

THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS,

TERRY ABBOTT KITCHNER

P.O. BOX 11629 COL. S.C. 29211

CLERK

1200 SENATE STREET

V. CLAIRE ALLEN

COL. S.C. 29201

DEPUTY CLERK

DEC. 6TH 2017

RE: MAJID vs. STEVENS C/N: 2015-CP-3559

NOTICE OF APPEAL JUDGE LAWTON MCINTOSH,

I, SHAHID MAJID ADA, POISE APPELLANT DO HEREBY ON THIS DAY OF, 6TH, MONTH
OF, Dec., 2017 Place Honorable COURT OF APPEALS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL
JUDGE LAWTON MCINTOSH, DENIAL FOR NEW TRIAL MOTION, OCT. 27-2017,
CLOCKED STAMPED, NOV. 6TH 2017, 10:38 A.M. BY HONORABLE GUYMON D. CHILES,
DENIED, NOV. 6TH 2017, WITHOUT JUDGE LAWTON MCINTOSH'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE CODE #, CLERK CHIEF SIGNATURE. SEE EXH. A1; A. 2; A. 3, EXH. B,
IS MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL APPELLANT SEEKS I.F.P GRANTED DUE TO
INDIGENCY EXH. C, AUG. 16. 2017, 11M TRUST ACCOUNT REPORT. APPELLANT
SEEKS APPEALS COST WAIVED AND COPY OF TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL COST
WAIVED. APPELLANT'S SEEKS HONORABLE COURT TO GRANT BELOW ORDERS!

1) APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, EXH. D, S.S.A, JULY 17-2017, VALIDATE
ON, MARCH 1. 2011, APPELLANT BECAME DISABLED AND FROM OCT. 2011-
JAN. 2014, \$22,962.00, SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME AND IS HEREBY
PROTECTED BY ADA, SEC. 504; § 12132 ETC. OF TITLE 18, EXH. E, 7/29/17
REQ. VALIDATE ON PSYCHOTROPIC MEDS LOXAPEME; MENTAL HEALTH
LAW 3 BY DR. JUSTICE; DISPOSITION BY M.H. TECH. MAR. S ALLEN,
KEERSHAW C. I.

(HP 112)

1.

EXH. E. 1; E. 2, SCDC POLICE VALIDATE LEV. 3 Higher INTENSITY/INPAT-
IENT TREATMENT MENTALLY IMPAIRED DUE TO MENTAL ILLNESS ETC.

THE APPEAL PROCESS COUNSEL IS NEEDED AND, EXH. F, D.O.'S ATTEST

TITLES. NOV. 30TH, C/N: 2015-CP-40-2758, HONORABLE ROBERT E. HOOD

DID CEASE ALL COURT MOTIONS DURING T.R.O INJUNCTION FOR

MENTAL HEALTH CARE AND ORDER SCDC TO SEE APPELLANT IMMEDIATELY

ON FRI. 1ST NO LATER THAN MON. 4TH PROVIDE EMERGENCY MEDICAL

CARE DUE TO INFLAMMATION OF PLAINIE PSORIASIS OVER 85% BODY

DAMAGE UP TO NECK RASHED, SKIN CHANGE COLORS, PUST ETC. EXH. G

VALIDATE HASNT BEEN TO LAW LIB. COMPUTER SINCE Sept. 2017.

TRANSFER.

2) ORDER TRANSCRIPT TRIAL

I SO HUMBLY MOVE THIS HONORABLE COURT OF APPEAL ON THIS
DAY OF, 7TH, MONTH OF, Dec. 2017 AND RETURN COPIES Rule 2(a).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

Shahid Majid

SHAHID A. MAJID #1994988 ADA PROSE

FREE SHAHID MAJID LEGAL DEFENSE FUND

L.C.I. P.O. BOX 205. RHU # 18

Rockville, SC 29142



HONORABLE LAWTON MCINTOSH

1 DEC. 6TH 2012

P.O. BOX 8008

ANDERSON, SC 29622

RE: MAJID VS. STEVENS CIV: 2012-CP-3507

"LOCKED STAMPED COPIES"

DEAR JUDGE MCINTOSH:

SIR, I NEVER RECEIVED COPIES OF MOTIONS I PRESENTED TO COURT
JULY 16TH 2011, THAT YOU DENIED. THE MOTIONS 1/ Rule 50(a) JUDGMENT MATTER OF
LAW; 2/ Rule 11(b) SANCTIONS; 3/ Rule 34 Prod. of Documents
4/ SANCTIONS.

I PRESENTED WITH MOTION ORDER FORMS, SCCA 1223. I RECEIVED COPIES OF
RULE 6(d) MOTIONS AND RULE 6. I JUST NOTED THE ERROR. I AM IN NEED OF COPIES VIA
RULE 2(d), RULE 5 TO ESTABLISH DUE PROCESS. PLEASE SEE THAT COPIES ARE SENT
TO ME ON THIS DAY OF, 7TH, MONTH OF, DEC. 2012

Respectfully submitted,

Shahid Majid

SHAHID MAJID #199398

R.E.I. P.O. BOX 205. PHN #18

Highville, S.C. 29947

CHIEF

EX. A. 1

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF MCCORMICK
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2015-CP-35-0007

Arthur Moseley,

Sgt. Stevens in Individual Capacity,

Aka "Shahid Majid", #199398
PLAINTIFF(S)

And SCDC
DEFENDANT(S)

| | | |
|---------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Submitted by: | Attorney for : <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant |
| | or | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant | |

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL AND OTHER POST TRIAL RELIEF IS DENIED WITHOUT THE NECESSITY OF A HEARING. NO FORMAL ORDER IS REQUESTED.

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : _____

| INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX | | |
|--|--|--|
| Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below. | | |
| Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below) | Judgment Against (List name(s) below) | Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below) |
| | | \$ |
| | | \$ |
| | | \$ |

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Nov 06 3:40 PM - MCCORMICK - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2015CP3500007

Ex. A. pg. 2.

Circuit Court Judge

Judge Code

Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the _____ day of _____, 20____ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this _____ day of _____, 20____ to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter: _____

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Nov 06 3:40 PM - MCCORMICK - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2015CP3500007

EXH. A. 2



McCormick Common Pleas

Case Caption: Shahid Majid VS Scdc , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2015CP3500007

Type: Order/Form 4

order denied

s/R.Lawton McIntosh

Electronically signed on 2017-11-06 15:21:54 page 3 of 3

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Nov 06 3:40 PM - MCCORMICK - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2015CP3500007

EXH. B. 1

Honorable AUSTON MCINTOSH

P.O. BOX 8000

ANDERSON, S.C. 29622

FILED
GWENDOLYN D. CHILES

2017 NOV -6 A 10:38

CLERK OF COURT
MCGORMICK COUNTY, SC.

OCT 27-2017

DEAR JUDGE MCINTOSH:

SIR, Please find enclosed NOTICE AND MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL /
AMEND ORDER. Please see that I receive clocked & stamped copies back via
Rule 2(A), Rules on Trial 3RD and 4TH of OCT. 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Shahid Masud

Shahid Masud #199388 ADA Prose

L.C.T. P.O. BOX 205 RDU #118

Ridgeway, S.C. 29478

Shahid Masud

EXH. B.

HONORABLE JUSTICE MCINTOSH

FILED
GWENDOLYN D. CHILES

OCT. 27. 2017

P.O. BOX 8008

2017 NOV -6 A 10: 37

ANDERSON, S.C. 29622

CLERK OF COURT
McCORMICK COUNTY, SC

RE: MATTHEW S. STEVENS C/N: 2015-CP-3507

"NOTICE AND MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL / AMEND ORDER Rule 52(b)"

PROSE. ADA. PLAINTIFF MOVES HONORABLE COURT TO GRANT NEW TRIAL / AMEND ORDER
BASED ON BELOW CONCLUSIONS, MERITORIAL FACTS, U.S. CASE LAWS, AMENDMENTS ETC.

A. DUE PROCESS CLAUSE 14TH AMEND. VIOLATION

IN 1868 14TH AMEND. DUE PROCESS CLAUSE. SEC. 1 "[NO STATE SHALL MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW
WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE THE PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS OF THE U.S. NOR SHALL ANY STATE
DEPRIVE ANY PERSON OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, NOR DENY ANY PERSON
WITHIN [IT'S] [JURISDICTION] THE [EQUAL] [PROTECTION] OF THE [LAWS]"

JUDGE LAWTON MCINTOSH DID ABUSE DISCRETION AND VIOLATE ADA. PLAINTIFFS DUE PROCESS BY DENIAL
WITHOUT VIEWING OR HEARING ON RECORD PLAINTIFFS' (A) FOUR MOTIONS: 1. Rule 50(2) JUDGMENT AS
MATTER OF LAW; 2) Rule 11(D) SANCTIONS; 3) Rule 34 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS; 4) SANCTIONS

THAT COURT WAS PLACED ON NOTICE OF, OCT. 6TH 2017, LETTER TO, HONORABLE R. KIRK McMAHON

JUDGE MCINTOSH, DID ABUSE DISCRETION BY DENIAL OF (B) THREE OTHER MOTIONS:

1) Rule 60 RELIEVE JUDGMENT DENIAL OF G.A.L. CHECKED STAMPED, 10/2/2017, TO THE HONORABLE
D. GARRISON

2) Rule 6 ENLARGEMENT OF TIME CHECKED STAMPED, 10/2/2017, TO, HONORABLE R. KIRK McMAHON

3) Rule 60 RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT DENIAL OF APPT. OF COUNSEL CHECKED STAMPED, 10/2/17, TO THE

HONORABLE R. KIRK McMAHON. ALL MOTIONS HAD ATTACHED MERITORIAL DOCUMENTS ESTABLISHING

FACTS: A.1 BACK ON MENTAL HEALTH PSYCHIATRIC MEDS TO OPEN 5mg. AND REV. B;

B.1 G.A. DIAGNOSED DISABLED 4/11/11; C.1 NO LAW HAS ACCESS AND RULES OF COURT 2011 EDITION

WAS TAKEN BY DEFENDANTS CLAIMING CONTRA BOND ETC.

10/1/17

II

12th S.Ct. 1998 Supreme Court U.S. TEN. PETITIONERS V. GARY/LINE ET AL NO. 02-1167, U.S Supreme Court Justice Souter, held that Title II. of The ADA, as applied to cases implicating the fundamental right of access to courts, constitutes a valid exercise of Congress' enforcement power of 14th Amend. Affirmed. Justice Souter concur Justice Ginsburg concur see also [GARRETT 531 U.S. AT 365 121 S.Ct. 955 (14th Amend. [Guarantees All persons similarly situated should be treated alike])"

, S.C.R.C.V.P. Rule 7(b)(1), requires that motions shall state grounds therefor; shall set forth relief or order sought (The particularity requirement is to be read flexibly in recognition of the peculiar circumstances of the case)... R. 7(b)(1) advances the policies of reducing prejudice to either party & assuring that the court can comprehend the basis of the motion & deal with it fairly) [LUCY vs. MEYER, 401 BC 122, 131, 796 S.E.2d 274, 279 (CT. App. 2012)], JUDGE McINTOSH, DID ABUSE DISCRETION BY VIOLATING R. 7(b)(1) AND 14TH AMEND. due process BY NOT [VIEWING] NOR [HEARING] ON RECORD ADA-Plaintiff's (1) SAVER PRETRIAL NOTICES.

B. Counsel should of been APPOINTED

EXH. A.1, 8/6/19, REQUEST OF R.6 ENLARGEMENT of TIME, SEPT. 15-2019, VALIDATE, DEC JUSTICE, DID ON WEDNES. JUN 26th 2019, Placed Plaintiff Back on Psychotropic Meds. Loxapine 5mg, clon B MENTAL HEALTH. The (4) FOUR TRIAL DAYS Plaintiff could not take Meds due to TRIAL EXHAUSTION, TRYING TO LEARN CIVIL JURY TRIAL RULES APPX 2 HRS. A DAY SLEEP ETC. CAUSED UNWARRANTED MENTAL ANXIETY AND Depression, NIGHTMARES AND VOICES. U.S CASED LAWS SUPPORT APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL SEE [BEARD V. BARKS 548 U.S 521, 534, 126 S.Ct. 2572 (2008)] "GRANTING APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL IN CHALLENGING POLICIES BASED ON NEED OF EXPERT TESTIMONY"; [GREENO V. DALEY 414 F.3d 645, 658 7th Cir. 2005] "IN REVERSING REFUSAL TO APPOINT COUNSEL, APPELLATE COURT STATED THAT DIST. COURT [WAS] [WRONG] IN SAYING THE CASE WAS "FACTUALLY SIMPLE AND LEGALLY STRAIGHT FORWARD" SINCE INVOLVED MEDICAL RECORDS & INTIMATE COMPLAINTS & REQUESTED OVER A PERIOD OF 2 YRS & REQUIRES AN ASSESSMENT WHICH WILL LIKELY REQUIRE EXPERT TESTIMONY"; SEE ALSO [MONTGOMERY V. PITCHER 294 F.3d 494 504 3d. Cir. 2002] "Counsel should be APPOINTED-

III.

When Medical Issues Are Complex & Expert is needed: (Moore v. Hobbs 996 F.2d 268 5th Cir. 1992) (Directing Appt. of Counsel in a case involving the treatment of HIV positive inmates); (Tucker v. Randall 948 F.2d at 1392) (citing Medical Evidence as Reason to Appoint Counsel) (Tobron v. Grace 6 F.3d at 156) (Explaining that whether the law is not clear it will often best serve the ends of justice to have both sides of a difficult legal issue presented by those trained in legal analysis)

Judge McIntosh did Abuse Discretion by not appointing Plaintiff Counsel,
C. G.A.L. should have been appointed,

R.10 Relieve Judgment of Denial G.A.L. clocked 10/2/12 to, Honorable D. Carrison with mental documents: Ex. A / Rcd. 7/29/12, Mr. Allen, noc validate & Q. Dr. Justice, L. Selnes, 7/26/12, Placed Plaintiff back on Psychotropic Meds, Lorazepam 5mg qd; B) Mental Health Lev. B = Higher Intensity w/ Patient Treatment; Ex. B / HS. 19.04 Mental Health Policy Defines Lev. B not competent due to impaired mental illness, hallucinations, delusions etc;

Ex. C, Sec. Sec. Admin. 7/12/12, validate Plaintiff become disabled March 1-2011, Rec'd Feb, 2011-2011, 11/22/12, 9/22/12, which is credible evidence. Honorable with, cited Plaintiff needed in denial of G.A.L. citing case law (Garner v. Ath. Land Title Co. 307 S.W.2d 617 (1957)) and establishes mental deficiency cited (Thompson v. Moore 227 S.C. 412, 88 S.E.2d 354 (1955))

Plaintiff now meets criteria and G.A.L. should have been appointed being no cure for mental illness (Dominick v. Rhodes 202 S.C. 139, 24 S.E.2d 168 (1943); (Mordcaai v. Canty 86 S.C. 428 68 S.E. 1049 (1910)) (adjudicated by the Probate J.G.A.L. or committee appointed) R. 17(d)(1)(2)(1)(5)

Judge McIntosh, did Abuse Discretion by not appointing ADA Plaintiff G.A.L.

D. Plaintiff not allowed show character / Impeachment Evidence,
Rules 401(b) Character Evidence Exception; Rule 607 Who May Impeach; Rule 608 Evidence of Character, Conduct & Bias of Witness (a)(1)(a)(b)(c) to address impeachment by showing bias or impartiality (State v. Breunington, 267 S.C. 97, 226 S.E.2d 249 (1972)); (North Greenville College v. Sherman Trust Co. Inc. 270 S.C. 553, 243 S.E.2d 441 (1978))

Plaintiff not allowed to present evidence grievance read by defendant's witness

ALW G. Lowell, on stand was stricken from record shows, Mr. A. Maxwell Lowell

IV.

PREVIOUS EVIDENCE OF TRUTHFULNESS OF CHARACTER, WHERE GRUARDIAN I.C.C.L. HOLMES FOR CONSPIRING WITH MS. GUDWELL, BY NOT REVEALING MENTAL HEALTH STATEMENT ACCOUNTABILITY TO PLAINTIFF AT DMH HEARING. MS. GUDWELL HAD FOUND PLAINTIFF GUILTY AT DMH WHERE MENTAL HEALTH SHEET SAID "NOT ACCOUNTABLE" AND CHARGES SHOULD OF BEEN DISMISSED. JUDGE ALLOWED PRIOR BAD ACTS CONVICTIONS OF PLAINTIFF BY MS. GUDWELL TO JURY BUT WAS PREJUDICE TO PLAINTIFF BY STRICKEN FROM RECORD, MS. GUDWELL PREVIOUS BAD ACTS. "IT IS WELL ESTABLISHED THAT EVIDENCE SHOULD BE EXCLUDED WHEN ITS PROBATIVE VALUE IS OUTWEIGHED BY ITS PREJUDICIAL EFFECT. A TRIAL JUDGE HAS CONSIDERABLE LATITUDE IN RULING ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE THIS RULING WILL NOT BE DISTURBED absent A SHOWING OF PROBABLE PREJUDICE" STATE V. KELLEY 319 S.C. 368, 460 S.E.2d 368, 370 (1995); Flabel (V. ILL. VS. BARTFIELD, 312 S.C. 214, 439 S.E.2d 838, 840 (1994))

27 WITNESS #399 MARY SPATES EXPERT TESTIMONY WAS STRICKEN FROM JURY AND JUDGE REFUSED TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF SUBMIT DOCUMENTATION OF INTERVIEW THAT SHE PRODUCED AT DEPOSITION HEARING ON SOME EXCESSIVE FORCE AGAINST MENTALLY ILL PRISONERS WHICH ESTABLISHES PRIOR BAD ACTS / UNTRUTHFULNESS OF DEFENDANT THAT INCLUDED JUDGE BASKET DECISION AGAINST SCDC, JAN. 8-2014.

3) PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT AND DOCUMENTS WERE NOT ALLOWED TO JURY ESTABLISHED FACTS OF CASE PRIOR BAD ACTS / UNTRUTHFULNESS OF DEFENDANT.

U.S.V. V. GARDNER 164 F.3d 556 (10th CIR. 1999) "A NEW TRIAL IS REQUIRED IF TESTIMONY WOULD IN ANY REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD HAVE AFFECTED THE JUDGMENT OF THE JURY" JUDGE McINTOSH DID ABUSE DISCRETION BEING #39 MARY SPATES COULD NOT IDENTIFY THE JUROR TO KNOW HE IS A JUROR. THERE WAS NO IDENTIFICATION ON JUROR ID / TAG ETC. TO INFORM PUBLIC ON JURY DUTY.

SUMMARY

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF MAKES MOVABLE COURT FOR NEW TRIAL / AMEND ORDER BASED ON ABOVE ON THIS DAY OF 31ST MONTH OF OCT, 2019.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Shahid Malik

SHAHID MALIK #199398 ADA - POSE

101 P.O. BOX 205 - RW #18 Ridgeville, S.C. 29472

LEIC

INMATE TRUST FUND ACCOUNT REPORT for SOUTH CAROLINA COURT FILING FEES

INMATE
C.I
SCDC-FINANCIAL
2017 AUG - 1
WHEN FILED

INSTRUCTIONS TO INMATE: Complete top portion then give to your mailroom. When returned from Accounting, you must mail this form with any payment to the Court.

By signing my name below, I am asking the Financial Accounting Office of the South Carolina Department of Corrections to complete this report. In accordance with SC Code of Laws §24-27-100 and 150, I authorize payment of the full filing fee. If I have insufficient funds in my account at this time to pay the court's full filing fee, I authorize SCDC to deduct the initial and subsequent payments until payment is completed.

INMATE NAME (print): Shahid M. MATIN

SCDC # 199398 INMATE SIGNATURE: Shahid Matin

I plan to file this action in the SC County of Williamsburg Co.

The sum of _____ for SCDC - Financial Accounting Branch's use ONLY.

| | | | |
|-----|---|----|-------------|
| (1) | Total deposits to inmate's account for preceding six months' period* | \$ | <u>1.17</u> |
| (2) | Percent (20%) of line 1 | \$ | <u>0.23</u> |
| (3) | Account balance - current date | \$ | <u>7.00</u> |
| (4) | PAYMENT AMOUNT** (lesser of line 2 or line 3) Enclosed check # _____ | \$ | <u>0</u> |

RECEIVED
AUG 16 2017

****NOTE to COURT:** If payment is for partial fee, Court must notify SCDC once case is accepted and filed. Send notice with case # and balance owed to address below. SCDC will NOT process any additional payments until notification is received from Court.

South Carolina Department of Corrections
Financial Accounting - Room 234
PO Box 21787
Columbia, SC 29221-1787

*Admission date is noted here if inmate incarcerated less than six months _____

Diana E. Mangum Fiscal Analyst IV 8-14-17
Prepared by Financial Accounting Branch - SCDC Date: _____

EXH. D. : 9509 NOTICE of APPEAL (1)
EXH. B. EXH. C : 9509 GAIL EXH. A Rule 60 STEVENS
EXH. A sanctions Adell (b)



Social Security Administration

PHILA NORTHEAST RA

FILED
GOVERNMENT OF PENNSYLVANIA
PHILADELPHIA, PA

2017 OCT 16 P 12:43

CLERK OF COURT
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PA

SHAHID LATIF MAJID
1904 CHURCH ST
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19124-4013

Date: July 17, 2017

Claim Number: XXX-XX-2030 DI

Name: SHAHID LATIF MAJID

You asked us for information from your record. The information that you requested is shown below. If you want anyone else to have this information, you may send them this letter.

Information About Supplemental Security Income Payments

For the period 1/2011 to 12/2014 you received a total of \$ 22,962.00 in Supplemental Security Income benefits.

Beginning February 2014, the current Supplemental Security Income payment is \$ 0.00.

This payment amount may change from month to month if income or living situation changes.

Supplemental Security Income Payments are paid the month they are due. (For example, Supplemental Security Income Payments for March are paid in March.)

Our records show that you became disabled on March 1, 2011.

Suspect Social Security Fraud?

Please visit <http://oig.ssa.gov/r> or call the Inspector General's Fraud Hotline at 1-800-269-0271 (TTY 1-866-501-2101).

Things To Remember

Get your benefit verification notice online, visit www.socialsecurity.gov/myaccount to set up your my Social Security account.

Breakdown of Payments for SHAHID LATIF MAJID from 1/2011 to 12/2014.

| Month | Payment | Month | Payment | Month | Payment |
|---------|--------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| 10/2011 | \$ 3,018.60* | 11/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 12/2013 | \$ 710.00 |
| 11/2011 | \$ 674.00 | 12/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 01/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 12/2011 | \$ 674.00 | 01/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 02/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 01/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 02/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 03/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 02/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 03/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 04/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 03/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 04/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 05/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 04/2012 | \$ 2,397.40* | 05/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 06/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 05/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 06/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 07/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 06/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 07/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 08/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 07/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 08/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 09/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 08/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 09/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 10/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 09/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 10/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 11/2014 | \$ 0.00 |
| 10/2012 | \$ 698.00 | 11/2013 | \$ 710.00 | 12/2014 | \$ 0.00 |

EXH. A, EXH. A, 307 G.A.H.

130.

911

EMERGENCY!!!

911

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

ADD. REC. 504

8/21/09

| | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|
| TO: NAME: RN SALLY DAVIS / Psych | TITLE: Psych | DATE: 08/29/09 |
| INMATE'S NAME: SHANID MARVIN ADA-PROVE | SCDC #: 19939 | |
| INSTITUTION: KID I | LIVING QUARTERS: C/119 | |

MAM, THE DOC. JUSTICE I THINK PUT ME BACK ON MENTAL HEALTH AND MEDS WEDNES. 26TH! THE SESSION WAS NOT CONFIDENTIAL AND I COULDN'T CONCENTRATE TO KNOW BELOW! PLEASE ANSWER BELOW! MENTAL HEALTH CAME 3 TIMES SINCE BUT REFUSED TO SEE ME!

1) What is name of Psy. Meds!? AND dosage!? _____

2) What is my mental health level!? _____

3) Who is my mental health counselor!? _____

4) Who is ~~the~~ DOC. THAT PUT ME BACK ON MENTAL HEALTH!?
MAY GODBLESS

DISPOSITION BY STAFF MEMBER:

Lokapur
ms. Gardner is your counselor
Dr. Justice
MAB

| | |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| DATE: 8-27-09 | SIGNATURE: (Signature) |
|------------------|---------------------------|

1441

EXH. 1.a.

EXH. 1.b. 3507 Notice of Appeal

6.1.2 Residential Care: Residential mental health services are provided for inmates with moderate to severe symptoms who need frequent, ongoing mental health care and services in a therapeutic environment. Each Residential Care Program has its own LOC identifier:

•Substance Abuse Treatment - LOC Classification Code: SA

•Habilitation Program - LOC Classification Code: ID

•Behavior Management Unit - LOC Classification Sub: BU

•Intermediate Care Services - LOC Classification Code: L2

•Self Injurious Behavior Program - LOC Classification Code: LC

6.1.3 Outpatient: Inmates who have the ability to function in general population may be housed in general population or structured living units. Outpatient inmates are mildly to moderately impaired and/or need monitoring due to a need for medication management, recent move from higher level of care, history of self injurious behavior or mild/moderate symptoms present and/or to maintain stability. There are two (2) levels of outpatient care:

•Higher Intensity Outpatient Treatment: LOC Classification Code: L3; and

•Lower Intensity Outpatient Treatment: LOC Classification Code: L4.

6.1.4 Assignment will be based on review of treatment needs. Inmates who are on more complex medication regimens, or viewed as being less stable or more needy, are classified as Higher Intensity. Institutions designated as suitable for housing Higher Intensity Outpatients will be staffed at a higher level to accommodate additional mental health programming.

6.1.5 Work Release Eligible: Inmate has one or more mental health diagnoses; however, the individual's symptoms are in remission and/or well controlled with treatment. If a mentally ill inmate is considered eligible for work release, his/her case is reviewed on an individual basis by the Treatment Team and the chief psychiatrist to determine if his/her treatment plan can be continued in a work release program (LOC Classification Code: L5).

EXH. F.G.

Declaration of Service,

I ATTEST UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY VIA TITLE 18§1746 THAT:

1. THURS. NOV. 30TH 2017 JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD DID STOP ALL OTHER PRETRIAL MOTIONS WHILE HEARING T.R.O IN ALBUQUERQUE FOR MEDICAL CARE! HE DID ORDER SCDD TO SEE ME ON FRIDAY. NO LATER THAN NOV. 14TH!

2. MY SKIN UP TO NECK RASDY, RISSY, NECK PURPLISH RED, ORANGE, FACE BREAKING OUT, DUE TO FACT SURG. N. PELIZABETH HOLCOMB; HCA LUANNE MAUNEY; DR. SIB. W. WATHLEN REFUSE TO PROVIDE STEROID SHOT OR PREDISONE PACKETS TO STOP PLASME PSORIASIS INFLAMMATION FOR 2 MONTHS AND PROVIDE CREAMS.

3. IVE YET TO GO TO LAW MR. COMPUTER SINCE SEPT. 2017. TRANSFER HERE TO HER. C.I.

THIS IS CONCLUSIVE JUDICIAL DOCUMENT.

11/4/17

D. K. T. O.

S/ Robert E. Hood