

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Nathaniel Gold, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2013-000027


Lower Court Case Nos. 2012-GS-09-00168, -00169, and
-00170

ORDER

Appellant has filed a *pro se* notice of appeal from the denial of a motion to suppress evidence. Appellant has apparently not been sentenced in these matters. The notice of appeal is dismissed for two reasons.

First, petitioner has failed to provide a proof of service showing that the notice of appeal has been served on opposing counsel as required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(i) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Second, a criminal defendant may not appeal until a sentence is imposed. State v. Miller, 289 S.C. 426, 346 S.E.2d 705 (1986); Parsons v. State, 289 S.C. 542, 347 S.E.2d 504 (1986); State v. Washington, 285 S.C. 457, 330 S.E.2d 289 (1985); State v. Hubbard, 277 S.C. 568, 290 S.E.2d 817 (1982).

Accordingly, the notice of appeal is dismissed without prejudice. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221, SCACR.

 C.J.
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina
January 9, 2013

cc: Nathaniel Gold
Solicitor, First Judicial Circuit
Office of the Attorney General
Martin Rast Banks, Esquire