

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

FEB 21 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-0001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-0002108 ET. AL.,

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; RENEWING
THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO CONTINUAL ACTS OF
FRAUD, EVEN UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR AN
INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO
MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

I, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)
GLOBAL THRONES, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT, HEREINAFTER DOES HEREBY
SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR STATE AS FOLLOWS: (A) AF-
FIANT IS COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HERewith;

(B) AFFIANT HAS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN;
(C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT'S BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS A WITNESS AFFIANT SHALL SO STATE;

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. WE PREVIOUSLY INFORMED THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ON THE COURT RECORD, ABOUT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT WITH S.C.D.C. HINDERING AND IMPEDING OUR ACCESS TO THE COURT(S), HINDERING AND IMPEDING OUR PROSECUTING THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND THE COURT'S DEMANDS UPON US TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH PROCEDURAL RULES OF COURT AND THE MANDATES OF DUE PROCESS LAW, PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, HODGKINS-v.-PETERSON, F.Supp. 2d., 2000 WL 3312826(S.D.Ind.2000); U.S.-v.-SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS-v.-WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014).

ON WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2018, OFFICER MEGGETT COMES TO WANDO DORM, TO INFORM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THAT SHE AND S.C.D.C. WILL STOP AND HALT THEIR OBSTRUCTIONIST AND CONSPIRATORIAL BEHAVIOR. OFFICER MEGGETT THEN INFORMS ME, WITH THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD BEING PRESENT, THAT WHATEVER COPIES I NEEDED. ALL I HAVE TO DO IS GIVE THEM TO "COLONEL", AN INMATE HERE, AND HE WILL BRING THEM TO HER TO MAKE ANY NEEDED COPIES. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES THEN GOT WITH THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, AND GATHERED UP THE NEEDED COPIED DOCUMENTS OFFICER MEGGETT INSTRUCTED ME SHE, THEY, WOULD MAKE THE COPIES OF, AND WE GOT THEM TO HER ON FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2018. OFFICER MEGGETT THEN CALLS S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL. S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL THEN CALLS THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE THEN CALLS THE CONSPIRING JUDGES IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO CONDUCT A SECRET, MEETING OF THE MINDS, EX PARTE HEARING AND OR MEETING. ONCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE PARTIES NOW DETERMINE THE MAGNITUDE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS INTENDED TO BE FILED TO FURTHER ESTABLISH THE COURT RECORD AND JURISDICTIONAL FACTS. THEY INFORMED S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL

TO INSTRUCT OFFICER MEGGET NOT TO COPY THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO PREVENT THIS ESSENTIAL EVIDENCE FROM BEING MADE A PART OF THE COURT RECORD TO PREVENT JUDICIAL REVIEW AND IMPEDE OUR ABILITY TO BE FULLY HEARD ON THE ISSUES THAT ARE PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT. I OBJECT TO THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, FORFEITURE AND IMMEDIATE RELIEF IN THE FORM OF AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER TO STOP THE FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY. NEXT THING YOU KNOW THEY WILL ATTEMPT TO TRANSFER ONE OF US, SEPARATE ME FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH, TO HINDER THIS CASE FROM MOVING FORWARD AND TO ITS PROPER RESOLUTION. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO THE CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION BEFORE THIS COURT. YOU ARE IN ADDITIONAL VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES ALSO FOR VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); REGG-v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); GRAHAM-v.-GAYRON 831 F3d. 176(4th.Cir.2016); U.S.-v.-TEJADA, 445 Fed. Appx' 719, 2011 WL 3891825 CA4 (S.C.2011); U.S.-v.-ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'-- , 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); STATE-v.-FERGUSON, 221 S.C. 300, 70 S.E.2d. 355(S.C.1952); ARATA-v.-VILLAGE-WEST-OWNERS ASS'N-INC., S.E.2d., 2011 WL 11735004(S.C.App.2011); IN-RE: BUILDING-MATERIAL-CORP.-OF-AMERICAN-ASPHALT-ROOFING-SHINGLE PRODUCTS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1827923(DSC.2013); STATE-v.-BRANDT, 393 S.C. 526, 713 S.E.2d. 591(S.C.2011); NORTH-AMERICAN-RESCUE PRODUCTS, INC.-v.-RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C. 2015); SOUTHEASTERN-SITE-PREP.-LLC.-v.-ATLANTIC-COAST-BUILDERS AND-CONTRACTORS, LLC. 394 S.C. 97, 713 S.E.2d. 650(S.C. App.2011).

ONCE THE RESPONDENT, AND POTENTIALLY, THE JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED WITHIN THIS COURT, IN AN EX PARTE SECRET COUNSEL IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS", IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, DETERMINED WHAT WE INTENDED TO FILE USING OFFICER MEGGETT, AND CONSPIRED TO CIRCUMVENT FULL, PROPER AND FAIR REVIEW, SUCH ACTION IS EGREGIOUSLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL, COMPROMISING THE "ENTIRE" PROCEEDINGS AND CONSTITUTE A DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS. THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, GATHERED THE DOCUMENTS THEY THEMSELVES INFORMED US THAT THEY WOULD COPY BEFORE WE GATHERED THEM

AS REFERRED TO IN THE DOCUMENTS PLACED BEFORE THE COURT AS EXHIBITS. OFFICER MEGGETT AND S.C.D.C. AGREED TO COPY THE DOCUMENTS BEFORE WE GATHERED THEM. YET, NOW THAT THEY DETERMINED THAT THEY COULD NOT DEFEAT OR COUNTER THIS EVIDENCE OR THE CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND CAUSES OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES OF THIS COURT AND THE RESPONDENT, THEN INSTRUCT S.C.D.C. AND OFFICER MEGGETT NOT TO MAKE THE COPIES TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND PREVENT AND OR OBSTRUCT JUDICIAL REVIEW. WE OBJECT. DUE TO THESE RECENT AND CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I MOTION FOR AND RENEW THE PREVIOUS FILED MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FOR FORFEITURE AGAINST THE STATE, JOHNSON-v.-BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC-v.-AL TAYYAR--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW v.-COLGATE-PALMOLIVE-CO. 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); ARBY-CONST.,LLP.-v.-ORR, 358 S.C. 10, 594 S.E.2d. 478(S.C.2004).

"EX DOLO MALO NON ORITUR ACTIO"---OUT OF FRAUD NO ACTION ARISES; FRAUD NEVER GIVES A RIGHT TO ACTION. NO COURT SHALL LEND ITS AID TO MAN WHO FOUNDS HIS CAUSE OF ACTION UPON AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL ACT. FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING. SILENCE OF THE COURT IN CORRECTING THIS INJUSTICE WILL EQUATE WITH FRAUD WHEN THERE IS A LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY TO SPEAK OR WHEN INQUIRY LEFT UNANSWERED WOULD BE INTENTIONALLY MISLEADING. FRAUD AND DECEIT WILL ARISE FROM SILENCE WHERE THERE IS A DUTY TO SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS WELL AS FROM SPEAKING UNTRUTH. PARTY IN INTEREST WILL BECOME LIABLE FOR FRAUD BY MERE SILENT ACQUIESCENCE AND PARTAKING IN THE BENEFITS OF THAT FRAUD, SUCH AS USING IT TO MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS. FRAUD IN ITS ELEMENTARY COMMON LAW SENSE OF DECEIT...INCLUDES THE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS WE SEEK COPIED AND FILED, IN A SETTING OF FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION, SUCH AS THE KING-KHALIFAH BEING ABLE TO COPY AND FILED THESE LEGAL DOCUMENTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING THE RIGHTS OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". BUT MORE THAN THIS, A PUBLIC OFFICIAL, SUCH AS A JUDGE OR S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL IS A FIDUCIARY TOWARDS THE PUBLIC...AND IF HE DELIBERATELY CONCEALS MATERIAL INFORMATION FROM THEM HE IS GUILTY OF FRAUD, 424 F2d. 1021 U.S.-v.-HORTON,

R. PRUDDEN, NO. 28140, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, (5th.Cir.1970); RUBINSTEIN-v.-COLLINS, 20 F3d. 160 (1990); McNALLY-v.-UNITED STATES, 483 U.S. 350, 371-372, QUOTING UNITED-STATES-v.-HOLZER, 816 F2d. 304, 307; BRANSON-v.-STANDARD-HARDWARE, INC., 874 S.W.2d 919 (1994); POINDEXTER-v.-GREENHOUSE, 114 U.S. 270, 303 (U.S. 1885); MORRISON-v.-CODDINGTON, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 ARIZ. 480 (1983); UNITED-STATES-v.-TWEEL, 550 F2d. 297, 299, 300 (1977).

MEN ARE ENDOWED BY THEIR CREATOR WITH CERTAIN UNALIENABLE RIGHTS, LIFE, LIBERTY AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS; AND TO SECURE, NOT GRANT OR CREATE THESE RIGHTS, GOVERNMENTS ARE INSTITUTED. THAT PROPERTY OR INCOME, WHICH A MAN HAS HONESTLY ACQUIRED AS THE KING-KHALIFAH DID BY PEACEFULLY FILING ACTION TO PETITION FOR AND ESTABLISH THESE RIGHTS BY DUE PROCESS LAW WHICH WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE PARTIES WHICH INCLUDE THIS STATE AND THE UNITED STATES, THE KING-KHALIFAH RETAINS FULL CONTROL OF...WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, BUDD v.-PEOPLE-OF-STATE-OF-NEW-YORK, 143 U.S. 517 (U.S.1892).

IT HAS NOW BECOME PAINFULLY OBVIOUS THAT THE ONLY WAY TO SECURE THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONERS AND LEVEL THE EVIDENTIARY PLAYING FIELD, IS FOR THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER THAT WE SEEK, FILED IN THE APPENDIX TO PROTECT OUR CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, TO ALLOW US TO SUBMIT THE EVIDENCE WE SEEK TO FILE AND PLACE THIS CASE IN PROPER FORM AND TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER RETALIATORY, CONSPIRATORIAL AND FRAUDULENT ACTION FROM OCCURRING IN THIS CASE. WE SEEK THAT THE MOTION FOR THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER BE RULED ON BEFORE THIS CASE PROCEED ANY FURTHER, TO HALT THE FRAUD AND MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE CONTINUALLY OCCURRING AND TO LEVEL THE EVIDENTIARY PLAYING FIELD BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT. WHERE WE HAVE BEEN DEPRIVED OF PROPER AND FULL OPPORTUNITY TO SUBMIT DEPOSITIONS, ADMISSIONS AND AFFIDAVITS IN THE FORM OF THESE DOCUMENTS SOUGHT AND BLOCKED COPIED, OBSTRUCTING THE FILING OF EVIDENCE IN ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY. THE COURT DO NOT HAVE THE FULL FACTS TO RELY UPON FOR SUMMARY DETERMINATION. TO TAKE AWAY ALL REMEDY FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF A RIGHT IS TO TAKE AWAY THE RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH WOULD NOT BE WITHIN THE POWER OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE, TRINSEY-v.-PAGLIARO,

229 F.Supp. 647 (D.C.Pa.1964); POINDEXTER-v.-GREENHOUSE, 114 U.S. 270(U.S.1885).

THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW THESE DEVILS WILL BE TRYING TO TRANSFER THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH AND THE OTHER PETITIONERS IN THIS CASE TO CAUSE IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO THIS CASE IN FURTHER ACTS OF RETALIATION LIKE THEY DID david duren UNDER CASE 2017-000605 WHICH IS ANOTHER REASON WE WERE FORCED TO FILE MOTION TO INTERVENE IN THAT CASE AND SEEK TO CONSOLIDATE IT WITH CASE 2017-0002108. UNLESS THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER TO STOP THE RETALIATORY, OBSTRUCTIVE AND FRAUDULENT ACTS IS GRANTED. A COURT CANNOT CONFER OR ESTABLISH JURISDICTION WHERE NONE EXIST AND OR IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND A COURT CANNOT MAKE A VOID PROCEEDING VALID, MYLES-v.-DOMINO-PIZZA-LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST-TECHNOLOGY-CAPITAL-INC.-v.-BANCTEC INC. 2016 WL 7444943(D.C.Ky.2016); ORLANDO-RESIDENCE-LTD.-v.-HILTON-HEAD-HOTEL-INVESTIGATORS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1103027 (DSC.2013); NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 677-683(U.S.1875); MARBURY-v.-MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; MONTGOMERY-v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S. 2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

I, WE, MOTION THAT THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER FILED IN THE APPENDIX WHICH WAS ALREADY SERVED UPON THE RESPONDENT AND THE S.C.D.C. BE IMMEDIATELY GRANTED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT VIA SANCTIONS SOUGHT. WE ALSO GIVE JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT WE DO NOT WAIVE THE RIGHT TO HAVE THESE LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND EVIDENCE COPIED AND SUBMITTED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT BEFORE THIS CASE MOVES FORWARD. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS WARNED, BECAUSE WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL COMMANDS BY THE COURT ON THE SURFACE, MANY CITIZENS, BECAUSE OF RESPECT FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE LAW, YET IN THIS CASE WE HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT, ARE CUNNINGLY COERCED OR MANIPULATED INTO WAIVING THEIR RIGHTS DUE TO IGNORANCE. WE ARE NOT IGNORANT. WE DO NOT WAIVE OUR RIGHT TO HAVE THESE LEGAL DOCUMENTS COPIED AND SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE REQUIRING THE COURT TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER. TO TAKE AWAY ALL REMEDIES FOR THE ENFORCE-

MENT OF A RIGHT IS TO TAKE AWAY THE RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH IS NOT WITHIN THE POWER OF THE STATE. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT SHALL GRANT THIS BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE SUPERSIDING POWER AND AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT NOW PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNLESS THE RESPONDENT CAN PRODUCE EVIDENCE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT HE OR THE UNITED STATES TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS, WHICH INCLUDE S.C.D.C BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. THEY MUST PROVED THEY MOVED TO TIMELY DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RELIEF SOUGHT MUST BE GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED, HURTADO-v.-CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 516(U.S.1884); MILLER-v.-UNITED-STATES, 230 F2d. 486, 489 (5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLES-WORTH-v.-BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S. 1963); SHERER-v.-GULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA-v.-ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB-v.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313 (U.S.1958); UNITED-STATES-v.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968); UNITED-STATES-v.-ETENYI, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir. 2017); EL-AMEEN-BEY-v.-STUMPE, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537(D.N.J.2011); UNITED-STATES-v.-MINKER, 350 U.S. 179, 187(U.S.1956); MILLER v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013); IN-RE: OUTDOOR R.V.-AND-MARINE,LLC, 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); ANDERSON-v. LIBERTY-LOBBY-INC, 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); BRADRES-v.-HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 514(DSC.2014); LORD-&-TAYLOR,LLC-v.-WHITE-FLINT,L.P., 780 F3d. 211 CA4 (Md. 2015); BUFF-v.-STERLING, 2015 WL 1578845(DSC.2015); U.S.-v. SOUTH-CAROLINA, 720 F3d. 518 CA4 (S.C.2013); WALL-v.-WADE, 741 F3d. 492 CA4 (Va.2014).

REFERRING BACK TO THE RESPONDENT'S CLAIM IN HIS PREVIOUS FILINGS THAT WE WERE USING "BIBLE VERSES". THOSE SAME "SO-CALLED" BIBLE VERSES ESTABLISH THE VATICAN ITSELF AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN NATION. ISRAEL IS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN NATION. THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ARE EVEN PROTECTED BY "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" UNDER THEIR LAWS AS WELL ALSO PROTECTED UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY

ACT. TO DENY SUCH WOULD ALSO VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. BIBLICAL LAW AT COMMON LAW SUPERSEDES ALL LAWS AND CHRISTIANITY, JUDAISM AND ISLAM IS CUSTOM, AND CUSTOM IS LAW AS WAS PREVIOUSLY ARGUED BY US IN PRIOR PLEADING, ESPECIALLY SINCE IT IS PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AND YOU DEFAULTED ON ALL OF THIS, ROBIN-v.-HARDAWAY, (1790) 424 F2d..

INASMUCH, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE UNDERSIGNED, DOES HEREWITH SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM THAT AFFIANT ISSUES THIS AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS WITH SINCERE INTENT, THAT AFFIANT IS COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREIN, THAT THE CONTENTS ARE TRUE, CORRECT, COMPLETE, AND CERTAIN, ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE OF THE CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, EVEN UPON THIS COURT, INVOLVING OFFICER MEGGETT, THE RESPONDENT AND S.C.D.C. REQUIRING SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES AND THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND IS REASONABLE AND JUST IN LIGHT OF THE CONTINUAL MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE MANIFESTING THEMSELVES BY THE CONSPIRING PARTIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT'S BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ACTING
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)
GLOBAL THRONES OF THE
RE-ESTABLISHED THEOCRATIC STATE
AND COURT BY COMMAND OF THE
CROWN

FEBRUARY 12, 2018

