

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Lakendrick Dante Pryor, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2017-001537

---

## ORDER

---

Appellant has failed to provide a sufficient explanation as required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). Accordingly, this matter is dismissed, and the remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire  
Rhame Berley Cannon, Jr., Esquire  
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire  
Debra Kay Littlejohn, Esquire

**FILED**

August 24, 2017



## The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

August 24, 2017

Mr. Rhame Berley Cannon, Jr., Esquire  
613 Long Point Road, Suite 100  
Mount Pleasant SC 29464


Ms. Debra Kay Littlejohn, Esquire  
PO Box 1687  
Moncks Corner SC 29461

Re: The State v. Lakendrick D. Pryor  
Appellate Case No. 2017-001537

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

  
CLERK

cc: Lakendrick Dante Pryor, 330342  
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire  
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire