

Judge lockemy

Chief Justice of the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

AUG 11 2017

Jenny Abbott Kitchings

SC Court of Appeals

Clerk Court of appeals

This is your answer to your letter dated 7-13-17 delivered by the USPS on 8-3-17, exactly 3 weeks and it has a 15 day time period.

2nd the email to Judge Lockemy is not Ex-parte you need to read that definition, it was a complaint about you the appeals court clerks and your harassment of me. So it is inappropriate for you to answer. He is in charge of the Court of appeals and the clerks, as the Court administrator pointed out. This is a clerk harassment matter and fraud on the court by my paid attorney. It is not concerning the appeal or the pleadings to constitute ex-parte.

I have 3 times, FORMALLY REQUESTED THE CLERKS perform their duties and do their job and investigate my factual statements that the motion to withdraw was not served on me. The motion itself the actual sheets of paper would have to have been mailed, it is too large for a .49cent envelope, so MR. Greenberg would have to have a USPS receipt showing he mailed it to Texas.

Every damn post office in the country Has too weigh it, an postage would be calculated by destination zip code. AND THE ZIP CODE SHOWS ON THE RECIPET!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! That is the same for every mailing regardless of class that does not use a .49 cent stamp. So Mr. Greenberg would be able to produce a USPS receipt showing that zip code 75150 in Texas on that date listed as service or the next day. If service had actually been done!!!!!!

IT IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY that he had numerous letters to that exact zip in TEXAS on those 2 days that the zip code could not be determined. It is the Court of Appeals and Clerks office to verify that certificate of service when it is disputed. IT IS DISPUTED!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Any motion heard that was not properly served on the parties is moot and void under the law.

AND AGAIN YOU PLAY WORD GAMES WITH THE REQUEST REFERENCEING THE RULINGS ON THE MOTION .Again!!!!!!!!!! **The rulings on the illegally heard motion have no bearing on the service.** The certificate of service is false, a lie, and fraud upon the Court. It was done intentionally by Greenberg to avoid having to return the money paid for the appeal.

I want the service investigated and a copy of the records he pretends to present as evidence of service.

Too you're other issues.

This is getting to the point of ridiculousness; I have ordered the transcripts and the record several times and SENT YOU DAMN COPIES OF IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It is not my fault you ignored them, half of what I file is ignored or returned as too soon,

I have received the transcripts and sent you all that paperwork twice before. Each time you asked, EVERYTHING IS MAILED AND C.C. E-MAILED TO YOU, your office claims the email is not filing a document, *which I am well aware of*, I have been cc coping them by email because of the delay in receiving ,mail from you they are always late. So I cc copy you the document so you know it is on the way, and explained that each time in the e-mail which it is sent.

2nd I still have not received the record I have requested it 3 times as well, and copied it to the court administrator as well. IF it wasn't for the court administrator stepping in and doing your job, and informing me whom the court reporters were I couldn't have done that, because YOU THE CLERKS REFUSED.

You reference my attorney in Texas, **he is filing the Civil Rights case against South Carolina Court of Appeals , Clerk of the Court of appeals, and the State of South Carolina, all here in Texas, for due process and equal protection of my rights.** He is not handling the appeal, as he stated from the documents it is clear you all intend to deny it to protect the city of IOP and the State. Even he can see that clear as a bell in your letters. The failure to investigate the service is a prime example of my due process protections. And that Federal Judge is or will be c.c. copied all of these conflicting letters from you and court administration.


ODD!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Court administration has copies of all the request for transcripts and records I made and replied to each, but for some strange reason you the COA clerks cant seem to find anything.

You reference the Mar 24 letter, but fail to acknowledge the other letter on Mar 24, where you claim the filings and designation of matter to be in the record was **premature and returned**, ODD when the record request was filed before the transcript , another of your little play games in an attempt to get something incorrect to dismiss the appeal.



SO PURSUANT TO YOUR LETTER CLAIMING DOCUMENTS FILED ACCORDING TO THE RULES ARE UNTIMLEY ,THE CLERK OF THE COURT WILL PROVIDE AN EXACT TIMELINE THAT ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS NOT YET RECEIVED ARE DUE.

The clerk of the court of Appeals will produce and send both by USPS mail, and by e-mail a document clearly outlining what documents are

due and the exact timeline of the remainder of all documents in the appeal. Too include briefs, reply briefs, etc. It is so ordered!

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'D. Lynd', written over a horizontal line.

The clerk of the court of Appeals WILL investigate the service of the motion to withdraw filed by Mr. Greenberg and produce a copy of the receipt of postage paid to Texas zip Code 75150. Failure to do so will be considered an admission by the court of appeals and its clerks that service was not complied with, and that knowledge of that fraud is known but unacted on.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'D. Lynd', written over a horizontal line.A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'David Lynd', written over a horizontal line.

David Lynd

Timothy Domin
126 Seven Farms Dr.,
Ste. 200
Charleston SC 29492


Dorsel, Christopher Thomas
3 Wesley Drive
Charleston SC 29407

Morrison, David Leon
7453 Irmo Dr.,
Ste. B
Columbia SC 29212

NORTH TEXAS TX PRDC

DALLAS TX 750

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE



Clerk Terry Kitching
Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

AUG 11 2017

P.O. Box 11629 SC Court of Appeals

Columbia South Carolina 29211

29211-162929

