE ON GERVAIS STREET IN COLUMBIA S.C.. SHARIAH AND SUNNAH ARE CLEAR ON THIS ISSUE. WHEN ONE FIRST COMES AND MAKES A RIGHTEOUS CLAIM ON THE KHALIFATE AND BAYT, AND ANOTHER COMES AFTER HIM TO ALSO MAKE THE CLAIM, YOU ARE TO KILL THE SECOND CLAIMER. AHMED MUHADINAPIG BELONGS TO ME. NO ONE IS TO TOUCH A HAIR ON HIS HEAD. THIS IS MY COMMAND. I WILL DEAL WITH HIM PERSONALLY. AYATOLLAH OF IRAN,...STAND DOWN!!! IF YOU HARM A HAIR ON MY PEOPLE'S HEAD I WILL HAVE YOURS IN THEIR PLACE. I AM SUPREME LEADER OF IRAN. IF YOU DO NOT ACCEPT THIS, SEND YOUR REVOLUTIONARY GUARD. I WILL STAND AGAINST THEM PERSONALLY. MY GREAT GRANDFATHER(PBUH) INFORMED YOU THAT IN MY COMING YOU ARE TO COME AND SWEAR ALLEGIANCE TO ME EVEN IF YOU HAD TO CRAWL OVER ICE!!! OBEY OUR PROPHET, OBEY ALLAH, AND TAKE THE BAYT AT MY HAND AND LIVE, OR YOU WILL ASSUREDLY DIE!

IRANIANS, YOU ARE NOT TO SUPPORT MUSAVI. WHY WOULD YOU WANT TO REPLACE A PIG WITH A DOG? THIS SHAITAN AND FASIQUN IS JUST AS WICKED AS MUHADDIINAPIG. YOU HAVE VEERED INTO MISGUIDANCE. I WILL PROVE THIS TO YOU BY QURAN AND SUNNAH. BY OUR TEACHINGS, IS IT NOT INCUMBENT UPON THE RULER OF THE MUSLIMS TO BE WILLING TO DIE, STANDING IN THE CAUSE OF ALLAH? YES, IT IS. IS IT NOT INCUMBENT UPON THE RULER OF THE MUSLIMS, TO BE FIRST IN BATTLE, STANDING WITH HIS UMMAH WHEN THE FIGHT IS AGAINST INJUSTICE? YES, IT IS. DID NOT THE RASOOLAH(PBUH), ALI IBN ABU TALIB, AND ALL THE RIGHTEOUS COMPANIONS, WERE THEY NOT FIRST IN THE LINE OF BATTLE FIGHTING AGAINST THE WORSHIP OF FALSE GODS AND THE ENEMIES OF ALLAH? YES, THEY WERE. THEN WHERE IS THIS FOOL, THIS DOG, THIS COWARD, THIS PIG MUSAVI, WHILE MY UMMAH, MY MEN, WOMEN, AND EVEN CHILDREN OF IRAN ARE STANDING IN PROTEST TO PLACE HIM IN OFFICE TO THE GIVING OF THEIR VERY LIVES? THIS DOG

DECEIVED YOU. HE STATED THAT HE WAS WILLING TO BE A MARTYR, STANDING IN THE CAUSE OF ALLAH AGAINST INJUSTICE, AND WHEN THE TRUE JIHAD AROSE, THIS COWARD SEND MEN, WOMEN, AND EVEN CHILDREN TO DIE IN HIS PLACE, WHILE HE SITS HIS FAGOT, COWARD BEHIND HOME PAINTING DANDELIONS ON A CANVAS, HIDING UNDER HIS BED, WITH LESS COURAGE THAN THE WOMEN AND CHILDREN HE SENDS TO DIE IN HIS PLACE, SENDING YOU MESSAGES VIA THE INTERNET? ARE YOU MAJNUN!!! HE THAT DECEIVES US, IS NOT ONE OF US!! IF THIS COWARD WOULD HAVE LIVED DURING THE TIMES OF MY GREAT GRANDFATHER(PBUH), THE UMMAH WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED TO CHOP AT HIS NECK!!! NOW YOU WANT TO PLACE THIS DOG AND COWARD AS RULER OVER THE BELIEVERS!!!!? YOU ARE MISGUIDED. THE SHAITAN HAS USED THIS SNAKE TO DECEIVE YOU, STAND DOWN UMMAH OF ALLAH, SABR, AWAIT THE FINAL SIGN OF THE DOUBLE ECLIPSE WITH ME. THEREAFTER, ONE WHO IS TRULY CALLED TO LEAD YOU SHALL COME, AL MAHDI, THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF YOUR PROPHET(PBUH).

HEAR THE WORDS OF THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF YOUR PROPHET(PBUH) O' UMMAH OF ALLAH, AS IS GIVEN TO ME BY THE COMMAND OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. YOU ALL, WILL ALL, ACKNOWLEDGE THE ACCEPTANCE OF ISRAEL AMONG US. THIS IS THE COMMAND OF OUR RABB. IS NOT DAWUD, KING DAVID OF THE JEWS OUR PROPHET ALSO? WAS HE NOT ALSO MUSLIM IN THAT HE WAS IN SUBMISSION TO THE COMMANDS OF ALLAH? WELL THEN, ALLAH, THE ONE, MADE A PROMISE AND COVENANT WITH OUR PROPHET AND MY GREAT GRANDFATHER KING DAWUD, THAT BANI ISRAEL WILL ALWAYS BE GIVEN A PLACE AMONG US. ARE YOU ALL FASIQUIN ENGAGING IN ACT OF ZULM, THAT YOU WOULD ENDEAVOR TO MAKE ALLAH A LIAR? THE BEST KIND OF JIHAD IS ENGAGED BY MUSLIMS WHO STRIVE TO MAKE OUR LORD'S WORD SUPERIOR. IF YOU ATTEMPT AND ENDEAVOR TO USURP THE WORD, PROMISE, AND COMMAND OF ALLAH GIVEN TO ONE OF HIS DEVOUT SERVANTS, I WILL VIEW IT AS THOUGH YOU HAVE APOSTATE!!! YOU ALL WILL ACCEPT THE EXISTENCE OF ISRAEL. I WILL IRON OUT THE DIFFERENT ISSUES THAT EXIST BETWEEN YOU. THIS IS NON-NEGOTIABLE.

EVERY NATION AND PROVINCE AMONG US WILL DISARM. THIS GOES FOR YOU TOO ISRAEL. THERE WILL BE NO NUCLEAR WEAPONS AMONG US. WHAT NEED HAVE THE SERVANTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD HAVE WITH SUCH TOOLS OF THE DUNYA, THE CHILDREN OF THE SHAITAN. IS NOT THE I AM, ALLAH, OUR WALI, OUR PROTECTOR? IF ALLAH IS FOR US, WHO CAN STAND AGAINST US? WE WILL HAVE ALLAH HIMSELF, AND THE ARK OF THE COVENANT AMONG US. WHAT CAN HARM US IF THIS IS THE CASE. EVEN AD DAJJAL'S EFFORTS TO DESTROY US WILL NOT SUCCEED, BECAUSE EVEN IN DEATH!!! I WILL RISE AGAIN, AND I WILL RETURN WITH ISA, CHRIST JESUS(PBUH), MY ANCESTOR, AND WE WILL WIPE THAT PIG OFF THE FACE OF THE EARTH!!! WE ARE A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS. LET THE DUNYA FEAR ALLAH, THE ONE. HE IS MORE THAN SUFFICIENT FOR THOSE WHO WILL SERVE HIM. SO I HAVE COMMANDED,...SO LET IT BE DONE.

ONE FINAL THING O' UMMAH OF ALLAH. THE CHRISTIANS AND JEWS WERE CORRECT. MY GREAT GRANDFATHER, AS MUCH AS I LOVE AND ADORE

HIM, IS NOT THE LAST AND FINAL MESSENGER. THE LAST MESSENGER THAT THE BOOKS OF THE CHRISTIANS AND JEWS REFER TO, IS THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH). IT IS AL MAHDI, AN AYAT, SIGNS AND WONDERS PRODUCING PROPHET. THE RASOOLAH(PBUH), IN SAHIH AL BAKHARI, THE BOOK OF FITAN, CLEARLY INFORMED YOU THAT AFTER HIS DEATH THE SAHABAH WOULD ENTER HERESIES IN OUR RELIGION. "SEAL" OF THE PROPHETS DO NOT MEAN LAST AND FINAL MESSENGER. SEAL MEANS THAT WHICH RATIFIES YOU, THAT WHICH SHOWS YOU BELONG TO A PARTICULAR GROUP OF PEOPLE. SO SEAL OF THE PROPHET MEAN THAT WHICH SHOWS YOU BELONG TO MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS YOUR PROPHET, AND THE BODY OF THE MUSLIM UMMAH. WE ARE A RELIGION OF PROOF. FURTHER PROOF IS THAT IT WAS SAID THAT THIS SUPPOSED SEAL OF HIM BEING THE LAST AND FINAL MESSENGER MANIFESTED ITSELF IN A FORM OF A MARK THAT WAS ON HIS BACK BETWEEN HIS SHOULDERS. IF THIS MARK WAS PROOF THAT HE WAS THE LAST AND FINAL MESSENGER, THEN WHY DO I POSSESS THIS SAME EXACT MARK IN THE SAME PLACE IN WHICH HE HAD HIS? YES, UMMAH OF ALLAH. AL MAHDI BEARS THE PROPHET'S SEAL, A GIFT, GIVEN TO ME BY ALLAH AND MY GREAT GRANDFATHER(PBUH) TO PROVE TO YOU THE VERY THING I TELL YOU. SINCE I BEAR THIS EXACT SAME SEAL OF MY GRANDFATHER, THEN BY YOUR OWN ASSESSMENT OF THIS MATTER, I AM THE LAST AND FINAL MESSENGER, CONFIRMING THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN IN THE HOLY BOOK OF THE TAURAT AND INJEEL. NO MERE MORTAL OR KHALIFAH CAN CAUSE THE EARTH TO OPEN UP AND SWALLOW PEOPLE OR CALL FORTH PLAGUES. EVERY PLACE IN THE QURAN WHERE YOU SEE SUCH THINGS OCCURRING, THAT MAN WAS A MESSENGER, AS THE HOLY BOOKS STATE I WOULD BE. A MESSENGER IS REQUIRED TO UNDO WHAT YOU'VE DONE TO OUR RELIGION. NO MERE KHALIFAH CAN CORRECT ANY DEFICIENCIES IN THE RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE, WHICH IS WHY ISA, CHRIST(PBUH) SAID I WOULD COME TO RESTORE ALL THINGS. FURTHER PROOF OF MY LINEAGE IS I POSSESS A SICKLE CELL TRAIT. SICKLE CELL IS A DISEASE THAT ORIGINATES EXCLUSIVELY FROM THE ISLAMIC, CHRISTIAN, JEWISH PENINSULA. THIS IS INDISPUTABLE PROOF THAT MY ANCESTORS ARE NOT FROM THIS COUNTRY. MY ANCESTORS ARE YOUR ANCESTORS. THIS IS MY PROOF TO YOU. ACCEPT IT OR LEAVE IT. IT WILL NOT PREVENT THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN FROM COMING TO PASS, NOR WILL IT HINDER THAT WHICH ALLAH DECREES IF YOU DOUBT. BISMILLAH IR RAHMAN IR RAHIM.

REFERRING BACK TO THE ISSUE OF IRAQ. THIS IS WHY THE RECENT SPIKE IN VIOLENCE IS AIMED AT THE SHIA. THESE RATS AND SNAKES INVOLVED KNOW THAT WHAT I JUST INFORMED YOU IS TRUE. IT WASN'T THE SURGE OR THE SUNNI AWAKENING THAT CAUSE THE QUELL IN VIOLENCE. IT WAS SADR CALLING HIS PEOPLE TO LAY DOWN ARMS. IF THESE DOGS! CAN SUCCED IN CAUSING SADR TO RISE UP AGAIN, THEY KNOW IRAQ WOULD BE THROWN INTO CHAOS!, AND THEY CAN BLAME THE WEST, IN THEIR EFFORTS TO PROMOTE THIS UNSANCTIONED GLOBAL JIHAD AND OBTAIN RECRUITS, AT THE EXPENSE AND LIVES OF INNOCENT MUSLIMS, MY PEOPLE, AS WELL AS AT THE EXPENSE OF RIGHTEOUS CHRISTIANS AND JEWS WHOM ALL I AM SWORN TO PROTECT. SADR, SABR AKHI. DO NOT BE FOOLED OR MANIPULATED BY THE TOOLS OF THE

SHAITAN. AKHI, YOU MUST MINIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGE THESE DOGS AND PIGS CAN CAUSE UNTIL I ARRIVE. MINIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE AT THE VARIOUS MARKETS AND PLACES OF GATHERING. PLACE FORTH MEN AND WOMEN TO SEARCH PEOPLE FOR EXPLOSIVES AT THE VARIOUS MOSQUES AND HOLY SITES WHETHER IT BE AT THE ACTUAL SITES, OR DURING THERE TRAVEL THERETO, BUT DO NOT ENGAGE IN OPEN CONFRONTATION WITH THESE DOGS AND PIGS UNLESS SUCH BECOMES ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY. IT MAY BE AN INCONVENIENCE, BUT SUCH IS NOW NEEDED TO SECURE THE SAFETY OF THE MUSLIMS. WOMEN SEARCH THE WOMEN, MEN SEARCH THE MEN. PROTECT MY PEOPLE SADR, MY UMMAH THAT WILL COME UNDER YOUR AMIRSHIP. WE ARE AT WAR WITH FUSIQUN, ZULIMUN, WHO HAVE INFILTRATED OUR RANKS AS MUSLIMS. SUNNIS, KURDS, ETC., I AM THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF YOUR PROPHET(PBUH)!!! YOU ARE COMMANDED TO STAND WITH SADR AND PROTECT YOUR SHIA BROTHERS AND SISTERS AS WELL AS THE RIGHTEOUS AMONG ALL OF YOU ITKWAAN. THE RASOOLAH(PBUH) INSTRUCTED YOU TO GIVE ME YOUR ALLEGIANCE EVEN IF YOU MUST COME TO ME CRAWLING OVER ICE!!! DO NOT FAIL YOUR KHALIFAH AND INVOKE THE WRATH OF THE LION OF ALLAH. STAND WITH YOUR SHIA BROTHERS! I WILL DEAL WITH YOUR DIVISIONS WHEN I GET THERE. IT'S TIME TO STOP YOUR PETTY HATREDS AND UNIFY OUR UMMAH!

AN ADDITIONAL ISSUE. AS MUCH AS I HATE TO AGREE WITH AHMED MUHADIINAPIG AND THE AYATOLLAH OF IRAN, THEY ARE CORRECT. ALL INDICATION POINT TO POSSIBLE INVOLVEMENT OF THE WESTERN GOVERNMENTS TO STIR UNREST IN IRAN. THIS PRACTICE HAS BEEN A COMMON TOOL AND DESIGN OF THE WEST SINCE THE FOUNDING OF THE CIA. THIS IS SEEN IN BOOKS LIKE THE RISE OF GLOBALISM, AND OTHER SUCH BOOKS ON THE SUBJECT. LET THE CONFIRMATION OF THE EMISSARY OF THE I AM, ALLAH GIVE THEIR STATEMENTS WEIGHT. THEIR ASSESSMENT IN THIS MATTER MAY BE CORRECT. THIS IS ANOTHER REASON WHY YOU O' UMMAH OF ALLAH IN IRAN ARE COMMANDED TO STAND DOWN BY THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF YOUR PROPHET(PBUH). IT IS ALSO ANOTHER REASON WHY ALL FOREIGN BASES AND EMBASSIES MUST BE CLOSED AND FOREIGN TROOPS REMOVED, TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER INTERFERENCE OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS INTO THE AFFAIRS OF THE BELIEVERS WORLDWIDE. IT WAS THE COMMAND OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH), THAT THE INFIDELS BE REMOVED FROM THE ISLAMIC PENINSULA. IT WAS THE COMMAND OF CHRIST(PBUH), HIS DISCIPLES(PBUT), AND THE PROPHETS BEFORE HIM(PBUT), FOR US TO COME OUT FROM AMONG THEM!!! BE YE HOLY(SEPERATE, DEDICATED, SET APART TO OUR GOD), FOR GOD IS HOLY. BE IN THE WORLD, BUT NOT OF THE WORLD. AS JAMES IN THE NEW TESTAMENT SAID, BE YE UNSPOTTED FROM THE WORLD!!! THIS IS THE COMMAND OF OUR GOD, AND OF ALL OF OUR PROPHETS. SO IT IS WRITTEN,...SO SHALL IT BE DONE.

PAKISTANI GOVERNMENT,...END YOUR ASSAULT ON THE TALLIBAN. I AM YOUR KHALIFAH AND IMAM AS DESIGNATED BY THE QADR OF ALLAH! EVERY TIME YOU ENGAGE THESE FOOLS, MY INNOCENT UMMAH IS MADE TO SUFFER FOR YOUR IMPOTENT EFFORTS. THE COLLATERAL DAMAGE, DEATH, AND SUFFERING OF MY UMMAH IS AN UNACCEPTABLE EXCHANGE FOR YOUR

EFFORTS TO ERADICATE THEIR EVILS FROM AMONG YOU!!! IT IS THE DECREE OF OUR RABB THAT I ELIMINATE THESE EVILS FROM AMONG US. YOU HAVE BECOME TO WICKED AND CORRUPT ANYWAY, TO ACT AS JUDGE AND JURY OVER THEM, WHEN YOUR TAGUT, YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF THESE WESTERN EVILS IS WHAT INVOKED THEM IN THE FIRST PLACE. THE PAKISTANI AND AFGHAN GOVERNMENTS BELONG TO ME. YOU FOOLS CONTINUE TO JEOPARDIZE THE SAFETY OF MY INNOCENT PEOPLE BY YOUR TAGUT WITH NO FEAR OF ALLAH IN DEFIANCE TO AL-BIRR. THIS I CANNOT PERMIT. STAND DOWN!!! OR YOU WILL INVOKE THE WRATH OF THE LION OF ALLAH, AND HELL!! WILL ENLARGE ITSELF TO RECEIVE YOUR WORTHLESS SOULS! TALLIBAN, YOU ALL WILL SURRENDER TO AHLE BAYT, OR YOU ALL WILL ASSUREDLY DIE. MY GREAT GRANDFATHER, THE RASOOLAH(PBUH), TOLD YOU ALL TO OBEY THE KHALIFAH OF ALLAH, AL MAHDI, EVEN IF HE WERE A BLACK ABYSSINIAN WITH THE HEAD OF A RAISIN!!! NOW THE TRUE TEST OF WHO IS MUSLIM, AND WHO IS NOT IS LAID BEFORE YOU. ALLAH SUBHANA WA TA 'ALA WILL JUDGE YOU FOR YOUR EVILS. I AM HIS BATTLE AXE AND HIS WEAPONS OF WAR! BISMALLAH IR RAHMAN IR RAHIM.

MAY THE BLESSINGS OF CHRIST JESUS(PBUH), KEEP AND GUIDE YOU IN THESE PERILOUS TIMES O' BELOVED BODY OF CHRIST(PBUH), MY CHRISTIAN BRETHREN. HEAR THE WORD OF YOUR GOD APPOINTED KING AND HIGH PRIEST. AS CHRIST TOLD YOU IN MARK 9:12, AS IT IS WRITTEN OF HIM IT IS WRITTEN OF ME. HIS MOTHER'S NAME IS MARY, MY MOTHER'S NAME IS MARY. AS HE IS KING, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, I AM KING PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST. AS HE WAS BORN BY HUMBLE MEANS IN A STABLE, I WAS BORN BY HUMBLE MEANS IN AN GHETTO PROJECT OF THE NORTHEAST. AS HE WAS BETRAYED BY THOSE NEAREST TO HIM, I WAS BETRAYED BY THOSE NEAREST TO ME. AS HE WAS UNJUSTLY IMPRISONED, I AM UNJUSTLY IMPRISONED. I HAD MY JUDAS AND PETER BETRAYALS PURSUANT TO THOSE THAT FED A PORTION OF MY MEAT. AS HE DIED AND WAS RESURRECTED IN THREE DAYS, I SHALL DIE AND BE RESURRECTED IN THREE DAYS AS IS WRITTEN IN REVELATIONS CHAPTER 11. MY GREAT GRAND UNCLE ALSO INFORMED YOU THAT THERE WILL BE NO SIGN GIVEN UNTO YOU THAN THAT OF THE SIGN OF THE PROPHET JONAH. I AM THE PROPHET JONAH!!!, CONFIRMING WHAT YOUR BROTHER RELIGION ISLAM STATED, THAT I WOULD BE NAMED AFTER A PROPHET BECAUSE I RESEMBLE HIM IN BEHAVIOR, BUT NOT IN LOOKS. WHEN CHRIST(PBUH) SPOKE OF THIS SIGN, IT DID NOT ONLY REFER TO HIS DEATH, BURIAL, AND RESURRECTION. IT ALSO REFERRED TO THE NAME OF GOD'S FINAL SIGN AND WONDER PRODUCING PROPHET. NONETHELESS, YOUR KING AND HIGH PRIEST HAS COME. THE REFINER'S FIRE, AND FULLER'S SOAP HAS COME TO TURN THE HEARTS OF THE FATHERS BACK TO THEIR CHILDREN, AND THE HEARTS OF THE CHILDREN BACK TO THEIR FATHERS. I HEREBY OFFICIALLY SEIZE CONTROL OVER THE VATICAN, THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, AND EVERY RELIGIOUS DENOMINATION THAT CONSIDER THEMSELVES TO BE CHRISTIAN BEING BASED UPON THE TEACHINGS OF CHRIST THAT EXIST ON THE FACE OF THE PLANET. THOSE WHO WILL NOT COME UNDER MY AUTHORITY WILL GET OUT OF THE RELIGION, OR YOU WILL BE SUBJECTED TO AN ACT OF GOD, NO EXCEPTIONS!!! AS FOR THEM STUPID UNITARIAN FAITHS THAT

HAVE BUDDISM AND HINDUISM IN THEM, GET OUT. YOU ARE NOT THOSE AMONG THE BELIEVERS. THIS RULE AND AUTHORITY EXTENDS TO AND INCLUDES EVERY RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION AND INSTITUTION OF LEARNING THAT EXIST AROUND THE WORLD. ALL HEREBY OFFICIALLY FALL UNDER MY AUTHORITY BY THE DIVINE DECREE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. THE POPE WILL RELINQUISH AUTHORITY OVER THE VATICAN TO ME AND THESE AFOREMENTIONED ENTITIES OR ORGANIZATIONS. FAILURE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THIS MANDATE WILL SUBJECT YOU TO AN ACT OF GOD. YOU WILL GET THESE IDOLS OUT OF THE PLACES OF WORSHIP, THIS WORSHIP OF SAINTS AND ANGELS AND OTHER MADNESS YOU ALL CONJURED UP. ALL WOMEN FROM THE AGE OF 8-60 WILL OBSERVE VEIL. I RAISED IT FROM 5 TO GIVE OUR CHILDREN OPPORTUNITY TO ENJOY THE INNOCENCE OF YOUTH, BUT NO LOWER THAN THIS WITH ALL THESE PEDIPHILES AND SNAKES THAT ARE PRESENTLY AMONG US AND AROUND US. FOUR DAYS FROM THE DOUBLE ECLIPSE, I WILL ERADICATE THEIR EVILS FROM AMONG US. ONCE THE WOMEN ARE WEANED THEY WILL OBSERVE HEAD COVERING. MEN FROM THE AGE OF PUBERTY WILL OBSERVE BEARDS. THIS APPLIES FOR ALL CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWS ALIKE. THIS IS THE COMMANDMENT OF GOD AS PRESCRIBED WITHIN THE THREE TRUE RELIGIONS. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS CHRISTIAN ROCK, RAPP, HIP-HOP, OR THIS OTHER POLLUTED PRAISE IN YOUR IGNORANCE YOU BRING BEFORE THE GOD I SERVE. YOU WILL ALL END THIS MADNESS, OR GET OUT THE RELIGION, OR BE SUBJECT TO THE WRATH OF GOD! I WILL SEND YOU ALL TO YOUR DEATHS WITH THE WORD OF GOD THAT WILL PROCEED OUT OF MY MOUTH. WOMEN GET YOUR TAILS OUT OF THIS CLEAVAGE SHOWING, SPLITS UP THE RUMPS, TIGHT DRESSES AND SKIRTS, BODY PARTS SHOWING UNGODLY ATTIRE DRESSING LIKE A BUNCH OF WHORES!!! YOU WILL MIMIC YOUR MUSLIM WOMEN COUNTERPARTS, AS WAS THE DRESS OF THE BELIEVERS IN THE DAYS OF OLD. THIS INCLUDES THE MEN. YOU ALL IN YOUR IGNORANCE DRESS NO DIFFERENT THAN THE CHILDREN OF DARKNESS, THE SONS AND DAUGHTERS OF BELIAL. IT IS IMPERMISSIBLE FOR WOMEN TO WEAR PANTS. PANTS ARE MENS ATTIRE. THERE WILL BE NO SMOKING, GETTING DRUNK, OR DRUGS AMONG THE BELIEVERS. THERE WILL BE NO FAGOTS, LESBIANS, TRANSGENDERS, OR SODOMITES, SOOTHSAYERS, FORTUNE TELLERS, OR FAKE TAIL PROPHETS AMONG THE BELIEVERS. I BEGAN PURGING ALL CHURCHES, MOSQUES, AND SYNAGOGUES IN THE (240) PAGE PETITION. PLAGUES ARE SET TO COME AT YOU FOR THESE EVILS FOUR DAYS AFTER THE SHOWING OF THE DOUBLE ECLIPSE. PREPARE YOUR HEARTS, TZADDI THE FIERY WHIRLWIND OF RIGHTEOUSNESS COMES. YOU WILL DISSOLVE YOUR SECTS AND DENOMINATIONS AROUND THE WORLD CHRISTIANS. YOU WILL NO LONGER CALL OR LIST YOURSELVES AS CATHOLICS, BAPTIST, CALVINIST, ASSEMBLIES OF GOD, PRESBYTERIAN, ETC.. YOU WILL REFER TO YOURSELVES AS BELIEVERS. YOUR PLACES OF WORSHIP WILL BE DESIGNATED AS THE CHURCH AT 24 MAPLE STREET IN KANSAS, ETC.. YOU WILL GET THESE WOMEN AND SODOMITED OUT OF THE PULPIT. A PLAGUE WITH THEIR NAMES ON IT COMES FOR ALL THOSE WHO STAND IN OPPOSITION TO THE COMMANDMENTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. THERE ARE NO WOMEN PRIESTS, PASTORS, RABBIS, IMAMS, OR THE LIKE. THERE ARE NO FAGOTS, SODOMITES, LESBIAN DOGS AND PIGS ACTING AS CLERGY. ARE YOU PEOPLE INSANE!!! MEN ARE VICEGERANTS OF THE EARTH!!! MEN ARE GIVEN DOMINION!!! YOU WERE TOLD THAT NO WOMAN IS TO USURP

AUTHORITY OVER GOD'S MEN!!! YOU HAVE THESE SODOMITES AND LESBIANS ACTING AS CLERGY? YOUR ACTIONS STAND IN BLASPHEMY OF THE COMMANDMENTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD!!! YOU ALL HAVE CREATED AN INTOLERABLE BREACH!!! YOU WILL END THESE EVILS BEFORE THE DOUBLE LUNAR ECLIPSE, OR I WILL DO IT FOR YOU. IF I AM FORCED TO DO IT, IT WON'T BE A PRETTY SIGHT.

(9) AT PRESENT MY HEART IS HEAVY. I AM FAR FROM BEING LIKE THE PROPHETS BEFORE ME. AS CHRIST(PBUH) SAID OF ME WHEN HE COMPARED ME TO JOHN THE BAPTIST(PBUH). I AM THE ONE WHO HE SAID IS LEAST IN THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN, WHOM HE SAID WOULD BE GREATER THAN JOHN THE BAPTIST(PBUH). I AM NOT FIT TO BE ANY KIND OF PROPHET, NOR DO I WANT TO BE A PROPHET, KING, IMAM, HIGH PRIEST, OR KHALIFAH. I JUST WANTED TO BE A SERVANT! I HATE THIS STUFF! LIKE MY GREAT GRANDFATHER MUHAMMAD(PBUH) SAID, I WOULD BE NAMED AFTER A PROPHET BECAUSE I RESEMBLE HIM IN BEHAVIOR, BUT NOT IN LOOKS. I AM JONAH, YUNUS IN THE ARABIC. I DIDN'T WANT THIS RESPONSIBILITY SO I REBELLED, I LIKE JONAH RAN FROM IT. LIKE JONAH, THIS IMPRISONMENT IS MY BELLY OF THE WHALE EXPERIENCE. NONETHELESS, BEING A SINNER SAVED BY GRACE, OF COURSE I GREW UP IN THE WORLD. I HAVE HAD WORLDLY EXPERIENCES, TO INCLUDE HAVING LISTENED TO WORLDLY MUSIC. I GREW UP WITH AND DURING THE ERA OF MICHAEL JACKSON THE POP ICON. THERE IS NO STAGE OF MY LIFE, OR SOME EVENT, THAT I CAN'T TIE SOME SONG OF HIS TO. I, LIKE SO MANY OTHERS IN THE WORLD, WAS ONE OF HIS GREATEST FANS. HIS DEATH HAS GRIEVED ME TREMENDOUSLY, AND AFFECTED ME MORE THAN I IMAGINED. HE MEANT A GREAT DEAL TO ME. ONE OF THE CRUCIAL EVENTS OF HIS LIFE, IS ONE THAT TIED HIM TO ME MORE THAN EVER. THAT BEING THE UNJUST TREATMENT OF HIM BY THIS NATION'S PERVERSE JUDICIAL SYSTEM IN 2003. LIKE ME, MICHAEL JACKSON KNEW THE PAIN OF FALLING UNDER THE SHADOWS OF FALSE ACCUSATION. BY THIS PERVERSE NATION AND IT'S BROKE JUDICIAL SYSTEM A PERSON IS SUPPOSED TO BE INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY!!! THIS IS THE HEART OF THE LEGAL ISSUES OF SUPPOSED RELIGIOUS PROPHECY SAID THAT THE ELIJAH, AL MAHDI WOULD BRING. THE SAD PART ABOUT ALL OF THIS IS THAT IN THIS NATION'S PERVERTED MINDS, NOT ONLY WAS HE UNJUSTLY VIEWED AS GUILTY UNTIL HE PROVED HIMSELF INNOCENT, BUT NOW THAT HE WAS FOUND INNOCENT, SOME REPROBATE MINDED INDIVIDUALS STILL VIEWED HIM AS GUILTY THOUGH HE WAS FOUND INNOCENT. WHY, WAS IT BECAUSE HE WAS A BLACK MAN? THIS PERVERSE NATION IS NOT READY TO HAVE A CONVERSATION ON RACE, BUT NEVERTHELESS WE SHALL HAVE IT!!! I MADE A PROMISE TO MYSELF THAT IF ALL WAS CONFIRMED BEFORE OUR GOD, AFTER MY RELEASE I WOULD SEEK MICHAEL JACKSON OUT TO ENSURE HIS SOUL WAS SECURE, RIGHT, AND READY TO RECEIVE THE SERVANTHOOD OF GOD'S KINGDOM. NOW HE IS GONE BEFORE THAT OPPORTUNITY CAME. I WEEP FOR HIM. THIS NATION USED HIM AND MILKED HIM FOR HIS TALENTS AND EVERYTHING HE HAD, THE MINUTE HE COMES UNDER FIRE YOU BETRAYED HIM, AND TURNED YOUR BACKS ON HIM!!! YOUR HYPOCRISY IS HISTORICALLY UBIQUITOUS. I EMPATHIZE WITH HIM, AND I MISS HIM DEEPLY ALREADY. I ONLY PRAY TO OUR GOD THAT HIS SOUL WAS RIGHT. THERE WAS A SONG THAT WAS

RECORDED BY MICHAEL JACKSON THAT BY ITS DYNAMIC BECAME THE ICING ON THE CAKE, TO THAT WHICH OUR GOD SENT MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. TO ME WITHIN A DREAM TO INSTRUCT AND PREPARE ME. OUR GOD OF COURSE KNEW BEFORE HAND THAT I WOULD REBEL FROM MY CALL. AT THE TIME OF MARTIN'S VISITATION, AS ISLAM STATES, INSPIRED IN THE NIGHT, I WAS BEGINNING TO REALIZE WHO I WAS TO THE CHRISTIANS AND JEWS, BUT I WAS NOT FULLY AWARE OF WHO I WAS TO THE MUSLIM WORLD. ISA, CHRIST(PBUH) AND MARTIN BOTH WERE SENT TO ME AS A MEANS OF BEGINNING MY PREPARATION. ISA AND MARTIN WERE THE INSPIRATION FOR MY BEGINNING TO SEARCH OUT MY CALL AND EVEN WRITE THE BOOK OF REMEMBRANCE FORETOLD TO COME THAT IS WRITTEN IN MALACHI 3:16.

THERE WERE MANY LESSONS THAT OUR GOD SENT MARTIN TO INSTRUCT ME. THE REALIZATION OF THE FINAL LESSON WAS NOT UNDERSTOOD UNTIL AFTER MY UNJUST CONVICTION. THIS FINAL LESSON CAME IN THE FORM OF THE LAST SPEECH AND SERMON MARTIN GAVE BEFORE HE WAS BRUTALLY ASSASSINATED. IT WAS THE SERMON ON THE GOOD SAMARITAN. MARTIN TAUGHT IN THIS SERMON HOW THE PRIEST AND THE RABBI WERE CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT COULD POSSIBLY HAPPEN TO THEM IF THEY DID GO TO ASSIST THE MAN WHO FELL PREY TO ROBBERS AND WAS LEFT FOR DEAD ON THE SIDE OF THE ROAD. WHILE THE GOOD SAMARITAN WAS MORE CONCERNED ABOUT NOT WHAT COULD POSSIBLY HAPPEN TO HIM IF HE DID GO TO ASSIST THE MAN, HE WAS MORE CONCERNED OF WHAT WOULD OCCUR IF HE DIDN'T GO TO ASSIST THE MAN, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO THE MAN THAT FELL VICTIM TO THE ROBBERS. THIS WAS A SIMILAR STRUGGLE THAT I WRESTLED WITH THAT CAUSED ME TO AT FIRST REBEL FROM MY CALL. I APOLOGIZE TO YOU BELIEVERS OF THE WORLD. I AM NOT PERFECT. LIKE YOU I AM HUMAN AND I MAKE MISTAKES. INSHALLAH, BY GOD'S GRACE AND MERCY I WILL NEVER MAKE THAT MISTAKE AGAIN.

EVEN SO, I WAS NOT SO AFRAID OF WHAT COULD POSSIBLY HAPPEN TO ME, I WAS MORE CONCERNED OVER THE SAFETY OF MY FAMILY. I WAS AFRAID TO GO TO MUSLIMS STATING I WAS A PROPHET WHEN I KNOW THE RELIGION STATES OTHERWISE. I WAS AFRAID TO GO TO THEM STATING THAT ISA DID DIE ON THE CROSS. I WAS AFRAID TO GO TO CHRISTIANS TELLING THEM THAT THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A TRINITY. CHRIST(PBUH) IS NOT GOD, AND THAT MUSLIMS AND JEWS HAVE A GREAT PLACE BEFORE GOD AS THEY DO. I WAS AFRAID OF DEALING WITH REBELLIOUS, STIFFNECKED, HAUGHTY MEN AND WOMEN WHO THINK THAT THEY ARE ALL ON THE PATH, WHEN IN TRUTH YOU ALL GOT OFF THE PATH. I DID NOT WANT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ALL OF YOUR PETTY HATREDS, YOUR LOVE FOR THE WORLD, YOUR DEPRAVED SEXUALITY AND YOUR LOVE FOR EVERY EVIL UNDER THE SUN, SO I REBELLED. MARTIN TRIED TO INSTRUCT ME. THAT IT SHOULD NOT SO MUCH BE MY CONCERN OVER ME, MY LIFE, OR MY FAMILY IF I DID GO TO STAND AS YOUR LEADER, YOUR KHALIFAH, KING, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST, YOUR PROPHET. HE WANTED ME TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO THE WORLD, ALL BELIEVERS IF I DIDN'T GO. HE WANTED ME TO KNOW THAT BY YOUR EVILS THE WRATH OF GOD IS KINDLED, AND ALL OF YOU WOULD SEE THE FIRES OF HELL, AND

GOD IN HIS ANGER WOULD FULFILL THAT WHICH HE STATED IN MALACHI CHAPTER 4, THAT IF I DON'T COME, IF I AM NOT SET FREE TO END THIS MADNESS BEFORE HIM, HE WILL SMITE THE EARTH WITH A CURSE, A PLAGUE. THUS, YOU HAVE THIS PRESENT SWINE FLU THAT GOD WILL MUTATE IF WE DON'T GET JUSTICE TO FULFILL WHAT IS WRITTEN BY HIS PROPHETS.

IF I DO NOT ASCEND POWER, YOU ALL ARE DOOMED. I DID NOT WANT THIS RESPONSIBILITY, BUT THE WELFARE OF THE BELIEVERS OF THIS WORLD, FAR OUT WEIGH ANY CONCERN, FEAR, OR DOUBT I CAN HAVE OVER MY INDIVIDUAL LIFE, OR ANYTHING, OR ANY FAMILY MEMBER THAT IS MINE. I HAVE LEARNED THAT TRUE COURAGE IS NOT ENGAGING EVIL OR THE ENEMY VOID OF FEAR OR DOUBT. TRUE COURAGE IS FACING EVIL AND THE ENEMY, WITH FIRE!!! WITH PASSION!!! IN SPITE OF ANY FEAR OR DOUBT. THIS IS WHAT I HAVE BECOME. BEFORE I LET THE BELIEVERS OF THIS WORLD BE DESTROYED, TO THE FIRES OF HELL!!! WITH ANY CONCERN THAT I MAY HAVE! I WILL STAND!!! I WILL WAR AGAINST THE NIGHT!!! I WILL WAR AGAINST INJUSTICE!!! EVEN IF I AM DESTROYED MYSELF. THIS INCLUDES ANY POSSESSION THAT I MAY HAVE IN THIS WORLD, TO INCLUDE ANY FAMILY I MAY HAVE. WE ARE AHLE BAYT, AND WE ARE THE MESSENGERS TO THE NATIONS. BY MARTIN AND ISA, CHRIST BOTH APPEARING TO ME IN A DREAM, I HAD TO LEARN TO CHANGE MY WAY OF THINKING. MICHAEL COINED THIS UNDERSTANDING FOR ME SO PERFECTLY IN ONE OF HIS SONGS. I'M STARTING WITH THE MAN IN THE MIRROR. I DECIDED NOW TO CHANGE MY WAYS. I'M STARTING WITH THE MAN IN THE MIRROR, IF YOU WANT A CHANGE YOU GOT TO LOOK AT YOURSELF AT THE MAN IN THE MIRROR AND MAKE A CHANGE. THIS WAS LAST TAUGHT BY THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) WHO SAID, "THE CONDITION OF THE PEOPLE WILL NOT CHANGE UNTIL THE PEOPLE FIRST CHANGE THE CONDITION OF THEMSELVES". MICHAEL JACKSON REMINDED ME OF THAT WHICH WAS TAUGHT BY MY GREAT GRANDFATHER MUHAMMAD (PBUH), WHICH IS A LESSON, INSHALLAH, I WILL NEVER FORGET. WITH THIS LESSON I AM ALSO TAUGHT, ONE MAN CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE. BY WHAT MICHAEL WROTE, AND THE TEACHINGS OF THE PROPHETS, I STAND!!! IN DEFIANCE TO THE CONTRARY.

INASMUCH, BY THE PERVERSIONS OF THIS BROKE JUDICIAL SYSTEM THIS NATION CONJURED UP, BY THE INJURIES THIS BROKE JUDICIAL SYSTEM CAUSE TO MICHAEL JACKSON, THIS NATION IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. BY THE UNJUST TREATMENT OF AFRICAN AMERICANS BY THE ACTS OF SLAVERY AND SUBJECTING ALL MINORITIES TO THIS BROKE JUDICIAL SYSTEM, BY THIS NATIONS TREATMENT AND ASSASSINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. AND MALCOLM X AND ALL THOSE WHO STOOD WITH THEM BEFORE AND DURING THE CIVIL RIGHTS ERA, THIS NATION IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!!! I AM MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR. I AM MALCOLM'S KHALIFAH. THOSE RIGHTEOUS SOULS THAT WERE SLAUGHTER WITH THEM AND DURING THEIR TIME ARE RIGHTEOUS CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWS OF ALL CULTURES. I AM THEIR KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST. THE RIGHT TO CLAIM JUSTICE ON THEIR BEHALF, LATE AS IT MAY BE, BY THE DIVINE DECREE OF GOD

FALLS TO ME!!! THUS, I PERSONALLY, ON MICHAEL JACKSON'S, MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S, MALCOLM X'S, AND THE OTHERS WHO SUFFERED ALONG SIDE OF THEM BEHALF, WITH THE PETITIONERS OF THIS ACTION, DO SO CHARGE THE UNITED STATES WITH CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THUS, THEY STAND CHARGED.

NICOLI ZCARCOSI, IF THIS IS HOW YOU SPELL YOUR NAME, THE PRESIDENT AND FOOL OF FRANCE. IT'S TIME TO DEAL WITH YOU FOOL, O' TREACHEROUS ONE, AND DOG OF FRANCE. YOU KNOW SOMETHING STUPID FOOL, YOU HAVE A BIG MOUTH. NOT ONLY NICOLI DO YOU HAVE A BIG MOUTH, IT'S A BIG AND STUPID MOUTH. I AM GETTING SICK AND TIRED OF YOUR STUPID, LUSTING, PUTRID RUMP, ATTEMPTING TO GET YOUR CARNAL FREAK OFF, YOUR DOGGISH, PIGGISH LUST OFF OF MY BELIEVING WOMEN BY MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO TAKE THEM OUT OF THEIR GODLY ATTIRE. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH STUPID FOOL. YOU AND THE NATION OF FRANCE WANT TO BAN THE BURKA IN YOUR COUNTRY? WELL THEN, I AM GOING TO BAN YOU O' STUPID PUTRID FOOL OF FRANCE, O' SON OF SATAN, AND EVERY CORRUPT FRENCH POLITICIAN THAT SIDES WITH YOU ON THIS ISSUE. YOUR BREATH SMELLS OF FECES FOOL OF FRANCE. THE ONLY CONCLUSION I CAN SURMISE FROM SUCH A FACT, IS THAT YOU ARE FULL OF FECES O' SON OF SATAN AND PIG OF FRANCE. YOU SAY THAT THE BURKA IS NOT ABOUT RELIGION, THAT IT IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION? I AM GOD'S LAW GIVER. I AM HIS APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, HIGH PRIEST, AND IMAM. I AM HIS PROPHET. WHO ARE YOU STUPID FOOL OF FRANCE TO SAY WHAT IS ABOUT RELIGION!!! WHAT GODLY AUTHORITY DO YOU HAVE FOOL!!! YOU ARE AN INTOLERABLE BREACH. I AM FORCED TO NOW DEAL WITH YOUR STUPID TAIL. THE BURKA IS INDEED ABOUT RELIGION. BUT WHAT YOU FAIL TO UNDERSTAND O' FOOL AND IDIOT OF FRANCE, IS THAT THE VERY HEART, NATURE, AND FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES PURSUANT TO THE TEACHINGS OF THE THREE TRUE RELIGIONS ARE ABOUT SUBJUGATION!! WE ARE CALLED THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF GOD!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. THE SONS OF GOD, AS EXPLAINED IN GALATIANS ~~5:22~~, 4:1 MEANS YOU ARE NO DIFFERENT THAN A SERVANT WHO IS AN HEIR!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. ABRAHAM WAS COMMANDED TO SACRIFICE THE SON OF HIS INHERITANCE BY GOD'S PROMISE!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. BELIEVERS ARE COMMANDED TO WORSHIP THERE GOD ABOVE ALL CREATURES, ALL THINGS, AND ALL OTHERS!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. GOD NATURALLY VEILS HIS MEN AS A SIGN OF BEING IN OBEDIENCE TO HIM!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. ISLAM REFERS TO THE BELIEVES AS SLAVES OF ALLAH!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. CHRIST(PBUH) SAID YOU CANNOT ENTER INTO THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN UNLESS YOU COME AS A CHILD, AS A SERVANT!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. CHRIST(PBUH) SAID IN ORDER TO FIND YOUR LIFE, YOU MUST LOSE YOUR LIFE. HE SAID IN ORDER TO BE FIRST, YOU MUST BE LAST!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. ALL THROUGH THE TORAH, BIBLE, NEW TESTAMENT, AND QURANIC TEACHES, GOD AND HIS PROPHETS INSTRUCT US THAT MEN ARE TO BE IN SUBJECTION TO THEIR GOD, WOMEN ARE TO BE IN SUBJECTION TO THEIR HUSBANDS, AND THAT CHILDREN ARE TO BE IN SUBJECTION TO THEIR PARENT!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. CHRIST(PBUH) WAS

INSTRUCTED TO GIVE HIS LIFE ON THE CROSS EVEN THOUGH HE WAS WITHOUT SIN!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. MAN CREATED HE HIM(TO BE IN SERVANTHOOD TO GOD IN COVENANT), MAN AND WOMAN CREATED HE THEM TO MIRROR THIS SAME SERVANTHOOD MARRITAL COVENANT)!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. CHRIST(PBUH) COMING BACK FOR THOSE WHO DID NOT LOVE THEIR LIVES UNTO DEATH!!!! STATED IN THE BOOK OF REVELATION. THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. ISLAM, JUDAISM, AND CHRISTIANITY TEACHES THAT MEN ARE VICEGERENTS, THEY HAVE DOMINION!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. THE BIBLE STATING ITS A COVENANT OF MILK AND HONEY!!! THIS IS ABOUT SUBJUGATION. STUPID FOOL OF FRANCE LET ME MAKE THIS ABUNDANTLY CLEAR TO YOU. "AND REBEKAH LIFTED HER EYES, AND WHEN SHE SAW ISSAC, SHE LIGHTED OFF HER CAMEL. FOR SHE HAD SAID UNTO THE SERVANT, WHAT MAN IS THIS THAT WALKETH IN THE FIELD TO MEET US? AND THE SERVANT HAD SAID, IT IS MY MASTER: THEREFORE SHE TOOK A VEIL AND COVERED HERSELF", THIS IS FOUND IN THE BOOK OF GENESIS 24:65 DESIGNATING THIS IS REQUIRED FROM THE START, GENESIS MEANS BEGINNINGS. NOTICE NO ONE FORCED HER. SHE KNEW HERSELF THAT THIS WAS REQUIRED AND IT WAS HER RELIGIOUS DUTY BEING BROUGHT BEFORE HER MASTER, HER LORD, HER HUSBAND PURSUANT TO THE SERVANTHOOD COVENANTS OF GOD. THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL RELIGIOUS TEACHING OF JUDAISM. "IN LIKE MANNER ALSO, THAT WOMEN ADORE THEMSELVES IN MODEST APPAREL, WITH SHAMEFACEDNESS (SHAMEFACEDNESS MEANS CHRISTIAN WOMEN ARE TO BE VEILED!!!) AND SOBRIETY, NOT WITH BROIDED HAIR, OR GOLD, OR PEARLS, OR COSTLY ARRAY; BUT (WHICH BECOMETH WOMEN PROFESSING GODLINESS) WITH GOOD WORKS. LET THE WOMEN LEARN IN SILENCE WITH ALL SUBJECTION", [I TIMOTHY 2:9-11]. "LIKEWISE WIVES BE IN SUBJECTION TO YOUR HUSBANDS****BUT LET THE HIDDEN MAN OF THE HEART, IN THAT WHICH IS NOT CORRUPTABLE, EVEN THE ADORNMENT OF A MEEK AND QUIET SPIRIT(THE QUIET SPIRIT EMBELLISHES THE VEIL), WHICH IN THE SIGHT OF GOD OF GREAT PRICE. FOR AFTER THIS MANNER IN THE OLD TIME THE HOLY WOMEN ALSO, WHO TRUSTED IN GOD, ADORNED THEMSELVES, BEING IN SUBJECTION TO THEIR OWN HUSBANDS: EVEN AS SARAH OBEYED ABRAHAM, CALLING HIM LORD: WHOSE DAUGHTERS YE ARE, AS LONG AS YE DO WELL, AND ARE NOT AFRAID WITH ANY AMAZEMENT" [I PETER 3:1-6]. GODLY WOMEN OF GOD ARE NOT TO BE AFRAID OR AMAZED AT THIS REQUIREMENT MANDATED BY GOD, AND THEY ARE TO BE READY TO STAND AGAINST THE WORLD EVEN UNTO DEATH!!! TO BE IN COMPLIANCE. THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL RELIGIOUS TEACHING OF CHRISTIANITY. "AND TELL THE BELIEVING WOMEN TO LOWER THEIR GAZE(FROM LOOKING AT FORBIDDEN THINGS), AND PROTECT THEIR PRIVATE PARTS (FROM ILLEGAL SEXUAL ACTS) AND NOT TO SHOW OFF THEIR ADORNMENT EXCEPT ONLY THAT WHICH IS APPARENT(LIKE BOTH EYES FOR NECESSITY TO SEE THE WAY, OR OUTER PALMS OF HANDS OR ONE EYE OR DRESS LIKE VEIL, GLOVES, HEAD-COVERS, APRON), AND TO DRAW THEIR VEILS ALL OVER JUYUBIHINNA (EI. THEIR BODIES, FACES, NECKS AND BOSOMS) AND NOT TO REVEAL THEIR ADORNMENT EXCEPT TO THEIR HUSBANDS, OR THEIR FATHERS, OR THEIR HUSBAND'S FATHERS, OR THEIR SONS, OR THEIR HUSBAND'S SONS, OR THEIR BROTHERS, OR THEIR BROTHER'S SONS, OR THEIR SISTER'S SONS, OR THEIR MUSLIM WOMEN (EI. THEIR SISTERS IN JUDAISM,

CHRISTIANITY, AND ISLAM)*****". THE VEIL IS A FUNDAMENTAL RELIGIOUS TEACHING OF ISLAM AS IS THEIR BROTHER RELIGIONS. THE VEIL IS ALL ABOUT RELIGION PURSUANT TO THE THREE TRUE FAITHS WHOSE DUTY IS BASED UPON SERVANTHOOD, SLAVES OF ALLAH, SUBJUGATION. ALL OF GOD'S PROPHETS TAUGHT THE SAME MESSAGE, AS I WILL TEACH THE SAME MESSAGE!!! THIS BLASPHEMOUS BREACH, HAS NOT ONLY OCCURRED BY THIS FOOL IN FRANCE, THIS IDIOT NICOLI ZCARCOSI, BUT IT HAS ALSO OCCURRED IN BRITAIN BY SOME STUPID PARLIAMENT OFFICIAL WHO STATED, NO WOMEN CAN APPEAR BEFORE HIM WEARING SUCH RELIGIOUS ATTIRE. IT OCCURRED IN BRITAIN WHERE A FEMALE EDUCATOR, DEFIED THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT TO ASSERT HER RIGHT TO WEAR SUCH IN THE CLASSROOM. IT'S TIME TO END YOUR NATIONS MADNESS ON THIS ISSUE.

NICOLI ZCARCOSI, AND THAT BRITISH OFFICIAL. AS GOD'S LAWGIVER, HIS APPOINTED KING AND HIGH PRIEST, I BRING HIS JUDGMENT UPON YOUR DECADENT SOULS. FOR THREE TRANSGRESSIONS AND FOR FOUR. BECAUSE YOU FORSOOK THE WAY, THE WAY OF REPENTENCE AND OBEDIENCE TO THE COMMANDMENTS OF GOD, ENDEAVORING TO TAKE GOD'S BELIEVING WOMEN OFF THE PATH. BECAUSE YOU FORSOOK THE TRUTH, THE LAWS OF GOD'S WORD AS IT IS CLEARLY WRITTEN IN THE THREE HOLY BOOKS, ENDEAVORING TO DISTORT WHAT IS WRITTEN BEFORE THE MASSES. BECAUSE YOU FORSOOK THE LIFE, THE LIFE OF HOLINESS AND TAWQA AS MANDATED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD, ATTEMPTING TO TAKE MY WOMEN OUT OF THEIR HOLY ATTIRE. AND THE FORTH TRANSGRESSION IS BECAUSE YOU FORSOOK THE PREVIOUS THREE, IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE COMMANDMENTS OF GOD, YOU CANNOT ENTER INTO A COVENANT RELATIONSHIP WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD. THUS, YOU HAVE CREATED AN INTOLERABLE BREACH. FOUR DAYS FROM THE SHOWING OF THE DOUBLE LUNAR ECLIPSE, YOU, FULL OF FECES ON THE BREATH NICOLI ZCARCOSI, AND THE OTHER BRITISH FOOL WILL BE SUBJECTED TO AN ACT OF GOD. YOU BOTH WILL DEVELOP THROAT CANCER. IT WILL CONSUME YOU BOTH AT AN ACCELERATED RATED. THEREUPON YOU SHALL REST IN THE EARTH WITH YOUR FATHERS AWAITING THE FINAL DAY OF JUDGMENT UPON YOUR WORTHLESS SOULS. THIS GOES FOR YOUR STUPID GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO WOULD DARE TO PLACE FORTH LEGISLATION THAT WOULD STRIP MY WOMEN OF THEIR GODLY ATTIRE.

THE MUSLIM WOMAN THAT STOOD IN FAITH AGAINST THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION WILL BECOME THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR FOR EDUCATION FOR THE BELIEVING WOMEN WORLDWIDE. YOUR BROTHER, AL MAHDI, IS EXTREMELY PROUD OF YOU MY BELOVED SISTER. WELL DONE THY GOOD AND FAITHFUL SERVANT OF ALLAH. BY THE DECREE OF OUR GOD, THIS WILL BE YOUR REWARD. THIS IS THE ONLY APPOINTMENT OF OUR WOMEN THAT I WILL DO MYSELF. ANY FURTHER MATTERS OF OUR BELIEVING WOMEN WILL FALL TO THE MOTHER OF THE BELIEVERS, SALWA ADLY OF COLUMBIA S.C., SOON TO HOPEFULLY BE SALWA REIYENAH TEILAH TISHBITE, MY WIFE AND QUEEN. ANY NATION AROUND THE WORLD THAT MAKES ANY EFFORT TO STRIP MY MEN AND WOMEN OF THEIR GODLY ATTIRE, I WILL SUBJECT YOU TO AN ACT OF GOD. I WILL CLEAN YOUR DOGGON' STUPID CLOCKS!!! MAINTAIN YOUR SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE. LEAVE MY PEOPLE ALONE, AND I WILL LEAVE YOU

ALONE. NO MATTER WHERE YOU ARE IN THE WORLD, I WILL BE ABLE TO REACH AND BURN YOUR PUTRID RUMPS WITH THE WORD AND FIRE OF GOD THAT WILL PROCEED OUT OF MY MOUTH!!! LEAVE MY PEOPLE BE. THEY HAVE A KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST. THERE AFFAIRS FALL TO MINE, AND MINE ALONE DISCRETION, AND I FALL TO THE DISCRETION OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. NONE OF YOU STUPID FOOLS IN THIS WORLD DICTATE WHAT IS REQUIRED BY OUR GOD. ONLY HIS SLAVE AND SERVANT AL MAHDI IS GIVEN THAT HONOR, AUTHORITY, AND PRIVILEGE. STAND DOWN ZCARCOSI!!! OR I WILL BUST YOUR STUPID PUTRID TAIL DOWN!! FOOL OF FRANCE. YOU DEVILS IN THIS WORLD DON'T SCARE ME. I WILL STAND AGAINST YOU ALL IF NECESSARY. LEAVE MY PEOPLE ALONE AND LEAVE IN PEACE!! THIS IS THE COVENANT I MAKE WITH THEE. TO VIOLATE THIS COVENANT I AM COMMANDED TO SUBMIT TO THEE, WILL INVOKE THE WRATH OF THE ONE TRUE GOD.

THE PETITIONER(S) HUMBLYY SEEKS THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY'S FORGIVENESS AND PATIENCE IN HEARING THESE MATTERS GIVEN TO YOU BY THE KHALIFAH OF ALLLAH. MY TEMPERAMENT IS DECREED BY OUR GOD IN ISAIAH 14:29 AND MALACHI CHAPTER 3, AS WELL AS OTHER RELIGIOUS SCRIPTURES. SUCH HAVE DEFINED MY FIERY SPIRIT THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE YOU AND I WERE EVER BORN. IT'S THAT 7 TIMES THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH THING THAT OUR GOD HAS PLACED UPON MY SOUL. SUCH IS REQUIRED TO DEAL WITH THE MAGNITUDE OF UNPRECEDENTED EVENTS THAT ARE LAID BEFORE US. THUS, MY PERSONALITY BEFORE YOU IS ACT ACT OF GOD, AND ONE SHOULD NOT BE PENALIZED FOR EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES PURSUANT TO HIS NATURE THAT ARE OUT OF HIS CONTROL. EVEN SO, ZCARCOSI AND THE ENGLISH SNAKE BOTH SPEAK WITHOUT GODLY WISDOM OR KNOWLEDGE, YET PRETENDED BEFORE ALL THAT THEY ARE IN POSSESSION OF SUCH KNOWLEDGE. THIS IS AN EGREGIOUS, OUTRAGEOUS DECEPTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL MASSES WHICH MUST NOT GO UNCHALLENGED.

"NEC VENIAM LAESOO NUMINE, CASUS HABET", ---WHERE THE ONE TRUE GOD HAS BEEN INSULTED, THE CASE IS UNPARDONABLE!

"NEMINEM OPORTET ESSE SAPIENTIOREM LEGIBUS", ---NO ONE OUGHT TO BE WISER THAN THE LAWS OF GOD.

"NEMO TENETUR INFORMARE QEI NESCIT SED QUISQUIS SCIRE QUOD INFORMAT", --- NO ONE WHO IS IGNORANT OF A THING IS BOUND OR PERMITTED TO GIVE INFORMATION OF IT, BUT EVERYONE IS BOUND TO KNOW WHAT HE GIVES INFORMATION OF. ZCARCOSI IS A FOOL. HE INSULTED THE ONE TRUE GOD BY HIS WORDS. HE IS NOT WISER THAN GOD AND HIS LAWS, NOR DOES HE HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE THING IN WHICH HE INFORMS THE MASSES OF. ANYTHING HE STATES PERTAINING TO THE USE AND MANDATE OF THE BURKA MUST BE DISREGARDED BY THE MASSES, FRENCH OR OTHERWISE.

~~IN THE ABOVE MENTIONED CASES, I BEGAN DEALING WITH THIS COURT UNDER THE FOREMENTIONED CASES.~~

"LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUTS SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA",---THE LAWS OF GOD IS THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING AND OR KHALIFAH POSSESSES; FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING AND OR KHALIFAH, AND ALL HIS SUBJECTS ARE RULED; AND IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING, NOR INHERITANCE.

THE LAWS OF GOD, EVEN AS THAT WHICH PERTAIN TO THE VEIL AND OR BURKA, ARE MY HIGHEST INHERITANCE. THEREFORE, I SET THE LAWS THAT PERTAIN THERETO AS MANDATED BY GOD.

"CONSUETUDO REGNI ANGLIAE EST LEX ANGLIAE",---THE CUSTOMS OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH, IS THE LAW OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH. THEY ARE MY SUNNAH.

"CONSUETUDO VINCIT COMMUNEM LEGEM",---GOD'S CUSTOMS OVERRIDE COMMONLAW.

"DORMIUNT ALIQUANDO LEGES NUNQUAM MORIUNTUR",---GOD'S LAWS MAY SOMETIMES SEEMINGLY SLEEP, BUT THEY NEVER DIE.

"DROIT NE DONE PLUS QUE SOIT DEMAUNDE",---THE LAWS GIVES NO MORE THAN WHAT IS DEMANDED.

"AD REGES ENIM POTESTAS OMNIUM PERTINET, AD SINGULOS, PROPRIETAS",---GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH, HAVE POWER OVER ALL THINGS, WHILE INDIVIDUALS OWN THEM. POWER TO STATE THE LAWS OF GOD AS IT PERTAINS TO PROPER ATTIRE FALLS TO ME.

"AMBIGUIS CASIBUS SEMPER PRAESUMITUR PRO REGE",---IN DOUBTFUL CASES, THE PRESUMPTION IS ALWAYS IN THE FAVOR OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH, ESPECIALLY ONE GIVEN PROPHET STATUS.

"EADEM MENS PRAESUMITUR REGIS QUAE EST JURIS ET QUAE ESSE DEBET, PRAESERTIM IN DUBIIS",---THE MIND OF GOD'S APPOINTED SOVEREIGN IS PRESUMED TO BE THE SAME AS THAT OF THE LAWS OF GOD AND THE LAWS OF THE LAND, AND THE SAME AS WHAT IT OUGHT TO BE, ESPECIALLY IN AMBIGUOUS MATTERS WHEN DESIGNATED AS A PROPHET ALSO. WORSHIP WITHOUT SUBJUGATION, IS NOT WORSHIP AT ALL. THEREFORE, THIS FOOL FROM FRANCE ASSERTION THAT THE BURKA IS MERELY SUBJUGATION ABSENT OF RELIGIOUS OBSERVANCE AND WORSHIP IS WITHOUT MERIT, AND IS THE RESPONSE OF THE VILLAGE IDIOT!! WHICH NICOLI ZCARCOSI AND THE BRITISH OFFICIAL ARE.

TO THE NATION OF ISRAEL,...SHALOM. MAY GOD MAKE HIS FACE TO SHINE ON THEE. MAY GOD SET HIS COUNTENANCE TO BLESS AND STRENGTHEN THEE. GREETINGS AND SALUTATIONS FROM THE HEIR OF THE THRONE OF KING DAVID (PBUH). BLESSINGS UPON YOU FROM THE HEIR AND

HIGH PRIEST OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, THE SON OF AARON(PBUH). DID NOT THE I AM, YHWH, JEHOVAH, PROMISED MY GREAT GRANDFATHER DAVID(PBUH), THAT HE WOULD NOT FAIL TO HAVE A MAN, DESCENDANT OF HIS UPON THE THRONE OF ISRAEL? DO NOT OUR RELIGION INFORM YOU THAT ONE WILL COME IN THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH(PBUH) TO RAISE OUR PEOPLE TO THE GREATNESS THAT WAS ONCE OURS. JEWS, CHRISTIANS, AND MUSLIMS ARE BROTHERS MY BELOVED JEWISH BRETHREN. PLEASE DO NOT FORCE YOUR GOD APPOINTED KING AND HIGH PRIEST TO STAND AGAINST YOU. OBEY GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND HIGH PRIEST AND LIVE, FOR REBELLION CAN ONLY LEAD TO YOUR DESTRUCTION, THE DESTRUCTION OF THE WICKED WHO OPPOSE THE COMMANDMENTS OF THE I AM, YHWH.

I AM NO ORDINARY HIGH PRIEST AND KING MY BELOVED JEWISH BRETHREN. I AM A NAZARITE KING AND HIGH PRIEST. YOU UNDERSTAND THE DYNAMIC ANOINTING AND POWER THAT WERE GIVEN TO THE PROPHET SAMUEL, TO SAMSON, TO THE THREE HEBREW BOYS IN THE FIERY FURNACE, TO DANIEL IN THE LION'S DEN? THIS SAME DYNAMIC POWER AND ANOINTING IS GIVEN TO HE WHO IS THE LAST TO COME, 10 TIMES OVER, TO INCLUDE THAT POWER WHICH WAS GIVEN TO MY GREAT GRANDFATHER MOSES(PBUH). THUS, THE WORLD WILL SEE SIGNS AND WONDERS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN SEEN SINCE THE TIME OF ALL THE PROPHETS BEFORE ME COMBINED DURING THESE APPOINTED TIMES. YOU ARE TO RELINQUISH TO THE HEIR OF KING DAVID(PBUH) HIS THRONE. YOU ARE TO RELINQUISH TO THE SON OF AARON(PBUH) KEEP OF THE TEMPLE AND THE MANDATES OF WORSHIP FOR ALL BELIEVERS WITHIN OUR NATION. FAILURE TO DO SO, LIKE OUR FOREFATHERS BEFORE US, WILL INVOKE THE WRATH OF GOD. YOU WILL BE CHASTISED BY THE WORD OF GOD THAT WILL PROCEED OUT OF MY MOUTH. DO NOT INVOKE YOUR BROTHER AND KING'S WRATH O' BELOVED SERVANTS OF THE I AM.

UNDERSTAND, AND LISTEN TO THE WORDS OF YOUR GOD ORDAINED KING AND HIGH PRIEST. THE PALESTINIANS ARE MY PEOPLE AS YOU ARE MY PEOPLE. YOU ARE INSTRUCTED TO OPEN UP YOUR BORDERS AND NO LONGER OPPRESS MY PEOPLE. THE ISRAELI KING AND HIGH PRIEST, IS ALSO THE ISLAMIC KHALIFAH AND IMAM. AS MY GREAT GRANDFATHER SOLOMAN(PBUH) WAS GIVEN A GREAT DOMINION, I AM GIVEN A GREAT DOMINION. TO MAKE WAR AND OPPRESS THE PALESTINIANS, IS TO MAKE WAR AND OPPRESS THE HEIR TO THE THRONE OF DAVID(PBUH). FOR SUCH, HELL WILL BE YOUR ABODE.

HAMAS YOU ARE TO END YOUR ROCKET FIRE INTO ISRAEL. FAILURE TO DO SO WILL INVOKE THE WRATH OF THE LION OF ALLAH, THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF YOUR PROPHET(PBUH), HEIR TO THE KHALIFATE OF ISLAM. THE JEWS ARE YOUR BROTHERS AS IS THE CHRISTIANS. I WILL TEACH THEM PROPER TAHID AND PLACE THEM BACK ON THE PATH. THUS, BY THIS, THEIR BLOOD WILL BECOME INVIOABLE TO YOU. END YOUR ROCKET FIRE NOW!!! OR I WILL SEND YOU ALL TO YOUR DEATHS WITH THE KALAAM OF ALLAH THAT WILL PROCEED OUT OF MY MOUTH.

ISRAEL YOU WILL END YOUR BUILDING OF SETTLEMENT IN THE

WEST BANK. THOSE WHO HAVE UNJUSTLY SETTLED THERE, WILL BE REMOVED. THE PALESTINIANS WILL HAVE THEIR OWN PROVINCE UNDER MY RULE. SO I HAVE SPOKEN,...SO LET IT BE DONE. I ALREADY KNOW THAT YOU ARE A REBELLIOUS SNIFFNECK PEOPLE AS OUR FOREFATHERS WERE BEFORE US. IT IS WRITTEN THAT YOU WILL BE BELLIGERENT IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL(PBUH). THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN CANNOT BE BROKEN. THEREFORE, FOR YOUR CONTINUE OPPRESSION OF YOUR MUSLIM BROTHERS I WILL STAND AGAINST YOU, AND HELL WILL BE YOUR ABODE!!!

ONCE I DEAL WITH THE REBELLIOUS AMONG YOU, IF SHARON IS STILL IN A COMA AND HAD NOT EXPIRED YET, I WILL WAKE HIM FROM HIS COMA. THEREAFTER, HE, AND THE RIGHTEOUS AMONG YOU WILL DESIGNATE ME AS KING OF ISRAEL, TO THE FULFILLING OF JEWISH, CHRISTIAN, AND ISLAMIC PROPHECY, WHERE OUR GOD PROMISED A SON OF DAVID(PBUH) WILL ALWAYS SIT UPON THE THRONE OF ISRAEL, AND WHAT MUHAMMAD(PBUH) SAID, THE HOUR OF THE RESURRECTION SHALL NOT BE ESTABLISHED UNTIL A FREEDMAN NAMED JAHJAH BECOME A MONARCH AND HOLDS SWAY. I HAVE COME TO PURGE OUR PEOPLE OF THESE DEMOCRATIC EVILS YOU HAVE ALLOWED TO SETTLE AMONG US. THESE ARE THE VERY EVILS THAT THE I AM, YHWH, SCATTERED OUR ANCESTORS TO THE FOUR WINDS FOR. YOUR PRESENT STATE AND EVILS MUST BE ERADICATED. I AM GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND HIGH PRIEST OF ISRAEL, THE WARRIOR KING OF THE NORTH WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL(PBUH), THE ONE WHO IS RAISED UP FROM THE NORTH WRITTEN IN ISAIAH(PBUH) 41:25, THE COCKATRICE WITH 7 TIMES THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH(PBUH) FORETOLD TO COME. CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWS WILL LIVE IN PEACE, OR YOU ALL WILL BE SUBJECTED TO AN ACT OF GOD. THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH WILL NOT LET THE WORDS OF HIS SERVANT FALL TO THE GROUND VOID.

"ACTUS DEI NEMINI FACIT INJURIAM",---AN ACT OF GOD DOES WRONG TO NO ONE. THAT IS, NO ONE IS RESPONSIBLE IN DAMAGES FOR INEVITABLE ACTS THAT GOD DECREES.

"ACTUS SERVI, IN IIS QUIBUS OPERA EJUS COMMUNITER ADHIBITA EST, ACTUS DOMINI HABETUR",---THE ACT OF A SERVANT AND OR KING/KHALIFAH OF GOD, IN WHICH HE IS USUALLY EMPLOYED BY GOD, IS CONSIDERED THE ACT OF HIS MASTER. GOD IS MY KING AND MASTER. MY ACTS ARE HIS ACTS.

"CULPA CARET QUI SCIT SED PROHIBERE NON POTEST",---A PERSON IS FREE OF BLAME WHO KNOWS BUT CANNOT PREVENT. THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN CANNOT BE BROKE. NO ONE CAN FIGHT AGAINST THE DECREES OF GOD. THEREFORE, AS GOD'S SERVANT, ANY ACT I AM COMPELLED TO DO, IS NOT MY ACT. AGAIN, IT IS THE ACT OF GOD DESIGNATED THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE I WAS BORN.

"LE SALUT DU PEUPLE EST LA SUPREME LOI",---THE SAFETY

AND PEACE OF ALL THE BELIEVING PEOPLE ARE THE HIGHEST OF GOD'S MILK AND HONEY COVENANT LAWS.

REFERRING BACK TO BY INHERITANCE, MY HEIRSHIP OF THE KHALIFATE AND KINGSHIP OF ALL CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWS WORLDWIDE THAT WORSHIP THE ONE TRUE GOD. "HAERES EST EADEM PERSONA CUM ANTECESSORE",---THE HEIR IS THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR.

"DEUS SOLUS HAERDEM FACERE POTEST, NON HOMO",---GOD ALONE, AND NOT MAN, CAN MAKE AN HEIR.

"DROIT NE PEUT PAS MORIER",---THE RIGHT OF MY RULE CANNOT DIE. AT LEAST UNTIL CHRIST(PBUH) TAKES THE POSITION WHICH IS TRULY HIS. I AM THE LESSER LIGHT, SENT TO PREPARE THE WAY OF HIS KINGDOM.

"DOMINUS REX NULLUM HABERE POTEST PAREM, MULTO MINUS SUPERIOREM",---GOD'S APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST CANNOT HAVE A LIVING EQUAL, MUCH LESS A SUPERIOR OTHER THAN GOD HIMSELF. THIS MEANS THE POPE, ANY RELIGIOUS LEADERS WORLDWIDE, TO INCLUDE ALL HEADS OF STATE IN THE PROVINCES THAT ARE TO COME UNDER MY RULE, MUST RELINQUISH POWER BY THE DECREE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. THERE CAN BE NO EQUAL TO MY AUTHORITY AND RULE OTHER THAN GOD HIMSELF. I AM APPOINTED BY THE LORD OF THE WORLDS.

SINCE THE BIRTH OF CHRIST(PBUH) IS AN ACT OF GOD, AND THE ONLY BLOODLINE IN HIM IS MARY'S, THAT MAKES ME THE SAME AS CHRIST AS CONFIRMED BY MARK 9:12. BY THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN OF THE PROPHET MOSES, AARON, DAVID, SOLOMAN, AND MUHAMMAD(PBUT), I BECOME THE EMBODIMENT OF ALL THAT IS AND WAS TAUGHT BY THEM, MANDATED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD. THIS INCLUDES THE AUTHORITY THAT WAS GIVEN TO THEM.

"TESTAMENTUM EST VOLUNTATIS NOSTRAE JUSTA SENTENTIA, DE EO QUOD QUIS POST MORTEM SUAM FIERI VELIT",---THE TESTAMENT, INDICATIVE OF THE OLD TESTAMENT AND NEW TESTAMENT CANON, AS WELL AS THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) WHICH EMBELLISHES HIS TESTAMENT, IS THE JUST EXPRESSION OF OUR(GOD AND HIS PROPHETS) WILL CONCERNING THAT WHICH THEY WISH DONE AFTER THEIR DEATH.

"TESTATORIS ULTIMA VOLUNTAS EST PERIMPLEDA SECUNDUM VERAM INTENTIONEM SUAM",---THE LAST WILL OF THE TESTATOR IS TO BE FULFILLED ACCORDING TO HIS TRUE INTENTION.

"TESTAMENTUM OMNE MORTE CONSUMMATUM",---EVERY WILL IS COMPLETED BY DEATH.

"LEGITIME IMPERANTI PARERE NECESSE EST",---ONE WHO COMMANDS BY THE DECREE OF GOD, MUST BE OBEYED.

"NEC TEMPUS NEC LOCUS OCCURRIT REGI",---NEITHER TIME NOR PLACE THWARTS GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH.

"NEMO PATRIAM IN QUA NATUS EST EXUERE, NEC LIGEANTIAE DEBITUM EJURARE POSSIT",---"NO ONE CAN CAST OFF HIS NATIVE LAND, OR REFUSE AN OBLIGATION TO IT. THE MIDDLE EAST IS MY NATIVE LAND, THE CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS, AND JEWISH PROPHETS AND KINGS ARE MY ANCESTORS AS ARE THEIR SUBJECTS. MY OBLIGATION TO PURGE THEM AND BRING AN END TO THE MADNESS BEFORE US IS APPARENT.

"LEX FACIT REGEM",---THE LAWS OF GOD MAKE THE KING AND KHALIFAH.

"LIGEANTIA EST QUASI LEGIS ESSENTIA; EST VINCULUM FIDEI",---ALLEGIANCE TO GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH IS, AS IT WERE, THE ESSENCE OF GOD'S LAWS, IT IS THE BOND OF FAITH.

"ECCLESIA EST INFRA AETATEM ET IN CUSTODIA DOMINI REGIS, QUI TENETUR JURA ET HAEREDITATES EJUSDEM MANU TENERE ET DEFENDERE",---THE BELIEVERS OF THE THREE TRUE RELIGIONS ARE UNDERAGE AND IN THE CUSTODY OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH, WHO IS BOUND TO UPHOLD AND DEFEND THEM, THEIR RIGHTS, THEIR DUTIES, THEIR OBLIGATIONS, AND INHERITANCES.

"ECCLESIA FUNGITUR VICE MINORIS; MELIOREM CONDITIONEM SUAM FACERE POTEST, DETERIOREM NEQUAQUAM",---THE BELIEVERS OF GOD ENJOY THE PRIVILEGES OF A MINOR, GOD ADOPTS THEM AS HIS CHILDREN, SLAVES, AND YOUTHFUL SERVANTS; THE BELIEVERS CAN MAKE THEIR OWN CONDITION BETTER BUT NOT WORSE. THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF GOD'S APPOINTED SOVEREIGN, AL MAHDI, CAN ONLY MAKE THE CONDITION OF THE BELIEVERS WORLDWIDE BETTER. THUS, MY KHALIFATE, KINGSHIP, IMAMATE, HIGH PRIESTHOOD, AND EVEN MY PROPHETHOOD IS CLEARLY ESTABLISHED BEFORE ALL OF MANKIND.

"CUI LIBET IN ARTE SUA PERITO EST CREDENDUM",---CREDENCE SHOULD BE GIVEN TO A PERSON SKILLED IN HIS ART (THAT IS WHEN SPEAKING OF A MATTER CONNECTED WITH THAT ART). RELIGION AND THE WORSHIP OF GOD IS MY LIFE!!! I AM GOD'S FORETOLD LAWGIVER MAKING ME THE WORLD'S FOREMOST LEADING EXPERT ON ENDTIME PROPHECY. IT IS MY PASSION. IT IS MY ART. I AM GOD'S EXPERT.

"CUIQUE IN SUA ARTE CREDENDUM EST",---EVERYONE IS TO BE BELIEVED IN HIS OWN AREA OF EXPERTISE. PURSUANT TO THAT WHICH IS ENSCONCED WITHIN THE THREE HOLY BOOKS, THE BELIEVERS OF THE WORLD ARE NOT A DEMOCRACY. WE ARE A THEOCRACY. A GOVERNMENT OF GOD, BY GOD, AND FOR GOD. BY THE AFOREMENTIONED, MY DUTY, AUTHORITY, OBLIGATION, KINGSHIP, KHALIFATE, IMAMATE, HIGH PRIESTHOOD AND PROPHETHOOD, AGAIN, IS CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

TO ANY RIGHTEOUS MUSLIM, CHRISTIAN, OR JEW, WHO STILL BELIEVE WHOLE HEARTEDLY IN OUR GOD AND HIS PROPHETS, IN THE PROPHECIES THAT OUR PROPHETS LEFT US, THE PETITIONERS IN THIS CASE NEED YOUR HELP. THE LEGAL LAWSUITS ATTACHED TO THESE PROCEEDINGS PRODUCE THE WEALTH THAT THE BOOK OF DANIEL AND ISLAM STATE THE FORERUNNER TO CHRIST WOULD HAVE. THE LAWSUITS ARE SET AT 400 BILLION DOLLARS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FOR THEIR CRIMES IN HANDLING THESE MATTERS. THIS IS WHY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS CONSPIRING TO DISMISS THE LAWSUITS BASED UPON A TECHNICALITY, STATING THAT I MUST PAY A \$350 FEE IN THE KENTUCKY DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE NO.3:08-cv-P559-S. THE CLAIMS ARE NOT FRIVOLOUS AND CAN EASILY BE PROVEN, AND SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED. THE JUDGES INVOLVED IN THE CASE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF JUDICIAL FRAUD TO KEEP THE PROCEEDINGS FROM PUBLIC VIEW. THIS IS MONEY THAT WILL BE NEEDED TO ESTABLISH MY KHALIFATE AND ASSIST IN GETTING THE BELIEVERS OF THIS WORLD THROUGH THE UPCOMING TRIBULATION FORETOLD BY PROPHECY. I NEED THE ASSISTANCE OF GOD'S SERVANTS. I NEED ANYONE WITH A HEART FOR GOD, AND STILL BELIEVE IN THE PROPHECIES HE INFORMED US THROUGH HIS PROPHETS TO PAY THAT \$350 FEE ON MY BEHALF TO PREVENT THE CONSPIRING PARTIES FROM DISMISSING THE ACTION UNJUSTLY. IF YOU PAY THIS \$350 FEE ON MY BEHALF, I GIVE YOU MY WORD AS A BELIEVER THAT FOR THAT \$350 RISK AND INVESTMENT, I WILL GIVE YOU A MILLION DOLLAR RETURN AT THE CASES CONCLUSION UPON WINNING THE ACTION. SOME OF YOU HAVE INVESTED MORE IN THE LOTTERY OVER THE YEARS. TAKE A CHANCE ON WHAT'S WRITTEN IN DANIEL 11 AND ISLAM, HAVE FAITH, THE PROPHECY IS CLEAR THE FINANCES WILL COME. LET THIS DOCUMENT SERVE AS THAT CONTRACT AND PLEDGE, \$350 FOR A MILLION. PLEASE ASSIST US IN THIS MATTER. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO PREVENT THE REALIZATION OF WHAT THEY KNOW IS RELIGIOUS PROPHECY FROM COMING TO PASS.

ALSO THESE PROCEEDINGS MUST BE BROUGHT TO THE INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC'S ATTENTION. WE ASK THAT YOU SET UP AN INTERNET SITE WITH THE DOCUMENTS FROM THE COURTS PLACED ON IT, IN HOPES OF PREVENTING ANY PARTY ATTACHED TO THESE PROCEEDINGS FROM UNJUSTLY MAKING ATTEMPTS TO CONCEAL THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEING CONDUCTED BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL MASSES. IF THE PARTIES CLAIM I AM A LIAR, I HAVE A FUNDAMENTAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, TO HAVE THE CLAIMS ADDRESSED, AND SUCH A DECEPTION EXPOSED BEFORE THE ENTIRE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY, BECAUSE MY ASSERTIONS AFFECT EVERY SOUL WITHIN EVERY NATION. IF I AM SUPPOSEDLY A FRAUD, THEN IN FAIRNESS TO ALL NATIONS, THIS FRAUD MUST BE REVEALED BEFORE ALL NATIONS OF THE WORLD.

LASTLY, WE NEED ATTORNEYS TO ASSIST THE INMATES IN THESE

UNPRECEDENTED DIVERSITY JURISDICTION WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CLASS ACTION PROCEEDINGS, WHICH EFFECT EVERY INMATE IN THIS COUNTRY TO THE FULFILLING OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY IN ISAIAH CHAPTER 61, WHICH STATE IN MY COMING I WOULD CAUSE THE PRISON DOORS TO OPEN. WITHOUT ATTORNEYS TO ASSIST US, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND ITS FEDERAL COURTS WILL ATTEMPT EVERY DIRTY TRICK UNDER THE SUN TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE LEGAL ISSUES OF PROPHECY, BECAUSE THEY KNOW THEY CAN PRODUCE NO CITING OF LAW THAT WILL SHOW THAT THE PETITIONERS ARE INCORRECT IN THEIR CLAIMS, ISSUES, OR DEFENSES. AGAIN, THEY ARE MAKING EVERY ATTEMPT TO PREVENT THE REALIZATION OF WHAT THEY KNOW IS THE FULFILLING OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY FROM COMING TO PASS, IN HOPES OF DESTROYING MY CREDIBILITY BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY. IF THE PETITIONERS WERE IN ERROR BY LAW AS TO THE LEGAL ISSUES, THE COURTS WOULD HAVE EASILY DEFEATED THE CASES, BY MERELY CITING LAW THAT IS ESTABLISHED ON THE BOOKS, AND SHOW WHERE WE ARE WRONG. NO COURT IN THE COUNTRY, STATE OR FEDERAL HAS BEEN ABLE TO SUCCEED AT THIS. THIS IS WHY THEY ARE CIRCUMVENTING RULING ON THE ISSUES. WE NEED ATTORNEYS TO ASSIST US, EVEN IF I MUST PAY THEM LATER. THEY CAN EVEN TAKE UP THE CIVIL LAWSUITS TO ENSURE THIS.

RELIEF SOUGHT:

(1) THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT THE COURT TAKE NOTICE OF THE SIGNATURES THAT ARE ATTACHED TO THIS DOCUMENT. THIS IS HOW THE ACTION WAS SUBMITTED. IT BECOMES CONSPICUOUS THAT ALL THE INMATES CAPTIONED ARE IN AGREEMENT THAT SIGNED THIS DOCUMENT. YET THE UNITED STATES CONSPIRING TO COMPROMISE THE FEDERAL JUDGES, THOSE JUDGES REPEATEDLY VIEWED THE ACTION AS BEING FILED BY LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, AKA. JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, OR BY JUST 4 PETITIONERS. THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE AND OR INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT VIEW THE CASE AS BEING FILED BY ALL (50) REPRESENTATIVE MEMBERS. THOSE INMATES WHO HAVE NOT FILED OR SIGNED THIS DOCUMENT ARE EITHER TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER INSTITUTION, OR THEY ARE ON INSTITUTIONAL LOCK UP, CREATING AN INABILITY FOR THEM TO SIGN. STANDARD RULES DICTATE THAT IF THERE SIGNATURES ARE NOT PRESENT, IF THE PARTIES PRODUCE A VALID EXCUSE FOR SUCH, THE PROCEEDINGS MUST BE VIEWED AS BEING FILED BY ALL INMATES IN QUESTION. WE OFFICIALLY EXERCISE THIS RIGHT. WITH THIS STANDARD RULE, THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT VIEW THE ACTION AS SUCH, AND ALL INMATES BE GIVEN NOTICE OF THE PENDING PROCEEDINGS IN WRITING, THEIR ACKNOWLEDGE PARTY TO THE ACTION, AND ANY RESPONSE BY THE COURT BE SENT TO ALL (50) PETITIONERS. THIS INCLUDES THAT IF NECESSITY REQUIRES IT, THAT ALL (50) PETITIONERS BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT, AND OR INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, OR UNITED NATIONS IF ANY ADDITIONAL ISSUE OR QUESTIONING NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED OR CONDUCTED. IT IS BEST THAT THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY SPEAK TO ME FACE TO FACE, AND

DETERMINE BY DIRECT EXAMINATION THE VALIDITY OF THE FACTS. THIS INCLUDES NOTICE TO THE NON-JOINED MEMBERS BY THE MEDIA AND OR STATE PAPER OF EACH OF THE 33 RELEVANT STATES, A RIGHT THAT WAS UNJUSTLY DENIED THE PARTIES BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND ITS FEDERAL COURTS CONSTITUTING CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY RELATED TO ITS OWN CITIZENS.

(2) THE PETITIONERS MOTION FOR THE INTERNATIONAL PROSECUTOR TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION, EVEN BY OBTAINING A PACER.COM ACCOUNT OR OTHER MEANS, AND THAT ALL DOCUMENTS AFOREMENTIONED IN CASE(S) NO.(S) 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM AND 2:08-cv-2339-TLW-BM IN S. CAROLINA DISTRICT COURT; 2:08-cv-04187-JLL IN NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT; 1:09-cv-0465-KMO IN THE OHIO DISTRICT COURT; 3:08-cv-P559-S AND 3:08-cv-P590-S IN THE KENTUCKY DISTRICT COURT; AND THE SLED INVESTIGATIVE FILE CASE NO.55010014 AT S.C. LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION IN COLUMBIA, S.C.. THE LEGAL ISSUES OF SAID RELIGIOUS PROPHECY ARE FILED IN THE OHIO AND S. CAROLINA CASES. THE NAMES OF ALL (50) PETITIONERS AND THE BOOK OF REMEMBRANCE OF MALACHI 3:16, IT'S FOREWORD, IS HELD WITHIN THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT. THE DOCUMENTS IN QUESTION WOULD SERVE TO SUBSTANTIATE AND PROVE THE CLEAR MOTIVE OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THIS CONSPIRACY ALLEGED.

(3) THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT THE INTERNATIONAL PROSECUTOR OBTAIN A COPY OF A STANDARD INDICTMENT FROM THE 33 RELEVANT STATES THAT ADOPT THE FEDERAL PROVISIONS AND USE OF AN INDICTMENT VIA THEIR STATE CONSTITUTIONS. THIS CAN BE DONE BY GOING ON THE INTERNET OF EACH OF THE 33 RELEVANT STATES TO LOOK UP PRISONERS NAMES AND DOWN LOAD A COPY OF THEIR INDICTMENT IF AVAILABLE, OR THEY CAN BE OBTAINED BY CALLING THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF EACH OF THE 33 RELEVANT STATES AND ASK THEM TO PRODUCE A COPY OF AN INDICTMENT FROM ANY DEFENDANT THAT IS HELD UNDER STATE CUSTODY FOR CRIMES AGAINST THAT STATE. SINCE ALL ARREST ARE A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD, THERE SHOULD BE NO ISSUE WITH THEM COMPLYING WITH THIS REQUEST. NO MATTER HOW SEEMINGLY CRAZY OR BIZARRE THE RELIGIOUS ASSERTIONS AND RHETORIC MAY APPEAR TO SOME, THE UNITED NATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL COURT WILL CLEARLY SEE THAT THE PETITIONERS ARE CORRECT, AND THE INDICTMENTS OF ALL 33 STATES POSSESS THE SAME IDENTICAL FATAL CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR AND OR DEFECT WHICH WOULD POTENTIALLY CALL FOR THE RELEASE OF EVERY PRISONER HELD UNDER STATE CUSTODY, WHICH MAY ALSO AFFECT THE FEDERAL PRISONERS IN ALL 50 STATES. THIS IS THE ACT OF GOD THE PETITIONERS REFERRED TO, THAT GOD BLINDED THE EYES OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FOR YEARS, TO PREVENT THEM FROM SEEING AND CORRECTING THIS ERROR, SO THAT WHEN THE ELIJAH, AL MAHDI, CHRIST(PBUH) FORERUNNER WAS UNJUSTLY FRAMED FOR THE MURDER OF ONE OF HIS OWN, HE WOULD COME TO PRISON, SEE THE INJUSTICE, DISCOVER THE LEGAL ISSUES, AND PRODUCE IT AS A CALLING CARD TO PROVE HE IS WHO HE CLAIMS TO BE. THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT A COPY OF THE INDICTMENTS FROM THOSE 33 STATES IN QUESTION BE FORWARDED TO THE

PETITIONERS SO THAT THEY MAY HAVE A COPY FOR THEIR RECORDS. THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT BY CLEAR EVIDENCE AND CITING OF LAW, NOT BY MERE DECEPTIVE RHETORIC DENYING THE VALIDITY OF THE LEGAL ISSUES, BUT THAT THEY PRODUCE INDISPUTABLE CITING OF FEDERAL LAW, THAT SHOW WHERE THE PETITIONERS ARE IN ERROR. I PROMISE THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, THAT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO DO SO. THUS, BY THIS UNJUST CAPTIVITY OF THEIR AMERICAN CITIZENS, IN VIOLATION OF THEIR OWN FEDERAL LAWS OF DUE PROCESS, WE THE INMATES OF THIS NATION STAND KIDNAPPED!, AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS GUILTY OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY.

(4) BY THE COMPLEX NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, AND THE FACT THAT MANY OF THE PETITIONERS FALL UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, THE UNITED STATES WAS REQUIRED TO GIVE US COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL. THEY DENIED AND OR CIRCUMVENTED GRANTING THIS REQUEST AS PART OF THIS ELABORATE CONSPIRACY, AS PART OF A TRICK AND SCHEME, BECAUSE ANY RATIONAL LAWYER, ONCE HE LOOKED AT THE ISSUES, AND SAW THAT THE INDICTMENTS DID POSSESS THIS FATAL FLAW, WOULD HAVE KNOWN THAT THE PETITIONERS DESERVED TO HAVE THEIR DAY IN COURT. THOSE LAWYERS WOULD HAVE PREVENTED THE GOVERNMENT FROM DENYING US THAT RIGHT. THEY ALSO CONSPIRED TO DO THIS TO PERMIT THE INVOLVED FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS JUDGES TO PRODUCE SOME TYPE OF STUPID LEGAL TECHNICALITY, OR PROCEDURAL DEFAULT ISSUE TO UNJUSTLY DISMISS THE ACTION. A TRAINED ATTORNEY ASSIGNED TO THE CASE, WOULD HAVE PREVENTED AND POSSIBILITY OF THAT OCCURRING, SO THEY DENIED US THE REQUIRED LEGAL COUNSEL MANDATED BY FEDERAL LAW. THE PETITIONERS SEEK THAT THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY PETITION ON OUR BEHALF FOR ATTORNEYS TO BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT US IN THIS ACTION.

(5) BECAUSE OF THE PLAGUES THAT ARE PROPHESED TO COME, THAT ARE WRITTEN IN THE (240) PAGE KERSHAW COUNTY PETITION, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT CONSPIRED TO PREVENT NOTIFICATION AND CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION FROM BEING ESTABLISHED, TO PREVENT THE INMATES OF THIS STATE AND THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY FROM BECOMING AWARE OF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE PROCEEDINGS GOING ON IN THE COURT. ALL OF THESE NON-JOINED MEMBERS, BY THE SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS, HAD THE RIGHT TO BE GIVEN NOTICE OF THE PROCEEDINGS. AGAIN, THE PETITIONERS SEEK THIS NOTIFICATION OF ALL NON-JOINED MEMBERS TO PERMIT THEM TO REQUEST EXCLUSION FROM THE ACTION, OR TO WEIGH IN WITH OBJECTIONS WHICH IS THEIR RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS. YOUR INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY'S PRESENT GLOBAL CRISIS IS MORE THAN WHAT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT LED YOU ALL TO BELIEVE. IT IS TOO, A PART OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY, BEING THE FATAL BLOW TO THE BEAST THAT IS DESIGNED TO OCCUR AT THE TIME OF MY COMING, TO FURTHER VALIDATE THAT ALL PROPHECIES FOUND IN THE THREE TRUE RELIGIONS FOR THE FIRST TIME IN EARTH'S HISTORY, ARE ALL COMING ALIGNED. INSIDE THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, UNDER THE AFOREMENTIONED CASE NUMBERS, I BEGAN

DEALING WITH THIS PRESENT FATAL BLOW TO THE BEAST, IN THE FORM OF YOUR PRESENT ECONOMIC GLOBAL CRISIS. IN DANIEL 11 IT STATES I WILL STIR UP ALL AGAINST GRECIA, THE GRECO-ROMAN GLOBAL SOCIETY. THIS IS NOT MY CAUSING HARM TO YOUR NATIONS, ITS MY ASSISTING YOU. I MUST BE SET FREE TO SCORCH THE LANDS OF YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS, UNIFYING THE GLOBAL MASSES IN PEACEFUL PROTEST, TO FORCE ALL NATIONS TO PLACE FORTH PROPER BANKING REFORM, AND OTHER CRUCIAL LEGISLATION THAT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO ALL YOUR NATIONS BECAUSE MY BELIEVING PEOPLE RESIDE AMONG YOU. THE GLOBE MUST BE GIVEN A PERIOD OF REPOSE TO ALLOW US ALL TO PREPARE FOR THE UPCOMING TRIBULATION. I AM THE FORCE THAT OUR GOD DESIGNATED TO RESTORE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE INTERNATIONALLY, AS CHRIST SAID, I RESTORE ALL THINGS. AS LONG AS I AM IN CAPTIVITY, AND NOT PERMITTED TO GO BEFORE THE PEOPLE YOUR INTERNATIONAL MARKETS WILL CONTINUE TO SUFFER.

I BEGAN PURGING YOUR FLOOR. IN THE COURT DOCUMENTS, I TOOK OUT ALL OF YOUR CORRUPT BANKING OLIGARCHY, AND THE CORRUPT POLITICIANS AROUND THE WORLD, TO INCLUDE EVERY CORRUPT JUDGE THAT EXIST IN EVERY COUNTRY AROUND THE GLOBE, TO THE FULFILLING OF ISLAMIC PROPHECY, STATING I WOULD FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS, THE SAME WAY IT HAD BEEN FILLED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION. YOUR NATIONS NEED THOSE COURT DOCUMENTS IN ORDER THAT YOU WOULD BE FULLY AWARE OF WHAT MAY BE POTENTIALLY COMING AT ALL OF YOU. DO NOT WRITE THIS OFF AS MADNESS OR A JOKE, BECAUSE THESE EVENTS ARE CLEARLY WRITTEN. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, EVEN THIS DECEPTIVE CORNBALL BARACK OBAMA, ~~HID~~ THE TRUE NATURE OF WHAT'S GOING ON HERE. YOU NEED TO PREPARE THE INHABITANTS OF YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS.

THIS IS ALL THE PETITIONERS WANT. WE WANT OUR DAY IN COURT, WITHOUT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, LIKE A BUNCH OF INDIGENOUS GROWN TERRORIST, AND AL QEIDA AND TALLIBAN WANNA BEES, ABUSING ITS CITIZENS IN WHAT AMOUNTS TO CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, BLOCKING THEIR ACCESS TO THE COURTS BY EVERY DIRTY TRICK AND SCHEME IMAGINABLE, UNJUSTLY DENYING ITS CITIZENS THE RIGHT OF NOTICE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, AND DENYING THEM THE SUBSTANTIAL RIGHT TO COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL, BEHIND A CLASS BASED INVIDIOUSLY DISCRIMINATORY ANIMUS, IN THE FORM OF RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, BECAUSE IT WILL TURN OUT THAT THE FORERUNNER TO GOD'S CHRIST IS A BLACK MAN, WITH THAT FAKE TAIL BARACK OBAMA IN THE PRESIDENTIAL SEAT LEADING THEM IN THIS DECEPTION OF THE GLOBAL COMMUNITY, KNOWING FULLY WELL THAT ALL OF THIS IS GOING ON. THAT DOUBLE LUNAR ECLIPSE DURING THE HOLY MONTH OF RAMADAN WILL APPEAR BEFORE MY RELEASE. I'VE SEEN IT IN MY DREAMS NUMEROUS TIMES. MY DREAMS, BY THAT WHICH GOD GIVES ME ALWAYS COME TO PASS. THE SIGN GOD WILL GIVE YOU IS THAT TWO HURRICANES WILL HIT THIS NATION, AND THE ~~Birds~~ FLU WILL SHOW UP IN CANADIAN BIRDS. WHEN THAT DOUBLE ECLIPSE SHOW, THE RESPECTIVE NATIONS ARE IN IMMINENT

DANGER. YOU MUST GO ON THE RECORD OF THESE MATTERS TO SECURE THE SAFETY OF THE PEOPLE WITHIN YOUR NATIONS. IF I AM FOUND TO BE A LIAR, LET SUCH EXPOSURE OCCUR BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL WORLD. GIVE ME ENOUGH ROPE TO HANG MYSELF, OR, ON THE OTHER HAND, YOU WILL BE SAVING YOUR RESPECTIVE NATIONS FROM GLOBAL DISASTER. THE DUTY OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT, AND OR INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT IS CLEAR.

"AD OFFICIUM JUSTICIARIORUM SPECTAT UNICUIQUE CORAM EIS PLACITANTI JUSTITIAM EXHIBERE",---IT IS THE DUTY OF JUSTICES TO ADMINISTER JUSTICE TO EVERYONE PLEADING BEFORE THEM.

"JURISPRUDENTIA EST DIVINARUM ATQUE HUMANARUM RERUM NOTITIA, JUSTI ATQUE INJUSTI SCIENTIA",---JURISPRUDENCE IS KNOWLEDGE OF THINGS DIVINE AND HUMAN, THE SCIENCE OF THE JUST AND UNJUST.

"CAUSA ECCLESIAE PUBLICIS AEQUIPARATUR; ET SUMMA EST RATIO QUAE PRO RELIGIONE FACIT",---THE CAUSE OF THE BELIEVERS OF GOD IS EQUAL TO THE PUBLIC CAUSE, AND PARAMOUNT IS THE REASON THAT ACTS IN FAVOR OF RELIGION.

"NECESSITAS SUB LEGE NON CONTINETUR, QUIA QUOD ALIAS NON EST LICITUM NECESSITAS FACIT LICITUM",---NECESSITY IS NOT RESTRAINED BY LAW, SINCE WHAT OTHERWISE IS NOT LAWFUL NECESSITY MAKES LAWFUL.

"JUSTITIA FIRMATUR SOLIUM",---BY JUSTICE THE THRONE OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING AND KHALIFAH IS ESTABLISHED.

"JUSTITIA NEMINI NEGANDA EST",---JUSTICE IS DENIED NO ONE.

"FIAT JUSTITIA PEREAT MUNDUS",---LET JUSTICE BE DONE THOUGH THE WORLD PERISH.

"MERITO RETRIBUAT REX LEGI QUOD LEX ATTRIBUAT",---THE KING AND KHALIFAH RIGHTLY REPAYS THE LAWS OF GOD, WHAT THE LAWS OF GOD ASCRIBE TO HIM; LET THE KING AND KHALIFAH REPAY TO THE LAWS OF GOD WHAT THE LAWS OF GOD ATTRIBUTE TO HIM.

"NEMO MILITARIS DEO IMPLICETUR SECULARIBUS NEGOTIIS",---NO ONE WARRING FOR GOD SHOULD BE TROUBLED BY SECULAR BUSINESS. I AM REQUIRED BY THE MANDATES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD TO REPAY TO THE PEOPLE THAT WHICH ONLY THEIR RIGHTFUL KING AND KHALIFAH CAN REPAY. THAT BEING JUSTICE, FAIRNESS, THEIR INALIENABLE RIGHTS AS BELIEVERS, SERVANTS OF GOD, THE RIGHT TO A HOLY LIFE FREE OF THE CHAINS OF TYRANNY, OPPRESSION, AND

INIQUITY, ALL RIGHTS ATTRIBUTED TO A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS, GIVEN THEM BY GOD, I AM REQUIRED TO RESTORE TO THE BELIEVERS WORLDWIDE THEIR PEACE AND GIVE THEM REST FROM WAR, EVEN IF I MUST FIRST ENGAGE IN WAR, WAR AGAINST THE WICKED TYRANTS AND OPPRESSORS. MY WOMEN HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM RAPE AND PHYSICAL ABUSE. THE ENSLAVERS OF MY MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN MUST BE STOPPED. I MUST BE FREED FROM THIS SECULAR BUSINESS, BEING FRAMED BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, TO COME TO THE AID OF THE PALESTINIANS, OF DARFUR, ETHIOPIA, IRAQ, ETC.. MANY OF THE PEOPLE WITHIN MY NATIONS ARE STARVING, BEING SUBJECTED TO ENDEMIC UBIQUITOUS CORRUPTION. THE CONDITION OF THE BELIEVERS WORLDWIDE MUST BE MADE BETTER. I AM SENT. I MUST GO. THE ISLAMIC SCHOLARS WERE CORRECT WHEN THEY ANTICIPATED THAT THIS GLOBAL REFORMER WOULD COME FROM THE WEST, POSSIBLY THE UNITED STATES. HERE I THUS STAND, EVEN IF I MUST STAND ALONE.

"BREVE JUDICIALE NON CADIT PRO DEFECTU FORMAE",---A JUDICIAL WRIT AND OR COMPLAINT(UNDER THESE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES) DOES NOT FAIL FOR A DEFECT OF FORM. IF FOR ANY REASON THIS SUBMITTED DOCUMENT IS NOT IN PROPER FORM, OR IF THE LENGTH OF THE DOCUMENT VIOLATES THE PROCEDURAL RULES, THE PETITIONERS MOTION FOR THE RELAXING AND OR SUSPENDING OF THOSE RULES DUE TO THESE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. WE ALSO PETITION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL SINCE I AM LABORING UNDER A PHYSICAL DISABILITY TO MY HANDS.

WHEREFORE, THE PETITIONERS MOTION FOR ALL RELIEF SOUGHT PURSUANT TO THIS DOCUMENT, TO INCLUDE ANY AND ALL OTHER RELIEF THE HONORABLE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE, AND OR INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COURT, WOULD DEEM JUST, FAIR, AND PROPER.

Casey Lewis
Edward W. Lee
Muhammad Al Mujahadin
Larry G. Martin
Daniel Chapman
Edward Lee
William Reesh
JULY 1, 2009
Ralph Coleman
Nakea Chemonnes
Ozama Lewis
Clarence Chisholm

SHAN No. 3A BB
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
IAN BURKE
CLARENCE CHISOLM
JUSTIN YOUNG
AND OTHER 40+ PETITIONERS

Anthony B. B. B.
Lawrence L. Crawford
Ian D. Burke
Edgar Castro Jr
Jonathan Williams
Justin Young
Clarence Chisholm
Gustavus

File in case 2016-000640

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

APPEAL FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(2:17-cv-01127-JMC-MGB)

DOCKET No. 17-6693

david duren; LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS


AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, david duren, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE
HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES
ON APPEAL; MOTION FOR A RESET ON THE TIME GIVEN FOR SUBMITTING
APPELLATE BRIEF, ALSO FOR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS
AND ANY OTHER PREHEARING SUBMISSION AND OR MATTER DUE TO FRAUD
UPON THE COURT; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO
MOTION THEREFOR AND ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT
OF APPEALS AND ALL OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE

PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE
15, 2017. THEY ARE DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE PURSUANT TO HOUSTON
v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,
david duren
david duren

JAHJAH AL MAHDI
CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE
GLOBAL THEOCRATIC
COURT BY DEFAULT AND
COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jahjah Al Mahdi', with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

JUNE 15, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRUIT ET AL.,

APPEAL FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(2:17-cv-01127-JMC-MGB)

DOCKET No. 17-6693

david duren; LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING
WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES ON APPEAL;
MOTION FOR A RESET ON THE TIME GIVEN FOR SUBMITTING
APPELLATE BRIEF, ALSO FOR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS
DOCUMENTS AND ANY OTHER PREHEARING SUBMISSION AND OR
MATTER DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION FOR
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: duren AND CRAWFORD

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND ATTACHED:

(1) A COPY OF THE (44) PAGE § 1983 THAT ESTABLISH THIS CASE SUBJUDICE. PLEASE NOTE ON PAGE [1] IT NOW ADDS THE WORD "AMENDED". ALSO ON PAGE [36] THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SIGNATURE IS NOW SIGNED TO THE DOCUMENT TO ALLEVIATE ANY CONCERN THAT THE COMPLAINT WAS ONLY SIGNED BY david duren.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTIONING TO VACATE THE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE BAKER ENTRY # 14; MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [6] PAGES DATED MAY 19, 2017.

(3) A COPY OF THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299 PRESENTLY PENDING IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT THAT RELATES TO THESE MATTERS.

(4) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, SUPPLEMENTING THE MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER SUBMITTED BY JUDGE BAKER; MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [3] PAGES DATED MAY 25, 2017.

(5) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND NOTICE OF APPEAL THAT MAKE UP CASE 17-6693, [4] PAGES DATED MAY 25, 2017.

(6) A COPY OF THE HABEAS CORPUS PETITION THAT IS FILED IN THIS CASE, [37] PAGES.

WRIT OF ERROR IS FILED. THIS APPEAL WAS NEVER FILED BY david duren SOLELY. JUDGE BAKER ABUSED HER DISCRETION, CONSPIRING IN ACTS OF FRAUD IN EFFORTS TO SEPARATE THE KING-KHALIFAH FROM THIS CASE TO HAMSTRING THE PROCEEDINGS, CONSPIRING WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE JUDGES IN THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT AND PARTIES

WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT IN THESE CASES. IF THE COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF PAGE [23] OF THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT. YOU WILL SEE THAT THE § 1983 LIST [2] EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THE COMPLAINT. ONE IS THE MANDAMUS THAT MAKES UP CASE 16-2299. IT IS CLEAR FROM THE DOCUMENT THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD'S SIGNATURE IS SIGNED TO THE DOCUMENT THAT IS A PART OF THIS CASE INFORMING THE COURT THAT HE IS ADDED AS PARTY PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 et. seq.. THIS DEMONSTRATES THAT JUDGE BAKER ABUSED HER DISCRETION BY NOT ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THIS CASE WAS FILED BY BOTH, CRAWFORD AND duren. AN AMENDED § 1983 IS NOW FILED TO RESOLVE ONCE AND FOR ALL THAT CRAWFORD IS INDEED PARTY IN THIS CASE. HE IS KING-KHALIFAH OF THE [4] GLOBAL THRONES. BY THE DOCUMENT THAT ESTABLISHES CASE 16-2299 HIS SIGNATURE WAS ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THE COMPLAINT FOR ALL PURPOSES, BRAZELL v. WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 512, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); PELLGRAIN v. BERTHELSEN, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 10847(DSC.2012); GAMBLE v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., 2014 WL 2468465(DSC.2014); CARTER v. SOUTH CAROLINA, 2014 WL 5325234(DSC.2014); EPSTEIN v. WORLD ACCEPTANCE CORP., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015).

ALSO, IF THE COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF THE (6) PAGE DOCUMENT SEEKING TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, DATED MAY 19, 2017. THIS DOCUMENT ALSO ESTABLISHES THAT THE CASE WAS FILED BY BOTH CRAWFORD AND duren AND JUDGE BAKER ABUSED HER DISCRETION BY DETACHING THE KING-KHALIFAH DUE TO SHE ASSERTING NO ONE SIGNED THE ACTION EXCEPT duren WHERE CRAWFORD'S SIGNATURE WAS ATTACHED TO THE DOCUMENT VIA THE EXHIBIT ON THE FACE OF THE COMPLAINT, EPSTEIN v. WORLD ACCEPTANCE CORP. SUPRA.

IF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND PARTIES WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ON PAGES [17-19] YOU WILL SEE THERE ALSO THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH, AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE CRAWFORD'S SIGNATURE IS AGAIN WRITTEN IN THE PLEADINGS. BY THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED. UNLESS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND U.S. DISTRICT COURT CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, EMERGING FROM RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN COLUMBIA S.C. THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION,

THEREBY BEING IMPERMISSIBLE TO BE USED IN ANY COURT. LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA THE KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE REESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, IS AN ESTABLISHED SOLE CORPORATION AND IS A PARTY IN THIS CASE SUBJUDICE TO INCLUDE THIS APPEAL. WE MOTION TO AMEND THE RECORD IN BOTH THE LOWER DISTRICT COURT AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO REFLECT THIS. WE WANT THE PARTIES IN BOTH CASES TO REFLECT THAT THE FILINGS WERE MADE BY BOTH THE KING-KHALIFAH AND duren. WE WANT IT ACKNOWLEDGED WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS THAT THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT BY THESE PARTIES TO NEGATE OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL BY ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT IS INADMISSIBLE. WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN BANK N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); CALDWELL v. WIQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); ANDERSON BROS. BANK v. E.B.T. PROPERTY HOLDING CO., INC., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507807(S.C.App.2013); TILLMAN v. TILLMAN EX. REL. ESTATE OF TILLMAN, S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8508106; MILLER v. COLUMBIA FOREST, INC., S.E.2d., 2014 WL 5390504(S.C.App.2014); 18 U.S.C. §§ 242, 1001; SPRINGOB v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); WIDENHOUSE v. COLSON, 405 S.C. 55, 747 S.E.2d. 188(S.C.2013).

WE MOTION FOR A RESET AND AN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR SUBMITTING ANY BRIEF, FOR FILING ANY FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS OR FOR ANY OTHER MATTER THAT WOULD ALLOW THIS CASE TO PROCEED UNTIL THESE ISSUES ARE RULED ON IN THE COURT RECORD AND BOTH david duren AND LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD ARE GIVEN OFFICIAL NOTICE OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S DETERMINATION.

HERE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WILL ALSO FIND ATTACHED ARE:

(7) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, (14) PAGES DATED APRIL 25, 2017.

(8) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT

AND RECOMMENDATION IN THIS CASE CORRUPT JUDGES AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [22] PAGES DATED MAY 16, 2017. THESE ARE PARALLEL CASES. THIS PROVES THAT CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WAS NEVER SOUGHT TO BE APPEALED. THE CASE WAS IN THE STAGE WHERE THE KING-KHALIFAH JUST RESPONDED TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION. THE ACT PRODUCES INDISPUTABLE EVIDENCE THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES ENGAGED IN FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

(9) A COPY OF THE JASON GOURDINE BRIEF THAT MAKE UP CASE 2016-000640 IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS.

(10) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE APPEAL DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, (3) PAGES DATED JUNE 6, 2017 FILED IN CASE 17-1695 PENDING IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

(11) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING OBJECTIONS TO JUDGE BENJAMIN SIGNING THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT BY H. THOMAS MORGAN JR.,; PETITION TO REMOVE AND MOTION TO MOTION FOR THE OBJECTIONS, (3) PAGES DATED JUNE 6, 2017 THAT IS FILED IN CASES 2013-CP-400-0084 RELATED TO THIS APPEAL SUBJUDICE AS WELL AS CASES 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB; 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE EXPANDED AND ARE NOW ATTACHED AND MADE A PART OF THESE PROCEEDINGS BECAUSE THESE ARE PARALLEL CASES AND THEIR INITIATION WAS COMMITTED IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS PERSPICUOUS FROM THE DOCUMENTS FILED THAT AT NO TIME DID THE KING-KHALIFAH, LAWRENCE CRAWFORD, EVER SOUGHT OR INTENDED TO APPEAL CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM. HIS INTENT, ALONG WITH david duren WAS TO APPEAL CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB "ONLY" (EMPHASIS ADDED).

THIS IS WHAT IS GOING ON HERE IN ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONSPI-

RACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 OF THE U.S. CONST., AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. FIRST THE CONSPIRING JUDGES INAPPROPRIATELY SEPARATED, DETACHED, THE KING-KHALIFAH, LAWRENCE CRAWFORD FROM THE APPEAL IN CASE 17-6693, THIS CASE, ALSO CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY. THEY THEN FRAUDULENTLY MADE IT APPEAR AS IF THE KING-KHALIFAH, LAWRENCE CRAWFORD, WAS APPEALING CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WHEN NO SUCH APPEAL OCCURRED IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION REQUIRING SANCTIONS. THE ONLY CASE THAT WAS CLEARLY APPEALED WAS CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB WHICH IS CONSPICUOUS FROM THE NOTICE TO APPEAL. WE CHALLENGE THE COURT AND PARTIES TO PRODUCE ANY DOCUMENT SIGNED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH, WHICH IS JURISDICTIONAL, THAT STATES CRAWFORD SOUGHT TO APPEAL CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM. THE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL WAS ONLY FOR CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB. DUE TO THESE INDISPUTABLE FACTS AND EVIDENCE, WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR FRAUD UPON BOTH COURTS INVOLVED, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); PEGG v. HERANBERGER, 845 F3d. 112 (4th. Cir.2017); JOHNSON v. BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410 (N.C.2016); LACRA v. ROSENHAUM, 2016 WL 6775638(N.C.2016); GRAHAM v. GAYRON, 831 F3d. 176(4th.Cir.2016); IANNELLI v. U.S., 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d. 616; GREAT AMERICAN INS. Co. v. NEXTDAY NETWORK HARDWARE CORP., 73 F.Supp.3d. 636(2014); U.S. v. LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); IN RE: BUILDING MATERIAL CORP. OF AMEREICAN ASPHALT ROOFING SHINGLE PRODUCTS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1827923(DSC.2013); ASTERBAI v. LEITESS, 176 Fed. Appx' 426 CA4(Va.2006); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES DEPT. OF JUSTICE, 2016 WL 4593467(D.Md.2016).

ONCE THE CONSPIRING JUDGES REALIZED THAT WE WERE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB. THEY KNEW THEY HAD TO FIGURE OUT SOME WAY TO AID THEIR EMPLOYER, THE UNITED STATES AVOID SUIT AND NEGATE OUR CLAIM OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP=400-0084. SO, TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF THAT CASE, WHICH WAS REMOVED TO PROTECT IT. THEY HAD TO NEGATE THE CLAIM OF NOT JUST FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER. BUT ALSO SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER THAT BINDS ALL

COURTS WITHIN THIS NATION DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY TO THAT DEFAULT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THEY GOT JUDGE HARWELL TO FRAUDULENTLY MAKE A RULING IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA IN VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) WHERE JUDGE HARWELL HAD AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS CASE DUE TO HE BEING A DEFENDANT IN CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. THE "3555" CASE WAS ONE OF THE CASES TO WHICH THE "0084" CASE WAS REMOVED TO PROTECT IT. JUDGE MERCHANT AND THE OTHER CONSPIRING JUDGES THEN FRAUDULENTLY FILED AN APPEAL IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WITHOUT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONSENT AND THE TRAVIS BELLAMY CASE TO UNLOCK THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FROM THE "3808" CASE TO ALLOW THE CONSPIRING JUDGES TO PRODUCE THE TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDER IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, BECAUSE THEY KNEW IF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT GAVE REVIEW IN CASE 17-6693, THEIR ABILITY AND EFFORTS IN OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT WOULD BE DEFEATED IF FAIR AND PROPER REVIEW WAS GIVEN BEFORE THEY OBTAINED THE TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDER. THIS WAS DUE TO THE ESTABLISHED CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH THE CONSPIRING JUDGES WITHIN BOTH COURTS WOULD NOW HAVE TO ADDRESS AND ACKNOWLEDGE WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS. THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECT WOULD ATTACH AND FULL FAITH AND CREDIT WOULD HAVE TO BE GIVEN TO THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CLAIM OF FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER WITH ALL RIGHTS, POWER AND TITLES THAT ARE ATTACHED RELATED THERETO. WE OBJECT. ANY ORDER SIGNED BY JUDGE BENJAMIN OR ANY OTHER JUDGE OF THE RICHLAND COURT IS INADMISSIBLE, TAINTED BY FRAUD WHERE THEY FILED AN APPEAL IN CASE "3808" WITHOUT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONSENT TO UNLOCK THE REMOVAL TO OBTAIN IT THEIR JURISDICTION BEING DIVESTED. SUCH AN OBTAINED ORDER CANNOT BE ~~USE~~ IN ANY RELEVANT COURT INVOLVED IN THESE CASES. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FULL FAITH BE GIVEN TO THE DEFAULT. WE WANT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED IN ALL COURT RECORDS DUE TO THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY THAT STAND IN BLATANT VIOLATION OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONST., AS WELL AS 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. SUCH ACTION VOIDS THEIR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT,

SONOCO PRODUCTS CO. v. GUVEN, 2014 WL 5474633(DSC.2014); MONSTER DADDY v. MONSTER CABLE PRODUCTS, INC., 2014 WL 1094550(DSC.2014); UNITED STATES v. STERLING, 724 F3d. 482 CA4 (Va.2013); TURNER v. UNITED STATES, 736 F3d. 274 CA4 (N.C.2013); EARL v. HOUSE OF RAEFORD FARMS INC. F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1458185(DSC.2012); LOVELESS v. JOHN'S FORD INC., 232 Fed. Appx' 229, 2007 WL 1381597 CA4 (Va.2007); EDMOND v. OZMINT, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 4832539 (DSC.2008); DEUTSCHE BANK NAT. TRUST CO. v. LOVETT, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 3135377(DSC.2012); ACKERMANN v. EXXON MOBIL CORP., 734 F3d. 237 CA4 (Md.2013); LOVETT v. DEUTSCHE BANK NAT. TRUST CO., 2013 WL 841679 (DSC.2013); U.S.C.A. § 1962(d), AMERICAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION v. CIGNA CORP., 605 F3d. 1283(11th.Cir.2010); CHARLEY ENTERPRISE, INC. v. DICKEY BARBECUE RESTAURANTS, INC., 807 F3d. 553(4th.Cir.2015); GARCIA FINANCIAL GROUP INC. v. VIRGINIA ACCELERATORS CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497 (4th.Cir.2001); BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, @)!¢ WL 1253285; WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. v. FARAQ, 2016 WL 2944561 (2016); U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); UNITED STATES v. BARKLEY, 343 Fed. Appx' 944 WL 2905991; PATRICIA RUIZ, PLAINTIFFS, 2017 WL 393596(W.Va.2017); UNITED STATES v. GARCIA, 413 Fed. Appx' 585, 2011 WL 467259(4th.Cir.2011); UNITED STATES v. BLACKMAN, 746 F3d. 137 (4th.Cir.2014).

DUE TO THESE OUTRAGEOUS CRIMINAL ACTS WHICH STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2, U.S. CONST., AS WELL AS ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONST., PURSUANT TO RULE 2 OF APPELLATE COURT RULES. ON ITS OWN OR A PARTY'S MOTION, THE COURT OF APPEALS MAY--TO EXPEDITE ITS DECISION OR "FOR GOOD CAUSE" (EMPHASIS ADDED)--SUSPEND AND PROVISION OF THESE RULES IN A PARTICULAR CASE AND ORDER PROCEEDINGS AS IT DIRECTS, EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN RULE 26(b). THE RULES ARE NOW SOUGHT SUSPENDED IN ALL CASES INVOLVED WHICH INCLUDE CASES 16-1953, 16-2141, 16-2299 AND 17-1415. ANY OF THESE CASES THAT ARE CLOSED ARE NOW REINSTATED. EN BANC REVIEW IS NOW TO BE GIVEN IN THIS CASE AND ALL RELIEF SOUGHT WITHIN ALL CASES AFOREMENTIONED ARE NOW SOUGHT WITHIN CASE 17-6693 DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE,

U.S. v. GARCIA SUPRA.; U.S. v. BARKLEY SUPRA.; UNITED STATES v. WILSON, 624 F3d. 640(4th.Cir.2010); UNITED STATES v. ASHLEY, 606 F3d. 135(4th.Cir.2010).

THERE IS NO WAY THESE CORRUPT JUDGES COULD HAVE REASONED THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH WAS APPEALING CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WHEN HE JUST RESPONDED TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE CASE AND THE APPEAL DOCUMENT FILED IN CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB CLEARLY STATES WE WERE APPEALING CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB. ATTACHED THE COURTS AND PARTIES WILL ALSO FIND:

(12) A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FILED MAY 11, 2017; RENEWING MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION TO ENTER IT AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (5) PAGES DATED MAY 17, 2017 THAT WAS SOUGHT FILED IN CASE 16-1953. RULE [40] IS OFFICIALLY SUSPENDED.

(13) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE ORDER FILED APRIL 25, 2017; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC DUE TO STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (11) PAGES DATED MAY 3, 2017 FILED IN CASE 16-2141.

(14) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO VACATE THE TEXT ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE KAYMANI WEST FILED APRIL 27, 2017 AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (8) PAGES DATED MAY 11, 2017 THAT WAS FILED IN CASE 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW.

THESE DOCUMENTS ARE ESSENTIALLY WHY THE VARIOUS CONSPIRING 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES AND THE CONSPIRING S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES MADE EFFORTS TO FRAUDULENTLY DISMISS AND OR FAILED TO REINSTATE CASES 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW; 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA AND FRAUDULENTLY APPEALED CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM TO MOVE IT OUT

OF THEIR JURISDICTION AND UNLOCK THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO OBTAIN THE TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDER TO MAKE USE OF IT IN THIS CASE. THEIR REASONING IS TWO FOLD.

(A) THEY WERE OFFENDED BY THE WAY THEY WERE TALKED TO WITHIN THE VARIOUS COURT DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THE PLEADINGS. THE KING-KHALIFAH IN ONE OF HIS PREVIOUSLY FILED DOCUMENTS ASSERTED THEIR ACTIONS, IN PART, WAS FOR RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF ADA FOR USE OF SPEECH THAT WAS PROTECTED UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT. IN THE PREVIOUS DOCUMENT THE TERM "HATE SPEECH" WAS USED. THIS IS A MISNOMER. WHAT WAS MEANT BY USE OF THE TERM. IS THAT IT IT SPEECH THAT THEY "HATED TO HEAR". SO THEY, IN PART, RETALIATED BY DISMISSING AND OR FAILING TO REINSTATE THE CASES WHEN SUCH WAS REQUIRED UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES. THE COURT MAY NOT REGULATE LANGUAGE THAT IS OFFENSIVE. THE RETALIATION BEHIND THE USE OF PROTECTED SPEECH IN THIS INSTANCE WOULD CHILL A PERSON OF ORDINARY FIRMNESS FROM CONTINUING TO ENGAGE IN THE PROTECTED SPEECH. THERE WAS NO PHYSICAL THREAT MADE TO ANY OF THE JUDGES LIVES. SINCE WE ARE SUING AND DEALING WITH THE ISSUE OF THE UNITED STATES AND ALL STATES CONTAINED THEREIN, ARRESTING AND OR ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION OF THE REESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND THE CLAIMS BROUGHT BEFORE THE COURT INVOLVE MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN, PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS, SOCIAL CLAIMS, POLITICAL AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CLAIMS OF INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE, WHICH INCLUDED LOOSE, FIGURATIVE OR HYPERBOLIC LANGUAGE SUCH AS THAT WHICH WAS USED. THE SPEECH IS PROTECTED AND THE CONSPIRING JUDGES SHOULD HAVE NEVER RETALIATED AGAINST US FOR THE USE OF IT, SABATINI v. REINSTEIN, --F.Supp.3d.,--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); LAROCHELLE v. WILMAC CORPORATION, 210 F.Supp.3d. 658(E.D.Pa.2016); HALE v. EMPORIA STATE UNIVERSITY, 2016 WL 3277264(D.Kan.2016); DOE v. RECTOR AND VISITORS OF GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D.Va.2016); SNYDER v. PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir.2009); BALTIMORE SPORTS & SOCIAL CLUB, INC. v. SPORT & SOCIAL, LLC., 2017 WL 526499, * 3+, D.Md.; SCIPIO v. BLACK CAT BAIL BONDS, 2010 WL 1463470, * 4 (DSC.2010);

MORRIS v. DORMA AUTOMATIC s INC., 537 Fed. Appx' 254, 255 (4th. Cir.[S.C.] 2013).

(B) THIS IS THE FINAL CONCERN AS TO WHY THEY WERE FORCED TO CONSPIRE WITH THE VARIOUS JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES IN THEIR EFFORTS TO DISMISS CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA AND FILE A FRAUDULENT APPEAL IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM TO UNLOCK THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO OBTAIN THE TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDER TO MAKE USE OF IT IN THIS CASE. THEIR INTENT WAS TO PREVENT THE KING-KHALIFAH FROM DOING WHAT HE IS ABOUT TO DO NOW. I, WE, GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE KING-KHALIFAH, VIA THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND THE REMAINING [192] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTY TO THAT DEFAULT, WHICH BINDS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND ALL OTHER COURTS WITHIN THIS NATION. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI FORMERLY KNOWN AS LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, THAT NOT ONLY DO I DE-NOUCE MY AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP AS WAS FORETOLD THE FEDERAL COURTS IN THESE PROCEEDINGS. I OFFICIALLY GIVE YOU AND ALL PARTIES NOTICE THAT I AM INVOKING ALL RIGHTS AND TITLES GIVEN BY THAT DEFAULT, WHICH INCLUDE ALL "SUPERSEDING"(EMPHASIS ADDED) ATTORNEY, SOVEREIGN, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS GIVEN BY THAT DEFAULT.

THIS AND ALL CASES INVOLVED ARE OFFICIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE OF THE KING-KHALIFAH AND CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT, AND FOREIGN LAW WHICH WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS, WHERE THIS SAID POWER NOW "LEGALLY" (EMPHASIS ADDED) SUPERSEDE THIS COURT. THIS LIMITS YOUR JURISDICTION TO THE TERMS STATED BY DECREE AND DEMANDS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING-KHALIFAH AND COURT. THE APPEAL IN THIS CASE IS GRANTED. YOU SHALL GRANT THE RELIEF SOUGHT WITHIN ALL DOCUMENTS ATTACHED. YOU SHALL REOPEN AND REINSTATE "EVERY" CASE THAT WAS FILED BY US AND ALL PARALLEL CASES, CONSOLIDATE THEM AND GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT. YOU SHALL GIVE DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGE,

DECLARING THE GIVING OF GOOD FAITH TO THE DEFAULT ESTABLISHING ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, AUTHORITY AND SOVEREIGN POWER OF THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE FORMERLY KNOWN AS LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, TO INCLUDE ACKNOWLEDGING THAT JURISDICTION OVER ALL MATTERS FALLS TO THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE, NAMELY JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN, WHICH INCLUDE ALL OTHER POWER AND OR AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HER BY THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREE. YOU SHALL ORDER THAT SHE ACT IN THE RESTORED MANNER OF HER CORPORATE AND VISITORIAL POWERS GIVEN TO HER THEIR ORIGINS ORIGINATING FROM A REGAL SOURCE. YOU SHALL ORDER AND RENDER TO NO EFFECT THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA OF THE CLINTON OMNIBUS CRIME BILL OF 1976. YOU SHALL GRANT CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION AND ORDER THAT ALL INMATES NATIONALLY THAT ARE SIMILARLY SITUATED ARE TO BE GIVEN NOTICE OF THE PENDING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE COURT. YOU SHALL ORDER THE APPOINTMENT OF ADDITIONAL LEGAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE CLASS MEMBERS AS WELL AS DISCOVERY AND AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO ESTABLISH THESE FACTS FURTHER ON THE COURT RECORD WITHIN ALL LOWER COURTS. YOU SHALL ORDER OUR REMOVAL AS SOUGHT, WITH ALL OF OUR PROPERTY TO A FEDERAL PRE-RELEASE CAMP WITHIN (10) DAYS OF THE FILING OF THIS DOCUMENT. YOU SHALL ANSWER THE QUESTIONS OF CONTROVERSY THAT WERE SOUGHT FILED IN CASES 16-1953; 16-2141, 16-2299 AND 17-1415 LISTED WITHIN THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS DOCUMENT. YOU SHALL RENDER VOID AND TO NO EFFECT ALL SAME SEX MARRIAGES WITHIN THE ENTIRE 4TH. CIRCUIT, AND EVEN NATIONALLY SINCE THE UNITED STATES IS A DEFENDANT IN THESE PROCEEDINGS AND ARE PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. IF THE DEFENDANTS HAVE A PROBLEM WITH ANY OF THIS. LET THEM APPEAL IT TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, TO WHICH THEY WOULD HAVE NO JURISDICTION SINCE BY THE DEFAULT THAT BINDS THE UNITED STATES AND THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT, BY THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, IS NOW "LEGALLY" (EMPHASIS ADDED) THE HIGHEST COURT IN THE LAND. BY THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY, THERE IS NO HIGHER COURT OF APPEAL. UNLESS THIS COURT OR THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD AND

MACHINATION, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S POWER AND AUTHORITY WHICH BINDS THIS COURT IS "LEGALLY" ESTABLISHED. RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. IT IS SO ORDERED.

ALL APPELLATE COURT RULES ARE SUSPENDED. I, WE, MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET FOR THE SUBMITTING OF ANY BRIEF AND THE FILING OF ANY OTHER DOCUMENT OR MATTER UNTIL THESE CONCERNS ARE RULED ON WITHIN THE COURT RECORD BEFORE THESE MATTERS PROCEED ANY FURTHER. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS SHALL IMMEDIATELY ACT IN ACCORDANCE TO THE DEMANDS AND DECREES RENDERED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH AND CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. THE COURT SHALL ORDER THAT JUDGE AUSTIN IS TO ESTABLISH THE LIEN, ORDER THE CASE BE PLACED BEFORE A JURY AND ORDER THE TRANSFER OF THE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY, ALONG WITH ALL INMATES INVOLVED, TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY TO INCLUDE ITS DISTRICT COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, WE, MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ON ALL CLAIMS, RIGHTS, DEFENSES AND TITLES ASSERTED WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS, TO INCLUDE THE DEMANDING OF SANCTIONS, BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); SPRINGOB v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); GAMBLE v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., 2014 WL 2468465(DSC.2014); MARTIN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 4876653(S.D.Va.2016); CLYBURN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 493031(DSC.2016); NORTH AMERICAN RESCUE PRODUCTS, INC. v. RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C.2015); SOUTHEASTERN SITE PREP, LLC. v. ATLANTIC COAST BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS, LLC., 394 S.C. 97, 713 S.E.2d, 650(S.C.App.2011); MORRIS v. WACHOVIA SECURITIES, INC., 448 F3d. 268, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. P. 93, 858 CA4 (Va.2006); BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC., v. AL TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW v. COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); SCOTT v. CLARKE, 61 F.Supp.3d. 569 (W.D.Va. 2014); BUMGARNER v. N.C.D.C., 276 F.R.D. 452(N.C.2011); BURNETTE v. FAHEY, 699 F3d. 804 (MEM) (4TH.Cir.2012).

THE CONSPIRING JUDGES RETALIATED AGAINST US IN VIOLATION OF ADA, AMONG OTHER THINGS, AND SINCE THE DEFAULT IS NOW CLEARLY

ESTABLISHED EVEN VIA SANCTIONS. THE KING-KHALIFAH CANNOT BE PENALIZED FOR HIS FIERY SPIRIT OR RHETORIC WHERE HIS FIERY PERSONALITY WAS WRITTEN FOR HIM BY THE HAND OF GOD THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE HE WAS BORN. THUS, WE CANNOT BE PENALIZED FOR "ACTS OF GOD". THE PETITIONERS BRING THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES ATTENTION TO THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN IN JEREMIAH 23:28-29:


" IS NOT MY WORD LIKE A FIRE!!? SAITH THE LORD; AND LIKE A HAMMER THAT BREAKETH THE ROCK IN PIECES!!!"

THE LANGUAGE INVOLVES SOCIAL, RELIGIOUS, POLITICAL INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE, PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS AND IS PROTECTED SPEECH, BALTIMORE SPORTS & SOCIAL CLUB, INC. v. SPORT & SOCIAL, LLC SUPRA.; SCIPPIO v. BLACK CAT BAIL BONDS SUPRA.; MORRIS v. DORMA AUTOMATIC S INC. SUPRA. NOT ONLY IS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO ORDER THE REOPENING AND OR REINSTATING OF CASE 8:16-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT SHALL ORDER THAT ALL PARTIES IN CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA ARE TO BE SERVED BY THE U.S. MARSHAL. IT IS SO ORDERED.

RESPECTFULLY,
david duren

David Duren

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, likely belonging to Jahjah Al Mahdi, written over a horizontal line.

JUNE 15, 2017

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2017

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE No. 2016-000640

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, JASON MORRIS GOURDINE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, RESPONDING TO THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DATED JUNE 23, 2017; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, CERTIFIED MAIL, BY DEPOSITING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JULY 3, 2017. THIS BEING AN ARTICLE III SECTION 2 CASE ARGUING PREEMPTION. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).
JULY 3, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,

JASON M. GOURDINE

Jason M. Gourdine

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE
#199055 WANDO C-255
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

IN RE: CASE 2016-000640

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE COURT ISSUED AN ORDER DATED JUNE 23, 2017 THAT STATED THAT I HAD TO SUBMIT MY ANDERS PRO SE RESPONSE WITHIN (15) DAYS OF THAT ORDER. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THAT ORDER. THE (6) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 3, 2017 IS THE LEAD DOCUMENT. ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT OF THAT (6) PAGE DOCUMENT. I WOULD LIKE A CLOCKED STAMPED COPY OF THE FIRST PAGE OF "EACH" (EMPHASIS ADDED) DOCUMENT THAT IS SUBMITTED TO ENSURE I MAINTAIN A CLEAR RECORD OF WHAT IS FILED. THIS IS APPROXIMATELY (17) INDIVIDUAL DOCUMENTS THAT SHOULD BE FILED IN THIS CASE. MY WIFE WILL BE IN CONTACT WITH THE COURT SOON TO PAY THE 50 CENT PER PAGE COST FOR THE CLOCKED STAMPED COPIES. PLEASE PREPARE FOR HER CONTACTING YOU AND HAVE A COPY OF THE FIRST PAGE OF EACH DOCUMENT, CLOCKED STAMPED, READY TO BE MAILED TO ME ONCE SHE CALLS AND PAY FOR THEM. I THANK YOU IN ADVANCE. STILL REMAIN,

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2017

SC Court of Appeals

RESPECTFULLY,

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

Jason Morris Gourdine



JULY 3, 2017

MORRIS GOURDTNA

WANDO C-255

PER CI PO BOX 205

EVILLE, S C 29472



RECEIVED

JUL 05 2017

MAIL ROOM
LIEBER C.L.

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT

OF APPEALS. JENNY ABBOTT KITCHIN

Post OFFICE BOX 11629

Columbia, S C 29211

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2017