

5  
RECEIVED

JUL 19 2017

S.C. SUPREME COURT

In The South Carolina Supreme Court

Mamie Jackson v. South Carolina Supreme Court  
Order filed April 18 2017  
~~Order filed April 18 2017~~

In the Cases of Mamie Jackson v  
City of Cayce

Mamie Jackson v. City of Columbia

Mamie Jackson v. Haijan Lin, et al

Motion to Recall Remittur

I, Mamie Jackson do ~~ask~~ motion the Court  
to recall the remittur (no notice having  
been received that it was sent or  
mailed to me giving me notice on  
action to be taken by the Court)  
that the Motion to set aside the  
actions of the Court under Retired

RECEIVED

JUL 19 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Chief Justice Jean and the Court at that time has not been heard ~~of~~ or ruled on. The Court is in deliberate error when it seeks to interpret my express captioned case of "Appeal" and treated it as originating in Original Jurisdiction. The racism of this Court when questioning Deputy Clerk Shealey on procedure first put her hand up in the ghetto fashion of dismissal and when I asked for the file, then threw it on the table. The Court has no authority of state law to interpret a case other than what is written or else to ask the appealing party to clarify.

This Court in its attempt to limit the participation of the Appellant because she is Black, pro se, elderly and working <sup>class</sup> seeks to incorporate an 2010 order that has nothing to do with this appeal. ~~These cases have been~~ ~~These~~ These cases came up to the S.C. Court of Appeals thru normal procedure. The Motion for Appointment of Counsel has been denied. Appellate applied for Indigent Defense and meets the rule of law. No opposition to this appeal has been filed, the Court business shows

by not ruling in my favor Demand  
reconsideration  
Columbia S.C.

Mamie Jackson

Mamie Jackson

Box 1011

Cola SC 29202-1011

July 19, 2017