

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Ravell D. McLaughlin, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2017-001869

ORDER

In the explanation required by Rule 243(c) of South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), petitioner has failed to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the lower court that all but one of the allegations petitioner raised in his application for post-conviction relief was barred by the statute of limitations was improper. As to the circuit court's ruling that petitioner was not entitled to a belated review of any direct appeal issues pursuant to *White v. State*, 263 S.C. 110, 208 S.E.2d 35 (1974), petitioner has failed to show there is an issue that could be reviewed, as required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv), SCACR. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed, and the remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



C.J.

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

November 15, 2017

cc:

Lance S. Boozer, Esquire

Johnny Ellis James, Jr., Esquire