

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476
F4, B37 Allendale Corr Inst.
PO Box 1151
Fairfax SC 29827

Feb 14, 2018

RECEIVED

Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Supreme Court
P. D. Box 11330
Columbia SC 29211

FEB 20 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Re: Notice of Appeal, Samuel T. E. Tessnear
2017-CP-42-D225

Dear Honorable Clerk:

Enclosed for filing is a Notice of Appeal in regard to the above referenced. Also enclosed are a copy of the Order of the Honorable R. Keith Kelly, dated January 12, 2018, and Proof of Service.

This Appeal is being filed within the thirty (30) days permitted pursuant to Rule 203, SCACR, and Statement as pursuant to Rule 243(c) SCACR.

This Notice of Appeal is being filed pro se and the appointment of an attorney is hereby requested.

A copy of the Appeal has been sent to the South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense to handle the appeal.

Please file the enclosed Notice of Appeal and return a clock-stamped copy of same to me. I make this request for authenticated copies pursuant to Rule 901 SCRE, as I intend to introduce the authenticated copies into evidence and/or include in any future filings which may arise or become necessary.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476

Samuel T. E. Tessnear, #338476
Prose Appellant

cc:

Other Counsel of Record:
Rasheeda Cleveland, Esq.
Office of Attorney General
PO Box 11549
Columbia SC 29211-1549

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY
HONORABLE R. KEITH KELLY
Case No.: 2017-CP-42-0225

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476 APPELLANT

v

State of South Carolina RESPONDENT

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Samuel T. E. Tessnear, above captioned Appellant,
appeals the Order of the Honorable R. Keith Kelly,
dated January 12, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

February 14, 2018 Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476
Prose Appellant

Other Counsel of Record:
Rasheeda Cleveland
Office of Attorney General
P O Box 11549
Columbia SC 29211-1549

RECEIVED

FEB 20 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

FEB 20 2018

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY S.C. SUPREME COURT

HONORABLE R. KEITH KELLY

Case No.: 2017-CP-42-D225

Samuel T.E. Tessnear, #338476 APPELLANT

v

State of South Carolina RESPONDENT

STATEMENT

In accordance with Rule 243(c), SCACR, the Appellant brings forth this following information statement to show cause as to why he filed his PCR action and application when he did in January 2017. This filing was not done by the Applicant as a substitute for a direct appeal but to justly correct and amend the amount of time to be served leading up to his release from incarceration.

In October 2016 the Appellant was informed and notified by his South Carolina Dept. of Corrections, (SCDC), Classification Manager/Case Worker at the Allendale Correctional Institution that he had not been given the full credit for his pre-trial detention for all three (3) adjudications of: Indictments 2008-GS-42-4681, 4682, and 4683 held on December 16, 2009 and Ordered by the Honorable Judge Couch; specifically Indictment 4683, which no credit is being attributed as ordered, but is mandated by S.C. Code § 24-13-46.

Due to the sentencing sheet not being marked/checked, SCDC did not apply full credit for the Appellant's pre-trial detention, (Indictment 4683).

The Appellant filed a Motion To Amend on November 14, 2016, which the Court accepted. Filed clocked in on November 22, 2016 and forwarded to the Motion Clerk, (see enclosed copies).

On January 2017, the Appellant filed his PCR action seeking to have the Court order a correction or amending action to allow him to receive the full credit for pre-trial detention time served two hundred fifty seven (257) days towards Indictment 4683 not attacking his conviction but seeking correction directly affecting his liberty interest.

III

This matter could not have been brought forth in his March 2010 Appeal as this information was not known by the Appellant at that time and he could not possibly have had any fore knowledge of the October 2016 event and information becoming available to him at the time of his appeal in 2010, six (6) years prior to its coming to light.

The filing of / for Post-Conviction Relief to correct and amend the sentence of the Appellant to reflect the ordered credit for pretrial detention time served is the correct venue as it does attack the validity of the sentence he is currently serving, (as is detailed in S.C. Code § 17-27-20 (6)), within the realm and respect of liberty interest that is involved.

This liberty interest rest and is based in part on the receipt of the credit for pre trial detention time served for the sentence adjudicated regarding Indictment 4683 as this credit has a direct bearing on the Applicant's release from incarceration.

Therefore the Appellant respectfully submits this Notice of Appeal in this matter and request the Court appoint/order counsel from/by the South Carolina Office of Appellate Defense to represent him.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476
Pro se Appellant

February 14, 2018

11-14-16

Clerk of Court, Spartanburg County
Hope Blackley
P.O. Box 3483, 180 Magnolia St.
Spartanburg, S.C. 29306

Ms. Blackley,

Enclosed is my motion for a 59(E), alter or amend judgement or sentence modification requesting my credit for pre-trial detainment time on Indictment No: 2008-GS-4204683. Please submit a copy to Judge Roger L. Couch, who was my sentencing judge, and one to the solicitor as well. Please return to me a stamped docketed copy of my motion, and also place me on a motions docket, or ask the judge to correct it in my absence.
Thank you for your time in addressing this matter.

Sincerely,
Samuel Tessnear #338476
Allendale C.I. FH-A-7
P.O. Box 1151
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
2016 NOV 22 PM 2:33
M. HOPE BLACKLEY

M021702 - 08-4683
M021706 - 08-4683

Spartanburg County

Spartanburg County Court House
180 Magnolia Street
P. O Box 3483
Spartanburg, SC 29304-3483



Phone (864) 596-2591
Fax (864) 596-2259

M. Hope Blackley
Clerk of Court

Gail Moffitt
Assistant Clerk of Court

NAME: Samuel Rossiter
DATE: 11/23/16

- I suggest you contact the Probation Office @180 Library Street, Spartanburg, SC 29306.
- I suggest you contact the Solicitor's Office @ 180 Magnolia Street, Spartanburg, SC 29306.
- I suggest you contact the Public Defender's Office @ 366 N. Church St., Spartanburg, SC 29306.
- I suggest you contact the Spartanburg County Jail @ 950 California Ave, Spartanburg, SC 29303.
- I suggest you contact the Sheriff's Department @ 8045 Howard St., Spartanburg, SC 29306
- A copy of your letter has been forwarded to the Public Defender's Office.
- A copy of your letter has been forwarded to the Solicitor's Office.
- I suggest you contact your attorney.
- Please provide us with the original document.
- The reference case is not a General Sessions case.
- Grand Jury proceedings are held in private.
- Please provide us with a warrant or indictment number.
- Due to the large volume of requests, this office no longer conducts criminal records research.
- Case History print-out enclosed.
- A court date has not been scheduled for your case(s).
- A Plea/Trial date has been scheduled for _____ . (This date is subject to change)
- All copies are \$1.00 per page that must be paid in advance. Please submit a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$ _____ .
- Please refer to our website for further assistance www.spartanburgcounty.org select SERVICE - COURT SERVICES - PUBLIC INDEX.

Other: Motion filed, copy to defendant and Motion Docket Clerk

If we can be of further assistance to you please let us know.

General Sessions Clerk

State of South Carolina
County of Spartanburg

In the Court
Of Common Pleas

Samuel T. Eric Tessnear
Defendant,

Rule 59 (E)

vs.
State of South Carolina
Respondent

Motion to Amend
Judgement and Sentence
Modification

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
2008 NOV 25 PM 2:53
HONORABLE JUDGE
ROBERT BLACKBURN

Now comes the above named Defendant who now moves this court for a Motion to Alter/Or Amend Judgement and Sentence Modification to correctly apply credit for time served for 256 days Pre-Trial Detainment time on Warrant No: M-021706, Indictment No: 2008-GS-4204683, The court ordered this sentence of 10 years on 12-16-09, by the Honorable Judge Roger L. Couch. Pursuant to S.C. Code of Laws § 24-13-40, mandates a prisoner be given credit for all time served prior to trial unless one of two exceptions exist. (1) Either the prisoner was an escapee or (2) the prisoner was already serving a sentence on one offense. At the time of arrest Defendant was arrested on 3 different warrants several days apart. Specifically Defendant received time served on one offense, 10 years on Warrant No: M-021702, Indictment No: 2008-GS-4204682, and his credit of 257 days. On the other Indictment No: 2008-GS-4204683, Defendant was given a consecutive 10 year sentence, but was not credited his Pre-Trial Detainment time of 256 days. Warrant was served one day after the other. Defendant was in simultaneous custody of both offenses and entitled to Pre-Trial credit for both, regardless of the sentence being consecutive. Defendant cites Allen v. State, 529 S.E. 2d 541 (2000), that when an individual is in simultaneous custody of several offenses, then he is entitled to credit for both. S.C. Supreme Court ruling upholds this mandate. Also see People v. Robinson, 117 Ill. 2d 452, 667 W.E. 2d 1305, 217 Ill. (Dec. Ill. 1996). Defendant released on bond on one charge, then subsequently arrested on another Charge

(1)

Defendant turned himself in on first charge, when as a result of second charge, bond was increased to degree that Defendant could not pay it. Court held Defendant was in simultaneous custody on both charges, such that he was entitled to credit for time served on both. Goings v. Missouri Dept. of Corrections, 6 S.W. 3d 906 (Mo. 1999). Inmate who's parole was revoked for prior offenses when he was arrested for a subsequent offense was entitled to credit for time served for both prior and current offenses. See also State v. Eagle Deer, (1994) (Neb. App., Lexis 128, 1994 WL 162369, Neb. 1994) Under the plain unambiguous terms of the statute, Defendant asks this court to Amend or Modify the Courts order to add his Pre-Trial Detainment time of 256 days on his Consecutive sentence on Indictment No: 2008-GS-420 4683 as mandated by § 24-13-40 and Allen v. State.

Respectfully Submitted,

Samuel T. Eric Tessnear
338476

Samuel T. Eric Tessnear #338476
Allendale C.I. F4-A-7
P.O. Box 1151
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
2016 NOV 22 PM 2:33
M. HOPE BLACKLEY

(2)

Certificate of Service

This is to certify that Samuel Tessnear #338476 did mail to the Clerk of Court Hope Blackley at 180 Magnolia St. P.O. Box 3483, Spartanburg, S.C. 29306 his 59(F) motion or sentence modification. Placed in the mail on this 14 day of November, 2016 with postage prepaid.

Samuel Tessnear
Samuel Tessnear #338476
Allendale C.I. FH-A-7
P.O. Box 1151
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
2016 NOV 22 PM 2:33
M. HOPE BLACKLEY

CRIT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)
)
)
Samuel T.E. Tessnear, #338476,)
Applicant,)
)
v.)
)
State of South Carolina,)
Respondent.)
_____)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
IN THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Case No.: 2017-CP-42-0225

FINAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL

2018 JAN 22 AM 9:09
M. HEBELACKLEY

This matter comes before the Court by way of an application for post-conviction relief filed by Samuel T.E. Tessnear (Applicant) on January 23, 2017. Respondent made its Return, requesting the application be summarily dismissed.

Pursuant to this request, and after reviewing the pleadings in this matter and all of the records attached thereto, this Court issued a Conditional Order of Dismissal signed and filed December 7, 2017, provisionally denying and dismissing this action, while giving the Applicant 20 days from the date of service of said Order in which to show why the dismissal should not become final. Attached to this Final Order and incorporated herein by reference is an Affidavit of Service dated December 19, 2017, serving the above-mentioned Conditional Order of Dismissal on Applicant.

Applicant has failed to respond to either Respondent's motion to dismiss or this Court's Conditional Order of Dismissal. Therefore, this Court finds a sufficient reason has not been shown why the Conditional Order of Dismissal should not become final.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that for the reasons set forth in the Court's Conditional Order of Dismissal, the Application for post-conviction relief is hereby **DENIED AND DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.**

Page 1 of 2
RKK

CRT

This Court hereby advises the Applicant that he must file and serve a Notice of Appeal within 30 days of the service of this Order to secure appellate review. See Rule 203, SCACR. Applicant's attention is directed to Rule 243, SCACR, for the procedures following the filing and service of the notice of appeal.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED this 12 day of January, 2018.

R. Keith Kelly
R. KEITH KELLY
Chief Administrative Judge
Seventh Judicial Circuit

Gaffney, South Carolina

2018 JAN 22 AM 9:09
M. HOPE OLACHELEY

CRT

Spartanburg County

Spartanburg County Court House
180 Magnolia Street
P. O Box 3483
Spartanburg, SC 29304-3483

Phone (864) 596-2591
Fax (864) 596-2239



M. Hope Blackley
Clerk of Court

January 22, 2018

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG

Samuel T. E. Tinsley
3356476
Applicant

7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE # 2017CP02-225

^{VS}
State
Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on this date, I served a copy of the Final Ord. of Dismissal
In this action dated 1-12, 2018 on 1-22-18

By mailing to him/her, at his/her last known address, by depositing it in the U.S. Mail, in an envelope with sufficient postage affixed, addressed as follows:

Valerie Giordano
Kingsey McCoy
Samuel Tinsley

1-22-18
(Date)

Bill Sea
(Signature)

CRT

SAMUEL T.E. TESSNEAR, #338476,

Applicant,

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,


Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the **Final Order of Dismissal** has been served upon the applicant by mailing one (1) copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:


Samuel T.E. Tessnear, #338476
Allendale Correctional Institution
Post Office Box 1151
Fairfax, South Carolina 29827

This 22nd day of January, 2018.



Lindsey McCoy
Legal Assistant

SWORN to before me this 22nd day January, 2018.



Notary Public for South Carolina.

My Commission Expires: 5/20/2025

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF SPARTENBURG

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
IN THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Samuel T.E. Tessnear, #338476,
Applicant,

Case No.: 2017-CP-42-0225

v.

**CONDITIONAL ORDER OF
DISMISSAL**

State of South Carolina,
Respondent.

2017 DEC -7 PM 3:03
M. HOPE BLECKLEY

This matter comes before the Court by way of an application for post-conviction relief filed by Samuel T.E. Tessnear (Applicant) on January 23, 2017. Respondent made its return, requesting the application be summarily dismissed.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Applicant is presently confined in the South Carolina Department of Corrections pursuant to orders of commitment of the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court. In July 2008, the Spartanburg County Grand Jury indicted Applicant for assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature (2008-GS-42-4681), sexual lewd act, commit/attempt upon a child under 16 (2008-GS-42-4683), and possession of less than one gram of meth or cocaine (2008-GS-42-4684). Applicant waived presentment to the grand jury on possession of other controlled substance in Schedules I to V, first offense. Kathleen J. Hodges, Esquire represented Applicant. Assistant Solicitor Susan Shaver Reece, Esquire prosecuted the case. On December 16, 2009, Applicant pleaded guilty as indicted to assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature, possession of other controlled substance in Schedules I to V, and the lesser included offense of assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature (instead of sexual lewd act upon a child under 16) before the Honorable Roger L. Couch. In exchange the charge of possession of less than one gram of meth or cocaine was dropped. Judge Couch sentenced Applicant to imprisonment for

consecutive terms of ten years for assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature (2008-GS-42-4681), suspended upon the service of five years the balance is suspended with probation for five years at the end of the active sentence, ten years for the lesser included offense of assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature, and thirty days, time served, for possession of other controlled substance in Schedules I to V.

Applicant filed a timely notice of appeal. On March 2, 2010, the South Carolina Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal for failure of Applicant to show how any issue was preserved for review.

Also before this Court are the records of the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court regarding the subject convictions, Applicant's records from the South Carolina Department of Corrections, and the records from this post-conviction relief action.

CURRENT APPLICATION

In his current application for post-conviction relief, Applicant alleges he is being held in custody unlawfully on the following grounds:

1. "Failure to receive 257 days pre-trial detention credits on 2008-GS-42-4681 on consecutive 10 year sentence."¹
 - a. "SCDC us requiring [Applicant] to do more time than allowed by law after calculating work credits and good time. Refusing to take off 257 days already served."

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Statute of Limitations

The Court finds that this application must be summarily dismissed for failure to comply with the filing procedures of the Uniform Post-Conviction Procedure Act. S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-10 to -160. Specifically, the act requires as follows:

¹ Applicant alleges that he has a motion for sentence modification in accordance with 59(e), SCRCP, pending with the Court of General Sessions in Spartanburg County.

2017 DEC -7 PM 3:09
M. H. P. BLA...
FILE

An application for relief filed pursuant to this chapter must be filed within one year after the entry of a judgment of conviction or within one year after the sending of the remittitur to the lower court from an appeal or the filing of the final decision on appeal, whichever is later.

S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-45(A).

The South Carolina Supreme Court has held that the statute of limitations shall apply to all applications filed after July 1, 1996. Peloquin v. State, 321 S.C. 468, 469 S.E.2d 606 (1996). A motion for summary judgment may properly be used to raise the defense of statute of limitations. McDonnell v. Consolidated School District of Aiken, 315 S.C. 487, 445 S.E.2d 638 (1994). In addition, S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-70(c) authorizes the Court to “grant a motion by either party for summary disposition of [an] application when it appears from the pleadings ... that there is no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.”

Applicant pled guilty to the offenses he now challenges on December 16, 2009. This application was filed on January 23, 2017, well beyond the statutory filing period. Therefore, the application shall be summarily dismissed for failure to file within the time mandated by Uniform Post-Conviction Procedure Act.

Failure to State a Cognizable Claim

The Court further finds the Application must be summarily dismissed for failure to state a claim cognizable under the Post-Conviction Procedure Act, S.C. Code Ann. §17-27-10 to -160.

An Applicant may commence a post-conviction relief action on the following grounds:

1. That the conviction or the sentence was in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution or laws of this State;
2. That the court was without jurisdiction to impose sentence;
3. That the sentence exceeds the maximum authorized by law;
4. That there exists evidence of material facts, not previously presented and heard,

2017 DEC -7 PM 3:04
M. HOPE BLACKLEY

that requires vacation of the conviction or sentence in the interest of justice;

5. That his sentence has expired, his probation, parole or conditional release [was] unlawfully revoked, or he is otherwise unlawfully held in custody or other restraint; or
6. That the conviction or sentence is otherwise subject to collateral attack upon any ground of alleged error heretofore available under any common law, statutory or other writ, motion, petition, proceeding or remedy....

S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-20.

"Failure to receive 257 days pre-trial detention credits" is not a claim cognizable under the act. Applicant is not collaterally attacking his guilty plea or sentence, but rather seeks to collaterally attack his previous collateral attack. Applicant's contention that such a ground is impliedly created by S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-90 is meritless, as that section does not pertain to *what* may be raised, but *how* valid grounds must be raised.

Even if the facts alleged by Applicant are true, these facts do not support a cognizable claim for post-conviction relief under any of the statutory grounds. PCR relief is only proper when the application collaterally attacks the validity of the conviction or sentence. Al-Shabazz v. State, 338 S.C. 354, 527 S.E.2d 742 (2000). These issues are improper for post-conviction relief because they could have been raised on direct appeal and are procedurally barred by S.C. Code Ann. §17-27-20(b) (2003). Post-conviction relief is not a substitute for a direct appeal. Simmons v. State, 264 S.C. 417, 215 S.E.2d 883 (1974). A post-conviction relief application cannot assert any issues that could have been raised at trial or on direct appeal. Ashley v. State, 260 S.C. 436, 196 S.E.2d 501 (1973). Applicant could have raised this issue at trial or on appeal. His failure to do so has waived this allegation as a ground for relief.

For these reasons and pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6), SCRCP, this Court shall dismiss the Application for failing to state a cognizable claim for which relief can be granted under the Post-Conviction Relief Act.


CONCLUSION

Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-70(b), the Court intends to dismiss this application with prejudice unless Applicant provides specific reasons, factual or legal, why the application should not be dismissed in its entirety. Applicant is granted twenty (20) days from the date of service of this Order upon him to show why this Order should not become final. Applicant shall file any reasons he may have with the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court and shall serve opposing counsel at the following address:

Office of the Attorney General
Attn: Valerie Giovanoli, Esquire
PCR Division – 7th Circuit
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Applicant is cautioned that his response to this order must be actually received by the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court and opposing counsel within twenty (20) days, and that the Court will not consider any issues raised in his response if not so timely filed and served.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED this 7 day of December, 2017.


J. DERHAM CODE
Chief Administrative Judge
Seventh Judicial Circuit

2017 DEC - 7
M. HOPE BLANCHET
PM 3:04

_____, South Carolina

Spartanburg County

Spartanburg County Court House
180 Magnolia Street
P. O. Box 3483
Spartanburg, SC 29304-3483

Phone (864) 596-2591
Fax (864) 596-2239



M. Hope Blackley
Clerk of Court

December 8, 2017

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG
Samuel T.E. Trosen
Applicant # *338476*

7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE # *2017CPA 225*

Alfred
Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on this date, I served a copy of the *Conditional Ad. Pinness*
in this action dated *12-7-2017* on *12-8-17*

By mailing to him/her, at his/her last known address, by depositing it in the U.S. Mail, in an envelope with sufficient postage affixed, addressed as follows:

Valerie Corrao
Kimberly McCoy

12-8-17

(Date)

Christy Sen

(Signature)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY
HONORABLE R. KEITH KELLY

Case No.: 2017-CP-42-D225

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476, Appellant, hereby certify and affirm by my signature below, I have on this 14th day of February, 2018, served the following by depositing copies of Appellant's "Notice of Appeal" with the mail room designee, at the Allendale Correctional Institution, 1057 Revolutionary Trail, Fairfax, SC 29827, to be delivered to the parties shown below by U.S. Mail, First-Class Postage Prepaid, and/or by the Inter-Departmental/Inter-Agency mail service as provided by the South Carolina Department of Corrections, addressed as follows:

South Carolina Supreme Court
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia SC 29211

Office of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady St., Ste. 401
Columbia SC 29201

Rasheda Cleveland, Esq.
Office of Attorney General
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia SC 29211-1549

Spartanburg County Clerk
180 Magnolia St.
P.O. Box 3483
Spartanburg SC 29304

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476

Samuel T. E. Tessnear #338476
Prose Appellant

February 14, 2018

RECEIVED

FEB 20 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Sample 1 - Less near, #538476
F4B37 Allendale Corr Inst
PO Box 1151
Fairfax SC 29827

Introduction

Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Supreme Court

PO Box 11330
Columbia SC 29211

RECEIVED
FEB 14 2018
MAILROOM
- ACI