

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM S.C. COURT OF APPEALS  
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-0001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-0002108

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION  
FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME  
COURT'S JURISDICTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE  
APPENDIX AND DENY THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF  
TIME; MOTION TO VACATE THOSE ORDERS; MOTION FOR  
FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF  
THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE GIVE THE COURT AND  
PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. HERE YOU WILL FIND ATTACHED:

(1) EXHIBIT, "DEBIT FORM".

(2) EXHIBIT, "RELEASE". ARE PARTIES LISTED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT ARE TO BE RELEASED IMMEDIATELY. THE REMAINDER OF THE INMATES HELD WITHIN S.C.D.C. ARE TO BE RELEASED OVER A (4) MONTH PERIOD AT ALL LEVEL [3] INSTITUTIONS. [6] MONTHS FOR THE REMAINING INMATES.

(3) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD # 1". THIS IS THE [4] PAGE REINSTATEMENT DOCUMENT FILED IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM DATED DECEMBER 23, 2017.

(4) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD # 2". THIS IS THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; SUPPLEMENTING ISSUES ON APPEAL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [21] PAGES DATED JANUARY 5, 2018.

(5) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD # 3". THIS IS THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX DUE TO ATTEMPTS AT FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, FILING OBJECTIONS IN OPPOSITION OF THAT DOCUMENT; MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [15] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 25, 2017.

(6) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD # 4". THIS IS THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RETURN TO THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI; MOTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BY THE RESPONDENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; MOTION FOR FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [15] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 27, 2017.

(7) EXHIBIT, "17-7532". THIS IS THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL;\*\*\*\*, [34] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017.

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES AND DEFENSES ARGUED WITHIN THESE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE NOW PRESENTED AND ARGUED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN CASE 2017-0002108.

INASMUCH, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC

STATE CHALLENGES THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION TO ISSUE BOTH THE ORDER STRIKING THE DOCUMENTS WITHIN THE APPENDIX AND THE ORDER DENYING THE EXTENSION OF TIME DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND OR PARTIES ALSO PURSUANT TO RULE 428; VIOLATIONS OF RULE 502.1 OATH OF OFFICE; PURSUANT TO RULE 245 EXERCISING OF ORIGINAL JURISDICTION; RULE 60(b)(3); RULE 247 WHERE WE WANT THAT DNA DUE TO JURISDICTION IN THE LOWER COURT BEING VOID FOR INORDINATE DELAY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES ALSO CHALLENGE THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE ORDERS DUE TO THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED THEM AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT HAS THE INHERENT AUTHORITY TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENTS PROCURED AS A RESULT OF FRAUD, ROBINSON-V.-ESTATE-OF-HARRIS 389 S.C. 360, 698 S.E.2d. 801(S.C.App.2010); CHRISTIANSO-V.-M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A. S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850 (S.C.App. 2013); SPRINGOB-V.-UNIVERSITY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); STRATTEN-V.-MECKLENBERG-COUNTY-DEPT. OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY-TECHNOLOGY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA-INC.-V.-BAPTIST HEALTH-SOUTH-FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGHMAN V.-U.S. 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); NORTH-AMERICAN-RESCUE-PRODUCTS INC.-V.-RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C.2015).

WE CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDERS DUE TO THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED THEM. THE RESPONDENT CONSPIRED WITH JUDGE WOOTEN IN THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT WITH MEMBERS OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT BEING PRIVY TO IT, RENDERING THE PLEADINGS IN THEIR TOTALITY VOID AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS. BY SUCH ACTION YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY PLACED THE KING-KHALIFAH IN FORCED BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY BY THAT FRAUD, VIOLATING THE "TRUST" WHICH IS ESTABLISHED BEFORE THIS COURT BY THE DOCUMENTS YOU CRIMINALLY STRUCK IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WHICH VIOLATE YOUR CONTRACTS VIA YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE, BENNETT-V.-CARTER, 807 S.E.2d. 197 (S.C. 2017); PROTESTANT-EPISCOPAL-CHURCH-IN-THE-DIOCESE-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA-V.-EPISCOPAL-CHURCH, 421 S.C. 211, 806 S.E.2d. 82 (S.C. App.2017).

ONCE THE RESPONDENT CONSPIRED WITHIN JURISDICTIONS TO WHICH HE HAD NO POWER OR AUTHORITY WITH FEDERAL JUDGES WHO HAVE NO POWER OR AUTHORITY IN THESE STATE PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING JUDGES TOAL AND HEARNS AND POTENTIALLY OTHER UNDISCLOSED PARTIES WITHIN THIS COURT TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT WITHIN THESE PROCEEDINGS IN ACTS OF FRAUD SO THE RESPONDENT CAN ASSERT THAT THERE WAS NO APPARENT CONNECTION BETWEEN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH, THE PROCEEDINGS BECAME IRREGULAR, CORRUPTED BY FRAUD, VOIDING YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC,-v.-AL TAYYAR,---Fed. Appx'-- , 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); MR.T-& MRS.T.- 378 S.C. 127, 652 S.E.2d. 413(S.C.2008); 24-SENATORIAL DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN,- 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP-v.-DUDENHOEFFER,- 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES,- 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); U.S.-v.-\$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY,- 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. THERE IS TRUSTEE APPOINTED OVER THIS CASE AND THE OTHER RELATED CASES IN THE FORM OF JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. THIS CASE IS NOW OFFICIALLY ON REMAND LIMITING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE POWER AND AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. ONCE JURISDICTION IS ACQUIRED IT IS EXCLUSIVE EXCEPT BY THOSE TERMS PERMITTED BY THE CROWN AND SOVEREIGN POWER, PRESAULT-v.-I.C.C.- 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-v.-BROWN,- F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233 (D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-v.-HHGREGG INC.- F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); KARNALCHEVA-v.- J.P.-MORGAN-CHASE-BANK,-N.A.- 871 F.Supp.2d. 834(2012).


SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, AT ANY STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND CANNOT BE WAIVED BY THE PETITIONER EVEN WITH CONSENT, EX-PARTE-CANNON,- 685 S.E.2d. 814, 820(S.C.App.2009); MCCANN-v.-BRIGHTHARR,- 399 S.C. 240, 730 S.E.2d 916(S.C.App.2012); SOUTH-CAROLINA-DEPARTMENT-OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES

v.-TRAN, 418 S.C. 308, 792 S.E.2d. 254(S.C.App.2016); ARNAL  
v.-ERASER, 371 S.C. 512, 641 S.E.2d. 419(S.C.2007).

AS IT RELATES TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO MARRY YOU GAVE THESE SODOMITES AND GOMMORAHITES WHICH THIS COURT ENTERTAINED AND EXECUTED WITHIN ITS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER RULE 245. A JUDGMENT ISSUED WITHOUT PROPER PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER AN ABSENT PARTY IS NOT ENTITLED TO FULL FAITH AND CREDIT, AND THEREFORE HAS NO RES JUDICATA EFFECT AS TO THAT ABSENT PARTY, WARE-v.-WARE, 404 S.C. 1, 743 S.E.2d. 817(S.C.App.2013).

THE FRAUD VITIATES YOUR ORDERS RENDERING THEM VOID ALSO FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION WHICH IS CHALLENGE TO THE JURISDICTION OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO ISSUE THEM. BY YOUR ACTIONS YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE AS WELL AS THE "CONTRACT", THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH THROUGH HIS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WHERE YOUR ACTION DEFIES "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" AS IS REQUIRED BY THE "GRANT". YOU CRIMINALLY IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, STRUCK THE DOCUMENTS AND DENIED THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO PREVENT THE RESPONDENT FROM BEING REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THE PLEADINGS BECAUSE YOU KNEW HE COULD NOT AND TO PREVENT EVIDENCE FROM BEING PLACED ON THE COURT RECORD THAT WOULD HAVE PROVED THE RESPONDENT'S PLEADINGS WERE EGREGIOUSLY CORRUPTED BY FRAUD WHICH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT PLAYED A PART IN SUBJECTING YOU TO SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE ON ALL RELIEF SOUGHT WHICH IS GRANTED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT DUE TO THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA VIA YOUR ATTORNEY BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AS WELL. THE ORDERS ARE VACATED AND THIS CASE IS ON REMAND RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT ALL RELIEF DEMANDED, BRESAULT

v.-I.C.C.-SUPRA.; BROWN-v.-BROWN-SUPRA.; HARRIS-v.-HHCREGG-SUPRA.  
; AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP.  
81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-v.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC.  
F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); GORDON-v.-TBC-RETAIL  
GROUP,-INC. F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016). IT IS SO  
ORDERED.



THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES MOTION FOR THE  
RECUSAL OF BOTH JUDGES TOAL AND HEARNS FROM OFF THIS CASE. THESE  
PEOPLE SHOULD BE NO WHERE NEAR THIS CASE. THEIR PRESENCE PRODUCES  
A STRUCTURAL ERROR AND POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCON-  
STITUTIONAL LEVEL WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS  
VIOLATION. WE OBJECT, WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899,  
195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); IN-RE:-SYNTAX-  
BRILLIAN-CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016). YOUR ACTIONS  
IN HANDLING THIS CASE PROVE THAT WE MEET THE CRITERION ESTA-  
BLISHED BY ROSS-v.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) DEMONSTRATING  
THAT BY YOUR FRAUD AND MACHINATION THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE STATE  
REMEDIES AND WE NEED NOT EXHAUST. NEVERTHELESS YOU SHALL RENDER  
JUDGMENT IN THE RESTRICTED JURISDICTION NOW PLACED UPON YOU  
BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT.

THERE IS NO "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" AS REQUIRED BY THE  
GRANT OR PROPER DUE PROCESS BY WHAT YOU'VE DONE HERE WITHIN  
THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE.  
YOUR OATH OF OFFICE IS A QUID PRO QUO CONTRACT UNDER U.S. CONST.  
ARTICLE 6 Cl. 2 AND 3, DAVIS-v.-LAWYERS-SURETY-CORPORATION,  
459 S.W.2d. 655, 657 TEX. APP., IN WHICH CLERKS, OFFICIALS AND  
OFFICERS OF THE STATE AND OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PLEDGE TO PER-  
FORM, SUPPORT AND UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES AND STATES CONSTITU-  
TIONS WITH ITS MANDATES IN RETURN FOR SUBSTANCES SUCH AS WAGES,  
PERKS AND BENEFITS. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD  
THAT NO STATE, NOR LEGISLATOR, NOR EXECUTIVE, NOR JUDGE CAN  
EVER WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT VIOLATING HIS UNDER-  
TAKING TO SUPPORT IT WHICH IS THE HEART OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE,  
COOPER-v.-AARON, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401(1958); IN-RE:-NEELY,  
390 P.3d. 728, 2017 Wy. 25.

ANY JUDGE OR OTHER STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIAL WHO DOES NOT COMPLY FULLY WITH HIS OATH OF OFFICE REGARDING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES WARS AGAINST THAT CONSTITUTION AND ENGAGES IN A FORM OF TREASONOUS ACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE SUPERSEDING LAW OF THE LAND, AND IN THIS CASE IN VIOLATION ALSO OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH. IF THE JUDGES OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT DO NOT FULLY COMPLY WITH THE CONSTITUTION AND ENGAGES IN FRAUD AS YOU'VE DONE HERE, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242 AND 1001 CONCEALING THE MATERIAL FACT THAT YOU STRUCK THESE DOCUMENTS AND DENIED THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO COVER UP FRAUD AND PREVENT THE RESPONDENT FROM BEING REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THE PLEADINGS BECAUSE YOU KNEW GOOD AND WELL THAT HE FAILED TO TIMELY RESPOND IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND COULD NOT DEFEAT THE PRESENTED PLEADINGS WITHIN THIS CASE. YOUR ORDERS TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX AND DENY THE EXTENSION OF TIME AND THE RESPONDENT'S PLEADINGS ATTACHED TO THEM ARE VOID. THEY ARE WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND SUCH PERSONS WHO PRODUCED THEM ENGAGE IN ACTS OF TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. PROPONENTS ARE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES AND REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE III SECTIONS RELATED TO THIS OUTRAGEOUS FRAUD SINCE YOU CONSPIRED WITH FEDERAL JUDGES ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, AUERBOCK-v.-SAMUELS, 10 UTAH.2d. 152, 349 P.2d. 1112, 1114; ALLEGHANY-CORP.-v.-KIRBY, D.C.N.Y. 218 F.Supp. 164, 183; KEETON-PACKING-CO.-v.-STATE, 437 S.W. 20, 28; U.S.-v.-ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); SMITH-v.-CLARKE/-SMOOT/-RUSSELL,--F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-HARE, 820 F3d. 93 (4th.Cir.2016); DONATONI v.-DEPARTMENT-OF-HOMELAND-SECURITY,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 1755871; WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S.-v.-ALEDEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010).

BY GIVING THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT AND THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THE (34) PAGE

EXHIBIT DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017. DUE TO THE AIDS AND DEBAUCHERY THAT COMES FROM THIS PRACTICE AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THAT (34) PAGE DOCUMENT. THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN AND POWER WHOSE AUTHORITY OVER THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE THE "TERMS" OR "CONCEPTS" OF A "JUDGE" OR "ATTORNEY" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION HAS THE PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE WHICH INCLUDE THE HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO RESIDE WITHIN ALL GLOBAL AND NATIONAL BORDERS, HOME-BLDG.-&-LOAN-ASS'N-v.-BRAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT v.-BOARD-OF-SCHOOL-TRUSTEES-OF-MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); SIMON-v.-HUNGARY, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4402293(D.D.C.2017); BOLIVIA-REPUBLIC OF-VENEZUELA-v.-HELMERICH-&-PAYNE-INTERN.-DRILLING-CO., 137 S.Ct. 1312, 197 L.Ed.2d. 663, 85 U.S.L.W. 4221(U.S.2017); ZSCHER-NIG-v.-MILLER, 389 U.S. 429, 88 S.Ct. 664, 19 L.Ed.2d. 683(U.S. 1968); DOE-v.-FEDERAL-DEMOCRATIC-REPUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6 (D.D.C.2016); GUSTO-v.-UNITED-STATES, 523 U.S. 1011, 118 S.Ct. 1201 (MEM) 140 L.Ed.2d. 329(U.S.1998); U.S. v.-MILES, 122 F3d. 235(5th.Cir.1997); U.S.C.A. CONST. ART. 1, § 8 Cl. 3; 18 U.S.C.A. § 1951; UNITED-STATES-v.-HENDERSON, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6084637(S.D.Tex.2016). SINCE THE KING-KHAKLIFAH WAS NOT A PARTY TO ANY OF THESE ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS IN QUESTION DEALING WITH THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO MARRY AND YOUR COURTS HAD NO CONSENT, RES JUDICATA DO NOT ATTACH AND THE RULINGS ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION ESTABLISHING STRUCTURAL ERROR BECAUSE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA KNEW OF THE KING-KHALIFAH EXISTENCE BEFORE THOSE PROCEEDINGS OCCURRED, REED-v.-BIG-WATER-RESORT, LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN-RYAN-BUILDERS, INC.-v.-CRYTAL-RIDGE-DEVELOPEMENT, INC., 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625(4th.Cir.2015); WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA v.-HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017).

REFUSING TO LIVE BY YOUR OATH OF OFFICE PLACES ALL CONSPIRING PARTIES IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE IN EVERY CASE. VIOLATING YOUR OATH OF OFFICE IS NOT JUST CAUSE

FOR IMMEDIATE DISMISSAL AND REMOVAL FROM OFFICE, IT IS A FEDERAL CRIME ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT YOU CONSPIRED WITH FEDERAL JUDGES WITHIN FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO PRODUCE THE DOCUMENTS PLACED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT...FEDERAL LAW REGULATING OATH OF OFFICE BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IS DIVIDED INTO (4) PARTS ALONG WITH AN EXECUTIVE ORDER WHICH FURTHER DEFINES THE LAW FOR PURPOSES OF ENFORCEMENT, 5 U.S.C. § 3331, PROVIDES THE TEXT OF THE ACTUAL OATH OF OFFICE MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE REQUIRED TO TAKE BEFORE ASSUMING OFFICE. 5 U.S.C. § 3333 REQUIRES MEMBERS OF CONGRESS SIGN AN AFFIDAVIT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY HAVE TAKEN THE OATH OF OFFICE REQUIRED BY 5 U.S.C. § 3331 AND HAVE NOT OR WILL NOT VIOLATE THAT OATH OF OFFICE DURING THEIR TENURE OF OFFICE AS DEFINED BY THE 3rd. PART OF LAW, 5 U.S.C. § 7311 WHICH EXPLICITLY MAKES IT A FEDERAL CRIMINAL OFFENSE FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE WHICH THERE ARE SIMILAR PROVISIONS FOR YOU STATE JUDGES AND OFFICIALS RELATED TO RULE 502.1. SINCE YOU CONSPIRED WITH THESE FEDERAL JUDGES THESE FEDERAL PROVISIONS APPLY TO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES BY THE PINKERTON THEORY OF LIABILITY AND THE ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY DOCTRINE OF "THE HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL". FOR ANYONE EMPLOYED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, INCLUDING YOU S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES WHO CONSPIRED WITHIN FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS WITH THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE TO PRODUCE FRAUDULENT JUDGMENTS WITHIN THOSE FEDERAL COURTS FOR PURPOSES OF HAVING A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT WITHIN THIS STATE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI, TO ADVOCATE THE OVERTHROW OR VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IN THE MANNER THAT OCCURRED IN CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108. 18 U.S.C. § 1918 PROVIDES PENALTIES FOR VIOLATION OF "OATH OF OFFICE" DESCRIBED IN 5 U.S.C. § 7311 SINCE YOUR CONSPIRACY WAS DONE WITHIN FEDERAL JURISDICTION AS WELL TO PRODUCE RESPONSE IN CASE 2017-0002108. WHENEVER A JUDGE OR STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIAL ACTS WHERE HE OR SHE DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, THE JUDGE AND OR OFFICIAL ENGAGES IN AN ACT OR ACTS OF TREASON, ESPECIALLY AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, UNITED STATES v. WILL, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d. 392, 406(1980); COHEN v. VIRGINIA, 19 U.S. (6 WHEAT) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed. 257(1821).

YOU PEOPLE THINK THIS IS A GAME!!! YOU HAVE CONSPIRED

UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO ILLEGALLY SEIZE A FOREIGN OFFICIAL AND TO CONCEAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE SUBJECTING YOU TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND IT DOESN'T MATTER IF THIS WAS YOUR ORIGINAL INTENT, CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS. AS ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, I WANT THAT DNA TESTED AND THE FORM 24 EVIDENCE OBTAINED IMMEDIATELY!!!, UNITED-STATES-V.-BURN, 2016 WL 3910273; DENNIS-V.-SECRETARY-PENNSYLVANIA-DEPARTMENT OF-CORRECTIONS,--F3d.--, 2016 WL 4440925(3rd.Cir.2016); WEARRY V.-GAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016); U.S.-V. LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); U.S.-V.-BANK OF-AMERICA, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 2777397(N.C.2014); RULE 247 WHERE THE DOCUMENT(S) ESTABLISH A FINAL ORDER IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DUE TO VOIDING OF JURISDICTION IN THAT CASE. A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT MEANS A FOREIGN STATE IRRESPECTIVE OF RECOGNITION BY THE UNITED STATES. NOTICE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT IS NOT A CONDITION PRECEDENT TO EFFECTIVE NOTIFICATION OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN OFFICIAL TO THE UNITED STATES, UNITED-STATES-V.-DIZDAR, CA2 (N.Y.) 1978, 581 F2d. 1031; WEEPE-V.-UNITED-STATES,--U.S.-106 S.Ct. 179, 88 L.Ed.2d. 148(1985).

THE S.C. SUPREME COURT STRUCK THESE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT BECAUSE THE COURT KNEW THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL COULD NOT RESPOND TO PROVE HE TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND JUDGE JEAN TOAL WHOM WE WERE TOLD IS STILL PRESENTLY SITTING WITHIN THIS COURT REVIEWED THE WHOLE CASE UNDER 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE SHE CONSPIRED TO SIT ON THAT CASE TO AVOID SUIT AND HAD TO RECUSE. THEREUPON, SHE CONSPIRED TO PRODUCE A FRAUDULENT ORDER THAT WAS MADE VOID FOR THAT FRAUD IN THE RICHLAND COURT. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN SCHEVER-V.-RHOADES, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683(1974) ADJUDICATED THAT "WHEN A STATE OFFICIAL ACTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW IN A MANNER VIOLATIVE OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, HE COMES INTO CONFLICT WITH THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THAT CONSTITUTION, AND HE IS IN THAT CASE STRIPPED OF HIS OFFICIAL OR REPRESENTATIVE CHARACTER AND IS SUBJECT IN HIS PERSON TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS INDIVIDUAL CONDUCT. THE STATE HAS NO POWER TO IMPART TO HIM ANY IMMUNITY FROM RESPONSIBILITY TO THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN THIS CASE, TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY

OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES. BY LAW A JUDGE IS A STATE OFFICER AND OR FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THE JUDGE THEN ACTS NOT AS A JUDGE BUT AS A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL.

UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO THE STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, SUCH AS DUE TO YOUR FRAUD WHICH IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS, ITS JUDGMENTS AND OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO A REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION OF THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECUTING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED IN LAW, AS TRESPASSERS", ELLIOTT v. PIERSOLE, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340(1828).

WHEN JUDGES ACT WHEN THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, OR THEY ENFORCE A VOID ORDER OR ACT THEY BECOME TRESPASSERS OF THE LAW, AND ARE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF TREASON SET AGAINST THEIR OATHS AND THE CONSTITUTION. THE COURT IN YATES v. VILLAGE OF HOFFMAN-ESTATES, ILLINOIS, 209 F.Supp. 757(N.D.Ill.1962) HELD THAT "NOT EVERY ACTION BY A JUDGE IS IN EXERCISE OF THEIR SPECIFIC FUNCTION...IT IS NOT A JUDICIAL FUNCTION FOR THEM TO COMMIT AN INTERNATIONAL TORT EVEN THOUGH THAT TORT OCCURS IN THE COURT-HOUSE". WHEN A JUDGE ACTS AS A TRESPASSER OF THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, WHEN THAT JUDGE DOES NOT FOLLOW THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, THE JUDGE IS SUBJECT TO DISMISSAL, CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND LOSES SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND THE JUDGES ORDERS ARE VOID AND ARE OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT. ALL ACTS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID, WHERE IN CONFLICT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. NO MAN SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN QUESTION, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, NOR SHALL HE BE ADJUDICATED OF OR TRIED FOR AN OFFENSE BY AN EX POST FACTO LAW WHERE EX POST FACTO LAW SHALL BE PASSED AND ALL SAID RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE INVIOATE AND WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, R.A.M. OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. v. W.C.I. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761.

CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD IS BREACH OF LEGAL OR EQUITABLE DUTY WHICH, IRRESPECTIVE OF MORAL GUILT, IS DECLARED BY LAW TO BE FRAUDULENT BECAUSE ITS TENDENCY TO DECEIVE OTHERS OR VIOLATE CONFIDENCE. THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS INCLUDING ALL DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BY THE RESPONDENT AND THE SUBSEQUENT ORDERS PROCURED FROM THEM. IT FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS, JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD TO BE ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING" WHICH IN THIS CASE IS JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE AND CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, DAVES-v.-LAWYERS-SUR.-CORR., 459 S.W.2d. 655(1970); CORDIAL-v.-ERNST-&-YOUNG, 199 W.Va. 119, 483 S.E.2d. 248(1996); NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(1875); U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(1871); BOARD-OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION OPERATING-ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. v. FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR ON ISSUES SUCH AS THOSE BEING ARGUED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT, THIS CASE, INCLUDING THE FRAUD TAINING THE COURT'S ORDERS AND THE RESPONDENT'S PLEADING. IF A RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, STATUTE OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW, WHICH INCLUDE THIS FRAUD YOU PULLED. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE ON ALL ISSUES AND CLAIMS MADE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS "VOID" AS IF THERE WERE NO LAW DETERMINED AT ALL, BEING STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE...IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN DONE...SINCE THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY, CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE, BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON (EMPHASIS ADDED), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION, AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS

PERFORMED UNDER IT...A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. INDEED INSOFAR AS A DETERMINATION OR ACT RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE CONSTITUTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY, NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR ORDERS PRODUCED FROM IT AND NO COURT IS BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, RULES, STATUTES AND PRACTICES THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE "NULL" AND "VOID", MARBURY-V.-MADISON, 5th. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; JOHNSON-V.-UNITED-STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY-V.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEFT-OUTDOORS-LLC. V.-CONSOLIDATED-CITY-OF-INDIANAPOLIS\*\*\*, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL-V.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir. MICH.); PEOPLE-V.-SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-V.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th. Cir. 2016).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN BINDING UPON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT DUE TO THEIR ATTORNEYS ALSO BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW TO WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH , JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OFFICIALLY INVOKES AND EXERCISES BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT THROUGH HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT VIA THIS FRAUD PERPETRATED BEFORE THIS COURT CANNOT DIMINISH THE RIGHTS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, OR HIS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, ESTABLISHED BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" AND DEFAULT WHICH IS LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND DUE PROCESS LAW. IT IS ESTABLISHED AS BOTH A "LIBERTY" AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH AND A "PRIVILEGE" BY WHAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 BY THEIR FRAUD AND FAILURE TO TIMELY RESPOND AND DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION. NO STATE SHALL CONVERT A LIBERTY, IN THIS CASE PROTECTED UNDER "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", INTO A PRIVILEGE, LICENSE IT, AND EXCHANGE A FEE THEREFOR, MURDOCK-V.-PENNSYLVANIA, 319 U.S. 105 (U.S.1943).

A LAW CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER WHOM THE KING-KHALIFAH IS. HIS AUTHORITY SUPERSEDES YOUR GLOBAL LAWS AND CONSTITUTIONS, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE DEFAULT AND CONTRACT. YOU HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THIS DOCUMENT, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WOULD BE TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, ARE BOUND BY THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE, OR GIVE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND DUE PROCESS PROTECTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2. YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR ALSO BREACH OF CONTRACT, COHEN-v. VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BY THEIR ACTIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THIS SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO AN AMERICAN AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH, HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL THOSE WHOM HE APPOINTS UNDER HIS GOVERNMENT CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT IMPUNITY. YET, THE RIGHTS ARE STILL A SOVEREIGN LIBERTY PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AS WELL, HURTADO-v.-CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 516(U.S.1884); MILLER v.-U.S., 230 F2d. 486, 489(5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLES-WORTH-v. BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262 (U.S.1963); SHERER-v.-CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA-v.-ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB v.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313(U.S.1958); U.S.-v.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570(U.S.1968); BRAY-v.-ALEXANDRIA-WOMEN'S-HEALTH-CLINIC, 506 U.S. 263, 113 S.Ct. 753, 169 A.L.R. Fed. 649(U.S.1993); WILLS-v.-TOWN-OF-MARSHALL, N.C. 426 F3d. 251(4th.Cir.2005); ZIVOTOFSKY-EX-REL-ZIVOTOFSKY-v.-KERRY, 135 S.Ct. 2076, 192 L.Ed.2d. 83, 83 U.S.L.W. 4391(U.S.2015). IT IS SO ORDERED.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,  
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND  
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE  
(4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED  
GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Todd Rowland", written in a cursive style and slanted upwards to the right.

JUDGE BEATTY WE MOTION FOR YOUR RECUSAL DUE TO THE FRAUD YOU  
PERPETRATED RELATED ~~TO~~ THE david duren CASE UNDER 2017-000605.  
YOUR PRESENCE CREATES A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS THE COURT'S  
JURISDICTION. I ALSO MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO BE IN  
COMPLIANCE TO ANY ORDER SUBMITTED BY THIS COURT ONCE THE COURT  
RULES ON THE NOW SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS. I MOTION FOR A RESET.

JANUARY 9, 2018