

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Kenwood Bright, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2018-000024

Lower Court Case No. 2016CP3400260

ORDER

Counsel for petitioner has advised this Court that he is unable to set forth any arguable basis for asserting the determination by the PCR judge was improper under Rule 243(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and has notified petitioner of his right to submit a *pro se* explanation under *Dennison v. State*, 371 S.C. 221, 639 S.E.2d 35 (2006). Petitioner has filed a *pro se* explanation under Rule 243(c), SCACR.

Based on petitioner's failure to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the PCR judge was improper under Rule 243(c), SCACR, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



FOR THE COURT C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
February 02, 2018

cc: Lance S. Boozer, Esquire
Johnny Ellis James, Jr., Esquire
Mr. Kenwood Bright