

FORM 7

PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals  
[In The Supreme Court]

RECEIVED

SEP 12 2017

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Gordon G Cooper, Master Equity Judge

Appellate Case No. 2017-001183

GBS Building Supply Inc

Respondent.

V.

QMM Development, LLC,  
Quintin Marcel Meredith,  
Latonya F. Meredith, and  
Stock Building Supply,  
LLC, Defendants

Of whom Quintin Marcel Meredith and Latonya Meredith, Appellant.

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served Notice of Appeal on Stock Building Supply LLC by depositing a copy of it in the United States mail by certified mail on May 30, 2017, addressed to the attorney of record Joey R. Floyd Post Office Box 6110 Columbia South Carolina 29260 on May 30, 2017

May 30, 2017

Quintin M. Meredith *Quintin M Meredith*  
Latonya F. Meredith *Latonya Meredith*  
4019 Hwy 146  
Woodruff SC 29388  
(864) 529-5441  
Email: hpspmm@yahoo.com  
Appellant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....ii  
Statement of Issues on Appeal..... 1-3  
Statement of the Case..... 1  
Facts..... 1  
Arguments..... 2

**RECEIVED**

SEP 12 2017

SC Court of Appeals

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

The respondent client GBS did not have a signature as the personal guarantee. Quintin Meredith never gave a personal guarantee on this account there was no written agreement. Respondent client GBS account office will let anyone come in and get an account without verifying the individual. QMM DEV LLC. wonder what requirement did they take in giving an account. It could be stated GBS acted unlawfully in giving the account. The case numbers they used did not apply in this case such as case number 305 South Carolina 214 case did not apply to this case. Case number 208 SC 208 did not apply to this case. Case no.315 SC 300 did not apply to this case. Case 306 SC 101 did not apply to this case. These cases were used to obtain judgement and to manipulate the court. None of these resemble the likeness to this case.

## CASES

Walter S. Lightle v. Maxicare/ Health America SC305 – SC214

Thompson v. Anderson et al SC208-to-208

Englert Inc v. Netherlands Insurance company SC 315-300

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

Appellate case no. 2017-001183

I Latonya Meredith appeal the fact that GBS attorney (respondent) ever added my name to this civil case. I know nothing of it or signed any contract. They have damaged my name and misled the court into taking my property. I ask the court to review this case and restore my property and clear my name of any damages. I ask any amount that the court will allow in damages.

Sincerely, Latonya Meredith  
*Latonya Meredith*

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

I Quintin Meredith have been a law-abiding citizen for over 40 years and have served in the community. I am confused on how I got into this situation. I started to review all the evidence in this case and found the respondent attorney manipulated the court with false evidence and twisting words. I Quintin Meredith ask the court to review the evidence. The contract that was presented as evidence (a copy of the contract attached) I did not sign nor did I have knowledge of their usual business practice for account holders. I Quintin Meredith only have tried to find a solution to the problem. The court order attained was due to false information given by the respondent attorney to the court.

The respondent attorney only looked-for ways to steal the Meredith's property. The respondent attorney used Rule 56(e) – The Meredith's acted and responded in every way looking for a solution. The respondent attorney continued to present to the court that there was no response. Letters were written to the respondent GBS, and the attorney during this time. The respondent attorney presented a contract that I Quintin Meredith did not sign. There was no written agreement established with the respondent, GBS. The case number 305 and all other case numbers were added as evidence to mislead the court in effort to gain judgement and court order against the Meredith's (Quintin Meredith). I have a

copy of the actual cases that were used as evidence for court viewing. I Quintin Meredith only hope is for the court to review the evidence in its entirety. I find no likeness in the cases that have been presented as evidence. The respondent attorney misled the court with the cases. The respondent attorney spoke of a previous deposition. I ask the court one question- Has the disposition presented entered court evidence?

  
Sincerely, Quintin Meredith

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Respondent client GBS stated on or before July 2015 they received a business credit application. This alleged credit application that the respondent client GBS engaged in unlawful ways to allow materials to be ordered. This credit application does not hold Quintin Meredith signature. There was no written agreement with the respondent GBS and Quintin Meredith.

#### FACTS

Respondent client GBS is at fault for allowing such act. Latonya Meredith did not sign a contract with GBS nor had any knowledge of a contract with GBS. Quintin Meredith did not give a personal guarantee. Respondent attorney presented false evidence to gain judgement and machinal lien.

Quintin M. Meredith  
Latonya F. Meredith  
4019 Hwy 146  
Woodruff SC 29388  
(864) 529-5441  
Email: hpspmm@yahoo.com

FROM:

4019 Hwy 146  
Woodruff SC  
29388



1000

29211

U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
WOODRUFF, SC  
93388  
SEP 06 17  
AMOUNT  
**\$1.82**  
R2305K14109B-16

TO:

South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Jenny Abbott Kitchings Clerk  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia South Carolina  
29211

RECORDED  
SEP 12 2017  
SC COURT OF APPEALS

Photo Document Mailer  
9 3/4" x 12 1/4"

