

S.C. Courts of ~~Appeals~~  
Appeals

Shahen Cabbages talk  
(without prejudice ucl-203)  
v.  
S C Dept of Corrections

RECEIVED

NOV 30 2017

C/NOV SC Court of Appeals  
(See Exhibits and orders  
attached/Enclosed)

No tie of  
Appeal According  
to Patterson v. Coughlin

Now Cometh the (sovereign) in regards of this  
Appeal to this court: ①. I Appeal this lower courts decision do to the  
facts ②. A (Rule 59(e) and Rule 60(B) was filed in regards of the  
State tort claim which (I) was based that (I) should've took this to Adminis-  
trative law courts. ③. Nothing in my state tort involved Administrative law  
courts, I stated I been to DHO for a vague writeup and witnesses were  
never gotten Just as (Patterson v. Coughlin 905 F.2d 564 (2nd Cir. 1990) speaks  
of. ④. The Issues I used in my state tort had nothing to do with Administrative  
law courts and as this courts see the case enclosed (It's about me being kidnapped  
Against my own will, housed and mentally ill prisoners, The conditions of that  
prison and falsely imprisoned. ⑤. I had no lockup time and been  
falsely imprisoned and kidnapped made to stay on lockup, according  
to (Patterson v. Coughlin 905 F.2d 564 (2nd Cir. 1990) I'm entitled to Compensatory  
Damages for all the days I been on lockup against my own will Calculate the  
days and the Monetary scope for appropriate range of permissible relief. ⑥. The liberty  
interest was I was on lockup illegally no lockup time (See Patterson v. Coughlin  
905 F.2d 564 (2nd Cir. 1990), A rule 59(e) and rule 60(B) should've been addressed  
and wasn't there's not even an analysis of the issues raised on rule 59(e) or rule 60(B)  
by Judge Edward W. Miller. ⑦. There was no (ADR) done and it's mandate  
was dismissed in favor of, The order of the Reason why this case  
orders spoken of. ⑧. Patterson v. Coughlin 905 F.2d 564 (2nd Cir. 1990) is  
asked to be taken in consideration for compensatory damages.

⑨. Conclusion: Relief Patterson v. Coughlin 905 F.2d 564 (2nd Cir. 1990) Case  
Received favorably I'm entitled to compensatory damages I ask this  
courts remain case and order Damages per each day until I was let off  
lockup.

LEGAL MAIL ONLY

By: Shahen Cabbages talk  
(without prejudice ucl-203)  
10/22/17

Dear,

Clerk of Court

17-1913  
cat

Please file this Appeal of this

Case and return it back, stamped and file  
a copy for my records Thanks

It's clear per Patterson v. Loughlin I'm entitled  
to Compensatory Damages

RECEIVED

NOV 30 2017

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED  
2017 OCT 30 AM 10: 03  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS  
FOURTH CIRCUIT

LEGAL MAIL ONLY

Shahen Cabbagestalk #295567  
Perry C.I. QY#104A  
430 Oaklawn Rd.  
Petzer S.C. 29669

EMS

RECEIVED

NOV 30 2017

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2017

PCI Mailroom

S.C. Courts of Appeals

Jenny Abbott Kitchens clerk

P.O. Box 11629

Columbia S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS HAS  
NOT REVIEWED OR ENDORSED THIS ITEM.  
THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT  
ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ITS CONTENTS.  
PENNSYLVANIA PENITENTIARY INSTITUTION  
THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS