

From: Kitchings, Jenny
To: ["ladycap1952@gmail.com"](mailto:ladycap1952@gmail.com)
Cc: ["rpachak@sccid.sc.gov"](mailto:rpachak@sccid.sc.gov); ["mbrown@scag.gov"](mailto:mbrown@scag.gov)
Subject: FW: 2016-000559 State v. Jquan Scott
Date: Monday, March 19, 2018 5:08:00 PM
Attachments: [Non-Dispositional Decision - Re-Briefing Ordered Pursuant to Anders.pdf](#)
[Brief - Anders Pro Se Response.pdf](#)

Dear Ms. Walker:

The Court received your email addressed to Judge Short, which he forwarded to me for response. You attached the appellant's pro se Anders brief and this Court's order dated December 15, 2017, to your email. The Court considered the appellant's pro se Anders brief prior to issuing its December 15, 2017 decision. At this time, counsel for the appellant and counsel for the State are preparing their briefs as directed in the order. Upon the completion of the briefing process, the Court will consider the issues raised by counsel in the briefs. Once a decision is reached, Mr. Scott's counsel will notify him. If you have any further questions or concerns, you may wish to contact the Office of Appellate Defense regarding this case at (803) 734-1330.

Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201
Ph: (803) 734-1891

From: Short, Paul E.
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 10:49 AM
To: Kitchings, Jenny <jkitchings@sccourts.org>
Subject: FW:

From: Harriett Walker [<mailto:ladycap1952@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 2:58 PM
To: Short, Paul E. <PShort@sccourts.org>
Subject:

Hello my name is Harriett Walker and I'm contacting you in regards of my grandson Jquan Scott appellate case #2016-000559. He wrote me a letter regarding that you are the judge that signed off denying counsel's motion to be relieved as counsel and directing parties to brief the following issues (did the court error by sentencing Scott to 30 years imprisonment for

kidnapping in light section of 16-3-910 of the South Carolina code) AND any other issue of arguable merit. Scott wrote 3 arguments in his pro se that the courts told him he had to write due to counsel filing an Anders Brief and Scott did that and filed it on time and from your order counsel failed to bring up the other arguments that came from the pro se. I would like to ask you to please help with this and to see what exactly is going on and to address these argument. I thank you for your time and patience.. I also sent his pro se with it and your motion