

12-21-2017

Mr. Daniel Shearouse,

i sent you my petition for
Writ of Certi. that should have
been to the Supreme Court, i
didn't hear from you pertaining
to receiving it, so can you please
ensure that it got filed in your
office and thank-you.

Mr. Jarvan F. Mays
250287

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court
1231 Gervais St.
PO. Box-11330
Columbia, SC. 29211

December, 10th 2017

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

"Prase Johnson"
Re: My Petition for Writs of Certiorari to Appellate Case NO. 2017-000891

Dear Supreme Court: I objected at trial to the state's failure to provide me with the victim's medical records to "disprove" the state's evidence that "a bullet grazed the victim's head" to support its "attempted murder" charge against me. Tr. pg. 242, L. 1-3. The record shows that the trial judge acknowledged my objection to the state's failure to provide me the discovery of the victim's medical records which did not show that a bullet grazed his head. Tr. pg. 243, L. 5-7.

Consequently, even though I preserved the issue of the state's failure to provide me discovery of the victim's medical records for appellate review, my Appellate Counsel Ms. Susan B. Hackett, S.C. Office of Appellate Defense, raised an unpreserved issue of the trial judge's erroneous jury charge that "attempted murder" required a "General Intent to kill" instead of a "Specific Intent to kill." As a result of this error by Ms. Hackett, I argued in my PCR that I was denied the right to effective assistance of Appellate Counsel for abandoning my preserved objection to the state's failure to provide me discovery of the state's medical records showing that "no" bullet grazed the victim's head to support the state's "attempted murder" charge against me.

Supreme Court, the transcript of record "clearly" shows that the jury based its decision on whether a bullet actually grazed the victim's head. Tr. pg. 241, L. 9-15. As the medical record shows that no bullet grazed the victim's head, I would have been entitled to reversal of my conviction had Ms. Hackett raised and argued the state's "Discovery" Brady and Rule 5 violation, regarding the victim's medical records.

December 10th 2017

1/5/ Jovan F. Maub

Jovan Frederick Maub #250287
Perry Corp. Inst. 48x12
430 Oaklawn Rd.

Var Mays-250287-BX12
PCI
430 OAK LAWN Rd.
Pelzer, SC. 29669

RECEIVED

DEC 21 2017

P.C.I. MAILROOM

The Supreme Court of South Carolina
DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
Clerk of Court
PO. Box-11330
Columbia, S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL