

Exhibit



Exhibitions



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ROBERT MITCHELL #262003;
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE #300839 ET. AL.,

C/A 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW

ET. AL.,

PETITIONERS

Vs.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE
HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

WE, ROBERT MITCHELL ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED, A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND THE DEFENDANTS; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, SEEKING AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES , BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON AUGUST 10, 2017. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON-v.-LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,
ROBERT MITCHELL



JAHJAH AL MAHDI

AUGUST 10, 2017



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ROBERT MITCHELL #262003;)
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA) C/A 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.) ET. AL.,
TISHBIT #300839 ET. AL.,)
PETITIONER(S))
AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT
OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND
THE DEFENDANTS; MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS, SEEKING AN INJUNC-
TION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER
AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR
Vs.)
THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE)
HOOD ET. AL.,)
DEFENDANT(S)

TO: JUDGE KAYMANI WEST,
THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET. AL.,

THE PLAINTIFFS IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED MATTERS,
GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT WE ARE SEEK-
ING AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER. HERE THE PARTIES
WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF A [4] PAGE REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER
DOCUMENT DATED JUNE 28, 2016.

(2) A COPY OF A ~~CERTIFICATE~~ ²⁰¹¹ OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT

OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, [14] PAGES DATED APRIL 25, 2017.

(3) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW, [14] PAGES DATED JULY 2, 2017 FILED IN CASE #:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM.

(4) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST JUDGES HARWELL, MERCHANT, WOOTEN AND DEFENDANTS ; MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES TO ADD THE NAME OF JUDGE STUART RABNER***, [23] PAGES DATED JULY 8, 2017.

WRIT OF ERROR IS FILED. THE COURT HAS THE DEFENDANTS LISTED INCORRECTLY IN THIS CASE. THE COURT IS MISSING OVER 95% OF THE DEFENDANTS. I, WE, OBJECT. WE MOTION THAT THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE TO BE AMENDED IN THE MANNER THAT THE CASE WAS ORIGINALLY INTENDED TO BE FILED. WHERE'S THE UNITED STATES BEING LISTED AS A DEFENDANT WHEN THE ACTION CLEARLY INFORMS YOU ON THE FACE OF THE COMPLAINT THAT WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES FOR THEY ESTABLISHING THE PROVISIONS OF PLRA AND AEDPA? WE OBJECT AND MOTION TO AMEND THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE TO ALSO REFLECT THOSE NAMES AND PARTIES LISTED IN THE [14] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED APRIL 25, 2017, THE [14] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 2, 2017 AND THE [23] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 8, 2017. THE PARTIES WERE ALREADY GIVEN NOTICE OF THE ACTIONS PENDING THROUGH THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS UNDER CASES 16-2299, 16-1953, 16-2141, 16-1415 AND OTHER PLEADING RELATED TO THE PENDING PARALLEL CASES. THUS, THEIR NAMES RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS IN ALL PARALLEL CASES. WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ON THIS ISSUE AS WELL, GOODMAN-v.-PRAXAIR, INC., 494 Fed. Appx' 458, 68 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 850(4th.Cir.2007); JOHNSON-v.-MARRIOTT-INTERNATIONAL-INC., 2017 WL 1957071(2017);

RUSSELL v. S.N. - SERVICING-CORPORATION, 2017 WL 1449211 (N.D.Va. 2017); GREEN v. BRADLEY COMPANY, 194 F.Supp.3d. 479 (DSC.2016).

THE LISTING OF THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES WAS NOT A FORTUITOUS ACT ON THE PART OF THE CONSPIRING JUDGES WHICH FURTHER WARRANT THEIR RECUSAL AND DISQUALIFICATION. THE ACT OF NOT LISTING THE DEFENDANTS CORRECTLY IN THESE PARALLEL CASES WAS AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MACHINATION DESIGNED TO PREVENT US FROM BEING HEARD ON THE CLAIMS MADE. THIS IS WHAT IS OCCURRING.

THERE ARE ESSENTIALLY (2) PRONGS THAT MUST BE MET BEFORE THE COURT CAN DEEM A CASE AS BEING FRIVOLOUS. THEY ARE:

(1) THE PLAINTIFFS MUST BE IN A POSITION WHERE HE IS MAKING EFFORTS TO SUE A PERSON WHO UNDER THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT CANNOT BE SUED;

(2) IS WHERE THE PLAINTIFF(S) INFRINGES UPON A RIGHT THAT HAS NOT YET BEEN LEGALLY ESTABLISHED OR A RIGHT THAT IS NOT ESTABLISHED.

THE JUDGES AND CONSPIRING PARTIES KNEW THAT LEGALLY THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DEMONSTRATES THAT WE ARE NOT INFRINGING UPON RIGHTS WE DID NOT LEGALLY ESTABLISH BECAUSE THE ACTION UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL CASES AND BECAUSE THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ESTABLISHES THE RIGHTS SOUGHT TO BE EXERCISED AND OR INVOKED, BARRING ANY FURTHER CHALLENGE IN THIS REGARD. SO THEIR INTENT WAS TO SHOW THAT WE DID NOT MEET THE FIRST PRONG. THAT BEING THAT WE WERE SUING PEOPLE WHO COULD NOT BE SUED FOR THE CLAIMS MADE. FOR EXAMPLE, WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES FOR ESTABLISHING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA. YET, THE UNITED STATES IS NOT LISTED AS A DEFENDANT. WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES AND THE OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR THEY ARRESTING, ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHERE

THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN TO THE NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT BY GIVING THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY TO GAYS AND LESBIANS. WE CAN'T SUE THESE JUDGES FOR THIS. THIS IS WHY THEY FAILED TO LIST THE DEFENDANTS PROPERLY. WE ARE SUING S.C.D.C. AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR CONSPIRING WITH THE JUDGES AND FOR OTHER ACTS THEY DONE. YET, THEY ARE NOT LISTED AS PARTY. WE ARE SUING THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND ITS JUDGES, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND ITS JUDGES BY NAME INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE STATE-v.-GENTRY FRAUD. YET, THEY ARE NOT LISTED. WE ARE SUING FOR REPARATIONS FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE AND JIM CROW LAWS IN THIS NATION. YET, THE PARTIES FOR THIS CLAIM ARE NOT LISTED. WE ARE SUING FOR ALL THE INJUSTICES THAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH IS REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL CASES. YET, THESE DEFENDANTS ARE NOT LISTED IN THE JUDGES CONSPIRING TO AID THEM AVOID SUIT. ON PAGE (2) OF THE COMPLAINT WHERE IT ASKS FOR DEFENDANT No.(1). IT IS LISTED "SEE ATTACHED SHEETS AND THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT". THIS WAS A HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENT. IT WAS THEN TYPED DOWN AND NOW EXIST AS THE (14) PAGE DOCUMENT IN QUESTION THAT THE JUDGES CALLED S.C.D.C. AND TOLD THEM TO BLOCK COPIES TO PREVENT ITS FILING SO THEY COULD IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE LIST THESE DEFENDANTS AS THEY PRESENTLY HAVE THEM LISTED AND FRAUDULENTLY SAY THAT WE ARE SUING PEOPLE WHO CAN'T BE SUED FOR THE CLAIMS MADE IN THE COMPLAINT IN ACTS OF MACHINATION. WE OBJECT AND DEMAND THAT THE NAMES OF ALL THE DEFENDANTS SOUGHT TO BE LISTED WITHIN ALL THESE PARALLEL CASES BE NOW AMENDED AND ADDED TO THE RECORD. THE HANDWRITTEN (34) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, WHICH IS NOW TYPED AND EXIST AS THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE WAS BLOCKED BEING FILED BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND IS NOW ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF ALL COMPLAINTS WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES FOR ALL PURPOSES WHICH INCLUDE INFORMING THE COURT WHO THE DEFENDANTS ARE IN THESE CASES.

FROM ON PAGE (7) OF THE COMPLAINT, THE LEES AND ABRAMS WERE OMITTED IN THEIR FRAUD. ON THAT SAME PAGE WE AGAIN INFORMED

THE COURT THAT THE REMAINDER OF THE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED WITHIN THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. ON PAGE (15) IT IS CLEARLY STATED THAT WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES. NONE OF THESE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED WITHIN THE ACTUAL RECORD IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND MACHINATION SO THEY COULD CRIMINALLY, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND CLAIM THAT WE ARE TRYING TO SUE THE JUDGES FOR THESE CLAIMS ONLY, WHEN IT IS OVERWHELMINGLY CONSPICUOUS THAT THE JUDGES CANNOT BE SUED FOR NONE OF THE CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY MADE, EXCEPT FOR THEY BEING PARTY TO THE CONSPIRACY WHERE WHOM THEY CONSPIRED WITH MUST BE LISTED AS DEFENDANTS. IT BECOMES PERSPICUOUS THAT THE JUDGES PRESENCE IN THESE PARALLEL CASES IS OF SUCH A DETRIMENTAL AND PREJUDICIAL MAGNITUDE, THAT THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WARRANTING SANCTIONS, RECUSAL, DISQUALIFICATION AND THAT OUR REQUEST AND MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY BE GRANTED. WE WANT THE DEFENDANTS AMENDED IN ALL THESE PARALLEL CASES TO REFLECT THE PARTIES AS IT WAS ORIGINALLY INTENDED TO BE FILED AND CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY AND WE WANT INJUNCTION TO REQUIRE THIS. WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, WILLIAMD-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.C.t. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); KOLON INDUSTRIES-INC.-v.-E.I.-DUPONT-De-NEMOURS-&-CO., 748 F3d. 160 CA4 (Va.2014); CATAWBA-INDIAN-NATION-v.-STATE, 407 S.C. 526, 756 S.E.2d. 900(S.C.2014); ARATA-v.-VILLAGE-WEST-OWNERS-ASS'N INC., 2011 WL 11735004, * 2+, S.C. App.; WILSON-v.-GMAC-MORTG. LLC. F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967(DSC.2015); U.S.-v.-LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); GREAT-AMERICAN-INS. CO.-v.-NEXTDAY-NETWORK-HARDWARE-CORP., 73 F.Supp.3d. 636(2014); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC.-v.-AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636(Va.2015); NUCAR-CORP.-v.-BELL, 251 F.R.D. 191, 194(DSC.2008); UNITED-STATES-v.-QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); FORRESTER-v.-WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); ULUSE-v.-U.S. F.Supp.2d., 2009 WL 3052608(DSC. 2009); ABEBE-v.-SEYMOUR, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1130660; JOHNSON v.-UNITED-STATES-DEPT.-OF-JUSTICE, 2016 WL 4593467(D.Md.2016); HALLAL-v.-MARDEL, 2016 WL 6494411; BRAZELL-v.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C.

502, 682 S.E.2d. 824 (S.C.App.2099); BELLEGRAIN-v.-BERTHELSEN,
F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 10847(DSC.2012); CARTER-v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA,
2014 WL 5325234(DSC.2014); EPSTEIN-v.-WORLD-ACCEPTANCE-CORP.,
2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015); BENTON-v.-BURNS, 11 Fed. Appx' 328,
2011 WL 640248 CA4 (2011); BACCUS-v.-MARCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984
(DSC.2014); RULLIAM-v.-ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 104 S.Ct. 1970 (U.S.
1984); EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880) WE OBJECT TO THIS
FRAUD AND DEMAND A CHANGE OF VENUE FOR ALL CASES AND PLAINTIFFS.

INASMUCH, JUDGE KAYMANI WEST AND THE OTHER JUDGES ASKED
TO HAVE THIS AND THE OTHER CASES PLACED IN PROPER FORM. THE
JUDGES WERE INFORMED, EVEN THROUGH THE COMPLAINT THAT S.C.D.C.
CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND OTHER DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE, ARE IMPEDING US IN
BEING IN COMPLIANCE TO THE COURTS' ORDERS BY BLOCKING US IN
OBTAINING COPIES OF ESSENTIALLY NEEDED LEGAL COURT DOCUMENTS
NEEDED TO PLACE THESE CASES IN PROPER FORM IN ACTS OF OBSTRUC-
TION OF JUSTICE. THEY ARE BEING SELECTIVE TO CONCEAL THEIR CRIMES
. SOMETIMES THEY'LL MAKE COPIES WHEN THE "HEAT IS ON" TO AVOID
SCRUTINY AT LESS CRITICAL STAGES OF LITIGATION. BUT AT THE MOST
CRUCIAL STAGES AND MOST OTHER TIMES THEY WILL NOT MAKE THE COPIES
TO HAVE A DETRIMENTAL IMPACT ON THE PENDING PROCEEDINGS TO CREATE
A FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION OF THE FACTS. THEY WILL NOT COPY
ANY DOCUMENT THAT IS HANDWRITTEN EVEN THOUGH THE LOST THE CASE
UNDER 2013-CP-400-0084. BY THEIR ACTIONS THEY ARE ALSO RETALI-
ATING AGAINST US IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C.A. § 12203(a)(b) OF
THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT WHERE THEY DESTROYED MY
TYPEWRITER AND OR STOLEN AND OR DESTROYED AND OR GIVEN AWAY
TO CONSPIRING ACTORS, OTHER INMATES, MY OTHER PROPERTY IN EFFORTS
TO IMPEDED OR HINDER OUR ACCESS TO THE COURTS. THIS WAS ARGUED
IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THIS CASE IS PETITIONED REMOVED
TO ALL THESE PENDING PARALLEL CASES. MOTION TO DISMISS THIS
STATE CASE WAS HEARD ABOUT APRIL 2014 WHERE THE COURT FAILED
TO GRANT THEIR REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL. AT THAT JUNCTURE RES JUDI-
CATA ATTACHED. THEREAFTER, IN DECEMBER 2015 THEY WENT INTO DE-
FAULT, THEIR JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION

AND THE CASE WAS THEN REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES IS PARTY TO THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. THEREFORE, OUR ABILITY TO SUCCEED IN THIS CASE IS ESTABLISHED HAVING A DIRECT IMPACT ON ALL PARALLEL CASES AND EVERY CASE THE KING-KHALIFAH IS PARTY TO. THIS WOULD JUSTIFY THE GRANTING OF THE NOW SOUGHT INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT DUE TO THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ALSO BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT AND THEY ARE STILL ENGAGING IN THIS OBSTRUCTIVE AND DILATORY BEHAVIOR FOR WHICH THEY ARE BEING SUED EVEN IN THESE PENDING PARALLEL CASES IN THEIR ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, U.S. v. BURTON, 11 Fed. Appx' 328, 2011 WL 640248 CA4 (2011); GREAT AMERICAN INS. CO. v. NEXTDAY-HARDWARE CORP., 73 F.Supp.3d. 636(2014); BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); PEGG v. HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017). THIS IS WHAT WE SEEK BY INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER:

(1) THAT S.C.,D.C. MAKE COPIES OF ANY AND ALL LEGAL DOCUMENTS THAT WE DEEM AS NECESSARY, WHETHER THEY ARE TYPED OR HAND WRITTEN, WHETHER THEY ARE CLOCKED STAMPED BY THE COURTS OR NOT CLOCKED STAMPED BY THE COURTS. THIS INCLUDES ANY EXHIBITS NO MATTER WHAT THEY ARE, THAT THE PLAINTIFFS FEEL ARE NECESSARY TO PLACE "ALL" (EMPHASIS ADDED) FEDERAL AND PARALLEL CASES THAT ARE PENDING BEFORE ALL FEDERAL COURTS AND "ALL" STATE CASES THAT ARE RELATED TO THESE PENDING FEDERAL CASES IN PROPER FORM AND ATTRIBUTE THE COST TO CRAWFORD'S COOPER TRUST ACCOUNT UNTIL THE ISSUE OF COURT COST AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS CAN BE ADDRESSED BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURTS.

(2) ALL PLAINTIFFS/ INMATES IN THESE PARALLEL CASES AND OR ANY RELATED STATE CASE MUST BE GIVEN PERMANENT, URGENT, COURT DEADLINE STATUS IN THE INSTITUTION LAW LIBRARY UNTIL ALL RELATED STATE AND FEDERAL CASES ARE CONCLUDED IN THEIR FULLNESS FROM 12:30 P.M. UNTIL AFTER 2:00 P.M. COUNT. THIS INCLUDES LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD #300839; ANTHONY COOK #115157; YAHYA MUQUIT #318455; JASON GOURDINE #199055 AND david duren #181965 AND ANY OTHER PARTY THAT WAS ADDED TO THE PROCEEDINGS.

(3) S.C.D.C. IS NOT TO TAKE CRAWFORD'S LEGAL BOXES HE PRESENTLY HAVE IN HIS CELL FROM HIS IMMEDIATE POSSESSION NOR ARE THEY TO TAKE FROM HIM ANY OF HIS PERSONAL PROPERTY IN ACTS OF RETALIATION AS THEY DID IN THE PAST OR FOR ANY OTHER REASON IF IT DOESN'T VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW OR HIS DECREES. THEY ARE TO LEAVE HIS CELL LIGHTS ON UNTIL 11:00 P.M. [7] DAYS A WEEK AND STOP TURNING THE LIGHTS OFF ON HIM IN RETALIATION TO HINDER HIM FROM WORKING ON THESE CASES.

(4) S.C.D.C. IS TO IMMEDIATELY CONTACT WILL REPAIR SERVICE 1-800-334-2137 OR (716) 366-1424 AND PURCHASE AND REPLACE THE WORDSMITH 250 TYPEWRITER OF CRAWFORD'S THAT THEY DESTROYED AND DAMAGED IN ACTS OF RETALIATION. THEY ARE ALSO TO REPLACE THE (2) REINKABLE RIBBONS FOR IT, A BOTTLE OF INK, THE SET OF KOSS HEAD PHONES, THE ICE COOLER AND RADIO THEY GAVE AWAY TO OTHER INMATES THAT THEY PAID TO PHYSICALLY ASSAULT HIM IN THE PAST, ALONG WITH THE (2) LBS. OF MUSLIM OIL, HIS CHOICE OF FRAGRANCE TO BE KEPT IN HIS CELL AND \$40 IN CANTEEN THEY GAVE TO THESE INMATES THEY CONSPIRED WITH AS WELL. THESE ITEMS ARE TO BE GIVEN TO CRAWFORD DIRECTLY, REPLACED, AND NOT PLACED ON HIS COOPER TRUST FUND ACCOUNT. THE MONEY STOLEN FROM ROBERT MITCHELL BY SEYMOUR IS TO BE RETURNED TO HIS ACCOUNT.

(5) AS IS ARGUED UNDER CASE 9:17-cv-01344-TLW-BM PENDING AND SOUGHT APPEALED BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. PERMANENT INJUNCTION ISSUES AND THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS IS TO STOP THE RELIGIOUS ATTACKS UPON ME AND THE MUSLIM INMATE COMMUNITY WITHIN THIS STATE BECAUSE I AM BRINGING THESE CASES IN THEIR VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS MADE UNDER HOLT V. HOBBS, 135 S.Ct. 853, 2015 WL 232143. S.C.D.C. IS TO STOP RESTRICTING THE WEARING OF MY AND MUSLIMS CUFIS TO BLACK OR WHITE. WE ARE TO BE PERMITTED TO WEAR ALL COLORS EXCEPT YELLOW AND RED. WE ARE TO BE PERMITTED TO WEAR ANY STYLE WE DESIRE. THEY ARE TO ALLOW US TO PURCHASE THESE CUFIS FROM VARIOUS REPUTABLE VENDORS THAT THEY AND THE MUSLIM CHAPLAIN TOGETHER

SHALL APPROVE AND NOT RESTRICT US TO PURCHASING THESE CUFIS FROM THE INSTITUTION CANTEEN BECAUSE THE CANTEEN CANNOT POSSIBLY STOCK THE VARIOUS COLORS OR STYLES I, WE, WANT TO WEAR. THEY ARE TO PERMIT MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS TO VISIT ME AND THE SAME FOR THE OTHER MUSLIMS DURING OUR EID FEAST CELEBRATIONS, THOSE WHO ARE APPROVED ON OUR VISITATION LISTS. THEY ARE TO ALLOW OUR BEARDS TO GROW A FULL 4 INCHES. THEY ARE TO ALLOW CRAWFORD TO COMMUNICATE BY ANY OTHER METHOD THAN THE KIOSK (ei. STAFF REQUEST FORMS) AND TO ADD PAGES TO THAT FORM IF IT PROVES INADEQUATE TO ADDRESS HIS NEEDS. THEY ARE DOING THESE ACTS FOR AMONG OTHER THINGS, BECAUSE WE BRING THESE ACTIONS BEFORE THE COURTS AS IS ARGUED IN THESE CASES AND IN CASE 9:17-cv-01344-TLW-BM, IN VIOLATION OF ALSO PLUIPA, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000 cc. ET. SEQ.. THEY ARE SUBSTANTIALLY BURDENING THE FREE EXERCISE OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, NOT TAKING THE LEAST RESTRICTIVE METHOD FOR THE FREE EXERCISE OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS TO ACCESS THE COURTS BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. THE ACT OF "BIDAH" , "INNOVATION" MUST BE STOOD AGAINST IN ISLAM. THE STATE IS ESTABLISHING RELIGION BY PLACING UPON US RESTRICTIONS NOT SANCTIONED BY THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) WHICH IS A GREAT SIN IN ISLAM. THERE ARE NO SUCH RESTRICTIONS IN ISLAM THAT THE STATE IMPOSES RELATED TO COLOR OR STYLE. THERE IS NO LEGITIMATE PENOLOGICAL INTEREST FOR PLACING THESE RESTRICTIONS UPON US.

(6) S.C.D.C. SHALL NOT TRANSFER ANY OF THE PLAINTIFFS THAT ARE PARTY TO THESE PARALLEL CASES OUT OF THEIR PRESENT CELLS OR TO ANOTHER INSTITUTION IN ACTS OF RETALIATION TO HINDER AND IMPEDE OUR WORKING ON THESE CASES COLLECTIVELY OR FOR ANY OTHER ULTERIOR MOTIVE. WE ARE TO REMAIN AT LIEBER C.I. UNTIL WE ARE TRANSFERRED TO A FEDERAL PRE-RELEASE CAMP AS SOUGHT OR UNTIL THESE FEDERAL AND STATE CASES ARE CONCLUDED, WHICH EVER ONE COMES FIRST. WE ARE TO STAY IN OUR SAME DORMS. OFFICER MEGGETT IS TO BE MOVED OUT OF THE EDUCATION BUILDING AND PLACED SOMEWHERE ELSE, ANYWHERE ELSE S.C.D.C. DESIRES. SHE ALONG WITH ATTORNEY LUNGFORD ARE THE DIRECT PARTIES THE CONSPIRING ACTORS USED TO DENY US OUR COPIES AND HINDER AND OR IMPEDE OUR ACCESS TO THE COURTS IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND RETALIA-

TION. THIS UNJUST OBSTACLE IN THE FORM OF OFFICER MEGGETT IS TO BE REMOVED.

(7) THE DEFENDANTS LISTED WITHIN ALL PARALLEL CASES ARE TO BE IMMEDIATELY AMENDED NOT TO JUST REFLECT THE JUDGES THAT ARE PRESENTLY LISTED. ALL DEFENDANTS LISTED IN THE [14] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ARE TO BE ADDED AS DEFENDANTS IN ALL COURT RECORDS IMMEDIATELY. THEY ARE TO BE SERVED NO LATER THAN (5) DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THE REQUIRED SUMMONS AND U.S. MARSHAL FORMS.

(8) ATTCHED THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND A COPY OF AN APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING ESTABLISHING CASE NUMBER 2004-385 PRESENTLY PENDING BEFORE THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ALREADY PROCEDURALLY DEFAULTED ON THIS ACTION BY THEIR FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THIS ACTION WITHIN (90) DAYS AS RULES OF COURT REQUIRE, FORFEITING ANY OPPOSITION TO THE GRANTING OF THIS RELIEF TO INCLUDE THAT WHICH WAS TO BE SOUGHT WITHIN THIS CASE VIA THE FORM 24 THAT WAS FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THIS FORM 24 WAS SERVED ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND WAS TO BE A PART OF DISCOVERY IN CASE 04-385. IT MUST BE GRANTED NOW, OBTAINED AS WELL AS THE EVIDENCE SOUGHT VIA THE FORM 24. ONE OF THE ESSENTIAL QUESTIONS AND OR ISSUES BEFORE ALL COURTS, IS WHETHER OR NOT THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS APPLY IN THE EXISTING HABEAS CORPUS PETITIONS WHERE WE ARE ASSERTING THAT THE HABEAS CORPUS ARE TIMELY FILED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(B) RELATED TO THE CLAIMS REGARDING CASE 2013-CP-400-0084; THE DATE ON WHICH THE IMPEDIMENT TO FILING AN APPLICATION CREATED BY STATE ACTION IN VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES IS REMOVED, IF THE APPLICANT WAS PREVENTED FILING BY SUCH STATE ACTION. THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OCCURRED IN DECEMBER 2015/ JANUARY 2016 REMOVING THE IMPEDIMENT TO ARGUING THE CLAIMS MADE WHICH WE WOULD HAVE BEEN PREJUDICED IN ARGUING UNTIL THIS OCCURRED.

ALSO, ESTABLISHING THE HABEAS CORPUS' FALL UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(C) THE DATE ON WHICH THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT ASSERTED WAS INITIALLY RECOGNIZED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, IF THE RIGHT HAS BEEN NEWLY RECOGNIZED BY THE SUPREME COURT AND MADE RETROACTIVE APPLICABLE TO CASES ON COLLATERAL REVIEW. UNDER THIS PARTICULAR SECTION, THE HABEAS CORPUS' WERE ARGUED BASED UPON THE CASES, WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016); ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) AND WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016). BY THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW WE HAD ONE YEAR TO FILE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO BE TIMELY, WHICH WE ARE TIMELY BY OUR FILINGS IN TOTAL. THE WEARRY CASE IS WHAT THE DNA APPLICATION TESTING ATTACHES TO. IT IS DIRECTLY ATTACHED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI. NEVERTHELESS, IT IS OUR POSITION THAT ONCE THE OTHER INMATES IN THESE PARALLEL CASES WORKED TO AID HIM SEEK RELIEF BEFORE THE COURTS TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES AND THE CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY WITH ITS OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO DEFRAUD HIM. WHERE IN THIS INSTANCE THE KING-KHALIFAH FALLS UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT DUE TO THE RESULT OF THE ACTS OF OFFICIAL TORTURE SUFFERED BY HIM VIA THE DEFENDANTS IN VIOLATION OF THE C.A.T. TREATY, IN HIS EFFORTS TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS, FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND THOSE UNDER THE F.S.I.A.. THEREUPON, THE CONSPIRING STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS ATTACKED ALL OTHER PLAINTIFFS DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN ACTS OF RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA. THE WEARRY v. CAIN CASE AUTOMATICALLY ATTACHED TO ALL PLAINTIFFS HABEAS CORPUS WHERE THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS ATTACKED THEIR DUE PROCESS MATTERS TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE UNDER WEARRY FROM BEING DISCOVERED AND TO PREVENT REVIEW OF THE OTHER LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY FROM OBTAINING REVIEW IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002(U.S.2016). BY THESE ATTACKS AND ATTACHMENT. ALL HABEAS CORPUS' IN THE PARALLEL CASES FALL UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(C) MAKING THEM TIMELY AND NOT SUBJECT TO ANY STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS BAR EVEN THOUGH AEDPA IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND CANNOT ANY LONGER BE USED BY THE COURTS. THIS IS THE QUESTION THAT MUST BE ANSWERED. IS THERE A GENUINE

ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM EXISTING THAT THE FEDERAL JUDGES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS ARE CONSPIRING IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 TO CONCEAL? DID THE STATE ACTORS CONSPIRE WITH THE FEDERAL JUDGES DURING AND AFTER THE FACT IN CRIMES OF CSC, INCEST, CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER AND OR ASSAULT AND BATTERY OF AN HIGH AND AGGRAVATED NATURE, AND FRAMED LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED BECAUSE HE CLAIMED TO BE THE RIGHTFUL AND LEGAL LOST HEIR TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHICH WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, PRODUCING COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL BARRING ANY COURT FROM CHALLENGING THIS CLAIM, THEN ATTACKED THE OTHER PLAINTIFFS DUE PROCESS CASES IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C.A. § 12203 (a)(b) OF ADA BECAUSE THEY SOUGHT TO AID HIM OBTAIN THIS CRUCIAL DNA EVIDENCE AND HAVE THE OTHER LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY HEARD BEFORE THE COURTS? THIS IS EASY TO PROVE, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER FOR PURPOSES OF ESTABLISHING THE FACTS OF THESE PARALLEL CASES THAT HABEAS CORPUS REVIEW FALLS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(c). THERE IS A FORM 24 FILED IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, THE LAST FEDERAL CASES AND WHICH WAS SERVED UPON THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL. WE SEEK AN INJUNCTION REQUIRING THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO OBTAIN ALL EVIDENCE SOUGHT WITHIN THE FORM 24, WHICH INCLUDE DEPOSITIONS, AND TEST THAT DNA TO MICHAEL LEE, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S STEPSON AS IS SOUGHT WITHIN THE FORM 24 AND THE ATTACHED FORENSIC DNA TESTING APPLICATION FOR THE REASONS ARGUED WITHIN THAT DOCUMENT NOW ATTACHED. WE WANT A COPY OF THOSE RESULTS AND ALL OTHER EVIDENCE SOUGHT SERVED ON JAHJAH AL MAHDI AND ALL COURTS INVOLVED BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED DUE TO THE FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, UNITED STATES v. BURNS, 2016 WL 3910273; DENNIS v. SECRETARY, PENNSYLVANIA DEPT. OF CORR.--F3d.--, 2016 WL 4440925(3rd.Cir.2016).

THIS IS FOR MOST PART, PART OF THE RELIEF SOUGHT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THEY ARE IN DEFAULT AND CASE 04-385 THE DNA CASE THEY ARE ALSO IN PROCEDURAL DEFAULT TO

CHALLENGE AND THE RELIEF IS SOUGHT UNDER CASE 9:17-cv-01344-TLW-BM THAT IS RELATED TO THESE PARALLEL CASES AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THAT COMPLAINT. TO NOT GRANT THE RELIGIOUS PORTION WHEN JUST ABOUT ALL OTHER CIRCUITS AND STATES AROUND THE NATION ALREADY DONE SO, EXCEPT SOUTH CAROLINA WOULD BE AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND SERVE TO SUBSTANTIATE INVOLVEMENT IN THE CONSPIRACY. WE NEED THESE LEGAL COPIES TO PLACE THIS CASE AND THE PARALLEL CASES IN PROPER FORM. WE NEED THE DNA TO PROVE THE HABEAS CORPUS FACTS. WE NEED PARTIAL REMEDY TO ADDRESS THE RETALIATORY ACTS WHICH MUST STOP. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO THEIR ATTACKS AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WHERE THEY SENT INMATES TO ATTACK US AND GAVE THIS PROPERTY TO THEM AS PAYMENT IN RETALIATION AGAINST US IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA WHICH IS DEFAULTED ON IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THESE CASES, ARMONI-JOHNSON-v.-SGT.-MICHAEL-ROSKOSCI, 2016 WL 4594147; WALKER-v.-BEAUMONT-INDEPENDENT-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 2016 WL 6666833; CABI-v.-BOSTON-CHILDREN'S-HOSPITAL, 161 F.Supp.3d. 136(2016); U.S.-v.-MOUSSAOUI, 483 F3d. 220 CA4 (Va.2007); STATE-v.-BURGESS, 391 S.C. 15, 703 S.E.2d. 512(S.C.App.2010); IN-RE:-KEVIN-R., 409 S.C. 297, 762 S.E.2d. 387(S.C.2014); LACRA-v.-ROSENHAUM, 2016 WL 6775638(N.C.2016); GRAHAM-v.-GAYRON, 831 F3d. 176(4th. Cir.2016); NORTH-AMERICAN-RESCUE-PRODUCTS, INC.-v.-COLGATE-PALMOLIVE-CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); IN-RE:-WALLACE, 649 Fed. Appx' 298(MEM)(4th.Cir.2016); WARE-v.-LOUISIANA-DEPT.-OF-CORRECTIONS, 2016 WL 4916844, * 2+ W.D. La.; JOHNSON-v.-BROWN, 2016 WL 705237 * 5+, N.D.Ala.; HASKINS-v.-BIETZEL, 2017 WL 1301391, *, D.Md.; LEE-RAY-VAUGHN-v.-WEGMAN ET.-AL., 2017 WL 1650621.

THE "INDEPENDENT ACTION" REFERRED TO IN RULE, GOVERNING RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT AND PROVIDING THAT RULE DOES NOT LIMIT THE POWER OF THE COURTS TO ENTERTAIN AN INDEPENDENT ACTION TO RELIEVE A PARTY FROM A JUDGMENT FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, IF YOU SAY THAT YOU ARE NOT A PARTY IN THIS FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY JUDGES WEST ET. AL., IS ON IN EQUITY, AND IN SUCH, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER EQUITABLE DEFENSES, SUCH AS LACHES, RES

JUDICATA, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, UNCLEAN HANDS, AND WHETHER AN ADEQUATE LEGAL REMEDY EXIST (ei. THE EXERCISE OF FEDERAL FORUM UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. AND INJUNCTION TO STOP RETALIATORY ACTS BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY), AND THE COURT MAY CONSIDER OTHER POLICY DOCTRINE SUCH AS COMITY OR PARENS PATRIAE. THE COURT MUST BE AWARE OF ALL CIRCUMSTANCES BEFORE IT ACTS, THUS, THE PARTIES MUST BE ALLOWED TO DEVELOP THE RECORD ACCORDINGLY TO PROVE THE FACTS, MR. T. v. MRS. T. 378 S.C. 127, 662 S.E.2d. 413(S.C.App. 2008); S.-&-E-CONTRACTORS, INC. v. U.S. 406 U.S. 1, 92 S.Ct. 1411(U.S.1972); COX v. FLEETWOOD-HOMES-OF-GEORGIA, INC. 334 S.C. 55, 512 S.E.2d. 498(S.C.1999); U.S. v. HAMILTON, 699 F3d. 356 CA4 (N.C.2012); TETREV v. BRIDE-INTERM, INC. 444 F.Supp.2d. 524 (DSC.2006); U.S. v. DALGOUR, 203 U.S. 408, 27 S.Ct. 58(U.S. 1906); EARLY v. STATE, S.E.2d.,--, 2016 WL 6092514(SupCt.2016).

WE ARE ALSO SUING FOR ACTS OF CONVERSION, SPOILIATION, MAIL TAMPERING, DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE, DOCUMENTS AND PROPERTY, DONE TO HINDER AND OR PREVENT US FROM BRINGING FORTH THESE PARALLEL CASES. SPOILIATION IS THE DESTRUCTION OR MATERIAL ALTERATION OF EVIDENCE OR DOCUMENTS,...OR THE FAILURE TO PRESERVE PROPERTY (ei. MY TYPEWRITER THEY DESTROYED AND OTHER PROPERTY) FOR ANOTHER'S USE OR AS EVIDENCE IN PENDING OR FORESEEABLE LITIGATION, SILVESTRI v. GENERAL-MOTORS-CORP. 271 F3d. 583, 590(4th.Cir. 2001). THE COURT MAY IMPOSE SANCTIONS FOR SPOILIATION, WHICH WE SEEK IN THE FORM OF AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER, WHEN: (1) THE EVIDENCE, DOCUMENTS, OR PROPERTY ALLEGEDLY ALTERED OR DESTROYED IS RELEVANT TO THE LITIGATION (THE TYPEWRITER IS NEEDED TO LITIGATE WHEN CRAWFORD IS LABORING UNDER A DISABILITY TO HIS HANDS CAUSED BY THE DEFENDANTS AND HE IS FORCED TO BORROW ANOTHER'S TYPEWRITER IN VIOLATION OF S.C.D.C. POLICY. THE OTHER PROPERTY IS RELEVANT TO THE ACTS OF RETALIATION BEING ARGUED THAT STAND IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA REQUIRING SANCTIONS); (2) THE SPOILIATING PARTY WAS UNDER DUTY TO PRESERVE THE EVIDENCE OR PROPERTY (THEY TOOK THE PROPERTY

WHEN THEY SHIPPED ME IN RETALIATION. IT WAS IN THEIR POSSESSION. THEY WERE REQUIRED TO PRESERVE IT); AND (3) THE SPOILIATING PARTY ACTED WITH THE REQUISITE LEVEL OF INTENT, WHICH THE S.C.D.C. DEFENDANTS DID, SEE NUGAR-CORP.-V.-BELL, 251 F.R.D. 191, 194 (DSC.2008); ATKINSON-V.-HOUSE-OF-RAEFORD-FARMS,-INC. CIVIL ACTION No. 6:09-cv-01901-JMC, 2012 WL 1458181 at * 2 (DSC.2012). THE ITEMS WERE PERMITTED TO BE SENT TO ME FROM THE COMPANY BY WARDEN BURT WHERE FAMILY MEMBERS PAID FOR THEM. WHEN THESE FACTORS ARE SATISFIED, "THE TRIAL COURT HAS DISCRETION TO PURSUE A WIDE RANGE OF RESPONSES BOTH FOR THE PURPOSE OF LEVELING THE EVIDENTIARY PLAYING FIELD AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF SANCTIONING THE IMPROPER CONDUCT", VODUSEK-V.-BAYLINER-MARINE-CORP. 71 F3d. 148, 156(4th.Cir.1995).

THE PARTIES ACTED IN BAD FAITH TO OBSTRUCT, PREVENT AND HINDER PROPER AND FAIR REVIEW IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS", IN THEIR EFFORTS TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD BEFORE THE COURT, ALSO TO PREVENT THE JUDGES RECUSAL, PREVENT CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION, TO PREVENT CHALLENGE TO PLRA AND AEDPA PROVISIONS, RETALIATING AGAINST US DESTROYING TRIAL AND PCR TRANSCRIPTS ON COLLATERAL AND DIRECT REVIEW, DESTROYING COURT DOCUMENTS, EVIDENCE, PROPERTY, BLOCKING DNA EVIDENCE FROM BEING TESTED, ALSO IN EFFORTS TO DENY ESTABLISHED JUDICIAL, LEGISLATIVE AND ATTORNEY POWERS AND SOVEREIGN RIGHTS GIVEN BY THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THEIR INTENT IS CLEAR, CHOICE-V.-THYSSEN-KRUPP-INDUS SERVICES-N.A.-INC. 2014 WL 2613170 (DSC.2014). IT SHOWS A CULPABLE LEVEL OF INTENT AND A CONNECTION BETWEEN THE PLAINTIFFS ACTIONS AND DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE, PROPERTY AND DOCUMENTS. THE PARTY SEEKING SANCTIONS NEED NOT PROVE BAD FAITH, EVEN THOUGH SUCH DO INDEED EXIST. THEY KNEW THE TRANSCRIPTS, ITEMS AND DOCUMENTS WE SEEK TO FILE ARE NEEDED FOR THE PROCEEDINGS AND TO PLACE THE PARALLEL CASES IN PROPER FORM AND OR TO PROVE THAT THEY WERE RETALIATING AGAINST US FOR THE FREE EXERCISE OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS RELATED TO ADA, RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS. THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER IS A MORE THAN REASONABLE REMEDY OF THE INJUSTICES PER-

PETRATED BY THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED IN HOPES OF LEVELING THE EVIDENTIARY PLAYING FIELD AND TO DETER THEM FROM ANY FURTHER MISCONDUCT, MORRIS-v.-WACHOVIA-SECURITIES, INC., 448 F3d. 268, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. P. 93, 858 CA4 (Va.2006); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL AND-TOURS, LLC-v.-AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015).

FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE PETITIONERS MUST HAVE (1) SUFFERED AN IRREPARABLE INJURY (WE HAD CASES DISMISSED BECAUSE THEY BLOCKED ESSENTIAL COPIES TO ALLOW US TO PLACE THESE CASES IN PROPER FORM IN THEIR CONSPIRACY TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD TO DEFRAUD US ON APPEAL); (2) THAT REMEDIES AVAILABLE AT LAW, SUCH AS MONETARY RELIEF, ARE INADEQUATE TO COMPENSATE FOR THE INJURY (GIVING US MONEY IS NOT GOING TO STOP THE RELIGIOUS ATTACKS OR OTHER RETALIATION AND CONCERNS. NOR WILL IT ALLOW US THE ABILITY TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE COURTS' ORDERS TO PLACE THESE PARALLEL CASES IN PROPER FORM); (3) THAT, CONSIDERING THE BALANCE IN HARDSHIPS BETWEEN THE PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS, A REMEDY IN EQUITY IS WARRANTED (THE REMEDY IS WARRANTED TO ALLOW US TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE COURTS' ORDERS AND TO END THE RETALIATORY ATTACKS); AND (4) THAT THE PUBLIC INTEREST WOULD NOT BE DISSERVED BY AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER. WE MEET EVERY PRONG OF THE STANDARD AND CRITERION ESTABLISHED BY LAW, BARDACS-v.-HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 211 CA4 (Md.2013); IT'SUGAR-LLC-v.-I-LOVE-SUGAR-INC., 2013 WL 6077353 (DSC.2013).

THERE IS LIKELIHOOD IN SUCCEEDING IN THE MERITS BECAUSE JUST ABOUT EVERY JUDICIAL CIRCUIT AND STATE IN THE NATION HAVE GRANTED THESE RELIGIOUS RIGHTS EXCEPT SOUTH CAROLINA. TO NOT GRANT SUCH WOULD BE A VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THERE IS ALSO DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL CLAIMS EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH ALL THESE JUDGES ARE AWARE OF THAT DIRECTLY IMPACT THESE PARALLEL CASES WHERE THESE MATTERS WERE INITIALLY RAISED. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL DE-

FAULTED ON THE DNA EVIDENCE WHEN HE FAILED TO RESPOND AND OPPOSE IT WITHIN (90) DAYS BEFORE THE RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. GENERAL SESSIONS COURT WHICH WAS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW.; (2) THERE IS LIKELIHOOD THAT WE WOULD SUFFER IRREPARABLE HARM IF NOT GRANTED TO OUR RELIGIOUS RIGHTS, OUR PROPERTY AND TO OUR ABILITY TO ARGUE THIS CASE AND BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THE COURTS' ORDERS TO PLACE THE CASES IN PROPER FORM TO ARGUE THE MERITS WHICH WOULD POTENTIALLY CAUSE THE CASES TO BE UNJUSTLY DISMISSED, WHICH INCLUDE BY NOT HAVING THIS PROPERTY IMMEDIATELY REPLACED. WE ALSO NEED TO PREVENT ANY POTENTIAL PHYSICAL ASSAULTS OR ANY OTHER FURTHER ACTS OF RETALIATION THAT COULD OCCUR IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §§ 12203(a)(b) OF ADA AND 2000 cc. ET. SEQ. OF PLUIPA; (3) THE BALANCE OF EQUITY TIPS IN ITS FAVOR; (4) THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO PREVENT ARBITRARY STATE ACTION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND FRAUD UPON THE COURTS INVOLVED. THE PARTIES HAVE (10) DAYS FROM SERVICE TO EXPLAIN TO THE PLAINTIFFS AND THE COURT WHY THIS SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED OR THEY ARE IN FORFEITURE OF ANY OPPOSITION AND THE INJUNCTION STANDS. THE INJUNCTION CAN BE SIGNED BY THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE OR ANY OTHER FEDERAL JUDGE. WE ARE NOT PARTICULAR AS TO WHICH OF THE JUDGES SIGN IT. WE JUST WANT THE MADNESS, HAVOC, MAYHEM, THE OBSTRUCTIVE , AND DIATORY BEHAVIOR TO STOP AND TO BE PERMITTED TO LITIGATE THESE PARALLEL CASES WITHOUT ANY FURTHER HINDERANCE, HAWKINS v. TURBEVILLE-CORRECTIONAL-INST., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2423216 (DSC.2013); BUFF-v.-STERLING, 2015 WL 1578845(DSC.2013); U.S. v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 720 F3d. 518 CA4 (S.C.2013); ALI-v.-STEPHENS, 822 F3d. 716(5TH.Cir.2016); UNITED-STATES-v.-SHAPAT-AHDAWAN NABAYA, 2017 WL 1424802, * 6+, (E.D.Va.2017); HOLT-v.-HOBBS, 135 S.Ct. 853, 2015 WL 232143; STRONG-v.-LIVINGSTON, 2017 WL 1102861, * 1+, S.D.Tex.; MILES-v.-GUICE,--Fed. Appx'--4TH. Cir. (N.C.); AMERICAN-ATHEIST, INC. v.-PORT-AUTHORITY-OF-N.Y.-AND N.J., 936 F.Supp.2d. 321 (N.Y.D.C.2013); HASAN-v.-CITY-OF-NEW YORK, 804 F3d. 277(3rd.Cir.2015); BARBER-v.-BRYANT, 193 F.Supp. 3d. 677(Miss.2016); JOHNSON v.-UNITED STATES-DEPT.-OF JUSTICE, 2016 WL 4593467(D.Md.2016); PEGG-v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112

(4th.Cir.2017); JOHNSON-v.-BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016);
BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); GRAHAM-v.-GAYRON,
831 F3d. 176(4th.Cir.2016).

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

TO: NAME: MR Blackwell Warden	TITLE: Warden	DATE: 6/28/16
INMATE'S NAME: LAWRENCE Crawford	SCDC #: 300839	
INSTITUTION: Wieber CI	LIVING QUARTERS: Wando A-127	
<p>Sir - I have a grand father type writer on my property list. Smith and Corona wrote me and informed me they no longer service the machine directly and gave me the name of one of their affiliates who do. A copy of that flyer sent to me is here attached. my family contacted will repair service who informed them that</p>		
DISPOSITION BY STAFF MEMBER:		
DATE:	SIGNATURE:	

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

RECEIVED

TO: NAME: MR Blackwell	TITLE: WARDEN	DATE: JUL 07 2016
		DIRECTOR
INMATE'S NAME: LAWRENCE Crawford		SCDC #: 300839
INSTITUTION:		LIVING QUARTERS: WA-127

THEIR COMPANY WILL SERVICE MY TYPEWRITER. SINCE I AM INDIGENT WITH NO MONEY ON MY BOOKS. WILL REPAIR SAID THEY WILL SEND ME A POSTAGE STICKER TO PLACE ON THE BOX TO COVER THE POSTAGE TO SEND THE TYPEWRITER TO THEM WHERE THEY WILL MAKE ALL REPAIRS AND RETURN IT TO ME. THIS IS WHAT I AM WRITING YOU ABOUT. I PLEASED PERMISSION FOR THEM TO BE ALLOWED

DISPOSITION BY STAFF MEMBER:
TO SEND THE POSTAGE TO MRS BRYANT IN THE MAIL ROOM. THEN I ASK IF I CAN BE CALLED UP TO THE MAIL ROOM TO GET THE POSTAGE, HAVE IT PLACED ON THE BOX, CHECKED BY PROPERTY CONTROL AND HAVE THE MACHINE

DATE:	SIGNATURE:
-------	------------

2084

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

TO: NAME: MR Blackwell TITLE: WARDEN	DATE: 6/28/16
INMATE'S NAME: LAWRENCE CRAWFORD	SCDC #: 300839
INSTITUTION:	LIVING QUARTERS: WA-127

sent out for REPAIRS and then returned to me? Would you please allow the company to send this postage and allow the type WRITER to be sent out for REPAIRS? For this WARDEN Blackwell SIR, I would be truly grateful. CAN you please let me know what your ANSWER is SIR. Thank you.
Respectfully L. Crawford

DISPOSITION BY STAFF MEMBER:

RECEIVED
JUL 07 2016
DIRECTOR

DATE:	SIGNATURE:
-------	------------



Robbent WILL REPAIR SERVICE

5117 FARMLANE ROAD • DUNKIRK, NY 14048 • (716) 366-1424 • FAX: (716) 366-7089

1-800-334-2137 • willrepairservice.com

CURRENT PRICES AS OF AUGUST 2015

WORD PROCESSORS PRESENTLY IN STOCK ARE AS FOLLOWS:

BROTHER Disk Drive Memory Storage:

N.L.A. WP-1700MDS	\$545	BUILT-IN FLIP-UP 14-LINE 8" x 2" LCD DISPLAY PANEL
WP-5600MDS	\$725	14" MONITOR INCLUDED
WP-5850MDS	\$755	14" MONITOR INCLUDED
WP-5900MDS	\$785	14" MONITOR INCLUDED

SMITH-CORONA Disk Drive Memory Storage:

PWP-78DS	\$675	14-LINE 8" x 2" LCD
PWP-125	\$495	8 1/2" x 1 1/2" LCD
PWP-145	\$495	8 1/2" x 1 1/2" LCD
PWP-425	\$595	9" MONITOR BUILT-IN
PWP-365	\$675	14-LINE 8" x 2" LCD

PWP-990	\$585	9" MONITOR BUILT-IN
PWP-3200	\$675	14-LINE 8" x 2" LCD
PWP-3600	\$675	14-LINE 8" x 2" LCD
PWP-3700	\$695	12" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-3800	\$695	12" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-3850	\$695	12" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-4150	\$795	14" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-4400	\$785	14" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-4500	\$785	14" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-5000-PLUS	\$785	14" MONITOR INCLUDED
PWP-5000	\$785	9" MONITOR BUILT-IN
PWP-5100	\$785	9" MONITOR BUILT-IN

PWP-8000	\$585	LAPTOP 14-LINE 8" x 2 1/2" LCD - PRINTER EXTRA COST
PWP-9000/9500	\$685	LAPTOP 14-LINE, ETC. PRINTER COST=\$395.00

INTERNAL MEMORY - NO DISK DRIVE:

PWP-50D - 30 KB	\$695	8 1/2" x 1 1/2" LCD - 5 LINES
WP-135 - 22 KB	\$395	6" x 1 1/2" 40 CHARACTER LCD
WP-1100 - 22KB	\$395	6" x 1 1/2" 40 CHARACTER LCD
PWP-2500 - 30 KB	\$695	8 1/2" x 1 1/2" LCD
SD-680 - 6.7 KB	\$345	24 CHARACTER DISPLAY
XD-4900 - 6.7 KB	\$345	24 CHARACTER DISPLAY

32 KB INTERNAL PLUS EXTERNAL 32 KB MEMORY CARD SLOT:

UNLIMITED EXTERNAL MEMORY CARD SLOT		
PWP-47D - 32 KB INTERNAL	\$585	8 1/2" x 1 1/2" LCD - 5 LINES
PWP-90 - 32 KB INTERNAL	\$585	8 1/2" x 1 1/2" LCD - 5 LINES
MEMORY CARD # S75531 - 32 KB (PWP 90, 1000, X-10, MARK V, 47D) \$37.00 5 LINES		

BROTHER INKJET:

N.L.A. DP-525-CJ	\$495	7-LINE 8" x 1" DISPLAY
DP-530-CJ	\$595	14-LINE 8" x 2" DISPLAY
DP-540-CJ	\$840	35-LINE 8 1/2" x 5 1/4" DISPLAY
N.L.A. DP-550-CJ	\$950	35-LINE 8 1/2" x 5 1/4" DISPLAY BACKLIGHT

ALL WORD PROCESSORS HAVE A ONE-YEAR GUARANTEE. SOME ARE NEW AND OTHERS ARE FACTORY-RECONDITIONED IN EXCELLENT CONDITION.

WE ALSO HAVE SUPPLIES... THANKS FOR YOUR INTEREST.

NOTE: IF MONITOR IS NOT MENTIONED, THE UNIT HAS A BUILT-IN FLIP-UP DISPLAY LCD PANEL. LCD=LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY

NEW WORDSMITHS with all of the heavy-duty upgrades installed: BLUE-CHARACTER, HEAVY-DUTY LCD TITANIUM PRINT HAMMER FACE

WE ALSO DO REPAIRS... FREE ESTIMATES AND ONE-YEAR GUARANTEE ON ALL REPAIRS.

~~WordSmith-100~~ --- \$189.00 --- NEW

~~WordSmith-250~~ --- \$239.00 --- NEW + ship

SHIPPING IS \$35.00 EXTRA.

239.00
35.00
274.00

4084