

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

In the Matter of the Care and Treatment of Timothy  
Groves Oxendine, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-002241

---

Appeal From York County  
R. Scott Sprouse, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-388  
Submitted September 1, 2017 – Filed October 18, 2017

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender David Alexander, of Columbia, for  
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General Deborah R.J. Shupe,  
both of Columbia, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *See Buist v. Buist*, 410 S.C. 569, 574, 766 S.E.2d 381, 383 (2014) ("It is well settled that an issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial court to be preserved." (quoting *Pye v. Estate of Fox*, 369 S.C. 555, 564, 633 S.E.2d 505, 510 (2006))); *In re Care & Treatment of Chapman*, 419 S.C. 172, 175, 796 S.E.2d 843, 844 (2017) (holding persons committed as sexually violent predators under the Sexually Violent

Predator Act have a statutory and constitutional "right to the effective assistance of counsel, and they may effectuate that right by seeking a writ of habeas corpus" but affirming the appellant's commitment on direct appeal based on issue preservation).

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**WILLIAMS, THOMAS, and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.