

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Stephanie Nicolaides (f/k/a Stephanie De Rosa) and  
Jennifer Weydert (f/k/a Jennifer De Rosa), Appellants,

v.

Mary A. De Rosa (f/k/a Mary C. Northam), in her  
capacity as Trustee of the Douglas De Rosa Trust, as  
Attorney-in-Fact for Douglas De Rosa, and Individually,  
Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2018-000810

---

## ORDER

---

This appeal arises out of a circuit court order granting the appellant's motion to amend the complaint in part, denying the motion to amend the complaint in part, and partially granting the respondent's motion to quash a subpoena. Because the order on appeal is interlocutory in nature, this appeal is dismissed. *See Collins v. Sigmon*, 299 S.C. 464, 385 S.E.2d 835 (1989) ("An order permitting amendment of pleadings is interlocutory and generally is not appealable until final judgment."); *Baldwin Constr. Co. v. Graham*, 357 S.C. 227, 593 S.E.2d 146 (2004) (dismissing appeal from order denying motion to amend pleadings); *Ex Parte Whetstone*, 289 S.C. 580, 347 S.E.2d 881 (1986) ("An order directing a party to participate in discovery is interlocutory and not directly appealable under S.C. Code Ann. § 14-3-330 (2017)"). The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

**FILED**

May 4, 2018

cc:

Anne Kelley Russell, Esquire

Trudy Hartzog Robertson, Esquire

E. Brandon Gaskins, Esquire

Benjamin Albert Barody, Esquire