

Shaheen Cabbage stalk

v.

S C Dept of Corrections

S.C. Courts of Appeals

Notice of

Rule 59(e)

Appellate Case Nos 2017-002466

Now Cometh the (sovereign) to this courts in the

following regards: ① The issue of (kidnapping) led to the rest of the Un Constitution

- a) Violations which (Wong Sun) Fruit of the poisonous tree doctrine speaks of And clear to read,

at 773-74. Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 825 (1977) 374 Mass at 339-42, 372 N.E.2d

were a person shows violations simply because a remedy could involve intrusion into the realm of prison administration. Brown v. Plata, 131 S.Ct. 1910, 1928-29 (2011).

Case was not addressed therefore a Rule 59(e) is right and Rule 60(B). ② I AM advising

this Courts of my indigency and asking to proceed in forma pauperis with this appeal

if the courts paid attention to the case they would've seen I am indigent and may have granted proceedings. ③ Allegations

the answer is deemed admitted made in a complaint that are not denied in

Highways and public Transp. (C. App. 1993) 313 S.C. 279, 437 S.E. 2d 555, ~~556~~

All issues in the above case were addressed (are now admitted) and per the above case

As Keel to be granted Relief and allowed all stated in Relief to me. ④ Also per

Brown v. Dadson, 863 F.Supp. 284 (W.D. Va. 1994), Adams v. Rice, 40 F.3d 72, 75 (4th Cir. 1994)

its in conflict with Al-Shabazz v. State and the constitutional right to redress the

Courts with a grievance which is the 1st Amendment of the Constitution

there for to attempt to overlook this is to allow the courts to turn a

blind eye to Justice and would leave conscious tunneling for miscarriages of

Justice and passers for continuous constitutional violations which the courts

can't allow regardless of it caused an intrusion into the administration of the

Department of Corrections. Therefore the issues not addressed are admitted

and deserve relief

**RECEIVED**

MAR 15 2018

SC Court of Appeals

By: Shaheen Cabbage stalk  
(without prejudice - 2021-308)

3/9/18

Shahen Cabbagestalk  
(without prejudice 1-2017-308)

S.C. Court of Appeals

S.C. Dept of Corrections

Notice of  
Rule 60(B)  
VOID Judgment

Appellate Case No: 2017-002466

Now Cometh the (Sovereign) to this Courts in the  
Following regards: ① The judgement of S.C. Court of Appeals do to

me being indigent denies me the right to Redress the Courts  
with my grievances which the Constitution Guarantees ② for the S.C. Dept of  
Corrections to ever attempt to use AL-shabazz v. state makes it in conflict  
which the Branch Chief Ann Hallman Memorandum states per. Adams v. Rice, 40 F.3d 73  
75 (4th Cir. 1994) states there is no federal or state statute that requires S.C.D.C. to provide a grievance  
system for inmates, also Brown v. Dodson, 863 F. Supp. 284 (W.D. Va. 1994) states inmates don't  
have a constitutional right to have the state to comply with grievance procedures (so there systematic  
factors are in conflict with the (APA) so until the Courts Decide that issue I ask  
to be (granted relief) from Judges Miller 9/14/2017 order because its  
VOID, frivolous and in conflict with the above situation and cases. ③ This case  
states a cause of action and the complaint shows my issues and being this  
Judge refused to professionally address them which prejudiced me and  
denied me the right to redress the Courts with my grievances therefore the  
② reasons he state within the cases conclusion are in conflict to be upheld  
and to dismiss the case there for within this rule 60(B) this case need  
be re-opened and proceeded forward informa paupers do to the Indigent Act  
of a state prisoner therefore I ask the judgement of Judge Edward W. Miller  
be immediately overturned.

By: Shahen Cabbagestalk  
(without prejudice 1-2017-308)

3/9/18

**RECEIVED**  
MAR 15 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

Dear,

Clerk of Courts Hi!

I ask you clock, stamp and file

this Rule 59(e) as well

this Rule 60 (B) This is a notice

of this intent to Appeal thanks

**RECEIVED**

MAR 15 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Shahen Cabbagesta 11c #295567  
Perry C.F. Ritu (B-X #3)

4300aklawn rd.  
peizer S.C. 29669

**RECEIVED**

MAR 15 2018

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

MAR 12 2018

PCI Mailroom

The SC Court of Appeals

P.O. Box 11629

Columbia S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL