

Exhibit
= ①

RESPONDENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; MOTION FOR FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [15] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 27, 2017 FILED IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN CASE 2017-0002108.

(4) THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO INTERVENE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [8] PAGES DATED FEBRUARY 4, 2018 FILED IN CASE 9:17-cv-2897-TLW-BM.

(5) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, EVEN UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [10] PAGES DATED FEBRUARY 12, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(6) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE AND ALL PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND MOTIONS; SUPPLEMENTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OR APPENDIX IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [15] PAGES DATED JANUARY 27, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(7) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE 3rd. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION; MOTION TO VACATE AND RENDER VOID ALL ORDERS IN CASES 14-2811 AND 14-1364; MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION TO SUSPEND AND OR RELAX THE APPELLATE COURT RULES; MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT; MOTION TO STAY AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [27] PAGES DATED JANUARY 16, 2018 FILED WITHIN THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

(8) THE [31] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS VOIDING

JURISDICTION IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DATED OCTOBER 7, 2015
FILED IN THE RICHLAND COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.

(9) THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE
ESTABLISHING THE DEFAULT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FILED MAY
13, 2014, [92] PAGES DATED MAY 2, 2014 FILED IN THE RICHLAND
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH TO THE
(4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE,
AND HIS DULY APPOINTED BY WRIT OF COMMISSION ATTORNEY GENERAL,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT(S), HEREINAFTER
DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM AND OR
STATE AS FOLLOWS: (A) AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE
MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH; (B)AFFIANT(S) HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE
OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE
TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST
FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO
TESTIFY AS WITNESS(ES) AFFIANT(S) SHALL SO STATE;

WE RENEW THE MOTION FOR YOUR RECUSAL CORRUPT, BASTARD,
SNAKE JUDGES. DO YOU TRULY THINK THAT THIS IS 2006? ITS A BRAND
NEW DAY DEMON DOG, CORRUPT, BASTARD, RAT, STINKING FEDERAL OF-
FICIALS. LETS LIGHT UP THE SKIES WITH FIRE!!! I BIND YOU IN
THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. TERMINAL DISEASE WILL NOW BEGIN
TO ENTER YOUR BODIES. ONCE IT STARTS IT WILL CONSUME YOU AT
AN ACCELERATED RATE TO THE GLORY OF THE GOD I SERVE. LET THE
HAND OF GOD NOW TAP YOU DEVILS, YOU STUPID IGNORANT RUMP SONS
OF BELIAL ON YOUR SHOULDERS. MAY YOU BOTH ROAST IN HELL WHERE
THE FUEL IS MANKIND AND BRIMSTONE, WHERE THE FIRES ARE NEVER
QUENCHED, WHERE THERE IS NO LAW SINCE YOU FORSOOK THE LAW, WHERE
THERE IS NO AGREEMENT EXCEPT YOU REMAIN FOR ALL ETERNITY.

I, WE, MOTION TO REINSTATE BOTH CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-
BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL
CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THE ORDER(S) DISMISSING
THE CASE(S) WAS RECEIVED ON FEBRUARY 17, 2018. THIS GIVES US
UNTIL FEBRUARY 27, 2018 TO SEEK TO REINSTATE THE CASE(S) AND
TOLL ANY TIME FOR APPEAL. THUS, THIS DOCUMENT IS TIMELY BEING

PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 27, 2018, HOUSTON
v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

I, WE, MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER(S) DISMISSING THESE CASES AND I, WE, MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION TO ISSUE THEM. ONCE JUDGE WOOTEN AND MARCHANT CONSPIRED IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, EVEN FILING AN APPEAL OF THIS CASE ESTABLISHING CASE 17-1695 BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DONE BY THAT CONSPIRATORIAL ACTION, TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF THE STATE CASES INVOLVED, INCLUDING THOSE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION WHEN NONE EXISTED IN CASE 2017-0002108, AS THEY DID RELATED TO THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7410 AND CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM. THE FRAUD MADE THE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM IRREGULAR VITIATING THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THEREFORE, JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING".

SECONDLY, IF THE COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF EXHIBIT # 7 ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS DOCUMENT. THIS IS THE 3rd. CIRCUIT DOCUMENT FILED IN CASES 14-2811 AND 14-1364. ONCE THE SNAKE, BASTARD, CORRUPT JUDGE WOOTEN MADE USE OF THE ANTI-TERRORISM EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT ORIGINATING FROM THE OMNIBUS CRIME BILL UNDER 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143. THE PROCEEDINGS PRODUCED A STRUCTURAL ERROR WHICH VOIDED THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION WHERE THIS LEGISLATION WAS DESIGNED TO DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICANS IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(U.S.1880) AND THE-SLAUGHTER HOUSE-CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES KNEW THIS BEFORE THEY DID IT BY THE LITIGATION PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT. I, WE, OBJECT. THIS PLACES YOU IN FORFEITURE CORRUPT , BASTARD, DOG OF A JUDGE AND ALSO CONSTITUTE AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY KNOWING USE OF AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION ALSO FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. ACTS OF CONGRESS AND OR THE COURTS THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW. THE U.S.

CONSTITUTION IS SUPREME TO ANY ACT OF THE COURTS OR LEGISLATOR. I, WE, MOTION TO REINSTATE CASE 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM ALSO BECAUSE YOU DISMISSED THAT CASE IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND RETALIATION FOR OUR USE OF PROTECTED SPEECH IN VIOLATION OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT BECAUSE YOU DID NOT LIKE THE WAY YOU WERE TALKED TO AND TO AID IN CONCEALING THE FACT THAT YOU WERE DISMISSING CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TO CONCEAL WHAT YOU WERE DOING RELATED TO CASE 2017-0002108 PENDING IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, EVANCHO-v.-PINE-RICHLAND-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d. 267(W.D. Pa.2017); TAYLOR-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016); U.S.-v.-ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'-- , 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-RON-PAIR-ENTERPRISES INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE:-ARGO-CREDIT,-LLC.--B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); 1997 WL 10291 U.S.- (APPELLATE-BRIEF)-BRIEF-OF-SENATORS-ORIN-G.-HATCH, STROM-THURMOND-ET.-AL.; PULLIAM-v.-ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(U.S.1984); FORRESTER-v.-WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); U.S.-v.-LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); BENTON v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); SABATINI-v.-REINSTEIN,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE-v.-RECTOR AND-VISITORS-OF-GEORGIA-MASON-UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D. Va.2016); SNYDER-v.-PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir.2009).

FURTHER, ONCE JUDGE WOOTEN AND PARTIES ENGAGED IN THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT THAT ESTABLISHED A FRAUDULENT APPEAL CREATING CASE 17-1695 AND THAT FRAUD WHICH IS ARGUED UNDER CASES 17-7410 AND 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, AND A MOTION FOR RECUSAL WAS APPROPRIATELY AND TIMELY SOUGHT. HIS PRESENCE WITHIN THESE CASES CREATES A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE WHICH ALSO VOIDED THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. HIS PRESENCE CREATED A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WHICH IS SELF EVIDENT BY THE EVENTS THAT MANIFESTED THEMSELVES WITHIN THESE CASES, VOIDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION, UNITED-STATES-v.-QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D. Va.2016); WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); KOLON-INDUSTRIES-INC.-v.-E.I.

DUPONT-De-NEMOURS-&-CO., 748 F3d. 160 CA4 (Va.2014); KENWOOD GARDENS-CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-v.-WHALEN-PROPERTIES,-LLC., 2016 WL 6788052, * 11+ (Md.2016).

INASMUCH, THIS IS WHAT OCCURRED HERE. WE WERE ARGUING WITHIN ALL OF THESE RELATED AND OR PARALLEL CASES THAT THERE WAS REMOVAL AND JURISDICTION BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT REGARDING THESE CASES PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ., SANDRA-J.-KOTTMAN-PLAINTIFF-v.-UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 4185481(W.D.MISSOURI.2017); WARD-v.-HUERBAUGH, 2017 WL 2724938(D.C.Miss.2017); MONROE-v.-PAPE, 365 U.S. 167, 183, 81 S.Ct. 473, 482, 5 L.Ed.2d. 492(U.S.1961).

THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD PULLED AN UNPRECEDENTED LEGAL MOVE BY APPOINTING AN ATTORNEY GENERAL IN THE FORM OF JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND ESTABLISHING HIS AUTHORITY BY WRIT OF COMMISSION BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES INVOLVED, THEN CALL JUDGE WOOTEN AND OTHER INVOLVED JUDGES OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT. THEREUPON, THE RESPONDENT AND THE S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS", IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND MACHINATION, DETERMINED THAT THEY COULD NOT LET IT BE PERMITTED THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE UNITED STATES BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND IN CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108 BECAUSE THEN IT WOULD HAVE INSTANTLY BEEN PROVEN THAT THE UNITED STATES, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS WERE IN DEFAULT , THAT COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHED AND ALL CLAIMS OF FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON ALL GLOBAL COURTS, WHICH INCLUDE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM WAS TRUE, CORRECT AND VALID. THEREFORE, JUDGE WOOTEN MADE FRAUDULENT RULING UNDER CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM TO PERMIT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION OVER CASE 2017-0002108 TO DISMISS THAT CASE TO PREVENT EVIDENCE FROM APPEARING ON THE COURT RECORD IN CASES 2017-0002108 , 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM THAT THE PARTIES WERE IN DEFAULT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, WHICH WOULD HAVE

IMMEDIATELY ESTABLISHED ALL SUPERSEDING FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER, AUTHORITY, RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE CROWN WHICH IS ARGUED UNDER CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND ALL OTHER RELATED STATE AND FEDERAL CASES BEFORE THE COURTS. THUS, HIS ACTIONS IMPAIR THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND IS ANNULLED BY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, POINDEXTER v. GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 5 S.Ct. 903, 29 L.Ed. 185(U.S.1885); ALDEN v. MAINE, 527 U.S. 706, 119 S.Ct. 2240, 144 L.Ed.2d. 636 (U.S.1999); WILL v. MICHIGAN-DEPT.-OF-STATE-POLICE, 491 U.S. 58, 109 S.Ct. 2304(U.S.1989).

JURISDICTION UNDER CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM IS VOID FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND STRUCTURAL ERRORS. THESE STRUCTURAL ERRORS DEFIES ANALYSIS BY HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THERE IS A "TRUSTEE" APPOINTED OVER THESE CASES IN THE FORM OF JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN WHO IS BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION WHERE THESE RIGHTS OF THE CROWN ARE PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION WHERE BY YOUR FRAUD YOU PLACED THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES IN FORCED BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY. THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", EDEN v. GOOD-YEAR-TIRE-&-RUBBER CO., 858 F2d. 198(4th.Cir.1988); CURTIS v. CAFE-ENTERPRISES INC., 2016 WL 6916786(N.C.2016); ELLIOTT v. BOARD-OF-SCHOLL TRUSTEES-OF-MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); WEAVER v. MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA v. HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017); GARCIA-FINANCIAL GROUP v. VIRGINIA-ACCELERATORS-CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001); TRUSTEES-OF-DARTHMOUTH-COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; SPIRES v. SCHOOLS, F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 41274774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANGOR v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014),

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME,

BEFORE ANY COURT, CANNOT BE WAIVED, CAN BE RAISED TO VACATE YOUR ORDERS AND STOP YOUR FRAUD AND ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS, UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL ACTION DONE IN THESE CASES, BOARD-OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION-OPERATING-ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS-FRAGO-BANK-N.A.-v.-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); STRATTEN v.-MECKLENBERG-COUNTY-DEPT.-OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY-TECHNOLOGY-OF-S.C. INC.-v.-BAPTIST-HEALTH-SOUTH-FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC. 2015); LOUGHMAN-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); GRUPO-DALAFLEX-v.-ATLAS-GLOBAL GROUP-L.P., 124 S.Ct. 1920(U.S.2004); SEBELIUS-v.-AUBURN-REGIONAL MEDICAL-CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013).

FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING, AND A JUDGMENT PROCURED BY FRAUD MAY BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED, AND THIS APPLIES TO JUDGMENTS AND DECREES OF ALL COURTS, MYLES-v.-DOMINO'S-PIZZA-LLC., 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST-TECHNOLOGY-CAPITAL-INC.-v.-BANC-TEC-INC., 2016 WL 7444943(D.C.Ky.2016); MARTIN-v.-TARGET-CORP. OF-MINNESOTA, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1187034(D.N.J.2013); McGLAIN v.-1st.-SECURITY-BANK-OF-WASHINGTON, 2016 WL 8504775 (W.D.Wash.2016).

KNOWING FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL INFORMATION NECESSARY TO PREVENT STATEMENT FROM BEING MISLEADING, LIKE YOU DISMISSED THESE CASES TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2017-0002108 TO ALLOW IT TO BE DISMISSED TO PREVENT DISCLOSURE THAT THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES ARE IN DEFAULT TO PREVENT THE RIGHTS FROM ATTACHING IN BOTH CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM IS "FRAUD"; PLACING THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND THE RESPONDENT IN FORFEITURE. YOU HAVE CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING COMMERCE AS WELL AS 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, TO STEAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND PREVENT THE TRUTH OF THE DEFAULT AND SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN FROM BEING ESTABLISHED BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. "EX DOLO MALO NON ORITUR ACTIO"---OUT OF FRAUD NO ACTION ARISES; FRAUD NEVER GIVES A RIGHT OF ACTION. NO COURT SHALL LEND ITS AID TO MAN WHO FOUND HIS CAUSE OF ACTION UPON

AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL ACT. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING". SILENCE BY THE COURT, AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION, INTENTIONALLY, PURPOSELY, SILENCING THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES TO PREVENT EVIDENCE OF THEIR DEFAULT FROM BEING REVEALED AND PREVENT THE ESTABLISHING OF JURISDICTIONAL FACTS EQUATE WITH FRAUD WHEN THERE WAS LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY FOR THE RESPONDENT TO SPEAK, AND BY YOUR FRAUD YOU SILENCED HIM AND THE UNITED STATES, OR WHEN INQUIRY LEFT UNANSWERED AS YOU DID CONCEALING THE TRUTH OF THE DEFAULT WOULD BE INTENTIONALLY MISLEADING TO ALLOW THE COURT TO MISREPRESENT THE FACTS. THE INQUIRY WAS LEFT UNANSWERED ALLOWING YOU TO FRAUDULENTLY MISREPRESENT THE FACTS OF THESE CASES. FRAUD AND DECEIT WILL RAISE FROM SILENCE, AS THE DISTRICT COURT SILENCED THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES IN ACTS OF MACHINATION WHERE THERE IS DUTY TO SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS WELL AS FROM SPEAKING UNTRUTH. PARTY IN INTEREST WILL BECOME LIABLE FOR FRAUD BY MERE SILENT ACQUIESCENCE AND PARTAKING IN THE BENEFITS OF THAT FRAUD, SUCH AS USING IT TO RENDER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. FRAUD IN ITS ELEMENTARY COMMON LAW SENSE OF DECEIT....INCLUDE THE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS AIDING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN CASE 2017-0002108 CONCEAL THE DEFAULT AND BY THE DISTRICT COURT SILENCING THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES, IN A SETTING OF FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION, SUCH AS THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL BEING ABLE TO PROTECT THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". BUT MORE THAN THIS, A PUBLIC OFFICIAL, SUCH AS A JUDGE OR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, IS A FIDUCIARY TOWARDS THE PUBLIC....AND IF HE OR SHE DELIBERATELY CONCEALS MATERIAL INFORMATION FROM THEM, LIKE CONCEALING THE FACT THAT THE DEFAULT IS INDEED LEGALLY BINDING UPON THIS COURT ESTABLISHING SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY, HE OR SHE IS GUILTY OF "FRAUD", 424 F2d. 1021; U.S.-v.-HORN^{hw} R. PRUDDEN, NO. 28140, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, (5th.Cir.1970); RUBINSTEIN-v.-COLLINS, 20 F3d. 160(1990); BRANSON-v.-STANDARD-HARDWARE,-INC.- 874 S.W.2d. 919(1994); ROIN-DEXTER-v.-GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 303(U.S.1885); MORRISON-v.-GODDINGTON, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 ARIZ. 480(1983); UNITED-STATES v.-TWEEL, 550 F2d. 297, 299, 300(1977); U.S.-v.-KORN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2898056(W.D.N.Y.2013); TONEY-v.-COM, 1998 WL 684203(4th. Cir.1988); SEC-v.-FARMER, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5838867(S.D.Tex.

2015); U.S.-v.-MOSBERG, 866 F.Supp.2d. 275 (D.N.J.2011); U.S.-v.-WECHT, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 2223869(W.D.Pa.2008).

THE HOUR IS AT HAND CORRUPT, BASTARD, GOING TO HELL JUDGE. ITS TIME FOR ME TO USHER IN THE GLOBAL RULE OF MY KING, MY GREAT ETC. GRAND UNCLE, JESUS CHRIST OF NAZARETH. ITS TIME TO SET THE WORLD ON FIRE!!! OUR GOD LIVETH!!! HE RISES ABOVE HIS THRONE IN HONOR AND GLORY!!! WHO IS GREATER THAN HE!!!? THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN CANNOT BE BROKEN!!! ONCE MORE TO THE BRINK!!! A COURT CANNOT CONFER OR ESTABLISH JURISDICTION WHERE NONE EXIST AND OR IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND A COURT CANNOT MAKE A VOID PROCEEDING VALID. TO CONSPIRE TO TAKE AWAY REMEDY LIKE THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HABEAS CORPUS REVIEW BY THE FRAUD YOU JUST ENGAGED IN, GIVEN TO HIM FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IS TO TAKE AWAY THAT DUE PROCESS RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH WOULD NOT BE WITHIN THE POWER OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE OR THIS COURT. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO THE STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, SUCH AS DUE TO YOUR FRAUD AND THE STRUCTURAL ERROR RELATED TO WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, WHICH ALL ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS, ITS JUDGMENTS, AND OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION OF THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECUTING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED IN LAW AS TRESPASSERS". THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THE DETERMINATIONS MADE UNDER CASES 2017-0002108, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION, ALSO FOR VIOLATIONS OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE, YOU CORRUPT, BASTARD, GOING TO HELL JUDGES. MAY YOU ROAST IN THE FIRES OF HELL FOREVER, BUT NOT UNTIL YOUR BODIES ARE INFLICTED WITH TERMINAL DISEASE AS YOU HAVE THUS BEEN JUDGED BY GOD'S PROPHET AND APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH. THE FRAUD YOU'VE ENGAGED IN FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING",

ELLIOTT-v.-PIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340 (U.S.1828);
NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON,
98 U.S. 61-67(U.S.1871); WELLS-FARGO-BANK-N.A.-v.-FARAG, 2016
WL 2944561(2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-
ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); TRINSEY-v.-PAGLIARO, 229
F.Supp. 647(D.C.Pa.1964); MYLES-v.-DOMINO'S-PIZZA,-LLC., 2017
WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017).

YOUR OATH OF OFFICE IS A QUID PRO QUO CONTRACT UNDER
U.S. CONST. ARTICLE 6 CL. 2 AND 3, DAVIS-v-LAWYERS-SURETY-CORPOR-
ATION, 459 S.W.2d. 655 Tex. App., IN WHICH CLERKS, OFFICIALS
AND OFFICERS OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PLEDGE TO PER-
FORM, SUPPORT AND UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER STATES
CONSTITUTIONS WITH ITS MANDATES AND PROHIBITIONS IN RETURN FOR
SUBSTANCES SUCH AS WAGES, PERKS AND BENEFITS. THE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT NO STATE, NOR LEGISLATOR, NOR EXECU-
TIVE, NOR JUDGE CAN WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT VIOLA-
TING HIS UNDERTAKING TO SUPPORT IT WHICH IS THE HEART OF YOUR
OATH OF OFFICE. BY WARRING AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION YOU'VE EN-
GAGED IN TREASONOUS ACTS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE DENYING US THE
EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. REFUSING TO LIVE BY YOUR OATHS
OF OFFICE PLACES YOU IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF THAT OATH OF OFFICE
IN EVERY CASE, COOPER-v.-AARON, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401(1958);
IN-RE:-NEELY, 390 P.3d. 728, 2017 Wy. 25; AUERBOCK-v.-SAMUELS,
10 UTAH.2d. 152, 349 P.2d. 1112, 1114; ALLEGANY-CORP.-v.-KIRBY,
D.C.N.Y. 218 F.Supp. 164, 183; KEETON-BACKING-CO.-v.-STATE,
437 S.W. 20, 28; U.S.-v.-EGCLESTON--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL
4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4
(Va.2014); SMITH-v.-CLARK/-SMOOT/-RUSSELL--F3d.--, 2015 WL
4717932 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-HARE, 820 F3d. 93(4th.Cir.2016);
DONATONI-v.-DEPARTMENT-OF-HOMELAND-SECURITY--F.Supp.3d.--,
2016 WL 1755871; WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014);
U.S.-v.-ALEDEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); UNITED-STATES
v.-WILL, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d. 392,
406(1980).

BY JUDGE WOOTEN AND THE OTHER FEDERAL JUDGES ACTIONS
CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO
PREVENT AND SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES FROM
RESPONDING TO PROVE THEY TIMELY CHALLENGED THE AFFIDAVITS OF

DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION. THIS ESTABLISHES COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN WHICH IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. BY THEIR FRAUDULENT ACTIONS THE UNITED STATES AND STATE COURTS ARE IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CLAIMS AND CAUSES INCLUDING HABEAS CORPUS, INCLUDING ESTABLISHING THAT THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID, TO INCLUDE ALL STATE AND FEDERAL CONVICTIONS ADJUDICATED UNDER THEM SINCE 1996. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE. THE PARTIES WERE REQUIRED TO RESPOND AND YOU SILENCED THEM IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO CONCEAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND TO ILLEGALLY SEIZE A FOREIGN OFFICIAL CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING COMMERCE AND IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, WHICH ALSO VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION, UNITED STATES-v.-BURNS, 2016 WL 3910273; DENNIS-v.-SECRETARY-PENNSYLVANIA-DEPARTMENT-OF-CORRECTIONS, --F3d.--, 2016 WL 4440925(3rd. Cir.2016); WEARRY-v.-GAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S. 2016); U.S.-v.-BANK-OF-AMERICA, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 2777397(N.C. 2014). A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT MEANS A FOREIGN STATE IRRESPECTIVE OF RECOGNITION BY THE UNITED STATES. NOTICE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT IS NOT A CONDITION PRECEDENT TO EFFECTIVE NOTIFICATION OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN OFFICIAL TO THE UNITED STATES YOU RAT, STINKING, CORRUPT, BASTARD, DEMON DOG OF JUDGES, INHABITANTS OF THE FIRES OF HELL, WHERE YOU CONSPIRED WITH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES IN CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108 BY DETACHING THE REMOVAL TO ALLOW THEM TO DISMISS CASE 2017-0002108 TO CONCEAL EVIDENCE OF THE DEFAULT WHICH IS DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO THE FEDERAL CASES TO PREVENT IT FROM BEING REVEALED THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. DO INDEED ATTACH TO BOTH CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, UNITED STATES-v.-DIZDAR, CA2 (N.Y.) 1978, 581 F2d. 1031; 18 U.S.C. § 1116; WEEPE-v.-UNITED-STATES, --U.S.--, 106 S.Ct. 179, 88 L.Ed. 2d. 148(U.S.1985).

DID NOT THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS HOLY PROPHETS TELL YOU

THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH SHALL COME? DID NOT THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS HOLY PROPHETS TELL YOU THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH SHALL ASCEND THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES YOU RAT, STINKING, CORRUPT, BASTARD GOING TO HELL JUDGES? I AM FIRE!!! LET US FINISH THIS DISCOURSE BETWEEN ME AND THEE TERMINAL DISEASE BODY DOGS. IN SCHEVER, v.-RHOADES, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683(U.S.1974) ADJUDICATED THAT "WHEN A STATE OFFICIAL, LIKE THE RESPONDENT AND EVEN THE UNITED STATES CONSPIRING WITH YOU TO REMAIN SILENT TO CONCEAL THE DEFAULT GIVING THE KING-KHALIFAH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON THIS COURT, WHEN THEY ACT UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN A MANNER VIOLATIVE OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, THEY COME INTO CONFLICT WITH THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THAT CONSTITUTION, AND THEY ARE IN THAT CASE, EVEN PURSUANT TO THE C.A.T. TREATY AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, THEY IN THAT CASE ARE SURELY NOT IMMUNE AND OR ARE STRIPPED OF THEIR OFFICIAL OR REPRESENTATIVE CHARACTER AND IS SUBJECT IN THEIR PERSON TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR INDIVIDUAL CONDUCT. THE STATE AND OR GOVERNMENT HAS NO POWER TO IMPART TO THEM ANY IMMUNITY FROM RESPONSIBILITY TO THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN THIS CASE, TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES. BY LAW A JUDGE IS A STATE OFFICER AND OR FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THE JUDGE THEN ACTS NOT AS A JUDGE BUT AS A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL AS THEY ALL DID CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, 5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 3333, 7311; S.C. RULE 502.1; BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC,-v.-AL-TAYYAR,---Fed. Appx'-- , 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S.-v.-\$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240.

WHEN JUDGES ACT WHERE THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, OR ENGAGE IN FRAUD, OR THEY ENFORCE A VOID ORDER OR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THEY BECOME TRESPASSERS OF THE LAW, AND ARE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF TREASON SET AGAINST THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE AND THE CONSTITUTION. THE COURT IN YATES-x. VILLAGE-OF-HOFFMAN-ESTATES,-ILLINOIS, 209 F.Supp. 757(N.D.Ill. 1962) HELD THAT "NOT EVERY ACTION BY A JUDGE IS IN EXERCISE OF THEIR SPECIFIC FUNCTION...IT IS NOT A JUDICIAL FUNCTION FOR THEM TO COMMIT AN INTERNATIONAL TORT EVEN IF THAT TORT OCCURS

"IN THE COURTHOUSE". WHEN A JUDGE ACTS AS A TRESPASSER OF THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, WHEN THAT JUDGE DOES NOT FOLLOW THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE. THE JUDGE IS SUBJECT TO DISMISSAL, CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND LOSES SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND THE JUDGE'S ORDERS ARE VOID AND ARE OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT. ALL ACTS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID WHERE IN CONFLICT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. NO MAN SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN ARGUED IN THIS CASE BY WRIT OF ERROR AND IN ALL PENDING RELATED § 1983 ACTIONS, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, NOR SHALL HE BE ADJUDICATED OF OR TRIED FOR AN OFFENSE BY EX POST FACTO LAW WHERE EX POST FACTO LAW SHALL BE PASSED AND ALL SAID RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE INVIOLETE AND WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE WHERE THESE SUPERSEDING FOREIGN SOVEREIGN RIGHTS ARE NOW LEGALLY PROTECTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, R.A.M. OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. v. W.C.I. COMMUNICATORS, INC., 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761; SCHOONER EXCHANGE v. McFAFFON, 7 CRANCH 116, 11 U.S. 116, 1812 WL 1310, 3 L.Ed. 287(U.S.1812); DOE v. FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6, 16(D.D.C.2016); DOGAN v. BARAK, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6024416 (C.D.2016); U.S. v. STERLING, 724 F3d. 482 CA4 (Va.2013); BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; NELSON v. U.S. BANK N.A., 2015 WL 685271(DSC.2015).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT(S) , HEREIN DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM AND OR STATE THESE FACTS, TO WIT, (A) AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH; (B) AFFIANT(S) HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS WITNESSES OF YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS AFFIANT(S) SHALL STATE THE SAME. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS WARNED, BECAUSE WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL COMMANDS BY THE COURT ON THE SURFACE, MANY CITIZENS, BECAUSE

OF RESPECT FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL, YET IN THIS CASE WE HAVE FRAUD UPON THE CONSPIRING COURTS INCLUDING THE DISTRICT COURT, MANY CITIZENS ARE CUNNINGLY COERCED AND OR MANIPULATED INTO WAIVING THEIR RIGHTS DUE TO IGNORANCE. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES ARE NOT IGNORANT. WE CHALLENGE THE JURISDICTION OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES MOTION TO INTERVENE IN THESE CASES TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE CROWN. WE MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDERS AND REINSTATE BOTH CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM. WE MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF JUDGE WOOTEN AND MARCHANT AND FORFEITURE. THE STRUCTURAL ERROR VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. WE MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY VIA THE WRIT OF ERROR DUE TO YOUR FRAUD UPON THE COURT WHICH MUST BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983. WE EXERCISE THE RIGHT OF COLLATERAL ATTACK TO REMEDY THE FRAUD. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT", OF THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THIS COURT CORRUPT BASTARD JUDGES ALLOWING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT CONSISTENTLY MANIFEST ITSELF IN THESE CASES. WE DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR BOND OR OATH OF OFFICE OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD PERMIT YOU TO GO AGAINST THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH OR STAND DOWN. DUE TO YOU CORRUPT, BASTARD, DEMON DOG JUDGES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TO PREVENT AND SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES FROM RESPONDING IN CASES 2017-0002108, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW BECAUSE ALL OF YOU KNEW GOOD AND WELL THEY WERE IN DEFAULT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS "FRAUD" AND "VOIDS" ANY AND ALL ORDERS ISSUED WITHIN THESE CASES PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION LAID BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. IT IS SO ORDERED, PRESAULT-x.-I.C.C.-7 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN

v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-v.
HGREGG, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); OPARAH-v.-NEW
YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015);
AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP. 81
F.Supp. 157 (DSC.1948).

UNLESS THE RESPONDENT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
OR THE UNITED STATES, NOT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE
2017-0002108 OR THIS CORRUPT, BASTARD, COCKROACH OF JUDGES UNDER
CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM DISMISSING
THE CASES IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL
FACTS AND INFORMATION AND TO SILENCE THEM TO PREVENT THE REQUIRE-
MENT THAT THEY PRODUCE EVIDENCE THAT DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY
MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING
OF JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTS TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL
FACT THAT THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT. THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT
COURT AND ALL OTHER COURTS SHALL VACATE OUR CONVICTIONS AND
GRANT ALL RELIEF DEMANDED IN ALL PENDING PARALLEL § 1983 ACTIONS
SOUGHT BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL
AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT
BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE
2013-CP-400-0084. UNLESS THE RESPONDENT OR THE UNITED STATES
CAN DEMONSTRATE BY CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT THEY TIMELY MOVED TO
DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT. THIS CASE IS ES-
SENTIALLY ON REMAND, LIMITING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING
EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. IT IS SO ORDERED, SCHWARE
v.-BOARD-OF-EXAMS-OF-THE-STATE-OF-N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct.
752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE-v.-SULLI-
VAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA-BOARD-OF-MEDICINE
v.-ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE-v.
ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS-v.-VIRGINIA-BD.
OF-BAR-EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011); PEREZ-v.
CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA,-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679
(D.C.Md.2016); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S
DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL
ACTION TO ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES
BEFORE A COMPETENT COURT HAVING JURISDICTION TO HEAR THE MATTERS,

WHICH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES WILL VERIFY. I GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES WHO APPEARED ON THE RECORD AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO ALL PARTIES UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE WE WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. THEREUPON, THAT CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THE PARALLEL § 1983 ACTIONS PENDING, INCLUDING THIS HABEAS CORPUS ACTION AND THESE CORRUPT BASTARD DOGS OF JUDGES CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION OVER THESE CASES TO CONCEAL THE DEFAULT AND SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE BY GIVING THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES (GAYS AND LESBIANS) THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY WHICH IS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS WHERE YOU VIOLATED THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT, GRANT, ALLOWING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL TO LEGALLY INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE INJUSTICE. THE RIGHT TO SOVEREIGNTY EXTENDS TO ALL THINGS AND PERSONS NOT PRIVILEGED, THAT ARE WITHIN THE TERRITORY OR BORDERS, AS THE BORDERS AND TERRITORY OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC EXIST WITHIN EVERY NATION ON THE FACE OF THE PLANET. THEY EXTEND TO ALL STRANGERS RESIDENT HEREIN WHEN YOU WERE REPEATEDLY TOLD THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH DENOUNCE HIS AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP YOUR NATION FORCED UPON MY ANCESTORS BY SLAVERY AND THE KING-KHALIFAH ADOPTS THE CITIZENSHIP OF HIS ISRAELI FOREFATHERS KING DAVID AND KING SOLOMON INVOKING THE ISRAELI LAW OF RETURN TO SIT UPON THEIR THRONE AS THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" ENTAILS. THE SOVEREIGN RIGHT BY THE DEFAULT DO NOT ONLY EXTEND TO THOSE WHO ARE NATURALIZED, AND TO THOSE WHO ARE DOMICILED THERIN, HAVING TAKEN UP THEIR ABODE WITH THE INTENTION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE, BUT ALSO TO THOSE WHO RESIDENCE IS TRANSITORY. ALL STRANGERS ARE UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE SOVEREIGN, AND EVEN MORE SPECIFIC, UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND ALSO UNDER THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY WHICH IS HIS CONSTITUTION, WHILE THEY ARE WITHIN ITS BORDERS

OR TERRITORIES. THE CONSTITUTION PROVISIONS EMPLOY THE WORD "PERSON" THAT IS ANYONE WHO WE HAVE PERMITTED TO PEACEFULLY RESIDE WITHIN OUR BORDERS, AS IF FOR THE KING-KHALIFAH, AND MAY RESORT TO THE COURTS FOR REDRESS OF INJURY DONE HIM IN HIS LANDS, AS HIS HOME WAS LOST, HIS GOODS AS THE ITEMS CONTAINED THEREIN WERE LOST AND THOSE DESTROYED BY S.C.D.C., HIS PROPERTY AS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY YOU GAVE THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES, HIS PERSON OR REPUTATION AS YOU FRAMED HIM PRODUCING A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED WHICH IS ANOTHER REASON YOU CRIMINALLY SILENCED THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES TO KEEP THESE FACTS FROM BEING REVEALED CORRUPT BASTARD JUDGES. THE REAL PARTY PLAINTIFF FOR WHO THE NOMINAL PLAINTIFF SUES IS NOT SHOWN TO HAVE ENTERED OUR LAND IN AN UNLAWFUL MANNER. UNLESS YOU COUNT THIS NATION'S TREACHEROUS, UNLAWFUL, CRIMINAL, TORTUROUS ACTS RELATED TO THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE. THUS, HE, THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, MAY ENTER AND RESIDE IN THE LAND OF THE UNITED STATES AND BE EQUALLY PROTECTED BY THE LAWS, ALLOWING HIM TO EXERCISE ALL SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM SO AS LONG AS HE CONFORMED TO THE DUE PROCESS MECHANISM OF THE COURT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT ESTABLISHED ALL RIGHTS AND TITLES ARGUED. THE FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH MAY OWN PROPERTY AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, EVEN PURSUANT TO THE F.S.I.A., WILL PROTECT HIS "PROPERTY", "RIGHTS", "TITLES", AND "IMMUNITIES". THE UNITED STATES HAS SAID, WE AS A PEOPLE HAVE SAID TO THOSE OF FOREIGN NATIONS AND BIRTHS THAT THESE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES SHALL ASSURE YOU OF OUR GOOD FAITH THEY ARE THE WRITTEN SURETY TO YOU OF OUR PROUD BOAST THAT THE U.S. IS THE HAVEN OF REFUGE OF THE OPPRESSED OF MANKIND, BUT I CAN'T TELL BY THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT YOU CONTINUALLY ENGAGE IN. THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS, IN THIS INSTANCE VIA 28 U.S.C. § 2679 AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT CONNECTIONS, TO PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE, THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF FIDUCIARY PROPHET, KING, KHALIFAH, IMMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY AND THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT VIA THESE CORRUPT, BASTARD, GOING TO HELL, DEMON

DOG JUDGES CANNOT IMPAIR THE KING-KHALIFAH OR HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL O ACCOUNT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, VANHORNE'S-LESSEE v.-DORRANCE, 2 U.S. 304(U.S.1795); BERRY-v.-U.S., 294 U.S. 330, 353(U.S.1935); LEIBERG-v.-VITANGELI, 70 OHIO App. 479, 47 N.E.2d. 235, 238-239(1942); McBURNEY-v.-YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY-v.-VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); SCHOENFELD-v.-SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273(2nd.Cir. 2016); CARLISLE-v.-U.S., 83 U.S. 147, 154(U.S.1873).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED BY AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW AND OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT AND OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO LAW OR ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR STATUTE AND OR ACT AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTS, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE MAKING RULINGS IN CASES 2017--0002108, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND ALL RELATED STATE AND OR FEDERAL CASES, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE DATE OF ITS ENACTMENT AND OR WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE....IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE....SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR LAW AND OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (THERE IS NO DUTY TO REGARD IT AS VALID.), CONFERS NO RIGHTS (YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO THE FRAUD YOU PRODUCED AND YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE.), CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL, S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ETC.), BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON [EMPHASIS ADDED] (WHICH MEAN YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE CORRUPT, BASTARD, COCKROACHES OF JUDGES


SINCE YOU VIOLATED YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE AND CONSPIRED IN STATE COURTS WHERE YOU HAD NO JURISDICTION), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (YOUR JURISDICTION IS VOID BY USE OF AEDPA, VIOLATING THE HOLDINGS UNDER WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA AND ISSUES ARGUED RELATED TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE.)....A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE IN YOUR FRAUD UPON THE COURT YOU RATS AND SNAKES. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE AN EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S POWER AND AUTHORITY ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW BEFORE YOUR FRAUD STANDS. THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE AEDPA, THE PLRA AND THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE STANDS, ALLOWING US TO JOINTLY FILE, SEEK CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION ETC.). INDEED INSOFAR AS A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, AND OR STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR ACT RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE, McBURNEY , INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, ALL CITED FRAUD CASES.), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY. NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS, RULES, STATUTES (LIKE PLRA AND AEDPA) AND PRACTICES (LIKE YOUR FRAUD CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS TO SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY ARE IN DEFAULT ESTABLISHING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SUPERSEDING POWER, YOUR NATIONS VIOLATING THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVING GAYS THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY IN VIOLATION OF ALSO THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE AS ARGUED IN CASE 2017-0002108, AND IN VIOLATION OF THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION TO THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT.), WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE "NULL" AND "VOID". WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED TO ALLOW THESE ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED. ADDITIONAL FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DPOCUMENTS ARE FILED TO PERMIT REVIEW UNDER § 1983 ALSO, MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES v. UNITED STATES 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARVONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEET-OUTDOORS

LLC.-v.-CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANAPOLIS***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL-v.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+(6th.Cir. MICH.); PEOPLE-v.-SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th. Cir.2016); IN-RE:-BARTLEY, 2016 WL 6068862(4th.Cir.2016); UNITED STATES-v.-DENEDO, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); UNITED-STATES-v.-APPLE-MAC-PRO-COMPUTER, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 1046105(3rd.Cir.2017); UNITED-STATES-v.-GREGORY HOLT-AKA-ABDUL-MAALIK-MUHAMMAD, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D.La.2017); STERN-v.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016); CLARKE-v. UNITED-STATES, 2017 WL 390294(N.C.2017).


WHEN IT COMES TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN BINDING UPON THIS COURT ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW TO WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, THE FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, OFFICIALLY INVOKES AND EXERCISES BEFORE THIS COURT. THIS BASTARD OF A CORRUPT JUDGE WOOTEN AND HIS SLIME BALL SLUG OF A FLUNKY JUDGE MARCHANT, TERMINAL DISEASE STRICKEN BODIES GOING TO HELL JUDGES AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT NOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA NOR THE STATES OF THIS NATION NOR ANY OTHER NATION SINCE THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT AS WELL, CANNOT DIMINISH THE RIGHTS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT WHICH IS NOW LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND DUE PROCESS LAW. IT IS ESTABLISHED AS BOTH A "LIBERTY" AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND AS A "PRIVILEGE" BY WHAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE COURT BY THEIR FRAUD WHICH THESE BASTARD CORRUPT JUDGES IN THESE CASES CONSPIRED TO CONCEAL IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. NO STATE SHALL CONVERT A LIBERTY INTO A PRIVILEGE, LICENSE IT, AND EXCHANGE A FEE THEREFOR, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THE UNITED STATES IS IN DEFAULT AND THE DECREE OF THE CROWN SUPERSEDE AND ESTABLISH THIS FACT FOR THESE CASES, MURDOCK-v. PENNSYLVANIA, 319 U.S. 105(U.S.1943).

YOUR LAWS OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH INDEED IS BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. YOU HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THIS DOCUMENT, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WOULD BE TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THOSE BASTARD CORRUPT DEMON DOGS OF JUDGES IN THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, ALL STATE AND FEDERAL COURT JUDGES ARE BOUND BY THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE, OR GIVE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS LEGALLY ESTABLISHED THEREBY, YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMISSAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT. I AM SURE THAT VILLAGE IDIOT RACIST, BASTARD, DAVID DUKES, KU KLUX KLANSMAN, DEMON DOG, DONALD DUCK, DUNCE TRUMP, YOUR TWIN BROTHER, WILL ENJOY PLACING OTHER JUDGES IN YOUR SPOT. THAT FOOL LOVES THIS SORT OF THING YOU SODOMITE AND GOMORRAHRITE PROTECTING DEVILS. THE LAWGIVER OF GOD HAS SPOKEN, COHEN-v. VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264 (U.S.1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE NEW JERSEY AND OTHER STATES COURTS, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS, BY THEIR ACTIONS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THIS SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO A NATIONAL AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH, HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, AND ALL THOSE WHO HE APPOINTS, CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A SOVEREIGN LIBERTY PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AND SOVEREIGN OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, HURATADO v.-CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 516 (U.S.1884); MILLER-v.-UNITED-STATES, 230 F2d 486, 489(5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLES-WORTH-v.-BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S.1963); SHERER-v.-CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA-v.-ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB-v.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY 355 U.S. 313(U.S.1958); UNITED-STATES-v.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968); UNITED-STATES-v.-ETENYI,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHAJ AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE
NORTH, THE LION AND KHALIFAH OF
ALLAH, CHIEF JUSTICE TO THE (4)
THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Joseph Todd Rowland', written over a horizontal line.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ACTING ATTORNEY
GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES OF THE
RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC
STATE AND COURT

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Joseph Todd Rowland', written in a cursive style.

FEBRUARY 26, 2018