


STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 COUNTY OF RICHLAND )  
 )  
 RAYMOND J. MALEJKO AND CRISTINE )  
 MALEJKO, ET AL. )  
 Plaintiff )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 TODD CORLEY, JANET LOPER, ET AL. )  
 Defendant. )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **RECEIVED**  
 SEP 20 2017  
 CASE NO. **SC Court of Appeals**  
 2016-CP-40-1536  
 MOTION AND ORDER INFORMATION  
 FORM AND COVER SHEET

Plaintiff's Attorney: Allen Bullard, Esquire Montgomery Willard, LLC P.O. Box 11886, Columbia, SC 29211-1886 phone: 803-779-3500 fax: e-mail: abullard@montgomerywillard.com	Defendant's Attorney: David A. Anderson, Bar No. 11550 Richardson Plowden & Robinson P.O. Drawer 7788, Columbia, SC 29202 phone: 803-771-4400 fax: 803-779-0016 e-mail: danderson@richardsonplowden.com
<input type="checkbox"/> MOTION HEARING REQUESTED (attach written motion and complete SECTIONS I and III) <input type="checkbox"/> FORM MOTION, NO HEARING REQUESTED (complete SECTIONS II and III) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROPOSED ORDER/CONSENT ORDER (complete SECTIONS II and III)	
<b>SECTION I: Hearing Information</b>	
Nature of Motion: Estimated Time Needed: 20 mins Court Reporter Needed: <input type="checkbox"/> YES / <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
<b>SECTION II: Motion/Order Type</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Written motion attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Form Motion/Order I hereby move for relief or action by the court as set forth in the attached proposed order.	
 Signature of Attorney for Defendant Loper & NextGen	July 31, 2017 Date submitted
<b>SECTION III: Motion Fee</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> PAID - AMOUNT: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXEMPT: <input type="checkbox"/> Rule to Show Cause in Child or Spousal Support (check reason) <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Abuse or Abuse and Neglect <input type="checkbox"/> Indigent Status <input type="checkbox"/> State Agency v. Indigent Party <input type="checkbox"/> Sexually Violent Predator Act <input type="checkbox"/> Post-Conviction Relief <input type="checkbox"/> Motion for Stay in Bankruptcy <input type="checkbox"/> Motion for Publication <input type="checkbox"/> Motion for Execution (Rule 69, SCRPC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed order submitted at request of the court; or, reduced to writing from motion made in open court per judge's instructions Name of Court Reporter: <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	
<b>JUDGE'S SECTION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Motion Fee to be paid upon filing of the attached order. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	JUDGE _____ CODE: _____ Date: _____
<b>CLERK'S VERIFICATION</b>	
Collected by: _____ Date Filed: _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> MOTION FEE COLLECTED: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CONTESTED - AMOUNT DUE: _____	

**RECEIVED**  
 SEP 26 2017  
 SC Court of Appeals

6

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
CA. NO.: 2015-CP-40-480 & 2016-CP-40-1536

Raymond & Christine Malejko,

Applicant,

vs.

Todd Corley, et al.,

Respondent.

RECEIVED

SEP 20 2017

ORDER SC Court of Appeals

2017 SEP - AM 11:17  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
COURT OF APPEALS  
JEROME L. ROBERTS  
C.C.P. 105

After careful consideration of the submitted briefs, the applicable law and all other arguments, this Court denies Respondent's Motion to Reconsider.

Under SCRCP Rule 59(f), a Rule 59(e) motion "may in the discretion of the court be determined on the briefs filed by the parties without oral argument." Hence, the grant or denial of a Motion to Reconsider is within the discretion of the circuit court. Motions to Reconsider are limited in scope and are not to be used to repeat the same arguments previously presented. *Dockins v. Benchmark Commc'n*, 180 F.R.D. 294, 295 (D.S.C. 1998). A Motion to Reconsider cannot be granted where the moving party simply seeks to have the Court rethink its decision. *Id.*

Accordingly, Respondent's Motion to Reconsider is DENIED.

*Re Hood*

The Honorable Robert E. Hood  
5th Judicial Circuit Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

This 8 day of 9, 2017

RECEIVED

SEP 26 2017

SC Court of Appeals

5

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Richland  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2016-CP-40-480 ✓ 2016-CP-40-1536

Raymond & Christine  
malejko PLAINTIFF(S)  
Ed Corley, et al. DEFENDANT(S)  
SEP 20 2017

RECEIVED  
SC Court of Appeals

Submitted by:	Attorney for : <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff	<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant
	or	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant	

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.  See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a) SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):  Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other

RECEIVED  
SEP 20 2017  
SC Court of Appeals  
2017 SEP - 8 AM 11:11  
RICHLAND COUNTY

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case.  
Additional Information for the Clerk :

TT R. 59(c) Motion Devel

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.  
E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

Retford Circuit Court Judge  
2164 Judge Code  
9/8/17 Date

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was entered on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 12 day of Sept, 2017 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

*Francis W. Hilde*  
CLERK OF COURT

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.**

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

6

RECEIVED  
FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

SEP 26 2017

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: 2016CP4001536

SC Court of Appeals

Raymond J Malejko

Todd Corley

Cristine Malejko

Janet Loper

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

RECEIVED

SEP 20 2017

Submitted by: \_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for :  Plaintiff  Defendant or  Self-Represented Litigant

SC COURT OF APPEALS

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (31. Nonsum);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):  Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

*Δ Loper + NextGen MST Granted  
Δ has 10 days to do order.*

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case. Additional Information for the Clerk :

*As to Δ's Loper + NextGen only*

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

Circuit Court Judge *Reford*

Judge Code *2164*

Date *7/27/17*

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

B. Allen Bullard Jr.

David Allen Anderson  
Matthew Thomas Hemingway  
Ray Mooneyham  
David E. Taylor

Mark Steven Barrow  
James B. Lybrand Jr.  
Mooneyham Solar & Electric  
Ray Mooneyham

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

Court Reporter \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk of Court \_\_\_\_\_