

Exhibit

③

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;
17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA
JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

FILED
JAN 22 2018
U.S. Court of Appeals
Fourth Circuit


JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND
OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE;
FILING WRIT OF ERROR DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE
COURT; RENEWING THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; RENEWING
THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE
4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S.
MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY PLACING IT IN THE MAILBOX ON JANUARY
12, 2018. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON-v.-LACK,

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jahjah Al Mahdi', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the left.

JANUARY 12, 2018

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;
17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE ET.
AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING
WRIT OF ERROR DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON
THE COURT; RENEWING THE ~~NOTICE~~ SEEKING LEAVE TO
APPEAL; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND MOTION
TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASE 17-7410

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE (6) MONTH FINANCIAL STATEMENT THAT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT REQUESTED. AFTER MONTHS OF EFFORT IT HAS FINALLY ARRIVE FROM S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS. PLEASE SEE THAT A COPY OF IT IS FILED WITHIN CASES 17-7410 AND 17-7428.

(2) A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT BY MARGARET THOMAS DATED JANUARY 2, 2018.

(3) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "17-7532". THIS IS THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186;***, [34] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES AND DEFENSES ARGUED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT ARE NOW BEING ARGUED IN CASE 17-7410.

WHAT THE HECK DO YOU JUDGES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT GOT GOING ON NOW! STOP THIS FRAUD!!! MARGARET THOMAS YOU ARE NOW BEING ADDED AS A DEFENDANT IN ALL PENDING § 1983 ACTIONS THAT ARE BEFORE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND BREACH OF CONTRACT VIA YOUR OATH OF OFFICE DUE TO YOUR CONSPIRING WITH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED TO COVER UP AND CONCEAL THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL ACTIVITY PERPETRATED BY JUDGE WOOTEN IN THIS CASE. WHAT THE HECK ARE YOU 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES DOING USING THIS CRIMINAL CLERK AND OR CASE MANAGER, WHATEVER SHE IS, TO CIRCUMVENT ESTABLISHING A RULING ON THE COURT RECORD AND ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION? I OBJECT.

THIS CASE IS SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL DUE TO DENIAL OF CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION AND OTHER CRUCIAL MATTERS ESTABLISHING INTERLOCUTORY ORDER AND OR APPEAL. THE CASE WAS SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL PRIOR TO ANY ALLEGED 50(b); 52(b) OR 60 MOTION COULD HAVE EVER BEEN POTENTIALLY FILED WITHIN THIS CASE WHICH I DON'T KNOW WHAT MOTIONS THIS CORRUPT CLERK AND OR CASE MANAGER ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE CORRUPT JUDGES IS REFERRING TO. WE RENEW

THE MOTION TO RECUSE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN QUESTION REFERRED TO WITHIN EXHIBIT, "17-7532". THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION WITHIN AND BEFORE CASE 17-7410 PROCEED, USING THIS CRIMINAL CLERK AND OR CASE MANAGER, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE IT WAS A CLERICAL ERROR IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT JUDGE WOOTEN BROKE THE LAW WHEN HE CLOSED THIS CASE AND IS SUBJECT TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES WHERE THIS ACT STANDS IN BLATANT DEFIANCE OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 WHICH VOID THE DOCUMENT ISSUED BY MARGARET THOMAS VIA THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED IT. YOU HAVE CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US ISSUES FOR POTENTIAL WRIT OF CERT. WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION PLACING THE COURT AND PARTIES IN FORFEITURE ON ALL ISSUES AND CLAIMS MADE. YOU HAVE VIOLATED MY RIGHTS UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. STOP USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, AS JUDGES, TO SKIRT OR CIRCUMVENT RULING AND HIDE YOUR ABUSES OF DISCRETION AND ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION VIOLATING YOUR OATH OF OFFICE. STOP RULING ON ISSUES ON APPEAL SUBSTITUTING MANDAMUS FOR APPEAL. A MANDAMUS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE AS AN APPEAL AND CLERKS AND OR CASE MANAGERS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE AS A JUDGE IN ACTS OF MACHINATION TO PREVENT FROM RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AND CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN QUESTION, DIAZ, THACKER, DAVIS, GREGORY, DUNCAN, HAMILTON AND SHEDD, TO BE SPECIFIC, RECUSAL. YOU ARE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF ESTABLISHED STATUTES, ARTICLE III SECTION 1, AS WELL AS YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE MAKING YOUR ACTIONS CRIMINAL IN DEFIANCE TO CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND THAT OATH OF OFFICE HAVING THESE CLERKS AND OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS JUDGES TO COMMIT FRAUD AND BLOCK, HINDER AND OR IMPEDE FULL REVIEW TO COVER JUDGE WOOTEN'S CRIMES IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3), AND 1986 AS WELL AS 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 VOIDING THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT SUBMITTED BY MARGARET THOMAS, U.S.-v.-RON-PAIR-ENTERPRISES-INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE:-ARGO-CREDIT, LLC.--B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); LAKE-CARRIER-ASS'N-MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); U.S.-v.-\$41,320 U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; 1997 WL 10291,

U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF SENATOR ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMOND ET AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538 (U.S. 1988); WILLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565 (1984); EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676 (1880); ROCHE v. EVAPORATED MILK ASS'N, 391 U.S. 21, 63 S.Ct. 938, 87 L.Ed. 1185 (U.S. 1943); IN RE: WILLIAMS, 381 Fed. Appx' 284, 2010 WL 2231958 (4th Cir. 2010); WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES, 2015 WL 11109497, * 1, E.D.Va..

ONCE THE INITIAL REVIEW ORDER WAS ISSUED IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, A NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL WAS IMMEDIATELY FILED WITHIN (15) DAYS OF THAT INITIAL ORDER BEFORE ANY SUBSEQUENT DOCUMENT WAS FILED WITHIN THAT CASE. WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED. THE LAW IS CLEAR ON THIS ISSUE. THE DISTRICT COURT IS DIVESTED OF JURISDICTION TO AMEND, ENLARGE, ALTER OR VACATE ITS JUDGMENT IF IT DOES NOT MAINTAIN THE STATUS OF THE CASE ON APPEAL. THE DISTRICT COURT CANNOT CONCLUDE THE CASE ONCE THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL HAS BEEN FILED. WHAT THE HECK ARE YOU PEOPLE DOING? THE DISTRICT COURT CANNOT DO ANYTHING THAT WOULD NOT MAINTAIN THE STATUS QUO OF THIS CASE BEING ON APPEAL. THE DISTRICT COURT CANNOT DO ANY OF THIS MADNESS THAT MARGARET THOMAS IS ASSERTING IN HER LETTER DATED JANUARY 2, 2018 WHERE THESE JUDGES ARE USING THIS CORRUPT CLERK AND OR CASE MANAGER AS PROXY TO COMMIT EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. WE OBJECT. WE RENEW THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL. KEEP THIS CASE BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND RULE ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AS THEY RELATE TO EX PARTE VIRGINIA WHERE THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICANS AND OTHER MINORITIES. WRIT OF ERROR IS FILED. THE ACTIONS ASSERTED IN THE LETTER SENT BY MARGARET THOMAS CONCEALING THESE JUDGES ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DOES NOT ACT TO AID THIS APPEAL WHICH WAS TIMELY FILED AT THE UPON ISSUANCE OF THE INITIAL REVIEW ORDER. IT DOES NOT FALL WITHIN THE EXCEPTION RULE THAT NOTICE OF APPEAL STRIPS DISTRICT COURT OF JURISDICTION, WHEN IT ALTERS THE STATUS OF THE CASE AS IT RESTS BEFORE THE COURT OF APPEALS. STOP THIS FRAUD. YOUR JURISDICTION IS VOID AND YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE WHERE RULING DID NOT COME FROM THE COURT AND BY FRAUD YOU ABSTAINED FROM RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA. NOTICE

SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL IS OF JURISDICTIONAL SIGNIFICANCE FILED BEFORE ANY OTHER MOTION, AS IT CONFERS JURISDICTION ON THE COURT OF APPEALS AND DIVEST DISTRICT COURT OF ITS CONTROL OVER THOSE ASPECTS OF THE CASE INVOLVED IN APPEAL. THE DISTRICT COURT CASE CANNOT BE AMENDED OR ALTERED. NO FINAL DETERMINATION CAN BE MADE WHICH OCCURRED HERE BY CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WARRANTING THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S DISQUALIFICATION RELATED TO ALL PARALLEL CASES INVOLVED. THE DISTRICT COURT DOES NOT REGAIN JURISDICTION UNTIL ISSUANCE OF THE MANDATE WHICH REQUIRE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION BY A JUDGE, NOT SOME LAWLESS CLERK OR CASE MANAGER, WHICH CANNOT OCCUR UNTIL YOU RULE ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA PROVISIONS AS THEY RELATE TO EX PARTE VIRGINIA OR YOU HAVE A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT RENDERS VOID ANY ACT DONE BY YOU OR THESE CORRUPT CLERKS AND OR CASE MANAGERS YOU HAVE ACTING IN PROXY TO ENGAGE IN FRAUD UPON THE COURT IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE SUBJECTING YOU TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES. I, WE, OBJECT. PUT THIS CASE RIGHT BACK WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND RULE ON THE FRAUD PERPETRATED BY JUDGE WOOTEN. STOP YOUR FRAUD. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE. RULE ON CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION AND THE OTHER ISSUES SOUGHT REVIEW BEFORE THIS COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED, U.S.-v.-ROGERS, 101 F3d. 247 (2nd.Cir.1996); EVANGHO-v.-PINE-RICH-AND-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d. 267, 301(W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016); KLAYMAN-v.-OBAMA, 142 F.Supp.3d. 404, 407n. (4th.Cir.2001); NORTHROPE-GAUMAN-TECHNICAL-SERVICES-INC.-v.-DYNACORP-INTERNATIONAL-LLC, 2016 WL 3346349, * 5, E.D.Va.; DOE v.-PUBLIC-CITIZEN, 749 F3d. 246(4th.Cir.2014); HUNTER-v.-TOWN-OF-MOCKSVILLE,-NORTH-CAROLINA, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4221109 (N.C.2017); BERRIOS-v.-GREEN-WIRELESS,-LLC, 2017 WL 2120038 (Md.2017); NEWTON-v.-CONSOLIDATED-GAS-CO.-OF-NEW-YORK, 258 U.S. 165, 42 S.Ct. 264, 66 L.Ed. 538(U.S.1922); BAYOU-SHORES-SNE-LLC-v.-BURNWELL, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 4101761(D.C.FLA.2014); EAGLES-VIEW-TECHNOLOGIES,-INC.-v.-XACTWARE-SOLUTIONS,-INC. F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 12071668(2013).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL

ACTION TO PETITION FOR AND ESTABLISH THESE RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES, ALL OF THEM. I GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES TO WHICH THEY APPEARED INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE ANTHONY COOK AND I WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. THEREUPON, THE CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES PENDING. ✓ THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN SOVEREIGN AND OR FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS IN THIS CASE VIA THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT CONNECTIONS, TO PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF FIDUCIARY PROPHET, KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY AND THE COURTS CANNOT IMPAIR THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, McBURNIEY-v.-YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY-v.-VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); SCHOENFELD-v.-SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273(2nd.Cir.2016).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW TO WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OFFICIALLY INVOKE AND EXERCISE BEFORE THIS COURT. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CANNOT DIMINISH THE RIGHTS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT WHICH IS LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND DUE PROCESS LAW. IT IS ESTABLISHED AS BOTH A "LIBERTY" AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH AND A "PRIVILEGE" BY WHAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT BY THEIR FRAUD. NO STATE SHALL CONVERT A LIBERTY IN THIS CASE PROTECTED UNDER "CONTRACT", "COVENANT",

INTO A PRIVILEGE, LICENSE IT, AND EXCHANGE A FEE THEREFOR, MUR-
DOCK-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 319 U.S. 105 (U.S.1943).

THE LAW CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER,
WHICH I INDEED AM BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT. YOU HAVE NO MORE
RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN
WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THIS AND THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THIS
CASE, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE
OTHER WOULD BE TREASON AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ITSELF.
THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH
INCLUDE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, ARE BOUND BY THEIR
SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION.
IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE, OR GIVE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION
VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED THEREBY YOU
ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE
CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY,
SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMIS-
SAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT. I AM SURE THAT BLASTED,
RACIST DAVID DUKES DOG DONALD DUCK DUNCE TRUMP WILL ENJOY PLACING
ANOTHER JUDGE IN YOUR SPOTS, COHEN-v.-VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19
U.S. 264(1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED
RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME. SINCE THE STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER
STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BY THEIR ACTIONS UNDER CASE 2013-
CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THIS SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO
A GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH
AND ALL THOSE WHOM HE APPOINTS CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT
IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A LIBERTY PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT
AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AND SOVEREIGN, HURTADO-v.-CALIFORNIA,
110 U.S. 516(U.S.1884); MILLER-v.-UNITED-STATES, 230 F2d. 486,
489(5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLES-WORTH-v.-BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262
(U.S.1963); SHERER-v.-CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA-v.-ARIZONA
384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB-v.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313
(U.S.1958); UNITED-STATES-v.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968).

BY YOUR ACTION HERE WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN HANDLING
THESE MATTERS ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING THESE ESSENTIAL FEDERAL
QUESTIONS AND CONSPIRING TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS RELATED TO
THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL ACTS PERPETRATED BY JUDGE WOOTEN AND

OTHER CONSPIRING S.C. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES. YOU HAVE VIOLATED THE "CONTRACT", THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE ALLOWING ME TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. DUE TO THE STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR THAT EXIST WITHIN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL APPEALS INCLUDING CASE 17-7410. ONCE WE MADE CLEAR CHALLENGE TO THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE AEDPA AND THE PLRA. IT CORRUPTED THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS RENDERING ANY ACT THAT YOU DO WITH THE EXCEPTION OF RULING FIRST ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW AN ACT OF FRAUD, UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID WHICH INCLUDE THE ACT OF TRANSFERRING FOR SOME 52 OR 60 MOTION. THE STRUCTURAL ERROR CORRUPTS EVERY ACT MAKING WHAT YOU'VE DONE ILLEGAL AND VOID. THIS CASE IS ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. IT IS ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO ANYTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. IT IS SO ORDERED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT, VOIDING OF JURISDICTION AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, LAKE-CARRIER-ASS'N v.-MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); PRESAULT v.-I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS v.-HHGREGG, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-v.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); GORDON-v.-TBC-RETAIL-GROUP-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016).

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND CHIEF JUSTICE RELATED THERETO

JANUARY 12, 2018