

THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

LARRY TYLER, PETITIONER,

RECEIVED

APR 25 2018

RECEIVED

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APR 25 2018

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, RESPONDENT,

SC Court of Appeals

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-002364

MOTION TO PRESENT ORAL ARGUMENT

THE PETITIONER NOW COMES BEFORE THIS HONORABLE COURT REQUESTING ALLOWANCE TO PRESENT ORAL ARGUMENT FOR THE REASONS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The petitioner is not an experienced litigant, and has no attorney assigned by this court who wish to defend the petitioner in the proper way as required by law.
2. Petitioner has no access to a law library or legal research in the jail of his confinement.
3. Petitioner wish to preserve, in all assurance, the primary issue of ineffective assistance of

counsel, and government misconduct resulting in judicial deception for future proceedings if required. 2 of 3

4. Due to flagrant mail tampering at this jail, the petitioner has no assurance his complete brief has been received by this court.

5. The petitioner is not confident he has made his claims clear enough for the court to understand.

6. Pretrial proceedings, like preliminary hearing transcript have not been obtained and submitted which is a vital piece of evidence to support trial counsel's conspiracy.

7. The initial incident report issued the petitioner by the police and the second one issued also prove conspiracy on trial counsel's part. This needs oral presentation.

8. The petitioner should be permitted to study the respondent's brief in response to the petitioner and allowed written comments afterwards. If this is not allowed, then permission for oral argument should be allowed.

9. If the respondent is allowed oral argument, the petitioner as well should be permitted. Respondent should not be allowed if the petitioner is not allowed.

Wherefore, this Honorable Court should grant 30F3
this "motion to Present Oral Argument" to the
Petitioner.

4. 23. 2018

LARRY J. TYLER

2349 ROGERS RD

DARLINGTON, S.C. 29532