

6  
IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

-----  
APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY  
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

-----  
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-000640  
-----

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 22 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL.,

APPELLANT(S)

-----  
AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION  
TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION  
TO ENTER THE OPINION AND OR ORDER IN THIS CASE DUE TO  
FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND  
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; MOTION TO INTERVENE; MOTION  
TO STAY AND OR RECALL THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO VACATE  
THE OPINION AND OR ORDER RELATED THERETO; MOTION FOR  
RECUSAL; MOTION FOR A REHEARING; MOTION TO STAY DUE TO  
FRAUD IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND STILL PENDING  
REVIEW AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR  
-----

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE LETTER DATED FEBRUARY 7, 2018 SENT TO GOURDINE BY THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE, ATTORNEY SUSAN B. HACKETT.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE AND ALL PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND MOTIONS; SUPPLEMENTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND APPENDIX IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED JANUARY 27, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(3) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX AND DENY THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME; MOTION TO VACATE THESE ORDERS; MOTION FOR FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED JANUARY 9, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(4) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX DUE TO ATTEMPTS AT FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, FILING OBJECTIONS IN OPPOSITION OF THAT DOCUMENT; MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED DECEMBER 25, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(5) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RETURN TO THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI; MOTION TO STRIKE ALL DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BY THE RESPONDENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; MOTION FOR FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED DECEMBER 27, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(6) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186;\*\*\*, [34] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(7) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES; MOTION FOR RECUSAL;\*\*\*, [70] PAGES DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

WE MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION. ANY DOCUMENT REFERRED TO THAT IS NOT PRESENTLY SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILING IS BEING OBSTRUCTED FILING BY THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE, CONSPIRING WITH THE JUDGES OF BOTH THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND S.C. SUPREME COURT AND S.C.D.C. TO WHICH WE OBJECT TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD UPON THE COURT(S), MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL BY THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND PARTIES. THEREFORE, ANY LEGAL DOCUMENT NOT PRESENTLY SUBMITTED WE SEEK THAT THE COURT OBTAIN IT ELECTRONICALLY FROM THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108 AND 2017-000605, HODKINS-v.-PETERSON, F.Supp.2d., 2000 WL 3312826(S.D.Ind.2000); U.S.-v.-SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS-v.-WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014).

INSOMUCH, I, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, WITH THE CONSENT OF JASON GOURDINE, AND BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN MOTIONS TO INTERVENE IN CASE 2016-000640. I, WE, MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO ENTER THE OPINION AND OR ORDER IN THIS CASE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. IF THE REMITTITUR HAS NOT BEEN SENT DOWN. I, WE, MOTION TO STAY IT. IF IT HAS BEEN SENT DOWN. I, WE, MOTION TO RECALL IT. I, WE, MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND OR ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 2016-000640 PENDING REVIEW OF THESE MATTERS BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ADDRESSING THE FRAUD THAT JUST OCCURRED INVOLVING THEM. I, WE, MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLU-

SION AND ALL DOCUMENTS FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 ARE NOW DEEMED FILED IN CASE 2016-000640. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENTS ARE NOW ARGUED IN CASE 2016-000640. I, WE, MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL AND OR DISQUALIFICATION OF THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO YOUR ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF ~~STATE~~ STATE LAW TO CIRCUMVENT OR ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING ESSENTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. I, WE, MOTION FOR A REHEARING OF CASE 2016-000640, BRAZELL-v.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); ERSTEIN-v.-WORLD-ACCERTANCE CORP., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015); LAKE-CARRIER-ASS'N-v.-MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL AND-TOURS,-LLC.-v.-AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); MR.T.-v.-MRS.T., 378 S.C. 127, 662 S.E.2d. 413 (S.C.2008); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp. 3d. 19 (2014).

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, AT ANY STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, EVEN FOR THE FIRST TIME ON DIRECT APPEAL, CANNOT BE WAIVED BY THE APPELLANT, EVEN WITH HIS CONSENT, WHICH YOU DON'T HAVE, AND THE COURT SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE, EX-PARTE-CANNON, 685 S.E.2d. 814, 820 (S.C.App. 2009); McCANN-v.-BRIGHTHARB, 399 S.C. 240, 730 S.E.2d. 916(S.C. App.2012); SOUTH-CAROLINA-DEPARTMENT-OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES-v.-TRAN, 418 S.C. 308, 792 S.E.2d. 254(S.C.App2016); ARNAL-v.-FRASER, 371 S.C. 512, 641 S.E.2d. 419(S.C.2007).

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS HAS THE INHERENT AUTHORITY TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENTS PROCURED BY FRAUD. DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES THAT SURROUND THIS CASE. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, CONSPIRING WITH THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE, AND THE STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, CIRCUMVENTED RULING, ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL AND FEDERAL QUESTION RELATED TO "JURISDICTION" THAT WERE PRESENTED IN THIS CASE, BECAUSE THEY KNEW OF THIS CASE'S DIRECT CONNECTION TO THE CASE IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE

2017-0002108. THEN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, CONSPIRING WITH THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE AND THE RESPONDENT, CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT GOURDINE WAS ENTITLED TO SEEK REHEARING WHERE THEY GAVE HIM A FRAUDULENT IMPRESSION IN AN ACT OF MISREPRESENTATION, THAT THE DIRECT APPEAL PROCESS WAS OVER TO DECEIVE AND MANIPULATE HIM INTO WAIVING ISSUES FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THE APPEALS COURT DISMISSED THE ACTION CONCEALING THIS FACT, THEN THEY GOT THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE TO SEND THIS FRAUDULENT LETTER INFORMING GOURDINE THAT HIS ONLY OPTION WAS PCR, WHEN IN TRUTH, HE HAD OPTION TO SEEK REHEARING. THEIR INTENT WAS ALSO TO PREVENT REVIEW WITHIN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN FUTURE APPEAL BY MANIPULATING GOURDINE INTO A PROCEDURAL DEFECT BY NOT SEEKING REHEARING WHERE THERE WOULD BE NO FINAL ORDER FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL PREREQUISITE FOR REVIEW IN THE SUPREME COURT. I, WE, OBJECT. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", AND THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND THE RESPONDENT IS IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT. I, WE, MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE RELATED TO THOSE SANCTIONS, ROBINSON-x-ESTATE-OF-HARRIS, 389 S.C. 360, 698 S.E.2d. 801(S.C.App.2010); CHRISTIANSON-x-M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A. S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App. 2013); SPRINGOB-x-UNIVERSITY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); STRATTEN-x-MECKLENBERG-COUNTY-DEPT. OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY-TECHNOLOGY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA-INC.-x-BAPTIST HEALTH-SOUTH-FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGHMAN-x-U.S. 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); NORTH-AMERICAN-RESCUE-PRODUCTS INC.-x-RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C.2015).

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES ARE IN VIOLATION OF RULE 502.1 OATH OF OFFICE AND THESE MATTERS ARE PRESENTLY BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT PURSUANT TO RULE 245 EXERCISING OF ORIGINAL JURISDICTION EVEN THOUGH IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT THEY DISMISSED THAT CASE. MOTION TO REINSTATE AND CHALLENGE THEIR JURISDICTION BY THEIR FRAUD IS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING FILED. I, WE, MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 2016-000640 DUE TO THE FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT PRODUCED IT. BY YOUR

FRAUD, CIRCUMVENTING RULING ON THESE SUBSTANTIAL JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES THAT ALSO PRODUCE SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION. THIS RENDERS THE PLEADINGS UNDER CASE 2016-000640 IN THEIR TOTALITY VOID AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS. THIS APPLIES TO CASE 2017-0002108 ALSO. BY SUCH ACTIONS YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY PLACED THE KING-KHALIFAH IN FORCED BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY BY THAT FRAUD, VIOLATING THE "TRUST" WHICH IS ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, IN YOUR ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHICH PLACES YOU IN FORFEITURE, IN VIOLATION OF YOUR CONTRACTS VIA YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES, BENNETT-x.-CARTER, 807 S.E.2d. 197(S.C.2017); PROTESTANT-EPISCOPAL-CHURCH-IN-THE-DIOCESE-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA-x.-EPISCOPAL-CHURCH, 421 S.C. 211, 806 S.E.2d. 82(S.C.App.2017).

THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT THAT YOU ALL JUST TRIED TO PULL VITIATES EVERYTHING AND PLACES THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE RESPONDENT IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION PRESENTED BEFORE ALL COURTS BY SANCTIONS DEMANDED. JUDGMENTS PROCURED BY FRAUD MAY BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED, AND THIS APPLIES TO JUDGMENTS AND DECREES OF ALL COURTS, MYLES-x.-DOMINGO'S-PIZZA-LLC., 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST-TECHNOLOGY-CAPITAL, INC.-x.-BANCTEC, INC., 2016 WL 7444943(D.C.Ky.2016); MARTIN-x.-TARGET CORP.-OF-MINNESOTA, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1187034(D.N.J.2013); McCLAIN-x.-1st.-SECURITY-BANK-OF-WASHINGTON, 2016 WL 8504775 (W.D.Wash.2016).

YOU CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO DENY ME, GOURDINE, MY ACCESS INTO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT BY REMAINING SILENT ON KEY, CRUCIAL, ESSENTIAL JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN YOUR FRAUD, AS USUAL COMPROMISING OUR STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEYS IN OUR CASES, DEMONSTRATING "COMMON DESIGN" RELATED TO CONSPIRACY, WORKING WITH THE RESPONDENT IN THESE CASES, VIOLATING MY, OUR, DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, DENYING US THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS BEHIND RACIAL AND FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, PAUL-ADAMS-x.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI-x.-DEPARTMENT-OF-HOMELAND-SECURITY, --F.Supp.3d.--,

2016 WL 1755872; U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280 (DSC.2014) ; BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251 (D.C.Md.2017); REGG v. HEARN-BERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017). I, WE, OBJECT AND MOTION FOR A REHEARING ON CASE 2016-000640.

KNOWING FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL INFORMATION NECESSARY TO PREVENT STATEMENT FROM BEING MISLEADING, LIKE YOU FAILED TO INFORM ME OF RIGHT AND TIME TO SEEK A REHEARING, TO PREVENT THE JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES FROM BEING PROPERLY PRESERVED FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN CASE 2016-000640 IS "FRAUD", PLACING YOU, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE RESPONDENT IN FORFEITURE. YOU HAVE CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS IN FRAUD TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND CIRCUMVENT, ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE SPECIFIC FEDERAL QUESTIONS PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT. "EX DOLO MALO NON ORITUR ACTIO"---OUT OF FRAUD NO ACTION ARISES; FRAUD NEVER GIVES A RIGHT OF ACTION. NO COURT SHALL LEND ITS AID TO MAN WHO FOUND HIS CAUSE OF ACTION UPON AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL ACT. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING". SILENCE OF THE COURT BY ABSTAINING FROM RULING ON THE JURISDICTIONAL QUESTIONS EQUATE WITH FRAUD WHEN THERE WAS LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY TO SPEAK OR WHEN INQUIRY LEFT UNANSWERED WOULD BE INTENTIONALLY MISLEADING LIKE YOU PEOPLE TRYING TO MISLEAD ME, GOURDINE, INTO FILING PCR WHEN REHEARING BEFORE THE COURT OF APPEALS WAS AVAILABLE AS WELL AS S.C. SUPREME COURT REVIEW. FRAUD AND DECEIT WILL ARISE FROM SILENCE WHERE THERE IS A DUTY TO SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS WELL AS FROM SPEAKING UNTRUTH. PARTY IN INTEREST WILL BECOME LIABLE FOR FRAUD BY MERE SILENT ACQUIESCENCE AND PARTAKING IN THE BENEFITS OF THAT FRAUD, SUCH AS USING IT TO RENDER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. FRAUD IN ITS ELEMENTARY COMMON LAW SENSE OF DECEIT...INCLUDES THE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS THE RIGHT FOR REHEARING AND S.C. SUPREME COURT REVIEW, IN A SETTING OF FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION, SUCH AS THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL BEING ABLE TO PROTECT THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". BUT MORE THAN THIS, A PUBLIC OFFICIAL, SUCH AS A JUDGE OR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR APPELLATE COUNSEL, IS A FIDUCIARY TOWARDS THE

PUBLIC...AND IF HE DELIBERATELY CONCEALS MATERIAL INFORMATION FROM THEM, HE OR SHE IS GUILTY OF FRAUD, 424 F2d. 1021; U.S. x. HORTON, R. PRUDDEN, NO. 28140, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, (5th. Cir.1970); RUBINSTEIN x. COLLINS, 20 F3d. 160 (1990); BRANSON x. STANDARD HARDWARE, INC., 874 S.W.2d. 919 (1994); BOINDEXTER x. GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 303(U.S.1885); MORRISON x. CODDINGTON, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 ARIZ. 480 (1983); UNITED STATES x. TWEEL, 550 F2d. 297, 299, 300(1977); U.S. x. KORN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2898056(W.D.N.Y.2013); TONEY x. COM, 1998 WL 684203 (4th.Cir. 1998); SEC x. FARMER, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5838867(S.D.Tex.2015); U.S. x. MOSBERG, 866 F.Supp.2d. 275 (D.N.J.2011); U.S. x. WECHT, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 2223869(W.D.Pa.2008).

I, WE, MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL AND OR DISQUALIFICATION OF ALL JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, SINCE YOU CONSPIRED WITH THE RESPONDENT AS YOU SAT ON CASE 2014-0001051 DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO CASE 2016-000640 IN ACTS OF FRAUD CREATING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR THAT IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE MATTERS WILL BE HEARD BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ONCE WE DEAL WITH THAT RECENT, STUPID ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT THEY JUST TRIED TO PULL. YOUR PRESENCE, IN LIGHT OF YOUR FRAUD, PRODUCES A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. YOU ARE ALSO BEING SUED AS PARTIES IN THE PENDING PARALLEL CASES BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT ALONG WITH JUDGE LEE WHO FAILED IN HER DUTY AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DULY APPOINTED "TRUSTEE" WHERE SHE WAS BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION WHERE THE RIGHTS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH BY THE CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION EMERGING OUT OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 ARE LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WILLIAMS x. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.ED.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); IN-RE: SYNTAX BRILLIAN CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016).

A COURT CANNOT CONFER OR ESTABLISH JURISDICTION WHERE NONE EXIST AND OR IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND A COURT CANNOT MAKE A VOID PROCEEDINGS VALID. TO CONSPIRE TO TAKE AWAY REMEDY LIKE MY, GOURDINE'S, REHEARING AND S.C. SUPREME

COURT REVIEW FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF A RIGHT IS TO TAKE AWAY THAT DUE PROCESS RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH IS NOT WITHIN THE POWER OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO THE STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, SUCH AS DUE TO YOUR FRAUD WHICH IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS, ITS JUDGMENTS AND OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION OF THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECUTING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED IN LAW AS TRESPASSERS". THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT GRANTING THE DISMISSAL IN CASE 2017-002108 AND THE OPINION PRODUCED IN CASE 2016-000640 SUBJECTING THE COURT(S) AND PARTIES TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION. THE FRAUD FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", NUDD-x-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); U.S.-x-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71 (U.S.1871); WELLS-FARGO BANK-N.A.-x-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST. REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-x-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); TRINSEY-x-PAGLIARO, 229 F.Supp. 647(D.C.Pa.1964); MYLES-x-DOMINGO'S-PIZZA-LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017).

THE APPELLANT GOURDINE RECEIVED THE OPINION AND OR ORDER ON FEBRUARY 11, 2018. THIS WOULD GIVE HIM (10) DAYS UNTIL FEBRUARY 21, 2018 TO SEEK REHEARING AND IT BE TIMELY. THUS, THIS PLEADING IS TIMELY BEING PLACED IN THE MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 16, 2018 WHERE IT SHOULD BE TAKEN OUT OF THE MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 19, 2018. I, WE, INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY AND MOTION THAT YOU ALSO STAY THIS CASE. THESE MATTERS ARE PRESENTLY UNDER REVIEW IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WHERE THOSE CORRUPT CONSPIRING JUDGES GOT JUDGE WOOTEN, CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO CLOSE CASE 2:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM TO KILL THE REMOVAL AND ALLOW THEM TO ISSUE THE ORDER DISMISSING CASE 2017-0002108. THE FRAUD THEY JUST PULLED TAINTS ALL ORDERS ISSUED

IN CASE 2017-0002108 WHERE RES JUDICATA CANNOT ATTACH DUE TO THE FRAUD, NOR CAN THE COURT OF APPEALS OR ANY OTHER COURT USE THAT FRAUDULENTLY OBTAINED DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADJUDICATING ANY MATTER BEFORE THESE COURTS AND YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE DUE TO THESE RECENT ACTS OF FRAUD WHERE YOU COURTS ARE CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY ACROSS MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS. WE DON'T NEED YOU DEVILS STEPPING ON EACH OTHER'S TOES IN YOUR CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I, WE, GIVE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER AND JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE IS FORTHCOMING REGARDING CASE 2017-0002108 WITHIN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. BY YOUR RECENT ACTIONS YOU HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT WE ARE CORRECT OR YOU WOULD NOT HAVE HAD TO ENGAGE IN THE FRAUD. BY YOUR ACTIONS YOU'VE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THE RESPONDENT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE IN DEFAULT AND THE RESPONDENT AND PARTIES CAN PRODUCE NO EVIDENCE THAT WOULD DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THEREFORE, THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT FELT IT NECESSARY TO CONTACT JUDGE WOOTEN UNDER CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WITH THE RESPONDENT, TO DISMISS THAT HABEAS CORPUS BECAUSE CASE 2017-0002108 WAS PETITIONED REMOVED THERE AND THEY COULD NOT DISMISS CASE 2017-0002108 UNTIL THE REMOVAL WAS DETACHED. THEY COULD NOT LET THE RESPONDENT BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND OR EVERY ACTION WE WERE TAKING BEFORE ALL COURTS WOULD INDEED BE DEEMED LEGAL, TRUE AND CORRECT. I, WE, OBJECT TO THE FRAUD. I, WE, TO INCLUDE JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THE UNDER-SIGNED AFFIANT(S), HEREIN DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR STATE THESE FACTS, TO WIT, (A) AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH; (B) AFFIANT(S) HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS WITNESSES AFFIANT(S) SHALL STATE THE SAME. NOW STAY CASE 2016-000640. WE INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY , ULMET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 888 F2d. 1028(4th.Cir.1989); SMITH

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR STATUTE OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS "VOID" AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO ACT OR DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR STATUTE OR ACT OR LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTION, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND IS INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 2016-000640 AND THE ORDER DISMISSING CASE 2017-0002108, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM ITS ENACTMENT OR WHEN IT WAS DONE...IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE...SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, OR LAW OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (SUCH AS ISSUING ORDERS OR OPINIONS), CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL FOR YOU), BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON (YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID.), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE IF YOU FAIL TO CORRECT IT.), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (THIS INCLUDES ALL OF YOUR RULINGS.)...A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE AN EXISTING LAW (THE LAW SET BEFORE THE GENTRY CASE STANDS.). ANY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, STATUTE, LAW OR ACT THAT RUNS COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SCHWARE, McBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY. NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS. NO COURT IS BOUND TO ENFORCE THEM. ALL LAWS, STATUTES, JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS, RULES AND PRACTICES THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE "NULL" AND "VOID", MARBURY

x. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES x. UNITED STATES  
28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); LOUMIET x. UNITED STATES  
65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); MONTGOMERY x. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct.  
718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); CERT OUTDOORS  
LLC x. SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE x.  
SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUB-  
BLICAN COMMITTEE x. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); ROBINSON  
x. ARXONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED  
115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; JOHNSON x.  
UNITED STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450 (U.S.2015).

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS WARNED, BECAUSE WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL COMMANDS BY THE COURT ON THE SURFACE, MANY CITIZENS, BECAUSE OF RESPECT FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL, YET IN THIS CASE WE HAVE FRAUD IN BOTH HIGH COURTS, MANY CITIZENS ARE CUNNINGLY COERCED AND MANIPULATED INTO WAIVING THEIR RIGHTS DUE TO IGNORANCE. WE ARE NOT IGNORANT. WE CHALLENGE THE JURISDICTION OF BOTH COURTS AND WE DO NOT WAIVE THE RIGHT TO SEEK REHEARING IN CASE 2016-000640 OR VACATING THE ORDER IN CASE 2017-002108 DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT EXERCISING THAT RIGHT OF COLLATERAL ATTACK TO REMEDY THE FRAUD. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT" OF THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THE COURT(S) ALLOWING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH VIA HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT CONSISTENTLY MANIFEST ITSELF WITHIN THESE CASES. I DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR BOND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION OR OATH OF OFFICE THAT WOULD PERMIT YOU TO GO AGAINST THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH OR STAND DOWN. DUE TO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TO PREVENT THE RESPONDENT FROM RESPONDING BECAUSE THE COURT KNEW THEY WERE IN DEFAULT IS "FRAUD" AND VOIDS ANY AND ALL ORDERS ISSUED IN CASE 2016-000640 AND 2017-0002108 PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION


PLACED BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. IT IS SO ORDERED, BRESAULT  
x. I.C.C. 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990);  
BROWN-x.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS  
x.-HHGREGG, F.Supp.2d., WL 1331166(N.C.2013); OPARAH-x.-NEW  
YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015);  
AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-x.-RLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP. 81  
F.Supp.157 (DSC.1948).

UNLESS THE RESPONDENT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE  
OR THE UNITED STATES, NOT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE  
2017-0002108 IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DISMISSING THE  
CASE TO PREVENT THE REQUIREMENT THEY PRODUCE EVIDENCE TO DEMON-  
STRATE THEY TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS  
OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL  
FACT THAT THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS  
ET. AL., SHALL GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT  
OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT  
WHOSE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER IS  
BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL  
ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 UNLESS THE RESPON-  
DENT CAN DEMONSTRATE BY CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT HE TIMELY MOVED  
TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT, SCHWARTZ-x.  
BOARD-OF-EXAMS-OF-THE-STATE-OF-N.M. 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct.  
752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); MACIRE-x.-SULLI-  
VAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); McBURNEY-x.-YOUNG, 569 U.S.  
221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY-x.-VERMONT  
2017 WL 2167123(2017); COHEN-x.-VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S.  
264(U.S.1821); MILLER-x.-UNITED-STATES, 230 F2d. 486, 489 (5th.  
Cir.1956); UNITED-STATES-x.-ETENYI, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL  
6523328 (10th.Cir.2017).

INASMUCH, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES,  
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL., THE UNDER-  
SIGNED, DO HEREWITH SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM THAT  
AFFIANT(S) ISSUES THIS AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS AND ITS ATTACHMENTS  
WITH SINCERE INTENT TO SEEK REHEARING IN CASE 2016-000640 AND  
ADDRESS OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS, THAT THE AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT  
TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREIN, THAT THE CONTENTS ARE

TRUE, CORRECT, COMPLETE, AND CERTAIN, ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE OF THE CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, EVEN UPON THE COURTS INVOLVED, ALSO INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT AND EVEN S.C.D.C., REQUIRING SANCTION AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT AND THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT, AND IS REASONABLE AND JUST IN LIGHT OF THE CONTINUAL MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE MANIFESTING THEMSELVES BY THE CONSPIRING PARTIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING AND IF CALLED UPON WE SHALL TESTIFY AS TO THE SAME. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

RESPECTFULLY,  
JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ACTING  
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)  
GLOBAL THRONES OF THE  
RE-ESTABLISHED THEOCRATIC STATE  
AND COURT, FOR HIMSELF AND THE  
KING-KHALIFAH BY COMMAND OF THE  
CROWN



FEBRUARY 16, 2018



**SCCID**

SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

Division of Appellate Defense  
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-3332

Post Office Box 11589  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589  
Telephone: (803) 734-1330  
Facsimile: (803) 734-1397

\* Exhibit \*

Robert M. Dudek, Chief Appellate Defender  
Wanda H. Carter, Deputy Chief Appellate Defender

February 7, 2018

Mr. Jason Gourdine #199055  
Lieber Correctional Institution  
PO Box 205  
Ridgeville, SC 29472

Re: Your case

Dear Mr. Gourdine:

Enclosed is a copy of the opinion of the Court of Appeals dismissing your appeal. Please be advised that our office will be closing your case along with this letter.

Please be aware that there is a **one year statute of limitations for filing an application for post-conviction (PCR) relief**. This is one year from the date of the enclosed opinion. This statute of limitations is **very strictly enforced**, so please be sure that **you** comply with it. Please understand *it is your responsibility alone to be sure this PCR application is timely filed*. **This application must be filed with the clerk of court in the county of your conviction**. There is also now a **one year statute of limitations for filing for federal habeas**. However, you must **exhaust your PCR claims** in state court, before raising them in federal court.

Please be aware that the time between your direct appeal becoming final, and the date your PCR application is filed **will count against your federal habeas statute of limitations in the future**. I do wish you the best. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Susan B. Hackett  
Appellate Defender

SBH/SF

Enclosure: Post-Conviction Relief Application

Exhibit

≡



IN THE INSTITUTION MAIL BOX ON JANUARY 27, 2018 WITH ITS ATTACH-  
MENTS.

RESPECTFULLY,  
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND  
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL  
TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES  
OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL  
THEOCRATIC STATE

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Joseph Rowland", written in black ink.

JANUARY 27, 2018



MOTIONS PREVIOUSLY FILED RELATED TO VACATING THE ORDERS FOR ALL REASONS PREVIOUSLY ASSERTED WHICH INCLUDE ALL JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES CONTAINED THEREIN, BECAUSE NOW THAT THE RESPONDENT HAS SUBMITTED THIS MOTION TO DISMISS. HE HAS NOW OPENED THE DOOR FOR ALL DOCUMENTS FILED SUBMISSION TO RESPOND IN OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION AS WELL, U.S.-v.-WILLIAMS, 106 F3d. 1173(4th.Cir. 1997); U.S.-v.-CRAIG, 358 Fed. Appx' 446, 2009 WL 5175592(4th. Cir.2009); U.S.-v.-LEIGH, 61 Fed. Appx' 854, 2003 WL 1711227 (4th.Cir.2003); WILLIAMS-v.-BISHOP, 2015 WL 4984396(Md.2015).

LETS DEAL WITH THE RESPONDENT'S CONCERNS SYSTEMATICALLY, LOGICALLY, ONE BY ONE BUT BEFORE THAT, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS AGAIN TO STRIKE HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, BECAUSE LIKE ITS PRE-DECESSOR, IT TOO, IS CORRUPTED BY FURTHER ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DONE IN EFFORTS TO AID THE RESPONDENT TO SUBMIT THIS DOCUMENT AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION, MISREPRESENTATION AND CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN HIS ABUSIVE, OPPROBRIOUS CONDUCT TO INTERFERE WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AND TO PREVENT HIS REQUIREMENT AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLYING WITH SUBSTANTIVE AND PROCEDURAL DEMANDS OF DUE PROCESS OF LAW, TO CONCEAL HIS ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS BEHAVIOR IN THE CASES INVOLVED SUBJUDICE, AND HIS UNWILLINGNESS TO RESPOND WHICH WOULD PRODUCE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE THAT ALL FACTS STATED ARE TRUE AND BY FAR, THEY ARE NOT FRIVOLOUS. THE RESPONDENT IS BLOWING SMOKE AND IS WORSE THAN A PAPER TIGER. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES ON THE RECORD CHALLENGES THE RESPONDENT BEFORE THIS COURT. DON'T JUST TALK ABOUT IT,...BE ABOUT IT. PRODUCE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES SEEK WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS. OR THE RESPONDENT'S PLEADINGS ARE JUST A BUNCH OF FRAUD AND EMPTY RHETORIC DESIGNED TO CONCEAL HIS INABILITY TO MEET THE CHALLENGE AND HIS INVOLVEMENT DURING AND OR AFTER THE FACT IN CLASS A, B AND C FELONIES WHICH WOULD ALSO INDISPUTABLY PROVE, THAT IF ANY ONE TO THESE PROCEEDINGS ABUSED THE COURTS AND HOLD THE SANCTITY OF ITS STRUCTURAL APPARATUS IN CONTEMPT,...IT IS HE THAT IS BLATANTLY GUILTY OF THIS CHARGE AND NOT THE APPELLANT, AND IT IS HE WHO IS CONSPIRING TO DISRUPT THE COURT, AND THAT IT IS HIS CLAIMS THAT ARE MESMERIZINGLY FANTASTIC AND DELUSIONAL. HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! # 1". THIS IS THE [34] PAGE COMPLAINT THAT WAS FILED IN CASE 2006-CP-400-0552. DON'T LET THE CASE NUMBER FOOL YOU. THIS IS THE ORIGIN OF ALL THAT IS PLACED BEFORE US. OBSERVE THAT IT IS CLOCKED STAMPED BY THE COURT FILED MARCH 13, 2007. IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE(S) 17-18 OF THIS DOCUMENT. HERE IS THE FIRST CONNECTION BETWEEN THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, AS IS FOR THOSE OTHERS SOUGHT RELEASED. IT IS THE [180] DAY RULE LITIGATION. IT WAS FILED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH SINCE 2006 RESUBMITTED IN THIS DOCUMENT UPON REQUEST TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN. IT WAS GIVEN TO HIS PRESENT ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL OTHERS REFERRED TO IN THIS CASE AND THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE APPLIES TO ALL OF OUR CONVICTIONS DESPITE ANY VARIANCE IN ALLEGED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. THUS, I OBJECT TO THAT LUDICROUS CLAIM THAT THE DOCUMENTS HAVE NO CONNECTION TO THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL, WHEN THEY BLOCKED ITS REVIEW BY DENYING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR WHERE COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHED WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE. DON'T LET THE CASE NUMBER ON THE ACTION FOOL YOU. JUDGE CHILDS INITIALLY SAT ON THIS CASE. SHE DISMISSED IT. THE KING-KHALIFAH THEN BROUGHT THE MATTER BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT ALONG WITH [3] OTHER RELATED CASES COMPLAINING ABOUT THEIR DISMISSAL. THE FEDERAL COURT REMANDED THE [4] STATING THEY SHOULD HAVE NOT DISMISSED ~~THE~~ CASES WHICH ESTABLISHED NEW CASE NUMBERS 2006-CP-400-3576, 3568, 3569 WHERE THE FEDERAL COURT COMBINED TWO OF THE FOUR BECAUSE THEY WERE SIMILAR INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT CONSPIRING WITH S.C.D.C.. THE CASES WERE REINSTATED IN 2008 WHERE THE COURT HELD THEM IN LIMBO FOR [5] ADDITIONAL YEARS FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO FILE INDEPENDENT ACTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, INORDINATE DELAY AND AMONG OTHER THINGS FAILURE TO ALLOW DISCOVERY TO ISSUE WHICH CAUSED DELAY IN TRIAL. THEY ARE IN DEFAULT ON THESE MATTERS FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD AND IT BEING INEXCUSABLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL TO HOLD THESE CASES PENDING FOR [12] YEARS. DON'T LET THE "2013" PREFIX FOOL YOU. THE CASE IS OVER [12] YEARS OLD. BY THIS DOCUMENT ALONE, THE FRAUD THEY CONSPIRED IN IS CLEAR, DEFINITE AND CERTAIN TO ADD WHAT WAS DONE DIRECTLY IN OUR EFFORTS TO BRING THIS CASE. THE "CLARITY", NOT "NEBULOUS", HAS ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED BY THE LOWER COURT INVOLVED. BY THE [180] DAY ISSUE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4] THRONES

AND THOSE OF US INVOLVED SHOULD BE HOME NOW BY THIS LEGAL ISSUE DUE TO RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE AN INTRINSIC PART OF THAT CASE WHICH TAINTS THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO HE BEING PROCEDURALLY BARRED IN RAISING SUCH BY LAW. THUS, AGAIN, I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THE ACTS OF FRAUD ARE NEBULOUS OR FRIVOLOUS AND THE ATTORNEY TO THE [4] THRONES IS JUST GETTING STARTED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "John Meadors", written over a horizontal line.

(2) EXHIBIT, ~~RAGE!!!~~ #2". THIS IS THE INTAKE SHEET FROM SLED INVESTIGATIVE FILE 5501014. YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS DOCUMENT AS IT IS EXPLAINED IN EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! # 1". THIS IS WHY THE RESPONDENT'S OFFICE COMPROMISED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ON DIRECT APPEAL WHICH JUDGE HEARNS WAS PARTY TO THIS CONSPIRACY BEING GIVEN KNOWLEDGE OF THE WRONG ON THE COURT RECORD PURSUANT TO SEEKING LEAVE TO TERMINATE COUNSEL. THE KING-KHALIFAH ARGUED BEFORE THE TRIAL COURT THAT THEY DID NOT PROVE THE ELEMENTS OF "TIME AND PLACE" FOR THE MURDER WHICH ARE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE. THEY TOOK THE PRESERVATION OF THE ISSUE OUT OF THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT, DELETED IT, FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION, THEN KEPT THE TRANSCRIPT FROM HIM UNTIL WELL OVER [6] MONTHS. BY THAT TIME, THE COURT REPORTER SAID THE AUDIO NO LONGER EXISTED TO DO A RECONSTRUCTION HEARING, AND THEY FORCED AND KEPT STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL ON HIM AGAINST HIS WILL, TO KEEP HIM FROM ADDRESSING IT. THE INDICTMENT ALLEGED SHE DIED ON JANUARY 25, 2001 AND THAT SHE DIED IN KERSHAW COUNTY. IN TRUTH, SHE DIED ON JANUARY 26, 2001 AND SHE DIED IN RICHLAND COUNTY AS IS FURTHER PROVEN BY THE ACTUAL DEATH CERTIFICATE. JOHN MEADORS LIED ON THE COURT RECORD AT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S COMPETENCY HEARING AND STATED THIS FILE DID NOT EXIST. THE CORONER'S NOTES ARE IN THIS FILE DENYING HIM ESSENTIAL DISCOVERY EVIDENCE. IT IS CLEAR FROM THIS DOCUMENT THAT THE FILE DO INDEED EXIST DESPITE MEADOR'S PERJURY. LOOK AT THE CAUSE OF DEATH, AND NOTE THAT IT CLEARLY STATES IT IS AFTER THE AUTOPSY WAS DONE. THE CAUSE OF DEATH IS LISTED AS MALNOURISHMENT AND THERE IS A "QUESTION MARK" BY THE WORD "TRAUMA", WHICH SUPPORTS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CLAIM THAT THE CORONER, JOHNNY FELLORS, SHOWED UP AT HIS BOND HEARING AND STATED, "NO ALLEGED BEATING KILLED

HIS CHILD. AT MOST IT MAY HAVE BEEN A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR." IF THE "TRAUMA" OR "ALLEGED BEATING" IS QUESTIONABLE, WHAT IS THE KING-KHALIFAH DOING BEING CONVICTED FOR MURDER? WHAT DOES THIS DOCUMENT MEAN WHEN IT ASSERTS THAT THE INITIAL CAUSE OF DEATH IS "MALNOURISHMENT"? ITS DIABETES, WHICH IS THE EXACT QUESTION THE CORONER ASKED THE KING-KHALIFAH IF SHE HAD THIS THE NIGHT OF HER DEATH. IT DON'T ADD UP. SHE WAS 180 LBS. AT 11 YEARS OLD WITH THE BODY OF A GROWN WOMAN WHO ATE LIKE A HORSE. THE ONLY WAY TO POTENTIALLY PROVE THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH NOW IS TO TEST THAT DNA TO MICHAEL LEE AS IS SOUGHT BY THE CROWN. THIS IS WHAT THE RESPONDENT IS TRYING TO AVOID IN ACTS OF FRAUD BECAUSE IT WOULD PROVE THEY CONSPIRED TO CREATE A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4] THRONES WANT THIS EVIDENCE AND THE SEARCH WARRANT THEY USED TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HOME PRODUCED NOW!!! STOP PLAYING THESE GAMES!!! PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) WE SOUGHT TO HELP HIM GET THIS ESSENTIAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE BY HAVING THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY HEARD IN OUR CASES BECAUSE JUDGE TOAL BLOCKED THE FILING OF HIS PCR BY FRAUD AND THE ISSUES ARE JURISDICTIONAL EFFECTING US ALL DESPITE ANY DIFFERENCES IN ALLEGED ILLEGAL ACTIVITY AND THE RESPONDENT AND JUDGES CONSPIRED TO ATTACK OUR CASES, TO INCLUDE THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL, TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE FROM SURFACING. THIS IS THE OTHER CONNECTION AND THE RELEVANCE OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED. THUS, I OBJECT TO THE CLAIM THERE IS NO CONNECTION TO MY CHARGES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONVICTION. I OBJECT TO THE CLAIM THAT THE ACTS OF FRAUD ARE NEBULOUS. THE STATE MUST PROVE THE CAUSE OF DEATH AND THEY FABRICATED IT AND ATTACKED MY DIRECT APPEAL TO PREVENT THIS FROM BEING REVEALED, ZIGGLER-v.-BUSH, 2015 WL 3775901(DSC.2015); RIFF-v.-BLANKENSHIP, 721 F2d. 983 CA4 (Va. 1983); BURBAGE-v.-U.S., 134 S.Ct. 881(U.S.2014); U.S.-v.-WHITE-HEAD, 695 F3d. 288 CA4 (N.C.2012). THESE ARE CLEAR AND DEFINITE ACTS OF FRAUD ACCEPTED BY THE RICHLAND COURT AS NOT BEING FRI-VOLOUS. THUS, RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES TAINING HIS SUBMISSION RENDERING THE DOCUMENT UNCONSTITUTIONAL, INADMISSIBLE AND VOID. I MOVE TO STRIKE IT.

CONTEMPT?,...ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR? MY CONTEMPT IS WITH JUDGES AND STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIALS WHO THINK THAT THEY CAN ENGAGE

IN PRACTICES AND ISSUES OF JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THAT SPIT IN THE FACE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" AND STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE U.S. SUPREME COURT AND DUE PROCESS LAW, AND BY SUCH, "THEY" ENGAGE IN OUTRAGEOUS BEHAVIOR. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, LIKE THE RESPONDENT, WITH THE OTHER OFFICIALS INVOLVED HERE, AND A SYSTEM OF JUSTICE WHICH IN ITS ORIGINAL CONCEPTION WAS DESIGNED TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE COMMON PEOPLE, BUT HAS NOW BECOME A CESS POOL, A SWAMP OF FOUL, RAT, STINKING DEVILS AND DEMON DOGS, BENT ON A MISSION TO OBTAIN CORRUPT, FRAUDULENT, UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DECISION BY MANIPULATING THE INTEGRITY OF THE SYSTEM ITSELF FOR THEIR ULTERIOR MOTIVES IN EFFORTS TO RAPE AND PILLAGE THE POPULOUS. CONTEMPT?,...MY CONTEMPT IS WITH JUDGES AND GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO BLOODY THEIR ROBES LIKE A BUNCH OF RECREANT BASTARDS AND JUST BECAUSE THEY KNOW SOME LAW, THEY TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PUBLIC WHO BY OATH THEY WERE TO PROTECT AND SERVE, LEAVING THEM IN DIRE STRAITS BECAUSE THEY, THE PEOPLE, DO NOT THEMSELVES KNOW LAW. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH COURTS WHO DESTROY PEOPLES LIVES AND FAMILIES, LIKE YOUR FOREFATHERS DID DURING THE TIME OF SLAVERY AND JIM CROW LAWS BEHIND LIES AND OPPROBRIOUS GOVERNMENTAL ACTION WITH NO MERCY OR REGARD FOR TRUTH OR WHAT IS "JUST AND FAIR" BEFORE THE FACE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH TREACHEROUS, UNSCRUPULOUS INDIVIDUALS AND DEMON DOGS OF THIS WORLD THAT MAKE JUDICIAL AND LIFE DECISIONS WITHOUT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THAT GOD IS REAL AND HE IS WATCHING THEM, AND THEY ACT OR LEGISLATE OR MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATION LIKE THEY KNOW BETTER THAN GOD, LIKE YOUR GLOBAL LAWS ARE BETTER THAN GOD'S LAWS, AND TO ME THAT IS THE GREATEST CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR OF THE HIGHEST COURT OF JUSTICE IN EXISTENCE IN THE ANNALS OF HUMAN THOUGHT. SO DON'T YOU RESPONDENT, AND THESE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIALS, RECREANT DEVILS, HYPOCRITICAL MOCKERS AT FEAST, TELL ME ABOUT CONTEMPT OR ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR. I AM HERE TO ADDRESS THE MOST EGREGIOUS ACT OF CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR LAID BEFORE US, THE COMPROMISING OF MY DIRECT APPEAL AND THE FRAMING OF THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AS WELL AS THE CONTEMPT FOR THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. WHEN YOU HEAR ME SPEAK AS HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL, YOU HEAR THE VOICE OF THE KING-KHALIFAH SPEAKING UNDER GOD'S LAWS WHICH SUPERSEDE YOUR LAWS. I SPEAK IN THE VOICE OF THE

CROWN. "ACTUS SERVI IN IIS QUIBUS OPERA EJUS COMMUNITER ADHIBITA EST, ACTUS DOMINI HABETUR"---THE ACTS AND WORDS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES, IN WHICH HE IS EMPLOYED BY THE CROWN ARE THE WORDS AND ACTS OF THE CROWN ITSELF. THEREBY, THEY ARE ACTS OF GOD, AS HIS LAWGIVER PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT. "ACTUS DELNEMINI FACIT INJURIAM"---ACTS OF GOD DOES WRONG TO NO ONE. THUS, THE WORDS I SPEAK ARE PROTECTED SPEECH INVOLVING MORAL, SOCIAL AND POLITICAL INTEREST PRODUCING PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS WHERE IT WOULD BE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID YOUR JURISDICTION IF THE COURT PENALIZED THEIR EXERCISE BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT WHICH WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE AND ARTICLE IV § 2 AS WELL AS ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. "LEGITIME IMPERANTI PARERE NECESSE EST"---THE ONE WHO COMMANDS BY THE DECREE OF GOD, MUST BE OBEYED. "CONSUETUDO VINCIT COMMUNEM LEGEM"---THE CUSTOMS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH ARE THE LAWS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ARE THE ACTS OF HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL. "DORMIUNT ALIQUANDO LEGES NUNQUAM MORIUNTUR"---GOD'S LAWS MAY SOMETIMES SEEMINGLY SLEEP, BUT THEY NEVER DIE. THE KING-KHALIFAH THROUGH HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL RESTORES ALL THINGS AS THE CONTRACT COMMANDS. THE SLEEPER HAS AWAKEN. "DROIT NE DONE PLUS QUE SUIT DEMAUNDE"---GOD'S LAWS THAT SUPERSEDE THIS COURT'S GIVE NO MORE THAN WHAT IS DEMANDED. "LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUT SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA"---THE LAWS OF GOD IS THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH POSSESS (WHICH INCLUDE THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY); FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING-KHALIFAH, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH ARE RULED; AND IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH, NOR INHERITANCE. THIS INCLUDE THAT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY YOU GAVE THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION. THIS IS NOT FRIVOLOUS HAVING LEGAL BASIS IN PROBATE LAW AND FOUND IN BLACK LAW DICTIONARY. "NECESSITAS SUB LEGE NON CONTINETUR, QUIA QUOD ALIAS NON EST LICITUM NECESSITAS FACIT LEITUM"---NECESSITY IS NOT RESTRAINED BY LAW, SINCE WHAT OTHERWISE IS NOT LAWFUL NECESSITY MAKES LAWFUL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES SPEAK IN SERVICE OF THE CROWN AS THE KING-KHALIFAH ESTABLISHES HIS GOVERNMENT AS IS HIS RIGHT PROTECTED BY DEFAULT AND CONTRACT AS WELL AS UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10

AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THAT WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME JUSTIFYING PENALTY OR SANCTION. BY JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE VOICE OF THE CROWN IS NOT TO BE RESTRAINED. "FIAT JUSTITIA PEREAT MUNDUS"---LET JUSTICE BE DONE THOUGH THE WORLD PERISH!!!, SCHOONER-EXCHANGE-x.-McFADDEN, 7 CRANCH 116, 11 U.S. 116, 1812 WL 1310, 3 L.Ed. 287(U.S.1812); DOE-x.-FEDERAL-DEMOCRATIC-RE-PUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6, 16-(D.D.C.2016); DOGAN x.-BARAK, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6024416(C.D.2016); SABATINA-x. REINSTEIN,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); SNYDER x.-RHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir.2009); LAROCHELLE-x.-WILMAC CORPORATION, 210 F.Supp.3d. 658(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE-x.-RECTOR AND-VISITORS-OF-GEORGE-MASON-UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D. Va.2016).

(3) EXHIBIT, "COMPLEX CASE". THESE ARE COPIES OF LETTERS SENT TO THE COURT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 BY ATTORNEY HALL WHO IS THIS COURT'S ATTORNEY AS IS THE RESPONDENT'S IN THAT CASE. THIS DEMONSTRATES THAT THE CASE IS COMPLEX AND THAT THE FEDERAL AGENTS KRISTY KHOL AND PAUL GUNTER APPEARED IN THIS CASE MAKING THEM PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT. THUS, I OBJECT TO HIS CLAIM ITS HUNDREDS OF PAGES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG AS TO WHAT IS FILED IN THIS CASE. IT IS A COMPLEX CASE, POTENTIALLY THE LARGEST CASE IN THIS NATION'S HISTORY. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO SHOW THE COURT THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE JUDGE LEE SAT ON TO SUBSTANTIATE THE STRUCTURAL ERROR IN THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL AND ESTABLISH THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

(4) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 1". THESE DOCUMENTS PROVE THE MATTERS WERE HEARD IN FULL HEARING BEFORE JUDGE LEE AND A SUBSEQUENT HEARING WAS ATTEMPTED UNDER JUDGE GRIFFITH WHERE THE JUDGES FAILED TO THROW THE CASE OUT, THOUGH THEY CANNOT BE THROWN OUT DUE TO THE FEDERAL REMAND, AND THE RESPONDENT MADE THESE SAME CLAIMS OF FRIVOLOUS AND SOUGHT TO DISMISS BUT IT WAS NOT GRANTED. THE JUDGES AGREED THAT THE CASE WAS NOT NORMAL, THAT IT WAS COMPLEX, BUT DID NOT DETERMINE THAT THE CASE WAS FRIVOLOUS NOR DID THEY GRANT THE STATE AND OR RESPONDENT'S MOTIONS OF DISMISSAL IN THAT APRIL 3, 2014 HEARING WHICH IS THE ESSENTIAL REASON THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THESE MATTERS PRESENT THEMSELVES NOW. JUDGE TOAL ATTEMPTED TO SIT ON THIS CASE BUT WAS FORCED TO RECUSE. SHE AND HEARNS DUE TO PAST INVOLVEMENT MUST RECUSE NOW. RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. THE RESPONDENT IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM RAISING THESE ISSUES AND OR CLAIMS WHICH WERE ADJUDICATED IN A FORMER SUIT AND ANY ISSUE WHICH MIGHT HAVE BEEN RAISED IN THE FORMER SUIT, SARA-Y.-WILSON-APPELLANT,-x.-CHARLESTON-COUNTY SCHOOL-DISTRICT-RESPONDENT,--S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 1075196(S.C. 2017); HARDWICK-x.-BANK-OF-AMERICA,-N.A., 2016 WL 3563083(DSC. 2016); EICHMAN-x.-EGOTOMAT-CORP., 147 Cal. App.3d. 1170, 1174 (1983); KEARNEY-x.-FOLEY-AND-LARDNER-LLP., 2016 WL 5405552(2016). HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS TAINTED BY THIS FRAUD AND MUST BE STRUCK

(5) EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 2-4". THIS IS ONE OF THE GREATER ACTS OF FRAUD, CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR DONE BY THE RESPONDENT. THESE DOCUMENTS INVOLVE JUDGE LEE WHO SAT ON MY DIRECT APPEAL. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION IN ORDER THAT THE COURT WOULD HAVE

ON THE RECORD THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE TO WHICH JUDGE LEE WAS INVOLVED IN WITHIN THE RICHLAND COURT PROCEEDINGS CONNECTED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH WHICH MADE IT ESSENTIAL THAT SHE RECUSE OFF MY DIRECT APPEAL CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOID THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO WILLIAMS-V.-PENN-SYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) VOIDING THE ORDER THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IS USING AS A JURISDICTIONAL PREREQUISITE REQUIRING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO ENTERTAIN THESE MATTERS INVOKING THEIR ORIGINAL JURISDICTION. THIS TOO, TAINTS THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND THE PROCEEDINGS PLACING HIM IN FORFEITURE. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING."** IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS, HE PURPOSELY IN EFFORTS TO DECEIVE THIS COURT, DID NOT ADDRESS OR RESPOND TO THIS KEY ISSUE SOUGHT REVIEW PLACING HIM IN FORFEITURE. IF HE DID ADDRESS THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE. HE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ASSERT THAT THE DOCUMENTS HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH MY DIRECT APPEAL. JUDGE LEE WAS APPOINTED "TRUSTEE" BY THE KING-KHALIFAH AND FAILED IN HER DUTY AS TRUSTEE FOR WHICH SHE IS BEING SUED, NOT AS A JUDGE, BUT AS TRUSTEE. THE DEFAULT ESTABLISHING THE POWER THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES PRESENTLY EXERCISES, WAS DONE IN [4] PARTS. THE [92] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED MAY 2014; THE [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DIRECTLY AFTER; THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT ATTACHED; AND THE [31] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015 WHICH COMPLETELY VOIDED THEIR JURISDICTION THAT THEY BLOCKED FILING FOR TWO MONTHS ATTEMPTING TO PERPETRATE MORE FRAUD TRYING TO FALSELY ALLEGE COOK DID NOT SIGN IT WHEN HE DID. THIS ACT OF FRAUD IS NOT NEBULOUS DESIGNED TO HAVE A DIRECT IMPACT ON MY APPEAL TO PREVENT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ENTRY INTO THE CASE. THE INITIAL DEFAULT DOCUMENT WAS FILED MAY 2014. THEY NEVER TIMELY FILED TO CHALLENGE THIS UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT NOR THE SUBSEQUENT [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT EXERCISING POWER. THE "GENTRY CODE" WAS NOT CRACKED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH UNTIL OCTOBER 2015 WHERE IT WAS FILED IN THE FORM OF THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT. THE FINAL AND COMPLETE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT WAS NOT FILED UNTIL DECEMBER 1, 2015 DUE TO THEY BLOCKING ITS FILING FOR TWO MONTHS AS INDICATED BY THE DATE OF THAT DOCUMENT. JUDGE LEE AND THE RESPONDENT HAD [30] DAYS TO RESPOND OR THE AFFIDAVITS STAND AS LAW. THEY WERE SO MYSTIFIED AT HOW THE KING-KHALIFAH DID THE LEGAL MOVE THAT THEY MISSED THE WINDOW TO RESPOND. THE FEDERAL JUDGES INVOLVED SCREAMED, AND JUDGE LEE THEN REALIZED THE MAGNITUDE OF THE POWER THEY INADVERTENTLY PERMITTED THE KING-KHALIFAH TO ACHIEVE. SO THEY CHOSE AN ACT OF FRAUD TO NEGATE THE VERITY OF THE DEFAULT BY TRYING TO OBTAIN FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO REMOVE THE CASE BY PETITION TO PROTECT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION WHICH ALSO VOIDED ANY ATTEMPT THEY MADE TO CORRECT THEIR ERROR. THE FEDERAL JUDGES AGREED THAT THEIR ACTIONS WERE VOID. JUDGE LEE'S SILENCE IS ACCEPTANCE TO ACT AS TRUSTEE, WHICH IS OF NO CONSEQUENCE, BECAUSE BY THE DEFAULT VIEWED THROUGH THE [70] PAGE DOCUMENT IN THE APPENDIX, THEY ARE BOUND WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT. JUDGES BENJAMIN AND TOAL SUBSEQUENTLY TRIED TO FIX JUDGE LEE'S MISTAKE IN ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO ACHIEVE THAT SUPERSEDING POWER IN THEIR PROCEEDINGS, BUT BOTH JUDGES BENJAMIN AND TOAL FAILED DUE TO THE CASE BEING REMOVED. EVEN THE FEDERAL JUDGES TRIED TO AID THEM CORRECT THEIR ERROR, BUT THEY FAILED ALSO TO THIS PRESENT DATE. DUE TO THIS, THE RESPONDENT DOES NOT WANT TO BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THIS ISSUE, TO HIDE THE FACT THAT ALL OF THESE DOCUMENTS ARE ADMISSIBLE

AS JURISDICTIONAL EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH THE CONNECTIONS HE FRAUD-  
ULENTLY STATES DO NOT EXIST AND THE MAGNITUDE OF THE STRUCTURAL  
ERROR THAT PRESENTS ITSELF BY JUDGE LEE SITTING ON THE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES DIRECT APPEAL, AND TO ALSO CONCEAL  
THE FACT THAT IF HE IS REQUIRED TO RESPOND. THERE WOULD THEN  
INDEED BE PLACED ON THE COURT RECORD EVIDENCE THAT WOULD DEMON-  
STRATE THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT, AND THAT THE ATTORNEY GEN-  
ERAL'S TITLE AND THE RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES  
WITH ALL OF ITS SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY, WHICH INCLUDE  
EVERY ACT WE DO BEFORE THIS COURT, IS JUST, VALID AND CORRECT,  
DESPITE THE ACTS OF FRAUD HE IS ATTEMPTING BEFORE THIS COURT.  
DUE TO THIS ATTEMPTED FRAUD, HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS CORRUPTED,  
ILLEGAL, VOID AND INADMISSIBLE. YOU ADD TO THIS INJUSTICE THE  
FACT THAT RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES.  
THE MOTION FOR DISMISSAL MUST BE STRICKEN. HE MUST BE DEEMED  
IN FORFEITURE AND IT IS "HE", WHO ENDEAVORS TO ABUSE THE COURT,  
SHOW CONTEMPT OF ITS FUNCTIONS, DEMONSTRATING IMPROPER BEHAVIOR  
AND DISPLAYING REPENTANT DISREGARD FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF LAW.  
THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CANNOT OBTAIN RULING PROCURED BY FRAUD,  
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I OBJECT TO  
ANY CLAIM THAT A TRUSTEE IS NOT APPOINTED. ALL THAT THE LAW  
REQUIRES IS THAT THERE BE A "TRUST", WHICH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES EXPLAINED BY EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE" IN  
THE APPENDIX; THAT THERE ARE BENEFICIARIES, WHICH THERE ARE  
ALSO EXPLAINED BY THAT DOCUMENT; THAT THERE BE ONE WHO IS OVER  
THAT "TRUST", WHICH THERE IS VIA THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH;  
AND HIS INTENT IS TO APPOINT A "TRUSTEE", WHICH THE EXHIBITS  
PROVE. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO ESTABLISH THAT JURISDIC-  
TIONAL FACT ALSO, WHICH IS WHY THE APPENDIX CANNOT BE STRICKEN.  
JUDGE LEE DIVIDED HER LOYALTIES AND WAS REMOVED AS TRUSTEE.  
THEREUPON, THE KING-KHALIFAH APPOINTED JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN  
IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WHICH THE MANDAMUS PROVES WHERE BY  
DEFAULT SHE IS LEGALLY BOUND EVEN WITHOUT HER CONSENT BEING  
AN EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE  
TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION WHERE THESE RIGHTS ARE NOW ESTABLISHED  
THEREBY, BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); REGG  
v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); CHRISTIANSON-v.  
M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.  
2013); CALDWELL-v.-WIKQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.  
App.2013); FERRARA-v.-QUADROZZI-EQUIPMENT-LEASING-CORP., 2013  
WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); MILLER-v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013  
WL 1868028(Va.2013); GILLIAM-v.-GREENWOOD-PROFESSIONAL-INVESTI-  
GATORS-INC., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8481972(S.C.App.2013); IN-RE-  
BRANNON, 383 S.C. 374, 680 S.E.2d. 776(S.C.2009); MASSI-v.-WASH-  
INGTON-CO., 2013 WL 5410810(DSC.2013); IN-RE-BLACKWATER-SECURITY  
CONSULTING-LLC., 460 F3d. 576 CA4 (N.C.2006). HERE THE COURT  
WILL FIND:

(6) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 5". THESE  
ARE THE INDICTMENTS FROM VARIOUS STATES. THERE ARE [33] STATES  
THAT ADOPT THE FEDERAL PROVISIONS OF AN INDICTMENT VIA THEIR  
STATES CONSTITUTIONS. OF THE [33] STATES INVOLVED, ABOUT [25]  
HAVE THIS FATAL DEFECT OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY IN THEIR INDICT-  
MENTS WHERE THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE IS TAKEN AWAY, THE  
BURDEN BY THE LANGUAGE IS SHIFTED, THE OUTCOME IS PREDETERMINED  
AND THE COURTS CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS  
REA ELEMENTS IN ATTEMPTS TO FIX IT BY INSUFFICIENT CURATIVE  
INSTRUCTION. THE FACT THAT ALL STATES THAT MAKE USE OF AN INDICT-  
MENT DO NOT POSSESS THIS FATAL CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR

IS SUFFICIENT PROOF THIS IS NOT A FRIVOLOUS ISSUE BECAUSE THOSE STATES KNEW YOU COULD NOT DO THIS AND IT BE CONSTITUTIONAL. CLAIMS THAT CHALLENGE CONVICTION ARE HISTORICALLY NON FRIVOLOUS.

SOUTH CAROLINA IS ONE OF THE STATES THAT FAILED TO CATCH UP. THIS ISSUE APPLIES TO ALL OF US DESPITE ANY VARIANCE IN ALLEGED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, AS THE RESPONDENT SO IGNORANTLY PUT IT. THIS IS A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR, NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE IF YOU MUST CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS REA ELEMENTS IN EFFORTS TO CURE IT. THE CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT TRAPS YOU IN A QUAGMIRE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL ACTION WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION DUE TO IT EFFECTING THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" PROCEEDINGS. THIS IS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OF SUCH A MAGNITUDE IT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. EVERY COURT AND STATE AROUND THE NATION IS VOIDING JURISDICTION FOR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR ACTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS, WHICH FALL UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION. SEE U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp. 3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC. 2014); U.S. v. ALADEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); HUNT v. U.S. F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 5131716(DSC.2007); BROWN v. U.S. 2014 WL 2871398(DSC.2014); WELL FARGO BANK N.A. v. FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(N.C.2016); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALGORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); WEARRY v. GAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016); WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(U.S.2016); WEAVER v. MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899 (U.S.2017). FOR THE RESPONDENT TO ASSERT THAT THESE JURISDICTIONAL CLAIMS ARE FRIVOLOUS, IS NOT ONLY DUMB, STUPID AND PSYCHOTIC. IS IS ALSO FANTASTIC, DELUSIONAL AND A STRONG INDICATION THAT HIS MIND IS IN TRANSMIGRATIONAL FLUX IN ANOTHER DIMENSION OR ON ANOTHER PLANET. PERHAPS HE'S ON THE PLANET "ZOTT", DRINKING "MONKEY PEE" IN A COCONUT.

INSOMUCH, IN ORDER FOR A CASE TO BE DEEMED FRIVOLOUS, ESSENTIALLY TWO PRONGS MUST EXIST. (1) WE MUST BE MAKING EFFORTS TO SUE SOMEONE WHO CANNOT BE SUED. THE RIGHTS WERE LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR WHERE THEY DEFAULTED. (2) IF WE ARE INFRINGING UPON RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED. THIS IS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY HE MUST RESPOND TO PROVE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT. THERE ARE NON GOVERNMENT PARTIES AND JUDGES CAN BE SUED FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, WHERE THEY ACTED WITHOUT JURISDICTION, WHERE THEY DID NON JUDICIAL ACTS, THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS UNDER THE F.S.I.A. AND THE C.A.T. TREATY. CONGRESS CARVED EXCEPTIONS THERE. YOU HAVE THE HOBBS ACT VIOLATIONS AND THERE IS A CONSISTENT ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW THROUGHOUT THE DOCUMENTS PRESENTED. HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(7) EXHIBITS, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 6-12". THESE DOCUMENTS ALSO INTRINSICALLY MAKE UP CASE "0084" EXPLAINING WHAT JUDGE LEE WAS OVER WHEN SHE SAT UPON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES DIRECT APPEAL. THE MOMENT SHE SAW THE KING-KHALIFAH'S NAME APPEAR ON ANY DOCUMENT BEFORE THAT COURT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT DOCUMENT WAS FILED BEFORE THE COURT AT THE TIME OF HER ENTRY INTO THE COURT. SHE KNEW BETTER, AND SHE WAS REQUIRED TO RECUSE. THE DAMAGE BY HER PRESENCE CANNOT BE DETERMINED PRODUCING A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT THERE IS SUIT AGAINST HER BY US PENDING. THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT EXPLAINS THE CONCEPT OF FRIVOLOUS. IT EXPLAINS THE JUSTIFICATION AMOUNT

FOR THE LIEN. IT EXPLAINS ALSO HOW THE DEFAULT OCCURRED. IT EXPLAINS THE ISSUE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, ALL OF IT SUBSTANTIALLY ROOTED IN AN ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW. THE DOCUMENT GIVES GREATER DETAIL AS TO THE ADDITIONAL FRAUD THE RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN. HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS PRESENTED BASED UPON FRAUD AND IS VOID, ILLEGAL AND MUST BE STRUCK. HE CAN TELL HIS STORY WALKING TO GET THAT EVIDENCE AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE IMMEDIATELY!!! I FURTHER OBJECT TO THE RESPONDENT'S CLAIM THAT WE ARE USING BIBLE VERSES AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WILLINGNESS TO COMPLY IS BASED UPON A NON EXISTENT TITLE. "NEMO TENETUR INFORMARE QEI NESCIT SED QUISQUIS SCIRE QUOD INFORMAT"---NO ONE WHO IS IGNORANT OF A THING IS BOUND OR PERMITTED TO GIVE INFORMATION OF IT, BUT EVERYONE IS BOUND TO KNOW WHAT HE GIVES INFORMATION OF. THE RESPONDENT HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT SPECIFICALLY HE IS DEALING WITH HERE. THE TORAH, THE BIBLE, THE QURAN AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE NO MERE VERSES. THEY ARE THE ESTABLISHING OF "CONTRACT", OF "COVENANT", OF "COMPACT", OF "GRANTS". THEY ARE WILLS AND TESTAMENTS OF THE EARTH'S GREATEST PROPHETS AND KINGS, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER LAW, EVEN UNDER THIS NATION'S CONSTITUTION, ESPECIALLY UPON THE EMERGING OF THE RIGHTFUL HEIR AND SOVEREIGN. THE HEIR AND KING-KHALIFAH HAS COME. FURTHER, HE IS IN DEFAULT WHICH PROCEDURALLY BARS HIM FROM MAKING THIS CLAIM ALSO BY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL UNTIL HE PRODUCES PROOF BEFORE THIS COURT HE TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THOSE AFFIDAVITS. I OBJECT. THE MOTION IS SUBMITTED AS AN ACT OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION TO EVADE THE ESTOPPEL AND OR RES JUDICATA. IT IS FRAUD. IT MUST BE STRUCK. HE IS IN DEFAULT ON THE DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY. I BRING THE COURT AND PARTIES ATTENTION BACK TO EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 6", THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT; AND THOSE SENT TO JUDGE LEE. WE HAVE [3] DOCUMENTS IN QUESTION HERE GENTLEMEN. THE [92] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT OF DEFAULT FILED MAY 2014. THE SUBSEQUENT [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED ABOUT A MONTH LATER GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXERCISING OF SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY. THE [31] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015 VOIDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION. PRODUCE BEFORE THIS COURT ANY DOCUMENTATION THAT PROVE YOU TIMELY (EMPHASIS ADDED) SOUGHT TO CHALLENGE THESE [3] DOCUMENTS THEN I WILL CONCEDE. UNTIL THEN HE CAN TELL HIS STORY WALKING WHILE HE GOES AND GET THAT EVIDENCE WE SEEK AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE . IF HE FAILS AT THIS CHALLENGE, SINCE YOU NEVER ACTUALLY AND OR INADEQUATELY REBUTTED THE CLAIMS AND OR TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" AND "GRANT" GIVEN, WHICH WOULD ALSO REQUIRE THAT YOU PROVE THAT THE [3] HOLY BOOKS ARE FALSE, WHICH YOU CANNOT DO, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPEARANCE. FAIL AT THIS. THEREUPON, BY SUCH FAILURE, BY WRIT OF COMMISSION SIGNED BY THE CROWN. MY TITLE STANDS AS A MATTER OF LAW SINCE THESE RIGHTS WERE LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR BEFORE A RELIABLE COURT OF LAW AND DEFAULTED ON BY DUE PROCESS LAW. THE "CONTRACT" STANDS AND YOU NOR THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CAN IMPAIR RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. HOW DO YOU KNOW A REAL PROPHET OF GOD?..WHEN THAT WHICH HE TELLS YOU COME TO PASS (DEUT.18:21-22). RETURN OUR GOD'S, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S AS THE SOLE CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, TOLBERT-v.-STEVENSON, 635 F3d. 646(4th.Cir. 2011); FOX-v.-VICE, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011);

HIDRIA, U.S.A., INC. v. DELO, 415 S.C. 533, 783 S.E.2d. 839(S.C. 2013)(THE BURDEN WAS ON THE APPELLANT; SINCE HE CONSPIRED TO GIVE ANSWERS THROUGH HIS FRAUD MOTION. NOW IT SHIFTS BACK TO THE RESPONDENT BY THE DOCUMENTS NOW SUBMITTED OR YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE); GOURDINE v. KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPE AMERICA, INC., 223 F.Supp.3d. 475(DSC.2016); BRAZDELL v. WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); STATE v. BENNETT, 393 S.C. 526, 713 S.E.2d. 591(S.C.2011); McKELVEY v. REYNOLDS, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); DEL ZOTTO v. UNIVERSAL PHYSICIAN SERVICES, LLC, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016); CHIMEBY'S MANAGEMENT, CO., LLC v. AFFILIATED INSURANCE CO., 152 F.Supp.3d. 159 (2016); ROBES v. FORBES, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015 WY. 13 (2015); RIETH-THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014); N.L.R.B. v. AMAX COAL CO., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2798(U.S.1981); U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015). HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(9) EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 13 AND 14. I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4] THRONES FAILED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO ANY ORDER. YOU HAVE FRAUD. THE ORDERS ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID. NO ORDER OR DETERMINATION CAN STAND IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND IT IMPOSES NO DUTY. IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD IN YOU PRODUCING THIS DOCUMENT WITH WHATEVER JUDGE IS OVER CASE 2017-000605. YOU HAVE THAT ATTORNEY FILING BRIEF OVER [60] DAYS PAST THE DEADLINE ORDERED WITHOUT AN EXTENSION OF TIME BEING ISSUED. YOU DID NOT SEEK TO DISMISS HER DUE TO NON COMPLIANCE TO ORDER. THE QUESTION BECOMES WHY? AND WHY FILE THAT BRIEF ONE DAY AFTER I FILED THE LAST PLEADING? ITS BECAUSE BY MY LAST PLEADING I ARGUED THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT KNEW TO STAY THAT CASE PENDING APPEAL. SO YOU, BREAKING THE LAW AS USUAL, CONSPIRING, IGNORING THE APPEAL WHICH DIVEST THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION, TO NEGATE MY LAST FILING, YOU PEOPLE CRIMINALLY INSTRUCT HER TO FILE THE BRIEF NOW. THIS TOO, PROVES FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. HER BRIEF IS VOID AND THESE TWO CASES ARE NOW CONSOLIDATED. **IT IS SO ORDERED. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING."** STOP THIS FOOLISHNESS AND GET THAT EVIDENCE, THE SEARCH WARRANT RELATED TO THE CROWN AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIAL. MY CONTEMPT IS WARRANTED AND IT IS "YOU" WHO HAVE A DISREGARD FOR THE LAW. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE. WE DON'T FEAR YOU DEVILS. WE FEAR THE ONE TRUE GOD. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE. UNLESS YOU MEET THIS CHALLENGE WITHOUT ANY ADDITIONAL FRAUD. ALL RELIEF IS IMMEDIATELY GRANTED. THE SAME LAWS YOU CALL BIBLE VERSES ESTABLISH THE VATICAN AS A SOVEREIGN NATION . THEY HAVE AN ATTORNEY GENERAL. BY CONTRACT THE KING-KHALIFAH ASCENDS ABOVE THE POPE, AN UNPRECEDENTED ADVENT FORETOLD BY RELIGIOUS PROPHECY. IF THIS IS PERMITTED FOR THE VATICAN AND INDIAN NATIONS AS SOVEREIGN NATIONS. SUCH RIGHT OF APPOINTMENT OF AN ATTORNEY GENERAL IS OURS AS WELL BY THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE KING-KHALIFAH WANTS TO SEE HIS BABIES. YOU RATS, SNAKES AND SCORPIONS STOLE FROM HIM, AND GET HIS MONEY. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**, BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC v. AL TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S. v. HACKLEY, 662 F3d. 671 CA4 (Va.2011); PAUL ADAMS v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; HOLLOWAY v. PERRY, 2016 WL 4074149; BODMAN v. STATE, 403 S.C. 60, 742 S.E.2d. 363(S.C.2013); STERNS BANK NAT'L ASS'N v. GREENWOOD FALLS, L.P. (S.C.App.2007) 373 S.C. 331, 644 S.E.2d. 793; ELLIOTT v. PIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26

; U.S. x. THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71 (U.S. 1871); NUDD x. BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683 (U.S. 1875); TAYLOR x. U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462 (U.S. 2016); SCHWARTZ x. BOARD OF EXAMS OF THE STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R. 2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796 (U.S. 1957); FACEIT x. SULLIVAN, 2017 EWL 3710066 (D.C. Nev. 2017); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F.2d. 590 (9th Cir. 1992); McBURNAY x. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758 (U.S. 2013); HENRY x. VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123 (2017); MARBURY x. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; JOHNSON x. UNITED STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450 (U.S. 2015); MONTGOMERY x. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S. 2016); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE x. ALCORN, 820 F.3d. 624 (4th Cir. 2016).

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, CAUSES OF ACTION ON THE STATE LEVEL THAT ARE ARGUED AND PRESENTED WITHIN ALL DOCUMENTS NOW FILED IN THIS CASE ARE NOW BEING ARGUED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN ITS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION. THIS CASE IS ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED UNLESS THE RESPONDENT CAN PRODUCE EVIDENCE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THOSE AFFIDAVITS ESTABLISHING THIS POWER AND AUTHORITY EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THIS MOTION TO DISMISS THE RESPONDENT NOW SUBMITS. ANSWER THE DOGGON' PLEADINGS!!! PRODUCE THAT EVIDENCE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIAL. BY YOUR ACTIONS "YOU" ARE THE ONE WHO ABUSED THE COURTS IN YOUR SHAM LEGAL PROCESS. YOUR FRAUD EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER AND IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION PLACING YOU


IN FORFEITURE. MR. WELL, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING.", NUDD x. BURROWS SUPRA.; U.S. x. THROCKMORTON SUPRA.; 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE x. ALCORN SUPRA. THE SERVANTS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD DON'T DO ANY RUMP KISSING. DO YOUR DOGGON' JOB AND GET THAT EVIDENCE AND STOP YOUR FRAUD. YOU DEVILS PUT YOUR PANTS ON ONE LEG AT A TIME THE SAME WAY AS DO THE KING-KHALIFAH. WHO HAS MORE RIGHT, YOU OR YOUR COURTS, OR GOD AND HIS COURT? YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT", THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH VIA HIS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR OATH OF OFFICE THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO GO AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES AND JUDGMENT OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH ESTABLISHED THEREBY OR STAND DOWN!!! PRESAULT x. I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S. 1990); BROWN x. BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233 (D.C. Ky. 2013); HARRIS x. HEGRECC, F.Supp.2d. WL 1331166 (N.C. 2013); OPARAH x. NEW YORK DEPT. OF EDUC. F.Supp. 3d., 2015 WL 4240733 (N.Y. D.C. 2015); AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY INS. CO. x. PLYWOOD PLASTICS CORP. 81 F.Supp. 157 (D.C. 1948).

YOUR LAWS CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND THE VOIDING

OF JURISDICTION IS. THUS, BY WRIT OF COMMISSION-MY TITLE IS ESTABLISHED UNTIL YOU CAN PROVE YOU TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT OR THIS CORRUPT OFFICIAL HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THE DOCUMENTS FILED, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WILL BE TREASON AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, ARE BOUND TO THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE OR GIVE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED THEREBY. YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMISSAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT, COHEN-V.-VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(U.S.1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME NOR CAN YOU CALL ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW CLAIMS FRIVOLOUS, ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU HAVE FRAUD AND THEY ARE PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM MAKING SUCH CLAIMS BY RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. HE FAILED TO RAISE THE CLAIMS EVEN IN HIS FIRST MOTION. HE IS BARRED. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING [192] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BY THEIR ACTIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THIS SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO A NATIONAL AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL WHOM HE APPOINTS, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A "LIBERTY" PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT, HURTADO-V. CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 56(U.S.1884); EL-AMEEN-BEY-V.-STUME, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537(D.N.J.2011); UNITED-STATES-V.-ETENYI, --Fed. Appx'-- , 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir.2017); SHUTTLE-WORTH-V.-BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S.1963); SHERER-V.-CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MI-RANDA-V.-ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB-V.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY 355 U.S. 313(U.S.1958); UNITED-STATES-V.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968).

IN THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD,  
THE MOST MERCIFUL, THE MOST GREAT.  
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND ACTING  
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE GLORIOUS  
(4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED  
GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

JANUARY 27, 2018



IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

-----

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY  
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

-----

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-000640

-----

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 22 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL.,

APPELLANT(S)

-----

**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

-----

WE, JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO ENTER THE OPINION AND OR ORDER IN THIS CASE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; MOTION TO INTERVENE; MOTION TO STAY AND OR RECALL THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND OR ORDER RELATED THERETO; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION FOR A REHEARING; MOTION TO STAY DUE TO FRAUD IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND STILL PENDING REVIEW AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS 1015 SUMTER STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201 AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL POST OFFICE BOX 11549 BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID

BY PLACING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 16, 2018.


RESPECTFULLY,  
JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jason Gourdine".

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joseph Rowland".

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

A large, highly stylized handwritten signature in cursive script, likely reading "Jahjah Al Mahdi".

FEBRUARY 16, 2018

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE  
#199055 STONO A-04  
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205  
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

IN RE: CASE 2016-000640

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 22 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,

THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE BEING SUBMITTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF SEEKING A REHEARING IN THIS CASE. IF THE REMITTITUR HAS BEEN SENT, THE FILING ADDRESS THAT ALSO. THERE ARE ALSO PARTIES SEEKING BEFORE THE COURT TO INTERVENE IN CASE 2016-000640 SO DON'T LET ANY ADDITIONAL NAMES SHOWING UP ON THE DOCUMENTS CONCERN YOU. THEY ARE MOTIONING TO INTERVENE. THE EXHIBITS, ONE FROM ATTORNEY HACKETT AND THE (15) PAGE DOCUMENT AND EXHIBIT DATED JANUARY 27, 2018 IS ATTACHED. THE REMAINDER OF THE EXHIBITS REFERRED TO IN THE LEAD DOCUMENT ARE FORTHCOMING. THERE IS AN ADDITIONAL PAGE SENT OF THE LEAD DOCUMENT. CLOCK STAMP IT AND RETURN IT TO ME IN THE SELF ADDRESSED STAMPED ENVELOPE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS PLEASE DO NOT HESITATE TO CONTACT ME. I AM FILING THESE DOCUMENTS TO SEEK REHEARING. THANK YOU. STILL REMAIN,

RESPECTFULLY,  
JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

FEBRUARY 16, 2018