

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Edward W. Miller, Circuit Court Judge  
Case No. 2008-CP-23-5739  
**Appellate Case No.: 2017-000690**

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 23 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

Andrew P. (Andy) Ballard, ..... Respondent

v.

Tim Roberson, Rick Thoennes, Rick Thoennes, III,  
and Warpath Development, Inc., is ..... Petitioners,

Of Whom Tim Robertson is ..... Appellant.

**APPELLANT'S FINAL REPLY BRIEF**

Joshua L. Howard (SC Bar No.: 70260)  
WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP  
550 South Main Street, Suite 400  
Greenville, SC 29601  
864-255- 5406  
*Attorneys for Appellant, Tim Roberson*

Other Counsel of Record:

Wallace K. Lightsey  
William M. Wilson, III  
Wade Stackhouse Kolb, III  
Amos Workman  
WYCHE, P.A.  
44 East Camperdown Way  
Greenville, SC 29601  
Tele: 864-242-8200  
Fax: 864-235-8900  
Email: [wlightsey@wyche.com](mailto:wlightsey@wyche.com)  
*Attorneys for Respondent Andrew P.  
(Andy) Ballard*

James W. Fayssoux, Sr., Esq.  
209 E. Washington Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
*Attorney for Petitioners Rick Thoennes and  
Rick Thoennes, III*

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Edward W. Miller, Circuit Court Judge  
Case No. 2008-CP-23-5739  
Appellate Case No.: 2017-000690

RECEIVED  
FEB 23 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

Andrew P. (Andy) Ballard, ..... Respondent

v.

Tim Roberson, Rick Thoennes, Rick Thoennes, III,  
and Warpath Development, Inc., is ..... Petitioners,

Of Whom Tim Robertson is ..... Appellant.

APPELLANT'S FINAL REPLY BRIEF

Joshua L. Howard (SC Bar No.: 70260)  
WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP  
550 South Main Street, Suite 400  
Greenville, SC 29601  
864-255- 5406  
*Attorneys for Appellant, Tim Roberson*

Other Counsel of Record:

Wallace K. Lightsey  
William M. Wilson, III  
Wade Stackhouse Kolb, III  
Amos Workman  
WYCHE, P.A.  
44 East Camperdown Way  
Greenville, SC 29601  
Tele: 864-242-8200  
Fax: 864-235-8900  
Email: [wlightsey@wyche.com](mailto:wlightsey@wyche.com)  
*Attorneys for Respondent Andrew P.  
(Andy) Ballard*

James W. Fayssoux, Sr., Esq.  
209 E. Washington Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
*Attorney for Petitioners Rick Thoennes and  
Rick Thoennes, III*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... ii

ARGUMENT IN REPLY..... 3

    1. Standard of Review ..... 3

    2. Nevertheless, regardless of what standard of review applies, for the reasons set forth in Appellant's Initial Brief the result is the same ..... 3

    3. Appellant reasserts that the court's decision on Respondent's motion to modify the order of receivership and to transfer the possession of the LLC membership interests was in error and improper and should be reversed or set aside..... 4

CONCLUSION ..... 4

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL..... 5

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Other Authorities

SCRCP Rule 60(b) .....	3
SCRCP Rule 60(b)(5) .....	3, 4

## ARGUMENT IN REPLY

This matter comes before the court on Appellants Tim Roberson's appeal of the lower courts' order arising out of its January 27 2017 hearing. Respondent submitted its Initial Brief of Respondent on November 17, 2017. Appellant hereby submits the following in reply:

1. Standard of Review — Appellant agrees that Rule 60(b) motions are generally reviewed under an abuse a discretion standard. However, Appellant disagrees with Respondent's application of the standard of review to the trial court's decision on Appellant's Rule 60(b)(5) motion. First, Appellant was unable to locate any case law in South Carolina considering the appeal of a Rule 60(b)(5) motion. Appellant would submit that the court's findings and consideration of the effects of facts post-judgment in an equitable matter, which is presented in Appellant's Rule 60(b)(5) motion, are subject to the same *de novo* standard of review that all matters in equity are considered. Alternatively, even if the court were inclined to follow the general standard of review for Rule 60(b)(5), in this matter the court must parse out the trial court' decision and apply separate standards of review to the separate decisions of the trial court. Appellant would submit that as to the trial court's decision finding Rule 60(b)(5) legally inapplicable, such question of law shall be reviewed *de novo*. Appellant would submit that the trial court's finding that Appellant's motion was untimely; such a question of law shall be reviewed *de novo*.
2. Nevertheless, regardless of what standard of review applies, for the reasons set forth in Appellant's Initial Brief the result is the same. The trial court erred in denying Appellant's Rule 60(b)(5) motion and should be reversed. The court's judgment, which was properly challenged on appeal, was ultimately ruled to be

an exchange of property of like value, *i.e.* the exchange of cash for shares. As testified, the value of the shares was subsequently significantly negatively impacted by events directly involving the Respondent and outside of the control of the Appellant. As asserted, this result clearly renders the ongoing application of the judgement inequitable. The court's failure to properly consider the ongoing equities of its judgment is a clear abuse of discretion.


3. Appellant reasserts that the court's decision on Respondent's motion to modify the order of receivership and to transfer the possession of the LLC membership interests was in error and improper and should be reversed or set aside.

### CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth in the Initial Brief, in this Reply and in argument before the trial court, the court's orders denying Appellant's Rule 60(b)(5) motion, granting Respondent's motion to modify the order of receivership and granting receiver's motion to take possession of Appellant's LLC membership interests should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

  
Joshua L. Howard (SC Bar No.: 70260)

WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

550 South Main Street, Suite 400

Greenville, SC 29601

864-255- 5406

*Attorneys for Appellant, Tim Roberson*

This 21<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2018  
Greenville, South Carolina

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Edward W. Miller, Circuit Court Judge  
Case No. 2008-CP-23-5739  
**Appellate Case No.: 2017-000690**

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 23 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

Andrew P. (Andy) Ballard, ..... Respondent,

v.


Tim Roberson, Rick Thoennes, Rick Thoennes, III,  
and Warpath Development, Inc., is ..... Petitioners,

Of Whom Tim Robertson is ..... Appellant.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

The undersigned certifies that the Appellant's Final Reply Brief complies with Rule 211(b), SCACR.

WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

  
Joshua L. Howard (SC Bar No.: 70260)  
WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP  
530 South Main Street, Suite 400  
Greenville, SC 29601  
864-255- 5406  
*Attorneys for Appellants/Petitioners*

This 21<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2018  
Greenville, South Carolina