

RECEIVED

FEB 01 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Exhibit

nebulous / Frivolous - plot! #2

≡

CERTIFICATE of SERVICE

WE, JAHJAH Al mahdi et al, do hereby certify, that we have mailed and OR SERVED A COPY of an Affidavit of Facts giving judicial notice filing objections to the order of protection, also imposing sanctions, on the Richard Administrative JUDGE, JUDGE LEE and all involved parties by US mail postage prepaid on FEBRUARY 9, 2016.

Anthony Cook
Anthony Cook

FEBRUARY 9, 2016

Respectfully,
JAHJAH Al mahdi

State of South Carolina
County of Richland
US District Court
et al.,

Anthony Cook;
Jahjah Al Mahali
et al.,
plaintiffs

vs.

Col Bouch; SCDC;
The United States;
State of South Carolina
et al.,
defendants

2018

Court of Common Pleas
5th Judicial Circuit
South Carolina
et al.

CASES 2013-CP-400-
0084; 8:14-CV-3555-
RBH-JDA et al.,

affidavit of facts
giving judicial
notice, filing
objections to the
order of protection,
also imposing
sanctions

The plaintiffs in case 2013-CA-000-0084 object to the order of protection being signed for the following reasons:

(1) On its face the order can appear harmless. But when taken with the letter attached to it dated February 3, 2016 it produces attempted fraud and a deception of court.

The letter states he wants to be protected from "trial" (emphasis added). They are in default and voided of jurisdiction other than post proceedings in case "0084". Thus,

if the order is signed and taken with the letter. It can be potentially construed as Judge Lee making a judicial determination that proceedings other than post proceedings can be had in case "0084". For this reason, I object.

(2) Secondly, for what I understand. The term for Administrative Judge is from January to December, then another judge is appointed. If this is true then the order gives way for it to be signed or granted by this year's
4088

PRESENTED Adm. JUDGE, and only
JUDGE LEE AS JUSTICE can Adjudi-
cate only matter in this case.

(3) FURTHER, the ORDER is coupled
with the affairs of Attorney MORGAN'S
other clients. DUE to the excep-
tional CIRCUMSTANCES in CASE "0084".
Any ORDER ADDRESSING CASE 0084
must be filed SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSING
that CASE ONLY. This is the FRAUD.
By adding his other clients it
GIVES AN APPEARANCE of being
HARMLESS. THESE CASES ARE NOT
in default. CASE 0084 IS. All

REQUEST RELATED TO CASE "0084"
MUST BE DONE INDEPENDENTLY
TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER EFFORTS
AT FRAUD BY THE DEFENDANTS.

(C) FURTHER, IF THE TERM FOR ADM.
JUDGE FOR 2015 IS EXPIRED, EVEN
IF IT IS NOT, FROM DECEMBER 1, 2015
ANY REFERENCE TO JUDGE LEE IN
CONNECTION TO THE KING-KHALIFAH
MUST LIST HER AS THE KING'S TRUSTEE
ALSO. ANY OTHER REFERENCE TO
HER WITHOUT THIS TITLE ADDED WILL VOID
ANY ACT DONE. IT IS SO ORDERED
DUE TO THIS ATTEMPT TO PUR-

possibly lump the King's case with
your cases for which you can have
a trial as an act of fraud and
deception. Where if you would
have obtained it, coupled with your
letter you would have construed
it as a legal determination claim-
ing Judge Lee by signing it is ad-
mitting that a trial can be had
in case 0084. Further sanctions
are imposed. We object to all of
this.

I bring your attention to
the (31) page document dated
October 7, 2015 voiding the Rich-

land courts jurisdiction, pages 26-
29. The monetary relief is place
increased to \$200 millions for
JAHATAH. \$100 millions for Sutcliffe
and Cook. \$60 millions for Quinlan
and Aerialle, 400K for other
parties and pg 29, the 1 million
per year amount is increased to
\$50 millions until debt is paid piece
by pieces. HAVE A piece vacation.
IT IS ORDERED by decree of chief
Justice JAHATAH.

Anthony Cook
Anthony Cech
FEBRUARY 8, 2016

Respectfully
JAHATAH Al Mahdi


8080c3