

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

FEB 01 2018

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-0001051

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-0002108 ET. AL.,

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION
TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO
ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT; RENEWING
THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE AND ALL
PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND
MOTIONS; SUPPLEMENTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND
OR APPENDIX IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTION TO DISMISS
AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

FIRST, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES,
RENEWS ALL PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND

MOTIONS PREVIOUSLY FILED RELATED TO VACATING THE ORDERS FOR ALL REASONS PREVIOUSLY ASSERTED WHICH INCLUDE ALL JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES CONTAINED THEREIN, BECAUSE NOW THAT THE RESPONDENT HAS SUBMITTED THIS MOTION TO DISMISS. HE HAS NOW OPENED THE DOOR FOR ALL DOCUMENTS FILED SUBMISSION TO RESPOND IN OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION AS WELL, U.S.-v.-WILLIAMS, 106 F3d. 1173(4th.Cir. 1997); U.S.-v.-CRAIG, 358 Fed. Appx' 446, 2009 WL 5175592(4th. Cir.2009); U.S.-v.-LEIGH, 61 Fed. Appx' 854, 2003 WL 1711227 (4th.Cir.2003); WILLIAMS-v.-BISHOP, 2015 WL 4984396(Md.2015).

LETS DEAL WITH THE RESPONDENT'S CONCERNS SYSTEMATICALLY, LOGICALLY, ONE BY ONE BUT BEFORE THAT, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS AGAIN TO STRIKE HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, BECAUSE LIKE ITS PRE-DECESSOR, IT TOO, IS CORRUPTED BY FURTHER ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DONE IN EFFORTS TO AID THE RESPONDENT TO SUBMIT THIS DOCUMENT AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION, MISREPRESENTATION AND CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN HIS ABUSIVE, OPPROBRIOUS CONDUCT TO INTERFERE WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AND TO PREVENT HIS REQUIRE- MENT AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLYING WITH SUBSTANTIVE AND PRO- CEDURAL DEMANDS OF DUE PROCESS LAW, TO CONCEAL HIS ARBITRARY , CAPRICIOUS BEHAVIOR IN THE CASES INVOLVED SUBJUDICE, AND HIS UNWILLINGNESS TO RESPOND WHICH WOULD PRODUCE OVERWHELMING EVI- DENCE THAT ALL FACTS STATED ARE TRUE AND BY FAR, THEY ARE NOT FRIVOLOUS. THE RESPONDENT IS BLOWING SMOKE AND IS WORSE THAN A PAPER TIGER. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES ON THE RECORD CHALLENGES THE RESPONDENT BEFORE THIS COURT. DON'T JUST TALK ABOUT IT,...BE ABOUT IT. PRODUCE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES SEEK WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS. OR THE RESPONDENT'S PLEADINGS ARE JUST A BUNCH OF FRAUD AND EMPTY RHETORIC DESIGNED TO CONCEAL HIS INABILITY TO MEET THE CHALLENGE AND HIS INVOLVEMENT DURING AND OR AFTER THE FACT IN CLASS A, B AND C FELONIES WHICH WOULD ALSO INDISPUTABLY PROVE, THAT IF ANY ONE TO THESE PROCEEDINGS ABUSED THE COURTS AND HOLD THE SANCTITY OF ITS STRUCTURAL APPARATUS IN CONTEMPT,...IT IS HE THAT IS BLATANTLY GUILTY OF THIS CHARGE AND NOT THE APPELLANT, AND IT IS HE WHO IS CONSPIRING TO DISRUPT THE COURT, AND THAT IT IS HIS CLAIMS THAT ARE MESMERIZINGLY FANTASTIC AND DELUSIONAL. HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! #1". THIS IS THE [34] PAGE COMPLAINT THAT WAS FILED IN CASE 2016-CP-400-0552. DON'T LET THE CASE NUMBER FOOL YOU. THIS IS THE ORIGIN OF ALL THAT IS PLACED BEFORE US. OBSERVE THAT IT IS CLOCKED STAMPED BY THE COURT FILED MARCH 13, 2007. IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE(S) 17-18 OF THIS DOCUMENT. HERE IS THE FIRST CONNECTION BETWEEN THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, AS IS FOR THOSE OTHERS SOUGHT RELEASED. IT IS THE (180) DAY RULE LITIGATION. IT WAS FILED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH since 2006 RESUBMITTED IN THIS DOCUMENT UPON REQUEST TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN. IT WAS GIVEN TO HIS PRESENT ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL OTHERS REFERRED TO IN THIS CASE AND THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE APPLIES TO ALL OF OUR CONVICTIONS DESPITE ANY VARIANCE AN ALLEGED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. THUS, I OBJECT TO THAT LUDICROUS CLAIM THAT THE DOCUMENTS HAVE NO CONNECTION TO THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL, WHEN THEY BLOCKED ITS REVEIEW BY DENYING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR WHERE COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHED WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE. DON'T LET THE CASE NUMBER ON THE ACTION FOOL YOU. JUDGE CHILDS INITIALLY SAT ON THIS CASE. SHE DISMISSED IT. THE KING-KHALIFAH THEN BROGHT THE MATTER BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT ALONG WITH (3) OTHER RELATED CASES COMPLAINING ABOUT THEIR DISMISSAL. THE FEDERAL COURT REMANDED THE (4) CASES STATING THEY SHOULD HAVE NOT DISMISSED THE CASES WHICH ESTABLISHED NEW CASES NUMBERS, 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568 AND 3569 WHERE THE FEDERAL COURT COMBINED TWO OF THE FOUR BECAUSE THEY WERE SIMILAR INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT CONSPIRING WITH S.C.D.C.. THE CASES WERE REINSTATED IN 2008 WHERE THE COURT HELD THEM IN LIMBO FOR (5) ADDITIONAL YEARS FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO FILE INDEPENDENT ACTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, INORDINATE DELAY AND AMONG OTHER THINGS FAILURE TO ALLOW DISCOVERY TO ISSUE WHICH CAUSED DELAY IN TRIAL. THEY ARE IN DEFAULT ON THESE MATTERS FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD AND IT BEING INEXCUSABLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL TO HOLD THESE CASES PENDING FOR (12) YEARS. DON'T LET THE "2013" PREFIX FOOL YOU. THE CASE IS OVER (12) YEARS OLD. BY THIS DOCUMENT ALONE, THE FRAUD THEY CONSPIRED IN IS CLEAR, DEFINITE AND CERTAIN TO ADD WHAT WAS DONE DIRECTLY IN OUR EFFORTS TO BRING THIS CASE. THE "CLARITY", NOT "NEBULOUS", HAS ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED BY THE LOWER COURT INVOLVED. BY THE (180) DAY ISSUE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES

AND THOSE OF US INVOLVED SHOULD BE HOME NOW BY THIS LEGAL ISSUE DUE TO RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE THESE PLEADINGS ARE AN INTRINSIC PART OF THAT CASE WHICH TAINTS THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO HE BEING PROCEDURALLY BARRED IN RAISING SUCH BY LAW. THUS, AGAIN, I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THE ACTS OF FRAUD ARE NEBULOUS OR FRIVOLOUS AND THE ATTORNEY TO THE (4) THRONES IS JUST GETTING STARTED.



(2) EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! # 2". THIS IS THE INTAKE SHEET FROM SLED INVESTIGATIVE FILE 5501014. YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS DOCUMENT AS IT IS EXPLAINED IN EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! # 1". THIS IS WHY THE RESPONDENT'S OFFICE COMPROMISED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ON DIRECT APPEAL WHICH JUDGE HEARNS WAS PARTY TO THIS CONSPIRACY BEING GIVEN KNOWLEDGE OF THE WRONG ON THE COURT RECORD PURSUANT TO SEEKING LEAVE TO TERMINATE COUNSEL. THE KING-KHALIFAH ARGUED BEFORE THE TRIAL COURT THAT THEY DID NOT PROVE THE ELEMENTS OF "TIME AND PLACE" FOR THE MURDER WHICH ARE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE. THEY TOOK THE PRESERVATION OF THE ISSUE OUT OF THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT, DELETED IT, FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION, THEN KEPT THE TRANSCRIPT FROM HIM UNTIL WELL OVER (6) MONTHS. BY THAT TIME, THE COURT REPORTER ~~SHAD~~ THE AUDIO NO LONGER EXISTED TO DO A RECONSTRUCTION HEARING, AND THEY FORCED AND KEPT STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL ON HIM AGAINST HIS WILL, TO KEEP HIM FROM ADDRESSING IT. THE INDICTMENT ALLEGED SHE DIED ON JANUARY 25, 2001 AND THAT SHE DIED IN KERSHAW COUNTY. IN TRUTH, SHE DIED ON JANUARY 26, 2001 AND SHE DIED IN RICHLAND COUNTY AS IS FURTHER PROVEN BY THE ACTUAL DEATH CERTIFICATE. JOHN MEADORS LIED ON THE COURT RECORD AT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S COMPETENCY HEARING AND STATED THIS FILE DID NOT EXIST. THE CORONER'S NOTES ARE IN THIS FILE DENYING HIM ESSENTIAL DISCOVERY EVIDENCE. IT IS CLEAR FROM THIS DOCUMENT THAT THE FILE DO INDEED EXIST DESPITE MEADOR'S PERJURY. LOOK AT THE CAUSE OF DEATH, AND NOTE THAT IT CLEARLY STATES IT IS AFTER THE AUTOPSY WAS DONE. THE CAUSE OF DEATH IS LISTED AS MALNOURISHMENT AND THERE IS A "QUESTION MARK" BY THE WORD "TRAUMA", WHICH SUPPORTS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CLAIM THAT THE CORONER, JOHNNY FELLORS, SHOWED UP AT HIS BOND HEARING AND STATED, "NO ALLEGED BEATING KILLED

HIS CHILD. AT MOST IT MAY HAVE BEEN A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR." IF THE "TRAUMA" OR "ALLEGED BEATING" IS QUESTIONABLE, WHAT IS THE KING-KHALIFAH DOING BEING CONVICTED FOR MURDER? WHAT DOES THIS DOCUMENT MEAN WHEN IT ASSERTS THAT THE INITIAL CAUSE OF DEATH IS "MALNOURISHMENT"? ITS DIABETES, WHICH IS THE EXACT QUESTION THE CORONER ASKED THE KING-KHALIFAH IF SHE HAD THIS THE NIGHT OF HER DEATH. IT DON'T ADD UP. SHE WAS 180 LBS. AT 11 YEARS OLD WITH THE BODY OF A GROWN WOMAN WHO ATE LIKE A HORSE. THE ONLY WAY TO POTENTIALLY PROVE THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH NOW IS TO TEST THAT DNA TO MICHAEL LEE AS IS SOUGHT BY THE CROWN. THIS IS WHAT THE RESPONDENT IS TRYING TO AVOID IN ACTS OF FRAUD BECAUSE IT WOULD PROVE THEY CONSPIRED TO CREATE A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WANT THIS EVIDENCE AND THE SEARCH WARRANT THEY USED TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HOME PRODUCED NOW!!! STOP PLAYING THESE GAMES!!! PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) WE SOUGHT TO HELP HIM GET THIS ESSENTIAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE BY HAVING THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY HEARD IN OUR CASES BECAUSE JUDGE TOAL BLOCKED THE FILING OF HIS PCR BY FRAUD AND THE ISSUES ARE JURISDICTIONAL EFFECTING US ALL DESPITE ANY DIFFERENCES IN ALLEGED ILLEGAL ACTIVITY AND THE RESPONDENT AND JUDGES CONSPIRED TO ATTACK OUR CASES, TO INCLUDE MY DIRECT APPEAL, TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE FROM SURFACING. THIS IS THE OTHER CONNECTION AND THE RELEVANCE OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED. THUS, I OBJECT TO THE CLAIM THERE IS NO CONNECTION TO MY CHARGES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONVICTION. I OBJECT TO THE CLAIM THAT THE ACTS OF FRAUD ARE NEBULOUS. THE STATE MUST PROVE THE CAUSE OF DEATH AND THEY FABRICATED IT AND ATTACKED MY DIRECT APPEAL TO PREVENT THIS FROM BEING REVEALED, ZIGGLER-v.-BUSH, 2015 WL 3775901(DSC.2015); RIFE-v.-BLANKENSHIP, 721 F2d. 983 CA4 (Va. 1983); BURBAGE-v.-U.S. 134 S.Ct. 881(U.S.2014); U.S.-v.-WHITE-HEAD, 695 F3d. 288 CA4 (N.C.2012). THESE ARE CLEAR AND DEFINITE ACTS OF FRAUD ACCEPTED BY THE RICHLAND COURT AS NOT BEING FRI-VOLIOUS. THUS, RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES TAINING HIS SUBMISSION RENDERING THE DOCUMENT UNCONSTITUTIONAL, INADMISSIBLE AND VOID. I MOVE TO STRIKE IT.

CONTEMPT?,...ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR? MY CONTEMPT IS WITH JUDGES AND STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIALS WHO THINK THAT THEY CAN ENGAGE

IN PRACTICES AND ISSUES OF JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THAT SPIT
IN THE FACE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" AND STAND IN BLATANT DE-
FIANCE TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE U.S. SUPREME COURT AND
DUE PROCESS LAW, AND BY SUCH, "THEY" ENGAGE IN OUTRAGEOUS BEHAVIOR.
MY CONTEMPT IS WITH GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, LIKE THE RESPONDENT,
WITH THE OTHER OFFICIALS INVOLVED HERE, AND A SYSTEM OF JUSTICE
WHICH IN ITS ORIGINAL CONCEPTION WAS DESIGNED TO PROTECT THE
RIGHTS OF THE COMMON PEOPLE, BUT HAS NOW BECOME A CESS POOL,
A SWAMP OF FOUL, RAT, STINKING DEVILS AND DEMON DOGS, BENT ON
A MISSION TO OBTAIN CORRUPT, FRAUDULENT, UNCONSTITUTIONAL JU-
DICIAL DECISION BY MANIPULATING THE INTEGRITY OF THE SYSTEM
ITSELF FOR THEIR ULTERIOR MOTIVES IN EFFORTS TO RAPE AND PILLAGE
THE POPULOUS. CONTEMPT?,...MY CONTEMPT IS WITH JUDGES AND GOVERN-
MENT OFFICIALS WHO BLOODY THEIR ROBES LIKE A BUNCH OF RECREANT
BASTARDS AND JUST BECAUSE THEY KNOW SOME LAW, THEY TAKE ADVANTAGE
OF THE PUBLIC WHO BY OATH THEY WERE TO PROTECT AND SERVE, LEAVING
THEM IN DIRE STRAITS BECAUSE THEY, THE PEOPLE, DO NOT THEMSELVES
KNOW LAW. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH COURTS WHO DESTROY PEOPLES LIVES
AND FAMILIES, LIKE YOUR FOREFATHERS DID DURING THE TIME OF SLA-
VERY AND JIM CROW LAWS BEHIND LIES AND OPPROBRIOUS GOVERNMENTAL
ACTION WITH NO MERCY OR REGARD FOR TRUTH OR WHAT IS "JUST AND
FAIR" BEFORE THE FACE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH
TREACHEROUS, UNSCRUPULOUS INDIVIDUALS AND DEMON DOGS OF THIS
WORLD THAT MAKE JUDICIAL AND LIFE DECISIONS WITHOUT TAKING INTO
ACCOUNT THAT GOD IS REAL AND HE IS WATCHING THEM, AND THEY ACT
OR LEGISLATE OR MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATION LIKE THEY KNOW BETTER
THAN GOD, LIKE YOUR GLOBAL LAWS ARE BETTER THAN GOD'S LAWS,
AND TO ME THAT IS THE HIGHEST CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR
OF THE HIGHEST COURT OF JUSTICE IN EXISTENCE IN THE ANNALS OF
HUMAN THOUGHT. SO DON'T YOU RESPONDENT, AND THESE CORRUPT STATE
OFFICIALS, RECREANT DEVILS, HYPOCRITICAL MOCKERS AT FEAST, TELL
ME ABOUT CONTEMPT OR ABUSIVE BAHAVIOR. I AM HERE TO ADDRESS
THE MOST EGREGIOUS ACTS OF CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR LAID
BEFORE US, THE COMPROMISING OF MY DIRECT APPEAL AND THE FRAMING
OF THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES BEHIND RELIGIOUS
AND RACIAL HATRED AS WELL AS THE CONTEMPT FOR THE LAWS OF THE
ONE TRUE GOD. WHEN YOU HEAR ME SPEAK AS HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL,
YOU HEAR THE VOICE OF THE KING-KHALIFAH SPEAKING UNDER GOD'S
LAWS WHICH SUPERSEDE YOUR LAWS. I SPEAK IN THE VOICE OF THE

CROWN. "ACTUS SERVI IN IIS QUIBUS OPERA EJUS COMMUNITER ADHIBITA EST, ACTUS DOMINI HABETUR"---THE ACTS AND WORDS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES, IN WHICH HE IS EMPLOYED BY THE CROWN ARE THE WORDS AND ACTS OF THE CROWN ITSELF. THEREBY, THEY ARE ACTS OF GOD, AS HIS LAWGIVER PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT. "ACTUS DELNEMINI FACIT INJURIAM"---ACTS OF GOD DOES WRONG TO NO ONE. THUS, THE WORDS I SPEAK ARE PROTECTED SPEECH INVOLVING MORAL, SOCIAL AND POLITICAL INTEREST PRODUCING PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS WHERE IT WOULD BE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID YOUR JURISDICTION IF THE COURT PENALIZED THEIR EXERCISE BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT WHICH WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE AND ARTICLE IV § 2 AS WELL AS ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. "LEGITIME IMPERANTI PARERE NECESSE EST"---THE ONE WHO COMMANDS BY THE DECREE OF GOD, MUST BE OBEYED. "CONSUETUDO VINCIT COMMUNEM LEGEM"---THE CUSTOMS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH ARE THE LAWS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ARE THE ACTS OF HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL. "DORMIUNT ALIQUANDO LEGES NUNQUAM MORIUNTUR"---GOD'S LAWS MAY SOMETIMES SEEMINGLY SLEEP, BUT THEY NEVER DIE. THE KING-KHALIFAH THROUGH HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL RESTORES ALL THINGS AS THE CONTRACT COMMANDS. THE SLEEPER HAS AWAKEN. "DROIT NE DONE PLUS QUE SUIT DEMAUDE"---GOD'S LAWS THAT SUPERSEDE THIS COURT'S GIVE NO MORE THAN WHAT IS DEMANDED. "LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUT SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA"---THE LAWS OF GOD IS THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH POSSESS (WHICH INCLUDE THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY); FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING-KHALIFAH, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH ARE RULED; AND IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH, NOR INHERITANCE. THIS INCLUDES THAT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY YOU GAVE THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION. THIS IS NOT FRIVOLOUS HAVING LEGAL BASIS IN PROBATE LAW AND FOUND IN BLACK LAW DICTIONARY. "NECESSITAS SUB LEGE NON CONTINETUR, QUIA QUOD ALIAS NON EST LICITUM NECESSITAS FACIT LEITUM"---NECESSITY IS NOT RESTRAINED BY LAW, SINCE WHAT OTHER WISE IS NOT LAWFUL NECESSITY MAKES LAWFUL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES SPEAK IN SERVICE OF THE CROWN AS THE KING-KHALIFAH ESTABLISHES HIS GOVERNMENT AS IS HIS RIGHT PROTECTED BY DEFAULT AND CONTRACT AS WELL AS UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10

AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THAT WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME JUSTIFYING PENALTY OR SANCTION. BY JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE VOICE OF THE CROWN IS NOT TO BE RESTRAINED. "FIAT JUSTITIA PEREAT MUNDUS"---LET JUSTICE BE DONE THOUGH THE WORLD PERISH!!!, SCHOONER-EXCHANGE-v.-McFADDEN, 7 CRANCH 116, 11 U.S. 116, 1812 WL 1310, 3 L.Ed. 287(U.S.1812); DOE-v.-FEDERAL-DEMOCRATIC-RE-PUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6, 16 (D.D.C.2016); DOGAN v.-BARAK, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6024416(C.D.2016); SABATINA-v.-REINSTEIN,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); SNYDER v.-PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir.2009); LAROCHELLE-v.-WILMAC CORPORATION, 210 F.Supp.3d. 658(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE-v.-RECTOR AND-VISITORS-OF-GEORGE-MASON-UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D. Va.2016).

(3) EXHIBIT, "COMPLEX CASE". THESE ARE COPIES OF LETTERS SENT TO THE COURT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 BY ATTORNEY HALL WHO IS THIS COURT'S ATTORNEY AS IS THE RESPONDENT'S IN THAT CASE. THIS DEMONSTRATES THAT THE CASE IS COMPLEX AND THAT THE FEDERAL AGENTS KRISTY KHOL AND PAUL GUNTER APPEARED IN THIS CASE MAKING THEM PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT. THUS, I OBJECT TO HIS CLAIM ITS HUNDREDS OF PAGES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG AS TO WHAT IS FILED IN THIS CASE. IT IS A COMPLEX CASE, POTENTIALLY THE LARGEST CASE IN THIS NATION'S HISTORY. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO SHOW THE COURT THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE JUDGE LEE SAT ON TO SUBSTANTIATE THE STRUCTURAL ERROR IN THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL AND ESTABLISH THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

(4) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #1". THESE DOCUMENTS PROVE THE MATTERS WERE HEARD IN FULL HEARING BEFORE JUDGE LEE AND A SUBSEQUENT HEARING WAS ATTEMPTED UNDER JUDGE GRIFFITH WHERE THE JUDGES FAILED TO THROW THE CASE OUT, THOUGH THEY CANNOT BE THROWN OUT DUE TO THE FEDERAL REMAND, AND THE RESPONDENT MADE THESE SAME CLAIMS OF FRIVOLOUS AND SOUGHT TO DISMISS BUT IT WAS NOT GRANTED. THE JUDGES AGREED THAT THE CASE WAS NOT NORMAL, THAT IT WAS COMPLEX, BUT DID NOT DETERMINE THAT THE CASE WAS FRIVOLOUS NOR DID THEY GRANT THE STATE AND OR RESPONDENT'S MOTIONS OF DISMISSAL IN THAT APRIL 3, 2014 HEARING WHICH IS THE ESSENTIAL REASON THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THESE MATTERS PRESENT THEMSELVES NOW. JUDGE TOAL ATTEMPTED TO SIT ON THIS CASE BUT WAS FORCED TO RECUSE. SHE AND HEARNS DUE TO PAST INVOLVEMENT MUST RECUSE NOW. RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. THE RESPONDENT IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM RAISING THESE ISSUES AND OR CLAIMS WHICH WERE ADJUDICATED IN A FORMER SUIT AND ANY ISSUE WHICH MIGHT HAVE BEEN RAISED IN THE FORMER SUIT, SARA-Y.-WILSON-APPELLANT,-v.-CHARLESTON-COUNTY SCHOOL-DISTRICT-RESPONDENT,--S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 1075196(S.C. 2017); HARDWICK-v.-BANK-OF-AMERICA,-N.A., 2016 WL 3563083(DSC. 2016); EICHMAN-v.-FOTOMAT-CORP., 147 Cal. App.3d. 1170, 1174 (1983); KEARNEY-v.-FOLEY-AND-LARDNER-LLP., 2016 WL 5405552(2016). HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS TAINTED BY THIS FRAUD AND MUST BE STRUCK.

(5) EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 2-4". THIS IS ONE OF THE GREATER ACTS OF FRAUD, CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR DONE BY THE RESPONDENT. THESE DOCUMENTS INVOLVE JUDGE LEE WHO SAT ON MY DIRECT APPEAL. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION IN ORDER THAT THE COURT WOULD HAVE

ON THE RECORD THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE TO WHICH JUDGE LEE WAS INVOLVED IN WITHIN THE RICHLAND COURT PROCEEDINGS CONNECTED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH WHICH MADE IT ESSENTIAL THAT SHE RECUSE OFF MY DIRECT APPEAL CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOID THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO WILLIAMS-X, -PENN-SYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) VOIDING THE ORDER THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IS USING AS A JURISDICTIONAL PREREQUISITE REQUIRING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO ENTERTAIN THESE MATTERS INVOKING THEIR ORIGINAL JURISDICTION. THIS TOO, TAINTS THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND THE PROCEEDINGS PLACING HIM IN FORFEITURE. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING."** IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS, HE PURPOSELY IN EFFORTS TO DECEIVE THIS COURT, DID NOT ADDRESS OR RESPOND TO THIS KEY ISSUE SOUGHT REVIEW PLACING HIM IN FORFEITURE. IF HE DID ADDRESS THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE. HE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ASSERT THAT THE DOCUMENTS HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH MY DIRECT APPEAL. JUDGE LEE WAS APPOINTED "TRUSTEE" BY THE KING-KHALIFAH AND FAILED IN HER DUTY AS TRUSTEE FOR WHICH SHE IS BEING SUED, NOT AS A JUDGE, BUT AS TRUSTEE. THE DEFAULT ESTABLISHING THE POWER THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES PRESENTLY EXERCISES, WAS DONE IN [4] PARTS. THE [92] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED MAY 2014; THE [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DIRECTLY AFTER; THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT ATTACHED; AND THE [31] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015 WHICH COMPLETELY VOIDED THEIR JURISDICTION THAT THEY BLOCKED FILING FOR TWO MONTHS ATTEMPTING TO PERPETRATE MORE FRAUD TRYING TO FALSELY ALLEGE COOK DID NOT SIGN IT WHEN HE DID. THIS ACT OF FRAUD IS NOT NEBULOUS DESIGNED TO HAVE A DIRECT IMPACT ON MY APPEAL TO PREVENT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ENTRY INTO THE CASE. THE INITIAL DEFAULT DOCUMENT WAS FILED MAY 2014. THEY NEVER TIMELY FILED TO CHALLENGE THIS UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT NOR THE SUBSEQUENT [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT EXERCISING POWER. THE "GENTRY CODE" WAS NOT CRACKED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH UNTIL OCTOBER 2015 WHERE IT WAS FILED IN THE FORM OF THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT. THE FINAL AND COMPLETE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT WAS NOT FILED UNTIL DECEMBER 1, 2015 DUE TO THEY BLOCKING ITS FILING FOR TWO MONTHS AS INDICATED BY THE DATE OF THAT DOCUMENT. JUDGE LEE AND THE RESPONDENT HAD [30] DAYS TO RESPOND OR THE AFFIDAVITS STAND AS LAW. THEY WERE SO MYSTIFIED AT HOW THE KING-KHALIFAH DID THE LEGAL MOVE THAT THEY MISSED THE WINDOW TO RESPOND. THE FEDERAL JUDGES INVOLVED SCREAMED, AND JUDGE LEE THEN REALIZED THE MAGNITUDE OF THE POWER THEY INADVERTENTLY PERMITTED THE KING-KHALIFAH TO ACHIEVE. SO THEY CHOSE AN ACT OF FRAUD TO NEGATE THE VERITY OF THE DEFAULT BY TRYING TO OBTAIN FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO REMOVE THE CASE BY PETITION TO PROTECT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION WHICH ALSO VOIDED ANY ATTEMPT THEY MADE TO CORRECT THEIR ERROR. THE FEDERAL COURTS AGREED THAT THEIR ACTIONS WERE VOID. JUDGE LEE'S SILENCE IS ACCEPTANCE TO ACT AS TRUSTEE, WHICH IS OF NO CONSEQUENCE, BECAUSE BY THE DEFAULT VIEWED THROUGH THE [70] PAGE DOCUMENT IN THE APPENDIX, THEY ARE BOUND WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT. JUDGES BENJAMIN AND TOAL SUBSEQUENTLY TRIED TO FIX JUDGE LEE'S MISTAKE IN ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO ACHIEVE THAT SUPERSEDING POWER IN THEIR PROCEEDINGS, BUT BOTH JUDGES BENJAMIN AND TOAL FAILED DUE TO THE CASE BEING REMOVED. EVEN THE FEDERAL JUDGES TRIED TO AID THEM CORRECT THEIR ERROR, BUT THEY FAILED ALSO TO THIS PRESENT DATE. DUE TO THIS, THE RESPONDENT DOES NOT WANT TO BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THIS ISSUE, TO HIDE THE FACT THAT ALL OF THESE DOCUMENTS ARE ADMISSIBLE

AS JURISDICTIONAL EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH THE CONNECTIONS HE FRAUD-
ULENTLY STATES DO NOT EXIST AND THE MAGNITUDE OF THE STRUCTURAL
ERROR THAT PRESENTS ITSELF BY JUDGE LEE SITTING ON THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES DIRECT APPEAL, AND TO ALSO CONCEAL
THE FACT THAT IF HE IS REQUIRED TO RESPOND. THERE WOULD THEN
INDEED BE PLACED ON THE COURT RECORD EVIDENCE THAT WOULD DEMON-
STRATE THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT, AND THAT THE ATTORNEY GEN-
ERAL'S TITLE AND THE RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES
WITH ALL OF ITS SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY, WHICH INCLUDE
EVERY ACT WE DO BEFORE THIS COURT, IS JUST, VALID AND CORRECT,
DESPITE THE ACTS OF FRAUD HE IS ATTEMPTING BEFORE THIS COURT.
DUE TO THIS ATTEMPTED FRAUD, HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS CORRUPTED,
ILLEGAL, VOID AND INADMISSIBLE. YOU ADD TO THIS INJUSTICE THE
FACT THAT RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES.
THE MOTION FOR DISMISSAL MUST BE STRICKEN. HE MUST BE DEEMED
IN FORFEITURE AND IT IS "HE", WHO ENDEAVORS TO ABUSE THE COURT,
SHOW CONTEMPT OF ITS FUNCTIONS, DEMONSTRATING IMPROPER BEHAVIOR
AND DISPLAYING REPENTANT DISREGARD FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF LAW.
THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CANNOT OBTAIN RULING PROCURED BY FRAUD,
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I OBJECT TO
ANY CLAIM THAT A TRUSTEE IS NOT APPOINTED. ALL THAT THE LAW
REQUIRES IS THAT THERE BE A "TRUST", WHICH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES EXPLAINED BY EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE" IN
THE APPENDIX; THAT THERE ARE BENEFICIARIES, WHICH THERE ARE
ALSO EXPLAINED BY THAT DOCUMENT; THAT THERE BE ONE WHO IS OVER
THAT "TRUST", WHICH THERE IS VIA THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH;
AND HIS INTENT IS TO APPOINT A "TRUSTEE", WHICH THE EXHIBITS
PROVE. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO ESTABLISH THAT JURISDIC-
TIONAL FACT ALSO, WHICH IS WHY THE APPENDIX CANNOT BE STRICKEN.
JUDGE LEE DIVIDED HER LOYALTIES AND WAS REMOVED AS TRUSTEE.
THEREUPON, THE KING-KHALIFAH APPOINTED JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN
IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WHICH THE MANDAMUS PROVES WHERE BY
DEFAULT SHE IS LEGALLY BOUND EVEN WITHOUT HER CONSENT BEING
AN EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE
TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION WHERE THESE RIGHTS ARE NOW ESTABLISHED
THEREBY, BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); REGG
v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); CHRISTIANSON-v.
M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.
2013); CALDWELL-v.-WILQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.
App.2013); FERRARA-v.-QUADROZZI-EQUIPMENT-LEASING-CORP., 2013
WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); MILLER-v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013
WL 1868028(Va.2013); GILLIAM-v.-GREENWOOD-PROFESSIONAL-INVESTI-
GATORS-INC., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8481972(S.C.App.2013); IN-RE:
BRANNON, 383 S.C. 374, 680 S.E.2d. 776(S.C.2009); MASSI-v.-WASH-
INGTON-CO., 2013 WL 5410810(DSC.2013); IN-RE:-BLACKWATER-SECURITY
CONSULTING-LLC., 460 F3d. 576 CA4 (N.C.2006). HERE THE COURT
WILL FIND:

(6) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 5". THESE
ARE THE INDICTMENTS FROM VARIOUS STATES. THERE ARE [33] STATES
THAT ADOPT THE FEDERAL PROVISIONS OF AN INDICTMENT VIA THEIR
STATES CONSTITUTIONS. OF THE [33] STATES INVOLVED, ABOUT [25]
HAVE THIS FATAL DEFECT OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY IN THEIR INDICT-
MENTS WHERE THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE IS TAKEN AWAY, THE
BURDEN BY THE LANGUAGE IS SHIFTED, THE OUTCOME IS PREDETERMINED
AND THE COURTS CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS
REA ELEMENTS IN ATTEMPTS TO FIX IT BY INSUFFICIENT CURATIVE
INSTRUCTION. THE FACT THAT ALL STATES THAT MAKE USE OF AN INDICT-
MENT DO NOT POSSESS THIS FATAL CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR

IS SUFFICIENT PROOF THIS IS NOT A FRIVOLOUS ISSUE BECAUSE THOSE STATES KNEW YOU COULD NOT DO THIS AND IT BE CONSTITUTIONAL. CLAIMS THAT CHALLENGE CONVICTION ARE HISTORICALLY NON FRIVOLOUS. SOUTH CAROLINA IS ONE OF THE STATES THAT FAILED TO CATCH UP. THIS ISSUE APPLIES TO ALL OF US DESPITE ANY VARIANCE IN ALLEGED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, AS THE RESPONDENT SO IGNORANTLY PUT IT. THIS IS A CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR, NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE IF YOU MUST CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS REA ELEMENTS IN EFFORTS TO CURE IT. THE CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT TRAPS YOU IN A QUAGMIRE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL ACTION WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION DUE TO IT EFFECTING THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" PROCEEDINGS. THIS IS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OF SUCH A MAGNITUDE IT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. EVERY COURT AND STATE AROUND THE NATION IS VOIDING JURISDICTION FOR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR ACTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS, WHICH FALL UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION. SEE U.S.-v.-\$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp. 3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC. 2014); U.S.-v.-ALADEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); HUNT v.-U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 5131716(DSC.2007); BROWN-v.-U.S., 2014 WL 2871398(DSC.2014); WELLS-FARGO-BANK-N.A.-v.-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(N.C.2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); WEARRY-v.-CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016); WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(U.S.2016); WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899 (U.S.2017). FOR THE RESPONDENT TO ASSERT THAT THESE JURISDICTIONAL CLAIMS ARE FRIVOLOUS, IS NOT ONLY DUMB, STUPID AND PSYCHOTIC. IT IS ALSO FANTASTIC, DELUSIONAL AND A STRONG INDICATION THAT HIS MIND IS IN TRANSMIGRATIONAL FLUX IN ANOTHER DIMENSION OR ON ANOTHER PLANET. PERHAPS HE'S ON THE PLANET "ZOTT", DRINKING "MONKEY PEE" IN A COCONUT.

INSOMUCH, IN ORDER FOR A CASE TO BE DEEMED FRIVOLOUS, ESSENTIALLY TWO PRONGS MUST EXIST. (1) WE MUST BE MAKING EFFORTS TO SUE SOMEONE WHO CANNOT BE SUED. THE RIGHTS WERE LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR WHERE THEY DEFAULTED. (2) IF WE ARE INFRINGING UPON RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED. THIS IS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY HE MUST RESPOND TO PROVE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT. THERE ARE NON GOVERNMENT PARTIES AND JUDGES CAN BE SUED FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, WHERE THEY ACTED WITHOUT JURISDICTION, WHERE THEY DID NON JUDICIAL ACTS, THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS UNDER THE F.S.I.A. AND C.A.T. TREATY. CONGRESS CARVED EXCEPTIONS THERE. YOU HAVE THE HOBBS ACT VIOLATIONS AND THERE IS A CONSISTENT ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW THROUGHOUT THE DOCUMENTS PRESENTED. HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(7) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 6-12". THESE DOCUMENTS ALSO INTRINSICALLY MAKE UP CASE "0084" EXPLAINING WHAT JUDGE LEE WAS OVER WHEN SHE SAT UPON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES DIRECT APPEAL. THE MOMENT SHE SAW THE KING-KHALIFAH'S NAME APPEAR ON ANY DOCUMENT BEFORE THAT COURT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT DOCUMENT WAS FILED BEFORE THE COURT AT THE TIME OF HER ENTRY INTO THE COURT. SHE KNEW BETTER, AND SHE WAS REQUIRED TO RECUSE. THE DAMAGE BY HER PRESENCE CANNOT BE DETERMINED PRODUCING A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT THERE IS SUIT AGAINST HER BY US PENDING. THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT EXPLAINS THE CONCEPT OF FRIVOLOUS. IT EXPLAINS THE JUSTIFICATION AMOUNT

FOR THE LIEN. IT EXPLAINS ALSO HOW THE DEFAULT OCCURRED. IT EXPLAINS THE ISSUE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, ALL OF IT SUBSTANTIALLY ROOTED IN AN ARGUABLE BASIS OF LAW. THE DOCUMENT GIVES GREATER DETAIL AS TO THE ADDITIONAL FRAUD THE RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN. HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS PRESENTED BASED UPON FRAUD AND IS VOID, ILLEGAL AND MUST BE STRUCK. HE CAN TELL HIS STORY WALKING TO GET THAT EVIDENCE AND PREPARING FOR OUR RELEASE IMMEDIATELY!!! I FURTHER OBJECT TO THE RESPONDENT'S CLAIM THAT WE ARE USING BIBLE VERSES AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4.] THRONES WILLINGNESS TO COMPLY IS BASED UPON A NON EXISTENT TITLE. "NEMO TENETUR INFORMARE QEI NESCIT SED QUISQUIS SCIRE QUOD INFORMAT"-- NO ONE WHO IS IGNORANT OF A THING IS BOUND OR PERMITTED TO GIVE INFORMATION OF IT, BUT EVERYONE IS BOUND TO KNOW WHAT HE GIVES INFORMATION OF. THE RESPONDENT HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT SPECIFICALLY HE IS DEALING WITH HERE. THE TORAH, THE BIBLE, THE QURAN AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE NO MERE VERSES. THEY ARE THE ESTABLISHING OF "CONTRACT", OF "COVENANT", OF "COMPACT", OF "GRANTS". THEY ARE WILLS AND TESTAMENTS OF THE EARTH'S GREATEST PROPHETS AND KINGS, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER LAW, EVEN UNDER THIS NATION'S CONSTITUTION, ESPECIALLY UPON THE EMERGING OF THE RIGHTFUL HEIR AND SOVEREIGN. THE HEIR AND KING-KHALIFAH HAS COME. FURTHER, HE IS IN DEFAULT WHICH PROCEDURALLY BARS HIM FROM MAKING THIS CLAIM ALSO BY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL UNTIL HE PRODUCES PROOF BEFORE THIS COURT HE TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THOSE AFFIDAVITS. I OBJECT. THE MOTION IS SUBMITTED AS AN ACT OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION TO EVADE THE ESTOPPEL AND OR RES JUDICATA. IT IS FRAUD. IT MUST BE STRUCK. HE IS IN DEFAULT ON THE DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY. I BRING THE COURT AND PARTIES ATTENTION BACK TO EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 6"; THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT; AND THOSE SENT TO JUDGE LEE. WE HAVE [3] DOCUMENTS IN QUESTION HERE GENTLEMEN. THE [92] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT OF DEFAULT FILED MAY 2014. THE SUBSEQUENT [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED ABOUT A MONTH LATER GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXERCISING OF SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY. THE [31] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015 VOIDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION. PRODUCE BEFORE THIS COURT ANY DOCUMENTATION THAT PROVE YOU TIMELY (EMPHASIS ADDED) SOUGHT TO CHALLENGE THESE [3] DOCUMENTS THEN I WILL CONCEDE. UNTIL THEN HE CAN TELL HIS STORY WALKING WHILE HE GOES AND GET THAT EVIDENCE WE SEEK AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE . IF YOU FAIL AT THIS CHALLENGE, SINCE YOU NEVER ACTUALLY AND OR INADEQUATELY REBUTTED THE CLAIMS AND OR TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" AND "GRANT" GIVEN, WHICH WOULD ALSO REQUIRE THAT YOU PROVE THAT THE [3] HOLY BOOKS ARE FALSE, WHICH YOU CANNOT DO, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPEARANCE. FAIL AT THIS. THEREUPON, BY SUCH FAILURE, BY WRIT OF COMMISSION SIGNED BY THE CROWN. MY TITLE STANDS AS A MATTER OF LAW SINCE THESE RIGHTS WERE LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR BEFORE A RELIABLE COURT OF LAW AND DEFAULTED ON BY DUE PROCESS OF LAW. THE "CONTRACT" STANDS AND YOU NOR THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CAN IMPAIR RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. HOW DO YOU ^{KNOW} A REAL PROPHET OF GOD?,...WHEN THAT WHICH HE TELLS YOU COMES TO PASS (DEUT.18:21-22). RETURN OUR GOD'S, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S AS THE SOLE CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, TOLBERT-v.-STEVENSON, 635 F3d. 646(4th.Cir. 2011); FOV-v.-VICE, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011);

HIDRIA, U.S.A., INC., v. DELO, 415 S.C. 533, 783 S.E.2d. 839(S.C. 2013)(THE BURDEN WAS ON THE APPELLANT, SINCE HE CONSPIRED TO GIVE ANSWERS THROUGH HIS FRAUD MOTION, NOW IT SHIFTS BACK TO THE RESPONDENT BY THE DOCUMENTS NOW SUBMITTED OR YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE); GOURDINE, v. KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPE AMERICA, INC., 223 F.Supp.3d. 475(DSC.2016); BRAZDELL, v. WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); STATE, v. BENNETT, 393 S.C. 526, 713 S.E.2d. 591(S.C.2011); McKELVEY, v. REYNOLDS, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); DEL-ZOTTO, v. UNIVERSAL PHYSICIAN SERVICES, LLC, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016); CHIMEBY'S MANAGEMENT CO., LLC, v. AFFILIATED INSURANCE CO., 152 F.Supp.3d. 159 (2016); FOBES, v. FORBES, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 (2015); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP, v. DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014); N.L.R.B., v. AMAX COAL CO., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2798(U.S. 1981); U.S., v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015). HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(9) EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 13 AND 14. I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4] THRONES FAILED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO ANY ORDER. YOU HAVE FRAUD. THE ORDERS ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID. NO ORDER OR DETERMINATION CAN STAND IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND IT IMPOSES NO DUTY. IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD IN YOU PRODUCING THIS DOCUMENT WITH WHATEVER JUDGE IS OVER CASE 2017-000605. YOU HAVE THAT ATTORNEY FILING BRIEF OVER [60] DAYS PAST THE DEADLINE ORDERED WITHOUT AN EXTENSION OF TIME BEING ISSUED. YOU DID NOT SEEK TO DISMISS HER DUE TO NON COMPLIANCE TO ORDER. THE QUESTION BECOMES WHY? AND WHY FILE THAT BRIEF ONE DAY AFTER I FILED THE LAST PLEADING? ITS BECAUSE BY MY LAST PLEADING I ARGUED THAT THE S.C. SUPREME KNEW TO STAY THAT CASE PENDING APPEAL. SO YOU, BREAKING THE LAW AS USUAL, CONSPIRING, IGNORING THE APPEAL WHICH DIVEST THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION, TO NEGATE MY LAST FILING, YOU PEOPLE CRIMINALLY INSTRUCT HER TO FILE THE BRIEF NOW. THIS TOO, PROVES FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. HER BRIEF IS VOID AND THESE TWO CASES ARE NOW CONSOLIDATED. IT IS SO ORDERED. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING." STOP THIS FOOLISHNESS AND GET THAT EVIDENCE, THE SEARCH WARRANT RELATED TO THE CROWN AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIAL. MY CONTEMPT IS WARRANTED AND IT IS "YOU" WHO HAVE A DISREGARD FOR THE LAW. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE. WE DON'T FEAR YOU DEVILS. WE FEAR THE ONE TRUE GOD. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE. UNLESS YOU MEET THIS CHALLENGE WITHOUT ANY ADDITIONAL FRAUD. ALL RELIEF IS IMMEDIATELY GRANTED. THE SAME LAWS YOU CALL BIBLE VERSES ESTABLISH THE VATICAN AS A SOVEREIGN NATION . THEY HAVE AN ATTORNEY GENERAL. BY CONTRACT THE KING-KHALIFAH ASCENDS ABOVE THE POPE, AN UNPRECEDENTED ADVENT FORETOLD BY RELIGIOUS PROPHECY. IF THIS IS PERMITTED FOR THE VATICAN AND INDIAN NATIONS AS SOVEREIGN NATIONS. SUCH RIGHT OF APPOINTMENT OF AN ATTORNEY GENERAL IS OURS AS WELL BY THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE KING-KHALIFAH WANTS TO SEE HIS BABIES YOU RATS, SNAKES AND SCORPIONS STOLE FROM HIM, AND GET HIS MONEY. IT IS SO ORDERED., BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC, v. AL-TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S., v. HACKLEY, 662 F3d 671 CA4 (Va.2011); PAUL-ADAMS, v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; HOLLOWAY, v. PERRY, 2016 WL 4074149; BODMAN, v. STATE, 403 S.C. 60, 742 S.E.2d 363(S.C.2013); STERNS-BANK NAT'L-ASS'N, v. GREENWOOD-FALLS, L.P. (S.C.App.2007) 373 S.C. 331, 644 S.E.2d. 793; ELLIOTT, v. PIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26

; U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(U.S.1871); NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); TAYLOR-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016); SCHWARTZ-v.-BOARD-OF-EXAMS-OF-THE-STATE-OF-N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R. 2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE-v.-SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir. 1992); McBURNEX-v.-YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY-v.-VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); MARBURY-v.-MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; JOHNSON-v.-UNITED-STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY-v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S.2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, CAUSES OF ACTION ON THE STATE LEVEL THAT ARE ARGUED AND PRESENTED WITHIN ALL DOCUMENTS NOW FILED IN THIS CASE ARE NOW BEING ARGUED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN ITS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION. THIS CASE IS ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED UNLESS THE RESPONDENT CAN PRODUCE EVIDENCE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THOSE AFFIDAVIT ESTABLISHING THIS POWER AND AUTHORITY EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THIS MOTION TO DISMISS THE RESPONDENT NOW SUBMITS. ANSWER THE DOGGON' PLEADINGS!!! PRODUCE THAT EVIDENCE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIAL. BY YOUR ACTIONS "YOU" ARE THE ONE WHO ABUSED THE COURTS IN YOUR SHAM LEGAL PROCESS. YOUR FRAUD EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER AND IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE. MR. WELL, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING.", NUDD-v.-BURROWS-SUPRA.; U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON-SUPRA.; 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN-SUPRA. THE SERVANTS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD DON'T DO ANY RUMP KISSING. DO YOUR DOGGON' JOB AND GET THAT EVIDENCE AND STOP YOUR FRAUD. YOU DEVILS PUT YOUR PANTS ON ONE LEG AT A TIME THE SAME WAY AS DO THE KING-KHALIFAH. WHO HAS MORE RIGHT, YOU OR YOUR COURT, OR GOD AND HIS COURT? YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT", THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH VIA HIS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR OATH OF OFFICE THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO GO AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES AND JUDGMENT OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH ESTABLISHED THEREBY OR STAND DOWN!!! PRESAULT-v.-I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d. , 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-v.-HGGREGG-INC., F.SUPP.2d WL 1331166(N.C.2013); OPARAH-v.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp. 3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS. CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948).

YOUR LAWS CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND THE VOIDING

OF JURISDICTION IS. THUS, BY WRIT OF COMMISSION MY TITLE IS ESTABLISHED UNTIL YOU CAN PROVE YOU TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT OR THIS CORRUPT OFFICIAL HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THE DOCUMENTS FILED, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WILL BE TREASON AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, ARE BOUND TO THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE OR GIVE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED THEREBY. YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMISSAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT, COHEN-V.-VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(U.S.1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME NOR CAN YOU CALL ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW CLAIMS FRIVOLOUS, ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU HAVE FRAUD AND THEY ARE PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM MAKING SUCH CLAIMS BY RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING [192] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BY THEIR ACTIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THE SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO A NATIONAL AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL WHOM HE APPOINTS, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A "LIBERTY" PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT, HURTADO-V.-CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 56(U.S.1884); EL-AMEEN-BEY-V.-STUMPF, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537(D.N.J.2011); UNITED-STATES-V.-ETENYI,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir.2017); SHUTTLE-WORTH-V.-BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S.1963); SHERER-V.-CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA V.-ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB-V.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313(U.S.1958); UNITED-STATES-V.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968). LASTLY, HE FAILED TO RAISE THESE CLAIMS IN HIS FIRST MOTION WHEN HE COULD HAVE RAISED THEM THEN. RES JUDICATA ATTACHES THERE AS WELL. WE OBJECT.

IN THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD,
THE MOST MERCIFUL, THE MOST GREAT.
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND ACTING
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE GLORIOUS
(4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED
GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

JANUARY 27, 2018

