

JOHNSON OUTREACH MINISTRIES

March 2, 2018

JESUS IS LORD

And the Lord said unto the servant, "Go out into the Highways and hedges, and compel them to come in, that my house may be filled."

Luke 14:23

Rev. Willie Johnson, #127769  
Midland Correctional Inst.  
4344 Front River Road  
Columbia, S.C. 29210

The Honorable Donald W. Bently, Chief Justice  
The Supreme Court of South Carolina  
P.O. Box 11320  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: Rev. Willie Johnson v. State of South Carolina  
Case No. 2017-02-10-1104

Dear Chief Bently:

I trust this correspondence finds you being well. Enclosed please find original Petition of Writ of Certiorari and Appellate. Also, along with a Proof of Service in connection with the above-referenced matter.

In addition, please forward my Petition to Supreme Court, Clerk of Court. The purpose I'm sending this Petition to you, because in the past the Supreme Court, Clerk of Court, would send a form letter that was signed by Chief Justice and Justices, but the Petition would not reach Chief Justice and Justices for them to file upon the Petition.

Further, South Judicial Circuit Solicitor and Attorney General Office's has a whole of influence in the Supreme Court, Clerk of Court. For a primary example: On January 27, 2015, I filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas and it appear to me that the wheel of Justice from function. As set forth, the same matter I addressed two years ago, enclosed you will find a copy of the Petition and form letter from the Court. This will show you beyond a reasonable doubt, the Obstruction of Justice and Conspiracy to Commit Official Misconduct that was plague against me. I'm not seeking legal advice from you, because I understand you can not give me any legal advice and that is understandable.

Finally, I want to apologize for the light typing, my typewriter is not the best in the world, but I will do what I've. I want to congratulate on your appointment as Chief Justice. The thing I wish you about is your honesty and your integrity to the judicial system. I wish you and the Justice as process setting on the bench. The Clerk of Court, violates the "Spirit of the Law" by not forwarding my Petition to "Justices" to rendered a decision on my Petition and this decision should be rendered by the Court and not the Clerk of Court. Once again, your comment was on the money at the Solicitors Convention in Myrtle Beach, when you cautioned that prosecutors in the state have been "getting away with too much for too long." My case is a primary example. The carriage in this Petition to presions, to be over-look, if you would carefully review page 14 in this Petition.

Note: I respectfully request for a Attorney Appointed since I can not represent myself before supreme court in person

Thank you for your assistance in this sensitive matter.

Sincerely,

*Willie Johnson*  
Rev. Willie Johnson

The Key to life is prayer!!

January 21, 2015

Rev. Willie Johnson, #127069  
Allendale C.I.  
P.O. Box 1151  
Fairfax, SC 29827

**RECEIVED**

JAN 27 2015

S.C. Supreme Court

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk of Court  
P.O. Box 11330  
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RE: State of South Carolina v. Rev. Willie Johnson  
Case No. 85-GS-10-0159

Dear Shearouse:

Please find enclosed for filing the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Chamber Exhibit [A] dated January 21, 2015, along with proof of service in connection with the above-referenced matter. Please file the Petition and return the clocked copy to me the envelope provided.

By copy of this letter I am this date serving a copy of the Petition upon the Respondent.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

  
Rev. Willie Johnson, #127069

cc: Alan Wilson, Attorney General  
Scarlett A. Wilson, Ninth Judicial Circuit Solicitor

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT

---

PETITION FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
CASE NO. 85-GS-10-0159

---

Rev. Willie Johnson, #127069

Petitioner,

vs.

Scarlett A. Wilson,

---

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

---

Petitioner Rev. Willie Johnson, by and through its undersigned Pro Se, move the Honorable Supreme Court of South Carolina for an Order to compel the Respondent to her [Ministerial Duty], to place the Petitioner [Motion] on the [Motion Calendar] in the Court of General Sessions. Pursuant Rule 79 (e), SCRPC, the Respondent shall place the Petitioner [Motion] on the Motion Calendar and all pending Motions and other matters requiring a summary hearing before the Judge.

Further, the efficient enforcement of law is a fundamental obligation of the State- and the chronic backlog of criminal cases clogging our State's Courts is a fundamental impediment of justice.

Specifically, to obtain a Writ of Mandamus requiring the performance of an act, [Writ of Mandamus] test sets forth the following requirements: (1) a duty to perform the act; (2) the Ministerial Nature of the act; (3) the Petitioner's specific legal right for which discharge of the duty is necessary; and (4) a lack of any other legal remedy. The Petitioner's Writ of Mandamus satisfies all four prongs of this test. This matter should be remanded to the Court of General Sessions with instruction to apply the Ministerial Duty. As set forth, the Respondent [Inordinate delay] the Petitioner for [four] years. it is Ministerial Duty, when it is defined by law with such precision as to leave nothing to the exercise of discretion. Id. In contrast, a quasi-judicial duty requires the exercise of reason in the adeptation of means to an end, and discretion in determining how or whether the act shall be done or the course pursued. Id. The Respondent failed to follow the requirement in Rule 79 (e)(g), SCRPC to quote: (e) Motion Calendar. In addition to the file book, each clerk of court shall maintain a motion calendar in such form as prescribed by the Office of South Carolina Court Administration. The clerk of court shall place on the motion calendar all pending motions and other matters requiring a summary hearing before the judge. The motion calendar shall contain the case number, date of request, name of the action, attorneys involved and the nature of the motion to be presented. The Respondent committed a contempt of court. Accordingly, to Section (g) Failure to Comply. Failure to comply with the requirements of this rule shall subject the party so failing to penalties as for contempt of court; which contempt shall be enforced by the court on motion of any aggrieved party or by the court on its own motion. Here, the Petitioner has a [Ministerial Duty] that can be compelled to perform that duty in case of refusal.

Similarly, the Solicitor violated Rule 4.2. Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 407 and Rule 3.8. Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor: The prosecutor in a criminal case shall: SCACR 407 3.8. in the Comment Section [1] A prosecutor has the responsibility of a minister of justice and not simple that of an advocate.

In the instant case, the United States Constitution does not allow any State to deny a person within its jurisdiction equal protection of the law. U.S. Const. Amend. XIV, §1. To establish an equal protection violation, the Petitioner has been denied his due process by the Respondent [**Inordinate delay**] that was the Court of General Sessions since **October 6, 2010**.

In the present case, the Respondent [P]rosecutorial Misconduct, possibly rising to the level of [**Obstruction of Justice and conspiracy to commit Official Misconduct**]. See also, Douglas v. People of State Cal., 372 U.S. 353, 83 S.Ct. 814, equal protection of the does not exist if the kind of an appeal or motion a man enjoys depends on the amount of money he has. U.S.C.A. Const. Amend.14. For a primary example: The Respondent has a history of non-compliance with South Carolina Constitution. Respondent's failure to comply with S.C. Const. Art. XVII § 15, **A marriage between one man and one woman is the only lawful domestic union that shall be valid or recognized in this State.**

In General, South Carolina Statutory and Constitutional provisions, to the extent they sought to prohibit the marriage of same-sex couples, which is unconstitutionally infringed on the Biblical of the Word of God it says in Leviticus 20:13 If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed as abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them. Pursuant to 20-1-15. Prohibition of same sex marriage. Under S.C.Const. Art.XVII § 15 [Homosexuals] is unconstitutional in South Carolina. As set forth, "marriage" is defined as " the status or relation of a man or a woman who have been legally united as husband and wife." 52 AM.JUR.2d marriage, § 1. Our Supreme Court has repeatedly, recognized that it is the public policy of South Carolina to "foster and protect marriage." Russo v. Sutton, 310 S.C. 200, 422 S.E.2d 750,753 (1992). The Respondent has allow same sex marriage

in Ninth Judicial Circuit. It is unethical and unmoral to allow same sex marriage in the State of South Carolina. The Respondent unclean hand has violated South Carolina's statutory and constitutional provision prohibiting same-sex marriage. The State of South Carolina Constitution does not recognized same-sex marriage and the Word of God does not recognized same-sex marriage. God principal! **Homosexual**- a person who is attracted sexually to members of his or her own sex. Homosexual behavior is prohibited in Scripture (Lev.20:13) and was a major cause of the divine judgment against Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen.19:4-5, 12-13). The apostle Paule listed homosexuals among "the unrighteous" who would not inherit the kingdom of God (1 Cor. 5:9); and declared that God's wrath stands against such behavior, whether practiced by men or women (Rom.1:26-27). As set forth, are this Court going to allow the State of South Carolina to be another Sodom and Gomorrah and those how engage in same-sex marriage forfeited their Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses. As a result, South Carolina Statutory and Constitutional provision prohibiting same-sex marriage.

Citing State v. Gaillard, 11 S.C. 309, 1879 WL 10404 (S.C. 1879), so it seems to me that when, in this same section, the Supreme Court is invested with the power to issue Writ of Mandamus, it must mean that it should have power to issue such Writ in all such cases, as it was the appropriate remedy at the time of the adoption of the Constitution; where there is no other appropriate legal remedy for the enforcement of a legal right, Mandamus is the proper remedy. Respondent's failure to comply with Rule 79 (e)(g), SCRCP, deprived the Petitioner of procedure due proces. See Chamber Exhibit [A].

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

PETITION FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY

CASE NO. 85-GS-10-0159

Rev. Willie Johnson, #127069

Petitioner

vs.


Scarlett A. Wilson, Ninth Judicial Circuit Solicitor

Respondent

PROOF OF SERVICES


I certify that I have served the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Chamber Exhibit on the Respondent, by depositing a copy of it in the United States mail, postage prepaid on ~~Oct. 29~~<sup>Jan. 29</sup>, 2015, address to her at:

Scarlett A. Wilson, Solicitor  
Ninth Judicial Circuit  
101 Meeting Street, 5th Floor  
Charleston, SC 29401

  
Rev. Willie Johnson, #127069  
Allendale C.I.  
P.O. Box 1151  
Fairfax, SC 29327

SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS

DAY 21<sup>st</sup> of January, 2015

  
Notary Public of South Carolina

My Commission Expires: Feb. 17, 2021

CHAMBER EXHIBIT [A]

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
AND GENERAL SESSIONS  
100 BROAD STREET, SUITE 106  
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29401-2258  
843-958-5000 FAX 958-5020  
WWW3.CHARLESTONCOUNTY.ORG

FAMILY COURT OF THE  
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
100 BROAD STREET, SUITE 143  
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29401-2265  
843-958-4400 FAX 958-4434  
WWW3.CHARLESTONCOUNTY.ORG

CLERK OF COURT  
CHARLESTON COUNTY

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG  
CLERK OF COURT

10/07/2010

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON

RE: JOHNSON, WILLIE

TO: JOHNSON, WILLIE

- (1) IN ORDER FOR THIS OFFICE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE COPIES YOU ARE REQUESTING YOU MUST 1.) PAY THE \$5.00 FEE OR 2.) PROVIDE US WITH A STATEMENT FROM THE SCDC EAST COOPER TRUST FUND WHICH SHOWS THE FINANCIAL STATUS OF YOUR ACCOUNT, IF YOUR ACCOUNT REFLECTS NO MONIES HAVE BEEN RECEIVED YOU WILL NOT BE CHARGED THE FEE.
- (2) INSUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF FILING FEE. CORRECT FEE AMOUNT:
- (3) WARRANT/INDICTMENT NUMBER IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO FILE REQUEST.
- (4) JAIL TIME SHOULD BE REQUESTED THROUGH YOUR CASEWORKER/ATTORNEY.
- (5) YOU NEED TO CONTACT COURT REPORTER FOR YOUR TRANSCRIPT.
- (6) YOU WILL NEED TO CONTACT THE AGENCY PLACING THE DETAINER. THIS OFFICE CANNOT PLACE OR RELEASE A DETAINER.
- (7) XXXX  
MR JOHNSON, YOUR MOTION HAS BEEN FILED WITH THE CLERK'S OFFICE. A COPY HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO THE SOLICITOR'S OFFICE. THANK YOU
- (8) RESEARCH COMPLETED. DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED. PLEASE FORWARD

DEPUTY CLERK

MKH

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

---


## ORDER


---


The following matters are dismissed pursuant to *Key v. Currie*, 305 S.C. 115, 406 S.E.2d 356 (1991), because no extraordinary reason exists to entertain them in this Court's original jurisdiction:


1. *Michael Lorenzo Elmore v. Honorable Carmen T. Mullen*. Petition for Writ of Mandamus Pursuant to Inordinate Delay Concerning Petitioner [sic] Post-Conviction Relief Application dated October 28, 2014; Supplemental Petition dated November 10, 2014. Appellate Case No. 2014-002315.
2. *Rev. Willie Johnson v. Scarlett A. Wilson*. Petition for Writ of Mandamus dated January 21, 2015. Appellate Case No. 2015-000164.
3. *Steven W. Littlejohn*. Judicial Notice and Complaint dated January 20, 2015. Appellate Case No. 2015-000165.
4. *Gene P. Owens*. Letter to the Chief Justice dated January 20, 2015. Appellate Case No. 2015-000167.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C.J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.

Kaye L. Heam J.

Columbia, South Carolina

February 19, 2015

CC:

Mr. Michael L. Elmore

Ashleigh Rayanna Wilson, Esquire

Mr. Carmen Tevis Mullen

Mr. Willie Johnson

Mr. Steven W. Littlejohn

Mr. Gene P. Owens