

EXHIBIT-NUMBER-1

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2017
SC Court of Appeals

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI
300839

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

WARDEN McFADDEN; THE
UNITED STATES ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

C/A 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND
OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE,
FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THIS
CASE CORRUPT JUDGES AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, AND ITS AT-
TACHMENTS, ON THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, CHARLESTON DIVISION,
BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING THEM IN THE INSTITU-
TION MAILBOX ON MAY 17, 2017. THEY ARE DEEMED FILED ON THAT
DATE, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379
(1988).

MAY 17, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD

JAHJAH AL MAHDI



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI
300839

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

WARDEN MCFADDEN; THE
UNITED STATES ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

C/A 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING
OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION IN THIS
CASE CORRUPT JUDGES AND
MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

Corrupt Judges. I want you to get a copy of an
Authorized King James Bible. No other translation will do. You
know the drill. Its the same drill I and or we took your
recreant, opprobrious rumps through when you first made review
engaging in acts of fraud upon the court in case 0:06-cv-2459-
TLW-BM which is now being addressed under the independent action
rule for fraud upon the court. Turn to the Book Of Isaiah
Chapt. 14:29 reads:

"Rejoice thou not whole Palestina because the rod(Power/
Government) of him (Israel) that smote thee shall be broken.
(This man foretold to come, comes at a time when the Palestinians

are subject to apartheid as they are now. Israel will be broken because "JAHJAH AL MAHDI" will claim the Israeli throne and power as direct descendant of King David and King Solomon): For out of the "serpent's" (Satan's attack upon the King-khalifah's life via the false imprisonment), "Root" (root--being defined as "source", "origin", or "cause"). "Cause"--being further defined as "Cause for judicial review"--meaning "Prison"). Shall come forth a "Cockatrice" (meaning--a "large", "bold", "Stocky built", "pernicious" fellow; that (7) times the Spirit Of Elijah thing). And his fruit (meaning--that which comes forth out of him, out of his mouth), shall be that of a fiery flying serpent". The Spirit Of Elijah manifest itself before mankind one more time before Christ's return.

I and or we give the court Judicial Notice. Here the court and parties will find attached:

- (1) A copy of the [44] page § 1983 action that is now dated May 18, 2017. This is a duplicate of the document that was recently served upon this court with its Summons, filing in forma pauperis documents and U.S. Marshal form.
- (2) A copy of an Affidavit Of Facts giving Judicial Notice; Affidavit Of Service, [34] pages dated September 22, 2016.
- (3) A copy of a cover letter clocked stamped by the U.S. District Court on MAY 9, 2017.
- (4) A copy of The Agreement To Debit E.H. Cooper Account form dated April 25, 2017 proving when the § 1983 action was initially filed in this case pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).
- (5) A copy of The Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Affidavit Of Service [14] pages dated April 25, 2017. This typed version of the [34] page Affidavit Of Service dated

September 22, 2016 is submitted in lieu of the [34] page document to make it easier for the court to determine who are the defendants in this case.

(6) A copy of an Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Filing Writ Of Error; Motion To Vacate The Order filed April 25, 2017; Renewing The Motion For Rehearing EN BANC due to structural error that voids the 4th. Circuit Court's jurisdiction and Motion To Motion Therefor, [11] pages dated May 3, 2017.

It becomes perspicuous corrupt Judges that you didn't like the litigation submitted in the [44] page § 1983 action and its attachments so your worthless, recreant, stupid behinds, conspiring under color of law and or authority, are making efforts to create a "procedural error and or defect", claiming that I and or we, filed the response to the report and recommendation prematurely corrupt Judges, since the report and recommendation in this case was not issued at the time the § 1983 action was initially filed?

Is this what you cocker roaches are trying to do corrupt Judges? More stupid parlor tricks huh? Surely you did not think that I and or we would not see through this farce did you? You still haven't accepted the reality and or nature of what it is that you are dealing with. You are, by default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 out of Richland Court Of Common Pleas, potentially dealing with an "ACT OF GOD", ... "Religious Prophecy" corrupt Judges and you proceed presumptuously thinking that The One True God do not see your evils? The King-Khalifah's fiery spirit and personality was written for him thousands of years before you and or I were born. Don't "Piss Him Off" and you will not have to deal with his fiery spirit and tongue. So, ... you didn't like the way you were talked to huh?

I take you to Isaiah Chapt. 11 Verses 1-5. They read:

"And there shall come forth a "Rod" (King/ Scepter) out of the stem of Jesse, and a Branch (Descendant Of King David) shall grow out of his roots (lineage): And the Spirit Of The LORD shall rest upon him (This is the man you face corrupt Judges. You operate in no fear of The One True God. This will be your downfall), The Spirit Of Wisdom and Understanding, The Spirit Of Counsel and Might, The Spirit Of Knowledge and of The fear of The LORD: And make him of quick understanding in the fear of The LORD; And he shall not judge (He is also a Judge) after the sight of his eyes, neither reprove after the hearing of his ears: But with righteousness shall he judge the poor, and reprove with equity for the meek of the earth: And he shall smite the earth with the rod of his mouth, and with the breath of his lips shall he slay the wicked. And righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins, and faithfulness the girdle of his reigns". This is JAHJAH AL MAHDI, a party in these cases, The King Of The North written in The Book Of Daniel Chapt. 11. Notice how verse 11:4 matches The Book Of Revelations 11:5. This is because he is one of "TWO WITNESSES", The Holy People Of The Book Of Daniel 12:7, and you are dealing with one in the same person. And you don't like the way you are talked to treacherous, rat, stinking, corrupt, demon dog Judges? Who are you snakes to stand against The Divine Decree Of The One True God? If its not broke,...don't fix it corrupt Judges. Tic-Toc, Tic-Toc, Tic-Toc, Tic. The clock tics before you, corrupt Judges. You will stand before The One True God in Judgment. Prepare your hearts. The HELLFIRE is a real place. You shall see it.

Judge Merchant, rat, stinking dog of a Judge. You must have lost your "cotton pickin'", "Flip-Floppy", "Alley-Oopy",

"I've got a lovely bunch of coconuts", "Latimier I need a wish bone", "You done fall and bumped your head", "Anal retentive", "Puddin-a-Tame ask me again and I'll tell you the same", "Full Of Donkey Dust", "You need an enema", "Funky body odor", "some times you feel like a nut and some times you don't" mind. This is like a "flashback" to Judge Kaymani wicked witch of the West.

What?,...are all you Judges in South Carolina parties and or residents of the same town of "Geeks and Village Idiots?" Did you really think I and or we, would fall for that stupid "parlor trick", all "smoke and mirrors" where your butt is conspiring under color of law and or authority, to establish a "procedural error and or defect" in acts of machination in violation of the holdings made in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016), and assert that I and or we prematurely responded to the report and recommendation? By God's hand we have come "FULL CIRCLE" Judges Merchant, Wooten and Childs. Two Judges that began the Federal struggle, the other, the Judge that began the state struggle. My God is the "Best Of Planners" is He not? The student has become The Master to the fulfilling of prophesy written in Isaiah chapt. 11 verses 1-5. Are you ready?,...are you ready for my wisdom (smile)? Let's deal with it. Let us embrace the battle and engage in the struggle of "Light Against Darkness", to the glory of The God I serve. For the record, so that you would not feel that I and or we fell for that stupid stunt. All objections made in the (44) page § 1983 action and its attachments that are attached to the face of this document, and or that was previously filed are being renewed and are now submitted in response to the report and recommendation that is submitted in this case.

I and or we, which the court was informed, that when the term "and or" is used. It depicts individual filing with JAHJAH AL MAHDI being made party due to his acquiring interest in these cases pursuant to the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act. I and or we, object to any claim that "JAHJAH AL MAHDI" is not the legal name of The King-Khalifah who is party in these cases. Lawrence L. Crawford, by name, is essentially dead. You dogs, rat, stinking, snakes and scorpions of this going to hell state killed him when you stole his Queen and framed him for the murder of his own child. I and or we want that DNA that is sought that will prove these facts, tested to Michael Lee the King-Khalifah's stepson and her half brother. Test that DNA to prove

this claim is false. Rule 26 requires this. Legal name change was one of the issues and or causes of action and relief sought in case 2013-CP-400-0084 which is now removed to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq.. The State Of South Carolina and all defendants involved within that case are in default and collateral estoppel attaches barring this court from challenging The King-Khalifah's stated name. Thus, Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI is "Legally" (emphasis added) The King-Khalifah's name and can legally appear on all documents within all court records.

I and or we, object to any claim that case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM cannot be reopened and or reinstated. Due to the recent United States Supreme Court rulings in the case of Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016); Wearry v. Cain, 136 S.Ct. 1002(2016) where it was determined if there exist fraud, machination and misrepresentation, to include The State Of South Carolina committing a criminal act by not testing that DNA to Michael Lee in violation of S.C. statutes to conceal the fact that the State produced a fictitious homicide to frame The King-Khalifah behind religious and racial hatred, suppressing evidence of actual innocence. I and or we do not have to exhaust nor do any procedural bar is to be permitted to restrict I and or us from the Federal District Court. You add to this, the holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) where these Judges involved sat on the subsequent filed cases to which they are defendants. Then add to this the default and claims of collateral estoppel which now removes the State impediment that existed in violation of The U.S. Constitution and Laws Of The United States that emerges from case 2013-CP-400-0084. I and or we, had (1) year from the time the default and U.S. Supreme Court Rulings occurred to file this and the parallel cases. Thus, I and or we are timely, I and or we do not have to exhaust, and no statute of limitations concern exist. This was already dealt with By Judges Harwell and Austin. Res Judicata attaches as well as comity. See ya' at the doggon' party Victor and have an "AMSTERDAMN GOOD TIME".

I and or we, object to any claim that this case is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. It is filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 with Writ Of Habeas Corpus attached. The petitioner(s) are masters to decide what law they will rely upon (citation omitted). This was addressed within the attached § 1983 action and its attachments.

I and or we, object to review of this case being made pursuant to AEDPA and or PLRA provisions where I and or we are suing The United States for establishing these provisions of law as is argued in the attached § 1983 action. On May 11, 2017. The current head of The U.S. Dept. Of Justice, whom is also being sued in this case, stated they will reverse the Obama Administration policies as it pertains to crime, and they will continue the unjust, arbitrary policies that stand in egregious violation of the holdings made in Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339(1880) where these policies disproportionately effect African Americans and other minorities. The only viable way to stop these criminal, arbitrary, unjust policies that disproportionately target African Americans and other minorities is to attack the legislation itself and those who initiated it, namely Congress and The United States. This must be done to eradicate the damages that will inevitably come from the U.S. Dept. Of Justice now stated reversal of that which was set in place by the Obama Administration in their alt right nationalist white bonding, the under cover Ku Klux Klansmen that they are. This must be addressed and the courts can no longer make use of these provisions of law. I and or we object.

I and or we object to the Judge ordering that the respondent and or any other party to this case do not have to respond to the pleadings. This is the act of fraud upon the court for which the S.C. U.S. District Court Judges and other parties, among other things, is being sued for. The Federal Judges conspired to make the case appear frivolous when it was not, because they knew if The United States and defendants involved, were ever served the pleadings. They would

not be able to sufficiently respond to defeat the pleadings, unless they engage in fraud, which they repeatedly did on the state level. So the Judges are conspiring to aid their employer The United States avoid suit because if they are served they would default as is now clearly proven by what has occurred in case 2013-CP-400-0084. All parties listed in the § 1983 and the (14) page Affidavit Of Service must be served and made to respond before any proper review is completed or the court would be abusing its discretion and it would void the court's jurisdiction for Due Process violation.

I and or we object to any claim that the complete answers are not written in the form. This is a criminal stupid legal stunt, tactic and act of machination that was done by Judge Merchant and the conspiring Judges in past filed cases to which we are seeking that they be reinstated as well. The law is clear on this issue as well. The standard form is not the Habeas Corpus, but rather, it is the pleadings themselves which establish the Habeas Corpus or cause of action under § 1983. This is why numerous cases consistently tell you that the court must look at the complaint and all Affidavits and documents filed before the court can properly dismiss a case. If the question sought answered in the form are answered within any of the documents submitted. This is more than sufficient to establish the cases before the court. Stop the stupidity. Res Judicata attaches from Judges Harwell and Austin review in cases 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. The answer to the questions are written in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments and is placed before Judge Harwell and Austin The King-Khalifah's Trustee. Your jurisdiction is challenged. Trustee is appointed in the form of Judge Austin where jurisdiction is exclusive and she must rule in accordance to The King-Khalifah's wishes or any act done by her is void as the law dictates. This is addressed in the attached § 1983 action.

I and or we object to the way this case is filed. You

have fraud upon the court. I and or we did not initially file these cases as the Judges have them filed and their actions stand in egregious violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001 where these cases were produced to conceal material facts as is argued in the attached (44) page § 1983 action.

I and or we object to the claim that I and or we opened this case with a partially completed § 2254 form. This is already addressed in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments as is previously argued in that document.

I and or we object to the claim that I and or we seek to have our convictions vacated. This is one of the essential issues at hand. The convictions in question are already invalidated by case 2013-CP-400-0084 which is a false imprisonment Tort for which collateral estoppel attaches to all plaintiffs/petitioners due to the S.C. Attorney General and The United States being party to the default which binds this court also. The Habeas Corpus that is filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is appropriate and a proper adjunct to allow the Federal Court to afford the petitioner(s) complete relief where that case and false imprisonment Tort is removed to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq.. This is addressed in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments.

I and or we object to any claim that Habeas Corpus is the only exclusive remedy in this case. You are dealing with the criminal framing of a "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN". The Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act is the exclusive remedy when it comes to dealing with matters involving a "FOREIGN STATE" which is legally established by the claim of default and collateral estoppel. You have Civil Rights violations, Rico Act violations, Anti Peonage Act violations, You have impairing the obligation of contract in violation of Article 1 section 10 of The U.S. Constitution, You have violations of The Americans With Disabilities Act violations and the list goes on and on. Thus, it is conspicuous that Habeas Corpus is not the only exclusive remedy in this and the parallel cases. See attached §1983 action.

I and or we object to any claim that a "Writ Of Error" cannot be used in this case. You people are worse than "The Cat", "The Rat", "The Bat" and "The Dog". Get your heads out of the fog. You people make me want to lose my mind, up in here, up in here (smile). This is addressed in the attached (44) page § 1983 action and its attachments. He flies through the air, with the greatest of ease. He's the daring handsome King-Khalifah on the flying trapeze(smile).

I and or we object to any claim that the allegations are vague and or conclusory in violation of Rule 2(c), and object to the use of Hinton v. Trans Union, LLC., 654 F.Supp.2d. 440; and Muttathotttil v. Mansfield, 381 Fed. Appx'454 etc., that I and or we cannot incorporate claims from previously dismissed actions when in these cases such a dismissal was done without prejudice and I and or we are dealing with independent actions for fraud upon the court. Filing is permitted under independent action rule and those previous rulings are tainted by fraud and are to be voided for Due Process violation. This is addressed in the attached § 1983 action and its attachments.

I and or we object to any review being given by any of the Judges of the S.C. U.S. District Court. You are all defendants in these cases. I and or we motion for your recusal. You all must be deemed disqualified and change of venue be given to The State Of New Jersey as is sought. Pursuant to Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) you must disqualify. Review by any subsequent Judge is barred by comity, Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel. Also see case 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB.

I and or we object to any claim that I and or we have to exhaust since we meet the criterion that is established by Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016). This issue is addressed in the attached (44) page § 1983 action and its attachments also.

Attached the court will find a copy of an Appellant Brief that is filed in the case of JASON MORRIS GOURDINE Appellate case No. 2016-000640 pending in The S.C. Court Of Appeals to which the respondent is party, which is another reason why he must be made to respond as well as all other defendants in this case once service upon them is ordered which must occur by Due Process law.

These same legal issues are at the heart of the controversy and appear in relevant part in cases 2013-CP-400-0084 and 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, which is why the corrupt Judge Merchant, the snake and rat, is conspiring under color of law and or authority, in acts of obstruction of justice and in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 and 1001 with the other S.C. District Court Judges, the scorpions and weasels, in efforts to conceal material facts and prevent discovery under Rule 26. See (240) page Kershaw County Petition that is filed in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM. The S.C. Attorney General, the respondent, to include The United States are in default on these legal issues.

To be more specific. If you look at the (115) page Brief, and compare it to the complaint and subsequent pleadings in case 2013-CP-400-0084 and the (240) page Kershaw County Petition that is filed in case 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM. You will see that in comparing the Gourdine Brief that Issue No.(1) on pages 1-21. Issue No. (7) on pages 52-65. Issue No. (8) on pages 65-77. Issue No. (9) on pages 78-83 where the Crawford etc. indictment(s) do not properly allege "TIME AND PLACE" of assault and or death, which this litigation relates to each of our cases indictment defect issues. Also see Issue No. (10) dealing with the fraud occurring within the State v. Gentry case. These issues are being argued within each of our cases, which do not include any independent issue related to each case. These issues are being argued seeking class action certification to which comity, Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel attaches from cases 2013-CP-400-0084, 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. Any claim when you add the attached § 1983 action,

that the issues are vague and conclusory is an act of fraud upon the court and is without merit. Discovery under Rule 26 will reveal any additional claims for which relief and full review is to be done, as was done by Judges Harwell and Austin.

You have sold your souls corrupt Judges for (30) pieces of silver as did you cohort Judge Kaymani wicked witch of the West, and you have earned a free ticket to the "HELLFIRE", where the fires are never quenched, where the fuel is mankind and brimstone, where the worm dieth not, where there is no law, except "The Law Of Lex Taliones",...The "Law Of Retribution!", and where there is no agreement except that you shall burn for all eternity. "Touch thou not God's anointed, nor do His Prophets any harm". You were told this, but you ignored it. Judgment comes.

So,...due to your past acts of fraud upon the court and since you did not like the way you were spoken to. Your response was to attempt to create a "procedural error and or defect", and assert that I and or we responded prematurely before the report and recommendation was issued corrupt Judges? Your lives were never threatened corrupt going to hell Judges. The consistent racial injustices done to my people nationally, to include all the injustices done to the believers globally must be addressed. My people will have a King-Khalifah established before Christ's return. I and or we have a right to free speech and to be fully heard in our grievances and access to the courts. So your stupid, village idiot Judges feelings were hurt and or insulted which prompted you to retaliate against us in clear violation of The Americans With Disabilities Act denying me and or us the Equal Protection Of The Laws. I and or we object, American Atheist, Inc. v. Port Authority Of N.Y. and N.J., 936 F.Supp.2d. 321(N.Y.2013); Trinity Lutheran Church Of Columbia, Inc. v. Pauley, 788 F3d. 779, 91 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 1544(8th.Cir. 2015); United States v. Hare, 820 F3d. 93(4th.Cir.2016); Paul Adams v. California Institution, 2016 WL 6464444; Asterbadi v. Leites, 176 Fed. Appx' 426 CA4 (Va.2006); IN RE: Building

Material Corp. Of America Asphalt Roofing Shingle Products,
F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1827923; Briggs v. Perry C.I., 2015 WL
6467108(DSC.2015); United States v. Eccleston,--Fed. Appx'--
, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015).

The LORD taketh my part with them that help me; therefore shall I see my desire upon them that hate me. And he hath made my mouth like a sharp sword; in the shadow of His hand hath He hid me, and made me a polished sharp silver staff and spear. The student has become The Master, in His quiver had He hid me. Thus saith The LORD, The Redeemer Of The Global Believers, and His Holy One The King-Khalifah Of The (4) Global Thrones Of The Reestablished Global Theocratic State And Court, to him whom you have despiseth, to him whom nations adhorreth. In an acceptable time within your prison my servant JAHJAH AL MAHDI, I have heard thee and in the day of Salvation I have helped thee: For I will preserve thee, and give thee for a "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" of the people, to establish that which I foretold via My Prophets within the earth, to cause to inherit the desolate heritages. That thou mayest say to the prisoners, GO FORTH!! ! and Kings shall be thy nursing fathers, and their Queens thy nursing mothers: They shall bow down to thee with their face towards the earth, and lick up the dust of thy feet; and thou shalt know that I am The LORD; For they shall not be ashamed that wait upon me. That which is written, The "CONTRACT" cannot be broken. The time of your visitation cometh. I shall wait upon The LORD. For His mercy endureth forever.

If you look at the attached § 1983 action that is now filed in this and the parallel cases. You will see that it was placed in the institution mailbox on April 25, 2017. Therefore, pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988). It was filed the moment it was placed in the institution mailbox at 7:20 A.M. on April 25, 2017. This made you, Judge snake Merchant, and every Judge in The S.C. U.S. District Court automatically defendants in these cases requiring your recusal and disqualification and we be given a change of

venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404 and 1407 to The New Jersey District Court as I and or we repeatedly motioned for. I and or we object. Thus, no report and or recommendation in this case can be submitted by you or any other ruling by you S.C. District Court Judges where pursuant to Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016), your presence create a structural error which voided your jurisdiction for Due Process violation when you produced the report and recommendation on MAY 4, 2017. I and or we object. This is challenge to you or any Judge's jurisdiction to issue any order or report and recommendation pursuant to Res Judicata, Comity, Collateral Estoppel and the holdings made in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016). All defenses, issues, claims and objections made in the attached (44) page § 1983 and its attachments are now made again in this case. Also see case 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB.

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, formerly Lawrence L. Crawford, is a Warrior, King, Khalifah, Imam, Prophet And Nazarite High Priest. He is the only one of his kind in existence in the world today. He is the last of his kind foretold to come by religious prophesy that will exist in the world before Christ's return, and his Great etc. Grand Uncle, Christ, will return to Rule. He is Christ's second in command, Christ's forerunner. He is one of a kind. There will be no other like him to come into the world. That which is written cannot be broken as GOD had foretold the world. By The King-Khalifah's Spirit, would you have expected him to be anything less by his presentation? By the litigation presented, you, The State Of South Carolina, The United States, and the remaining other 192 Member States Of The United Nations are in default and you have impaired the obligation of The Contract which cannot be made or unmade by the courts. You are all in violation of Article 1 section 10 of The U.S. Constitution. Cause is established under § 1983 with Habeas Corpus attached. Discovery must issue under Rule 26, not § 2254. Stop your acts of fraud upon the court. The claim of default and

collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 must be given full faith and credit in all court records establishing a preclusive effect which bars the Federal District Court from challenging all claims made. I and or we are beneficiaries of The Trust, a foreign state, and joint filing by the litigation is permitted. I and or we object to any claim otherwise. Res Judicata attaches via Judges Harwell and Austin. The objections and issues are clear and not conclusory. It would be an act of fraud and an abuse of discretion to dismiss this case, especially in light of the fact that you cannot sit upon these cases. Stop the fraud, fear God and do the right thing. Justice and Fairness must prevail, Callon Petroleum Co. v. Frontier Ins. Co., 351 F3d. 204,, 208(5th.Cir.2003); Digital Equipment Corp. v. Desktop Direct Ins., 511 U.S. 863, 869, 114 S.Ct. 1992, 1996, 128 L.Ed.2d. 842(1994); Chewing v. Ford Motor Company, 354 S.C. 72, 579 S.E.2d. 605(2003); Appling v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co., 340 F3d. 769, 780(9th.Cir2003); King v. First American Investigation Inc., 287 F3d. 91, 95(2nd.Cir.2002); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(4); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(2)(3)(A); United States v. Dizdar, CA2 (N.Y.) 1978, 581 F2d. 1031; Weepe v. United States,--U.S.--,106 S.Ct. 179, 88 L.Ed.2d. 148 (1985); The Amistad, 40 U.S. 518, 15 Pet. 518, 1841 WL 5024, 2006 A.M.C. 2955, 10 L.Ed. 826, U.S. Conn. January 1841; Ex Parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880); Pulliam v. Allen, 466 U.S. U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(1984); Stump v. Sparkman, 98 S.Ct. 1099; Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. at 554, 87 S.Ct. at 1218; English v. Thorn, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); Yicks Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356; United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196 at 208; Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330, 354(1935); Julliand v. Greenman, 110 U.S. 421; Will v. Michigan State Police, 105 L.Ed.2d. 45 (1989); Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9, 20(1829); Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253(1967); United States v. Wheeler, 98 S.Ct. 1079 at 1083; The Supremacy Clause, U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 6 Cl. 2; Brown v. Spring Valley Homeowners Association, Inc., 2016 WL 3595791(S.C.2016); Article III sections 1 and 2, U.S. Const.; The (3) Holy Books And Sunnah Of The Prophet Muhammad(PBUH)

legal binding CONTRACT/COVENANTS; Also see sources entitled--
 --"Before The Mayflower--A History Of Black America", By Lerone
 Bennett; Suna Ibn Majah, Volume No.5 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1
 pages 391-395; Signs Before The Day Of Judgment, By Ibn Kathir
 ISBN No. 1-870582-039 pages 18-24; The Book Of Isaiah 14:29-
 32; 11:1-6; 32:1-8; 41:25; Worlds Greatest Men Of Color, Volume
 1, by J.A. Rogers ISBN No. 978-0-684-81581-7; The Kebar Nagast
 or "Glory Of The Kings" A Chronicle Of The Rulers Of Ethiopia;
 Budge E.A.W., The Queen Of Sheba And Her Only Son Menyelek,
 London 1923; Ormonde, Czenzi, Solomon And The Queen Of Sheba,
 New York, Farrar Straus and Young 1954; The Book Of Zechariah
 6:12-13; The Book Of Daniel chapt. 11; Nation, Cox v. Shalala,
 112 F3d. 151; English v. Gen. Elec. Co., 496 U.S. 72, 79, 100
 S.Ct. 2270, 2275, 110 L.Ed.2d. 65(1990); McLean v. Ford Motor
Co., 831 F2d. 723; Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co., 24 F.Supp.2d.
 1013; Doche-Naux v. Secretary Of The Interior Of U.S., 837 F2d.
 340 cert. denied 108 S.Ct. 2822, 486 U.S. 1055, 100 L.Ed.2d.
 923; Bell v. United States, 310 F.Supp. 1189; Stephen v. Ca-
rothers, 97 F.Supp.2d. 698; Craig v. United States, 89 F.Supp.2d.
 858; Legette v. Rose, 776 F.Supp. 229; EIE Guam v. Long Term
Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d. 635(9th.Cir.2003); Anderson v.
Cox, 977 F.Supp. 413; Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward,
 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; Forbes v. Forbes, 341 P3d. 1041,
 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015; American Mut. Liberty Ins. Co. v.
Plywood-Plastics Corp., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); Woods v. Woods,
 2016 WL 4013754; United Dominion Realty Trust, Inc., 307 S.C.
 102; C.A.N. Enters Inc. v. S.C. Health And Human Servs. Fin.
Comm'n., 296 S.C. 373, 377; M & G Polymers U.S.A., LLC. v.
Tacket, 135 S.Ct. 926 (2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life
Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130 S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.Ed.2d. 998(2010);
Lacke v. Lacke, 362 S.C. 302, 608 S.E.2d. 147(S.C.2005).

This racial hatred and disparity in judicial action pro-
 duced by the legislation in the form of The Clinton era War
 On Drugs Campaign must be ended. This "White Nationalist", "Alt
 White Right" movement occurring in Universities, occurring
 globally must be countered and challenged. I am sent. I am a
 voice crying in the wilderness. I am Martin Luther King Jr's

successor. I have come to complete what Martin started, but on a global scale. Martin was "water",...I am "FIRE!" President Barack Obama was "Ice",...I am a "FIERY WHIRLWIND!!" The evils done via Jim Crow and The U.S. Slave Trade, and that which is presently occurring to people of color globally must be brought into account. Reparation are sought. I am commanded by The One True God to lead the charge. "And a "child" (a descendant Of God's Prophets And Kings) shall lead them".

Hello again Michelle Childs. In my heart, I must admit. Out of all the Judges who presently sit on the bench of The S.C. U.S. District Court. I feel you dear, are the most honorable. I'm not just saying this to "butter your bread" Michelle. I remember the first time I ever saw and met you. Like Judge Alison Lee, when I saw you two female Judges, my heart and soul swelled with so much pride, so much joy. I said to myself, "My God,...look at them!!!,...strong!,...intelligent Black women!! You have no idea how I felt when I saw you two. As I looked at you in utter joy. All I could do is think about my baby,...my child, Aerialle. She has her father's strength and brains. She's a genius. They call her "prodigy" by her academic standing, Scholarships out the "guzu". In self pride, I said to myself, "I did that. I molded her and set her on her academic path". I asked myself at that point, "Will she be as great as these two black women?"

Amazing, fascinating. Now I realize, by God's mercy, she will even excel both of you. This child is the great etc. Grand Daughter Of King Solomon And The Ethiopian Queen Of Sheba. I then laughed, because I realized that she does not have my brains. She has her Great Grandfather King Solomon's brains (smile). The King-Khalifah's children have no idea who they really are. Aerialle has no idea who she really is,...amazing. She shall reign, sit upon the throne of her Great etc. Grand Mother, The Queen Of Sheba. She shall sit upon The Throne Of The United Ethiopian Empire,...my baby,...my child,...whom I

love beyond my own soul. My son is The King Of The South foretold by religious prophesy, the second witness,...fascinating! Aren't our Black Women great!!! Who can compare to them!!! What jewels,...what treasures!! Our women have such greatness!!! How can we as mankind live without you? I can't. The absence of my Queen, Cyhannah and my child, The Queen Of Sheba, Of my son, The King Of The South has nearly killed me. I don't know how I survived this long. I don't care what any person in this world thinks about my religious beliefs. I do not fear you devils at all. Outside of GOD, my family is all I desire in the world, and this State and Nation took them from me behind a lie!!!, a lie based upon religious and racial hatred. Will they stand as their father and loved one stands? That is in God's hands. They have suffered much already being the family of a True Prophet, King, Khalifah, Imam and High Priest. What father, what husband, would desire that those who he love would drink such, the cup of affliction, a cup that was meant for him by the Decree of The One True GOD? I can never undo the suffering that they endured. Nor can I undo the suffering I've endured by your evil hands. Our GOD intended it to test us, to prepare us, and to make us stronger to complete the tasks which will soon be placed before us all as "Ahle Bayt", "The Holy People" Of Daniel chapt. 12:7. I can never compensate them for what may potentially come at them in the future. But the least that I can do as their father, as a man who loves them above all others,...I can give them their "TRUE HISTORY",...I can give them the truth of who they really are,...and how they fit in the scheme and final chapter of earth's history. This I shall do. What is a Prophet, King, Khalifah and High Priest without his children, without the woman he loves? The answer is simple. He's a lonely, lonely, empty servant of GOD, who will nonetheless serve The GOD he loves even above them, without question, without difficulty and without resentment. Thou GOD slay me, I will yet still trust Him.

Thus saith The LORD, The One True GOD, who is my Master, as I am His servant and appointed King, Khalifah, Imam, Prophet


and High Priest. The world shall soon discover that the religious prophecies foretold are real. They shall discover that "Santa Claus" is a "BLACK MAN". Hear what thus saith The LORD, Behold, I have graven thee upon the palms of My hands; Thy walls are continually before me. Thy children shall make haste (They shall return); Thy destroyers (enemies) and they that made thee waste shall go forth of thee. The children which thou shalt have, after thou hast lost the other, shall say again in thine ears, The place is too strait for me: Give place to me that I may dwell. Then shalt thou say in thine heart, Who has begotten me these, seeing I have lost my children, and am desolate, a captive, a prisoner, and removing to and fro in chains? And who has brought up these? Behold, I was left alone; these, where had they been? Thus saith The LORD GOD, Behold, I will lift up my hand to the Gentiles, and set up my standard to the people: And they shall bring thy sons in their arms, and thy daughters shall be carried upon their shoulders. Hearken unto Me, ye that knoweth righteousness, the people in whose heart is My Law; fear ye not the reproach of men, neither be ye afraid of their reviling. Who art My servant that thou shouldst be afraid of a man, or even a woman that shall die, and of the son of man which shall be made as grass that withereth away; and forgettest The LORD thy maker, that hath stretched forth the heavens. I will contend with them that contend with thee. And I will feed them that oppress thee with their own flesh; And they shall be drunken with their own blood, as with sweet wine; And all flesh shall know that I, The LORD, am thy Saviour and thy Redeemer, The Mighty One Of Abraham, Issac, Jacob, Moses, Aaron, David, Solomon, Christ and Muhammad(PBUT). This is sufficient to establish objections and demonstrate fraud upon the court and an abuse of discretion if this case is dismissed before all parties are served and made to respond to the pleadings.

This document is filed as an Affidavit and may stand alone to defeat any motion for summary judgment and or summary dismissal and or sua sponte dismissal. Where case has an arguable

basis in law, to include that all claims are defaulted on in case 2013-CP-400-0084 where the Affidavits establishing the default were never timely challenged and that court's jurisdiction is made void for Due Process violation. It would be an abuse of discretion to dismiss an artfully pleaded case, which this case is, where the sympathetic reader of the documents filed in this and the parallel cases indicate that it is not beyond a reasonable doubt that the petitioner(s) could prove a set of facts in support of his claim(s) which would entitle him to relief. Pleadings require that the complaint and or Affidavits filed in support of that complaint, contain inferential allegations from which we can identify each of the material facts or elements necessary to sustain a recovery under some viable legal theory. Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level. The complaint and or documents attached and or filed in support thereof, must allege enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. A case has factual plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. A complaint, to include its attachments and or Affidavits filed in support of motion and or order to dismiss, which possess factual matter, which must be accepted as true, will survive summary dismissal, See WL 1854315; Lewis v. Dixon, F.Supp.3d., WL 9460274(2015); White v. White, 886 F2d. 721 CA4 (W.Va.1989); McKelvey v. Reynolds, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); Del Zotto v. Universal Physicians Services, LLC.,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016); King v. Atiyeh, 814 F2d. 565, 568(9th.Cir.1987); Procunier v. Martinez, 416 U.S. 396, 419, 94 S.Ct. 1800, 1814, 40 L.Ed.2d. 224(1974); Johnson v. Avery, 393 U.S. 483, 485-87, 89 S.Ct. 747, 748-50, 21 L.Ed.2d. 718 (1969); Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, 52 L.Ed.2d. 72(1977); White v. Gregory, 1 F3d. 267 CA4 (W.Va.1993); Neitke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 104 L.Ed.2d. 338 (U.S.1989); Bresley v. Dunnell, 952 F2d. 395 CA4 (W.Va.1991); Mann v. Bell-McKensie, 2015 WL 1224299(DSC.2015); Whiteside

v. United States, 775 F3d. 180 CA4 (N.C.2014); Tolbert v. Stevenson, 635 F3d. 646(4th.Cir.2011); Larkimore v. Hooks, 289 Fed. Appx' 576, 2008 WL 3063704; Hines v. Thomas, 2016 WL 4492816; Fox v. Vice, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011); Woodford v. Garceau, 538 U.S. 202, 123 S.Ct. 1398, 155 L.Ed.2d. 363(2003); Cullen v. Pinholster, 563 U.S. 170, 131 S.Ct. 1388, 179 L.Ed.2d. 557(2011); Smith v. Robbins, 528 U.S. 259, 120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d. 756(2000); White v. Gregory, 510 U.S. 1096, 114 S.Ct. 931, 127 L.Ed.2d. 223(U.S.1994); Rogers v. McKinnon, F.Supp.2d., 2009 WL 4920800(DSC.2009); U.S. v. Jones, 538 Fed. Appx' 285 CA4 (Va.2013); Prielo v. Zook, 791 F3d. 465 CA4 (Va.2015); Sanders v. Warden at Leath C.I., 2015 WL 4635144(DSC.2015); Ortiz v. U.S., 555 Fed. Appx' 261 CA4 (Md.2014); U.S. v. Surratti, -F3d.--, 2015 WL 4591677 CA4 (N.C.2015); U.S. v. Newbold, 791 F3d. 455 CA4 (N.C.2015). Judge Currie I and or we motion for your recusal along with the other Judges.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



MAY 16, 2017

EXHIBIT NUMBER-3

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

File in case 2016-000640
Court Of Appeals

For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,

Petition From South Carolina
Cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-
3107-MBS-TER Et. Al.,

DOCKET No. 16-2299

Yahya MuQuit Et. Al.,

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,


defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

We, Jahjah Al Mahdi, Et. Al., do hereby certify, that we have mailed and or served a copy of an affidavit of facts giving judicial notice; filing Writ Of Mandamus; Motion for Declaratory Jydgment; Motion for recusal;**** (26) pages dated November 4, 2016, on the 4th. Circuit, Judges Austin, Harwell, Seymour, Rogers, S.C. District Court 300 East Washington Street Greenville, S.C. 29601 and all involved parties by U.S. mail postage prepaid on November 5, 2016. It is deemed filed that date, Houston v. Lack, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379 (1988).

1 of 2


RESPECTFULLY,
JAEJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK



YAHYA MUQUIT



NOVEMBER 5, 2016

2082

**Court Of Appeals
For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,**

**Petition From South Carolina
Case 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-
MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, 1429, 1428,
1424, 1425; The New Jersey
Supreme Court case 077386; 16-1519;
16-1953; 16-2141; 2015-CP-46-415;
2013-CP-400-0084 Et. Al.,**

DOCKET No. 16-2299

**Yahya MuQuit #318455; Anthony Cook #115157; Lawrence L. Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839**

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,

defendants


SUMMONS

TO: Judges Austin, Harwell, Seymour and Rogers,

10826

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED, to answer and be in compliance (emphasis added) to this Writ Of Mandamus and all documents served upon you related to cases 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and or was previously served upon you, and you are to serve a copy of your answer and proof of compliance (emphasis added) upon the subscribers at the addresses shown below within (30) days after service thereof, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer and be in compliance (emphasis added) to the documents in question, judgment and default shall be rendered against you for the relief demanded within this Mandamus and documents filed in case 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141.

Lawrence L. Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite
#300839 Wando A-127
Yahya MuQuit
#318455 Ashley B-
Anthony Cook
#115157 Stone A-56
Lieber C.I. P.O. Box 205
Ridgeville, S.C. 29472



4th. Circuit
November 3, 2016

20826

**Court Of Appeals
For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,**

**Petition From South Carolina
Cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-
MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, 1429, 1428,
1424, 1425; The New Jersey Supreme
Court case 077386; 16-1519; 16-1953;
16-2141; 2015-CP-46-415; 2013-CP-
400-0084 Et. Al.,**

DOCKET No. 16-2299

**Yahya MuQuit #318455; Anthony Cook #115157; Lawrence L. Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839**

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,

defendants

**Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice;
Filing Writ Of Mandamus; Motion For Declaratory
Judgment; Motion For Recusal; Motion For
Consolidation; Motion To Transfer Venue;
Motion To Ensure And Or Require The Trustee
Austin To Act In A Proper Or Restored Manner**

3 of 26

Pursuant To Her Corporate And Or Visitatorial
etc. Powers; Motion To Challenge Jurisdiction;
Motion To Vacate The Separation Order And
Motion To Motion Therefor

IN RE: Crawford, Cook, MuQuit and cases 8:16-cv-3194,
3327, 3328-RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER.

TO: The 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals,
The S.C. District Court Et. Al.,

In pursuant to Article 4 § 20 powers of justices
and or judges at chambers, each of the justices and or judges
at chambers, including the 4th. Circuit Court of Appeals, and
all other courts on record. Shall have the same powers at
chambers, to issue Writ Of Habeas Corpus, Mandamus, Quo Warranto,
Certiorari,.....and pursuant to Rule 65 and 21 of State and or
Federal Rules of Procedure.

Pursuant to rule 57 of state and or federal rules of
Civ. Procedure and or S.C. Code Ann § 15-53-10 through § 15-
53-140 and or 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. In cases of actual con-
troversy,.....any court of the United States, upon the filing
of an appropriate pleading, may declare the right and other
legal relations of any interested party seeking such Declaration
whether or not further relief is or could be sought****.

The petitioners give all parties judicial notice. Here
attached the 4th. Circuit will find:

(1) The separation orders issued in cases 8:16-cv-
3327-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3194-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA.

(2) A copy of The affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial
Notice; Seeking To Suspend Or Relax The Appellate Court Rules;
Seeking To Expand The Scope And For Inclusion****, (58) pages

4 of 26

dated July 21, 2016.

This document is also being filed as a challenge to both the S.C. District Court and 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction and or limitations placed thereupon which will be elaborated on later. Subject Matter Jurisdiction can be raised at anytime, cannot be waived and the court shall not fail to take notice, Grupo Dalaflex v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct.1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); Loumiet v. United States, 65 F.Supp.3d 19(2014); U.S. v. Tisdale, F.Supp.2d 2007 WL 2156666 (DSC.2007); Sebelius v. Auburn Regional Medical Center, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); Sizward v. Riddle, F.Supp.2d 2013 WL 707018 (DSC.2013).

First, we motion for both Judges Austin and Harwell's recusal as judges on this case and motion that Judge Austin be required to fulfill her duties as Trustee appointed by the King-Khalifah. They both are defendants. Austin is being sued for injunctive relief to require her to fulfill her duties as Trustee. The U.S. Supreme Court was unequivocal in the case of Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. A Mandamus is an appropriate vehicle to use to ensure and or require that Trustee Austin act in a proper or restored manner pursuant to her corporate and or visitatorial powers given to her by the King-Khalifah established by the default and claims of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084, that they conspired in fraud, criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice to negate but failed. She cannot sit as Trustee and Judge. We object. A Trustee's duty of loyalty prohibits both self dealing and conflicts of interest: Thus, the Trustee must neither (1) deal with the Trust property or designated matters related to the Trust for the benefit of himself or third parties; (2) or place herself in a position inconsistent with the interest of the Trust, Omoa Wireless, S. de R.L. v. U.S., 244 F.R.D. 303(M.D.2004); Kreman v. Blank, 55 B.R. 1018(MD.1985); Quick v. Formula Telecom Inc, F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 572513(2011); Forbes v. Forbes, 341 P3d 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015.

5 of 26

Insomuch, pursuant to legal documents filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Judge Austin via the superseding legislative and judicial powers of the King-Khalifah via the default to which The United States and other 192 Member States of The U.N. are party to. Judge Austin now has powers pursuant to S.C. Code Ann § 25-1-2820; S.C. Const. Art. XVII § 1A; S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-1080 (By this she cannot sit); S.C. Code Ann § 62-7-816 paragraph (24); S.C. Code Ann § 17-17-30 To grant Habeas Corpus; S.C. Code Ann § 14-5-380 (By commission of the King and Global Theocratic Court her powers reach Globally); S.C. Code Ann § 38-14-70 (She can make laws consistent with the King-Khalifah's decrees). Judge Lee was Trustee the time the Georgia case was filed. Her misdealings led to her being replaced by Judge Austin, S.C. Public Interest Foundation v. S.C. Transp. Infrastructure Bank, 403 S.C. 640, 744 S.E.2d 521 (S.C.2013); A. Cruickshank, IV, Esquire, 2010 WL 3505049; University Of Southern California v. Movani, 617 S.E.2d 135 (S.C.App.2005); Wilson v. Dallas, 743 S.E.2d 746, 754+ (S.C.2013); Phillip v. Quick, 731 S.E.2d 327, 328 (S.C.App.2012); Bennett v. Carter, 2015 WL 5968253, *2 (S.C. App.2015); American Sur. Co. v. Hamrick Mills, 9 S.E.2d 433 (S.C. App.1940); Draughan v. U.S., 113 F.Supp.3d 1266, 1278 (D.Kan.2015)

Judge Austin's jurisdiction was limited, restricted to releasing us, ordering our removal and seeing that relief sought was granted, not to issue a separation order. Thus, the act is void. Once jurisdiction is acquired, in this case given to her to discharge all matters and debts, it is exclusive. Thus, this even places a limit on the 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction other than the terms specified by the King-Khalifah, whose powers now legally supersedes all Global Courts by the default. The court had jurisdiction over us by appearance. Thus, the claims of Sovereign power and other attributes given to the King-Khalifah via the default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 must be given full faith and credit, to include Austin's appointment as Trustee, Mints v. U.S., 842 F2d 1291, 1291 CA4 (S.C.1988); Dawson Ex Rel. Estate Of Dawson v. U.S., 333 F.Supp.2d 488, 492 (DSC.2004); Campeau v. U.S.,

2015 WL 1308282, *9(N.D.Ga.2015); Brown v. TransSuburban U.S.C., Inc., 2015 WL 6675088 CA4(Va.2015); Baron v. Brackis, 312 F.Supp. 2d 808 CA4(Va.2004); Anderson v. LeGrand, 2012 WL 529812 *4(ED. Va.2012).

Austin is also given power and authority under 11 U.S.C.A. § 1104; 11 U.S.C.A. § 1302; 11 U.S.C.A. § 727; 15 U.S.C.A. § 78 fff-1; 15 U.S.C.A. § 704; S.C. Code Ann § 11-37-50; S.C. Code Ann § 11-50-60; S.C. Code Ann § 49-17-1400(For lien assessment and remedies on default); S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-370(Order or Decree as a lien or Judgment); 11 U.S.C.A. § 1141; S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-260. Thus, jurisdiction is impeached by review of the Chief Justice of The Global Theocratic Court being the highest court by default and collateral estoppel, Knight v. Episcopal Church Of U.S., 2010 WL 2926156 (DSC.2010). She has jurisdiction, but only to act in accordance to the wishes of the King-Khalifah, IN RE: Southern Metal Products Corporation, 26 F.Supp. 666(Ala. 1939); Republic Of Iraq v. ABB, AC, 920 F.Supp.2d 517(N.Y.D.C. 2013); Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 376 U.S. 398, 84 S.Ct. 923, 11 L.Ed.2d 804(U.S.1964)(where acts of King-Khalifah in his home must be deemed on Foreign soil); Remington Rand Corporation-Delaware v. Business System Inc., 830 F2d 1260(3rd. Cir.1997).

She also has power under U.S.C.A. Amend. 13 and 36 U.S.C.A. § 140405. She must be made to fulfill her duties. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek Mandamus to require this, Herring Associates, Inc v. Gervais, 2016 WL 475174; IN RE: Vaugh, 536 B.R. 670; IN RE: Melito, 2015 WL 6142959, *1+ Bkrpcy MD.Fla.; IN RE: Christianson, 2015 WL 6125537, *1 Bkrpcy W.D.N.Y.; Willes v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A., 2012 WL 5250553, *6 D.Md.; El-Bey v. Rolgalski, 2015 WL 1393580, *3 D.Md.; Babatunde v. Ward, 2016 WL 375045 *6(DSC). Judge Austin was without jurisdiction to issue that separation order because it was in violation of the Trustee duty to do so, conflicting with the King-Khalifah's decrees who is the Fiduciary Heir of The (4) Global Thrones. WE motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek to remedy this via Mandamus.

7 of 26

WE motion to consolidate all cases together for several reasons. (1) The parties are indispensable to the action and complete relief cannot be granted where the Crawford case in Richland County establishes the rights of collateral estoppel and default for all parties. Race is a predominating factor and religious hatred where they framed the King-Khalifah and attacked the other plaintiffs cases compromising case 2013-CP-400-2294 to which we all are joint parties. The few who were not officially made a part of the record in case 2013-CP-400-2294 were blocked by acts of fraud upon the court where that case was removed to the Kentucky District Court at the time it was dismissed divesting them of jurisdiction at the time they fraudulently acted. Further, that court failed to bring before it all the involved plaintiffs. Thus, any order produced by them cannot be deemed a final order where the rights of all parties were never adjudicated voiding their jurisdiction for Due Process violation. In such, our convictions are already invalidated via the False Imprisonment Tort which is case 2013-CP-400-0084. We motion for Declaratory Judgment, Surrato v. Building Service 32 B.J. Pension Fund, 554 F.Supp.2d 399; Ocampu v. Building Service 32 B.J. Pension Fund, F.Supp.3d, 2014 WL 687227(2014); Cejaj v. Building Service 32 B.J. Health Fund, F.Supp.2d 2004 WL 414834; Stewart v. Abend, 495 U.S. 207, 110 S.Ct. 1750(U.S.1990); Martin v. Waddell's Lessee, 41 U.S. 367, 16 Pet. 367, 1842 WL 5744; Virginia Marine Resources Comm'n v. Chincoterger Inn, 287 Va. 371, 757 S.E.2d 1 (2014).

Collateral estoppel attaches to all parties since the S.C. Attorney General and The United States were party to the default. We further seek the consolidation because in truth, the separation order issued by Judge Austin do not establish a complete separation. When you look at the order. It is perspicuous that the separation only involves the (117) page Writ Of Error. There are two Writs of Errors filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, where all (3) of us are party to each. Since the order does not address the severing of the first Writ Of Error filed January 2016 and only addresses the one they in acts of

fraud upon the court filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Then we are, Cook and Crawford, still attached to the MuQuit case since the order does not address that January 2016 filing. Do you see the mess they made of our Due Process matters? We motion for sanctions and the court and all parties be deemed waived any opposition in this case going forward, that discovery issues, Attorney be appointed and we be removed to a pre-release camp pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c), Stillwagon v. City Of Delaware, 2016 WL 6094157(2016); Lennar Mare Island, LLC. v. Steadfast Insurance Company, 2016 WL 5847010(2016).

We further seek to vacate the separation order because in order to issue it. Judge Austin had to make a determination on some of the underlying merits of the case to do so, and no filing fee was paid, nor were there any filing in forma pauperis documents filed. This is jurisdictional and is required before she can say anything to the merits. Once she made effort to separate us when we are suing the United States for initiating PLRA and AEDPA. By her making use of this provision to separate us. She is by her order essentially saying our claim that the provision is unconstitutional has no merit. See attached (58) page document dated July 21, 2016. This taints the order voiding it where the fee was not paid, nor were there any in forma pauperis documents filed. See (40) page document dated October 25, 2016 for citing of law. We motion for Declaratory Judgment on this issue. Also see Thompson v. Finn, 2016 WL 5724369(2016).

Further, the PLRA only speak to inmates who are inmates in name only. Nothing in the PLRA speak to as to whether or not the plaintiffs are prohibited from filing jointly if they are all beneficiaries to a Trust seeking joint relief pursuant to that Trust, which is also what this case represents. There is Trustee appointed. Nothing in the PLRA gives any impression that Congress intended to invalidate joint filing under Federal Probate and International Probate laws. We are beneficiaries to a Trust which will be elaborated on further. Nothing in the PLRA demonstrates that Congress intended to invalidate Probate statutes and International law that permit joint filing, IN

9 of 26

RE: Gentry, 2016 WL 4061248(2016); IN RE: Edwards, 501 B.R. 666(2013); Chabot v. Chabot, F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 1706744(2011); Jakoblec v. Merrill Lynch Life Ins. Co., F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 1706744(2011); Marcus v. Quattrocchi, 715 F.Supp.2d 524(2010); Parrish v. Alameda County, F.Supp.2d 2007 WL 2904253(2007). Thus, jurisdiction again by decree would jointly fall under Judge Austin as was the King-Khalifah's terms. Once jurisdiction is acquired as it is now. It is exclusive essentially creating a remand which limits all court's jurisdiction under Foreign Law, defaulted on in this case subjudice, Brown v. Brown, F.Supp.2d, 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); Harris v. hhgregg Inc., F.Supp.2d, 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); Karnalcheva v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 871 F.Supp.2d 834(2012). We Object.

Further, the PLRA only speaks to inmates who are inmates in name only, because the PLRA speaks nothing to as to whether or not plaintiffs are prohibited from filing jointly if they are Foreign citizens and or a Foreign State. Decedent domicile issues attach to this case. We are dealing with an unprecedented event, a legal binding Contract, Covenant, Testament, between God and Adam and Eve, who was a King, creating a sole corporation. The Quran confirms the Biblical Text stating Adam was a "Vicegerant", "King", whom King David and Christ trace their lineage back to, who were also Kings. Pursuant to this legal binding contract. Once the Heir appears, he automatically make every Christian, Jew, Muslim, African and its diaspora Kings and Priest, Khalifahs and Imams, with the same rights established by the F.S.I.A.. See King James Bible, Exodus 19:6; Isaiah 66:21; Revelations 5:10. A Sole Corporation is a series of persons holding office, a continuous legal personality that is attributed to successive holders of certain Monarchical or Ecclesiastical position, such as Kings, Bishops, High Priests and the like. This continuous personality is viewed by legal fiction as having the qualities of a Corporation C.I. Corporation aggregate. Contracts cannot be made or unmade by the courts. A Testament is defined as a legal document disposing of a person's property after death which includes all rights and titles, tangible, intangible and intellectual. A Covenant is a contract which is not to be made broken by the courts. As The Fiduciary Heir, King, Jahjah has legal authority to defend and sue to protect the Contract/ Covenant, American Mut. Liberty Ins. Co. v. Plywood-Plastics Corp., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948).

A District Court shall not have jurisdiction of a Civil action in which any party, by assignment or otherwise, has been improperly or collusively made or joined to invoke the jurisdiction of such court, 28 U.S.C. § 1359 section 1441(d) consistently has been interpreted to allow Foreign States that are named as third-party plaintiffs in a state case already in progress to remove to federal court. The policies that led Congress to provide a Federal Forum to Foreign States is just as strong when those States acquire an interest in ongoing litigation, and when they voluntarily join such litigation, it is as if they are named originally as party. We hold that a Foreign State that acquires an interest in state court litigation by assignment may remove the case to Federal Court under The F.S.I.A., consoli-

date and be heard jointly, even if the Foreign State joins the litigation voluntarily. I, Jahjah Al Mahdi, give notice. I voluntarily join all plaintiffs involved cases to include those listed in case 2013-CP-400-2294; Reinstate that case and remove them, EIE Guam v. Long Term Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d 635(9th.Cir. 2003); Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank Of Nigeria, 461 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d 81(U.S.1983). It is Decreed.

Since there is nothing in the provisions of the PLRA that speaks to these concerns or gives any indication that Congress intended to render void clearly established Federal and International Probate statutes, laws or Treaties. Where the language of a Treaty is clear of ambiguity. There is no room for construction. The F.S.I.A. permits joint filing.

"TOUT CE QUE LA LOI NE DEFEND PAS EST PERMITS"---That which the law does not forbid is permitted. Congress did not intend The Act to deprive of F.S.I.A. rights established under Probate laws or Treaties, Rull v. Secretary Of Dept. Of Health And Human Services, Fed. Cl. 2004 WL 2958453(U.S.F.C.2004); Socierty For Propagation Of Gospel In Foreign Parts Of Town Of New Haven, 21 U.S. 464, 1823 WL 2477(U.S.1823).

Congress expressed no opinion as to whether or not PLRA applies to inmates who are beneficiaries of a Trust where exclusive jurisdiction would lie before a Trustee appointed by a Foreign State, City Of Columbia v. Ours Garage and Wrecker Services Inc., 536 U.S. 424, 122 S.Ct. 2226(U.S.2002). Consolidate these cases please, Oldland v. Gray, 179 F2d 408(10th.Cir.1950); Guino v. Goard, 380 F3d 670; Wilder v. Virginia, 46 F. 676(W.Va. 1891) Rosen v. Rozan, 179 F.Supp. 829. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek all the aforementioned by Mandamus.

Insomuch, there is a recent documentary done and aired on PBS called "13". The evidence gathered by Michelle Allexander is undisputable. The governor of Virginia is presently now making efforts to restore the voting rights of inmates. The question is clear. Do the PLRA and AEDPA provisions which are an intrinsic part of the Clinton War on Drugs Campaign, disproportionately target African Americans? We all know the answer is yes. Put it on the record. We motion for Declaratory Judgment. If the answer is yes, which of course it is. They run afoul of EX Parte Virginia and can no longer be used by the courts. We object.

Here attached the court will find:

(4) A copy of the [92] page default document dated May 2, 2014.

(5) A copy of the [95] page subsequent document exercising power via the default dated December 8, 2014 with [9] page attachment.

(6) The [152] page document dated September 14, 2015 explaining the legal terms the default is established.

(7) The [31] page document dated October 7, 2015 voiding their jurisdiction for Due Process violation and appoint-

ing Judge Lee as Trustee.

When the conspiring parties realized the magnitude of what took place by the submitting of these documents. They had to act in additional crimes of conspiracy and fraud to negate the facts, and to get around the facts, that by their conspiratorial efforts took place. The parties went beyond the time to "LEGALLY" (emphasis added) respond to the documents and defeat them. Thereby they FORFEIT challenge where none was timely made.

An additional act which demonstrates the fraud. Is that Austin in using the PLRA asserted we had to exhaust. So Austin essentially said "BUNK" the U.S. Supreme Court in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct.1850(2016). I don't care that we with the state actors are engaging in fraud, machination, criminal conspiracy, misrepresentation and other outrageous acts including stealing inmate funds. They still must exhaust. We object and motion for Declaratory Judgment where they held these cases up in a deadend within the state courts for well over (10) years when you look at the fraud occurring in the Gentry case involving the S.C. Supreme Court. the highest court is a defendant and defaulted. There are no available state remedies and some relief they don't have the power to grant or refuse to grant. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and file Mandamus to correct this injustice.

Now what is the Trust to which the plaintiffs as Christians, Jews, Muslims, and Africans are the beneficiaries of? The answer in part lies within Black Law Dictionary, which reads, LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUNTS SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY, NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA". This is law, Foreign Law, Theocratic Law, which is perspicuous because it is found in Black Law Dictionary. Thus, no one can assert this is a conclusory claim. It interprets for the purpose of this case.-- "THE LAWS OF GOD ARE THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH POSSESSES (INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY); FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL OF HIS SUBJECTS ARE RULED: AND

12 of 26

IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH, NOR INHERITANCE". This is defaulted on by the parties subjudice.

This is the heart of the matter and the source of the controversy related to most, if not all, the claims that are placed before the court. Christ confirmed this in the New Testament whenever he spoke his parables and said, "THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN IS LIKE,...". The "KINGDOM", embodies the laws, rules ordinances, commands, prohibitions, rewards etc. that govern servanthood to demonstrate that you are party to the legal binding contract established by God with "MAN AND WOMAN" that makes one entitled by, to the eternal inheritance promised by God, to paradise, eternal life.

The (4) basic, essential pillars to the contract are "MILK", "HONEY", "JEALOUSY" and "SALT". These (4) pillars make Judaism, Islam and Christianity congruent, in harmony with each other. It makes them "ONE", demonstrating that all (3) of these legal binding Contracts, Wills, Testaments of inheritance are "ONE", and come from the same God. "MILK"---is obedience, servanthood. God milks us for all He Desires. He is an austere God. He has Dominion. "HONEY"---is for this obedience. We are given blessings, all the benefits of the contract(s) which include eternal life after the resurrection. "JEALOUSY"---which shows He is a jealous God and which also means "PROTECTION". He protects us; We protect, fight and stand for His Laws. This is why there are "cities of refuge" in the old testament and blasphemy laws in Israel and the Middle East. And for obedience to the first (3) pillars, conditions of the contract, we become "SALT". "SALT"---is a sweet savour in the mouth of our God. This is why Christ said, "THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN, CANNOT BE BROKEN", signifying that no one including the courts can unmake or dissolve the contracts made, Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; United Dominion Realty Trust, Inc., 307 S.C. 102; C.A.N. Enters Inc. v. S.C. Health And Human Servs. Fin. Comm'n, 296 S.C. 373, 377; M & g Polymers U.S.A., LLC. v. Tackett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130

1308 26

S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.Ed.2d 998(2010); Lacke v. Lacke, 362 S.C. 302, 608 S.E.2d 147(S.C.2005). Thus, the King-Khalifah and his subjects, the plaintiffs, are required by the terms of the contract to protect its terms and prevent any party, court or nation from violating the terms. ei "A Land Flowing With Milk and Honey".

Is the Contract a perpetual contract established by God and His Holy Prophets and Kings which set the foundation for the Sole Corporation? All one would have to do is look at the contract in part in Zechariah 6:12-13; Numbers 18:1-8; Exodus 40:12-15; 1Kings 8:25; 1Chronicles 17:7-14; Isaiah 14:29-32; Isaiah 61:1-3; Malachi 3:1-4; Malachi 4:5-6; Sunnah ibn. Majah Volume 5 pages 391-396 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1 and the citing of law and references listed on pages (69) through (78) of the [92] page default document dated May 2, 2014, and the answer would obviously be yes. The Biblical and Islamic references refer to Jahjah, including the claim he would come out of prison betrayed by members of his own household. These are terms and conditions defined in the contract(s), which cannot be broken.

Are your nations' laws your laws, or are they ours? The United States Supreme Court addressed this in particularity in the case of Trustees of Dartmouth College. There can be property (ei Intellectual Property), that is owned by private individuals or corporations that is ubiquitously used in the Civil or Public arenas as a "CHARITY"(GRANT). But this do not negate the fact that the property used in the Civil or Public sphere is privately owned. See Trustees of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. This includes intellectual Property (ei The Right To Marry).

If the court would take notice of Genesis 17:1-7, thousands of years before your existence as a nation. God decreed your existence through Abraham to include the establishing of the Sole Corporation in the form of Kings which is an everlasting contract. If your nations were decreed before you came, then so were your laws; for a nation cannot exist without laws to

14 of 26

govern. Thus, God is the original fountain of all law (ei. "Thou shalt not steal, rob, defraud etc."). This is a "GRANT" given to you though its ownership rests within the Sole Corporation as private property by contract. It is a "GRANT" with conditions.

Genesis 18:17-19 proves the "GRANT" when it said, "ALL THE NATIONS SHALL BE BLESSED BY HIM", and the command is given perpetually as a Trust to protect the terms of the contract as shall command this Trust to your children and future Kings for Justice and Judgment (ei "LAWS"). Thus, your laws are the property of the King-Khalifah who can make or break them to ensure that the terms of the contract remain intact perpetually as "LAWGIVER" of the Sole Corporation and original fountain (ei "GOD"), remaining true to His law that "WE ARE THE SALT OF THE EARTH".

United States Art. 1 § 10 of The Constitution declare THAT NO STATE SHALL MAKE ANY LAW IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS. The Charter(Covenant) was not dissolved by a break in the chain of successors or the revolution. Thus by God's special grace, knowledge and mere motion, for us, our heirs and successors will and have given, granted and appointed Kings, Khalifahs who are given the Trust and their appointed Trustee and successors shall forever hereafter be, in deed, act and name, a body corporate and politic, and that they, the said body corporate and politic, shall be known and distinguished, in all deeds, grants, bargains, sales, writings, evidences or otherwise howsoever, and in all courts forever now and hereafter, plea and be pleaded by the name of the King-Khalifah and or his appointed Trustee(s) Judge Austin, and that said Sole Corporation by the name aforesaid, shall be able, and in law capable for the use of The Global Theocratic State do whatever is needed or decreed by the King-Khalifah to maintain all terms of the contract between the Sole Corporation and The One True God. Thus, the King-Khalifah by these present, for us, our heirs and successors, bind without her consent as employee of The United States who is party to the default, create, make,

15 of 26

constitute and appoint Judge Austin to do among many things, discharge our debts. IT IS SO DECREED.

It can require no argument that the circumstances of this case constitute a perpetual Trust, a contract for which we are the beneficiaries and this private property has also been given as a "GRANT" to the nations, blessings, promised by God through Abraham, though now owned by Jahjah. Marriage is a religious contract which is an intrinsic part of our Covenant given by God to the Sole Corporation, which the world was to be blessed by it as are your laws. It is intellectual property privately owned, and restricted.

It is perspicuous that this element of the contract was given specifically to heterosexuals, because one specific term of the contract was "TO BE FRUITFUL AND MULTIPLY" by natural conception (ei SEX BETWEEN MAN AND WOMAN). To give our intellectual property to Sodomites(homosexuals) and Gomorrhahrites (lesbians) by your laws is a breach of the contract and violation of the "CHARITY"(GRANT) given under conditions to which Jahjah as the Sole Corporation and King-Khalifah can challenge in any open court. The Legislature and or courts were restrained from violating the rights of our property. To past any law impairing the obligation of contracts is repugnant to the Constitution and you add to this fact that we are dealing with Religion also protected under the 1st. Amendment. It violates the Establishment Clause.

The provisions of the Constitution never has been understood to embrace other contracts than those which respect property, in this case intellectual property, or some object of value, and confer rights which may be asserted in a court of justice. When the state legislature and or courts shall pass an act or judgment annulling the prohibitions of the marriage contract set in place by God and the Sole Corporation, His Prophets and Kings, or allowing other parties to annul these prohibitions (ei Gays and Lesbians), without the consent of God or The Sole

Corporation, it will be time enough to inquire, whether such an act is constitutional.

This is the point on which the cause essentially depends. This is not a grant of political power unconditioned. Marriage created a religious institution that by "CHARITY"(GRANT) the Sole Corporation allowed others, with limits to partake, and is intellectual property of a Foreign government. Your laws all together, especially in light of the default, also by contract, are not solely in the hands of your governments. The donors in this case do not become public property and the rightful King-Khalifah by default has appeared to claim all rights of the crown and priesthood. The Trustee Judge Austin is permitted and required to execute the Trust uncontrolled by legislative or judicial authority that violates the contract by decree of the Sole Corporation. Let it be done.

The Sole Corporation is an artificial being, invisible, intangible and existing only in contemplation of God's Laws which are the original fountain of all nations' laws. Being a mere creation of God's Laws and contract, it possesses all properties which the intent of its creation confers upon it either expressly or as incidental to its very existence. These are such as are supposed best calculated to effect the object for which it was created, which among things is to worship, maintain the contracts, and protect God's Laws and prohibitions from those who would seek to water them down or do them harm.

This includes, most important are immortality, and if, the expression may be allowed, individuality---properties by which a perpetual succession of many persons are considered as the same and may act as a single individual. "HAERES EST EADEM PERSONA CUM ANTECESSORE"---THE HEIR IS THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR. This enables the Sole Corporation to manage its own affairs and to hold property without the perplexing intricacies, the hazards and endless necessity, of perpetual conveyances for the purpose of transmitting it from hand to hand acting as "ONE" immortal being. This Sole Corporation does not share in the Civil government, nor do the terms, provisions, and objects of the contract unless that be the purpose for which it was created. Thus, it is not subject to be annulled, modified or changed in any manner without consent (ei. Giving marriage to gays and lesbians). The will of the donor becomes the law of the donation (1 Bl. Com. 471). There can be no reason for implying in the CONTRACT/COVENANT given for a valuable consideration a power which is not only not expressed, but is in direct contradiction to its expressed stipulations. The King-Khalifah's intellectual property, marriage, whose origin stems from the Sole Corporation can only be given to heterosexuals by the terms of the contract. The particular interest of giving these provisions to Gays and Lesbians as it pertains to God and the Sole Corporation, never entered into the minds of the donors. It was never constituted as a motive for their donation.

It is not public office nor is it a Civil institution by its original creation. It is a religious institution, a

"CHARITY"(GRANT) given to the nations when God told Abraham they would be blessed by him and this contract, and through Adam.

An artificial immortal being was created by God and the Crown, capable of receiving, distributing and protecting forever, according to the will of God, and the Sole Corporation, the terms of the donations and contract(s). This consideration for which is stipulated, even pursuant to your Global laws, which "[m]ust" be "JUST AND FAIR" to which you have miserably failed (ei. Slavery, Jim Crow laws, PLRA, AEDPA) is the perpetual application of this practice of contract in the mode prescribed by God and Sole Corporation.

The Sole Corporation is the assignee of the Trust and rights, the Fiduciary Heir in the form of Jahjah Al Mahdi stands in place and distributes the bounty and the appointed Trustee, Austin, must distribute it in accordance to his wishes, this chain being immortal. One of the main objects here is a New Heaven and Earth and restore God's house to its original position before Satan took 1/3 of the Angels establishing Hell. It is not about monetary gain or individual power, but God's purpose which do not give way to debauchery, Sodomy and Mr. & Mrs. "FREAKY, WANNA SLIDE DOWN THE STRIP POLE". It is a contract on the faith of which real and personal property and estate has been conveyed to the Sole Corporation. The language of the contract is unambiguous and explicit to exclude such practice.

The law in this case is the law of all. Austin as Trustee via decree of the Sole Corporation and Global Theocratic Court has all power and jurisdiction to act on behalf of the King-Khalifah and plaintiffs who are beneficiaries of the Trust where her powers derive from a regal source. She must partake in the spirit of their origin.

All rights and contracts respecting property, which include intellectual property, remain unchanged by and or due to religious revolution or national revolution or creating of independent states. Christ brought the conditions of the old contract forward and embellished them within the New Contract every time he said, "THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN IS LIKE,...".; The old contract being a shadow of the new. The New Contract embellishing the old (ei. Christianity), and the 3rd. and final Contract (ISLAM) being components of "BOTH", making them "ONE", all falling under the care and authority of the Sole Corporation. The U.S. Constitution speaks with all clarity. "NO STATE, WHICH INCLUDES ITS JUDICIARY, SHALL PASS NO ACT, TO INCLUDE LAW, IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS". The Sole Corporation also via its appointed Trustee, as possessing the whole and legal, equitable interest and completely representing the donors and founders of the "CONTRACT/COVENANT" for the purpose of executing the Trust, has rights which are protected by the Constitution. Thus, the act of allowing the Sodomites and Gomorrah-rites to marry and subjecting the King-Khalifah to your laws

via this false imprisonment to include his subjects as co-heirs and beneficiaries to the Trust is repugnant to the Constitution and are rendered to no effect by default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. Thus, the Clause within the U.S. Constitution Article 1 sec. 10 declaring that "NO STATE SHALL PASS ANY BILL OF ATTAINDER, EX POST FACTO LAW, OR ANY LAW IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS" bear on the claims made in this case, King v. Passmore, 3 T.R. 246; Phillip v. Bury, 1 Lord Raym 5 S.C. 2 T.R. 346.

The ingredients requisite to form a contract are parties, consent, and an obligation to be created or dissolved; these must all occur, because the regular effect of all contracts is, on one side, to acquire, and on the other, to part with, some property or rights, or to abridge or to restrain natural liberty, by binding the parties to do or restraining them from doing, something which before they might have done or omitted, Fletcher v. Peck, 6 Cranch 87, in which it was laid down that a contract is either executory or executed; by the former, a party binds himself to do or not to do a particular thing; the latter is one in which the object of the contract is performed, and this differs in nothing from a "GRANT"; but whether executed or executory, they both contain obligations binding on the parties, and both are equally within the provisions of the Constitution of the United States which forbid the states, governments, courts, to pass laws impairing the obligation of contracts.

Your nations' liberty was restrained in giving the right to marry to these people. Your nations were restrained from establishing laws like AEDPA and PLRA that disproportionately effect African Americans, institutionalizing slavery and Jim Crow, placing into effect indictments that take away the presumption of innocence and the other laws you promote which defy "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". Your nations are in violation of the contract and the rights of the beneficiaries of the Trust. Cause is established.

A contract is also a "GRANT" by meaning within the Constitution. A Corporation defined by Mr. Justice Blackstone (2 Bl. Com. 37) is to be a franchise with power to maintain perpetual succession, and to do corporate acts. To this "GRANT", "CONTRACT", "FRANCHISE" are God, the King, which in this case is Adam, his wife and co-heir Eve and the person for whom the benefit is created, or Trustee for them which is the Sole Corporation, and those they may for whatever reason appoint. The assent of both are necessary which we have in this case.

The subjects of the "GRANT" are not only privileges and immunities, but property, real and intellectual, or, which is the same thing, a capacity to acquire and hold property in perpetuity. Certain obligations are created binding both the grantor and grantees. On the part of the former, it amounts to an extinguishment of the King's prerogative to bestow the same identical franchise on another corporate body, because it would prejudice his prior "GRANT". You cannot grant the same rights of

the contract (ei. Marriage) on another corporate body (ei. Sodomites and Gomorrahrites), because it would prejudice the prior "GRANT" given to heterosexuals and violate the terms of the "Contract", "Covenant", "Grant", "Franchise", (2Bl. Com. 37).

You cannot make laws or subject people to laws that defy "JUSTICE, FAIRNESS" and the terms of the Contract, Covenant set in place by God, Adam and Eve who were your forefathers as well as mine, binding us all as mankind. "SEEING THAT ABRAHAM SHALL SURELY BECOME A GREAT AND MIGHTY NATION, AND ALL THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD SHALL BE BLESSED IN HIM? FOR I KNOW HIM, THAT HE WILL "COMMAND"(EMPHASIS ADDED) (NOT ASK) HIS CHILDREN AND HIS HOUSEHOLD "AFTER", "AFTER" HIM, AND THEY "SHALL" (MANDATORY) KEEP THE WAY(LAWS) OF THE LORD, AND DO JUSTICE AND JUDGMENT *****". And right after this very passage you have God's reference to Sodom and Gomorrah. Coincidence? Not on your life. The terms of the contract are unambiguous. Corrupt judges, crooked, racist, brutal cops, slavery, Jim Crow laws, PLRA, AEDPA, same sex marriage. Your nations speak blasphemies, and you violated the terms of the contract mankind, permitting Jahjah to legally intervene, abolish all unjust laws, indictments, marriages and restore all terms of the contract, to which Judge Austin is Trustee. Such is done and established by decree of Jahjah Al Mahdi.

This fulfills the Prophecy written in Mark 9:12 which state, "ELIJAH MUST FIRST COME AND RESTORE ALL THINGS". Every alteration of the Contract, Covenant, however unimportant, even though you claimed was in the interest of "Democracy", though objected to by the rightful heirs to the Kingdom Of God, impaired the obligation of the contract. For it is impossible that a new contract or construction should not violate the old contract, covenant, through Moses, Christ and Muhammad(PBUT), which are "ONE". The reason is obvious---A Covenant, Testament, is a contract, to the validity of which the consent of both parties is essential, and therefore it cannot be altered or added or

made or unmade by the courts without consent of the Sole Corporation which was never given in cases, and or is officially withdrawn. This includes any laws made by your global legislative bodies. Your nations have interpreted law or placed in effect laws that make the Sole Corporation question your sanity and intent, as though your nations were in a drunken stupor. My People, Christians, Muslims, Jews, Africans and its diaspora will have "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". You are in breach of the Trust, of the "GRANT", of Contract, allowing me and my people to jointly bring action as beneficiaries of the Trust and The Fiduciary Heir of The Sole Corporation. see King v. Passmore, 3 T.R. 246; Territt v. Taylor, 9 Cranch 43; 1 Bl. Com. 469, 475, 1 Kyd. on Corp., 13, 69, 189; 1 Wooddes, 471, & C.; Attorney General v. Whorwood, 1 Ves. 534; St. John's College v. Todington, 1 Bl. Rep. 84 S.C. 1 Burr. 200; Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; M & G Polymers U.S.A., LLC v. Tachett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130 S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.ED.2d 998(U.S.2010); Trustees Of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; Tonbar v. Bangs, 69 U.S. 728, 1864 WL 6590, 17 L.Ed. 768, 2 Wall 728(1864); Oparah v. The New York City Dept. Of Educ., F.Supp.3d, 2015 WL 4240733 (N.Y.D.C.2015); International Ass'n Of Machinist Lodge 1652 v. Internal Aircraft Service Inc(Charleston), 302 F2d 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4th.Cir.1962). We seek Declaratory Judgment.

As aforesaid. The Trustee's duty of loyalty prohibits both self dealing and conflicts of interest: Thus, the Trustee(s) must neither (1) deal with Trust property for the benefit of himself or third parties, (2) place herself in a position inconsistent with interest of the Trust. Austin must recuse and ~~vacate~~ vacate the separation order. Duty of prudence, under the common law of Trust, require the Trustee to adhere to the law. PLRA and AEDPA are unconstitutional by the litigation presented. She broke the law established in Ex Parte Virginia by making use of it in this case in furtherance of the conspiracy. We motion for sanctions and any initial review or time for submission of motion for Summary Judgment by the defendants be

21 of 26

forfeited, hearing be set, hearing be scheduled, legal counsel be appointed, discovery issue and case be set for trial. All relief sought in case 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294 be granted via sanctions sought for the fraud, for the monies stolen from us, evidence spoliated, physical assaults, corrupt Judges, fictitious homicides being established to frame the King-Khalifah and racial animus consistently displayed. This is outrageous! We seek full faith and credit given to the default and we seek immediate removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c) and all other relief sought by all the (4) Mandamus that were filed. Judge Austin must be made to stand up and fulfill her duty as Trustee, Fifth Third Bon Corp. v. Dudenhoefer, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014). A Trustee shall invest and manage the Trust assets solely in the interest of the beneficiaries, Ws. 1977 & 4-10-905 Loyalty.

Under principles of equity, a Trustee bears an unwavering duty of complete loyalty to the beneficiaries of the Trust, to the exclusion of the interest of all others. To deter a Trustee from all temptation and to prevent any possible injury to the beneficiary, which occurred by her issuing this separation order, separating the plaintiffs from each other to cause harm to our proceedings. The Rule against the Trustee dividing her loyalties must be enforced with uncompromising rigidity. Self dealing by a Trustee or any Fiduciary is always suspect, and it is a universal Rule of equity that a Trustee shall not deal with Trust property to his or her own advantage without knowledge or consent of the Cestui Que Trust. She was given consent to establish the independent action, but not to separate us after that. She must be made to correct this injustice, Fobes v. Forbes, 341 P3d. 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015; N.L.R.B. v. Amex Coal Co.,...Div. Of Amax, Inc., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789(U.S.1981); Central States, Southeast and Southwest Arcas Pension Funds v. Central Transport, Inc., 472 U.S. 559, 105 S.Ct. 2833, 86 L.Ed.2d. 447(U.S.1985).

It is a general Rule of the common law (the reverse of that applied in ordinary cases) that a "GRANT", "CONTRACT",

22 of 26

"TESTAMENT" of a King-Khalifah at the suit of the grantee, is to be construed most beneficial for the King-Khalifah and most strictly against the grantee. Austin cannot be permitted to abuse the Trust. The laws which are connected to the convictions abuse the Trust and are called into account and are now given no effect being in egregious violation of the Contract. You are in forfeiture of all rights acquired under them by decree of The Global Theocratic King and Court whose power supersedes your global courts by the default and contract via such breach, emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. Its time for prison reform and opportunity to fix this mess with these Sodomites your nations devised. It is perspicuous that any act of a legislature or court within your nations which take away any powers of franchise vested by the **"COVENANT"**, **"TESTAMENT"**, **"CONTRACT"** in the Sole Corporation, or its Trustee, or which restrains or controls the legitimate exercise of them, or transfer them to other persons without its assent is a violation of the obligations of that contract. If the Court and Legislature claim such an authority it must be written within the **"WILL AND TESTAMENT"**, (3) HOLY BOOKS, to which it is not. Thus, the 4th. Circuit is bound to declare that the acts and injustices argued within the plaintiffs cases jointly and or separately do impair the obligations of the **"COVENANT"**, **"TESTAMENT"**, **"CONTRACT"** which in truth declare that there is no separation of church and state, which is obvious when your political candidates running for office consistently bring up their religious faith. The fact that the **"CHARITY"** (GRANT) given to you may be used in the public arenas don't make the Sole Corporation public. We are separate from you, a peculiar people, a chosen Kingdom and Priesthood designating limits placed on you via **"CONTRACT"** perpetually established restricted you giving this religious covenant to homosexuals and lesbians. As **"SALT OF THE EARTH"**, by the (4) pillars we bring suit.

Inasmuch, these claims can no longer be considered frivolous, or it be stated that we infringe upon rights that have

not been established. The claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 where the United States and other 192 Member States of the U.N. are parties to, make the claims true producing a preclusive effect preventing the courts from challenging these claims. Legal binding "CONTRACT" is placed before the courts.

It is perspicuous that the lower Federal and State Courts understood and knew this to be true or they would have never engaged themselves in acts of machination, criminal conspiracy, obstruction and fraud, attempting to remand when there was no discretionary remand to allow the state actors to obtain the fraudulent, tainted protective orders to misrepresent the truth of this matter. Due to this dilatory, obstructive behavior, sanctions must be imposed and the claims of Sovereignty be given full faith and credit in all court(s) records, Robinson v. U.S., 2015 WL 1524406; U.S. v. Sterling, 724 F3d. 482 CA4(Va.2013); Turner v. U.S., 736 F3d. 274 CA4(N.C.2013); Loveless v. John Ford Inc., 232 Fed. Appx' 229, 2007 WL 1381597 CA4(Va.2007).

We motion for Declaratory Judgment on all issues and claims within this document and all those attached.

We motion to supplement the causes of action in all pending federal cases with the claims, issues and defenses argued within this document and seek this Mandamus to remedy all issues of concern.

We want the injunction sought and all relief in cases 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141 granted. We seek the recusal of Judge Austin and Harwell and Austin be required to stand in her position as Trustee and transferring all cases after consolidation to New Jersey where trial will commence. We seek that all plaintiffs in all the cases referred to be removed to the nicest federal pre-release camp this nation has pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c). We want our Master's, our God's intellectual property given to the King-Khalifah, the Sole Corporation by default and inheritance returned. Marriage by contract in its original conception is a religious covenant and practice. Not merely

a Civil act or public practice. It is restricted by contract which you violated. The Sole Corporation's right to challenge is established.

The right to issuance of the Writ is indisputable. Austin must exercise power as the King-Khalifah's Trustee. Recusal is sought and other crucial matters. The Writ issues in all cases where the party hath a right to have anything done and hath no other specific means of compelling its performance. Thereupon it must issue as a "WILDCARD" remedy. The primary purpose of a Writ Of Mandamus is to grant an established right and or enforce an imperative duty. By the documents continuously filed over the years, 10+, to include the claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 that they criminally, fraudulently conspired to negate and failed. We have established the rights with sufficient certainty and shown the imperative duty placed upon the conspiring State and Federal actors only to be defrauded, criminally delayed and unjustly denied. The Writ in the interest of "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" must issue, Porter v. Jedziniak, 334 S.C. 16, 18, 512 S.E.2d. 497, 498(1999) citing Williams v. City Of Greenville, 243 S.C. 82, 132 S.E.2d. 169(1963); Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, 52 L.Ed.2d. 72(N.C.1977); Robinson v. Leahy, D.C.Ill. 1975, 401 F.Supp. 1027; Vistamar Inc. v. Vazquez, D.C. Puerto Rico, 1971, 337 F.Supp. 375; Wilverding v. Swensen, 1971, 404 U.S. 249, 92 S.Ct. 407, 30 L.Ed.2d 418; Buise v. Hudkins, CA Ind. 1978, 584 F2d. 223; IN RE: Wainwright, CA5 (Fla.) 1975, 518 F2d. 173; Will v. United States, 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 273, 19 L.Ed.2d. 305(1967); Kerr v. United States District Court For The Northern District Of California, 426 U.S. 394, 405, 96 S.Ct. 2119, 48 L.Ed.2d. 725 (1976); 3 Blackstone at * 110; IN RE: First Federal Savings & Loan Association Of Dorham, 860 F2d 135, 138 (4th.Cir.1988); 198 F3d. at 511; 695 F2d. 1179.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Anthony Cook', with a large circular flourish at the end.

ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

November 2, 2016

EXHIBIT-NUMBER-4

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

1-of-23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA

JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.

TISHBITE #300839 ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

C/A 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-

cv-1140-TLW-BM; 8:16-cv-

3328-RBH-JDA

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION
AGAINST JUDGES HARWELL, MERCHANT
, WOOTEN AND DEFENDANTS; MOTION
TO AMEND THE PARTIES TO ADD
THE NAME OF JUDGE STUART RABNER
OF THE N.J. SUPREME COURT;
ALSO SEEKING CLOCKED STAMPED
COPIES.

TO: JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE,
THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURTS AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT
OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE
OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT;
MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO
MOTION THEREFOR SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW, [14] PAGES DATED JULY
2, 2017.

(2) AN ADDITIONAL COPY OF THE LEAD PAGE OF THIS SUBMITTED DOCUMENT.

(3) AN ADDITIONAL COPY OF THE RESPONSE TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION THAT WAS SUBMITTED IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, [22] PAGES DATED MAY 16, 2017.

(4) AN ADDITIONAL COPY OF THE FIRST PAGE OF THE JASON MORRIS GOURDINE BRIEF FROM CASE 2016-000640 PENDING IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS THAT IS FILED IN THESE PARALLEL CASES AS AN EXHIBIT.

PER THE LETTER SENT TO ME BY THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, CHARLESTON DIVISION, DATED JUNE 29, 2017. THE COURT STATED THAT IT WOULD SEND ME CLOCKED STAMPED COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS I SUBMITTED IF I SEND AN ADDITIONAL COPY AND A SELF ADDRESSED STAMPED ENVELOPE. THAT SELF ADDRESSED STAMPED ENVELOPE IS ENCLOSED WITH THIS MAILING. A DUPLICATE OF THE LEAD PAGE TO THIS DOCUMENT. AN ADDITIONAL COPY OF THE [22] PAGE RESPONSE IS ATTACHED. A COPY OF THE LEAD PAGE TO THE JASON MORRIS GOURDINE BRIEF THAT IS FILED IN THIS AND THE PARALLEL CASES AS AN EXHIBIT IS NOW ATTACHED. PLEASE CLOCK STAMP THESE SUBMITTED ADDITIONAL PAGES AND OR DOCUMENTS ATTACHED, AND RETURN THEM TO ME AS QUICKLY AS YOUR TIME PERMITS. FOR THIS I WOULD BE GRATEFUL.

IN ADDRESSING THE ISSUES AT HAND. THE (14) PAGE DOCUMENT IS BEING SUBMITTED TO SUPPLEMENT THE ADDITIONAL CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST THE JUDGES AND DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES AND TO HIGHLIGHT THE ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD DONE BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES WHICH FURTHER REQUIRE THE RECUSAL AND DISQUALIFICATION OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, TO INCLUDE THE NEW JERSEY AND RICHLAND COURT JUDGES INVOLVED. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES USED THE FRAUDULENTLY OBTAINED, TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDER TO PERMIT THEM TO USE THAT ORDER OBTAINED FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO RENDER A CRIMINAL DECISION IN CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA, TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF THE NEW JERSEY CASE 077386 TO ALLOW JUDGE STUART RABNER TO CLOSE THAT CASE IN EFFORTS TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT IN THE APPEAL IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT UNDER CASE 17-

6693 AND THE MUQUIT APPEAL BEING PROCESSED. JUDGE HARWELL AND RABNER ARE NOT IMMUNE WHERE THEY CONSPIRED IN JURISDICTIONS TO WHICH THEY HAVE NO POWER OR AUTHORITY, NAMELY, THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS. THEY DID THIS FOR AMONG MANY REASONS, TO INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION OVER CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA TO NEGATE OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF CASE 077386 IN THE NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT TO ALLOW JUDGE RABNER TO ACT TO CLOSE THAT CASE BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COULD GIVE REVIEW SINCE WE WERE SEEKING TRANSFER TO THAT STATE. THE JUDGES FURTHER CONSPIRED IN THE RICHLAND COURT BECAUSE BY THE DEFAULT TRUSTEE IS APPOINTED AND THE JUDGES WOULD NOT HAVE HAD JURISDICTION TO ACT AND CLOSE THE CASES WHERE BY THE DEFAULT JURISDICTION OVER THESE CASES BELONG TO THE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OF JUDGE AUSTIN, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE. YOUR ACTIONS CONSTITUTE VIOLATIONS OF THE RICO ACT AND ARTICLE III SECTION 1 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION WHERE YOU HAVE NOT HELD YOUR OFFICE IN GOOD FAITH. YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE FROM SUIT OR PROSECUTION, BROWN-v.-BROWN, F.Supp. 2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-v.-hhGREG-INC., F.Supp. 2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.3013); U.S.C.A. § 1962; YUCAIPA-AMERICAN ALLIANCE-FUND-I,-L.P.-v.-EHALICH, F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 4582519 (DEL.2016); WALLACE-v.-BEAUMONT-INDEPENDENT-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 2016 WL 6666833(Tex.2016); IN-RE:-WALLACE, 649 Fed. Appx' 298 (MEM)(4TH.Cir.2016); BACCUS-v.-MERCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC. 2014); FORRESTER-v.-WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); ABRAHAM-v.-INCORPORATED-VILLAGE-OF-MEMSTEAD, 390 Fed. Appx' 4, 2010 WL 3025191(2nd.Cir.2010); JIN-ZHAO-v.-STATE-UNIVERSITY OF-NEW-YORK, 613 Fed. Appx' 61(2nd.Cir.2015); GROSS-v.-RELL, 585 F3d. 72(2nd.Cir.2009); HUMINISKI-v.-CORSONES, 386 F3d. 116 (2nd.Cir.2004); POPICK-v.-COMMISSIONER-OF-SOCIAL-SEC., 32 F.Supp. 3d. 157; GRUPO-DALAFLUX-v.-ATLAS-GLOBAL-GROUP,-L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); LOUMIET-v. UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); FIFTH-THIRD-BONCORP-v. DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457 (U.S.2014); FOBES v.-FORBES, 341 P3d. 1041, 2015 WY. 13 JAN. 23, 2015; N.L.R.B. v.-AMAX-COAL-CO.,...DIV.-OF-AMAX,-INC. 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct.

2789(U.S.1981); MESHAL-v.-HIGGENBOTHAM, U.S. COURT OF APP.,
DIST. OF COL, 804 F3d. 417, 420 U.S. APP. D.C.; KLAYMAN-v.-OBAMA,
125 F.Supp.3d. 67(U.S.D.C.2015); WITTMAN-v.-PERSONHUBALLAH,
136 S.Ct. 1732, 195 L.Ed.2d. 37, 84 U.S.L.W. 4322(U.S.2016).

THE ORDERS ISSUED IN CASES 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA AND IN
THE NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ARE TAINTED
. THEY MUST BE RENDERED VOID FOR THE FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIO-
LATION. BOTH ORDERS IN THESE CASES ARE MOTIONED TO BE VACATED
AND THOSE CASES REINSTATED WHICH IS SOUGHT WITHIN (10) DAYS
OF RECEIVING THEM, TOLLING ANY TIME OF APPEAL. EVEN BETTER YET.
I GIVE ALL PARTIES NOTICE THAT BY THE DEFAULT THAT BINDS ALL
COURTS NATIONALLY DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY TO THE
DEFAULT. I AM OFFICIALLY EXERCISING THAT SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL
POWER GIVEN TO ME BY THE DEFAULT. THE ORDERS ISSUED BY THIS
FRAUD IN CASES 077386 AND 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA ARE VACATED AND
BOTH THESE CASES ARE TECHNICALLY ON REMAND LIMITING THE POWER
OF THE COURTS TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND
ACKNOWLEDGE THE JURISDICTION OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE
OVER THESE MATTERS. YOU ARE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO ALL RELIEF
SOUGHT OR ADDITIONAL PENALTIES SHALL ATTACH BY DECREE AND JUDG-
MENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED, ELDERBERRY
OF-WEBER-CITY,-LLC.-v.-LIVING-CENTERS--SOUTHEAST,-INC.,--F3d.-
-, 2015 WL 4430836 CA4 (Va.2015); NORBREGA-v.-HINKLE, 576 Fed.
Appx' 224 CA4 (Va.2014); FOX-EX-REL.-FOX-v.-ELK-RUN-COAL-CO.
INC., 739 F3d. 131 CA4 (2014); U.S.-v.-KWAI-FUN-WONG, 135 S.Ct.
1625, 191 L.Ed.2d. 533, 83 U.S.L.W. 4258(U.S.2015); BUSTAMONTE
v. BOROUGH-OF-PARAMUS, 413 N.J. SUPER. 276, 994 A.2d. 573(N.J.
2010); DOUGHTY-v. DOUGHTY, 27 N.J. Eq. 315, 1876 WL 8351, 12
C.E. GREEN 315(N.J.1876); NEW-JERSEY-DIVISION-OF-CHILD-PROTECTION
AND-PERMANENCY-v.-C.D., A.3d., 2016 WL 6872985(N.J.2016); LUONG
CHAU-v.-KHON-KIM-CHAU, A.3d. 2014 WL 7671570(N.J.2014); MORALES
v.-MORALES, A.3d., 2014 WL 3919040(N.J.2015).

THUS, THE DETERMINATIONS MADE IN CASES 077386 AND 8:16-
cv-3328-RBH-JDA ARE TAINTED, CANNOT STAND, AND ARE IN CLEAR
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. THEY CANNOT BE USED

BY ANY COURT EXCEPT TO ADDRESS THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED THEM DESIGNED TO PREVENT US FROM BEING FAIRLY AND PROPERLY HEARD ON THE ISSUES BEFORE THE COURTS. THE ACTIONS OF THE JUDGES INVOLVED PROVE THEIR MUTUAL AGREEMENT IN THE CONSPIRACY TO CLOSE THESE CASES BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT REVIEW COULD OCCUR DUE TO THE MAGNITUDE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, INVOKING EXTRA TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION PERMITTING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO ACT TO CORRECT.

LET'S EXAMINE THEIR ACTS. FIRST, THE COURT IN CASE 077386 GRANTS THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT THE APPELLANT BRIEF. THEN ON THAT SAME EXACT DAY. IN THE SAME EXACT SITTING, THAT COURT DISMISSES THE CASE. WHAT KIND OF CRAZY, ALLY-OOPY, HERE WE GO ROUND' THE MULBERRY BUSH, MESS THIS CORRUPT NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT JUDGE GOT GOING ON? WHERE IS THIS DONE AND IS APPROPRIATE? THEIR ACTIONS CONFLICT AND CONTRADICTS THE ORDERS PRESENTED BY THE NEW JERSEY COURT. I, WE, OBJECT.

THE CONSPIRING NEW JERSEY JUDGE ALSO WANTED THE CASE CLOSED BEFORE I COULD ADDRESS AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, BECAUSE HE KNEW THEY FRAUDULENTLY OBTAINED THE PROTECTIVE ORDER IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS". SO THE NEW JERSEY JUDGE FRAUDULENTLY STATED NO SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION EXISTED, WHICH OF COURSE IS A BLATANT LIE, BECAUSE HE DID NOT WANT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO GIVE REVIEW FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRANSFER, OR ACKNOWLEDGE THAT TRUSTEE WAS APPOINTED OVER THESE CASES, WHICH IS ALSO A SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION UNDER RULE 2:2-1(a) AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, AND IS JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE WHICH CAN BE USED TO VACATE HIS ORDER. JUDGE RABNER'S FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THESE FACTS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, PLACES A SUBSTANTIAL BURDEN ON THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT, GRANT GIVEN TO THIS NATION BY THE SOLE CORPORATION REQUIRING THAT THE JUDGMENT BE RENDERED VOID UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION (SEE MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299 FILED IN THESE CASES).

JUDGE HARWELL, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, SITTING UPON HIS OWN CASE IN VIOLATION OF WILLIAMS

v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) PRODUCING A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS HIS JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, MAKES A BOGUS, FRAUDULENT RULING IN CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF CASE 077386 TO THE FEDERAL CASE TO ALLOW JUDGE RABNER TO ACT, ONCE THEY IN CONCERT GOT JUDGE BENJAMIN TO ACT IN THE RICHLAND COURT. THEY CONSPIRED ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS IN VIOLATION OF THE RICO ACT FOR THE PURPOSES OF ESSENTIALLY ESTABLISHING MODERN DAY SLAVERY, TO INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION AND NEGATE OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. THEY DID THIS TO HAMPER, TWART AND OR PREVENT 4TH. CIRCUIT REVIEW WHICH ESTABLISHES A SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION UNDER RULE 2:2-1(a) AND FEDERAL AS WELL AS STATE DUE PROCESS LAW, IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS. THERE IS CHALLENGE TO BOTH JUDGE HARWELL AND RABNER'S JURISDICTION TO ENTER THESE ORDERS IN CASE 077386 AND 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA WHERE DUE TO THEIR ACTS OF FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, THE MERITS OF THE CASE ARE NOT MOOT, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE ISSUES RELATED TO HABEAS CORPUS WERE NEVER ADDRESSED BY BOTH JUDGES HARWELL AND RABNER, PRODUCING CLEAR CITING OF LAW ADDRESSING THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXIST IN THE INDICTMENTS AROUND THIS NATION. ALL THE MERITS, EVEN THOSE PURSUANT TO HABEAS CORPUS ARE NOT ANSWERED ON ALL ISSUES, WHICH IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROVCESS LAW. THEY WERE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE WELL SETTLED LAW ADDRESSING "EACH" (EMPHASIS ADDED) ISSUE WHICH WE SOUGHT AND STILL SEEK, TO INCLUDE THOSE ISSUES RAISED RELATED TO CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH IS REMOVED TO THESE FEDERAL CASES AND WHERE THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECT DIRECTLY IMPACTS CASE 077386. I, WE, OBJECT. THIS SHOWS A CLEAR ABUSE OF DISCRETION ON THE PART OF BOTH THESE JUDGES. THIS IS NOT A EFFORT AT JUDICIAL ECONOMY, BUT AN EFFORT, PLOT AND SCHEME AT FRAUD, MACHINATION, TO AVOID RECUSAL AND TRANSFER OF THESE PARALLEL CASES TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY AS THE PETITIONERS SOUGHT AND STILL SEEK BY SANCTIONS, U.S. v. DIETZ, 51 F3d. 269, 1995 WL 133353 (4TH.); SCAN SERVICE INC. v. MITEL NETWORK CORP., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2550719(2011); GARCIA FINANCIAL GROUP INC. v. VIRGINIA ACCELERATORS CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2011 WL 117497(4TH.Cir.2011); BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285;

JUDGE HARWELL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, STATED THAT THE ISSUES WERE INCOMPREHENSIBLE AND INCOHERENT?, THAT LYING SNAKE, RAT, BASTARD AND SCORPION OF A GOING TO HELL JUDGE, IN HIS FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 OF THE U.S. CONST. AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. ALL ONE WOULD HAVE TO DO IS REVIEW THE DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE CASE. REVIEW THE (22) PAGE RESPONSE TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, THE JASON GOURDINE BRIEF, THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT AND INDEPENDENT ACTION PRODUCED BY YOU JUDGES FRAUD THAT CAME OUT OF CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA THAT ALSO ESTABLISH THE PARALLEL CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; 2:17-cv-1127-JMS-MGB; 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB AND THE ADDITIONAL PARALLEL CASES FILED BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT. LOOK AT THE (240) PAGE KERSHAW COUNTY PETITION THAT IS FILED IN CASE 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, WHICH JUDGES MERCHANT AND WOOTEN CLEARLY COMPREHENDED. LOOK AT THE CASES IN THE STATE COURT WHICH JUDGES CHILDS, LEE, BENJAMIN. MANNING, GRIFITH, BARBER III AND ALL OTHER JUDGES COMPREHENDED WITHOUT ANY DIFFICULTY. IT BECOMES PERSPICUOUS THAT JUDGE HARWELL IS LYING ENGAGING IN ACTS OF FRAUD REQUIRING HIS RECUSAL PRODUCING BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL.

THE INDICTMENTS, BY THE LANGUAGE CONTAINED THEREIN, TAKE AWAY THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE. THEY PREDETERMINE GUILT, TO INCLUDE THE ESSENTIAL "MENS REA" ELEMENTS, BEFORE ANY PROCEEDINGS BEGIN. THE GRAND JURY BY THE LANGUAGE CONTAINED THEREIN, HAS ILLEGALLY TAKEN UPON THEMSELVES THE ROLE OF THE TRIAL JURY AND HAS CONVICTED A PERSON, GOING BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO THEM BY STATE AND OR FEDERAL LAW, AND OR BY STATE AND OR FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. YOU HAVE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT DEFRAUDING US AND ALL INMATES IN THIS STATE, IN THE STATE-V.-GENTRY CASE IN ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION REGARDING THE USE OF INDICTMENTS AND THE S.C DISTRICT COURT WAS PRIVY TO IT, CONSPIRING TO PREVENT FEDERAL RELIEF BEHIND RACIAL HATRED ESTABLISHING LAW IN VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880) ESTA-

BLISHING ESSENTIALLY A NEW FORM OF MODERN DAY SLAVERY AND LAWS THAT DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICAN AND MINORITY POPULATIONS OF THIS STATE IN YOUR ALT RIGHT, WHITE RACIAL BONDING , CREATING A NEW FORM OF JIM CROW LAWS AND A RACIAL CASTE SYSTEM IN VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA with THE INTENT TO KEEP US BRANDED AS FELONS SO YOU CAN DENY MY PEOPLE THE RIGHT TO VOTE AND KILL OUR POLITICAL VOICE NATIONALLY, OR AT LEAST DIMINISH IT, IN VIOLATION OF THE 13TH., 14TH. AND 15TH. AMENDMENTS WHERE WE CAN NO LONGER BE DEEMED "DULY" CONVICTED IN VIOLATION OF S.C. CODE ANN § 17-25-10 AND THE LAWS OF DUE PROCESS. THEN THESE CORRUPT JUDGES AND DEFENDANTS WANT TO CONSPIRE ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO TWART REVIEW IN VIOLATION OF THE RICO ACT MAKING EFFORTS TO HARM AND DENY THE INMATES WITHIN THIS NATION. YOU ADD TO THIS THE FEDERAL COURTS ARE STILL CONSISTENTLY MAKING USE OF PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT AND ANTI EFFECTIVE DEATH PENTALTY ACT (AEDPA) PROVISIONS, WHICH PRODUCE THE SAME DETRIMENTAL EFFECT, TARGETING AFRICAN AMERICANS AND MINORITIES FOR WHICH WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES FOR IN THESE PARALLEL CASES. THEN YOU ADD THE CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT OF THE INDICTMENT ISSUES, ALSO RELATED TO THE STRUCTURAL ERROR PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED AND OTHER DEFECTS. THEN YOU ADD THE CONVICTION ISSUES THAT EXIST INDEPENDENTLY IN EACH PARALLEL CASE FOR EACH PETITIONER. THE ISSUES ARE CONSPICUOUS AND ANY FOOL CAN SEE THAT THEY PRESENT SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS. I'M A DANGEROUS MAN WITH A LITTLE LAW IN MY POCKET, KEEP UP!!! WHY YA' MAD? FIX YOUR FACE YOU RAT, STINKING, CORRUPT, DEMON DOG OF JUDGES IN BOTH NEW JERSEY, HARWELL AND THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT. NONE OF THIS WAS ADDRESSED IN THE ORDERS PRODUCED BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION.

EVEN THOUGH THE JUDGES INVOLVED WRONGFULLY CONSPIRED TO CIRCUMVENT AND OR ATTEMPTED TO MAKE THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CLAIMS APPEAR FRIVOLOUS BUT FAILED SINCE YOU CANNOT PRODUCE AN UNTAINTED ORDER FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT IS NOT COMING UNDER ATTACK VIA SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL. THE LEGAL ISSUES ATTACKING THE CONVICTIONS CANNOT BE LEGALLY VIEWED AS FRIVOLOUS BY HISTORICAL PRECEDENT. JUDGE HARWELL, YOU "POND-PUNK". YOU HAVE NO JURISDICTION TO ENTER ANY STRIKE BY YOUR FRAUD DUE TO

THE TRUSTEE HAVING JURISDICTION OVER THIS CASE. I, WE OBJECT. STATE AND FEDERAL LAW OF ALL STATES MANDATE THAT ALL NON FRIVOLOUS ISSUES WERE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD BY BOTH YOU CORRUPT JUDGES ABSENT OF FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION. NOT ONLY DO THE ISSUES AFORE MENTIONED EXIST. BUT YOU ALSO HAVE IN THIS CASE THE STATE SUPPRESSING EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE BY NOT TESTING THAT DNA FROM MY CHILD TO MICHAEL LEE, MY STEPSON, HER HALF BROTHER IN VIOLATION OF CLEAR S.C. STATUTE AND CODE ANN. §§ 17-25-10; 17-28-350; 17-28-70; 17-7-25 AND 23-3-635 FOR THE PURPOSE OF CREATING A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE AND CONCEAL THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH AND FRAME ME BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED BECAUSE I CLAIM TO BE THE RIGHTFUL HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES.

YOU HAVE THE STATE ILLEGALLY SEIZING EVIDENCE FROM MY HOME AND USING SUCH AT TRIAL WITHOUT THE ISSUANCE OF A SEARCH WARRANT IN VIOLATION OF THE 4TH. AMENDMENT.

YOU HAVE THE STATE MAKING USE OF AND BRINGING MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS UP AT TRIAL WHEN IT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CHARGE OF MURDER WHICH DID NOT BREAK ANY LAWS, ESSENTIALLY CONVICTING ME FOR THESE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS IN VIOLATION OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT

YOU HAVE THE STATE BRINGING UP THE 1986 NEW JERSEY CONVICTION AT THE SENTENCING PHASE OF THE PROCEEDINGS TO SEEK LIFE AS OPPOSED TO (30) YEARS CREATING A RESET TO ATTACK THE NEW JERSEY CHARGE ESSENTIALLY USED FOR ENHANCEMENT PURPOSES.

THEN YOU HAVE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HOLDING ME AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE FOR ALMOST (5) YEARS WITHOUT BRINGING ME TO TRIAL IN VIOLATION OF THE S.C. CONSTITUTION, S.C. SUPREME COURT ORDER AND THE LAWS OF DUE PROCESS.

YOU HAVE THE STATE FALSIFYING MY TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ON DIRECT APPEAL TO AFFIRM THE CONVICTION. WHEN I MADE EFFORT TO ACT PRO SE TO ADDRESS THE MATTERS THEY DENIED ME THIS CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS RIGHT. WHEN I BROUGHT IT BEFORE THE DISTRICT

COURT. JUDGES MERCHANT AND WOOTEN AIDED THEM IN GETTING AWAY WITH IT. NONE OF THIS WAS ADDRESSED IN THE HARWELL ORDER THE CORRUPT, BASTARD AND COCKROACH OF A JUDGE. HARWELL'S ONLY INTENT WAS TO CLOSE THE CASE TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF CASE 077386 TO AID JUDGE RABNER CLOSE THAT CASE TO PREVENT OR HINDER TRANSFER AND 4TH. CIRCUIT REVIEW. THE ORDERS ARE VACATED FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURTS YOU "DOOKIE FOR BRAINS" JUDGES. ITS TIME TO END THIS MADNESS.

THEN YOU ADD TO THIS THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND CONSPIRING DEFENDANTS ATTACKING THE OTHER INMATES IN THE PARALLEL CASES DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN ACTS OF SPOILIATION AND OTHER OUTRAGEOUS ACTS, RETALIATING AGAINST THEM IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C.A. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT BECAUSE THEY WORKED TO ASSIST ME IN THE EXERCISE OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND FREEDOM OF RELIGION. THE ISSUES IN THESE PARALLEL CASES ARE OVERWHELMINGLY OBVIOUS AND NOTHING IN THESE ORDERS ADDRESS ANY OF THESE ISSUES IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION. I, WE OBJECT. THE CRIMINAL ACTS OF CONVERSION WHERE JUDGE SEYMOUR ILLEGALLY SEIZED THE MONIES FROM THE ROBERT MITCHELL ACCOUNT, HIS CASE PRESENTLY FILED UNDER 5:17-cv-1364-BHH-KDW HAS NOT EVEN BEEN ADDRESSED. THIS MONEY STOLEN IN ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONVERSION WAS OWED TO ME. THIS GIVES ME LEGAL STANDING TO SUE FOR ITS RETURN. LIKE YOUR WHITE KU KLUX KLANSMAN, WHITE NATIONALIST, DONALD DUCK, DUNCE DOG OF A PRESIDENT. ALL JUDGE HARWELL SPITTED OUT WAS "FAKE NEWS". PLEASE DO NOT INVOLVE YOURSELF IN THIS CONSPIRACY MICHELLE. YOU ARE MORE HONORABLE THAN THAT. YOU TO JACQUELYN. ITS TIME TO CHOSE SIDES KAYMANI. I'M FIGHTING FOR OUR ANCESTORS RIGHTS LADIES WHOM THE INHABITANTS OF THIS RACIST, BASTARD NATION BUTCHERED AND SLAUGHTERED, RIPPING UNBORN FETUS' FROM OUR FORE MOTHERS WOMBS, BURNING THEM AND HANGING THEM FROM TREES AS "STRANGE FRUIT". GET OUT OF MY WAY AND LET ME HANDLE THESE CORRUPT GOING TO HELL JUDGES.

JUDGES MERCHANT AND WOOTEN IN CASE 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM, AND YOU, JUDGE "DOOKIE FOR BRAINS" HARWELL, IN CASE 8:14-cv-

3555-RBH-JDA, ONLY CONCERN WAS A HECK-V.-HUMBREYS ISSUE AND THE REQUIREMENT OF EXHAUSTION. IN THE MUQUIT CASE HARWELL YOU SNAKE. YOU UNDERSTOOD ALL THE CLAIMS THAT WERE MADE. ANY CLAIM NOW TO THE CONTRARY IS SUSPECT, TO SAY THE LEAST, AND AN ACT OF FRAUD DESIGNED TO KEEP US FROM BEING HEARD ON THESE ISSUES. YOU DONALD DUCK, DUNCE TRUMP RACIST FLUNKIE. THE CONVICTIONS ARE NOW INVALIDATED BY CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH IS A FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT ARGUING PREEMPTION, SOVEREIGNTY CLAIMS, NAME CHANGE, THE OBSTRUCTION DONE BY S.C.D.C. TO PREVENT THESE CASES FROM GOING FORWARD AND OTHER ISSUES TO WHICH THE STATE AND PARTIES ARE IN DEFAULT. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. ROSS-V.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) SAYS YOU WERE WRONG JUDGES MERCHANT AND WOOTEN WHEN YOU CLAIMED THAT I HAD TO EXHAUST IN CASE 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM WHICH ALSO INVALIDATE THE STRIKES YOU PEOPLE UNJUSTLY PLACED ON ME. ALL STRIKES ISSUED BY THIS COURT MUST BE ABOLISHED. I MOTION FOR SUCH. I WAS NOT REQUIRED TO EXHAUST THE MINUTE THE STATE FALSIFIED MY TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ON DIRECT APPEAL AND DENIED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION IN THAT COURT AS A MEANS TO BLOCK ME ADDRESSING THE ISSUES IN ACTS OF MACHINATION. BY THAT DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 I AM THE RIGHTFUL HEIR, KING, HIGH PRIEST OF THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE, THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF MENYELEK. THE SON OF KING SOLOMON AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA WHOM THIS NATION KIDNAPPED MY ANCESTORS IN BRUTAL ACTS OF SLAVERY. YOU DID NOT THINK THAT THESE BRUTAL ACTS OF TORTURE DONE TO MY PEOPLE WOULD NOT COME BACK TO BITE YOU TREACHEROUS BASTARDS OF THIS NATION? GOD IS A JUST GOD. REPARATIONS BY MY RIGHT AS THE TRUE HEIR TO THE THRONE ARE BEING SOUGHT FOR MY ENSLAVED ANCESTORS. A GREAT PORTION OF THE MONEY I AM SEEKING BY LIEN BELONGS TO EVERY BLACK MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD IN AMERICA. A MILLION A PIECE, FREE EDUCATION, A HOME OF THEIR OWN IS TO BE GIVEN TO THEM WITH PROPER HEALTH CARE. THIS NATION OWES MY PEOPLE THIS WHERE YOUR PRESENT WEALTH IS BUILT OFF OF THE BLOOD, DEATH AND SUFFERING OF MY PEOPLE. NONE OF THIS WAS ADDRESSED IN YOUR ORDER JUDGE HARWELL. YOU RAT, BASTARD AND SNAKE. HOW IS THIS NOW FOR YOUR COMPREHENSION OF THE ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT JUDGE HARWELL, YOU STUPID, RETARDED, GOING TO HELL, FLYING MONKEY, CRACKHEAD, VILLAGE IDIOT

JUDGE. YOU WERE REQUIRED TO ADDRESS ALL NON FRIVOLOUS ISSUES BY LAW. THIS WAS NOT DONE IN THE ORDERS PRODUCED BY THESE CORRUPT JUDGES HARWELL AND RABNER. I, WE OBJECT. THE ORDERS ARE VACATED, TOLBERT-v.-STEVENSON, 635 F3d. 646(4TH.Cir.2011); LARKINORE v.-HOOKS, 289 Fed. Appx' 576, 2008 WL 3063704; HINES-v.-THOMAS, 2016 WL 4492816; FOX-v.-VICE, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S. 2011); WOODFORD-v.-GARCEAU, 538 U.S. 202, 123 S.Ct. 1398, 155 L.Ed.2d. 363(2003); CULLEN-v.-PINHOLSTER, 563 U.S. 170, 131 S.Ct. 1388, 179 L.Ed.2d. 557(2011); SMITH-v.-ROBBINS, 528 U.S. 259, 120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d. 756(2000). YOU DEVILS VIOLATED DUE PROCESS LAW WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. THIS IS MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE BY YOUR FRAUD, JOHNSON-STEEL-STREET-RAIL-CO.-v.-WILLIAM-WHARTON JR.-&-CO., 152 U.S. 252, 14 S.Ct. 608, 38 L.Ed. 429(U.S.1894).

INSOMUCH, SINCE YOU HARWELL, LIKE JUDGE GERBEL, MUST BE ONE OF JUDGE KAYMANI WICKED WITCH OF THE WEST'S "FLYING MONKEYS" ALSO. FLYING MONKEYS AIN'T SUPPOSE TO FLY AND READ. SO ALL SEEMS INCOMPREHENSIBLE TO YOU. BUT LETS CONTINUE TO PROVE YOUR FRAUD DOG AND GOING TO HELL JUDGE. ITS AN INDISPUTABLE FACT THAT EVERY DOCUMENT THAT WAS FILED IN CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA WAS JUST ABOUT FILED IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. AT NO TIME WHEN GIVING REVIEW UNDER CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA DID YOU OR JUDGE AUSTIN EVER CLAIM OR ADJUDICATE THAT ANY CLAIM WAS INCOMPREHENSIBLE OR INCOHERENT. ALL YOU FRAUDULENTLY CLAIMED, WHERE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL WAS PARTY AND SERVED, WAS THAT A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ISSUE EXISTED, WHICH OF COURSE, NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ISSUE EXISTED CORRUPT JUDGE. AT NO TIME, AT NO TIME, IN YOUR FRAUD DID YOU OR JUDGE AUSTIN EVER STATE THAT YOU COULD NOT COMPREHEND THE CLAIMS, ISSUES OR DEFENSES THAT WERE MADE. THE LAW IS CLEAR, YOU STINK TERMITE, PISS ANT AND DIRT WORM. IF THE SAME RIGHT IS INVOKED IN TWO ACTIONS, JUDGMENT IN THE FIRST BARS CONSIDERATION NOT ONLY ON ALL MATTERS ACTUALLY RAISED. IT ALSO BARS CONSIDERATION ON THOSE THAT COULD HAVE BEEN RAISED. THE DETERMINATION AND CLAIMS YOU MADE IN CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA WERE NOT MADE IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA WHEN YOU INITIALLY REVIEWED THESE EXACT SAME MATTERS. JUDGES

MERCHANT AND WOOTEN IN CASE 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM DID NOT EVER STATE THAT THEY COULD NOT COMPREHEND THE CLAIMS, TO INCLUDE THE SUBSEQUENT CASES THEY REVIEWED ADDRESSING THESE MATTERS. ALL JUDGES IN THE RICHLAND COURT, JUDGE CHILDS BEFORE SHE TRANSFERRED TO THIS COURT. JUDGES LEE, BENJAMIN, MANNING, BARBER, JEAN TOAL, GRIFITH ALL UNDERSTOOD THE ISSUES SO WELL THEY PLACED THE CASE ON THE COMPLEX CASE DOCKET AND REFUSED TO DISMISS THE PLEADINGS. HARWELL YOU RAT, STINKING CRUMB CAKE WITH THE BRAINS OF A FLYING MONKEY AND DEAD LOBSTER. IF YOU DIDN'T MAKE THESE CLAIMS BEFORE WHERE THE EXACT SAME DOCUMENTS, ISSUES, CLAIMS ARE FILED AND RAISED IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. YOU ARE PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM CLAIMING YOU COULD NOT UNDERSTAND THE ISSUES OR MAKING ANY OTHER DETERMINATION OTHER THAN A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CLAIM NOT ONLY BY DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. YOU ARE ALSO BARRED BY RES JUDICATA FROM CASES 0:06-cv-2459-TLW-BM AND 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. I, WE OBJECT YOU BASTARD DEMON DOG. THIS ALSO SERVES TO SUBSTANTIATE YOUR FRAUD AND PART IN THE CONSPIRACY, AND PROVE THAT YOU HAVE THE BRAINS OF A "PEANUT", CRACKHEAD, VILLAGE IDIOT, FLYING MONKEY JUDGE, LIKE YOUR JUDICIAL COHORTS. I'LL SEE YA' AT THE DOGGON' PARTY. RES JUDICATA ATTACHES, EICHMAN-v.-FOTOMAT-CORP., 147 Cal. App.3d. 1170, 1174(1983); KEARNEY-v.-FOLEY-AND-LARDNER, LLP., 2016 WL 5405552(2016); PRESSLEY-v.-McMASTER, 2016 WL 1106601(DSC.2016); ZINN-v.-C.F.I.-SALES-MARKETING,-LTD., 415 S.C. 93, 780 S.E.2d. 611(S.C.2015); CATABAW-INDIAN-NATION-v. STATE, 407 S.C. 526, 756 S.E.2d. 900(S.C.2014); ARATA-v.-VILLAGE WEST-OWNERS-ASS'N-INC., 2011 WL 11735004, * 2+, S.C. App.; WILSON v.- GMAC-MORTG.-LLC. F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967(DSC.2015).

I EXPAND THE SCOPE AND SEEK INCLUSION. ALL DOCUMENTS THAT ARE FILED IN THE PARALLEL CASES ARE NOW FILED IN CASES 077386 AND 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA FOR PURPOSES OF ADDRESSING VENUE, RECUSAL AND RES JUDICATA, TO ALSO DEMONSTRATE THE DAMAGE PRODUCED BY THESE JUDGES PRESENCE ON THESE CASES. A COURT CAN LOOK AT THESE CASES WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION IN ADDRESSING SUCH MATTERS, SOUTHERN-PAC.-R.-CO.-v.-U.S., 168 U.S. 1, 18 S.Ct. 18, 42 L.Ed. 355(U.S.1897); NOELL-CRANE-SYSTEMS-GmbH-v.-NOELL-CRANE-AND-SER-

VICES, -INC., 677 F.Supp.2d. 852(2009); KNIGHT-v.-MANUFACTURERS &-TRADERS-TRUST-CO., 84 F.Supp.3d. 436(D.Md.2015); BARDES-v. SOUTH-CAROLINA, F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 1498190(DSC.2010).

JUDGE RABNER. I AND THE PETITIONERS INVOLVED IN THESE PARALLEL CASES MOTION FOR YOUR RECUSAL. JUST CONCERN YOURSELF WITH THE FACT THAT I SEEK SUCH. I, WE, ALSO RENEW OUR MOTION FOR THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S RECUSAL AND OR DISQUALIFICATION IN THE COURT'S ENTIRETY. WE RENEW OUR MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE TO THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT ALSO BY SANCTIONS SINCE THE JUDGES CONSPIRED IN ACTS OF FRAUD TO PREVENT THIS TRANSFER. WE SEEK THIS DESPITE WHAT THEY JUST CRIMINALLY DONE WITH CASE 077386. THEIR OBSTRUCTIVE, FRAUDULENT AND DILATORY BEHAVIOR MUST BE DEEMED FORFEITURE OF ANY OPPOSITION TO THIS REQUEST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404 AND 1407. BY THESE OUTRAGEOUS, CRAZY, CRIMINAL ACTS. NONE OF YOU RAT, STINKING, BASTARD, COCKROACHES OF JUDGES SHOULD HAVE EVER BEEN PERMITTED TO SIT UPON THESE CASES. NOT YOU JUDGE CHILDS. YOU KNOW YOUR BROTHER LOVES YOU, BUT I MUST PROTECT THESE CASES. AT PRESENT, EVEN YOU CAN POTENTIALLY BE INFLUENCED BY THESE SNAKES AND SCORPIONS. THE PRESENCE OF THESE BASTARD, CORRUPT JUDGES, WHO CONSPIRED TO SIT UPON THEIR OWN CASES TO AVOID BEING SUED, DEFIES JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS, CREATING HAVOC, PRODUCED INDISCRIMINATE DAMAGE AND PRODUCED MORE THAN ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS THEIR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. IT PROVES THEIR PRESENCE PRODUCED BIAS OF SUCH A MAGNITUDE, OF SUCH A LEVEL, IT BECOMES UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHERE THEY POSSESSED AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME OF THESE PROCEEDINGS. EXTRA JUDICIAL SOURCE FOR BIAS IS NOT A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR RECUSAL. IT IS BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL THAT MANDATES RECUSAL WHICH OCCURRED IN THESE CASES. TRANSFER THESE CASES TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL, ATTORNEY AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS BIND THIS COURT AND ALL OTHER COURTS WITHIN THIS NATION, IN-RE:-SYNTAX-BRILLIAN CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016); WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016); IN-RE:-LUNDY, 2016 WL 6108524(D.C.OHIO 2016); ETHRIDGE-v.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 337749(N.C.2016);

DACRES-v.-ATTORNEY-GENERAL-U.S., 615 Fed. Appx' 79(3rd.Cir.2015); BERGER-v.-U.S., 255 U.S. 22, 41 S.Ct. 230, 65 L.Ed. 481(U.S. 1921); CANADA-v.-MILLER, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1512245(2014); BOOTH-v.-BALLARD, 2016 WL 1275054(2016)(DEMONSTRATING THAT AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING MUST BE GIVEN TO US); UNITED-STATES-v.-QUINONES,--F.Supp.3d.--,2016 WL 4413149(2016).

JUDGE AUSTIN. THIS IS NOT JUST SERVICE UPON THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, ALL JUDGES AND OTHER PARTIES. THIS IS SERVICE UPON YOU AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE AND CASES 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA AND 077386 IN THE NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT. AS TRUSTEE YOU ARE INSTRUCTED TO SEE THAT ALL COURTS, CASES, PARALLEL CASES AND PARTIES IN TOTAL ARE TIMELY SERVED IMMEDIATELY. INSTRUCT THE CLERK TO DO IT ELECTRONICALLY. YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS BY THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREE. SERVICE UPON THE PRINCIPLE. IS SERVICE UPON THE AGENT AND VISA VERSA, EVEN THOUGH NO CONSENT TO BE SUED OR AUTHORIZATION TO AN AGENT TO ACCEPT SERVICE OF PROCESS HAS BEEN GIVEN. CLERKS ARE AGENTS OF THE COURT. YOU AND ALL JUDGES, CASES, ARE SERVED, REPUBLIC-PROPERTIES-CORPORATION-v.-MISSION-WEST-PROPERTIES, L.P., 391 Md. 732, 895 A.2d. 732; CUSTOM-POLYMERS-PET.,-LLC.-v.-GAMMAR-MECCANICA-SPA,--F.Supp. 3d.,--2016 WL 2354599(2016); MYLES-v.-RENT-A-CENTER,-INC., 2016 WL 3917212(Md.2016).

EACH GENERATION MUST OUT OF RELATIVE OBSCURITY DISCOVER ITS MISSION, AND EITHER FULFILL IT, OR BETRAY IT!!! I'VE FOUND MY MISSION AS COMMANDED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD. WHAT YOU'VE DONE WITH THESE "SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES" (GAYS AND LESBIANS) WITHIN YOUR NATIONS MUST BE STOPPED AND ERADICATED. IT SPITS IN THE FACE!!! OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND INVOKES HIS WRATH!!! I SHALL NOT BETRAY MY MISSION IN ANY CONTEXT, INSHALLAH. IF A MAN IN THIS WORLD HAS NOT FOUND SOMETHING WORTH DYING FOR AS MARTIN SAID,...THEN HE IS NOT FIT TO LIVE!!! YOU ARE IN POSSESSION OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION OF THE REESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE. TRUTH SPEAKS TO POWER AND DEMAND!!! FOR PRISTINE JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS. RETURN

TO ME MY GOD'S, MY, AS A DIRECT DESCENDANT OF THE SOLE CORPORATION'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO MARRY, WHICH WAS GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS UNDER CONTRACT, UNDER GRANT WHICH HAD RESTRICTIONS WHICH YOU VIOLATED BY GIVING THIS RIGHT TO HOMOSEXUALS AND LESBIANS. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT. CAUSE BEFORE THE COURT IS ESTABLISHED ON THIS ISSUE AS WELL.

IN DEALING WITH JUDGE KAYMANI WEST IN THE PAST IN THE MITCHELL CASE. JUDGE HENDRICKS ASSERTED THAT MY STATEMENTS TO HER WERE VULGAR, SEXIST AND RACIST? MY STATEMENTS ARE NOT VULGAR PEOPLE. AS PROPHECY FORETELLS. I COME WITH THAT SAME FIERY SPIRIT OF ELIJAH. THE BOOK OF ISAIAH FORETELLS I WOULD BE A "COCKATRICE", A BOLD, ARDENT, PERNICIOUS FELLOW. THE HOLY BOOKS CAN'T LIE. "TRUTH", SOMETIMES CAN GET PRETTY UGLY. ESPECIALLY WHEN WE ARE ADDRESSING THE ACTIVITIES OF LAWLESS, RECKLESS, RECREANT, OPPROBRIOUS, SONS AND DAUGHTERS OF BELIAL AND THE CHILDREN OF THE SHAITAN. AS FOR THE CLAIMS OF "SEXIST". TO MAKE THIS STATEMENT IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THIS CORRUPT JUDGE DO NOT KNOW ME. I'VE SPENT (17) YEARS WITHOUT HOLDING THE WOMAN THAT I LOVE WITHIN MY ARMS, OR ANY OTHER WOMAN FOR THAT MATTER. I DIE WITHIN MY SOUL EVERYDAY FOR THIS INJUSTICE PERPETRATED AGAINST ME. GOD'S SERVANT IS NO FOOL. I NEED AND VALUE, TREASURE WOMEN IMMENSELY, AND NOT JUST FOR SOME SEX OBJECT. MY SPIRITUAL INSIDES LONG, IN PAIN FOR THIS CONNECTION. THE THOUGHT OF THIS OUTSIDE OF MY GOD, IS THE FOOD I EAT AND THE AIR I BREATHE. THE PRESENCE, THE COMPANY, THE FRIENDSHIP, THE INTELLECT OF ALL WOMEN IS PRICELESS TO ME AS IT WAS TO MY FORE FATHER THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH), YOU IDIOTS. THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT I WOULD NOT REPRIMAND ONE IF SHE ENGAGES IN WICKEDNESS AND MAKE EFFORTS TO USURP THE COMMANDMENTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. NO ONE IS ABOVE GOD'S LAWS. AS FOR "RACIST?" ISN'T THAT LIKE "THE POT CALLING THE KETTLE BLACK", AS THE SAYING GOES? I AM NO "RACIST". I AM A "REALIST". I AM MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR. HE PASSED HIS MANTLE UNTO ME WITHIN A DREAM AS EVEN MY FAMILY YOU DEVILS CORRUPTED WILL VERIFY. AS MARTIN'S SUCCESSOR. I AM OF THE REALIZATION

THAT THE ATROCITIES DONE TO MY FORE FATHERS AND MOTHERS, MY PEOPLE, BY THIS BASTARD, RACIST, GOING TO HELL NATION MUST BE BROUGHT INTO ACCOUNT. I AM A REALIST, IN THAT I AM COMPLETELY CONVINCED THAT A LARGE MAJORITY OF THE WHITE CULTURE, ARE WHITE RACIST DOGS WHOSE ACTION ARE IMITATIVE OF YOUR RACIST FORE FATHERS, AS THOMAS JEFFERSON FORESAW, WHO SUBJECTED MY PEOPLE TO THESE BRUTAL ACTS OF TERRORISM IN VIOLATION OF THE CONVENTION AGAINST TORTURE TREATY. AND THIS NATION CALLS MUSLIMS TERRORIST? IN WHAT THIS NATION DID TO MY PEOPLE. YOU ARE THE WORSE TERRORIST ON THE PLANET. INSTEAD OF A MUSLIM BAN. YOU NEED A UNITED STATES BAN. YOU DEVILS WITHIN THIS NATION THROUGHOUT HISTORY HAVE TERRORIZED INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS AROUND THE GLOBE WITHOUT THE SLIGHTEST HINT OF MERCY OR REMORSE. SINCE THIS STATE AND NATION ATTACKED ME NOW, THIS PRESENT DATE, CREATING A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE FRAMING ME FOR THE MURDER OF MY OWN CHILD FOR MY DIRECT CONNECTION TO THESE VICTIMS OF THE SLAVE TRADE AND JIM CROW AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR. I HAVE LEGAL STANDING TO BRING ACTION ON BEHALF OF MY PEOPLE, ALSO VIA THE ATTORNEY POWERS GIVIN TO ME BY THE DEFAULT. AND LEGALLY, SINCE YOU ATTACKED AND FRAMED ME NOW FOR MY CONNECTION TO THEM THIS PRESENT DATE. I WOULD NOT BE INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THE C.A.T. TREATY RETROACTIVELY. MY PEOPLE WERE SUBJECTED TO UNSPEAKABLE ATROCITIES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THE EVIDENCE THAT PRESENTS ITSELF NOW BY THIS NATION'S PRESENT COURSE OF AFFAIRS, TO INCLUDE YOU FRAMING ME FOR THE MURDER OF MY OWN CHILD. AS A REALIST, THEY SUGGEST TO ME THAT YOUR PRESENT RACIST ACTIONS ARE NO DIFFERENT THAN THAT OF YOUR RACIST FORE FATHERS. WHITES ARE NOT SUPERIOR PEOPLE. YOU HAVE DECEIVED YOURSELVES. PROOF OF THAT IS THAT GOD MADE THE FORERUNNER TO HIS CHRIST A "BLACK MAN". IF BEING "WHITE" WAS SUPERIOR? GOD WOULD HAVE MADE HIS CHRIST FORERUNNER WHITE. IN WISDOM, GOD CHOSE "BLACK". IS HE NOT THE BEST OF PLANNERS? (SMILE). YOUR PREDOMINATELY RACIST CULTURE COMMITTED THESE ATROCITIES IN THE PAST. YOU STILL COMMIT THESE RACIST EVILS TODAY LIKE YOU BASTARDS ARE STROLLING THROUGH THE PARK IN A DRUNKEN STUPOR. THE APPLE DON'T FALL TO FAR FROM THE TREE. DESPITE GOD'S

MERCY, YOU WHITE RACIST DEVILS HAVE NOT CHANGED IN OVER HUNDREDS OF YEARS. THIS IS EVIDENT FROM THE RECENT ELECTION PLACING THAT "DAVID DUKES" DOG DONALD TRUMP IN THE WHITEHOUSE; NOOSES ARE STILL BEING HUNG FROM YOUR UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES TREES AND OTHER RACIST ACTS ALL OVER THE INTERNET IN YOUR ALT RIGHT WHITE NATIONALIST RACIAL BONDING, WHITE ILLUSIONARY PRIVILEGE THAT YOU "THINK" YOU HAVE. THE ONLY "WHITE PRIVILEGE" YOU RACIST BASTARDS WILL HAVE, IS TO BE THE FIRST WORTHLESS DOGS THAT ARE TOSSED INTO THE HELLFIRE WHERE YOUR FATHER THE DEVIL WILL BE STICKING A "PITCH FORK" UP YOUR RUMPS. THERE ARE IDIOTS AND BASTARDS WITHIN ALL CULTURES GLOBALLY. YOU DON'T HAVE THE MONOPOLY. YOU RACIST WHITE BASTARDS AND DOGS JUST HAPPEN TO BE SOME OF THE ONES IN YOURS. ITS TIME TO HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT RACE IN AMERICA. THE TRUTH CAN GET UGLY, EVEN VULGAR AT TIMES. THIS IS THE NATURE OF WHAT WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH HERE. THE EVILS YOUR RACE HAS DONE, AND CONTINUALLY DO IS NOT A PRETTY PICTURE. I AM A REALIST, AND THIS IS THE REALITY OF THINGS. I AM NOT ISSUING A "BLANKET INDICTMENT" ON THE WHITE RACE. THIS WOULD BE JUST AS EVIL AS THE EVILS YOUR RACE PERPETRATED AGAINST MINE. MANY OF THESE PRECIOUS WHITE SOULS DIED AND SUFFERED THEMSELVES STANDING OUT AGAINST THE INJUSTICES THAT WERE BEING COMMITTED AGAINST MY PEOPLE. MY LOVE AND DEVOTION IS GIVEN TO THEM AND THEIR DESCENDANTS. I, MY PEOPLE AND THE WORLD OWE THEM A DEBT OF GRATITUDE WHERE PART OF THE MONIES SOUGHT WILL GO TO THEIR DESCENDANTS. ITS THE LEAST I CAN DO FOR MY BELOVED WHITE BRETHREN . STILL, YOUR RACIST, EVIL ACTIONS THAT PRESENTLY EXIST ARE INDICATIVE, ENDEMIC WITHIN SOCIETY AND PROVE, BY WHAT YOU DID TO ME, CREATING A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE AND FRAMING ME FOR THE MURDER OF MY OWN CHILD; THE REALITY OF THE EXISTENCE OF THIS BROKE JUDICIAL SYSTEM THAT DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICANS AND OTHER MINORITIES IN YOUR RACIAL PROFILING AND POLICING; THE SHOOTING DOWN IN THE STREETS, IN COLD BLOOD OF MY PEOPLE BY BRUTAL, RACIST COPS WHO GO "SCOTT FREE" IN YOUR "SO-CALLED", "FAIR" (LYING SNAKES) JUDICIAL SYSTEM; THE DENYING MY PEOPLE OF DECENT HOUSING AND FAIR EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES; THE DENYING THEM OF EQUAL PAY IN EMPLOYMENT AND PROPER HEALTH

CARE. BY ALL OF THESE CONTINUED EVILS PERPETRATED AGAINST MY PEOPLE WHERE THE WEALTH THAT YOUR ANCESTORS ACCUMULATED WAS OFF OF THE BLOOD, DEATH, BUTCHERING, RAPING AND TORTURING OF MY PEOPLE. NOW!!! IS THE TIME TO DEAL WITH YOUR EVILS AND INJUSTICES. I AM NOT A RACIST. I AM A REALIST, AND I HAVE DISCOVERED MY MISSION OUT OF RELATIVE OBSCURITY. MARTIN WAS "WATER",...I AM "FIRE!!!" AS MARTIN ONCE TOLD YOU. TRUTH SPEAKS TO POWER AND DEMAND!!! I HAVE FOUND SOMETHING WORTH DYING FOR, AS MARTIN SAID. WHAT IS WORTH DYING FOR?,...IS THE LOVE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS LAWS BEING RESTORED GLOBALLY, ESTABLISHING THE RIGHTS OF A ONCE ENSLAVED AND TORTURED PEOPLE, AND ALL OF THE AFORE MENTIONED,...COMES UNDER "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS".

I AM GETTING TIRED OF YOU PEOPLE CONTINUALLY PLAYING THESE STUPID GAMES WITH ME, PRETENDING LIKE YOU RAT, STINKING, DEMON DOGS DON'T UNDERSTAND LAW, YOU CORRUPT DEVILS. YOU KLANSMEN AND BLACK SLAVE OVERSEER TYPE JUDGES ARE JUST LIKE THAT STUPID, VILLAGE IDIOT RACIST, BASTARD PRESIDENT OF YOURS YOU GOT SITTING IN THE WHITEHOUSE. THE DONALD DUCK DUNCE TRUMP, TALKING ABOUT HE WILL DEFEND AGAINST ALL ENEMIES OF THE SOUTH TO PRESERVE YOUR WAY OF LIFE? YOUR WAY OF LIFE PROMOTES HOMOSEXUALITY AND LESBIANISM. YOUR WAY OF LIFE PROMOTES CAPITISTIC, DOG EAT DOG, GREED, ROBBING YOUR WORKERS AND DECEIVING THE GLOBAL MASSES!!! YOUR WAY OF LIFE WILL SEND YOU ALL TO HELL!!! AND I NOR ANY RIGHTEOUS AFRICAN AMERICAN, CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM OR JEW WANT ANY PART OF THAT. YOU CAN TAKE THAT WAY OF LIFE AND SHOVE IT!!! IT IS FUEL FOR THE FIRES OF HELL. TRUMP NEEDS TO DEFEND AGAINST THE STUPIDITY THAT'S CONTINUALLY COMING OUT OF HIS MOUTH. PUT A SOCK IN IT. EVERY TIME THAT RACIST DOG SPEAKS OR TWEETS, THE NATIONAL I.Q. DROPS DOWN INTO THE SINGLE DIGITS.

JUDGE AUSTIN. YOU ARE COMMANDED AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE, TO DISSOLVE ALL SAME SEX MARRIAGES NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY. ISSUE THE ORDER. YOU ARE TO SEE THAT THE ORDERS ISSUED IN CASES 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA, 077386 AND ANY OTHER ORDER ISSUED BY THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES ADVERSELY ISSUED, ARE VACATED IN ALL RELATED CASES. YOU ARE TO ESTABLISH THE \$100

TRILLION LIEN AGAINST THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND ALL (50) STATES OF THIS NATION. YOU ARE TO FREEZE THEIR GLOBAL ASSETS IN (15) DAYS, WHICH WILL ALLOW US TO DEAL WITH NATIONAL PRISON REFORM, WHAT THESE DEVILS DID WITH THESE SODOMITES, AND THE ATROCITIES DONE TO MY PEOPLE DURING SLAVERY AND JIM CROW. THE CONSPIRING PARTIES INTENT WAS TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT IN THE APPEAL IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT UNDER CASE 17-6693 AND THE APPEAL OF CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. HARWELL AND RABNER WERE WITHOUT JURISDICTION DUE TO THE FRAUD THEY CONSPIRED IN. THE APPEAL IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM THAT THE CONSPIRING JUDGES FILED WITHOUT MY CONSENT IS WITHDRAWN UNDER CASE 17-6695 AND THAT CASE REMAINS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE DISTRICT COURT AS IT EXISTED BEFORE THEIR FRAUD. ALL RELATED CASES, PAST AND PRESENT, TO INCLUDE ALL RELATED PARALLEL CASES, ARE REINSTATED AND OR ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT, WHOSE POWER SUPERSEDES ALL COURTS BY THE DEFAULT, RESTRICTING ALL COURTS INVOLVED JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING, EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF THAT IS DEMANDED. BY THEIR FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, THEY ARE IN FORFEITURE OF ALL RIGHTS, AND THEY HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY BURDENED THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT, OF THE GRANT THAT WAS GIVEN TO THESE NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WHERE THEY ARE ALSO IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. BY THEIR ACTIONS, AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR. THIS ALLOWS ME TO INTERVENE AND BRING ACTION TO ABOLISH ANY ACT DONE BY THEM, THAT VIOLATES THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WHERE THESE PARTIES ARE ALSO IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. SO IT IS NOW WRITTEN,...SO LET IT BE DONE. THE CONTRACT CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS, AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-V.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-V.-THE-NEW-YORK-CITY-DEPT. OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); INTERNATIONAL-ASS'N-OF-MERCHANTS-LODGE-1652-V.-INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT SERVICES-INC.-{CHARLESTON}, 302 F2d. 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4TH.CIR.1962); TRUSTEES-OF-DARTHMOUTH-COLLEGE-V.-WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. IT IS SO ORDERED.

STAY ALL CASES TRUSTEE AUSTIN, TO INCLUDE THE RICHLAND

COMMON PLEAS COURT. YOU ARE TO VOID ALL PROTECTIVE ORDERS THE PARTIES MADE EFFORTS TO OBTAIN. SEE THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS FILED IN ALL CASES AND SERVED ON ALL JUDGES INVOLVED. JUDGE RABNER'S NAME RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS OF THE § 1983'S DUE TO HIS NOW REVEALED PART IN THE CONSPIRACY INVOLVING THE RICHLAND S.C. COURT BEYOND HIS STATE TO PRODUCE A PROTECTIVE ORDER IN ANOTHER COURT TO WHICH HE HAD NO POWER OR JURISDICTION, TO ALLOW HIM TO DEFRAUD ME IN NEW JERSEY TO PREVENT OR THWART TRANSFER AND 4TH. CIRCUIT REVIEW. A JUDGE CAN BE SUED WHERE HE HAD NO JURISDICTION, TO CONSPIRE IN CASES TO WHICH HE IS NOT APPOINTED, OR IF THE ACTS DONE ARE NON JUDICIAL IN NATURE. SINCE HE WAS NOT APPOINTED OVER THAT CASE, IT BECOMES A CLERICAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE ACT DONE BY HIM AND THE OTHER JUDGES. IT IS ALSO NOT A JUDICIAL ACT TO ACT AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORR., RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSPORT, AND PREVENT THE TRANSPORT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH FOR THE PURPOSES OF OBTAINING THOSE PROTECTIVE ORDERS IN QUESTION AND PREVENT JUDICIAL REVIEW IN ANOTHER COURT. NONE OF THESE JUDGES INVOLVED ARE IMMUNE FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, VIOLATIONS OF THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE RICO ACT, OR THE ANTI PEONAGE ACT. CONGRESS HAS CARVED AN EXCEPTION TO THEIR IMMUNITY UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES AS IS ARGUED. THEY ARE NOT IMMUNE FOR VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION OR 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. IN THE AFRICAN TONGUE, "ABUNTU". "I AM",... "BECAUSE OF YOU". IN OTHER WORDS, BY THIS NATION'S CONSTANT EVILS AND RACIAL INEQUALITY, BY CORRUPT GOVERNMENT AND JUDICIAL INJUSTICE, THIS NATION HAS MADE ME. I'VE DISCOVERED MY MISSION OUT OF RELATIVE OBSCURITY, AND AS THE "BLACK MESSIAH" I SHALL FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS; THE SAME WAY IT HAD BEEN FILED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION. FOR THE SAKE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS", YOUR GLOBAL ASSETS ARE FROZEN WITHIN (15) DAYS. IT IS SO ORDERED.

HAVE THE DISTRICT COURT CLERK SEND ME THE CLOCKED STAMPED COPIES I REQUESTED. ALL PARTIES ARE GIVEN NOTICE THAT WE ARE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL CASE 2:17-cv-1127; THE RICHLAND CASE DEALING WITH THEM TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDERS YOU SOUGHT AND THE GOURDINE CASE 2016-000640 FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. JUDGE AUSTIN AND ALL JUDGES INVOLVED, OUR IMMEDIATE REMOVAL ALONG

WITH ALL OF OUR PERSONAL PROPERTY, WITHOUT EXCEPTION, IS TO OCCUR WITHIN (15) DAYS OF THE FILING AND RECEIPT OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ADDITIONAL PENALTIES WILL ATTACH. NONE OF THE ISSUES CONTAINED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT ARE ADDRESSED WITHIN ANY ORDER SUBMITTED BY THESE CORRUPT JUDGES IN RETALIATION BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, EVEN BY THE BLACK OVERSEER TYPES, ALSO BECAUSE THEY DID NOT LIKE THE WAY THEY WERE TALKED TO, WHICH IS PROTECTED SPEECH UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT. THIS ALSO DEMONSTRATE THAT THERE IS "PERSONAL BIAS", NOT JUST JUDICIAL BIAS REQUIRING THEIR RECUSAL DUE TO THE FRAUD AND ABUSE OF DISCRETION CONTINUALLY DONE. I, WE, OBJECT. BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT CASES OF WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359 (U.S.2016); ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016); AND WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016). WE HAVE ONE YEAR FROM THE TIME THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT MADE THEIR DETERMINATION IN THESE CASES TO FILE ACTION WITHIN THE DISTRICT COURT. WE ARE TIMELY YOU RAT, STINKING, CORRUPT, RACIST JUDGES. TRUSTEE AUSTIN GET ME OUT OF THIS PRISON!!! GET MY PEOPLE OUT OF THIS PRISON!!! HAVE US MOVED TO A FEDERAL PRE-RELEASE CAMP PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c) WITHIN (15) DAYS OR ADDITIONAL PENALTIES WILL ATTACH. WE MEET THE SUBSTANTIAL REQUIREMENTS OF 28 U.S.C. § 1443, AND THE CONVICTIONS ARE INVALIDATED BY THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, COMMONWEALTH-OF-VIRGINIA-v.-AYEM-EL, -DEFENDANT, 2016 WL 4507814 (E.D.Va.2016); MARYLAND-v.-GHAZI-EL, 2016 WL 2736183(Md.2016); COMMONWEALTH-OF-VIRGINIA-v.-EL, 2016 WL 3746376(E.D.Va.2016); WILLIAMS-v.-HOLLOWAY, 2014 WL 5529742, * 2+ (E.D.Va.2014); NORTH CAROLINA-v.-DAVIS, 2014 WL 1317647, * 1+ (E.D.Va.2014). IT IS SO ORDERED.

JULY 8, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING OF
THE NORTH, THE LION AND KING-
KHALIFAH OF ALLAH, CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE
AND COURT BY DEFAULT/ESTOPPEL
ET. AL.

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
M-1402 September Term 2016
077386

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,
PLAINTIFF,

FILED

JUN 29 2017

V.

Mark Henry
CLERK

ORDER

LAWRENCE CRAWFORD,
DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

This matter having been duly presented to the Court on defendant's appeal as of right from the judgment of the Superior Court, Appellate Division in A-005205-13; and the Court having determined that the matter does not raise a substantial constitutional question under Rule 2:2-1(a)(1) and the applicable case law;

It is ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed on the Court's own motion.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at
Trenton, this 27th day of June, 2017.

Stuart Rabner
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
M-1400/1401 September Term 2016
077386

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

LAWRENCE CRAWFORD,

DEFENDANT-MOVANT.

FILED

JUN 29 2017

Mark Henry
CLERK

O R D E R

It is ORDERED that the motion for an extension of time to file an appellant's brief (M-1400) is granted; and it is further ORDERED that the motion for stay (M-1401) is denied.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 27th day of June, 2017.

Mark Henry
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

EXHIBIT-NUMBER-5

**COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,**

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

2017 JUL 12 A 9:01

**PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)**

DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON, SC

DOCKET No.

david duren #181965; LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL
JAHJAH T. TISHBITE #300839; ANTHONY COOK #115157; JASON MORRIS
GOURDINE #199055

PETITIONERS

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,


DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, JASON GOURDINE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY,
THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF
FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF
SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MOTION
FOR SANCTIONS AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO OBSTRUCTION
OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR
SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT

COURT OF APPEALS, THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, AND ALL OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID AND OR BY CERTIFIED MAIL, BY PLACING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JULY 3, 2017. THUS, THIS BEING AN ARTICLE III SECTION 2 CASE. IT IS DEEMED FILED WHEN PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JULY 3, 2017, HOUSTON-v.-LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,
ANTHONY COOK



JASON M. GOURDINE



david duren
david duren

JAHJAH AL MAHDI



JULY 3, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET No.

david duren #181965; LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL
JAHJAH T. TISHBITE #300839; ANTHONY COOK #115157; JASON MORRIS
GOURDINE #199055

PETITIONERS

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING
WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL;
MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS
AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO OBSTRUCTION
OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO
MOTION THEREFOR SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW

IN RE: duren, CRAWFORD, COOK AND GOURDINE

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,

THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,
THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ET. AL.,

THE PETITIONERS GIVE ALL COURTS AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. EN BANC REVIEW IS DEMANDED IN THIS CASE. THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO BEING FILED AS AN AFFIDAVIT. THEREFORE, THE CLAIMS MADE HEREIN ARE TRUE UNLESS THEY ARE TIMELY REBUTTED AND PROVEN CONTRARY BY JUDGE BENJAMIN AND THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED IN THESE CASES WHICH IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS CAN ONLY BE DONE UPON ISSUANCE OF SERVICE OF THE ACTIONS WITHIN THE PARALLEL CASES PENDING IN THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, OR THEY RESPONDING UPON SERVICE OF THIS DOCUMENT. SEE WL 1854315; LEWIS-v.-DIXON, F.Supp.3d., WL 9460274(2015); WHITE-v.-WHITE, 886 F2d. 721 CA4 (W.Va.1989); McKELVEY-v.-REYNOLDS, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); DEL-ZOTTO-v.-UNIVERSAL-PHYSICIANS-SERVICES, LLC.,--F.Supp.3d.,--,2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016); FERRARA-v.-OUADROZZI-EQUIPMENT-LEASING-CORP., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); UNIVERSAL-FILM-EXCHANGE-INC. v.-WALTER-READE-INC., 37 F.R.D. 4, 9 FED. SERV.3d. 56(e); ANDERSON-v.-LIBBY-LOBBY-INC., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); DeSIMONE-v.-QUICKEN-LOANS, INC., F.Supp. 2d., 2011 WL 2470661(S.D.Ind.2011); IN-RE:-CLEAN-BURN-FUELS, LLC., 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); IN-RE:-OUTDOOR-R.V.-MARINE, LLC., 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); WILLIAMS-v.-SECRETARY-OF-VETERAN AFFAIRS,--F.Supp.3d.--,2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); MILLER v. PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013).

WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ON ALL ISSUES OF CONCERN ARGUED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT AND THOSE PREVIOUSLY FILED. DUE TO EXCEPTIONAL, EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THESE CASES, THE MACHINATION, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO FILED AS A WRIT OF ERROR SEEKING TO REMEDY THE MANIFEST INJUSTICE CONSPIRED IN BY BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS, UNITED-STATES-v.-DENEDO, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); UNITED-STATES-v.-APPLE MAC PRO. COMPUTERS,--F3d.--,2017 WL 1046105(3rd.Cir.2017); CLARK

v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 390294(N.C.2017); UNITED STATES v. GREGORY HOLT AKA ABDUL MAALIK MUHAMMAD, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D.La. 2017); STERN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016); IN RE: BARTLEY, 2016 WL 6068862(4TH.CIR.2016); 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202; WILLIAM DOUGLAS BROWN AND BRENDA HARRIET BROWN v. CSX TRANSPORTATION INC., 2017 WL 1028579(4TH.CIR.2017); ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY v. INGRAHAM, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3 COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION v. SERCO, INC., --FED. APPX'-- , 2016 WL 7232118(4TH.CIR.2016).

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE E.H. COOPER TRUST DEBIT FORM AND CERTIFIED CARD DEMONSTRATING THAT THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WAS NOT ONLY REMOVED TO CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM BEFORE THE FEDERAL JUDGES FRAUDULENTLY APPEALED THAT CASE IN ACTS OF MACHINATION TO DETACH THE CASE'S REMOVAL PROTECTION. BUT IT WAS ALSO REMOVED TO CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB.

(2) A COPY OF THE ORDERS FROM THE JASON GOURDINE CASE. THE ORDER DENYING GRANTING OF THE MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT IS A FINAL ORDER ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE IN THE GOURDINE CASE TO WHICH WE ARE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL BEFORE IRREPARABLE DAMAGE CAN OCCUR IN THIS CASE. SINCE IT IS A FINAL DETERMINATION ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE, CONSPIRED IN BY BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS. IT PRODUCES AN INTERLOCUTORY ORDER.

(3) A COPY OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE BENJAMIN OBTAINED BY H. THOMAS MORGAN JR. DATED SIGNED ON JUNE 6, 2017. IT IS THE PETITIONERS' POSITION THAT SINCE CASE 2013-CP-400-0084'S JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, AND THE FEDERAL JUDGES CREATED A FRAUDULENT APPEAL OF CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WITHOUT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONSENT TO DETACH THE CASE'S REMOVAL PROTECTION. DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL IS ESTABLISHED BY THE FRAUD AS WELL AS THE OBSTRUCTIVE AND DILATORY BEHAVIOR WARRANTING WE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL AND SANCTIONS. WE SEEK SUCH.

INSOMUCH, WE GIVE THE COURTS AND ALL PARTIES INVOLVED JUDICIAL NOTICE OF OUR INTENT TO JOINTLY SEEK LEAVE TO APPEAL THESE ORDERS IN QUESTION THAT ARE PRODUCED FROM CASES 2013-CP-400-0084 AND 2016-000640 BEFORE ANY OF THESE CASES PROCEED ANY FURTHER TO INCLUDE GOURDINE BEING REQUIRED TO SUBMIT ANY ADDITIONAL BRIEF SINCE THE BRIEF HE SUBMITTED WAS BLOCKED BY FRAUD UPON THE COURTS INVOLVING BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS. BY THEIR FRAUD AND MACHINATION, THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE REMEDIES OTHER THAN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. THE JUDGES INVOLVED ARE DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES. WE HAVE ALREADY MOTIONED TO AMEND THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO INCLUDE THE SPECIFIC NAMES OF ALL JUDGES IN BOTH THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND THOSE SPECIFIC NAMES BE MADE A PART OF THE COURT RECORD IN ALL PENDING § 1983 ACTIONS THAT MAKE UP THE PARALLEL CASES IN QUESTION. WE MOTION FOR DISCOVERY TO ADD THEIR SPECIFIC NAMES. UNTIL THEN THEIR NAMES WILL BE REFERRED TO AS JANE DOE #' 1, 2, 3 ETC. OR JOHN DOE #'S 1, 2, 3 ETC. UNTIL THEIR EXACT NAMES CAN BE OBTAINED. THEIR SPECIFIC NAMES RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS. THEY CANNOT SIT BY LAW UPON CASES TO WHICH THEY ARE DEFENDANTS, WHICH CREATES A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS THEIR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THIS HOLDS TRUE FOR THE FEDERAL JUDGES WHOSE SPECIFIC NAMES RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS IN THE PARALLEL CASES. WE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL THESE ORDERS IN QUESTION SINCE THEIR JURISDICTION IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION ANY WAY. THE GOURDINE CASE IS PETITIONED REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL PARALLEL CASES AS WELL. JUDGES CATOE, ANDERSON, NORTON, MCCROREY, CARR, DUFFY, HOUCK, CURRIE, BAKER, KOSKO, HENDRICKS, ALL JUDGES LISTED IN THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, ALL RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES. ANY OTHER JUDGE NOT LISTED BY SPECIFIC NAMES CAN BE OBTAINED VIA DISCOVERY. EVEN THOUGH THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE ROSS-v.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) CASE WAS MAKING DETERMINATION RELATED TO STATE EXHAUSTION. THE SUBSIDIARY FACTS RELATED TO MACHINATION AND CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO TWART OUR EFFORTS AT SEEKING JUDICIAL REVIEW APPLY HERE WARRANTING SANCTIONS WHICH WE MOTION

FOR. FULL FAITH AND CREDIT MUST BE GIVEN TO THE CLAIM OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL DUE TO THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS CRIMINAL ACTS. THE APPELLATE COURT RULES MUST BE DEEMED SUSPENDED DUE TO THESE EXCEPTIONAL, EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH ALSO PRODUCES VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AS WELL AS VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. THE APPELLATE COURT RULES ARE HEREBY SUSPENDED BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE JUDICIAL POWER AND AUTHORITY "LEGALLY" (EMPHASIS ADDED) SUPRESEDES THE 4TH. CIRCUIT VIA THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND THESE ORDERS ARE ALSO APPEALED IN CASES 17-6693, 17-1415, 16-2299, 16-1953 AND IN ANY AND ALL OTHER CASES INVOLVED THE PETITIONERS THAT ARE PRESENTLY PENDING BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. ALL CASES ARE REINSTATED AND ARE TECHNICALLY ON REMAND FROM THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT, LIMITING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT'S JURISDICTION DUE TO THE FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. IT IS SO ORDERED, JOHNSON-v.-MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC., 2017 WL 1957071(2017); RUSSELL-v.-S.N.-SERVICING-CORPORATION, 2017 WL 1449211(N.D.Va.2017); GREEN-v.-BRADLEY-COMPANY, 194 F.Supp.3d. 479(DSC.2016); UNITED-STATES-v. MERCADO, 199 F3d. 1329 (TABLE) 1999 WL 958465; UNITED-STATES v.-REID, 506 Fed. Appx' 209, 2013 WL 239076(4th.Cir.2013); CAPER-TON-V.-BEATRICE-POCAHONTAS-COAL-CO., 585 F2d. 683(4th.Cir.1978); U.S.-v.-\$41,320-U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S.-v.-ALADEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267 (D.C.Md.2010); BROWN-v.-U.S., 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014); KRUPSKI-v.-COSTA-CROCERE-S.-P.A., 560 U.S. 538, 130 S.Ct. 2485(U.S.2010); TATUM-v.-R.J.R.-PENSION-INV.-COMMITTEE, 761 F3d. 346(4th.Cir.2014); BENTON v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C. Md.2017); PEGG-v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); GRAHAM v.-GAYRON, 831 F3d. 176(4th.Cir.2016); MORRIS-v.-WACHOVIA-SECURITIES, INC., 448 F3d. 268, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. P. 93, 858 CA4 (Va.2006); BLUE SKY TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC. v. AL TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW-v.-COLGATE-PAL-MOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); IN-RE:- NAT.-FOOTBALL-LEAGUE-PLAYERS-CONCUSSION-INJURY-LITIGATION, 775 F3d. 570, 90 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 563(3rd.Cir.2014); IN-RE:

WHOLESALE-GROCERY-PRODUCTS-ANTITRUST-LITIGATION, 849 F3d. 761, 96 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 1207(8th.Cir.2017); ALILA-KATITA-v.-U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 2016 WL 4992464(N.D.Cal.2016); FLEI-SCHMAN-v.-ALBANY-MEDICAL-CENTER, 639 F3d. 28, 79 Fed. R. Serv.3d. 494(2nd.Cir.2011). THE UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVES APPEARED AND ARE PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. THIS BINDS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL COURTS NATIONALLY.

NOW LET'S DEAL WITH THIS TAINTED PROTECTIVE ORDER THAT YOU CORRUPT JUDGES, YOU SNAKES AND SCORPIONS, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 MADE EFFORTS TO OBTAIN. FOR THE RECORD. WE OBJECT TO THIS ORDER BEING USED IN ANY COURT INVOLVED. WE GIVE YOU JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE ORDER IS TAINTED. THEREFORE, IT CANNOT BE USED TO NEGATE OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. IT CANNOT BE USED IN ANY MANNER EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE OF HIGHLIGHTING THE ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CONSPIRACY AND MACHINATION THAT STANDS IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AND TO RENDER IT INADMISSIBLE, TO INCLUDE TO SEEK SANCTIONS, DISQUALIFICATION AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION, SUNOCO-PRODUCTS-CO.-v.-GUVEN, 2014 WL 5474633(DSC.2014); U.S.-v.-STERLING, 724 F3d. 482 CA4 (Va.2013); EARL-v.-HOUSE OF-RAEFORD-FARMS-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1458185(DSC.2012); CHRISTIANSON-v.-M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); CALDWELL-v.-WIQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); ANDERSON-BROS.-BANK-v.-E.B.T.-PROPERTY-HOLDINGS CO.-INC., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507807(S.C.App.2013); TILLMAN-v.-TILLMAN-EX REL.-ESTATE-OF-TILLMAN, S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8508106; MILLER-v.-COLUMBIA-FORREST-INC., S.E.2d., 2014 WL 5390504(S.C.App.2014); SPRINGOB-v.-UNIVERSITY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); IN-RE:-BLACKWATER-SECURITY-CONSULTING, LLC., 460 F3d. 576 CA4 (N.C.2006); DICKENS v.-AETNA-LIFE-INS. CO., 677 F3d. 288 CA4 (W.Va.2012); WIDENHOUSE v.-COLSON, 404 S.C. 55, 747 S.E.2d. 188(S.C.2013).

LET'S ELABORATE FURTHER. IF THE COURTS AND PARTIES WOULD LOOK AT THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SIGNED BY JUDGE BENJAMIN. YOU WILL

SEE THAT IT WAS SIGNED ON JUNE 6, 2017. IF YOU LOOK AT THE COOPER TRUST FUND DEBIT FORM AND OR THE CERTIFIED RETURN CARD RELATED THERETO. IT SHOWS THAT IT WAS PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE 6, 2017 THEN TAKEN OUT THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX THE NEXT DAY AND THEN SENT CERTIFIED MAIL. IT WAS RECEIVED ON JUNE 8, 2017. THIS IS THE CRUX OF THE MATTER. PURSUANT TO HOUSTON-v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988). WE HAVE THE BENEFIT OF MAILING ONCE PLACED IN THE MAILBOX. PREEMPTION IS ARGUED IN THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT. THE MAIL THAT DAY WAS PICKED UP OUT OF THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX AT 5:45 A.M. BY THE INSTITUTION MAILROOM PERSONNEL. WE MISSED THE PICK UP THAT DAY BECAUSE WE CAME OUT FOR BREAKFAST JUST BEFORE 6:00 A.M. WHEN THE DOCUMENT WAS PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ONCE WE CAME OUT FOR BREAKFAST. THIS MEANS AT 6:00 A.M. ON JUNE 6, 2017 THE DOCUMENT, WHICH IS ALSO A REMOVAL DOCUMENT, REMOVING CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB, WAS FILED WITH THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT AT 6:00 A.M. ON JUNE 6, 2017 AND PRIOR TO THAT PURSUANT TO THE MAILBOX RULE, UNITED-STATES-v. McNEIL, 523 Fed. Appx' 979(4th. Cir.2013); GARCIA v. McGREGOR, 2010 WL 4922705(DSC.2010); VANNY v. -FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF RICHMOND, --F3d.--,2016 WL 3536656(4th. Cir.2016); UNITED-STATES-v. -DAVIE, 677 F.Supp.2d. 912(2010); UNITED-STATES-v. -CARTER, 474 Fed. Appx' 331, 2012 WL 1224061(4th. Cir.2012); HILL-v. -TRAXLER, 2015 WL 11112054.

NOW, IF YOU EXAMINE JUDGE BENJAMIN'S ORDER. YOU WILL SEE WHERE SHE WROTE THE NUMBER "6". IT APPEARS THAT SHE FIRST STARTED TO WRITE THE NUMBER "8", BUT CHANGED HER MIND. THIS IS NOT A FORTUITOUS ACT. ITS BECAUSE SHE RECEIVED THE ADDITIONAL REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO CASES 2:17-cv-1300 AND 9:17-cv-1140, AND SEEN THAT IT WAS PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE 6, 2017. SO IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, SHE "BACK DATED" THE ORDER; WHICH WAS A STUNT PREVIOUSLY DONE BY JUDGE ALISON LEE WITH ONE OF THE PRIOR INADMISSIBLE PROTECTIVE ORDERS. HER JURISDICTION TO DO ANYTHING IN THIS CASE WAS DIVESTED AND

VOID. THIS IS WHY THE ORDER WAS FILED ON JUNE 9, 2017, BECAUSE SHE ACTUALLY SIGNED IT ON JUNE 8, 2017, BUT BACK DATED IT IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

YOU MAY SAY THAT THIS IS A CONCLUSORY CLAIM? WELL LET'S LOOK AT IT FURTHER. S.C. RULES OF COURT PROCEDURE REQUIRE THAT WHEN A PLEADING, MOTION OR PETITION FOR ANY REQUEST OR CONCERN THAT MAY HAVE A POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE PROCEEDINGS. THE OPPOSING PARTY MUST BE GIVEN, AT MINIMUM, (10) DAYS TO RESPOND AND EXPRESS ANY CONCERN REGARDING ANY PLEADING, MOTION OR PETITION. WHY WAS THIS NOT DONE IN THIS CASE? IT WAS BECAUSE THE CONSPIRING JUDGE, WITH THE PARTIES INVOLVED, IN THEIR MEETING OF THE MINDS. THEY INTENDED TO DEFRAUD THE PLAINTIFFS ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS IN VIOLATION OF THE RICO ACT. THE ORDER IS DATED SIGNED BY JUDGE BENJAMIN LESS THAN THE MINIMUM (10) DAYS THAT IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW TO GIVE US OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. ITS BACK DATED SIGNED WITHIN (6) DAYS OF ITS SUBMISSION. THIS IS NOT PROPER NOR FAIR DUE PROCESS. YOU TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT JUDGE BENJAMIN'S NAME IS SPECIFICALLY LISTED AS A DEFENDANT IN THESE PARALLEL CASES WHICH VOIDS HER JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION PURSUANT TO WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016). YOU ADD TO THIS THE FACT THAT SHE CONSPIRED WITH JUDGES HARWELL AND AUSTIN TO MAKE A FRAUDULENT DETERMINATION IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA TO DETACH THE REMOVAL FROM THAT CASE TO ALLOW JUDGE BENJAMIN TO ACT. YOU THEN ADD TO THIS THE FACT THAT SHE CONSPIRED WITH JUDGES MERCHANT AND WOOTEN TO FILE AN ILLEGAL APPEAL OF CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM TO DETACH THE REMOVAL FROM THAT CASE TO ALLOW JUDGE BENJAMIN TO ACT. THIS PRODUCES CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, FRAUD UPON THE COURTS AND THE PROTECTIVE ORDER OBTAINED THEREBY; SUCH ESTABLISHES THAT THE PROTECTIVE ORDER PRODUCED IS IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 DESIGNED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ACTED IN CONCERT TO NEGATE OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. THE PROTECTIVE ORDER OBTAINED CANNOT BE USED IN ANY PROCEEDING AND IS INADMISSIBLE.

UNLESS THE COURT(S) AND DEFENDANTS CAN PROVE BY INDISPUTABLE EVIDENCE THAT THIS CORRUPT, STRUMPET OF A JUDGE WAS SITTING IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT HOUSE WITH HER AIDS WHO ARE NORMALLY PRESENT IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES, (3) HOURS BEFORE THE COURT HOUSE EVEN OPENED UP AT 6:00 A.M.. THE REMOVAL WAS ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO THE MAILBOX RULE AT 6:00 A.M., DIVESTING HER OF JURISDICTION, THE MINUTE JUDGE BENJAMIN SAT HER CORRUPT BUTT DOWN TO CONSPIRE AND ENGAGE IN HER FRAUD TO AVOID SUIT AND AID THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE, WHICH INCLUDE THE UNITED STATES, AVOID SUIT. THIS TAINTS THE PROTECTIVE ORDER RENDERING IT VOID PURSUANT TO REMOVAL AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION BY THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT THAT PRODUCED IT, MONSTER-DADDY-v.-MONSTER-CABLE-PRODUCTS-INC., 2014 WL 1094550(DSC.2014); LOVELESS-v.-JOHN'S-FORD-INC., 232 Fed. Appx' 229, 2007 WL 1381597 CA4 (Va.2007); EDMOND-v.-OZMINT, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 4832539(DSC.2008); REAVES-v.-SWEENEY, F.Supp. 2d., 2007 WL 1068452(DSC.2007); DEUTSCHE-BANK-NAT.-TRUST-CO. v. LOVETT, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 3135377(DSC.2012); ACKERMANN v.-EXXON-MOBIL-CORP., 734 F3d. 237 CA4 (Md.2013); LOVETT-v. DEUTSCHE BANK NAT.-TRUST CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 841679(DSC. 2013); PENN-AMERICAN-INS.-CO.-v.-MAPP, 521 F3d. 290 CA4 (Va.2008); U.S.-v.-LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va. 2015); BOARD-OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION-OF-OPERATING ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285.

ANY ACT DONE BY JUDGE BENJAMIN IS WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND OR HER JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED BY REMOVAL BEFORE SHE SAT. THIS OF COURSE INCLUDE HER ABILITY TO GRANT AND SIGN THE PROTECTIVE ORDER. IT IS TAINTED AND CANNOT BE USED BY ANY COURT OR PARTY EXCEPT TO HIGHLIGHT THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT(S) DONE TO OBTAIN IT. THUS, THE SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, BY THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH AND CREDIT WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS. THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO FILED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1749, SWORN UNDER OATH AND AFFIDAVIT WHICH IS SUFFICIENT TO DEFEAT ANY SUMMARY DISMISSAL, EVEN THOUGH THE COURTS WOULD NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO DO SO BECAUSE THERE IS

A TRUSTEE APPOINTED IN THE FORM OF JUDGE AUSTIN WHICH IS ACQUIRED AND EXCLUSIVE TO HER VIA POWERS GIVEN TO HER BY THE KING-KHALIFAH AS IS ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENTS WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO YOUR JURISDICTION. CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WAS REMOVED AT 6:00 A.M. JUNE 6, 2017 BEFORE THE ORDER WAS SIGNED, TO CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB. THE FRAUD THAT JUDGES HARWELL, AUSTIN, MERCHANT AND WOOTEN ENGAGED IN TO DETACH THE REMOVAL TO ALLOW JUDGE BENJAMIN AND DEFENDANTS TO OBTAIN THE PROTECTIVE ORDER TAINTS IT AND THESE CASES ARE NOW ON APPEAL DIVESTING THEIR JURISDICTION TO PROTECT THESE CASES FROM ANY FURTHER ACTS AND MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE PETITIONERS. THIS MEANS THAT CASE 2016-000640 IS REMOVED AS FILED AND NO ADDITIONAL BRIEF HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS FOR JASON MORRIS GOURDINE AND GOURDINE IS PERMITTED TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT AS SOUGHT BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT AND KING-KHALIFAH WHOSE SUPERSEDING POWER BINDS ALL PARTIES BY THE DEFAULT. IT IS SO ORDERED, CADA-v.-BAXTER-HEALTH-CARE CORP., (1990 CA7 Ill.) 920 F2d. 446, 54 BNA F.E.P. CAS. 961, 55 CCH EPD ¶ 40424 CERT. DENIED (1991) 501 U.S. 1261, 115 L.Ed. 2d. 1079, 111 S.Ct. 2916, 56 BNA F.E.P. CAS. 576, 56 CCH EPD ¶ 40835; UNITED-STATES-v.-GOMEZ-VIRGIL, (1991 CA6 MICH) 929 F2d. 254; UNITED-STATES-v.-MURR, (1982 CA4 Va.) 681 F2d 246 CERT. DENIED (1982) 459 U.S. 973, 74 L.Ed.2d. 286, 103 S.Ct. 307. ALL DEFENDANTS IN THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ARE REQUIRED TO BE SERVED, AND THEY ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND, NOT THE COURT ITSELF. IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNLESS THE COURTS AND PARTIES CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT IS NOT CORRUPTED BY EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION AND VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS COMPELLED TO GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT. JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN IS REQUIRED TO FULFILL HER DUTIES AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE. THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY IS REQUIRED TO TAKE OVER JURISDICTION UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE TRUSTEE AUSTIN, AND THE PETITIONERS WITH ALL THEIR PROPERTY IS TO BE TRANSFERRED TO A FEDERAL PRE-

RELEASE CAMP, THE NICEST ONE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS, INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER IS SOUGHT BY THE PETITIONERS. WHAT'S GOOD FOR THE GOOSE, IS GOOD FOR THE GANDER. THIS IS SOUGHT FOR BOTH CASES 2013-CP-400-0084 AND 2016-000640 VIA SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL. APPEAL OF THESE CASES IS GRANTED BY JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT DUE TO CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL THAT BINDS THIS COURT AND DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. ALSO DUE TO THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES INVOLVED. SANCTIONS ARE GRANTED AS SOUGHT WHICH PENALTIES IS ALSO TO BE INITIATED BY INJUNCTION. BY THE INJUNCTION, ALL PARTIES ARE REQUIRED TO ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL RELIEF SOUGHT TO INCLUDE DECLARATORY JUDGMENT BEING GIVEN ALONG THE TERMS THAT ARE CONGRUENT WITH THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES. THIS INCLUDE ESTABLISHING WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS THE JURISDICTION OF JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE REQUIRING HER TO ACT WITHIN (21) DAYS ORDERING OUR REMOVAL TO THE PRE-RELEASE CAMP AND ESTABLISHING THE LIEN AS SOUGHT DUE TO VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AS WELL AS THE OTHER INJUSTICES, BARDACS-v.-HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 514(DSC.2014); LORD &-TAYLOR,-LLC.-v.-WHITE-FLINT,-L.P.- 780 F3d. 211 CA4 (Md.2015); IT'S-SUGAR-LLC.-v.-I-LOVE-SUGAR,-INC.- 2013 WL 6077353(DSC.2013); HAWKINS-v.-TURBEVILLE-CORRECTIONAL-INST.- F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2423216(DSC.2013); BUFF-v.-STIRLING, 2015 WL1578845(DSC.2015); PENN-AMERICAN-INS.-CO.-v.-MAPF, 521 F3d. 290 CA4 (Va.2008); U.S.-v.-LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); BOARD OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION-OF-OPERATING-ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS-FARGO-BANK-N.A.-v.-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561 (2016); BARNA-CON.-SHIPPING,-S.C.-v.-2,000-METRIC-TONS,-MORE OR-LESS,-OF-ABANDONED-STEEL, 410 Fed. Appx' 716, 2011 WL 468260, 2011 A.M.C. 1205 CA4 (2001).

YOUR NATION IS IN POSSESSION OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION OF THE REESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OF MUSLIMS, CHRISTIANS AND JEWS. BY YOUR ACTIONS OF GIVING THESE "SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES" (GAYS AND LESBIANS) THE

LEGAL RIGHT TO MARRY YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY ATTACHED, EXECUTED AND OR ARRESTED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE AND ITS SOLE CORPORATION SUBSTANTIALLY BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT, OF THE GRANT GIVEN TO THIS NATION IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING-KHALIFAH. YOU HAVE (15) DAYS TO DISSOLVE ALL SAME SEX MARRIAGES NATIONALLY AND THE TRUSTEE, JUDGE AUSTIN IS TO ENSURE THAT THIS IS IMMEDIATELY DONE VIA THE SAME INJUNCTION OR ADDITIONAL PENALTIES SHALL ATTACH. IT IS SO ORDERD.

RESPECTFULLY,
ANTHONY COOK




JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



david duren
david duren

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING-
KHALIFAH, CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC
STATE AND COURT



JULY 2, 2017

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

EXHIBIT NUMBER 6

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

JASON M. GOURDINE ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

C/A 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA;
9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-
cv-1140-TLW-BM; 9:17-cv-1803;
2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB; 2016-
000640

Vs.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

WE, JASON M. GOURDINE ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE
MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO REMOVE, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
, THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL
POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX
ON AUGUST 2, 2017. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON
v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).



ANTHONY COOK



AUGUST 2, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,

JASON M. GOURDINE


JAHJAH AL MAHDI

2-of-2

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

EXHIBIT-NUMBER-7

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

6
4

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(9:16-cv-3808-TLW; 4:16-cv-0307-RMG)

DOCKET NO. 17-1695

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

PETITIONER

Vs.

JUDGES ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE APPEAL DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE 6, 2017. IT IS DEEMED FILED THAT DATE, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

JUNE 6, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(9:16-cv-03808-TLW; 4:16-cv-03807-RMG)

DOCKET NO. 17-1695

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

PETITIONER

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR;
MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE APPEAL DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

IN RE: LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD


TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

THE PETITIONER OBJECTS TO THIS APPEAL BEING FILED. THIS
IS A SIMILAR STUNT THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE CASES DID IN THE
PAST. WE CANNOT GET A FAIR REVIEW IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
WE RENEW THE MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE IN CASES 16-2141,

16-2299 AND 17-1415. JUDGES HENDRICKS, MERCHANT, HARWELL, WEST AND THE VARIOUS JUDGES OF THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT FILED THIS APPEAL WITHOUT MY CONSENT, POTENTIALLY CONSPIRING WITH THE VARIOUS JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT THAT ARE DEFENDANTS IN THESE CASES IN ACTS OF RETALIATION BECAUSE THEY DID NOT LIKE THE WAY THEY WERE TALKED TO, DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY PROVOKED THE RHETORIC DUE TO THEIR EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. WE HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH, WHICH IN THIS CASE IS "HATE SPEECH", WHICH IS ALSO PROTECTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. I DID NOT FILE THIS APPEAL. I OBJECT. WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED. YOU HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES INTENT WAS TO MOVE THE CASE FROM THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION TO UNLOCK THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO ALLOW THEIR CONSPIRING COHORTS TO OBTAIN A FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDER THAT IS TAINTED BY ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO NEGATE OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE "0084" IN RICHLAND COUNTY. THEIR INTENT IS TO MAKE USE OF IT IN CASES 16-2141, 16-2299 AND 17-1415, TO INCLUDE THE OTHER STATE AND FEDERAL CASES PENDING THAT ARE CONNECTED TO THESE MATTERS. CASE 17-1695 IS OFFICIALLY WITHDRAWN AS OF JUNE 6, 2017 PURSUANT TO HOUSTON V. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988), THIS WITHDRAWAL BEING FILED THAT DATE PLACED IN THE MAILBOX, SERVED ON THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT. I WANT THE OUTSIDE ENVELOPE FILED TO PROVE WHEN THE DOCUMENT WAS PLACED IN THE MAIL, WHICH IS ALSO PROVEN BY THE E.H. COOPER DEBIT FORM. ALSO SEE (3) PAGE ATTACHED DOCUMENT DATED JUNE 6, 2017.

I seek Declaratory Judgment from the 4th circuit in all cases.

RESPECTFULLY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD



JUNE 6, 2017

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

EXHIBIT-NUMBER-8

2

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

PETITIONERS

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD;
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

JASON M. GOURDINE

ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook
JUNE 22, 2017

Jason M. Gourdine
JASON M. GOURDINE
Jason M. Gourdine

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA	)	
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.	)	C/A 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM;
TISHBITE # 300839;	)	9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; 2:17-
ANTHONY COOK; JASON M.	)	cv-1300-RMG-MGB; 2016-000640
GOURDINE	)	
	)	
PETITIONERS	)	
	)	AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
	)	JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO
Vs.	)	REMOVE
	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	
ET. AL.,	)	
DEFENDANTS	)	

IN RE: JASON MORRIS GOURDINE AND CASE 2016-00640

TO: THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

ATTACHED THE COURTS AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT
IN CASE NO. 2017-001319.

(2) A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED WITHIN THE S.C. COURT
OF APPEALS IN CASE NUMBER 2016-00640.

THIS IS THE CRUX OF THE MATTER WHERE WE HAD EGREGIOUS
ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION GOING ON INVOLVING THE S.C. STATE

COURTS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS WHO ARE PARTIES IN THIS CASE, TO INCLUDE BEING PARTIES TO OUR CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT HAS DENIED JASON GOURDINE'S MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT IN ACTS OF MACHINATION TO PREVENT REVIEW OF THE LEGAL ISSUES OF CONTROVERSY THAT ARE BEING ARGUED BEFORE THIS COURT. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, BEING FULLY AWARE THAT THE GOURDOINE BRIEF WAS FILED WITHIN THE FEDERAL COURT PROCEEDINGS PRIOR TO ANY DENIAL OF THE MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, ALSO WITH THE FEDERAL ACTORS IN THESE CASES, CONSPIRING UNDER 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TOLD THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS THAT THE LEGAL ISSUES OF CONTROVERSY ARE BEING SOUGHT FILED IN THE GORDINE CASE SINCE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL WAS SERVED A COPY OF THE GOURDINE BRIEF VIA THE PENDING FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS. SO AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE HOLDING MADE BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016). THE S.C. SUPREME COURT FAILED TO INTERVENE TO ALLOW THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO DENY THE MOTION TO BLOCK THE ISSUES FROM OBTAINING REVIEW DEMONSTRATING THAT THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE STATE REMEDIES DUE TO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S INVOLVEMENT IS THIS PLOT AND MACHINATION TO PREVENT AND TWART FAIR REVIEW. BY THEIR ACTIONS THEY ARE TELEGRAPHING THEIR NEXT MOVE TO CAUSE IRREPARABLE HARM TO THE GOURDINE CASE IN ACTS OF RETALIATION BECAUSE GOURDINE SOUGHT TO AID THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN AND OTHER PETITIONERS IN THE PARALLEL CASES OBTAIN JUDICIAL REVIEW. TO PREVENT THIS IMMINENT HARM THE CONSPIRING PARTIES ARE ATTEMPTING TO LEVY AT THE GOURDINE CASE. TO PREVENT ANY INJUSTICE THAT THE CONSPIRING DEFENDANTS ARE ATTEMPTING TO ACHIEVE. CASE 2016-000640 IS OFFICIALLY PETITIONED REMOVED TO ALL CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; AND 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB. CASE 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB A COPY OF THE GOURDINE BRIEF IS ATTACHED FOR BOTH YOU AND THE S.C. COURT OF APPEAL.

CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM A COPY OF
THE BRIEF WAS RECENTLY SERVED UPON YOU IN RESPONSE TO THE REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION IN CASE "3808". AS OF THE DATE THIS DOCUMENT
IS PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX, CASE 2016-000640 IS REMOVED
DIVESTING THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS OF JURISDICTION TO PROTECT
IT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 ET. SEQ..

RESPECTFULLY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE




ANTHONY COOK



JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



JUNE 20, 2017



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211
1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080
FAX: (803) 734-1499
www.sccourts.org

June 12, 2017

Mr. Jason Morris Gourdine, 199055
Lieber Correctional Institution
P. O. Box 205
Ridgeville SC 29472

Re: The State v. Jason Morris Gourdine
Appellate Case No. 2017-001319

Dear Mr. Gourdine:

As you know, your criminal appeal is pending before the South Carolina Court of Appeals in Appellate Case Number 2016-000640. You have now filed a petition seeking a writ of mandamus to compel the Court of Appeals to take certain action in this appeal.¹

Since you are represented by counsel before the Court of Appeals, no action will be taken on this *pro se* petition by this Court. *Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010); *Jones v. State*, 348 S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 379

¹ This petition has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above.

S.E.2d 907 (1989). Instead, if it is appropriate to seek a writ of mandamus from this Court, that petition will have to be filed by your counsel.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'D' followed by a series of loops and a long horizontal line extending to the right.

CLERK

cc: Susan Barber Hackett, Esquire
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,


v.

Jason Morris Gourdine, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2016-000640

ORDER

Appellant's motion to exceed the page limit is denied.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Jason Morris Gourdine, 199055

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire

Susan Barber Hackett, Esquire

FILED

June 15, 2017

EXHIBIT - NUMBER - 9

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals

3 0

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE No. 2016-000640

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


I, JASON GOURDINE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO REMOVE AND ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID AND OR CERTIFIED, BY PLACING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE 22, 2017. SINCE THIS CASE INVOLVES FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS IT IS DEEMED FILED WHEN PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379 (1988).

JUNE 22, 2017

1-of-3

RESPECTFULLY,

JASON M. GOURDINE



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE No. 2016-00640

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO REMOVE

IN RE: GOURDINE

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,
THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,


ATTACHED THE COURT WILL FIND:

A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS
GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; PETITION TO REMOVED AND ITS ATTACHMENTS
WHICH INCLUDE THE BRIEF WHICH WAS UNJUSTLY BLOCKED BY THE CON-
SDPRING PARTIES IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. PLEASE SEE THAT

A COPY OF THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE FILED IN CASE 2016-000640.
AS OF THIS DATE PURSUANT TO THE MAILBOX RULE, CASE 2016-000640
IS REMOVED DIVESTING THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS OF JURISDICTION.
WE THANK YOU.

RESPECTFULLY,

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Jason Morris Gourdine".

JUNE 20, 2017

2

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, ANTHONY COOK, JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING OBJECTIONS TO JUDGE BENJAMIN SIGNING THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT BY H. THOMAS MORGAN JR.,; PETITION TO REMOVE AND MOTION TO MOTION FOR THE OBJECTIONS, ON JUDGE BENJAMIN, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, BY U.S. MAIL AND OR CERTIFIED, POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE 6, 2017. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,
ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

Jahjah Al Mahdi

JUNE 6, 2017

PETITIONERS

Vs.

CPL. BOUCH; JUDGE DeANDREA
BENJAMIN ET. AL.,

B DEFENDANTS

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
THE 5TH. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CASE(S) 2013-CP-400-0084; 2:17-
cv-1300-RMG-MGB; 9:16-cv-3808-
TLW-BM; 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA;
9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING OBJEC-
TIONS TO JUDGE BENJAMIN SIGNING
THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT
BY H. THOMAS MORGAN JR.,;
PETITION TO REMOVE AND MOTION
TO MOTION FOR THE OBJECTIONS

IN RE: THE PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT BY MORGAN


TO: JUDGE DeANDREA BENJAMIN,
THE RICHLAND COURT OF COMMON PLEAS,
THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE PLAINTIFFS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 JUST RECEIVED
A COPY OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT BY MR. MORGAN. JUDGE BENJAMIN
WE MOTION FOR YOUR RECUSAL IN DOING ANYTHING IN THIS CASE. YOU
ARE A DEFENDANT IN CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-
MGB; 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA.
PURSUANT TO WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) YOUR
PRESENCE CREATES A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION
FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. I KNOW THAT YOU CORRUPT JUDGES FILED
AN APPEAL IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM TO MOVE IT OUT OF THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION TO ALLOW YOU TO CONTINUE
IN YOUR ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION AND STATE THAT THE CASE
IS NOT REMOVED TO THE DISTRICT COURT SINCE ITS IN THE 4TH. CIR-
CUIT. THAT APPEAL THAT YOU FRAUDULENTLY FILED WITHOUT MY CONSENT
IS OFFICIALLY WITHDRAWN AS OF THIS DATE. TO FURTHER DRIVE THE
STAKE IN YOU DEMON DOGS HEARTS, YOU CORRUPT VAMPIRES. CASE 2013-
CP-400-0084 IS NOW OFFICIALLY REMOVED TO CASES 2:17-cv-1300-
RMG-MGB, ALSO 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM ON JUNE 6, 2017 PURSUANT TO
HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988)
BEING PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON THAT DATE ALSO PUR-
SUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 et. seq.. BY THIS,
THE REMOVAL VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION WHERE YOU CANNOT OBTAIN
THIS TAINTED ORDER NOR CAN IT BE USED WITHIN ANY RELEVANT COURT
INVOLVED. POW!!! I LOVE IT WHEN YOU CALL ME "BIG PAPPA!!"

RESPECTFULLY,
ANTHONY COOK



JAHJAH AL MAHDI



JUNE 6, 2017

DuBose-Robinson, PC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
935 Broad Street
Camden, South Carolina 29020
Telephone: 803-432-1992
Fax: 803-432-0784

J. Kennedy DuBose, Jr.
Jonathan M. Robinson
John K. DuBose, III
H. Thomas Morgan, Jr.
L. Shawn Sullivan

Columbia Office
2725 Devine St.
Columbia, SC 29205
Telephone: 803-254-5445

REPLY TO: Mailing Address
PO Drawer 39
Camden, SC 29021

May 31, 2017

The Honorable DeAndrea G. Benjamin
Chief Administrative Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit
Post Office Box 192
Columbia, South Carolina 29202-0192

RE: *Protection – Richland County*

Anthony Cook #115157, et al. vs. Judge Craig Brown, et al.
Civil Action No.: 2013-CP-40-00084

Dear Judge Benjamin:


I am scheduled to be on a long-standing family vacation from July 17-21, 2017. Therefore, I am requesting protection from trial from July 17-21, 2017 in the Fifth Judicial Circuit.

If you are inclined to grant this request, I respectfully ask that you sign and return to me the enclosed proposed Order of Protection and I will file it with the Richland County Clerk of Court and pay any required fee.

If any opposing counsel objects to this request I will inform the Court.

Very truly yours,

DuBOSE-ROBINSON, PC



H. Thomas Morgan, Jr.

HTM, Jr.:srd

Enclosure

cc: Anthony Cook, Pro Se Plaintiff, #115157
✓ Lawrence Crawford a/k/a Jonah Gabriel Tahjah T. Tishbite, Pro Se Plaintiff, #300839
Aerialle Crawford, Pro Se Plaintiff c/o Mr. and Mrs. Herbert Lee
Quinta Lee, Pro Se Plaintiff c/o Mr. and Mrs. Herbert Lee
Charles A. Kinney, Jr., Esquire
Daniel R. Settana, Jr., Esquire
Meghan Hazelwood Hall, Esquire
Erin Farrell Farthing, Esquire

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Case No. 2013-CP-40-00084

IN RE:)
)
H. THOMAS MORGAN, JR.)
_____)

ORDER OF PROTECTION


The above captioned attorney is hereby granted an Order of Protection from appearance in the Fifth Judicial Circuit Court from July 17, 2017 to July 21, 2017, due to a scheduled family vacation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DeAndrea G. Benjamin
Chief Administrative Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit

_____, South Carolina

June ____, 2017



The McKay Firm, P.A.

1303 Blanding Street (29201)
Post Office Box 7217 (29202)
Columbia, South Carolina

803.256.4645 Telephone
803.765.1839 Fax

www.McKayFirm.com

Charles A. Kinney
Licensed in SC, NC, GA

803.705.2159 Direct
ckinney@mckayfirm.com

June 30, 2017

VIA EMAIL and HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable DeAndrea G. Benjamin
Chief Administrative Judge – Richland County
PO BOX 192
Columbia, SC 29202
dbenjaminj@sccourts.org

RE: ORDER OF PROTECTION

Diana Gale as Personal Representative for Christopher Gale v. SCDC, et al
Case No. 2016-CP-40-00396
Our File No.: 9-487

Aaron Gamble, #257354 v. SCDC
Case No. 2016-CP-40-02658
Our File No.: 9-498

Alphonso Haynesworth v. SCDMH, et al
Case No. 2016-CP-40-04433
Our File No.: 9-503

Terrence Wheeler, #220533 v. SCDC
Case No. 2017-CP-40-00085
Our File No.: 9-508

Brian Aten v. SCDPPPS
Case No. 2017-CP-00907
Our File No.: 9-511

Marcus McCluney v. SCDMV, et al
Case No. 2016-CP-40-02101
Our File No.: 9-513

The McKay Firm, P.A.

Larry Simmons, #337003 v. SCDC
Case No. 2017-CP-40-02237
Our File No.: 9-525

Crawford v. SC Attorney General, et al
Case No.: 2013-CP-40-00084
Our File No.: 9-384

Dear Judge Benjamin:


Enclosed please find the original of the Order of Protection, along with our coversheet. Please review and sign the original and return to me in the envelope provided.

Should you have any questions or concerns, do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest convenience.

By copy of this letter, I am serving all counsel of record with the same.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Charles A. Kankey

CAK/cdn

Enclosures

cc: Robert V. Phillips, Esquire
Kyle J. White, Esquire
Alphonso Haynesworth, *Pro Se*
Terrence L. Wheeler, *Pro Se*
Brian D. Aten, *Pro Se*
Theo Mitchell, Esquire
Larry D. Simmons, *Pro Se*
Mr. Anthony Cook, *Pro Se*
Lawrence L. Crawford, *Pro Se*
Dominic Gallman
Aerialle J. Crawford

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF RICHLAND

☐ Plaintiff

v.

☐ Defendant.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO.

-CP- -

MOTION AND ORDER INFORMATION
FORM AND COVER SHEET

Plaintiff's Attorney:

, Bar No.

Address:

phone:

fax:

e-mail:

other:

Defendant's Attorney:

Charles A. Kinney, Bar No. 77635

Address:

PO Box 7217

Columbia, SC 29201

phone: 803-256-4645 fax: 803-765-1839

e-mail: ckinney@mckayfirm.com other:

- ☐ MOTION HEARING REQUESTED (attach written motion and complete SECTIONS I and III)
☐ FORM MOTION, NO HEARING REQUESTED (complete SECTIONS II and III)
☒ PROPOSED ORDER/CONSENT ORDER (complete SECTIONS II and III)

SECTION I: Hearing Information

Nature of Motion:

Estimated Time Needed: Court Reporter Needed: ☐ YES / ☒ NO

SECTION II: Motion/Order Type

☐ Written motion attached

☒ Form Motion/Order

I hereby move for relief or action by the court as set forth in the attached proposed order.

Signature of Attorney for ☐ Plaintiff / ☒ Defendant

June 30, 2017

Date submitted

SECTION III: Motion Fee

☐ PAID - AMOUNT:

☒ EXEMPT: ☐ Rule to Show Cause in Child or Spousal Support

(check reason) ☐ Domestic Abuse or Abuse and Neglect

☐ Indigent Status ☐ State Agency v. Indigent Party

☐ Sexually Violent Predator Act ☐ Post-Conviction Relief

☐ Motion for Stay in Bankruptcy

☐ Motion for Publication ☐ Motion for Execution (Rule 69, SCRCP)

☐ Proposed order submitted at request of the court; or,
reduced to writing from motion made in open court per judge's instructions

Name of Court Reporter:

☒ Other: Order of Protection

JUDGE'S SECTION

☐ Motion Fee to be paid upon filing of the attached order.

☐ Other:

JUDGE

CODE:

Date:

CLERK'S VERIFICATION

Collected by: _____

Date Filed:

☐ MOTION FEE COLLECTED: _____

☐ CONTESTED - AMOUNT DUE: _____

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF RICHLAND

FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

ORDER OF PROTECTION

This matter comes before the Court upon Motion of Charles A. Kinney, Esquire, for an Order of Protection from July 17, 2017 through July 21, 2017 for a previously scheduled family vacation. Based on the above, Mr. Kinney will not be available for trial or any other Court appearances on the above dates. The Court finds that the request contained herein is reasonable and that Charles A. Kinney has demonstrated good cause for his request.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Charles A. Kinney is hereby granted an Order of Protection in the below-listed cases from July 17, 2017 through July 21, 2017 for a previously scheduled family vacation.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Honorable DeAndrea G. Benjamin
Chief Administrative Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit

Richland County Cases:

Diana Gale as Personal Representative for Christopher Gale v. SCDC, et al
Case No. 2016-CP-40-00396

Aaron Gamble, #257354 v. SCDC
Case No. 2016-CP-40-02658

Alphonso Haynesworth v. SCDMH, et al
Case No. 2016-CP-40-04433

Terrence Wheeler, #220533 v. SCDC
Case No. 2017-CP-40-00085


Brian Aten v. SCDPPPS
Case No. 2017-CP-00907

Marcus McCluney v. SCDMV, et al
Case No. 2016-CP-40-02101

Larry Simmons, #337003 v. SCDC
Case No. 2017-CP-40-02237

Crawford v. SC Attorney General, et al
Case No. 2013-CP-40-00084

Jason Gourdine #1990
Lieber Correctional Inst. W.C. 800
P.O. Box 205
Ridgeville, S.C. 29472



South Carolina Court of Appeal
Jenny Abbott Kitching, Clerk
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia S.C. 29211

RECEIVED

AUG 18 2017

MAILROOM
LIEBER CI

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

SC Court of Appeals