

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from Horry County  
Larry B. Hyman, Circuit Court Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL  
RECEIVED  
SEP 18 2017  
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

CALVIN SOLOMAN BARR,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-001367

\_\_\_\_\_  
PETITION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE  
INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT  
AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER  
\_\_\_\_\_

The undersigned counsel respectfully requests a thirty day extension in which to file the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the above referenced case. In support of this motion, counsel would respectfully show the Court the following extraordinary circumstances:

1. The initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in this case are due to be served and filed today.

2. Today, September 18, 2017, counsel will file the petition for writ of certiorari to the Court of Appeals and the accompanying appendix in The State v. Wayland Purnell with the Supreme Court. On September 8, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari, accompanying appendix, and brief of appellant pursuant to White v. State in the case of Gregory Murphy v. The State with the Supreme Court. On August 31, 2017, counsel filed the initial brief





of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Terrence Seabrook with this Court. On August 23, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in Andrew Blackmon v. The State with the Supreme Court. On August 16, 2017, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Rodrigus Ratron Williams with this Court. On August 4, 2017, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Candice Beasley with this Court. On August 4, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in James Arthur Bryant v. The State with the Supreme Court. On July 24, 2017, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in Romeo Brown v. The State with the Supreme Court. 3. This request is made in good faith, and not for purposes of delay. Counsel intends to continue to work on the cases with more than three extensions first so that her caseload will hopefully become more manageable in the near future, and less extensions will need to be requested.

4. As indicated by the consent below, counsel for the state graciously consents to or does not oppose this request.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned counsel would respectfully request a thirty day extension. Counsel respectfully requests that the time limits for filing the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter be held in abeyance pending a ruling on this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lara M. Caudy  
Appellate Defender

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert M. Dudek  
Chief Appellate Defender

September 18, 2017

I do not oppose:

*for*   
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. Benjamin Aplin, Esquire