

State of South Carolina

Vincent Rice

Appellant

vs.

State of South Carolina

Respondent

In the South Carolina Court of Appeals

Case No: 2015-082474

Motion to Reconsider
Order denying proceeding

pro se

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2017

SC Court of Appeals

I, the Appellant, Vincent Rice am compelled to
rebuttal the recent order on the grounds that the
Court to state on how it has the authority the
prevented my right to self-representation and to defend
my own cause S.C. Code Ann. 40-5-90

The court's order does not state any evidence that may have
been considered. Nor does the court's order state any
conclusion of law or facts to reach the decision. I am
uncertain if a judge signed the order dated and filed
on Sept 14, 2017.

The standing fact I compell the court to reconsider is,
no jurisdiction or law is establish to prevent my right to defend
my best interest as a human being.

The court can not force an attorney that I did not request
to proceed in my case. Both I and Counsel Durant have
submitted motions of relief. This court has not ruled on
Counsel Durant Motion since March 2, 2017.

I ask the court reconsider the fact that Both I and
Counsel LaRue Durant filed motions of relief is
evidence, a conflict of interest exists. I also ask that
the court explain "careful considerations".

Respectfully,

Vincent Rice # 314 178

L.C. 1

P.O. Box 205

Rockwell, S.C. 29472

Proof of Service

I, Appellant Vincent Rice did forward a copy of a Motion to consider to the following Respondents via postal mail on this day September 20, 2017.

Annie Rumber
4444 Broad River Rd
Columbia, SC 29212

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Vincent Kizer # 316171
Lo Col

Proc Box 245
Ridgeway, S.C. 29422

RECEIVED

SEP 25 2017

MAIL ROOM
LIEBER C.I.

S.C. Court of Appeals
James Enoch
P.O. Box 11229
Columbia, S.C. 29221

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2017

SC Court of Appeals

FOR LEGAL USE ONLY