

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JUL 26 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Appeal from Orangeburg County

Honorable Diane Schafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

MARION KALE TILL,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-002498

RECORD ON APPEAL

LANELLE CANTEY DURANT
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent
Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589
(803) 734-1330

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

MATTHEW BUCHANAN
General Counsel
South Carolina Department of Probation,
Parole, and Pardon Services
Post Office Box 50666
Columbia, SC 29250

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX i

PROBATION REVOCATION HEARING DATED DECEMBER 7, 20161

PROBATION ARREST WARRANT DATED NOVEMBER 16, 201611

VIOLATION REPORT DATED NOVEMBER 29, 201613

ORDER REVOKING PROBATION DATED DECEMBER 7, 2016.....15

INDICTMENT.....16

SENTENCING SHEET18

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL19

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG) FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

2016-GS-38-00462

State of South Carolina,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) Transcript of Record
)
 Marion Kale Till,)
)
 Defendant.)
)
)
)
 _____)

December 7, 2016
Orangeburg, South Carolina

B E F O R E:

The Honorable Diane Schafer Goodstein, Judge

A P P E A R A N C E S:

Probation Agent Gregory
For the State

Minh Lee Wyman, Esquire
Attorney for the Defendant

Hilda M. Jordan, CVR-M
Circuit Court Reporter

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

Parole revocation

WITNESS/DESCRIPTION

PAGE NO.

EXHIBITS:

No exhibits were marked to this proceeding.

Certificate of Court Reporter 10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AGENT GREGORY: Marion Till.

THE COURT: All right. Let's see. All right. What is the position of Marion Till regarding whether or not he has willfully failed to follow the terms and conditions of his probation?

MS. WYMAN: Willful, but under mitigating circumstances.

THE COURT: Well, so fine. Then let you hear from you in mitigation.

MS. WYMAN: Yes, ma'am. A little bit about Mr. Till.

THE COURT: Yeah.

AGENT GREGORY: He was born and raised here in Orangeburg. He and his wife have been married for thirty-six years. They have two kids and to grandchildren. Your Honor, I'm not sure if you have a copy of Ms. Till's affidavit on this?

THE COURT: I don't. I do not.

MS. WYMAN: I think Mr. Clark might have an extra copy for you. But Ms. Till's affidavit indicates that he was seen at her shed, at the shed on their property and that's what this violation is about.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. WYMAN: Your Honor, he tells me that he went there to get rims for his truck in the shed and he was there

1 for a couple of seconds and he wasn't there to try to talk
2 to her or to see her. He tells me that he thought not being
3 allowed within a mile of the residence meant not being in a
4 mile of her. So that's why he thought it was okay to go to
5 the shed and get his things when she wasn't there. Your
6 Honor, he tells me that now that he knows that he could have
7 called probation to get help with getting his things, he
8 won't be making that same mistake here in the future. Your
9 Honor, he was arrested for this on November 18th. And we
10 ask that you give him another chance now that he has a full
11 understanding of the terms of his probation.

12 THE COURT: Thank you. And I see that Ms. Till
13 and if she wishes to speak, I'm certainly happy to hear from
14 her.

15 MS. TILL: Yes, ma'am, I would like to. On the
16 day that he came to my residence he was there for an
17 extended -- I'm not sure exactly how long, but he took --

18 THE COURT: It was a very long second.

19 MS. TILL: Yes, ma'am.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MS. TILL: Because he took some other items that
22 he took to the fire department, which is less than a tenth
23 of a mile from my house to sell. He did contact me in
24 October by text while he was in rehab. I do not feel that
25 he will stay away from me. I am in the process of getting a

State v. Till

12/7/16

5

1 divorce. We do have a hearing next week. I have been
2 granted temporary possession of the home and the contents.
3 And the Judge in that case also told him that whenever he
4 got out of jail, that he was to contact my lawyer to get his
5 possessions. So I just feel like him coming to the house
6 that day is just the tip of the iceberg and he will continue
7 to violate it. We have had an on-going issue. Back in
8 February he cut me and that is why he is where he is now. I
9 am afraid. I have been afraid for a very long time. And I
10 have finally been able to -- and I just don't believe from
11 past experience that he will --

12 THE COURT: I'm with you. I got it. Very well.
13 All right.

14 Okay. Anything in response?

15 MS. WYMAN: Yes, ma'am. I'm not sure how to
16 respond to the other contact she's referring to since I only
17 know of what she talked about on the November 16th
18 affidavit. But I will say for purposes of that he tells me
19 he does understand completely now the terms of his probation
20 and to give him a chance to continue on probation and prove
21 to the court that they can work out their domestic, you
22 know, their problems there within the Family Court. And
23 just for purposes of, you know, his criminal side here that
24 he won't be making contact with her in the future.

25 THE COURT: Thank you, so much.

1 AGENT BRANTLEY: Your Honor, if I may just say one
2 thing. When this incident happened there was a restraining
3 order against Mr. Till. There is another restraining order.
4 And, in fact, I do believe he was just served with a warrant
5 for violating that restraining order. He's had at least two
6 restraining orders. And obviously, the crime for which he
7 pled guilty and is on probation he'd already violated the
8 restraining order at that point, Your Honor. And that he
9 has had contact. I know that probation does have text
10 messages for at least four or five times, which the victim
11 did not respond to those. That he has contacted her clearly
12 in violation of the order, was at her home. And, also
13 showed up at their child's wedding. He was not supposed to
14 have any contact with her. Was not supposed to be there but
15 showed up anyway while he was also in rehab. So I just
16 wanted to place that on the record.

17 THE COURT: Thank you, so much.

18 MS. TILL: May I say something?

19 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

20 MS. TILL: With the wedding situation he did not
21 contact me at all at the wedding. And he stayed away. He
22 stayed in the balcony and I was able to get to a safe place
23 and there were officers there. So I could not deny him
24 coming to my son's wedding. But --

25 THE COURT: But, you know, you didn't --

State v. Till

12/7/16

7

1 MS. TILL: I didn't deny him.

2 THE COURT: The Judge did.

3 MS. TILL: I didn't. You're right. But his
4 residence that he is at now is right at one mile away from
5 my residence. And I feel that if he does get put back on
6 probation that he needs to be farther away from me.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Got it.

8 MS. TILL: Thank you.

9 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Anything further?

10 MS. WYMAN: Nothing further, Your Honor.

11 MR. TILL: You Honor, On the wedding my son
12 arranged it with -- They brought me into the back. So it's
13 whatever -- I didn't have no say-so in it. My son told me
14 to come and he arranged it with them people. I couldn't go
15 by myself. I was in custody of CRC. You got what I'm
16 saying? I couldn't leave. They had to take me.

17 THE COURT: Did you make any comment -- now that
18 you've brought it up, did you say to CRC, whoever that is --

19 MR. TILL: Ma'am?

20 THE COURT: Did you say to CRC --

21 MR. TILL: Yes, ma'am. They know.

22 THE COURT: -- did you tell them I don't want to
23 go because if I go, I'm going to be violating the Judge's
24 order?

25

1 MR. TILL: They was -- no. I was not even going
2 until the last day. He didn't call and you can ask him.
3 Because I think my son called the last day and he had made
4 arrangements and what time I needed to be there.

5 THE COURT: I understand.

6 MR. TILL: So I didn't have -- I was done planning
7 not to go because I did not want to go to jail. Believe me
8 ??? in jail. I was scared to death.

9 THE COURT: So let me ask you this question.

10 MR. TILL: Uh-huh. (Affirmative response)

11 THE COURT: So when they came to pick you up from
12 rehab, did you get in the vehicle?

13 MR. TILL: Yes, ma'am. I left there. Yes, ma'am.

14 THE COURT: You did?

15 MR. TILL: Yes, ma'am.

16 THE COURT: You didn't say ain't no way I'm going.

17 MR. TILL: Well, my son told me it was okay. He
18 had worked it out. I don't know what that meant. Okay? He
19 said we worked it out. You can come to the wedding but you
20 cannot talk to mama. You got to stay away from her in the
21 balcony. That's the only thing he told me. And I left.
22 Time it was over, I left. I mean --

23 THE COURT: I know. Okay.

24 MR. TILL: I just saw them got married and I left.

25 THE COURT: Got you. Okay.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. TILL: I didn't do nothing.

THE COURT: All right. Very well. Is there anything further from anyone? No? No. Revoke. Thank you. Credit for time served.

AGENT GREGORY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. (Affirmative response.)

(This proceeding was concluded.)

State v. Till

12/7/16


10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

I, THE UNDERSIGNED HILDA M. JORDAN, CVR-M, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER FOR THE FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING IN THE CAPTIONED CAUSE, IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS FOR ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, ON THE 7 DAY OF DECEMBER, 2016.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER OF KIN, COUNSEL, NOR INTEREST IN ANY PARTY HERETO.



Hilda M. Jordan, CVR-M

March 27, 2017

Probation

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ARREST WARRANT

COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG

Indictment Number 16-GS-38-00462

Warrant Number W-38-16-0160

State Identification No. (SID) 02200622

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR COUNTY OR OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF ORANGEBURG, AND ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT:

It appearing from the attached affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that MARION KALE TILL, did on the 16 day of November, 2016 violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina as set forth below:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE:

Marion Till violated a special condition of his probation ordered in cause number 16-GS-38-00462 by the Orangeburg County Court of General Sessions.

Now, therefore, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring MARION KALE TILL before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest Warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is practicable. Done at ORANGEBURG, S. C. this 16 day of November, 2016.



Signature of Probation and Parole Agent (L.S.)

County of **ORANGEBURG**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

AFFIDAVIT

Personally appeared before me, one Kendrick Clark, who, first being duly sworn, deposes and says that MARION KALE TILL did within this County and State on the 16 day of November, 2016, violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina in the following particulars:


DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE:

Marion Till violated a special condition of his probation ordered in cause number 16-GS-38-00462 by the Orangeburg County Court of General Sessions.


The affiant states that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime(s) set forth, and that such probable cause is based on the following facts:

Mr. Till violated his probation based on the following facts: by having contact with the victim in his case (The victim submitted a sworn affidavit to the probation office documenting this contact). Such actions constitute a violation of his probation ordered in cause number 16-GS-38-00462 by the Orangeburg County Court of General Sessions.

Sworn to and Subscribed before me
this 16 day of November, 2016.



Affiant



Signature of Notary Public (L.S.)

Address: P.O. BOX 842
ORANGEBURG, SC 29116
ORANGEBURG
USA
(803) 533-6288

6-4-2024

My Commission Expires

RETURN

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF
ORANGEBURG

THE STATE
against

MARION KALE TILL

INFORMATION ON DEFENDANT

Name MARION KALE TILL
Address _____
Phone _____
Sex Male Race White Height 510
Weight 280 Birth date _____
Social Security Number _____

Constable or Law Enforcement Officer

A copy of this Arrest Warrant was delivered by me to the following defendant:

INFORMATION ON WITNESSES

Name _____
Address _____
Phone _____
Name _____
Address _____
Phone _____
Name _____
Address _____
Phone _____
Name _____
Address _____
Phone _____

ARREST WARRANT

Offense: Violation of Conditions of Probation Supervision

Offense Section: 24-21-450

Date: 11/16/2016

Officer and Agency: SC Department of Probation,
Parole and Pardon Services

Kendrick Clark

on the 18th day of Nov, 2016

[Signature]

Constable or Law Enforcement Officer

Disposition _____

Sentence _____

Co-Defendants _____

PRELIMINARY HEARING held by

Magistrate _____
on _____
with _____
Attorney for the Defendant.

Decision _____

BAIL

Date Set 11/21/16
Magistrate *[Signature]*
Amount 75,000
Surety

NO CONTACT
ZERO TOLERANCE

This Warrant is certified for service in [County of warrant Certification] County. The accused is to be arrested and brought before me to deal with according to law.

(L.S.)

Signature of Judge

JAIL MUST CONTACT
DEBRAH TILL IF MARION
TILL

IS RELEASED FROM JAIL.

12

**South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services
Violation Report**

Offender's Name: **MARION KALE TILL**

State of South Carolina, County of: **ORANGEBURG**

SJD#: **02200622**

Warrant#: **W-38-16-0160**

Date of Birth:

SCDC#:

Indictment Numbers:

16-GS-38-00462

Offense and Offense Code:

3814 - Domestic violence of a high and aggravated nature

Supervision Program: **Probation**

Begin Date: **10/26/2016**

End Date: **10/25/2021**

Supervision Level: **Standard Supervision**

Potential End Date: **11/5/2019**

Adjusted End Date: **10/25/2021**

Sentencing Judge: **148 - Culbertson, Benjamin**

Sentencing County: **ORANGEBURG**

Sentencing Date: **7/27/2016**

Location (Bold Response): **Jail**

Sentence:

12 YEARS UPON SERVICE O 6 MONTHS AND 5 YEARS PROBATION. CTS SINCE 2/12/2016

Special Conditions:

No contact with Victim - CAN NOT BE WITHIN 1 MILE OF VICTIM'S RESIDENCE;

Fine payable as directed by the Court \$643.75;

TREATMENT OF 90 DAYS + AT CHARLESTON RECOVERY CENTER

Current Address and Summary of Residence:

Reporting:

Subject reports as advised.

Employment Records While Under Supervision:

Employer	Dates (from -to)	Reason(s) for Leaving	Earnings
TILL LAND SCAPING & CONSTRUCTION	10/30/2015 -		

Financial Conditions:

	Total Amount ordered	Pay Period	Total Paid	Date Last Paid	Arrearage	Balance Due
Fees						
Drug Test Fee	\$20.00	\$20.00/M	\$0.00		\$20.00	\$20.00
Regular Supervision	\$3,000.00	\$50.00/M	\$0.00		\$50.00	\$3,000.00
Fines						
Court Ordered Fines	\$643.75	\$20.00/M	\$0.00		\$20.00	\$643.75

Prior Violation Dates	Prior Violations	Violation Disposition

ATTEST: TRUE COPY

CLERK OF COURT

ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services
Violation Report

Offender's Name: MARION KALE TILL

Details of the Present Violation:

Marion Till violated a special condition of his probation ordered in cause number 16-GS-38-00462 by the Orangeburg County Court of General Sessions. - Mr. Till violated his probation based on the following facts: by having contact with the victim in his case (The victim submitted a sworn affidavit to the probation office documenting this contact). Such actions constitute a violation of his probation ordered in cause number 16-GS-38-00462 by the Orangeburg County Court of General Sessions.

Agent's Recommendation:

Revocation

Agent's Justification:


SUBJECT REFUSES TO ABIDE BY THE COURTS ORDER OF NO CONTACT WITH THE VICTIM. IT IS EVIDENT THAT HE HAS NOT TAKEN THE INITIAL ORDER AS SERIOUS AS HE SHOULD. AGENT BELIEVES THAT SOME FORM OF CONCEQUENCE IS JUSTIFIED AND SHOULD FOLLOW MR. TILL'S VIOLATION IN ORDER TO GIVE SUBJECT A WAKE UP CALL.

KENDRICK CLARK

KENDRICK CLARK

Date: 11/29/2016

Supervisor's Signature



Date:

11-29-16

County of Orangeburg
STATE VS.

Indictment Number:

16 - GS - 38 - 0442

Probation CW#: W-38-16-0160

Kale Till
AKA: _____
Race: W Sex: M
DOB: _____
SSN: _____
SID#: 2200622

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE HIGH & AGGRAVATED
Name of Original Offense: ~~2123~~ NATURE
Original AM#: 2016A381080007
Date of Original Offense: 2/12/16
Conviction S.C. Code §: 16-23-65
Conviction CDR Code #: 3 1 8 1 1 1 4
Original Sentence: 12 YRS SS 6 MONTHS & 5 YRS PROB

ORDER

The above named defendant has been charged with violating the conditions of probation ordered on 7/27/16 in the Court of General Sessions of Orangeburg County, and/or the additional conditions ordered by the Court in probation continuation order(s) issued on _____, as set forth in the attached warrant(s) or citation(s) dated 11/16/16. After hearing the evidence and being duly advised, in the (presence/absence) of the defendant, I find that the above named defendant has violated the following condition(s) of probation: (List by number or indicate special conditions as provided in the affidavit)
10 & Special Conditions

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that:

- the suspended sentence be revoked and the above named defendant be required to serve 11.5 months/years, the remainder of the original sentence, and/or pay \$ _____.
- the suspended sentence be revoked and the above named defendant be required to serve _____ months/years of the original sentence and/or pay \$ _____; thereupon to be reinstated on probation, subject to the conditions set forth in the attached order and not inconsistent with this order.
- the above named defendant is continued on probation as provided for in the original sentence, subject to the conditions set forth therein and not inconsistent with this order.
- probation is reduced to time served under supervision and the defendant is discharged from supervision on this date.
- the above named defendant is placed on electronic monitoring pursuant to §23-3-540 (mandatory if convicted of first or third degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor or lewd act, discretionary if convicted of any other applicable sex offense against a minor).
- Financial Obligations: Order satisfies:

<input type="checkbox"/> Department fees (arrearage)	Civil judgment:	<input type="checkbox"/> Department fees
<input type="checkbox"/> Fines and other fees (arrearage / balance)		<input type="checkbox"/> Fines and other fees
<input type="checkbox"/> Restitution (and 20%) (arrearage / balance)		<input type="checkbox"/> Restitution (and 20%)
- Additional Conditions ordered by the Court:

The defendant is given credit for pre-revocation hearing detention time on current probation violation to be calculated and applied by the SC Department of Corrections.

The defendant has previously served 6 months/years on this sentence.
(split sentence time and/or prior partial revocation time)

The defendant was previously placed on active electronic monitoring pursuant to §23-3-540.

This 7 day of December, 2016,
Orangeburg, SC

[Signature]
Presiding Judge

ATTEST: TRUE COPY

You are hereby advised that under the law the Court may at any time revoke or modify any condition of this probation; impose any lawful conditions it deems proper; or extend your period of probation not to exceed five (5) years. At any time within the period of your probation, the Court may require you to serve any part of the original sentence imposed.

This is to certify that I have read, or have had read to me, the order and the conditions set out therein. I agree to comply with such conditions and the conditions of my attached probation order during the period of my probation. I have received a copy of this Court's order and all attachments.

Offender's Signature
[Signature]
Signed this 7 day of December 2016 at Orangeburg SC

Witnessed by
[Signature]
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

WITNESSES

Larry Felder

Orangeburg County Sheriff

01-16-001110

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER
2016A3810800007

Arrested: February 13, 2016

TRUE BILL
ACTION OF GRAND JURY
<i>Edward L. Brown</i>
Date JUN 15 2016

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: June 15, 2016

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date:

DOCKET NO. 2016GS38-0462

The State of South Carolina
County of ORANGEBURG

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

June 20, 2016 TERM

THE STATE
vs.

Kale Till

Indictment for

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OF A HIGH AND
AGGRAVATED NATURE

SC Code: 16-25-65

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

Defendant

I hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

Defendant

Witness:

C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

ATTEST: TRUE COPY
Winnifred B. Clark
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

FILED FOR RECORD
WINNIFRED B. CLARK
2016 JUN 15 A 11:17

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG)


INDICTMENT
 2016GS38-0462

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on June 15, 2016 the Grand Jurors of Orangeburg County present upon their oath:

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OF A HIGH AND AGGRAVATED NATURE

That Kalc Till did in Orangeburg on or about February 12, 2016 cause physical harm or injury to Deborah Till, a household member or offer or attempt to cause physical harm or injury to Deborah Till with present ability under circumstances reasonably creating fear of imminent peril and the offense was committed under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life and great bodily injury results, or the offense was committed, with or without accompanying battery, and under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to value of human life and would reasonably cause person to fear great bodily injury or death, or the defendant committed Domestic Violence in the First Degree while violating a protection order, thereby violating Section 16-25-65, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

151


Sarah A. Ford, Solicitor

COUNTY OF Orangeburg
STATE VS. Kale Till
AKA:
Race: WHITE Sex: M Age: 53
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip:
DL#: SID#:

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2016GS38-0462
A/W#: 2016A3810800007
Date of Offense: 2/12/2016
S.C. Code § : 16-25-65
CDR Code #: 3814

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Domestic Violence of a High and Aggravated Nature

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

in violation of § 16-25-65 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3814
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS(CSC §17-25-45 w/minor 1st or Lewd Act)

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: Ford, Sarah A. SC Bar# 77021 Defendant
Attorney for Defendant SC Bar# 100381

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of 12 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years
and/or to pay a fine of \$ X; provided that upon the service of 6 days/months/years and/or payment
of \$ X; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for 5

month/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on:
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections. Since 2/12/2016
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS Winnifia B. Clark
Recipient: CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY

PTUP days/hours Public Service Employment

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 61.6 (Public Def/Probation) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$18.75.

Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ 40.00 paid to Public Defender Fund
Other: Treatment of 90+ days at Charleston Recovery Center
- No direct/indirect contact w/victim
- cannot be w/in 1 mile of victim's residence
Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso 61.6 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.

TOTAL \$ 643.75


Clerk of Court/Deputy Clerk: Sarah E. Wade
Court Reporter: Hilda Jordan
SCCA/217 (07/2016)

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code: 2148
Sentence Date: July 27, 2016

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

Respectfully Submitted,


LaNelle Cantey DuRant
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 26th day of July, 2017.