

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
APPEAL FROM ANDERSON COUNTY

THE STATE,

Respondent,

vs.

JESUS MARTINEZ VARGAS,

Appellate

Case No.: 2016-000527

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Dear Clerk of Court,

The Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above appeal are due to be served and filed in 5 days. I would respectfully request a 30-day extension in which to serve and file the Petition.

This extension request is not intended for the purpose of delay, but is necessitated by my heavy workload as we are appealing Pro Se and I in particular, having two full time jobs to attend.

We thank the Court in advance for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jesus Martinez
Jesus Martinez

RECEIVED

MAR 12 2018

SC Court of Appeals

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Jesus Martinez, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2016-000527

Appeal From Anderson County
R. Scott Sprouse, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-462
Submitted November 1, 2017 – Filed December 13, 2017

AFFIRMED

Fletcher N. Smith, Jr., of Law Firm of Fletcher N. Smith,
Jr., LLC, of Greenville, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant
Attorney General Jennifer Ellis Roberts, both of
Columbia; and Solicitor David Rhys Wagner, Jr., of
Anderson, all for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Jesus Martinez appeals his conviction of assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature, arguing (1) the trial court erred by not directing a verdict of acquittal or granting a new trial and (2) the law of assault and battery of

a high and aggravated nature is void for vagueness. We affirm¹ pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities:

1. As to Martinez's first argument: *State v. Moore*, 374 S.C. 468, 474, 649 S.E.2d 84, 86 (Ct. App. 2007) ("On appeal, [this court is] limited to determining whether the trial [court] abused [its] discretion."); *State v. Odems*, 395 S.C. 582, 586, 720 S.E.2d 48, 50 (2011) ("On appeal from the denial of a directed verdict, this [c]ourt must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the State."); *State v. Zeigler*, 364 S.C. 94, 103, 610 S.E.2d 859, 863 (Ct. App. 2005) ("The appellate court may reverse the trial [court's] denial of a motion for a directed verdict only if there is no evidence to support the [court's] ruling."); *State v. McKnight*, 352 S.C. 635, 642, 576 S.E.2d 168, 171 (2003) ("A defendant is entitled to a directed verdict when the State fails to produce evidence of the offense charged."); *Sellers v. State*, 362 S.C. 182, 188, 607 S.E.2d 82, 85 (2005) ("When ruling on a criminal defendant[']s motion for directed verdict, a trial court is concerned with the existence of evidence, not its weight.").

2. As to Martinez's second argument: *State v. Nichols*, 325 S.C. 111, 120, 481 S.E.2d 118, 123 (1997) ("An issue may not be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised to the trial [court] to be preserved for appellate review."); *State v. Varvil*, 338 S.C. 335, 339, 526 S.E.2d 248, 250 (Ct. App. 2000) ("Constitutional arguments are no exception to the [error preservation] rule, and if not raised to the trial court are deemed waived on appeal."); *State v. McWee*, 322 S.C. 387, 391-92, 472 S.E.2d 235, 238 (1996) (holding that a constitutional argument is not preserved where appellant failed to raise the argument at trial).

AFFIRMED.

LOCKEMY, C.J., and HUFF and HILL, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.

Jesse Martinez
3736 Todd Quarter Rd
Waterloo SC 29384

WATERLOO SC 29384

MAR 12 2018 PM 11



RECEIVED

MAR 12 2018

SC Court of Appeals

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
South Carolina Court of Appeals Clerk of Court
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

29211-162929

