



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080

FAX: (803) 734-1499

[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

October 24, 2017

Mr. Thomas J. Torrence, 00094651  
Lieber Correctional Institution  
P. O. Box 205  
Ridgeville SC 29472

Re: Thomas J. Torrence v. State  
Appellate Case No. 2017-001782  
Lower Court Case No. 2011CP3201727

Dear Counsel:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at [www.sccourts.org/courtreg](http://www.sccourts.org/courtreg). Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at

[www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02](http://www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02). Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will not review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

As part of your explanation under Rule 243(c), SCACR, you allege that you are entitled to a belated review of the final order issued in 2005CP3200833 (2005 PCR action). Since the order of July 1, 2008, in the 2005 PCR action determined that that action was barred as being successive and as being untimely under the statute of limitations, I ask that you provide a Rule 243(c) explanation regarding the 2005 PCR action. This explanation must contain sufficient facts, argument and citation to legal authority to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the circuit court in the 2005 PCR action was improper. This explanation should be provided within twenty (20) days of the date of this letter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be initials followed by a long horizontal stroke.

CLERK

cc: Melody Jane Brown, Esquire