

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

[In The Supreme Court]

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY

Court of Common Pleas

Thomas Cooper Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2016-001627

Jamaal Gittens

Initial Brief Reply

VS

John Rakowsky

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Statements on issues on appeal

Abandoned Circuit courts issue of lack of proper service of summons

Attorney Cox says I failed to appeal Circuit court's dismissal for improper service of summons. In my initial briefing, I already addressed that Attorney Alfred Cox is not a competent fact witness with firsthand knowledge to testify pertaining to whether or not defendant received the service of summons; there's never been a precedent, where a claim was dismissed for not adding restriction to certified mail, if the defendant would have appeared in court, will have clarification on his whereabouts, and his connection with J Graham, the recipient who signed for the service of summons, the defendant John Rakowsky couldn't be cross-examined, impeached; him not receiving service of summons is hearsay see SC civil procedures rule 801(c)

Abandoned the issue of judicial immunity

When I initiated my appeal I referenced precedents where judicial immunity is not absolute “where judge presumes to exercise jurisdiction beyond understood boundaries, judge is not entitled to immunity”. *Dykes v Housemann*, 743 F.2d 1488 (11th Cir. 1980)

“When a judge knows that he lacks jurisdiction, or acts in the face of clearly valid statutes expressly depriving him of jurisdiction, judicial immunity is lost”. *Zeller v. Rankin*, 633 F 2d 844(9th Cir 1980)

Defendant had power to hear determine the case

The defendant John Rakowsky only obtains jurisdiction through sufficient pleadings *State v. Vazquez*, 450 So.2d 203 (Fla. 1984), 1984 Fla.S.Ct all the court cases the defense referenced had pleadings, for the court to determine the case. *Stump v Sparkman* 435 U.S. 349, 98 S CT. 1099 (1978) *McEachern v Black*, 329 S, C 642,648,496 S.E 2d 659(1998) *Dove v Gold Kist, Inc* 314 S.C 235, 237, 442, S.E, 2d 598,600(1994) *Brown v Evatt*, 322 S.C 189,193,470 S.E 2d 848,850(1996)

Conclusion

Attorney Cox does not have a legal argument to debate whether my non-restricted certified mail, signed by J Graham, reached the defendant John Rakowsky; he’s not a competent fact witness with firsthand knowledge, the defense is trying to apply color of law to common law principals, and it’s clashing, Defendant Rakowsky only obtains jurisdiction through sufficient pleadings, opposing parties with a controversy, one that has suffered injury, *Dank v Benson*, 2000 OK 40,5 P,3d 1088,1091 The United States Supreme Court said “only individual complaints maybe maintained”, *Hague V Committee for industrial Organization* 307 U.S. 496 (1939)

CASES

Dank v Benson, 2000 OK 40,5 P,3d 1088,1091

Zeller v. Rankin, 633 F 2d 844(9th Cir 1980)

Hague V Committee for industrial Organization 307 U.S. 496 (1939)

Dykes v Housemann, 743 F.2d 1488 (11th Cir. 1980)

Stump v Sparkman 435 U.S. 349, 98 S CT. 1099 (1978)

McEachern v Black, 329 S, C 642,648,496 S.E 2d 659(1998)

Dove v Gold Kist, Inc 314 S.C 235, 237, 442, S.E, 2d 598,600(1994)

Brown v Evatt, 322 S.C 189,193,470 S.E 2d 848,850(1996)

Table of Authorities ii
Statement of Issues on Appeal 1

Conclusion4

Type or printed notary name

_____ Seal
- Place Notary Signature Above -

My commission expires

_____ Date

Respectfully submitted

Jamaal A Gittens
1206 Marlene Street
Charlotte NC 28208

(704) 975-8173



THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

[In The Supreme Court]

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY

Court of Common Pleas

Thomas Cooper Circuit Court Judge

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case No. 2016-001627

RECEIVED
AUG 03 2017
SC Court of Appeals

Attn clerk of court:

I'm sending a response to defenses motion to dismiss for failure to comply with appellant rules, the reply brief. this has been forwarded to Attorney Cox at Gallivan & White Boyd PA 1201 main street suite 1200 Columbia SC 29202

July 28, 2017

Jamaal A Gittens
1206 Marlene Street
Charlotte NC 28208
(704) 975-8173

I object to Attorney Cox motion to dismiss, my initial brief, designation of matter is complete; the reply brief I submitted isn't an necessity for a dismissal, it was optional I was on vacation, didn't have the resources to correct errors; the court informed me what was wrong with my reply brief.

Type or printed notary name

_____ Seal

- Place Notary Signature Above -

My commission expires

_____ Date


Sincerely

Jamaal A Gittens

1206 Marlene Street

Charlotte NC 28208

(704) 975-8173



Jamaal Gilson
1206 Marlene Street
Charlotte NC 28208




CHARLOTTE
NC 282
31 JUL '17
PM 3 L

7010 0290 0003 4918 9272

Office of Clerk of Court
SC Court of Appeals
PO Box 11629
Columbia SC 29211



1000



29211

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
CHARLOTTE, NC
28208
JUL 31, 17
AMOUNT

\$6.80

R2305K141948-34

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2017

SC Court of Appeals

8/2

29211-162929

