

Exhibit B-1

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Anne-Louise Gordon # 323003

2018-000573

S.C.A.P Rule 227

vs

Writ of Certiorari

The State of SOUTH CAROLINA

Affidavit of service

The (Appellant) Files this Notice & Motion For a Writ of Certiorari to review the denial of the P.C.R. Courts Judgement & order of dismissal.

(1) First Question: Procedural Errors of Law. Whether the Attorney General deliberately delayed the P.C.R. claim for a period of (1) one year before assigning counsel to represent her on the claim and failed to issue final order after an Evidentiary Hearing (2) two years later, without Filing a motion Ex Parte Nix, 18 U.S.C.A. requires approval to the Court in writing for an extension: The (Appellant) did not file a notice to appear pro se, with eyes open.

Anne L. Gordon

Sworn to and subscribed before me

on this 11th day of April 2018

Notary Public For the State of South Carolina

Crystal Jones
my Commission expires July 22nd, 2026

11th day of April 2018

RECEIVED

APR 16 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT




Exhibit B-2

The Supreme Court of the State of SOUTH CAROLINA

B-2

Anne-Louise Gordon # 323003

2018 - 000573

S.C.A.C.P. 2071 / S.C.A.C.P. 203

vs.

The State of SOUTH CAROLINA

Affidavit of Service

I certify under the penalty of perjury that I have served the following persons a copy of notice to respond by order of the Supreme Court, for perfecting the P.C.R. Appeal. All parties have been served

- 1) Melody Brown - PO Box 11549, Columbia, SC 29211
- 2) Mr. Daniel E Shareouse | Supreme Court chief clerk of Court, SOUTH CAROLINA

Anne-Louise Gordon # 323003

RECEIVED

APR 16 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Anne-Louise Gordon # 323003

2018-000573

2015-CP-(32)-(01219)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

vs

The STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Appellants Brief / 227. (9)

6th Amendment U.S.C.A14th Amendment U.S.C.A

18 U.S.C.A. Ex PARTE Nix

18 U.S.C.A. 3161 (h) (8) (A)

18 U.S.C.A. 3161 (h) (8) (C)

S.C. Code Ann Law - S.C. R.C.P. Rule 12

S.C. Code Ann Law - (17-27-40) : 17-27-150 (supp 2001)

S.C. Code Ann Law - (17-27-70(b)) : 17-27-130 (supp 2001)

Prejudice | CONSTITUTIONAL Errors | Washington v state. 342.

S.C. 232. 478 S.E. 2d. 833 (1996)

Alice v. state. 305. S.C. 453. 409 S.E. 2d. 385 (1991)

RECEIVED

APR 16 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA **RECEIVED**

Anne Louise Gordon #323003

vs.

2018-000573

APR 16 2018

2015-CP (32) - (01219)

S.C. SUPREME COURT

MOTION FOR A WRIT OF

The STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CERTIORARI, S.C.A.P. 227

The (Appellant) moves upon the above court on a Pro se motion, based on the fact that the attorney for appellant failed to appear for the evidentiary hearing that was held on Feb. 22nd 2018 after an order by the Supreme Court issuing an order to the (Attorney General's office) in response to a (pro se) motion for an Injunction Relief Action, filed by the (appellant) based on the State's failure to file the final order of Dismissal of (P.C.R. claim) for a period of (2) two years. The Appellant states that the statute of limitations had expired, in which the State had to submit the final argument offer the conditional order for Dismissal of the P.C.R. claim. The Appellant was prejudiced by the State's deliberate (Delayed response) to the conditional order in which the State submitted to the court. The (appellant) believes that (she) is entitled to be released from the conviction based on legal technical errors by the State's failure to file a notice and motion to the court, requesting an extension to respond or to file the final order of Dismissal of the P.C.R. pursuant to the U.S.C.A. 18. Ex PARTE NIX. There must be a formal written notice of submission and a reply by the court, set in writing that, an extension was requested and granted or denied pursuant to 18.U.S.C.A. 316 (h) (8) (A) A period of delay is excluded under 18.U.S.C.A. 316 (H) (8) (H). Signed without conducting the necessary (Inter alia) balancing required. (Ex Parte Nix) was not a proper motion to grant a continuance. The State failed to file any said motions for a period of delay or continuance, in this case at bar. Pursuant to, 18.U.S.C.A. 316(H)(8)(

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Anne-Louise Gordon # 323003

2018-000573

2015-CP-(32)-(01219)

vs

MOTION FOR A WRIT OF

CERTIORARI S.C.A.R. 227

The State of SOUTH CAROLINA

No continuance under paragraph (A) of this paragraph, shall be granted because of (general congestion) of the court's calendar, or lack of diligent preparation or failure to obtain available witnesses on the part of the (Attorney General) for the government. One of the issues for review is whether the (applicant) was deprived of Due Process of Law to the 6th Amendment U.S.C.A. when (she) had to appear (pro se) at an evidentiary hearing, as a result of petitioning the Supreme Court to order, the Attorney General to show cause as to why the state had failed to file the final order of dismissal of the (P.C.R. claim) in lieu of attorney failing to file an appeal of the denial of the claim. The (2nd) second issue for review is whether the (applicant) was entitled to a (2nd) second (P.C.R.). The Supreme Court realized that allowing successive (Applications) could cause litigations to perpetuate indefinitely; However, the Supreme Court, also held that with only the (rarest) very rarest of (Exceptions) will successive (P.C.R.) be allowed, to review an issue on a (miscarriage of Justice) that would amount to a gross miscarriage of justice. Quoting → Washington vs. State 342, S.C. 232, 478, S.E. 2d 833 (1996). Citing Id. at. 324. S.C. at 237, 478, S.E. 2d. 835. Quoting → Alice vs. State., 305. S.C. 453, 409, S.E. 2d. 385 (1991). The (3rd) third issue for review: whether the (Attorney General) has violated the S.C. code Ann laws, governing the (P.C.R.) Procedural and Regulations, which has and prejudice the (applicant's) P.C.R. claim. Failing to notify P.C.R. Attorney for filing this to appear for this hearing. And fail failing to put on the record the reason why the (Attorney General) deliberately delayed issuing a motion for final order of dismissal pursuant to the proposed conditional order of dismissal a year after the Evidentiary hearing. Deliberately delayed filing for a final order of

C-4

C
4

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Anne-Louise Gordon #323003

2018-000573

Motion For a Writ of

CERTIORARI S.C.A.P. 2017

v

The State of SOUTH CAROLINA

Affidavit of Service

Dismissal. The (appellant) is entitled for a release from judgement. The Final Argument; The (appellant) states that the STATE GOVERNMENT, Has violated the state of South Carolina Code Ann Laws, that govern this state. The Government is the Force of Law, who has the ultimate and supreme power in this state. yet, the Government Alter ego's has violated the same laws in which, they are enforcing on society, to be tried upon. Again, this is not a case, where the state can deny the allegations, this is A Prima Facie Case, of deliberate delayed actions on the merits of a P.C.R. claim which has been filed since 2015. The state has to respond within (60) days and the case should be disposed of during the Judge's (1) one year as Chief Administrative Judge's Reign. S.C. Code Ann-17-27-70(b)

Anne d. Gordon

Sworn to and subscribed before me

On this 11th Day of April 2018

NOTARY Public for the State of South Carolina

RECEIVED


APR 16 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

My Commission Expires /

Crystal Jones July 22nd, 2020

11th day of April 2018



A

The Supreme Court OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED

State of South Carolina

APR 16 2018

Appeal From Lexington County

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Durham Cole JR., Circuit Court Judge

2077-CP-(2822) P.C.R. claim

2018-000 573 → APPEAL

Anne-Louise Gordon # 323003 → Appellant

VS


The State of SOUTH CAROLINA → respondent

Certificate of Service


The Appellant hereby certifies under the penalty of perjury that a true copy of the Notice to Perfect the appeal, has been served upon opposing counsel, by delivery same on this 10th Day of April 2018, at P.O. Box 11549, Columbia, S.C. 29211.

Appealing Final order of dismissal, from the Honorable Judge Durham Cole JR on April 2nd 2018.

Anne-Louise
COBET SR
4450 Broad
Columbia,



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES
02 1P \$ 006.740
0000879470 APR 12
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE



DUTCH FORK
POST OFFICE
APR 12 2018
SC 29210

The Supreme Court of SOUTH CAROLINA
Daniel E. Shareouse, Chief Clerk of Court
Post office Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

2921131330 8099

