

Read front + Back pages of
Exhibits Attachments to letter

Terry McCall #233236
Livesay Corr. Inst
Dorm-B - Rm-13
P.O. Box 588
Una, S.C.
29378

A New TRIAL should
Be immediately
Granted. These Transcripts
Cannot be treated as
true & accurate.

Re: Appellate Case No: 2015-001097 State v. Terry Edward McCall
Court Reporter resigned June 14, 2016 Caroline Hiskell
Certification Page, Not Certified while she was official
Court reporter,

RECEIVED

APR 30 2018

Dear Court of Appeals,

SC Court of Appeals

I'm writing you concerning Court Reporter
Caroline Hiskell producing my trial transcript to the S.C.
Commission on Indigent Defense Appellate Counsel on June 24, 2016
which included two volumes of transcript for May 12-13, 2015

Respectfully, Ms Hiskell was "not" an official Court Reporter at this
time, and had "resigned" from S.C. Court Administration on
June 14, 2016. So technically she was not officially authorized
to un-timely produce a transcript, especially after she had
already resigned, and her resignation came from her
neglect to produce the trial transcript in a timely manner,
alleging her records were taken by theft. But she never
produced any incident police report supporting any theft
of the discs the recordings were on.

If you will see the enclosed documents I've
included, you will find that as to the last

(1)

day of my trial transcript for May 14, 2015
And the letter dated July 8, 2016, letter dated
June 28, 2016, especially June 8, 2016 letter from
S.C.C.F.D. to Ms. Huskell asking her to send them
a complete Certification Page, and Voucher
for the May 14, 2015 day of trial transcript is
evidence that she never signed the certification
page, timely while she was employed with Court
of Administration,

that Ms. Huskell produces a Certification Page signed
& Voucher after receiving the July 8, 2016 letter
from S.C.C.F.D. But, if she is no longer an
employee of S.C. Court Administration, it was
illegal for her to submit this certification page
when she was no longer an officer of the Court.

And when she produced first two days of
my trial transcript to S.C.C.F.D. when she was
no longer a Court official, makes it fraud for
it to be used as true accurate copies of my
trial.

(338-390) Alpha numbered them 001-053 pages
Especially the pages of transcript printed by
Alpha Printing Company,

see next pages

I put this on the record at my reconstruction hearing
but no one is acknowledging it, My Appellate
lawyer didn't say anything about it, And I
told her too.

(3)

Dear Court of Appeals,

I have a very serious concerned question. In my first part of this letter, the fact that Ms. Carlene Hiskel signed the actual certification page, after she had resigned from Court Administration, would be like a Notary Public notarizing documents when they are no longer a legal Notary, would be illegal, and wrong.

Now it just come to my attention, that from Transcript Page (338) the ALPHA PRINTING on 7-5-16 faxed over these documents to Ms. Hiskel and from Page 338 starts (Page 001) for Alpha printing Company.

And the Certification Page 390 sent by Alpha Printing Company is evidence that Ms. Hiskel did not ~~sign~~ sign her signature on that page, where as, she did forward a certification page after receiving the Notice letter dated July 8, 2016 from the S.C. Commission on Prudent Defense (S.C.P.D.) meaning certification page was un-timely. Because she was no longer a Court Official, Reporter.

And to sign the certification page representing you are a Official Court Reporter, when you are not is fraud, and makes the Certification A fraud.

(3)

(4)

And where there is no Certification
Page legally signed, leaves in Question
the true accurate Authenticity of this
transcript.


And where a Printing Company such as
Alpha printing has not certified that
this is true & accurate leaves the
transcript to be False & Questionable
And cannot be used for my Appeal.
And A New TRIAL must be granted.
And I must be returned immediately
back to the detentive center of
Greenville for New TRIAL.

I Am Awaiting your Reply,
I will be filing A lawsuit on
behind all this.

Thank you!

Mr Jerry McCall

I included page (001) of Alpha printing (Pg 338) original
thru (053) pg) ~~the~~ ALPHA printed ~~the~~ 53 pages
(1-53) OF Day 3 May 14, 2015 OF TRIAL
see TOP of NEXT Page / ALPHA PRINTING
(*)



South Carolina Court Administration
South Carolina Supreme Court
Columbia, South Carolina

TONNYA K. KOHN
INTERIM DIRECTOR

1015 SUMTER STREET, SUITE 200
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

April 3, 2018

Terry McCall #233236
Livesay Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 580
Una, SC 29378

Dear Mr. McCall:

I am responding to your letter dated February 13, 2018. In your letter you are requesting information pertaining to Caroline Hiskell's employment with Court Administration. Ms. Hiskell resigned on June 14, 2016.

If you have any further questions regarding court reporters and /or transcripts, feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Desiree Allen
Court Reporter Manager



SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

Division of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-3332
Post Office Box 11589
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589
Telephone: (803) 734-1343
Facsimile: (803) 734-1397

Robert M. Dudek, Chief Appellate Defender
Wanda H. Carter, Deputy Chief Appellate Defender

COFY

June 28, 2016

RECEIVED

JUN 28 2016

SC Court of Appeals

Caroline Hiskell
Circuit Court Reporter
32 Duxbury Lane
Easley, SC 29642

Re: The State v. Terry E. McCall - Appellate Case No. 2015-001097

Dear Ms. Hiskell:

On June 24, 2016 we received two volumes of the transcript, respectively May 12 and May 13, 2015. We have not received the sentencing day, May 14, 2015. We have no indication you were not the court reporter on May 14, 2015.


Please let us know if you are sending the May 14, 2015 transcript. If you know that another court reporter took that portion, please let me know as soon as possible, because we would have to expedite that transcript immediately.

I am attaching a voucher for you to complete and return. Please contact me, if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Paula Murdoch

Paula Murdoch
Administrative Coordinator



/mpm

cc: S.C. Court of Appeals
Court Administration
Mr. Terry McCall

Enclosure



South Carolina Court Administration
South Carolina Supreme Court
Columbia, South Carolina

ROSALYN FRIERSON
DIRECTOR

1220 SENATE STREET, SUITE 200
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1800
FAX: (803) 734-0269

June 3, 2016

Mr. Terry McCall, #233236
RCI-C-A-32
P.O. Box 2039
Ridgeland, SC 29936

Dear Mr. McCall:

I am responding to the letters you've been sending since February 2016 regarding this transcript. I spoke with your brother earlier this week regarding this situation and explained that I would write to you to try to eliminate some of the frustration I am sure you are feeling over this situation. I am sorry that you haven't received a satisfactory response. By way of information, when the Division of Appellate Defense requests a transcript, I assume that they are your legal representative. That office has been writing to us each month to ask about the status of the transcript. Upon receipt of these inquiries, my office contacts the court reporter so that we can provide their response to the inquiry. We have been waiting for responses to our inquiries that have gone unheeded from Ms. Hiskell as well. After reviewing this situation, it appears that the transcript is not yet completed and further, that no extensions have been requested. Therefore, there are no copies to send to you or the Division of Appellate Defense.

Because the transcript is not completed and is long overdue, by copy of this letter, I am directing that Ms. Hiskell request the outstanding extensions and respond in writing to you, Appellate Defense, the Court of Appeals, and myself about the circumstances surrounding the delay in delivery of this transcript.

My office will investigate further and take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the appropriate extensions are approved and that the transcript is delivered to the requester, Office of Appellate Defense. I will do whatever is necessary to move this process along. Of course, you are free to write again, but I don't think it will be necessary. Thank you for your continuing patience.

Sincerely,

Desiree R. Allen
Court Reporter Manager

CC: Caroline Hiskell, Court Reporter
S.C. Court of Appeals
Division of Appellate Defense



SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

Division of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-3332
Post Office Box 11589
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589
Telephone: (803) 734-1330
Facsimile: (803) 734-1397

Robert M. Dudek, Chief Appellate Defender
Wanda H. Carter, Deputy Chief Appellate Defender

July 8, 2016

Ms. Caroline Hiskell
Court Reporter
32 Duxbury Lane
Easley, SC 29642

Re: The State v. Terry E. McCall – 2015-001097

Dear Ms. Hiskell:

We have received the transcript of the final day of the trial on May 14, 2015. You informed our office by facsimile that this transcript is incomplete due to the theft of your records. Our inspection of the transcript shows that part of the testimony of witness Tommy Brooks is missing "due to technical difficulties" as you state on page 358 of the transcript. Please be advised that we have not received a certification page or a completed voucher from you to date.

This case is being assigned to an attorney with the understanding that a motion for a reconstruction hearing will likely be necessary, given the missing testimony, despite the time between the request for the transcript and its completion. Regardless, we still need for you to provide us with a certification page and a completed voucher. I do appreciate your cooperation. Please contact me if you have any questions.


Sincerely,

Paula Murdoch
Administrative Coordinator

Enclosure

cc: Court of Appeals
Court Administration
Terry McCall

/mpm



Indigent Defense - July 5, 2016

To: SC Commission on Indigent Defense

Re: Requested transcripts

Enclosed is the transcript State versus Terry McCall.

I was asked in your letter to confirm that State versus Donald Young is complete as to what I have remaining. There was a theft and the files for that case were taken.

Also, certain portions of State versus Terry McCall as well as they were housed on the same disk. The breaks are listed in the transcript.

**Also, inquiries about the follows:
State versus Keynon Robinson. This transcript has been delivered and paid for.
State versus McLaurin. I send a status form stating this was not my case.**

Please inform Court Administration that I have answered these inquiries to the best of my ability.

Thank you.

TERRY MCCALL-DIRECT BY MR. STROM

1 A Right.

2 Q --and then you went on your way.

3 A She then may have went back to sleep once I
4 left.

5 Q Okay. And then, in our discussions you voiced
6 some concerns as to when Caroline Hiskell left her
7 employment at the Court of Appeals?

8 A Court Administration.

9 Q Excuse me, Court Administration.

10 A Yes, sir, I do, uh-huh.

11 Q And specifically your concern is that she was
12 not a certified court reporter at the time that she signed
13 the certification form verifying the transcript?

14 A That's exactly right. Because the one I
15 received with the initial transcript, was never signed.
16 And then in between me trying to find out if she was going
17 to be relieved or whatever, whatever was going on with the
18 court, she sent me a letter, and I have it over there,
19 that she was no longer a court official, a judicial
20 officer. And then a certification came in because you
21 guys had written her and explained that you needed a
22 certification.

23 Q So, you're concerned that the certification came
24 after she was no longer--

25 A Yeah, I believe so.

TERRY MCCALL-CROSS BY MS. MUNSON

1 Q -- allowed to certified transcripts?

2 A I'm not sure, I'm still trying to find that out.

3 Q Okay.

4 A But I believe -- I believe so.

5 MR. STROM: Your Honor, there's -- Terry and

6 I --

7 BY MR. STROM:

8 Q I know you've -- we've talked extensively but I
9 want to make sure, have I covered all the issues as it
10 relates specifically to the integrity of the transcript?

11 A Yes, sir, I think so.

12 MR. STROM: I have no further questions, Your
13 Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay, cross-examination.

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. MUNSON:

17 Q Hi, Mr. Martin -- Mr. McCall. Golly, I'm sorry
18 about that. It's rude I didn't call you by the right
19 name.

20 A That's all right.

21 Q I'm Judy Munson with the solicitor's office, I
22 just have three little areas to talk to you about, okay?

23 A Okay.

24 Q All right, the first one is with the EMS guy,
25 Robinson. The testimony that came in through him about

July 25, 2016

Terry McCall 233236
W.C.I. WF-39B
4340 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29210


Caroline Hiskell
32 Duxbury Lane
Easley, SC 29642

Dear Mr. McCall,

I am in receipt of your letter dated July 18.
In response, I am no longer an employee at the
State of South Carolina, Court Administration
or the Judicial Department and have no further
relationship with this employment.

Any and all future correspondence sent will
be returned to you unopened.

Good Luck and God bless.


Caroline Hiskell

The original certification page she sent with the May 14, 2015 trial transcript that was not signed by Ms. Hiskell, was printed by ALPHA Printing

And the Certification page Ms. Hiskell sent after she was resigned reflects it was not printed by ALPHA Printing! So how could she even use a Out of Time Certification Page not printed by ALPHA Printing to certify a transcript portions typed printed by her, and other portions typed printed by ALPHA Printing?

Ms. Hiskell cannot verify & certify anything after she is no longer a Court official. And she should not be allowed to certify anything printed by ALPHA Printing, when they printed it not Ms. Hiskell.

ALPHA Printing should have certified these portions that they printed, not Ms. Hiskell.

Please let me know the Court's decision.

Ms. Jerry McCall

State versus McCall

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I, the undersigned Caroline Hiskell, Official Court Reporter for the Thirteenth Circuit of the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate, and complete transcript of record of all proceedings had and evidence introduced in the trial of the captioned case, relative to appeal, in Court of General Sessions, Greenville County, this 14th day of May, 2015.

I do further certify that I am neither of kin, counsel, nor interest to any party hereto.

Caroline Hiskell

State versus McCall

1 MR. ERWIN: He gave you credit.

2 THE COURT: I gave you all credit statutory
3 time that you are entitled to that is subsequent to the
4 issuance of your initial ticket you get that time.

5 Good luck to you, sir.

6 DEFENDANT MCCALL: This here is non-violent?

7 THE COURT: It is non-violent.

8 Good luck to you, sir.

9 Ladies and gentlemen, you can return to your
10 jury room and relieve you of your responsibilities this
11 week and give you an opportunity to ask me any questions
12 you may have.

13 Thank you.

14 ---END OF TRANSCRIPT RECORD---

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

State versus McCall

1 I, the undersigned Caroline Hiskell, Official
2 Court Reporter for the Thirteenth Circuit of the State of
3 South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
4 true, accurate, and complete transcript of record of all
5 proceedings had and evidence introduced in the trial of
6 the captioned case, relative to appeal, in Court of
7 General Sessions, Greenville County, this 14th day of May,
8 2015.

9 I do further certify that I am neither of kin,
10 counsel, nor interest to any party hereto.

11
12
13 

14 Caroline Hiskell
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

**SCCID**

SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

COURT REPORTERS' REQUEST FOR PAYMENT FOR TRANSCRIPT IN CRIMINAL INDIGENCY CASE

TO: SC COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE
 PO BOX 11589
 COLUMBIA, SC 29211-1589

SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL AT APPELLATE DEFENSE:

FORWARD THIS SIGNED AND COMPLETED FORM ALONG WITH THE REQUESTED TRANSCRIPT TO THE SC COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE, APPELLATE DIVISION. THE SC COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE, APPELLATE DIVISION WILL APPROVE THIS REQUEST FOR PAYMENT AND FORWARD THE REQUEST TO THE S.C. COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE FOR PAYMENT. ALL QUESTIONS REGARDING PAYMENT SHOULD BE MADE TO THE S.C. COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE, P.O. BOX 11433, COLUMBIA, SC 29211-1433, PHONE: 803.734.1343, e-mail: executive@sccid.sc.gov.

CASE NAME:

State vs Jerry McCall

CRIMINAL CASE (INDICTMENT) NO.(s):

2015-001097

DATE TRANSCRIPT REQUESTED BY APPELLATE DEFENSE:

DATE TRANSCRIPT PROVIDED TO APPELLATE DEFENSE:

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE DEFENSE OF INDIGENTS FUND WILL REIMBURSE THE REQUESTING PARTY FOR EITHER THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT OR ONE COPY, BUT NOT FOR BOTH.

RULE 607 (H)(1), SCACR, PROVIDES THAT IN ALL CRIMINAL INDIGENCY CASES, INCLUDING POST-CONVICTION AND SEXUAL VIOLENT PREDATOR PROCEEDINGS, THE FEE FOR THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT IS THREE DOLLARS AND TWENTY-FIVE CENTS (\$3.25) PER PAGE AND THE FEE FOR A COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT IS SEVENTY-FIVE CENTS (.75) PER PAGE.

PURSUANT TO THE REQUEST OF THE S.C. COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE, APPELLATE DIVISION, THE TRANSCRIPT IN THE ABOVE MATTER WAS PROVIDED TO THAT OFFICE. REIMBURSEMENT IN THE FOLLOWING AMOUNT IS HEREBY REQUESTED:

- ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT OF 390 PAGES: \$ 1267.50
- COPY OF ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT OF _____ PAGES: \$ _____
- OTHER (Please specify): _____: \$ _____

TOTAL PAYMENT REQUESTED:

\$ 1267.50

PRINTED OR TYPED NAME OF COURT REPORTER:

Caroline Hiskell

SIGNATURE OF COURT REPORTER:

ADDRESS:

32 Duxbury Lane
Easley, SC 29642

A SC VENDOR IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, WHICH IS ISSUED BY THE SC COMPTROLLER GENERAL'S OFFICE, AND IS NEITHER A FEDERAL EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER NOR AN INDIVIDUAL SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER, IS REQUIRED FOR PAYMENT PROCESSING. (SCCID DOES NOT REQUIRE A FEI OR SSN)

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A SC VENDOR ID NUMBER, APPLY HERE:
https://webprod.cln.sc.gov/SC_VendorWeb/mainNewFrame.do

NOTE: ONCE YOU APPLY FOR A SC VENDOR ID, THE SC COMPTROLLER GENERAL'S OFFICE REQUIRES A 72-HOUR WAITING PERIOD TO VERIFY YOUR ID NUMBER. ADDITIONAL LINKS AND INFORMATION MAY BE FOUND AT:
<http://sccid.sc.gov/register.cfm>

PHONE NUMBER:

EMAIL ADDRESS:

VENDOR ID NUMBER:

187609643

SCCID USE ONLY:

SCCID FILE NUMBER:

DOCUMENT NUMBER:

Tommy Brooks - Direct Examination

1 Q You've been involved in a lot of accidents,
2 correct?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 Q Were you able to estimate a range of speed?

5 A The softer metal on the cars I've seen damage
6 like that from 40 to up to high 60.

7 Q I'm going to show you State's Exhibit No. 63 what
8 is this diagram demonstrate?

9 A This is what we call the sequence of events.
10 What we do is we take a car from the direction that they
11 were initially traveling and find out which one was going
12 which direction. We line them back up on the scale
13 diagram, all the evidence that was marked and mapped
14 Again, the would be like our impact area which is the
15 first touch. We have a tire mark that leads away and you
16 can't really see. It would be like a purple color with
17 just a little line on it because you can't run the scale
18 and see it because it wouldn't fit on the paper.

19 Again, the Explorer goes through and comes to
20 its final rest is here and the pick up truck actually
21 rotated back off and came to its final rest right there.

22 Q What is State's Exhibit No. 67?

23 A That is what we call the evidence and final rest
24 layer. It's both vehicles where they stop after the
25 collision and it shows the evidence that we map. Anc

Tommy Brooks - Direct Examination

1 notice -- does that help you notice what your opinion is?

2 A You can actually see that on the driver's side is
3 more on the -- all of this stuff is broken out. It's
4 typically induced by the collision. All of the major
5 damage, you can see the direction of the hood is actually
6 pushed. Headlight area to the side of it pushed all the
7 way down the side, side swipe offsetting head on.

8 Q So your opinion is in this photograph show you
9 where you think the area of collision is on the truck?

10 A You can see it went in right there in the
11 headlight area just pushed into the softer metal and rode
12 all the way down the side. The gouging would be right in
13 here and this would be your area of impact.

14 Q Based off that, how does the diagram reflect your
15 findings?

16 A Again, that shows the first touch of the
17 vehicles. It's not going to show maximum engagement, but
18 this is the area of impact for the vehicle.

X 19 Q Did you do a speed calculation in this case?

20 A No, sir. This particular case was a left the
21 center case. Sometimes you have to do a speed calculation
22 if we think that that's a sole factor in the collision.
23 In this case, the question was we had a vehicle went left
24 of center, which on did it. So we were able to go back
25 and do the forensic mapping and answer the question.

NO
Speed
calculations
Done


Terry McCall #233236
Livesay Corr. Inst
Dorm B - Rm - 13
P.O. BOX 580
Wnq, S.C. 29378

S.C. Court of Appeals
Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk

P.O. BOX 11629

Columbia, S.C.

29211



02 1P \$ 000.89⁰
0001977717 APR 26 2018
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29801

RECEIVED

APR 30 2018

SC Court of Appeals

LEGAL

