

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JUL 19 2017

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Beaufort County
Carmen T. Mullen, Circuit Court Judge

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

TERRANCE SEABROOK,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2012-212388

PETITION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE
INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT
AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER

The undersigned counsel respectfully requests a thirty day extension in which to file the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the above referenced case. In support of this motion, counsel would respectfully show the Court the following extraordinary circumstances:

1. The initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in this case are due to be served and filed today.

2. On July 13, 2017, counsel filed the petition for rehearing in In the Matter of the Care and Treatment of Carl M. Asquith with this Court. On July 12, 2017, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Justin Antonio Butler with this Court. On July 7, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in Joseph Walker v. The State with the Supreme Court. On July 5, 2017, counsel filed the initial




brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Nelson H. Castro with this Court. On June 29, 2017, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Holly Earlene Mahon with this Court. On June 19, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in Marquis Breeland v. The State with the Supreme Court. On June 16, 2017, counsel filed the brief of petitioner in David Rocquemore v. The State with the Supreme Court. On June 12, 2017, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Shane Washington with this Court. On June 1, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in Timothy Allen Lemacks v. The State with the Supreme Court. On May 24, 2017, counsel had an oral argument in The State v. Ryan Deleston before the Supreme Court.

3. This request is made in good faith, and not for purposes of delay. Counsel intends to continue to work on the cases with more than three extensions first so that her caseload will hopefully become more manageable in the near future, and less extensions will need to be requested.


4. As indicated by the consent below, counsel for the state graciously consents to or does not oppose this request.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned counsel would respectfully request a thirty day extension. Counsel respectfully requests that the time limits for filing the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter be held in abeyance pending a ruling on this motion.

Respectfully submitted,



Lara M. Caudy
Appellate Defender



Robert M. Dudek
Chief Appellate Defender

July 19, 2017

I do not oppose:



J. Benjamin Aplin, Esquire