

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

Appeal from Pickens County

AUG 10 2017

Honorable Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

STACY CAROL RIDEN,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO 2016-000234

RECORD ON APPEAL

LAURA R. BAER
Appellate Defender

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

South Carolina Commission on Indigent
Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589
(803) 734-1330

MARK FARTHING
Assistant Attorney General
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211
(803) 734-3727

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

WILLIAM W. WILKINS, III
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
305 East North Street, Suite 325
Greenville County Courthouse
Greenville, SC 29601
(864) 467-8282

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX	i
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL (January 25-28, 2016).....	1
DAY ONE - January 25, 2016	
<i>DEFENDANT RIDEN ABSENT (10)</i>	
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE TO OBTAIN COMPETENCY EVALUATION	11
COURT'S RULING	19
MOTION RE: STATE'S DISCOVERY VIOLATION	19
COURT'S RULING	23
MOTION TO EXCLUDE VIDEOS OF CHILD INTERVIEWS	25
PROFFER TESTIMONY	
SHAWNA WILLIAMS-GALLOWAY	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	31
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	31
MOTION TO REDACT VIDEOS OF CHILD INTERVIEWS	41
ADDITIONAL OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION OF CHILD INTERVIEWS	45
VIDEO-RELATED MOTIONS/OBJECTIONS TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	52
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEVER CHARGES	52
COURT'S RULING (preliminary denial)	55
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEVER CASE FROM CO-DEFENDANT.....	57
COURT'S RULING (preliminary denial)	58
MOTION TO SPECIFY MORE DEFINITE TIME PERIOD	58
COURT'S RULING	61

MOTION TO RESERVE CROSS-EXAMINATION61

 COURT RESERVES RULING.....62

MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING DISCLOSURE OF COUNSELING
RECORDS63

 COURT’S RULING64

DAY TWO - January 26, 2016

DEFENDANT RIDEN ABSENT (67)

MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE.....68

 COURT’S RULING69

RENEWAL OF MOTION FOR COMPETENCY EVALUATION70

 BOND REVOKED AND BENCH WARRANT ISSUED FOR DEFENDANT71

CO-DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE71

 COURT’S RULING72

PROPOSED JURY *VOIR DIRE* AND COURT’S RULINGS.....73

READING OF INDICTMENTS84

MOTIONS RE: FAILURE TO INCLUDE NEGLECT CASE ON ROSTER.....91

 COURT’S RULING92

COURT’S FINAL RULING ON MOTIONS TO SEVER93

RENEWAL OF CO-DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE.....93

 COURT’S RULING95

DISCUSSION AND RULING ON ADMISSIBILITY OF VIDEOS OF CHILD
INTERVIEWS96

DISCUSSION AND RULING ON REDACTIONS OF VIDEOS OF CHILD
INTERVIEWS100

COURT'S PRELIMINARY REMARKS TO JURY	128
OPENING STATEMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES	135
OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. HORLBECK	141
OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. WARDER	145
TESTIMONY	
MINOR 1	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	149
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	158
Cross Examination by Mr. Warder	169
MINOR 2	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	176
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	182
Cross Examination by Mr. Warder	193
Redirect Examination by Solicitor Jones	194
MINOR 3 (<i>in camera</i>)	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	196
RULING ON MINOR'S 3 COMPETENCY TO TESTIFY	202
TESTIMONY	
MINOR 3	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	203
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	207
Cross Examination by Mr. Warder	212
ADAM MCJUNKIN	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	215
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	218
DAY THREE - January 27, 2016	
<i>DEFENDANT RIDEN ABSENT INITIALLY (223)</i>	
RULING RE: PARTIAL TRIAL <i>IN ABSENTIA</i> PLACED ON RECORD	226
MOTION TO EXCLUDE/REDACT VIDEO OF STATEMENTS BY DEFENDANT AND CO-DEFENDANT	226

BOTH DEFENDANTS PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM (244)

TESTIMONY

MARVIN NIX

Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones245

MOTION FOR MISTRIAL.....260

COURT'S RULING262

TESTIMONY

MARVIN NIX (continued)

Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones263

Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck263

Cross Examination by Mr. Warder269

Redirect Examination by Solicitor Jones.....270

ART TAYLOR

Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones272

MARY CROSWELL

Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones277

Cross Examination by Mr. Horlbeck294

Redirect Examination by Solicitor Jones.....302

SHAUNA –GALLOWAY WILLIAMS

Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones305

REDACTED VIDEOS OF CHILD INTERVIEWS PUBLISHED.....314

DAY FOUR – January 28, 2016*BOTH DEFENDANTS PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM (322)*

INSTRUCTION TO JURY AND ADDITIONAL PORTION OF VIDEO OF CHILD

INTERVIEW PUBLISHED323

TESTIMONY

CHRISTINE CARLBERG

Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones326

Voir Dire Cross Examination by Mr. Warder.....333

OBJECTION TO EXPERT QUALIFICATION OF CARLBERG	336
COURT'S RULING	337
TESTIMONY	
CHRISTINE CARLBERG (continued)	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	338
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	360
Cross Examination by Mr. Warder	370
STATE RESTS	374
MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT AND RENEWAL OF PRIOR MOTIONS	374
COURT'S RULING	375
COLLOQUY REGARDING DEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO TESTIFY	379
TESTIMONY	
STACY RIDEN	
Direct Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	387
Cross Examination by Solicitor Jones	410
Cross Examination by Mr. Warder	423
DEFENDANT RESTS	429
TESTIMONY	
MARK LUSK	
Direct Examination by Mr. Warder	432
Cross Examination by Solicitor Jones	437
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	443
Redirect Examination by Mr. Warder	448
AARON HENDRIX	
Direct Examination by Mr. Warder	450
Cross Examination by Solicitor Jones	456
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	459
LUANNE QUEEN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Warder	460
Cross Examination by Solicitor Jones	463
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	464

CO-DEFENDANT RESTS.....	465
TESTIMONY (STATE’S REPLY)	
MARK RIDEN	
Direct Examination by Solicitor Jones	467
Cross Examination by Ms. Horlbeck	470
Redirect Examination by Solicitor Jones.....	472
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. HORLBECK.....	480
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WARDER	496
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES	505
CHARGE ON THE LAW	528
JURY QUESTIONS	554
PARTIAL RE-CHARGE ON THE LAW	556
JURY QUESTIONS	559
VERDICTS	562
SENTENCING HEARING	567
IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE.....	570
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 1 (Defendant Riden’s Redactions Request)	573
INDICTMENTS	575
SENTENCING SHEETS.....	583
SOLICITOR’S REDACTIONS AFFIDAVIT, ACCOMPANYING ATTACHMENTS, AND TYPED REDACTIONS LIST	587
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL	593

**THE FOLLOWING EXHIBITS ARE ON FILE WITH THIS COURT:
STATE’S EX. 1 (2/19/14 Interview of Minor 2); STATE’S EX. 2 (4/11/14 Interview of
Minor 2); STATE’S EX. 3 (3/11/14 Interview of Minor 1); STATE’S EX. 4 (4/7/14
Interview of Minor 1); STATE’S EX. 5 (Minor 3’s Interview – not admitted)**

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WARDER

544

1 the period of time we were there. My client
2 moved out in December, I think it was March
3 that the father found the needle and the
4 electricity was off.

5 Everybody says it went down, and I
6 think Stacy said that she felt alone. People
7 who feel alone end up falling into drugs.

8 My client can't tell you what
9 happened. Because my client can only account
10 for the year that he was there, not the next
11 year. That was after the period of time that
12 they say it happened.

13 My client was arrested in 2014. He
14 was first reported in I think December. He
15 had been gone since December of '12, 2012.

16 Now, this is a case that you can't
17 decide it just on inference. This is a case
18 that His Honor will tell you will have to be
19 proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

20 His Honor will define reasonable
21 doubt. It's the kind of a doubt that would
22 make you hesitate to act. Make you
23 uncertain. Make you unsettled. Reasonable
24 doubt is the evidence doesn't add up.
25 Reasonable doubt might be that this is not

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WARDER

545

1 logical. In other words, a reasonable doubt
2 is how you view the evidence and whether it
3 would make you hesitate to act on what you --
4 on your view of the evidence on the way you
5 see it.

6 Now, if you imagine that in any
7 important decision in your life somebody was
8 trying to convince you to take action that
9 had real consequences -- if a stockbroker was
10 telling you where to invest your retirement
11 portfolio and he was going to prove that
12 beyond a reasonable doubt, you may no
13 question. But if you had a feeling inside
14 that maybe that wasn't where it ought to be
15 and you didn't have a real reason outside of
16 a general unsettled, hesitant feeling, that
17 would be unreasonable doubt right there.

18 Now, when you prove some things
19 there's many levels of proof that you can go
20 to. In finding the reasonable doubt I have a
21 chart that I've kind of set forth possible
22 persuasive levels and in a criminal case a
23 possible persuasive level would be the proof
24 showed conclusively the defendant was not
25 guilty. And if it was more evidence, a

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WARDER

546

1 little more than that, it could be highly
2 unlikely, there could be some evidence. And
3 if there was some more evidence that was in
4 here, that could be less than likely, more
5 evidence could come in. And you could say
6 well, 'he's probably not guilty.' And then
7 there would be more evidence that would come
8 in and you could say 'oh, this exists but
9 it's still unlikely.' A level could be a
10 possibility that he's not guilty. A fair bit
11 of evidence in there and you can come up with
12 'sure' or even 'perhaps.' Or, 'we suspect
13 it.' So much evidence come in you could say
14 'he's probably guilty' or that his guilt is
15 likely. And more could come in and you could
16 say that guilt is highly likely. But all of
17 them are not proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
18 That is the highest level of proof we have.

19 For a policeman to stop an
20 individual and question him, he needs an
21 articulable suspicion. For a magistrate to
22 issue a warrant, he's needs probable cause.
23 For a jury to decide a civil case, render a
24 judgement against you, they need the
25 preponderance of the evidence. For somebody

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WARDER

547

1 to prove fraud and something like that and
2 get punitive damages, they have to have a
3 higher level of proof than that.

4 But none of them had to have guilt
5 beyond a reasonable doubt. That is the
6 highest level of proof the law requires.
7 You know, in many case prosecutors try and
8 say 'well, we don't have to prove it beyond
9 all doubt.' No, that's true. You only have
10 to prove it beyond reasonable doubt, only.
11 Evidence so powerful that it would leave in
12 your minds no reasonable doubt is evidence
13 that you can convict on.

14 What juries do and what your job is
15 to weigh the evidence. In other words you
16 decide what evidence it is and what weight to
17 give it. Now, that's a mental process. And
18 only when it reaches the highest level is it
19 proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

20 The English system where the common
21 law came from, they don't use the words
22 guilty and not guilty in reaching their
23 verdicts. In England the case is still is
24 decided whether or not it's a proven case or
25 an unproven case. And I think those words,

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WARDER

548

1 that definition more tells the jury what
2 they're doing. In other words, you all go
3 back there and decide whether this is either
4 a proven or an unproven case. And if you
5 decide it's a proven case, then you'll decide
6 whether it's proven beyond a reasonable
7 doubt. Because a case that is proven must be
8 proven so convincingly that it can leave you
9 no reasonable doubt. His Honor will define
10 those terms for you.

11 I ask you in this case to do the
12 fair, the right, the just thing. Not return
13 a verdict out of sympathy or emotion but
14 return a verdict that speaks the truth,
15 that's right, one that is fair to everybody.
16 In this case, in the case of my client, the
17 correct, the right and the just verdict would
18 be a verdict of Not Guilty. Not guilty
19 because it hasn't been proven beyond a
20 reasonable doubt that it even happened,
21 particularly not that it happened anytime my
22 client was there. Thank you very much.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Jones.

24 SOLICITOR JONES: Thank you, Your
25 Honor. Mr. Warder, Ms. Horlbeck.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

549

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CLOSING STATEMENT

BY SOLICITOR JONES:

Y'all may not have seen this but on Wednesday when y'all -- got here at 9:00 and we had to start back at 12:30, I got to work and realized, because I'd gotten dressed without my wife's input, that I had on bright navy blue pants and a black jacket. I looked like an idiot. So I texted my wife and I said, 'Can you bring my jacket? I need your help.' She was at work. Didn't have time to go back home.

So I texted my mother who luckily lives around me and she's a little bit older and she's retired. I said, 'Hey can you help me out? Go to my house and get my navy jacket?' She said 'sure.' She got the jacket. About an hour later she dropped by the courthouse and gave me my jacket. And that's not the biggest deal in the world is it? It's not some huge favor or anything. I said 'thank you so much.' She said, 'Oh, don't worry about it.'

That's what mother's do; isn't it?
They help their children. They take care of

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

550

1 their children. They look after their
2 children. There's something about the bond
3 between a mother and a child that honestly, I
4 don't understand. I'll never be a mother.
5 When that bond is broken, it's tragic.

6 And that's what this case is. It's
7 tragic. The allegations in this case are
8 horrific, aren't they? It's disgusting.
9 It's almost the worst thing you can think of.
10 Forced to perform sexual acts on your own
11 mother as a child?

12 I haven't hit Aaron Hendrix yet.
13 But he's just as guilty as Stacy Riden.

14 And these acts are -- this is one of
15 the worst things that someone could have
16 happen to them, forced to perform oral sex on
17 your own mother. You may not want to believe
18 that it's true. How could someone do this?

19 But there's one key in this case
20 that makes you think, 'Oh, now I understand.
21 Now, I understand why.' "If I did it, it was
22 because of the drugs."

23 It just so happens that these
24 children also found a needle in their house?
25 They also said they saw their mother and

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

551

1 Aaron Hendrix doing drugs, taking drugs.
2 Now, what is the children's knowledge of
3 drugs and how they're used or how they say
4 this was this particular type of drug -- 'I
5 saw them using it.' They know they saw a
6 needle. And they know that needle had blood.

7 I'm going to briefly go over these
8 elements of the offenses again. I went over
9 them in my opening and the Judge is going to
10 talk about it when he gives you the
11 instructions.

12 Now, both Aaron and Stacy are
13 charged with criminal sexual conduct with a
14 minor, first degree. Two of those charges.
15 One is for Minor 1 ; one is for Minor 2

16 A defendant is guilty of criminal
17 sexual conduct with a minor in the first
18 degree if the defendant engages in sexual
19 battery with the victim and that victim is
20 under the age of eleven. There's no dispute
21 these children were under the age of eleven.

22 So what is sexual battery? Sexual
23 intercourse, oral sex, anal sex or any
24 intrusion however slight into the genital or
25 anal openings of the other person. Forcing

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

552

1 children to perform oral sex on her. Aaron
2 Hendrix forcing the children perform oral sex
3 on him, and then performing those acts on the
4 children. Minor 2 also testified in her
5 video statement that both Aaron and Stacy put
6 their finger inside her. I'm going to go
7 over their testimony, their statements in a
8 minute.

9 A few things should stand out as to
10 the oral sex. When Ms. Galloway-Williams
11 asked those children what did you see, what
12 did they say? They said it was furry. They
13 saw hair. Does that sound like they're
14 making it up to you? If someone were
15 coaching those children is that the only
16 detail they would give them? 'Make sure to
17 say you saw it was furry on top. Make sure
18 to say that you saw hair.'

19 Is that the only detail that some-
20 body's going to give if they're coaching a
21 child?

22 As to the elements of criminal
23 sexual conduct with a minor in the third
24 degree, "the actor is over fourteen years of
25 age and..." -- these two people were over

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

553

1 fourteen years of age at the time "the victim
2 was under sixteen years of age." We have no
3 doubt as to the age of the child. And you
4 have to "willfully and lewdly committed a
5 lewd or lascivious act with the intent of
6 arousing or gratifying the lust, passions or
7 sexual desires of the actor or the child."

8 And this particular charge is for
9 Minor 3 , the five-year-old. And, again,
10 that statute uses a lot of language that the
11 Judge is going to go over with you. But it
12 uses a lot of language to say if you touch
13 someone under the age of sixteen with the
14 intent of arousing your sexual desires or
15 sexual pleasures.

16 Minor 3 was five years old. It was
17 obvious she was not articulating herself very
18 well. In the interview you could see that as
19 well. From everybody's personal experience,
20 you know the difference between a five-year-
21 old and a nine-year-old. All Minor 3 was able
22 to say was they touch her on a bad spot.

23 And as to the unlawful neglect just
24 to Stacy Riden the charge of unlawful
25 neglect. A defendant is guilty of unlawful

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

554

1 neglect if they're the parent or guardian of
2 a child, which is not in dispute in this
3 case, and they place the child at
4 unreasonable risk of harm affecting the
5 child's life or physical or mental health or
6 safety. Or caused any bodily harm to the
7 child so that the life or health of the child
8 is in danger.

9 Willfully. This is for Stacy Riden
10 as to all her children. Sex in front of the
11 children. Sexually abusing the children.
12 Doing drugs in front the children. Possibly
13 exposing the children to sexually transmitted
14 diseases. Think of the mental health of
15 those children. For the rest of their lives,
16 this isn't over. Think about how this
17 affected the mental health of those children.

18 I also want to go over some
19 testimony of the people that got up on the
20 stand and testified. You remember in my
21 opening I mentioned the word credibility.
22 And that was an important aspect of this
23 case. If somebody testified and in the back
24 of your mind you're wondering, I want you to
25 pay attention to what they were saying. Ask

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

555

1 yourselves why would this person lie? What
2 do they have to lose?

3 Aaron Hendrix testified. It's
4 really obvious. You ask yourself the
5 question, 'Well, why would this person lie?'
6 What does he have to lose? You expect him to
7 get on the stand and all of a sudden say,
8 'you know what, I did it, it happened.' He
9 had way too much to lose to do that.

10 He did say that he lived there. He
11 did say that he dated Stacy and he knew the
12 kids. And through cross-examination, he told
13 about the statement to Marvin Nix the
14 investigator. That the sisters, Jennifer and
15 Jessie, would come over there and one of them
16 was kind of crazy. It wasn't unusual for her
17 to have her shirt off. He also testified
18 that he dressed up in women's clothes.

19 Stacy Riden also testified. And,
20 again, what does she have to lose? Why would
21 she lie? And what did Stacy tell us? The
22 children are hers. She lived at that
23 address. Aaron Hendrix lived with her for
24 about a year.

25 I don't know if you remember but my

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

556

1 first question to her was what kind of drugs
2 can you use a needle with? 'I don't know
3 what you're talking about.' Remember that?
4 First question. 'I don't what you'd use a
5 needle with.' And then fifteen minutes later
6 as I'm asking her questions, now all of a
7 sudden she's hanging out with the wrong
8 people to do drugs. And she gives me an
9 answer what kind of drugs are used with
10 needles.

11 She also testified that she knew her
12 father and her stepmother came to get the
13 children. What happened at that particular
14 time to make them come get the children?
15 And she didn't ask them to come get the
16 children. Who asked? The biological father,
17 Mark Lusk. We'll get to his testimony in a
18 minute.

19 He testified that this was supposed
20 to be temporary, the custody of the children
21 with her father and stepmother.

22 Remember earlier in her testimony it
23 was difficult to get somebody to watch the
24 children. And then all of a sudden the
25 biological father calls the parents -- excuse

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

557

1 me -- calls the grandparents and they can
2 just take the children for good?

3 And we heard from Mark Lusk, the
4 biological father. An interesting guy. I
5 know a lot of people have drinking problems.
6 I understand that. He also testified that he
7 had seizures on occasions. He drinks until
8 he passes out. He didn't go so far as to
9 admit that he had blacked-out before, but I
10 would argue that if you admit you have a
11 drinking problem, that you have seizures,
12 that you drink until you pass out, that there
13 have also been times you might drink until
14 you black out.

15 And what else happens when you
16 drink too much? Your inhibitions go away.
17 You might do things you wouldn't normally do.
18 You might experiment with some drugs.

19 And what do we expect Mark Lusk to
20 come in here and say? 'Yeah, I saw all of
21 this happening and didn't do anything? Yeah,
22 I knew these people were touching my
23 children?' I mean, come on. What does he
24 have to lose? A lot if he came in here and
25 testified to that.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

558

1 But interestingly he did testify
2 that he also found a needle at that
3 residence; didn't he? I asked him to
4 describe it. He calls it a syringe needle.
5 What was a syringe needle doing over there?

6 Dr. Crowell testified. She was the
7 physician who examined the children, did the
8 physical examination, looking for signs of
9 abuse. And she mentioned a study called
10 Normal is Normal with regard to sexual abuse.
11 Meaning that in only -- I believe it was
12 three percent or less than three percent of
13 cases of sexual abuse do doctors find signs
14 of sexual abuse.

15 And why is that? She went into
16 detail about the tissues in the genital area,
17 specifically vaginal tissues heals very
18 quickly. But also if it was just digital
19 penetration and just oral sex, what type of
20 signs of abuse do we expect to find?

21 However, Dr. Crowell also testified
22 that in *Minor 3* she found labial adhesions.
23 She described labial adhesions are the result
24 of, one, that age not having a lot of
25 estrogen plus some other event, some sort of

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

559

1 inflammatory event. It could have been poor
2 hygiene, not wiping herself correctly or
3 irritated or not wiping after using the
4 restroom. It could have been that. An
5 accident, falling on something or it could
6 have been from trauma. It was not conclusive
7 but it was one of those things.

8 Now let's look at the testimony of
9 Minor 2 and Minor 1. Now, if you
10 watched the children testify -- and I hope
11 you remember what I mentioned in my opening
12 about several core claims from the children:
13 Their mother pulling Minor 2 hair.
14 The children saw blood.
15 They were touched and they found a needle.
16 He would wear mama's shirt and he used to do
17 his nails all the time with mama's nail
18 polish.
19 He put a bra on his head and one where mama
20 wore hers.

21 And the reason I bring that up is
22 because if it was just from the child, it
23 might sound outlandish, like some of the
24 other things they're saying. But that was
25 corroborated by what Mr. Hendrix said and

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

560

1 then by what Stacy Riden said.

2 What else about Aaron, Shauna
3 asked? 'He would play with us down here. He
4 would rub a long time and stick a finger in
5 the private area. One time mom was standing
6 there laughing.' About ten minutes and fifty
7 six seconds into that first video Minor 2
8 says 'mama used to pull my hair when I tried
9 to get up.' "Mama used to pull my hair when
10 I tried to get up."

11 Minor 2 also said she was scared to
12 tell. And I'll go over that in a minute when
13 I talk about our expert's testimony, about
14 delayed disclosures and why children don't
15 tell people initially.

16 "Mama had to pull my hair down and
17 he started shaking because I was trying to
18 get up." Does that sound like something
19 somebody coached this child to say? 'Make
20 sure you say your mother pulled your hair and
21 as he was touching your privates, he was
22 shaking.' Are you serious? "Mama pulled my
23 hair and she was laughing." This isn't
24 something that I'm just saying over and over
25 again. These are the multiple times that

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

561

1 this child has said that in those interviews.

2 "Why did you not tell anyone?"

3 'Mama and Aaron said don't tell anyone. They
4 took their medicine a lot. Minor 3 almost ate
5 it one time, I stopped it.'

6 'What did he touch you there with?'

7 "His hands."

8 'Where did his finger go?' "Right
9 here," and pointed to her privates.

10 "He was shaking. I was laying down
11 and Aaron was on his knees." Is this another
12 detail that she was told to say?

13 More testimony from Minor 2

14 "Mama and Aaron made us put our mouths on
15 their privates and she grabbed the back of my
16 head like that." If you remember watching
17 the video, Minor 2 actually puts her hands on
18 the back of her head.

19 And "we found needles in her car that had red
20 stuff on it."

21 "We had to touch Aaron's privates and put our
22 mouth on Aaron and mama's privates. We had
23 to. They forced us. They grabbed our head."

24 *How did you know to put your mouth down*
25 *there?*

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

562

1 "They forced our head down there."

2 *When you put your head down there, what did*
3 *he do next?*

4 "He opened my mouth and put it on
5 him."

6 *What did it feel like?*

7 "It was furry."

8 *What part?*

9 "On top of it."

10 Just another detail that somebody told her to
11 say?

12 *What did it feel like in your mouth?*

13 "Weird."

14 What other experience has that child had to
15 compare this to?

16 *What did he say?*

17 "Do it. Do it. Do it. He opened my
18 mouth."

19 *Who else had to do it?*

20 "Minor 3 and Minor 1. We had to do it
21 to mama too."

22 *How did you know to put your mouth on your*
23 *mom's private?*

24 "She told us to go toward it."

25 *What did you see?*

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

563

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

"Hair."

That's an important distinction from the previous question. The previous question was what did it feel like? She said furry. And later as describing the oral sex Shauna asked what did you see? Hair. Again, that must have just been another detail someone told her to say? 'Make sure you say it felt furry but you saw hair.'

What did you have to do?

"We had to suck on it. She told us to do that. She said suck on it and bite it."

What was it like?

"Squishy. One time Aaron was messing with ^{Minor3} and Aaron got a big apple to put in her mouth so she would not yell for help."

They said, "don't tell or they will kill us. Aaron and mama put their finger in us. They never clipped their nails. They cut us and it started bleeding."

What else is a child going to think if her privates starts bleeding? May have been cut before by something sharp. She sees

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

564

1 the blood. Something sharp must have cut
2 her. It must have been her fingernail.

3 "We saw needles. They had blood on
4 them. We were thinking they killed someone
5 or something. We put it on each other and we
6 did not know."

7 "They put their finger in us and
8 that's when we started bleeding."

9 "She put her finger in us and rubbed
10 us a very long time."

11 I know this is a lot but I'm going to
12 read every bit of it, (reading):

13 "She put her finger in us and rubbed
14 us for a very long time. We didn't like it.
15 We tried to yell. We pulled her hair because
16 we wanted her to stop. She put her mouth on
17 us too."

18 *Did you see them do anything?*

19 "The S-word, sex."

20 I hope you're asking yourselves which one of
21 those details that child was told to say.

22 On to Minor 1 testimony. Minor 1
23 says unprovoked about the six-minute mark in
24 his first video, "Aaron and mama did bad
25 stuff to us. Pointed knives at us. They

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

565

1 threatened to kill us. She hit us and one
2 time touched us in the front some -- and
3 said, touched us some in the privates."

4 You remember what that expert said
5 about how kids disclose things?

6 "She hit us. Touched us in the
7 privates and mama and Aaron was holding
8 Minor 2 hair down. Aaron was rubbing my
9 privates. He was grabbing my hand to do it
10 on him, but I would not let him. He would
11 poke us on the privates and rub it."

12 *Has anyone else ever touched your*
13 *privates?*

14 "Mama. She would rub it and poke
15 it. In mommy's room, mommy and Jessie, who
16 is the sister, was crossing their legs and
17 arms around each other and they were
18 kissing."

19 An interesting way to coach a child to
20 say they saw people having sex. Almost
21 sounds like the child isn't making it up at
22 all because he's using his own language.

23 *Who did you tell?*

24 "My grandmother."

25 *Did you tell anyone else?*

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

566

1 "My papa and my aunt."

2 *Did you ever tell anyone else?*

3 He says "no, because they might
4 think it's weird."

5 Remember that chain that expert talked
6 about?

7 "Mama and Aaron said they will kill
8 us if we tell anyone. Mama and Aaron
9 would hold Minor2 hair down. Aaron
10 held her hair down like this and poked
11 her in the privates. Aaron was digging
12 in my privates. He was wiggling my front
13 privates."

14 *Did he have you touch his privates?*

15 *How did it feel?*

16 "It felt weird. He touched Minor2
17 and Minor3 privates and it started to
18 leak. Coming out of their privates and
19 on their legs."

20 Again, just another detail he was coached
21 to say? 'Not only did you see your
22 sister's bleeding from their privates,
23 but remember to say you saw it run down
24 their legs.'

25 THE COURT: All right. Let's wrap

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

568

1 She didn't talk to these children.

2 Those are verifiable examples of what
3 happens to children who are abused sexually.

4 And in the two videos, the defense
5 attorneys made a big deal about this, where
6 the children gave a little bit of information
7 initially. They disclosed more information
8 during their medical exams and they had to
9 come back for another interview. Just like
10 the expert said, in the process of disclosure
11 a tentative stage where they tell just a
12 little bit of information, test the waters
13 and see what happens.

14 Those threats that their mother and Aaron
15 made to them did not happen. They did not
16 kill them. So then we tell a little bit
17 more.

18 And finally Mark Riden testified,
19 the father of Stacy Riden. He testified that
20 his daughter told him she didn't remember
21 this but if it did happen, it was because of
22 the drugs. Why would he come in here and say
23 that? Why would he make that up? Why would
24 he make that up when we have all of this
25 other evidence of needles and drug abuse?

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

569

1 Is it just a big coincidence?

2 And as to the 'someone coached these
3 children in order to get custody' -- one, why
4 would they need to accuse Aaron Hendrix to
5 get custody of children that aren't his? He
6 was with these children for a year, maybe.
7 He's not the biological father. He's not the
8 stepfather. He has no claim to these
9 children. Why would they accuse an ex-
10 boyfriend of doing this if their goal was to
11 get custody? That doesn't make any sense.

12 Another thing about custody, where
13 was Stacy Riden? Her father and her step-
14 mother didn't need to make these accusations
15 to get custody. They had the children,
16 didn't they? They had the children.

17 And when these allegations were made
18 -- law enforcement told you they were made on
19 December 29th. Law enforcement looked for
20 Stacy. The first time they were able to talk
21 to her was January 30th. That was somebody
22 not related to this case at all.

23 And then Marvin Nix the investigator
24 on this case couldn't find her after that.
25 You don't think there are other avenues to

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

570

1 gaining custody of children with a mother
2 like that? There are a whole lot easier than
3 ruining three children's lives by telling
4 them to get on that stand and say all this
5 stuff about their mother.

6 It like I said before. We're going
7 to go home today and try to forget about
8 this. But this isn't over for those
9 children.

10 As to reasonable doubt the Judge is
11 going to give you a charge explaining what
12 reasonable doubt is. That was a really nice
13 board that the defense attorney brought over
14 here that hand nothing to do with what the
15 Judge is going to tell you. He's going to
16 tell you what the law is. One thing the
17 judge is going to say as to reasonable doubt
18 is there are very few things in this world
19 that we know with an absolute certainty. In
20 criminal cases, the law does not require
21 proof that overcomes every possible doubt.
22 Beyond reasonable doubt is a very serious
23 thing. I'm not saying that. The Judge is
24 going to elaborate on it.

25 I also want you to remember when you

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY SOLICITOR JONES

571

1 were sworn in as a jury and the clerk swore
2 you in, you took an oath. Remember that?
3 And you didn't swear to look for reasonable
4 doubt. You swore to tell the truth. Because
5 if reasonable doubt is here, you wouldn't
6 have to look for it. It would be so big you
7 couldn't stop thinking about it. But that's
8 not what you're thinking about. Because the
9 reasonable doubt is not here.

10 I leave you with this. As I was
11 preparing to close in this case, I kept
12 thinking about when I was a little kid I
13 would go fishing with my grandfather on a
14 regular basis. It was fun for me because I
15 was, you know, seven, eight, nine years old
16 going fishing. My grandfather would always
17 want to get serious and tell me some life
18 lesson that he wanted me to remember. I
19 didn't remember all of them but there's that
20 I do. He looked at me and said "Christopher,
21 remember if you don't discipline yourself,
22 one day somebody's going to do it for you."
23 And today is the day for Stacy Riden and
24 Aaron Hendrix.

25 THE COURT: All right. Everybody

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

572

1 stand up. Everybody okay for me to go
2 ahead and do the charge? It's probably about
3 fifteen to twenty minutes. So if anybody
4 needs a break we can take it now. But
5 otherwise, we'll go forward -- (jurors stand
6 and stretch). All right. Everybody take
7 your seat.

8 All right. This is the charge on
9 the law. And this is -- and after I give you
10 the law, you'll go back to your jury room and
11 you will actually, you can begin discussing
12 the case.

13 I realize the nature of these
14 charges you're going to be discussing stuff
15 among yourselves. Some of these type things
16 that you wouldn't talk with, with your
17 closest friend much less eleven other
18 strangers. But that's the nature of these
19 charges so it's very important for both, for
20 all sides that you carefully discuss things
21 and you consider the charges and all of the
22 information you've heard here in the
23 courtroom.

24 All right. As I indicated, there
25 are two sets of indictments. There's two

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

573

1 Defendants.

2 There's the indictments against
3 Stacy Riden. There's criminal sexual conduct
4 with a minor first degree. And I will go
5 into the elements of each of these. And
6 there's two separate indictments for that.
7 There's criminal sexual conduct with a minor
8 third degree is a separate charge. And
9 unlawful conduct toward a child. So you have
10 four indictments for Stacy Riden.

11 Then for Aaron Hendrix he has
12 indictment for criminal sexual conduct with a
13 minor first degree and we've got two of those
14 and one criminal sexual conduct with a minor
15 third degree. And again, I'll go through the
16 elements of those in a minute.

17 I want to stress to y'all very
18 importantly that these indictments should not
19 be considered in any way by you of any guilt
20 or any inference like that for either of
21 them. These are merely the papers which
22 brings these charges before a court. Each
23 indictment charges a separate criminal
24 offense as to each Defendant, okay?

25 But the State has the burden of

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

574

1 proof beyond a reasonable doubt as to each
2 element on each indictment for each
3 Defendant. And that's important for you to
4 remember.

5 The fact that the Defendants have
6 been arrested and they've indicted them, been
7 indicted on these charges, that's not to be
8 considered by you because still the burden is
9 on the State to prove beyond a reasonable
10 doubt. And if they don't meet that burden, I
11 will discuss with you in a few minutes, then
12 you are to find the Defendant as to that
13 indictment not guilty. So there's no
14 presumption by the indictment itself.

15 As I indicated, there are two
16 separate defendants in this case and multiple
17 indictments. You're to consider each of
18 these separately. The case of each defendant
19 and the evidence and law concerning the
20 defendant should be, you know, considered
21 separately and individually, each indictment,
22 as to each defendant. And when I go through
23 the jury verdict form with you, it kind of
24 gives you kind of an outline of how to do
25 that.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

576

1 merely just a legal term or just something
2 made for TV-land. That's a very strong
3 presumption. That's the basis for both our
4 state and federal constitution. And what
5 that does is a person comes in presumed
6 innocent, that puts the burden on the State.

7 The State has to prove beyond a
8 reasonable doubt each element of the charge
9 beyond a reasonable doubt.

10 It's not up to the defendants in any
11 way to prove that they're innocent of these
12 charges.

13 The presumption of innocence stays
14 with that person until you have determined
15 that the State has met their burden beyond a
16 reasonable doubt and that they're guilty of
17 the charge. If you don't determine that,
18 then that presumption of innocence prevails
19 and a person is to be not guilty.

20 The presumption of innocence is
21 often referred to it's like a robe of
22 righteousness placed around the shoulders of
23 a defendant. And until that robe has been
24 stripped on that particular indictment on
25 that particular defendant by you, the jury.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

577

1 So it's a substantial right until you have
2 been satisfied that the evidence meets the
3 burden of the State.

4 You've heard a lot about reasonable
5 doubt. What is reasonable doubt? Reasonable
6 doubt is the kind of doubt that would cause a
7 reasonable person to hesitate to act.

8 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is
9 proof that leaves you firmly convinced of the
10 defendant's guilt.

11 There are very few things in this
12 world that you can know with absolute
13 certainty. In criminal cases, the State does
14 not have the burden to prove to you with
15 absolute certainty or remove every possible
16 doubt. But if based on your consideration of
17 the evidence you're convinced, firmly
18 convinced that a defendant is guilty of the
19 crime(s) for which they are charged, then you
20 must find the defendants guilty of that
21 criminal charge.

22 But on the other hand you find that
23 there's a real possibility that the defendant
24 is not guilty, then you must give the
25 defendant the benefit of the doubt as to that

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

578

1 charge and find him/her not guilty.

2 You and I play -- you, the jury and
3 me as the Judge, we have two distinct roles
4 in this process.

5 As you've seen it's my responsi-
6 bility to preside over the trial, to rule on
7 the evidence, to give you the law which apply
8 to this charge.

9 You are the sole judge of the facts.
10 You are to consider the evidence that was
11 properly admitted and make a determination of
12 what the facts are.

13 I, as the Judge, cannot have any
14 input whatsoever as to the facts of the case.
15 If anything I did throughout this trial
16 inferred or made you think that I was
17 commenting on the facts or had an opinion as
18 to the facts, please disregard that because
19 it's not -- it's your province to be the sole
20 judge of what the facts are.

21 So you are to consider only the
22 testimony and exhibits. I believe we only
23 actually have -- the only exhibits outside
24 the testimony were the videotapes themselves.
25 But you are to consider everything that was

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

579

1 properly before you.

2 Also, as indicated, my duty is to
3 charge you on the law. Now, you took an oath
4 to follow the law that I have given you.
5 It's not what you think the law is, not what
6 you think the law should be, something you
7 may have picked up from TV or something like
8 that but the law is what I tell you. This is
9 the law of the case. This is the law that
10 you must apply when you go back in your juror
11 room and begin deliberating.

12 In every case that's tried -- again,
13 you're the exclusive judge of those facts.
14 And you are to consider this carefully for
15 the benefit of both parties.

16 You know, again, just because
17 something I did makes you think I have an
18 opinion of the facts, that is not the case.

19 As a juror it's your duty to weigh
20 the effect, the value, the weight and the
21 truth of all of the evidence that was
22 presented to you during this trial.

23 In addition to the types of
24 evidence, the testimony and exhibits, there's
25 two types of evidence: direct and

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

580

1 circumstantial evidence.

2 Direct evidence is testimony of a
3 person who claims to know something, actual
4 knowledge of a fact, such as an eyewitness.
5 It is evidence which immediately establishes
6 that fact.

7 Circumstantial evidence is a little
8 different. It's where a proof of a chain of
9 events or circumstances to prove the fact, is
10 evidence which immediately establishes
11 collateral facts from which the main fact may
12 be inferred. It is based on more of any
13 inference than it is personal observation.

14 The law makes no distinction as to
15 the weight or value of circumstantial
16 evidence versus direct evidence. You are to
17 consider all evidence and give it the weight
18 that you feel is appropriate. There's no
19 different burden on direct or circumstantial
20 evidence. It is still evidence that is
21 before you. And you are to give it the
22 weight and the credibility and the value that
23 you think is appropriate under the
24 circumstances. And after weighing all the
25 evidence if you are not convinced of the

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

581

CHARGE BY THE COURT

1 guilt of the defendant to these charges, then
2 you must find the defendant not guilty as to
3 those charges.

4 A very important part of your role
5 as a juror is to determine the credibility or
6 the believability of the witness or
7 witnesses. Credibility simply means
8 believability. It becomes your duty to
9 analyze and to evaluate the evidence and
10 determine which evidence convinces you of its
11 truth.

12 In determining the believability of
13 witnesses who have testified in this case,
14 you may believe one witness over several
15 witnesses or you may believe several
16 witnesses over one witness. You may believe
17 part of the testimony of a witness and reject
18 the remaining part or you may reject the
19 whole part or accept the whole part. You may
20 believe the testimony of a witness and you
21 may consider whether any witness has
22 exhibited to you any interests or bias or
23 prejudice or other motive in the case. And
24 that's based on your own experience and your
25 own common sense as to what weight or value

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

582

1 to give to each of the witnesses that
2 testified and as to their credibility.

3 I think there were two, maybe three
4 different individuals that were qualified as
5 experts. And at that point, I also charged
6 you on how you were to consider an expert
7 witness and their testimony. But I want to
8 review that with you.

9 The rules of evidence ordinarily
10 does not permit a witness to testify as to
11 opinions or conclusions. An exception of
12 this rule is when someone has been designated
13 as an expert witness, a witness who either by
14 education, experience has some expertise in a
15 particular art, science or in their field or
16 profession, and they're called upon to make
17 an opinion as to relevant material matters in
18 their field, may state the reasons for their
19 opinion.

20 You should consider any expert
21 opinion received in this case like any other
22 evidence. You give it the weight that you
23 feel is appropriate. Now, if you decide that
24 the opinion of an expert witness is not based
25 on sufficient educational experience or you

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

583

1 conclude that reasons given to support that
2 opinion are not sound or the opinion is
3 outweighed by other evidence, then you may
4 disregard that opinion entirely.

5 Again, it's up to you to determine
6 what weight or value to give that testimony
7 of that expert witness. And it's not to be
8 given any greater weight than any other
9 witness simply because he or she was
10 determined to be an expert.

11 Further, you're not required to
12 accept an expert's opinion even though it is
13 not contradicted.

14 Now, I also need to give you a
15 charge on testimony of children since it was
16 involved in this case. During this trial,
17 you've heard testimony from children. When a
18 witness is a child you must determine, as
19 with any other witness, whether that
20 testimony is believable.

21 In deciding believability you may
22 consider not only matters that I've already
23 discussed with you about weighing credibility
24 of witnesses, but you may also consider the
25 age of the child. The child's ability to

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

584

1 observe and remember facts. And the child's
2 ability to understand and answer questions.

3 Because young children may not fully
4 understand what is happening here, it is up
5 to you to decide whether the child or
6 children understood the seriousness of
7 appearing as a witness at this trial.
8 Whether the child or children understood the
9 questions, whether the child or children had
10 a good memory, and whether the child or
11 children understands the difference between
12 lying and telling the truth.

13 In addition, young children may be
14 influenced by the way that questions are
15 asked. It is up to you to decide whether the
16 child or children understood the questions
17 asked and give it the weight that you feel is
18 appropriate.

19 Now I'm going to discuss with you
20 the elements of the charges that are listed
21 on the indictments. The first one is first
22 degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor.
23 And as indicated there are two indictments as
24 to each defendant of that charge.

25 The defendants have been charged

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

585

CHARGE BY THE COURT

1 with first degree criminal sexual conduct
2 with a minor. The State must prove beyond a
3 reasonable doubt as to each charge and each
4 element that the defendant from that
5 indictment engaged in sexual battery with the
6 victim.

7 A sexual battery is defined as
8 sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio,
9 anal intercourse or any intrusion however
10 slight of any part of a person's body or of
11 any object into the genital or anal openings
12 of another person's body, except when the
13 intrusion is accomplished for medically-
14 recognized treatment for diagnostic purposes.

15 The State must then prove beyond a
16 reasonable doubt that the victim was less
17 than eleven years old at the time of the
18 sexual battery.

19 If you have a reasonable doubt that
20 the State has met its burden on the proof on
21 the elements on first degree criminal sexual
22 conduct with a minor, then you should also
23 consider the lesser included offense of
24 sexual conduct with a minor third degree.

25 Now, in this case you have two

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

586

CHARGE BY THE COURT

1 charges for criminal sexual conduct first
2 degree for each defendant.

3 In addition you have the charge
4 separately against each defendant of criminal
5 sexual conduct third degree. That's
6 concerning one of the victims.

7 But you can also, when you're
8 considering the criminal sexual conduct first
9 degree, there's a principle known as lesser-
10 included offense. And this is where you may
11 determine that the State has not met its
12 burden on each element of first degree. So
13 you must then consider as to those two
14 indictments, well they didn't meet the burden
15 as to first degree criminal sexual conduct,
16 did they meet the burden of the lesser
17 included offense or of third degree?

18 So that's under the principle for
19 those two what's called lesser-included
20 offenses. And the elements of lesser
21 included third degree, criminal sexual
22 conduct third degree is if an actor is over
23 the age of fourteen years and the actor
24 willfully and lewdly commits or attempts to
25 commit a lewd or lascivious act upon with the

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

587

1 body or its parts of a child under sixteen
2 years of age with the intent of arousing,
3 appealing to or gratifying the lust, the
4 passions or sexual desires of the actor or
5 the child.

6 When considering this charge "lewd"
7 means obscene, lustful, indecent or
8 lecherous. Lascivious means tending to
9 incite lust, lewd, indecent, obscene or
10 tending to deprave the morals in respect to
11 sexual relations. And, again, my outline on
12 the verdict form will help you go through
13 that a well.

14 If you have any reasonable doubt as
15 to whether the State has shown all of the
16 elements of the lesser included-offense you
17 must find the defendant on those indictments
18 not guilty.

19 There's also an indictment as to
20 Stacy Riden for unlawful conduct toward a
21 child. And there's a separate set of
22 elements that the State must prove beyond a
23 reasonable doubt. The State must first prove
24 beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,
25 Stacy Riden, had charge or custody of the

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

588

1 child or children, was the parent or guardian
2 of that child or children or was responsible
3 for the care and support of the child.

4 A person responsible for a child's
5 welfare includes, of course, the child's
6 parent, guardian or foster parent. An
7 operator, employer or caregiver of a public
8 or private residential home, institution,
9 agency, or daycare center or adult who
10 assumed the role for responsibility of a
11 parent or guardian.

12 The State must prove beyond a
13 reasonable doubt that a defendant who is
14 charged with unlawful conduct placed the
15 child in unreasonable risk of harm affecting
16 the child's life physical or mental health or
17 safety. Unlawfully or maliciously did or
18 caused to be done any bodily harm to the
19 child so that the life or the health of the
20 child is in danger or likely to be in danger,
21 or willfully abandoned the child.

22 So those are three -- any of those
23 three, the State has to prove in order for
24 you to meet their burden on the charge of
25 unlawful conduct toward a child.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

589

1 Abandon. The definition of
2 "abandon" means that the defendant willfully
3 deserted the child or willfully surrendered
4 physical possession of the child without
5 making adequate arrangements for the child's
6 needs or continuing care of the child.

7 A "child" is defined as a person
8 under the age of eighteen.

9 All right. Mr. Foreman, I am going
10 to at this time, I'm going to hand a draft of
11 this verdict form to you. There's two
12 separate verdict forms.

13 First of all, as to each indictment,
14 I have listed the initials because, you know,
15 and if you have any questions about that
16 after you get back there for the initials,
17 BL, ML, and Kinloch, representing the
18 children.

19 Let's start off with the verdict
20 form for Stacy Riden. And, again, the top
21 part is just the caption of the case and
22 indictment number.

23 The first one is as to the charge of
24 first degree sexual conduct with a minor, BL.
25 And the indictment number 2015-224: 'We, the

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

590

1 jury, unanimously find the Defendant, Stacy
2 Carol Riden..." -- you need to check one of
3 these, okay.

4 Don't infer anything by the order.
5 They have to be in some order. But you
6 either check on that one guilty of first
7 degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor
8 or, if you do not feel that the State has met
9 their burden as to that charge, then that's
10 where you drop down to the lesser included
11 offense and you must determine whether the
12 State has met its burden of the lesser
13 included offense of third degree criminal
14 sexual conduct with a minor. And if you find
15 that on that particular indictment as to
16 victim BL, then you must find them not
17 guilty.

18 Next, the statute requires that you
19 determine why -- if you find that she is
20 guilty of first degree criminal sexual
21 conduct, the very first one, you make
22 specific findings of which one of the sexual
23 batteries apply. And that's because the
24 statute requires that on this. And there's
25 three: cunnilingus, fellatio, and any

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

591

1 intrusion however slight into any part of his
2 genitals or anal openings or any object being
3 inserted into his genital or anal opening.

4 Then you go to the next indictment
5 as to the charge of first degree criminal
6 sexual conduct with a minor, NL. And, again,
7 you make the same analysis there. You start
8 off with the analysis as to the first degree
9 criminal sexual conduct. If you don't think
10 the State's met its burden, drop down to the
11 lesser-included offense. And then if you
12 find that they have not met the burden there,
13 then it's not guilty. But if you find that
14 they have, the State has met its burden, then
15 you would check the appropriate blank.

16 Then, again, since we're talking
17 about first degree criminal sexual conduct,
18 the State requires that you make a specific
19 finding as to one or more of the sexual
20 batteries that apply.

21 Then the third one there is third
22 degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor
23 KL. And now that one you don't have a lesser
24 included offense because that is the lesser
25 included offense. And that is if you feel

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

592

1 the State has met its burden then you find,
2 then you check the guilty box. If you find
3 that the State has not met its burden then
4 you check not guilty.

5 And then the final charge as to
6 Stacy Riden, that is unlawful conduct towards
7 a child. And, again, this one does not have
8 a lesser-included offense. It's either
9 guilty of unlawful conduct toward the child
10 if you find the State has met its burden as
11 to each element of that or you find they have
12 not, it's not guilty.

13 When you have reached a unanimous
14 decision on each of those four elements, you
15 have to check one of the boxes for guilty or
16 not guilty. And then make specific findings
17 on two of them if appropriate. And then once
18 you've done each of those, then you would
19 date it and sign it on the back page.

20 The analysis for Aaron Hendrix is
21 the same. It is pretty much identical --
22 well, it is identical except for it does not
23 have the final indictment as to unlawful
24 conduct of a child.

25 The first one is the first degree

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

593

1 criminal sexual conduct with a minor, BL as
2 the victim. And it has the same reanalysis
3 there.

4 The second charge, first degree
5 criminal sexual conduct with the victim, NL.
6 And you have the same analysis there.

7 And then the final is the third
8 degree, which is -- does not have a lesser
9 included offense. It's third degree criminal
10 sexual conduct with a minor KL. And then you
11 must check either guilty or not guilty.

12 Again, once you've made the
13 determination there, you would sign in the
14 space as foreperson and date that, okay?

15 Now, it's very important that, you
16 know -- and like I said I think that the way
17 the verdict form is set out it will kind of
18 help you with your discussion.

19 It is the foreperson's duty is to
20 lead the discussion. But when you make the
21 final decision -- your decision has to be
22 unanimous. That means all twelve of you have
23 to agree on the verdict on each indictment,
24 okay? It's not 'well, the majority of us
25 feel this way' and all of that. It's all

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
CHARGE BY THE COURT

594

1 twelve, unanimous decision.

2 And unfortunately, and let me just
3 explain to the alternates. Your role in this
4 matter has been very important because if
5 something had happened to one of the other
6 jurors, they weren't able to make it, got
7 sick. Sometimes we've gotten into trials and
8 they realize like, 'oh, I know one of the
9 parties or one of the witnesses' and we've
10 had to excuse that juror. If we didn't have
11 an alternate then the trial would be -- we'd
12 have to start over. So it's very important.
13 And I know that you've sat here very
14 diligently for the last three days, but
15 you're not allowed to participate in the
16 deliberation. But, again, I don't want you
17 to think that your role was not very serious
18 in this matter.

19 All right. What we'll do now is I'm
20 going to send you back to your jury room but
21 I don't want you to deliberate quite yet.
22 Leave the verdict form there on the jury
23 rail.

24 I've got to talk with the attorneys
25 and make sure that there's nothing that I

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

595

CHARGE BY THE COURT

1 missed on the charge or something I told you
2 incorrectly. And if so, I will bring you
3 back out. If I have given you everything
4 that I need to and there are not any changes,
5 then what I will do is have the bailiff bring
6 you the verdict forms, okay?

7 He's going to exchange those verdict
8 forms for the alternates. At that point, the
9 alternates will step out of the jury room.

10 At that point, you can begin your
11 deliberation.

12 If you have any questions at any
13 time, Mr. Foreman, I'll ask that you write
14 them down. You should have paper and pen in
15 your room. You write a note, date it and
16 sign your name and you give it to the
17 bailiff. The bailiff will bring it to me.
18 And then I will let you know how I need to
19 respond to that, okay? If you have any
20 questions or anything like that, then you
21 need to knock on the door and let the bailiff
22 know.

23 Now, once you have completed your
24 deliberations and y'all have come up with a
25 unanimous verdict as to each indictment, at

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

597

1 exhibits, I did want to make sure that
2 the videos were not going back.

3 THE COURT: Right. We're not
4 going to send those back. If they do decide
5 they need to review them, then we'll bring
6 them out here since there are portions
7 redacted.

8 All right. If I could have -- hand
9 those verdict forms to the bailiff. All
10 right. If you will -- let's make sure we've
11 got the -- let me look at it right quick.
12 Let me make sure that you don't have two.
13 All right. Yeah. That's good. All right.
14 And ask the alternates to come out and I'll
15 be glad to speak with the alternates.

16 (JURY IN DELIBERATION @ 3:52 P.M.)

17 (ALTERNATE JURORS EXCUSED)

18 THE COURT: All right. We will be
19 at-ease until further notice.

20 (COURT IN RECESS)

21 (NOTIFIED OF JURY QUESTION @ 4:50 P.M.)

22 (DEFENDANT RIDEN PRESENT)

23 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX PRESENT)

24 THE COURT: We have a note from
25 the jury asking if they can have the

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

598

1 transcripts of the testimony. I am writing a
2 note back that says "we do not have
3 transcript but can replay any portions of
4 testimonies that you request." And that's
5 all we can really do with that. Is that
6 agreeable with everyone?

7 SOLICITOR JONES: That's fine with
8 the State, Your Honor.

9 MR. WARDER: Yes, Your Honor.

10 MS. HORLBECK: That's fine.

11 THE COURT: All right. My
12 response is, "We do not have transcripts but
13 can replay portions of testimony requested in
14 the courtroom." Because I want to make sure
15 they understand it's in here and not back
16 there.

17 All right. Any objections to that
18 from the State?

19 SOLICITOR JONES: Nothing from the
20 State, Your Honor

21 THE COURT: Any objection to that
22 from the Defendant Riden?

23 MS. HORLBECK: No objection from
24 Ms. Riden.

25 MR. WARDER: No, Your Honor.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

599

1 THE COURT: All right.
2 (SO MARKED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 2)
3 (RESPONSE DELIVERED TO JURY ROOM)
4 (COURT IN RECESS)
5 (NOTIFIED OF JURY QUESTION @ 5:15 P.M.)
6 (DEFENDANT RIDEN PRESENT)
7 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX PRESENT)
8 THE COURT: We have another
9 question about -- I believe in light of this
10 question that I probably need to bring them
11 out and just read the statutes or the charge
12 that I read to them previously.
13 COURT REPORTER: That's Court's
14 Exhibit 3, Your Honor.
15 (SO MARKED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 3)
16 THE COURT: The portion that talks
17 about first degree sexual conduct with a
18 minor, third degree, and then unlawful
19 neglect of a child. I'm just going to read
20 that portion.
21 Tell the jury we need to bring them
22 out here to do that, please?
23 BAILIFF: Yes, sir.
24 (JURY IN @ 5:15 P.M.)
25 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

600

1 gentlemen, the question we have is, "Can we
2 get a description of first and third degree
3 criminal sexual conduct with a minor as well
4 as unlawful conduct towards a child?"

5 And, of course, we can't send that
6 back there to you. We need to do it on the
7 record. So what I was going to do was review
8 that portion of my charge that discussed the
9 elements of those three charges. Is that
10 what you're looking for?

11 FOREPERSON: Yes, sir.

12 THE COURT: All right. Okay.
13 We'll start off with -- and all I'm reading
14 is just the elements here, (reading:

15 *Criminal sexual conduct with a*
16 *minor first degree, the actor engaged in*
17 *sexual battery with the victim and the victim*
18 *was less than eleven years of age.*

19 *The statute defines "sexual battery"*
20 *as sexual battery as sexual intercourse, oral*
21 *sex, anal sex, or any intrusion however*
22 *slight into the genital or anal openings of*
23 *another person.*

24 So that's the criminal sexual
25 conduct with a minor, first degree.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

601

1 *Criminal sexual conduct with a minor*
2 *third degree is the actor or the defendant is*
3 *over fourteen years of age and the victim is*
4 *under sixteen years of age and the actor*
5 *willfully and lewdly commits a lewd or*
6 *lascivious act with the intent of arousing or*
7 *gratifying the lust, passions or sexual*
8 *desires of the actor or the child.*

9 *Criminal sexual conduct with a minor*
10 *third degree is essentially touching someone*
11 *under the age of sixteen with the intent of*
12 *arousing your sexual desire, your sexual*
13 *passions or that of the child.*

14 *So those are the elements of first*
15 *degree criminal sexual conduct and third*
16 *degree. And the State has the burden of*
17 *proving as to each element of those charges*
18 *beyond a reasonable doubt.*

19 *Then the other charge is unlawful*
20 *conduct toward a child, (reading):*

21 *The State must prove beyond a*
22 *reasonable doubt that a defendant who is*
23 *charged with unlawful conduct placed the*
24 *child in unreasonable risk of harm affecting*
25 *the child's life physical or mental health or*

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

602

1 *safety. Unlawfully or maliciously did or*
2 *caused to be done any bodily harm to the*
3 *child so that the life or the health of the*
4 *child is in danger or likely to be in danger,*
5 *or willfully abandoned the child.*

6 Would that cover what the elements
7 you're looking for?

8 FOREPERSON: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: All right. At this
10 point then if you'll retire back to your jury
11 room and continue deliberations and just let
12 us know if you have any additional questions.

13 (JURY OUT @ 5:20 P.M.)

14 THE COURT: All right. Are there
15 any exceptions or objections from the State?

16 SOLICITOR JONES: Nothing from the
17 State, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Any exceptions or
19 objections from Defendant Riden?

20 MS. HORLBECK: No, sir.

21 THE COURT: Defendant Hendrix?

22 MR. WARDER: No, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. We'll be
24 at-ease until we hear further.

25 (COURT IN RECESS)

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

603

1 (NOTIFIED OF JURY QUESTION @ 5:40 P.M.)

2 (DEFENDANT RIDEN PRESENT)

3 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX PRESENT)

4 THE COURT: This note is just,
5 "Can we have another verdict form?" They
6 filled in the wrong blank and just want
7 another form printed. I mean, what I'm going
8 to do is send them -- I have two copies. I'm
9 just going to send them two blank. I don't
10 know which ones they did. I'm just going to
11 send them two blank verdict forms back. And
12 then we can question them on that when they
13 get in here to make sure it's correct.
14 Any problems with that from the State?

15 SOLICITOR JONES: Nothing from the
16 State, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Anything from
18 Defendants?

19 MS. HORLBECK: No.

20 THE COURT: Of course I left them
21 on my desk, my copies.

22 COURT REPORTER: That note would be
23 Court's Exhibit 4.

24 THE COURT: All right.

25 (SO ENTERED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 4)

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

604

1 THE COURT: Would anybody -- would
2 y'all like to -- these are the two. These
3 were my copies that I used while I was going
4 through them. There's nothing on them.
5 They're just a blank -- anybody want to
6 review them?

7 SOLICITOR JONES: No, sir.

8 THE COURT: All right. Okay.

9 (COURT IN RECESS)

10 (NOTIFIED OF JURY QUESTION @ 6:15 P.M.)

11 (DEFENDANT RIDEN PRESENT)

12 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX PRESENT)

13 THE COURT: Another note. On this
14 one the foreman says they found a typo in our
15 verdict form. And what it is, is on the one
16 for Aaron on part three it does not have a
17 blank for Guilty and one for Not Guilty. In
18 reprinting, we did not catch that. So we
19 will reprint it with the appropriate blanks
20 and send that back so that they can check and
21 blank and not have to write it in. Here is
22 what we will send back if you want to look at
23 it, (counsel review). Any objections to
24 that?

25 MR. WARDER: No, sir.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

605

1 THE COURT: We didn't catch that.
2 Should have had something for them to check,
3 so we will send this back. Luckily, our
4 foreperson is smarter than we are. Any
5 objection from the State?

6 SOLICITOR JONES: Nothing from the
7 State, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Anything from
9 Defendant Riden. I know it doesn't affect
10 you.

11 MS. HORLBECK: No objection.

12 THE COURT: Defendant Hendrix?

13 MR. WARDER: No objection.

14 THE COURT: All right. Send this
15 back and tell them this is the final.

16 COURT REPORTER: You want me to
17 mark this?

18 THE COURT: Yes..

19 COURT REPORTER: The prior note was
20 Court's Exhibit 5.

21 (SO ENTERED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 5)

22 COURT REPORTER: This is Court's
23 Exhibit 6.

24 (SO ENTERED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 6)

25 THE COURT: All right. We'll be

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

606

1 at ease until we hear from the jury.

2 (COURT IN RECESS)

3 (JURY NOTIFICATION @ 6:25 P.M.)

4 (DEFENDANT RIDEN PRESENT)

5 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX PRESENT)

6 THE COURT: All right. It's my
7 understanding we have a verdict. All right.
8 We're going to bring the jury out.

9 Again, I want to caution everyone to
10 just remain seated at this point. I don't
11 want any outburst. We have deputies here
12 that will take anybody out that gets
13 disruptive. This is not the time. This has
14 been a very difficult for all sides. So I
15 would ask that everybody keep their
16 composure.

17 (JURY IN @ 6:25 P.M.)

18 THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, I under-
19 stand you've reached a verdict?

20 FOREPERSON: Yes, sir.

21 THE COURT: If you'll hand it to
22 the clerk for his review.

23 FOREPERSON: (Tenders).

24 CLERK: (Tenders verdict form to
25 the judge)

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
JURY IN DELIBERATION

607

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE COURT: (Upon review). All right.
Publish the verdict.

CLERK: This is the State of South
Carolina versus Stacy Carol Riden, indictment
numbers 2015-GS-39-223, 224, 225, and 226.

As to the charge of first degree
criminal sexual conduct with a minor, BL,
indictment number 2015-GS-39-0224, (reading):
*"We, the jury, unanimously find the
Defendant, Stacy Carol Riden, guilty of first
degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor.
We, the jury, unanimously do find the
Defendant committed the following acts upon
BL: Fellatio."*

As to the charge of first degree
criminal sexual conduct with a minor, NL,
indictment number 2015-GS-39-225, (reading):
*"We, the jury, unanimously find the
Defendant, Stacy Carol Riden, guilty first
degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor.
We, the jury, unanimously find that the
Defendant committed the following acts upon
NL: Cunnilingus and any intrusion however
slight into any part of her genitals or her*

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 et al -and- 15-GS-39-0223 et al
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

608

VERDICTS

1 *anal openings or any object being inserted*
2 *into her genital or anal openings."*

3 As to the charge of third degree
4 criminal sexual conduct with a minor, KL.
5 Indictment number 2015-GS-39-226, (reading):
6 *"We, the jury, unanimously find the*
7 *Defendant, Stacy Carol Riden, guilty of third*
8 *degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor."*

9 As to the charge of unlawful conduct
10 towards a child, indictment number 2015-GS-
11 39-223, (reading): *"We, the jury,*
12 *unanimously find the Defendant, Stacy Carol*
13 *Riden, guilty of unlawful conduct towards a*
14 *child."*

15 This is the State of South Carolina
16 versus Aaron Van Hendrix.

17 As to the charge of first degree
18 criminal sexual conduct with a minor, BL.
19 Indictment number 2015-GS-39-22, (reading):
20 *"We, the jury, unanimously find the*
21 *Defendant, Aaron Van Hendrix, guilty first*
22 *degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor.*
23 *We, the jury, unanimously do find the*
24 *Defendant committed the following acts upon*
25 *BL: Fellatio and any intrusion however*

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

609

VERDICTS

1 *slight into any part of his genital or anal*
2 *openings or any object being inserted into*
3 *his genital or anal openings."*

4 As to the charge of first degree
5 criminal sexual conduct with a minor, NL,
6 indictment number 2015-GS-39-227, (reading):
7 *"We, the jury, unanimously find the*
8 *Defendant, Aaron Van Hendrix, guilty of first*
9 *degree criminal sexual conduct with a minor.*
10 *We the jury unanimously do find the Defendant*
11 *committed the following acts upon NL:*

12 *Cunnilingus and any intrusion however slight*
13 *into any part of her genital or anal openings*
14 *or any object being inserted into her genital*
15 *or anal openings."*

16 As to the charge of third degree
17 criminal sexual conduct with a minor, KL,
18 indictment number 2015-GS-39-228, (reading):
19 *"We, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant*
20 *Aaron Hendrix guilty."*

21 Is this your verdicts and still your
22 verdicts so say you all by raising your right
23 hands.

24 (JURORS UNANIMOUS AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE)

25 CLERK: Thank you very much.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016

610

VERDICTS

1 THE COURT: All right. Anything
2 else from the jury from the State?

3 SOLICITOR JONES: Nothing from the
4 State, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Anything from
6 Defendant Hendrix?

7 MR. WARDER: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Anything on behalf of
9 Defendant Riden?

10 MS. HORLBECK: No, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
12 gentlemen, thank you for your service. I
13 know this was very difficult trial. Y'all
14 spent a lot of time listening to the evidence
15 and I do appreciate that.

16 At this time I'm going to ask that
17 you go back to your jury room. And you're
18 free to go. If anybody would like to stay
19 around or have any questions of me, I'd be
20 glad to answer anything you have.

21 (JURY DISMISSED @ 6:30 P.M.)

22 THE COURT: Let me just step back
23 here with the jury right quick. Have you got
24 the sentencing sheets ready?

25 SOLICITOR JONES: Yes, sir, Your

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
VERDICTS

611

1 Honor.

2 (JURY RELEASED)

3 (DEFENDANT RIDEN PRESENT)

4 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX PRESENT)

5 THE COURT: All right. Are we
6 ready for sentencing everyone, on behalf of
7 the State?

8 SOLICITOR JONES: The State's ready,
9 Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Defendants, would
11 y'all come forward.

12 (DEFENDANT RIDEN AND COUNSEL APPROACH)

13 (DEFENDANT HENDRIX AND COUNSEL APPROACH)

14 THE COURT: All right. I'll be
15 glad to hear from you?

16 MS. HORLBECK: Judge, I don't
17 have a lot to add. I think you've gotten a
18 good sense of the facts and we've heard from
19 the jury. We just ask that the Court
20 consider the minimum in this case.

21 THE COURT: All right. Anything
22 on the State on behalf of Ms. Riden?

23 SOLICITOR JONES: Your Honor, Ms.
24 Riden has one pending charge with our office.
25 That would be it as to her actual record.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
VERDICTS

612

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I would ask that the sentence reflect that the children need substantial time to go through their adolescence and through their adulthood without having to worry about Ms. Riden being in public and to send a message to the children that what happened to them is unacceptable in this community and that she will be punished for it.

And at the appropriate time, Ms. Roper would like to read something on -- would like to speak on behalf of the victims.

THE COURT: Yeah. Let me hear from both of them, then I'll hear from them on behalf of the victims.

SOLICITOR JONES: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Warder, I'll be glad to hear from you on behalf of your client.

Again, I'm sorry. I'll be glad to listen to Ms. Riden too.

MS. HORLBECK: Judge, I would add a little bit more about her work history. She had a long, long career at McDonald's. She worked her way up. Started off at the

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
IMPOSITION OF SENTENCES

613

1 bottom. And then she was a department
2 manager. She worked for them for -- it was
3 over a decade, I believe -- and did quite
4 well. After that job ended she worked for a
5 short time for Jardon Plastics. I think they
6 had to let her go. She worked for them
7 through a temp agency. And the temp agency
8 let her go because of these charges that were
9 pending. But we just ask Your Honor to take
10 that into consideration as well.

11 THE COURT: All right. Mr.
12 Warder.

13 MR. WARDER: Your Honor, my client
14 had no criminal record at this time, Your
15 Honor. He's worked most of his life. He's,
16 in many respects, you know, a fine young man.
17 This case has obviously been a big blemish
18 upon his record and the jury has found him
19 guilty now. And if you gave him the minimum,
20 it's a substantial sentence. Eighty-five
21 percent carries with it, of course. Plus all
22 of the other, registration factors and GPS

23 THE COURT: All right. Mr.
24 Hendrix, I'll be glad to hear from you?

25 MR. WARDER: Nothing at this time,

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
IMPOSITION OF SENTENCES

614

1 Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right. I'll be
3 glad to hear form the victim advocate.

4 MS. ROPER: Your Honor, the
5 victims' family has asked me to address the
6 Court on their behalf and on behalf of
7 Minor2 Minor1 and Minor3

8 Your Honor, they would just respect-
9 fully ask that Your Honor sentence the
10 defendants to the maximum amount of time
11 possible. These victims will live with the
12 actions of these Defendants and the removal
13 of their innocence for the rest of their
14 lives. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: All right. Anything
16 else from anybody?

17 SOLICITOR JONES: Nothing from the
18 State, Your Honor.

19 MS. HORLBECK: No, Your Honor.

20 MR. WARDER: No, sir.

21 THE COURT: And we would go on the
22 record. On behalf of Ms. Riden and Mr.
23 Hendrix, as I'm sure your attorneys have told
24 you, that you have ten days in which to
25 appeal this verdict or this sentence. Just

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
IMPOSITION OF SENTENCES

615

1 to make sure that we have that on the record.

2 All right. As to Stacy Riden, 2015-
3 225, criminal sexual conduct first degree.
4 The sentence of the Court is committed to the
5 State Department of Corrections for twenty-
6 five (25) years.

7 On the 2015-224, that is that you
8 also committed to the State Department of
9 Corrections for a term of twenty-five (25)
10 years. That's to run concurrent.

11 On 2015-226, that's criminal sexual
12 conduct third degree, that you will be
13 committed to the State Department of
14 Corrections for fifteen (15) years, to run
15 concurrent.

16 Also all those will be on the
17 registry.

18 As to unlawful neglect of child,
19 that's 2015-223, that's ten (10) years, to be
20 consecutive.

21 A total of thirty-five years.

22 On Aaron Hendrix, 2015-229, criminal
23 sexual conduct first degree. The Defendant
24 shall be committed to the Department of
25 Corrections for twenty-five (25) years.

State v. Aaron Hendrix and Stacy Riden
Case No. 15-GS-39-0227 *et al* -and- 15-GS-39-0223 *et al*
Jury Trial of January 25-28, 2016
IMPOSITION OF SENTENCES

616

1 Sexual registry. Will have credit for the
2 time served up to this point.

3 On 2015-227, that's a criminal
4 sexual conduct first degree. That's twenty-
5 five (25) years to run concurrent.

6 And on 2015-228, criminal sexual
7 conduct third degree. The sentence of the
8 Court is committed to the Department of
9 Corrections for twelve (12) years and that's
10 to be consecutive.

11 Good luck to you.

12 (TRANSCRIPT CONCLUDED)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20


21

22

23

24

Chris Jones
 Thirteenth Circuit Solicitor's Office
 Pickens, SC



Re: Requested Redactions
 State vs. Riden

Dear Chris,

I propose the following redactions to the forensic videos in this case:

Minor 2 Interview of 2/19/14

- 1) Redact from start of interview to 10:26 so that the start point would be with the counselor asking "What else about Aaron"
- 2) Redact from around 15:50 "Because they were slapping and stuff...to "Like they used to slap each other and me and Minor and Mino were staring with our mouth open 16:09
- OK 3) Redact 22:53 "And one time, Minor wanted me to tell..." to "Minor 1 told Grandma that it happened and that's what he told me to tell y'all"
- 4) Redact 36:30 to 45:34
- 5) Redact everything Minor 2 says she saw Aaron/Mom do to Minor and Minor
- 6) Redact everything Minor 2 says she saw Aaron/mom make Minor and Minor do to Aaron/Mom

Minor 2 Interview 4/11/14

- OK 1) Redact from beginning of interview to 2:34
- OK 2) Redact 25:30 to 28:28
- OK 3) Redact 34:57 to 39:10
- OK 4) Redact 43:43 "Mom and Jessie used to be in jail once because they stole a car"
- 5) Redact 45:10 to 45:30 "We only talk about things that are real - " to Minor 2 saying everything she told was real .

ok 6) Redact 49:24 to 50:05" Jessie and Billy had a camera..."

7) Redact 50:28 to end "Did anyone tell you to say these things..."

8) Redact everything Minor 2 says she saw Aaron/Mom do to Minor and Minor

9) Redact everything Minor 2 says she saw Aaron/mom make Minor and Minor do to Aaron/Mom

Minor 1 Interview 3/11/14

1) Redact from beginning to 6:10 "The all ran away? Okay and that was all when you were living with your mom and Aaron?"

2) Redact 10:35 "Once Momma had pills..." to 11:16 where Shauna asks "To eat?"

3) Redact 23:28 "And with beer..." to 23:51 "...And Daddy let Minor drink beer"

4) Redact 36:10 "and um does Daddy have his own house or does he live with mommy" to 37:23 "Bradley?"

5) Redact "Is there anything we talked about that's not real" 50:58 to 51:29

6) Redact everything Minor says he saw Aaron/Mom do to Minor 2 and Minor

8) Redact everything Minor says she saw Aaron/mom make Minor 2 and Minor do to Aaron/Mom

Minor 1 Interview 4/11/14

1) Redact 32:15 to 39:02

2) Redact 49:25 to end of Minor describing all the drawings

3) Redact 52:40 to 53:22

4) Redact 54:45 to end

5) Redact everything Minor says he saw Aaron/Mom do to Minor 2 and Minor

6) Redact everything Minor 1 says he saw Aaron/mom make Minor 2 and Minor do to Aaron/Mom

Kiley Lusk Interview

1) Redact from beginning of interview to 5:04

2) Redact from 11:00 to 11:45

3) Redact everything Minor says she saw Aaron/Mom do to Minor and Minor 2

4) Redact everything Minor says she saw Aaron/mom make Minor and Minor 2 do to Aaron/Mom

WITNESSES

Terry Marvin Nix

Pickens County Sheriff's Office

5/17/2014

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER
2014A3910300214

ACTION OF GRAND JURY


TRUE BILL

Date: ~~_____~~ APR 14 2015


Foreperson of Grand Jury

VERDICT

Guilty



Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date: 1-28-2016

DOCKET NO. 2015-GS-39-0223
BBH

The State of South Carolina

County of Pickens

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APR 14 2015 TERM 2015

THE STATE

vs.

STACY CAROL RIDEN

Indictment for

2481

UNLAWFUL CONDUCT TOWARDS A CHILD

VIOLATION § 63-05-0070

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF PICKENS)


INDICTMENT FOR
 UNLAWFUL CONDUCT TOWARDS A CHILD

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on **APR 14 2015** the Grand Jurors of Pickens

County present upon their oath:

That STACY CAROL RIDEN did in Pickens County, on or about the between the dates of 12/25/2011 and 12/25/2012, while being the legal custodian, parent, or guardian, place the child, N. L., B. L. and K. L., (1) at unreasonable risk of harm affecting the child's life, physical or mental health, or safety; or (2) unlawfully or maliciously do or cause to be done any bodily harm to the child so that the life or health of the child is endangered or likely to be endangered; or (3) willfully abandon the child. This is in violation of § 63-05-0070 of South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



 SOLICITOR BAR # 77844

DOCKET NO. 2015-GS-39-0224
BBH

The State of South Carolina

County of Pickens

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APR 14 2015 TERM 2015

THE STATE

vs.

STACY CAROL RIDEN

WITNESSES

Terry Marvin Nix

Pickens County Sheriff's Office

5/17/2014


ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2014A3910300215

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

~~TRUE BILL~~

Date APR 14 2015



Foreperson of Grand Jury

VERDICT

Guilty



Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date: 1-28-2016

Indictment for

0385

CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR
FIRST DEGREE

VIOLATION § 16-03-0655(A)(1)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF PICKENS)

INDICTMENT FOR
CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR FIRST DEGREE

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on


APR 14 2015

the Grand Jurors of Pickens

County present upon their oath:

That STACY CAROL RIDEN did in Pickens County, between the dates of 12/25/2011 and 12/25/2012, commit a sexual battery on B. L., who was less than eleven years of age. This is in violation of §16-3-655(A)(1)[formerly 16-3-655(1)] of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



SOLICITOR BAR # 77844

DOCKET NO. 2015-GS-39-0225
BBH

The State of South Carolina

County of Pickens

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APR 14 2015 TERM 2015

THE STATE

vs.

STACY CAROL RIDEN

WITNESSES

Terry Marvin Nix

Pickens County Sheriff's Office

5/17/2014

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2014A3910300216

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

APR 14 2015

[Signature]
Foreperson of Grand Jury

VERDICT

Guilty
C. Good Branch

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date: 1-28-2016

Indictment for

0385

CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR
FIRST DEGREE

VIOLATION § 16-03-0655(A)(1)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF PICKENS)

INDICTMENT FOR
CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR FIRST DEGREE

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on

APR 14 2015

the Grand Jurors of Pickens

County present upon their oath:

That STACY CAROL RIDEN did in Pickens County, between the dates of 12/25/2011 through 12/25/2012, commit a sexual battery on N. L., who was less than eleven years of age. This is in violation of §16-3-655(A)(1)[formerly 16-3-655(1)] of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

Braun Hutter
SOLICITOR BAR # 77844

DOCKET NO. 2015-GS-39-0226
BBH

The State of South Carolina

County of Pickens

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APR 14 2015 TERM 2015

THE STATE

vs.

STACY CAROL RIDEN

WITNESSES

Terry Marvin Nix

Pickens County Sheriff's Office

5/17/2014

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2014A3910300217

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

~~TRUE BILL~~

Date: APR 14 2015

[Signature]
Foreperson of Grand Jury

VERDICT

Guilty

C. [Signature]

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date: 1-28-2016

Indictment for

3661

CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR
3RD DEGREE

VIOLATION § 16-03-0655(C)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF PICKENS)

INDICTMENT FOR
CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR 3RD DEGREE

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on APR 14 2015 the Grand Jurors of Pickens

County present upon their oath:

That STACY CAROL RIDEN did in Pickens County, between the dates of 12/25/2011 and 12/25/2012, being over the age of fourteen years, willfully and lewdly commit or attempt a lewd and lascivious act upon or with the body, or its parts, of K. L., a child under the age of sixteen years, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of herself or such child. This is in violation of §16-03-0655(C) of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

Brenda Huta

SOLICITOR

BAR # 77844

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF Pickens
STATE VS. Stacy Carol Riden
AKA:
Race: WHITE Sex: F Age: 30
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip: Easley, SC 29640-6607
DL#: SID#:

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2015GS3900223
A/W#: 2014A3910300214
Date of Offense: 12/25/2011
S.C. Code §: 63-05-0070
CDR Code #: 2481

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was CONVICTED OF or PLEADS TO: CHILDREN/LEGAL CUSTODIAN, UNLAWFUL NEGLIGENCE OF CHILD OR HELPL

In violation of § 63-05-0070 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 2481
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS(CSC §17-25-45 w/minor 1st or Lewd Act)

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: 78892
Jones, Christopher L. SC Bar# Defendant Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center, for a determinate term of 10 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 1/28/16
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered PTUP
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

Table with columns for item description, amount, and total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge), § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge), § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment), § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test), Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob), § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding), § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge), § 50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee), § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment), Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge), 3% to County (if paid in installments), and TOTAL \$133.90.

days/hours Public Service Employment
Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: Deborah Garrison
Court Reporter:
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge:
Judge Code:
Sentence Date: 1/28/16

Vertical stamp: Certified Copy, Clerk of Court, Pickens County, SC, dated 1/28/16, signed by Howard P. Walker.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS 585

COUNTY OF Pickens
STATE VS.
Stacy Carol Riden

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2015GS3900224
A/W#: 2014A3910300215
Date of Offense: 12/25/2011
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0655(A)(1)
CDR Code #: 0385

SENTENCE SHEET

AKA:
Race: WHITE Sex: F Age: 30
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip: Easley, SC 29640-6607
DL#: SID#:

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was
TO: Sex, Crim Sex Cond, 1st Deg W/Min <11 Yr

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

in violation of § 16-03-0655(A)(1) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0385
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS
Mandatory GPS(CSC §17-25-45 w/minor 1st or Lewd Act)

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:
Jones, Christopher L. SC Bar# 78892 Defendant
Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of 25 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years
and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment
of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of
probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 1/28/16
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied
by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal
Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

PTUP
days/hours Public Service Employment

Recipient:

Table with 2 columns: Description and Amount. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge) \$5, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$3.90, TOTAL \$133.90

Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly
pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel,
§ 47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk
during probation.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: Handled P. Walker
Court Reporter: Deborah Garrison
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code: 2755
Sentence Date: 1/28/16

Vertical text: Certified Copy, Handled P. Walker, Clerk of Court, Pickens County, SC, Dated 1/28/16

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF Pickens
STATE VS. Stacy Carol Riden
AKA:
Race: WHITE Sex: F Age: 30
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip: Easley, SC 29640-6607
DL#: SID#:

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2015GS3900225
A/W#: 2014A3910300216
Date of Offense: 12/25/2011
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0655(A)(1)
CDR Code #: 0385

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Sex, Crim Sex Cond, 1st Deg W/Min <11 Yr

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

in violation of § 16-03-0655(A)(1) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0385
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) §17-25-45

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.
ATTEST:

Jones, Christopher L. Defendant Attorney for Defendant SC Bar# 78892

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of 25 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years
and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment
of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 1/28/16
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

PTUP days/hours Public Service Employment

Recipient:

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge) \$5, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$, TOTAL \$133.80

Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/continuously pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:
Carried Copy
Handwritten: Clerk of Court Pickens County, SC Dated 1/28/16

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk
Court Reporter: Deborah Garrison
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, § 47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation.
Presiding Judge
Judge Code: 2751
Sentence Date: 1/28/16

COUNTY OF Pickens
STATE VS.
Stacy Carol Riden
AKA:
Race: WHITE Sex: F Age: 30
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip: Easley, SC 29640-6607
DL#: SID#:

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2015GS3900226
A/W#: 2014A3910300217
Date of Offense: 12/25/2011
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0655(C)
CDR Code #: 3661

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was CONVICTED OF or PLEADS
TO: SEX/ Criminal Sexual Conduct with Minor 3rd Degree - U/16yrs & actor over 14yrs

in violation of § 16-03-0655(C) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3661
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS
Mandatory GPS(CSC) §17-25-45 w/minor 1st or Lewd Act

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:
Jones, Christopher L. 78892 SC Bar# Defendant Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of 15 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years
and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment
of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of
probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 1/28/16
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied
by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal
Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

PTUP
days/hours Public Service Employment

Recipient:

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100.00, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100.00, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge) \$5, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$3.90, TOTAL \$137.90

Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly
pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel,
§ 47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk
during probation.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: Harold P. Walker
Court Reporter: Deborah Garrison
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code: 7755
Sentence Date: 1/28/16

Certified Copy
Harold P. Walker
Clerk of Court
Pickens County, SC
Dated: 1/28/16

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Pickens County
Honorable Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge
Appellate Case No. 2016-000208

THE STATE,

Respondent,

vs.

AARON VAN HENDRIX,

Appellant.

AFFIDAVIT

Personally appeared before me, Christopher L. Jones, who being duly sworn, attests to the following:

I.

I am an Assistant Solicitor in the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Solicitor's Office in Pickens County, South Carolina.

II.

I was present and served as the prosecutor for the State of South Carolina during the trial of Appellant Aaron Van Hendrix on January 25, 2016, through January 28, 2016, including when State's Exhibits # 1, # 2, # 3, and # 4, which are recordings of forensic interviews of the victims, were admitted into evidence and played for the jury.

III.

When played for the jury, portions of those recordings were redacted.

IV.

The Honorable Perry H. Gravely served as the trial judge for the Appellant Aaron Van Hendrix.

V

I have consulted with the law clerk and secretary of the Judge Gravely in regard to the redactions to the recordings subsequent to trial.

VI.

To the best of my knowledge and experience, the attached document truly and accurately reflects the portions of the recordings that were redacted during trial.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned hereby certifies that the above is true to the best of his knowledge and recollection. I have this day been provided a copy of this Affidavit and hereby acknowledge receipt thereof.



CHRISTOPHER L. JONES
Assistant Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

SWORN to before me this 27 day of FEBRUARY, 2017.

Camille Crowe
Notary Public for South Carolina

My Commission Expires: JUNE 9, 2026

NL 2-19

9:15

Kyle bleed
old towel

1 ~~3:43-46:02~~ ✓; ~~9:12-9:18~~ ✓

3 ~~22:53-23:39~~ ✓

4 ~~40:09~~ ~~40:32~~ ✓ 40:55-41:03 ✓ 42:52-44:10 ✓

~~36:29-37:24~~ ~~39:15-40:03~~

16:50

App Kyle
8:40 mark

NL 4-11

1 ~~0-2:34~~ ✓

2 ~~25:30-28:28~~ ✓

Fu14

3 ~~34:59-39:10~~ ✓

onXH
top puts

4 ~~40:42:27-43:44:00~~ ✓ 45:12 ✓
~~43:59-44:17~~

45:35-~~45:53~~ ✓

11:40

Stork
5x
Sewsky

6 49:24-50:05 ✓ 52

BL 3-11 Krite

BL 4-7 or 23

pointing
laughing

~~5:55-6:42~~ ✓

~~32:15-39:02~~ ✓

③ ~~23:28-23:51~~ ✓

~~43:45-44:30~~ ✓

4 ~~36:10-37:23~~ ✓

~~49:25-52:40~~

38:45

54:45 - what gma said

*343-602

NL

1-3:43 - 4:0

800-

#912-9:18 - she get fired

4:40-4:49 - soap in mouth so they wouldn't tell you

4:59 - she would slap us all time

8:00 - 6:02

Chris Jones
Thirteenth Circuit Solicitor's Office
Pickens, SC

Re: Requested Redactions
State vs. Riden

6:02 - how old when you lived w/ grandma

7 - bk DSS might take us

DSS come + she make us clean

7:11 - pulls my hair a lot - hurt us

Dear Chris,

mom's

7:40 - He used to wear clothes

I propose the following redactions to the forensic videos in this case:

Minor 2 Interview of 2/19/14

redact parts abt gen. treatment?

1) Redact from start of interview to 10:26 so that the start point would be with the counselor asking "What else about Aaron"

2) Redact from around 15:50 "Because they were slapping and stuff...to "Like they used to slap each other and me and Minor and Minor were staring with our mouth open-16:09

3) Redact 22:53 "And one time, Minor wanted me to tell..." to "Minor told Grandma that it happened and that's what he told me to tell y'all" *22:53-23:39*

4) Redact 36:30 to 45:34 - any part that DSS or police said

5) Redact everything Minor 2 says she saw Aaron/Mom do to Minor and Minor

6) Redact everything Minor 2 says she saw Aaron/mom make Minor and Minor do to Aaron/Mom

4-

Minor 2 Interview 4/11/14

1) Redact from beginning of interview to 2:34 - FF nothing

2) Redact 25:30 to 28:28 - where was daddy - then etc

3) Redact 34:57 to 39:10 - FF - nothing

4) Redact 43:43 "Mom and Jessie used to be in jail once because they stole a car"

den, 5) Redact 45:10 to 45:30 "We only talk about things that are real - " to Minor 2 saying everything she told was real.

I don't redact

redact abt accusing father

- deny* 6) Redact 49:24 to 50:05 "Jessie and Billy had a camera..."
- deny* 7) Redact 50:28 to end "Did anyone tell you to say these things..."
- deny* 8) Redact everything ^{Minor 2} says she saw Aaron/Mom do to ^{Minor} and ^{Minor}
- deny* 9) Redact everything ^{Minor 2} says she saw Aaron/mom make ^{Minor} and ^{Minor} do to Aaron/Mom

Minor 1 Interview 3/11/14

- deny* 1) Redact from beginning to 6:10 "The all ran away? Okay and that was all when you were living with your mom and Aaron?" *redact abt dog running away*
- deny* 2) Redact 10:35 "Once Momma had pills..." to 11:16 where Shauna asks "To eat?"
- redact* 3) Redact 23:28 "And with beer..." to 23:51 "...And Daddy let ^{Minor} drink beer"
- redact* 4) Redact 36:10 "and um does Daddy have his own house or does he live with mommy" to 37:23 "Bradley?"
- deny* 5) Redact "Is there anything we talked about that's not real" 50:58 to 51:29
- deny* 6) Redact everything ^{Minor} says he saw Aaron/Mom do to ^{Minor 2} and ^{Minor 3}
- deny* 8) Redact everything ^{Minor 1} says she saw Aaron/mom make ^{Minor 2} and ^{Minor} do to Aaron/Mom

Minor 1 Interview 4/11/14

- redact* 1) Redact 32:15 to 39:02
- redact* 2) Redact 49:25 to end of ^{Minor} describing all the drawings *redact abt stuff abt dad*
- deny* 3) Redact 52:40 to 53:22
- redact* 4) Redact 54:45 to end *what gma said*
- deny* 5) Redact everything ^{Minor} says he saw Aaron/Mom do to ^{Minor 2} and ^{Minor}
- deny* 6) Redact everything ^{Minor 1} says he saw Aaron/mom make ^{Minor 2} and ^{Minor} do to Aaron/Mom

Kiley Lusk Interview

- 1) Redact from beginning of interview to 5:04
- 2) Redact from 11:00 to 11:45
- 3) Redact everything ^{Minor} says she saw Aaron/Mom do to ^{Minor 1} and ^{Minor 2}
- 4) Redact everything ^{Minor} says she saw Aaron/mom make ^{Minor} and ^{Minor 2} do to Aaron/Mom

The portions indicated below were redacted from each video.

Minor 2 interview dated 2/19

1. 3:43-6:02
2. 9:12-9:18
3. 22:53-23:39
4. 36:29-37:24
5. 39:15-40:03
6. 40:09-40:32
7. 40:55-41:03
8. 42:52-44:10

Minor 2 interview dated 4/11

1. 00-2:34
2. 25:30-28:28
3. 34:57-39:10
4. 42:27-45:12
5. 45:35-45:53
6. 49:24-50:05

Minor 1 interview dated 3/11

1. 5:40-6:42
2. 23:28-23:51
3. 36:10-38:45


Minor 1 interview dated 4/7

1. 32:15-39:02
2. 43:15-44:30
3. 49:25-52:40
4. 54:45 to end of tape

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

Respectfully Submitted,



Laura R. Baer
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 10th day of August, 2017.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

Respectfully Submitted,



Laura R. Baer
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 10th day of August, 2017.

RECEIVED
AUG 10 2017
SC Court of Appeals