

RECEIVED

FEB 01 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Exhibit

nebulous / Frivolous - not! #13

≡

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM SUMTER COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGE NEWMAN, PRESIDING JUDGE ET. AL.,

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-000605 ET. AL.,

david duren ET. AL.,

APPELLANT(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,

RESPONDENT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, david duren ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO INTERVENE PURSUANT TO RULE 428; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION TO FORCE STATE APPOINTED LEGAL COUNSEL ON david duren AND TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE PCR COURT AND THE TRIAL COURT'S JURISDICTION RELATED TO OTHER MATTERS; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER DENYING THE MOTION TO RELIEVE STATE APPOINTED LEGAL COUNSEL DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION TO STAY IN THE ALTERNATE AND MOTION TO MOTION

THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL,
AND THE STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY, BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID,
BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JANUARY 24, 2018.

RESPECTFULLY,

david duren

david duren


JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND

ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)

GLOBAL THRONES

Joseph Rowland

JANUARY 24, 2018



TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "ROWLAND # 1". THIS IS THE [15] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED JANUARY 9, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108.

(2) EXHIBIT, "ROWLAND # 2". THIS IS THE [15] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED DECEMBER 25, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108.

(3) EXHIBIT, "ROWLAND # 3". THIS IS THE [15] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED DECEMBER 27, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108.

(4) EXHIBIT, "ROWLAND # 4". THIS IS THE [34] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108.

(5) EXHIBIT, "ROWLAND # 5". THIS IS THE [70] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE THEOCRATIC STATE BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN, THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, WITH THE CONSENT OF david duren WHICH WILL BE INDICATED BY HIS SIGNATURE ON THIS DOCUMENT, MOTIONS TO INTERVENE PURSUANT TO RULE 428 AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES CHALLENGE THE JURISDICTION OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO ACCEPT ANY BRIEF FROM THE STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY AND FORCE THIS COMPROMISED ATTORNEY UPON david duren AGAINST HIS WILL WHEN HE ALREADY HAS LEGAL COUNSEL ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT APPOINTED. THIS CORRUPT STATE ATTORNEY FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENGAGING IN FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND DENY duren's REVIEW OF GENUINE JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES BEFORE THIS COURT CONSPIRING

UNDER COLOR OF LAW WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES ON BEHALF OF THE FIDUCIARY AND BENEFICIARY OBJECTS. ALL CLAIMS, JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES AND DEFENSES ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS THAT ARE ALSO FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 ARE NOW BEING ARGUED IN CASE 2017-000605 FOR THE PURPOSE OF CHALLENGING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION TO KEEP THIS COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY ASSIGNED TO THE duren APPEAL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WITH THE CONSENT OF duren AND BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN OBJECTS. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES MOTION TO INTERVENE AND I, ON BEHALF OF duren AND THE CROWN SUBMIT SUBSEQUENT MOTION TO RELIEVE STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL IN CASE 2017-000605 DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND ATTORNEY IN QUESTION, THAT WERE NOT CONSIDERED AT THE TIME OF THE FIRST SUBMITTED MOTION. YOU HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

DUE TO THE PLEADINGS FILED UNDER CASE 2017-0002108. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS COMPROMISED duren's STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY WHO IS CONSPIRING WITH THEM IN ACTS OF FRAUD TO PRODUCE THIS DOCUMENTS UNDER CASE 2017-000605 RENDERING THEM INADMISSIBLE, UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES BY COMMAND OF THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH THE CONSENT OF duren, MOTIONS TO INTERVENE DUE TO david duren BEING OF AFRICAN DESCENT AND OF THE CHRISTIAN FAITH BEING A BENEFICIARY OF THE "TRUST" AND A MEMBER OF THE HOLY COMMONWEALTH OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE CROWN.

~~THE CONSPIRING JUDGES OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ARE MAD,~~ THEY ARE ANGRY ESSENTIALLY THROWING A TANTRUM DUE TO THE PLEADINGS FILED UNDER CASE 2017-0002108. THUS, IN RETALIATION, IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE PETITION TO REMOVE AND THEIR OATH OF OFFICE THEY CREATED A STRUCTURAL ERROR WHERE duren IS DENIED COUNSEL OF CHOICE, AND IN THIS INSTANCE BY THE SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN PROTECTED BY CONTRACT. THIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT IS ABSOLUTE DUE TO THEY

PLACING THE KING-KHALIFAH, BY FORCING THIS STATE COUNSEL ON
duren, IN BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY TO PROTECT HIS HOLY COM-
MONWEALTH WHEN SUCH UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTIONS OCCUR. BY THIS
UNJUST ACTION AND OTHER FRAUD GOING ON RELATED TO THESE CASES.
AS ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES I MOTION TO INTER-
VENE TO ADDRESS AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, SPIRES-V.-SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017
WL 4174774(DSC.2017); TRUSTEES-OF-DARTHMOUTH-COLLEGE-V.-WOODWARD,
17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP-V.-DUDENHOEFFER,
132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014);
WEAVER-V.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85
U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017).

BY KEEPING THIS COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY
ON david duren THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IS CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR
OF LAW TO WAIVE duren's JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES WHICH CANNOT BE
WAIVED EVEN WITH HIS CONSENT IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT
WORKING WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AS THEY CONSPIRED TO
DO IN CASE 2017-0002108. BY CONSENT OF duren AND BY COMMAND
OF THE CROWN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES OBJECTS.
SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CANNOT BE WAIVED AND CAN BE RAISED
FOR THE FIRST TIME BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AS IS ARGUED
IN CASE 2017-0002108. ESSENTIALLY THE SAME JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
ARGUED IN CASE 2017-0002108 ARE BEING ARGUED IN CASE 2017-000605,
EX-PARTE-CANNON, 685 S.E.2d. 814, 820(S.C.App.2009); McCANN
V.-BRIGHTHARP, 399 S.C. 240, 730 S.E.2d. 916(S.C.App.2012);
SOUTH-CAROLINA-DEPARTMENT-OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES-V.-TRAN, 418 S.C.
308, 792 S.E.2d. 254(S.C.App.2016); ARNAL-V.-FRASER, 371 S.C.
512, 641 S.E.2d. 419(S.C.2009).

WHAT OCCURRED HERE IS THAT IT WAS ARGUED UNDER CASE 2017-
~~0002108 THAT DUE TO SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL IT DIVESTED JURISDIC-~~
TION OF THE INVOLVED COURTS UNTIL THE MATTER WAS HEARD BEFORE
THE 4th. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. SO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT
JUDGES, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW, TO AID IN CONCEALING
THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL ACTS THAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2017-0002108
ARE MOVING FORWARD WITH CASE 2017-000605 TO NEGATE THIS CLAIM
MADE UNDER CASE 2017-0002108. OBJECTION IS MADE AND THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WITH THE CONSENT OF duren AND BY

COMMAND OF THE CROWN MOTIONS TO RELIEVE STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL IN CASE 2017-000605 AND MOTION THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT NOW ACKNOWLEDGE BY CONSENT OF duren AND COMMAND OF THE CROWN. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES IS NOW ACTING AS LEGAL COUNSEL IN CASE 2017-000605. BY SUCH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES NOT ONLY MOTION TO BE SUBSTITUTED AS COUNSEL, BUT ALSO MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE BOTH CASES 2017-0002108 AND 2017-000605. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CANNOT OBTAIN AND OR MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATION CORRUPTED BY FRAUD WHICH WOULD BE EGREGIOUSLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL, MILLER-v.-COLUMBIA-FOREST, INC., S.E.2d., 2014 WL 5390504(S.C.App.2014); TILLMAN-v.-TILLMAN-EX-REL-ESTATE OF-TILLMAN, S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8508106; BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC-v.-AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); MR.T.-v.-MRS.T. 378 S.C. 127, 662 S.E.2d. 413(S.C.2008); 24 SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624 (4th.Cir.2016); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); U.S.-v.-\$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240.

FURTHER PROOF THAT STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL IS COMPROMISED IS THAT SHE TELLS duren THAT EVEN THOUGH THE PETITION TO REMOVE IS FILED THAT IT TAKES AN ORDER FROM THE DISTRICT COURT TO REMOVE THE CASE BEFORE IT CAN BE CONSIDERED REMOVED. OF COURSE WE KNOW THIS IS A LIE. THE LAW IS CLEAR ON THIS ISSUE. ONCE THE PETITION TO REMOVE IS FILED WITH THE COURTS THE CASE IS AUTOMATICALLY REMOVED EVEN WITHOUT THE FEDERAL COURT ISSUING AN ORDER STATING THAT IT IS REMOVED. THE STATE COURT CANNOT ISSUE SUCH AN ORDER BECAUSE THEIR JURISDICTION IS DIVESTED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. THE JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW WITH THE COMPROMISED ATTORNEY AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WITH CONSENT OF DUREN AND BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN, MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL OF JUDGES BEATTY, TOAL AND HEARNS FROM OFF OF THIS CASE. THEY ARE DEFENDANTS IN RELATED LEGAL ACTION PENDING BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURTS. THEIR PRESENCE CREATES A STRUCTURAL ERROR WHERE THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. THEY MUST RECUSE, WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.CT. 1899, 195 L.Ed. 2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); IN-RE:-SYNTAX-BRILLIAN CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016); CROUCH-CONST.-CO.-INC. v.-CAUSEY, 405 S.C. 155, 747 S.E.2d. 482(S.C.2013); UNITED-STATES

v.-QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); DEUTSCHE-BANK
NAT.-TRUST-CO.-v.-LOVETT, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 3135377(DSC.2012);
ACKERMANN-v.-EXXON-MOBIL-CORP., 734 F3d. 237 CA4 (Md.2013);
LOVETT-v.-DEUTSCHE-BANK-NAT.-TRUST-CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL
841679(DSC.2013).

BY THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED THE ATTORNEY GENERAL WITH
CONSENT FROM duren AND BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN MOTION TO CONSOLI-
DATE BOTH CASES 2017-0002108 AND 2017-000605 AND TO RELIEVE
STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL WHO SOLD HER SOUL TO THE DEVIL BY ENGA-
GING IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD. THE SAME JURISDICTIONAL AND
CLASS ACTION ISSUES ARGUED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)
THRONES IN CASE 2017-0002108 ARE BEING ARGUED BY duren IN CASE
2017-000605 AND CANNOT BE WAIVED EVEN WITH CONSENT, WHICH NO
SUCH CONSENT IS GIVEN. BY YOUR FRAUD AND MACHINATION YOU HAVE
CLEARLY AGAIN DEMONSTRATED THAT WE MEET THE CRITERION ESTABLISHED
UNDER ROSS-v.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(U.S.2016) SHOWING THAT BY
MACHINATION DONE BY YOU THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE STATE REMEDIES
AND THERE IS NO NEED FOR EXHAUSTION, STATE-v.-HENDERSON, 205
KAN. 231, 468 P.2d. 136(1970); PEOPLE-v.-BLALOCK, 197 COLO.
320, 592 P.2d. 406(1979); STRICKLAND-v.-WASHINGTON, 466 U.S.
668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d. 674(1984); WILLIAMS-v.-TAYLOR,
529 U.S. 362, 391, 120 S.Ct. 1495, 146 L.Ed.2d. 389(2000).

THE S.C. SUPREME COURT HAS ILLEGALLY FORCED THE APPELLANT,
david duren, TO COMMIT A CRIME IN THE FORM OF BREACH OF CONTRACT
AND FORCED THE KING-KHALIFAH TO VIOLATE HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY IN
VIOLATION OF FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW BY PLACING
STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL ON duren WHEN THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH
BY DEFAULT, CONTRACT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL IS LEGALLY duren's
ATTORNEY (10) THOUSAND YEARS VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION BEFORE
~~THIS NATION WAS FORMED AND YOU VIOLATED duren's CONSTITUTIONAL~~
RIGHTS BY USE OF EX POST FACTO LAW, ERIE-R.-CO.-v.-THOMPKINS,
304 U.S. 64 (U.S.1938); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992);
BEUGH-v.-U.S., 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W.
4372(2013); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014).

STRUCTURAL ERROR NOW EXIST IN THIS CASE WHICH RENDERS
YOUR JURISDICTION VOID, BEING UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND AN EGREGIUOS

VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. THE STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY IS NOT LEGAL COUNSEL FOR david duren. THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH IS BY DEFAULT AND CONTRACT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, TO WHICH THE STATE IS PARTY TO THAT DEFAULT, BEFORE ANY SUCH ATTORNEY WAS APPOINTED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE OR UNMAKE THE CONTRACT. THIS ATTORNEY RESPONSIBILITY WAS PAST TO ME BY WRIT OF COMMISSION AS ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES AS IS FILED WITHIN CASE 2017-0002108. THE PREJUDICE CREATED IN THE STATE COURT DOING THIS IS THE FRAUD THAT OCCURRED IN THESE CASES TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT THE COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY IS CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW WITH THIS COURT AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO WAIVE AND OR CREATE A PROCEDURAL DEFECT FOR EXHAUSTION PURPOSES duren's JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES WHICH ARE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES ARGUED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES UNDER CASE 2017-0002108 IN ACTS OF FRAUD CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS. THIS DEMONSTRATES OVERWHELMING PREJUDICE. WHEN A DEFENDANT IS DENIED THE RIGHT TO SELECT HIS OR HER OWN ATTORNEY, THE PRECISE EFFECT OF THIS EGREGIOUS CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION CANNOT BE ASCERTAINED , AND BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT WILL, AS A RESULT, FIND IT ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO SHOW THAT THE ERROR WAS HARMLESS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, THE ERROR IS DEEMED STRUCTURAL NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE, WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA-v. HORN,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017); POKE-v. STATE,--S.W.3d.--, 2017 WL 5321216.

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL DID THIS WITH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION TO DEPRIVE duren OF FULL REVIEW IN FUTURE COURTS JURISDICTION SO THEY COULD CREATE A PROCEDURAL DEFECT AND CLAIM THAT THE LEGAL ISSUES WERE NOT EXHAUSTED AT THE STATE LEVEL, A GOOD OLE BOY TRICK AND STUNT TO PREVENT REVIEW FOR FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS WHEN JURISDICTIONAL CLAIMS SUCH AS THESE CANNOT BE WAIVED AND COULD BE RAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WITH CONSENT OF duren AND BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN OBJECTS . JUDICIAL DETERMINATION CANNOT BE OBTAINED BY FRAUD. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", ELLIOTT-v.-PIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26

U.S. 328, 340(U.S.1828); R.A.M.-OF-SOUTH-FLORIDA, INC.-v.-W.C.I., COMMUNICATORS-INC., 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761; NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(U.S.1871); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

ACTS OF CONGRESS OR STATE LEGISLATORS OR THE COURTS, THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW AND ARE VOID WHICH INCLUDE YOUR JURISDICTION. THE CONSTITUTION IS SUPREME TO ANY ACT OF THE COURTS OR LEGISLATOR. THE BRIEF OF THAT COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY WAS OVERDUE OVER (60) DAYS. SHE DIDN'T SEEK AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT IT. NOR DID THE COURT ON ITS OWN EXTEND THE TIME FOR ITS SUBMISSION. THUS, IT IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED. THEN WHAT THE HECK IS THIS CORRUPT STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY DOING SUBMITTING IT NOW IF WHAT WE SAY ISN'T TRUE? THIS IS FRAUD. IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CLAIMS AND CAUSES OF ACTION MADE. IT IS SO ORDERED EVANCHO-v.-PINE-RICHLAND-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d. 267 (W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT I, BY AND THROUGH THE APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, AM OFFICIALLY INVOKING AND EXERCISING ALL-SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN GIVEN TO ME BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, MEMBERS OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT. ALL ORDERS AND OR JUDGMENTS DONE IN CASE 2017-000605 ARE VACATED AND THIS CASE IS ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND WHICH RESTRICT YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING, EXCEPT GRANT ALL RELIEF DEMANDED BY THE CROWN AND ITS ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES. IT IS SO ORDERED.



DUE TO THE STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY INFORMING duren ON THE PHONE THAT SHE SUBMITTED THAT BRIEF, THE ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES GIVE THE COURT AND ALL CORRUPT PARTIES INVOLVED JUDICIAL NOTICE. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF NOT JUST YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE, BUT ALSO THE "CONTRACT", THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ALLOWING ME TO INTERVENE AS ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES ALSO PURSUANT TO RULE 428. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CLAIMS AND CAUSES MADE. RETURN THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN AND NULLIFY ALL SAME SEX MARRIAGE WITHIN THIS STATE. ORDER THE RELEASE OF THE KING-KHALIFAH, HIS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL OTHER PARTIES REQUESTED UNDER CASE 2017-0002108. WE WANT JUDGES BEATTY, TOAL AND HEARNS RECUSED FROM HANDLING ANY MATTER RELATED TO THESE CASES. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT HAS THE INHERENT DUTY TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENTS PROCURED AS A RESULT OF FRAUD. I CHALLENGE YOUR JURISDICTION. I CHALLENGE THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF YOUR ACTIONS. I CHALLENGE THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF YOUR ORDERS TO INCLUDE HAVING THIS COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY OVER THIS CASE. YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY FORCED BREACH OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S FIDUCIARY DUTY PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AND DUE PROCESS LAW. THUS, YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE AND ALL ACTS OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, ROBINSON-v.-ESTATE-OF-HARRIS, 389 S.C. 360, 698 S.E.2d 801(S.C.App.2010); BENNETT-v.-CARTER, 807 S.E.2d. 197(S.C.2017); PROTESTANT-EPISCOPAL-CHURCH-IN-THE-DIOCESE-OF-S.C.-v.-EPISCOPAL CHURCH, 421 S.C. 211, 806 S.E.2d. 82(S.C.App.2017); CALDWELL v.-WIKQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); SPRINGOB v.-UNIVERSITY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384 (S.C.2014); CHRISTIANSON-v.-M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); WIDENHOUSE-v.-COLSON, 405 S.C. 55, 747 S.E.2d. 188(S.C.2013).

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL MUST RESPOND, NOT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AIDING HIM IN CONCEALING FRAUD OR THIS COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY AIDING IN THE CONCEALING OF SUCH BY PLACING FORTH A BRIEF SHE IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED IN DOING SO

CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS RENDERING VOID AND ILLEGAL THESE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS MUST RESPOND AND DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION DONE BY AFFIDAVIT(S) IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, TITLES AND IMMUNITIES STAND. IN SUCH, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT NOR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL CONSPIRING TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD OR ESTABLISH A PROCEDURAL EXHAUSTION CLAIM IN FUTURE COURTS AND CIRCUMVENT RULING WITHIN THIS COURT ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, NOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OR THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED, TO PRACTICE LAW (ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES OR IN HIS CASE LAWGIVER, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR), OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY-KING-KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OR ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OR ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SCHWARTZ-V.-BOARD-OF-EXAMS-OF-THE-STATE-OF-N.M. 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACEIT-V.-SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA-BOARD-OF-MEDICINE-V.-ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE-V.-ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS-V.-VIRGINIA-BD.-OF-BAR-EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260 (E.D.Va.2011); PEREZ-V.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA,-INC. F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

THE KING-KHALIFAH, FULFILLED HIS DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES . HE GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE

2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE HE AND ANTHONY COOK WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. THEREUPON, THAT CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN PENDING PARALLEL ACTIONS. THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS IN THIS INSTANCE VIA THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT CONNECTIONS, TO PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF PROPHET, KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY AND THE COURTS NOR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL CANNOT IMPAIR THE KING-KHALIFAH ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, McBURNNEY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S. 2013); HENRY v. VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); SCHOENFELD v. SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273(2nd.Cir.2016).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF A RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF THE LAW AND OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" PROCEEDINGS. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO ACT OR DETERMINATION MADE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR ACT AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, ~~THOUGH HAVING~~ THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTS, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE ALLOWING THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO FILE SUBSEQUENT PLEADING LIKE A MOTION TO DISMISS OR SOME OTHER STUPID DOCUMENT, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY, WHICH INCLUDE THE BRIEF THE STATE ATTORNEY SUBMITTED CORRUPTED BY FRAUD, DATES FROM THE DATE OF ITS ENACTMENT AND OR WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE...IN LEGAL CONTEM-

PLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE...SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (WE ARE NOT REQUIRED TO OBEY ITS ORDERS, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL CANNOT SEEK TO DISMISS THE CASE, THE STATE ATTORNEY CANNOT SUBMIT BRIEF TO IT.), CONFERS NO RIGHTS (THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL DO NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO SEEK TO DISMISS, THE JUDGES HAVE NO RIGHT TO HAVE SUCH ORDERS OR ACTS OBEYED, THE STATE ATTORNEY HAS NO RIGHT TO FILE BRIEF), CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL, ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY), BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ("JURISDICTION-OR ATTORNEY GENERAL-OR ATTORNEY POWER OR AUTHORITY") ON "ANY PERSON" (EMPHASIS ADDED), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE IF YOU LET THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL SUBMIT SUBSEQUENT PLEADINGS IN THESE CASES WHICH HAVE NOW BECOME CORRUPTED BY FRAUD, WHICH INCLUDE THE STATE ATTORNEY SUBMITTING BRIEF), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (THIS INCLUDE SUBMITTING MOTIONS TO DISMISS, FILING BRIEFS EXCEPT GRANTING RELIEF FOR FORFEITURE, AS WELL AS MAINTAINING THE GENTRY HOLDINGS)...A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR ACT CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE ANY EXISTING LAW (OUR RIGHTS BEFORE THE FRAUD OCCURRED MUST STAND ~~IN~~ IN THIS CASE. THE LAW BEFORE STATE v. GENTRY MUST STAND). INDEED INSOFAR AS A STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR ACT THAT RUNS COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE, MCBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY, NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, RULES (LIKE BEING IN COMPLIANCE TO ORDERS PROCURED BY FRAUD), STATUTES, AND PRACTICES (LIKE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND JUDGES OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WITH THE STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS), WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE "NULL" AND "VOID", MARBURY-V.-MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES-V.-UNITED-STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON-V.-ARXONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET-V.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); JOHNSON

v.-UNITED-STATES,--S.Ct.--, 2015--WL--2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY
v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063
(U.S.2016); GEFT-OUTDOORS-LLC,--v.-CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANA-
-POLIS***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL-v.-SNYDER,
821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6TH.CIR.MICH.); PEOPLE-v.-SOLO, N.E.3d.,
2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE
v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. -624(4th.Cir.2016).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW TO WHICH THE ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OFFICIALLY INVOKES AND EXERCISES BEFORE THIS COURT. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT OR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL CANNOT DIMINISH THE RIGHTS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT WHICH IS LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND DUE PROCESS LAW. IT IS ESTABLISHED AS BOTH A "LIBERTY" AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH UNDER INTERNATIONAL AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND AS A "PRIVILEGE" BY WHAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT BY THEIR FRAUD. NO STATE CAN CONVERT A LIBERTY IN THIS CASE PROTECTED UNDER "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", INTO A PRIVILEGE, LICENSE IT, AND EXCHANGE A FEE THEREFOR, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER BEING INVOKED, MURDOCK-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 319 U.S. 105 (U.S.1943).

YOUR LAWS CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND THE VOIDING OF JURISDICTION IS. YOU HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THIS AND THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THESE CASES, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WOULD BE TREASON AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, ARE BOUND TO THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE, OR GIVE A

JUDICIAL DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED THEREBY YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIP-PING-YOU-OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMISSAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT. PERHAPS THAT RACIST DAVID DUKES DEMON DOG KU KLUX KLANSMAN DONALD DUCK DUNCE TRUMP WILL WEIGH IN TO SEEK YOUR REPLACEMENTS, COHEN v. VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(U.S.1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME NOR CAN IT BE CALLED FRIVOLOUS, ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ARE PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM MAKING SUCH A CLAIM BY RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BY THEIR ACTIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THIS SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO A NATIONAL AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH, ALL THOSE WHOM HE APPOINTS AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A LIBERTY PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AND SOVEREIGN, HURTADO v. CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 516(U.S.1884); MILLER v. UNITED STATES, 230 F2d. 486, 489(5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLES-WORTH v. BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S.1963); SHERER v. CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA v. ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB v. CITY OF BAXLEY 355 U.S. 313(U.S. 1958); UNITED STATES v. JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968); UNITED STATES v. ETENYI, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir.2017); BI-AMEEN-BEY v. STUMPE, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537(D.N.J.2011).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

david duren

david duren

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND THE ACTING
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)
GLOBAL THRONES

JANUARY 24, 2018

