

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF YORK
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
 CASE NUMBER 2017CP4600291

Melissa Scott		Palmetto Medical Group Kishor Patel Magan Grigg Healthsouth Rehabilitation Hospital Of Rock Hill Carolinas Healthcare System	Nimish Patel Shepali Patel Piedmont Healthsouth Rehabilitation LLC Charlotte Mecklenburg Hospital Authority
---------------	--	---	--

PLAINTIFF(S)	DEFENDANT(S)
Submitted by: The Court	Attorney for: <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);
 Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other: _____
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON): Rule 40(j) SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other: _____
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX): Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other: _____

RECEIVED
 OCT 26 2017
 SC Court of Appeals

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order; (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER DENYING DEFENDNT CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG HOSPITAL AUTHORITY D/B/A CAROLINAS HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, CAROLINAS PHYSICIAN NETWORK, INC. D/B/A ID CONSULTANTS AND INFUSION CARE SPECIALISTS RULE 59(E) MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO DISMISS

This order ends does not end the case.
 Additional Information for the Clerk: _____

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk.

Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

S/S. Jackson Kimball
Special Circuit Court Judge

3063
Judge Code

9/29/2017
Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on **October 2, 2017**, and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on **October 2, 2017**, to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Chad Alan McGowan 1539 Health Care Dr. Rock Hill, SC
29732

Erin Richardson Stuckey PO Box 11070 Columbia, SC
29211-1070

James Bernard Hood PO Box 1508 Charleston, SC
29402-1508

Scott S. Addison 4350 Congress Street Suite 575 Charlotte,
NC 28209

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

David Hamilton

Court Reporter

David Hamilton - Clerk of Court

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

