

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2017

SC Court of Appeals

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

COUNTY OF CHARLESTON IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO. 15-CP-10-04849

Richard Dority Jr.,

v.

Mary Clement Porcher,

PLAINTIFF

DEFENDANT

FILED 2016 NOV -2 PM 1:54 JULIE J. ARMSTRONG CLERK OF COURT

CHECK ONE:

[] JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.

[X] DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

[] ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON): [] Rule 12(b), SCRPC; [] Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); [] Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); [] Other

[] ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON): [] Rule 40(j), SCRPC; [] Bankruptcy; [] Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; [] Other

[] DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE

BOX):

[] Affirmed; [] Reversed; [] Remanded; [] Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

- [] See attached order. (Formal order to follow) [X] Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This case was before the Court on November 1, 2016 for a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed August 29, 2016. "Summary judgment is appropriate when there is no genuine issue of material fact such that the moving party is entitled to prevail as a matter of law." Evening Post Pub. Co. v. Berkeley County Sch. Dist., 392 S.C. 76, 81, 708 S.E.2d 745, 748 (2011); Rule 56(c), SCRPC. "Under Rule 56(c), the party seeking summary judgment has the initial responsibility of demonstrating the absence of a genuine issue of material fact." Baughman v. Am. Tel. & Tel. Co., 306 S.C. 101, 115, 410 S.E.2d 537, 545 (1991) (citing Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S. Ct. 2548 (1986)). In considering a motion for summary judgment, "the evidence and its reasonable inferences must be viewed in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party." Id. "Summary judgment is not appropriate where further inquiry into the facts of the case is desirable to clarify the application of the law. . . . Even when there is no dispute as to evidentiary facts, but only as to the conclusions or inferences to be drawn from them, summary judgment should be denied." USAA Property & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Clegg, 377 S.C. 643, 653, 661 S.E.2d 791, 796 (2008). Based on arguments of the parties

