

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Corey L. Williams, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2017-002491

Lower Court Case No. 2013CP0402353

ORDER

In the explanation required by Rule 243(c) of South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), petitioner has failed to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the lower court was improper. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

As to petitioner's reference to *Hudson v. Hudson*,¹ this Court declines to dismiss this case without prejudice since the public case index for Anderson County fails to reflect that a motion under Rule 59 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure is pending before the circuit court in the above case.²



FOR THE COURT C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
January 17, 2018

cc: Lindsey Ann McCallister, Esquire
Mr. Corey L. Williams, 259070

¹ 290 S.C. 215, 349 S.E.2d 341 (1986).

² If it is ultimately determined that a Rule 59 motion has been timely filed with the circuit court, petitioner may seek appellate review from the order resolving that motion.