



ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

**RECEIVED**  
NOV 03 2017  
SC Court of Appeals

November 3, 2017


The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Antwan Jamal Jett – Appellate Case No. 2015-001042

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced case is set for oral argument on November 7, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom I during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with the following supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the lone issue raised in the appeal: See Matthews v. State, 106 Md. App. 725, 737, 666 A.2d 912, 917 (Md. Ct. Spec. App. 1995) (“Appellant complains that he did, in fact, request an attorney by asking two or three times, “Where’s my lawyer?” The trial court ruled that this interrogative was not tantamount to a request for counsel. We agree.”); State v. Ash, 169 N.C. App. 715, 723, 611 S.E.2d 855, 860-861 (N.C. Ct. App. 2005) (holding the trial judge committed no error in finding Ash’s act of asking where his lawyer was at after being informed of his rights during custodial interrogation was **not** an unambiguous and unequivocal request for counsel); State v. Raber, 189 Ohio App. 3d 396, 406-407, 938 N.E.2d 1060, 1067-1068 (Ohio Ct. App. 2010) (analyzing whether Raber unequivocally invoked her right to counsel during interrogation and identifying various statements – including “Where’s my lawyer?” – that have been determined **not** to constitute unequivocal invocations of that right); see also State v. Patterson, 324 S.C. 5, 19, 482 S.E.2d 760, 767 (Ct. App. 1997) (“Appellant is limited to the grounds raised at trial.”).

Sincerely,

  
Mark R. Farthing  
Assistant Attorney General  
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: LaNelle Cantey DuRant, Esquire  
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 3, 2017

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Antwan Jamal Jett – Appellate Case No. 2015-001042

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced case is set for oral argument on November 7, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom I during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with the following supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the lone issue raised in the appeal: See Matthews v. State, 106 Md. App. 725, 737, 666 A.2d 912, 917 (Md. Ct. Spec. App. 1995) (“Appellant complains that he did, in fact, request an attorney by asking two or three times, “Where’s my lawyer?” The trial court ruled that this interrogative was not tantamount to a request for counsel. We agree.”); State v. Ash, 169 N.C. App. 715, 723, 611 S.E.2d 855, 860-861 (N.C. Ct. App. 2005) (holding the trial judge committed no error in finding Ash’s act of asking where his lawyer was at after being informed of his rights during custodial interrogation was **not** an unambiguous and unequivocal request for counsel); State v. Raber, 189 Ohio App. 3d 396, 406-407, 938 N.E.2d 1060, 1067-1068 (Ohio Ct. App. 2010) (analyzing whether Raber unequivocally invoked her right to counsel during interrogation and identifying various statements – including “Where’s my lawyer?” – that have been determined **not** to constitute unequivocal invocations of that right); see also State v. Patterson, 324 S.C. 5, 19, 482 S.E.2d 760, 767 (Ct. App. 1997) (“Appellant is limited to the grounds raised at trial.”).

Sincerely,

  
Mark R. Farthing  
Assistant Attorney General  
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: LaNelle Cantey DuRant, Esquire  
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 3, 2017

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Antwan Jamal Jett – Appellate Case No. 2015-001042

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced case is set for oral argument on November 7, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom I during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with the following supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the lone issue raised in the appeal: See Matthews v. State, 106 Md. App. 725, 737, 666 A.2d 912, 917 (Md. Ct. Spec. App. 1995) (“Appellant complains that he did, in fact, request an attorney by asking two or three times, “Where’s my lawyer?” The trial court ruled that this interrogative was not tantamount to a request for counsel. We agree.”); State v. Ash, 169 N.C. App. 715, 723, 611 S.E.2d 855, 860-861 (N.C. Ct. App. 2005) (holding the trial judge committed no error in finding Ash’s act of asking where his lawyer was at after being informed of his rights during custodial interrogation was not an unambiguous and unequivocal request for counsel); State v. Raber, 189 Ohio App. 3d 396, 406-407, 938 N.E.2d 1060, 1067-1068 (Ohio Ct. App. 2010) (analyzing whether Raber unequivocally invoked her right to counsel during interrogation and identifying various statements – including “Where’s my lawyer?” – that have been determined not to constitute unequivocal invocations of that right); see also State v. Patterson, 324 S.C. 5, 19, 482 S.E.2d 760, 767 (Ct. App. 1997) (“Appellant is limited to the grounds raised at trial.”).

Sincerely,

  
Mark R. Farthing  
Assistant Attorney General  
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: LaNelle Cantey DuRant, Esquire  
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 3, 2017


The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Antwan Jamal Jett – Appellate Case No. 2015-001042

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced case is set for oral argument on November 7, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom I during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with the following supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the lone issue raised in the appeal: See Matthews v. State, 106 Md. App. 725, 737, 666 A.2d 912, 917 (Md. Ct. Spec. App. 1995) (“Appellant complains that he did, in fact, request an attorney by asking two or three times, “Where’s my lawyer?” The trial court ruled that this interrogative was not tantamount to a request for counsel. We agree.”); State v. Ash, 169 N.C. App. 715, 723, 611 S.E.2d 855, 860-861 (N.C. Ct. App. 2005) (holding the trial judge committed no error in finding Ash’s act of asking where his lawyer was at after being informed of his rights during custodial interrogation was **not** an unambiguous and unequivocal request for counsel); State v. Raber, 189 Ohio App. 3d 396, 406-407, 938 N.E.2d 1060, 1067-1068 (Ohio Ct. App. 2010) (analyzing whether Raber unequivocally invoked her right to counsel during interrogation and identifying various statements – including “Where’s my lawyer?” – that have been determined **not** to constitute unequivocal invocations of that right); see also State v. Patterson, 324 S.C. 5, 19, 482 S.E.2d 760, 767 (Ct. App. 1997) (“Appellant is limited to the grounds raised at trial.”).

Sincerely,

  
Mark R. Farthing  
Assistant Attorney General  
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: LaNelle Cantey DuRant, Esquire  
Victim Services