

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
 COUNTY OF Charleston  
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2016- CP-10-5892

CURTIS MILLS,

THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE PORTS AUTHORITY

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| Submitted by: | Attorney for : <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant |
|               | or<br><input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant                             |

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.  See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other

FILED  
 2018 JUN 8 AM 10:08  
 CLERK OF COURT  
 979 PH 11:00

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court: Post-trial Motions were heard on June 8, 2018. Defendant's Motion for New Trial Upon Failure to Charge Comparative Negligence, Motion for J.N.O.V., New Trial, or New Trial Nisi Remittitur Because the Jury's Verdict Was Excessive and Not Supported by the Evidence, and the Motion for J.N.O.V. or a New Trial Under the "Thirteenth Juror" Doctrine Because There is No Evidence Supporting the Jury's Liability Verdict are denied. The Defendant's Motion to Reduce the Verdict to Reflect the Caps Set Forth in the South Carolina Tort Claims Act is granted with the concession of the Defendant. The verdict is reduced to \$300,000.00, pursuant to the South Carolina Tort Claims Act, S.C. Code 15-78-120(a).

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  
 Additional Information for the Clerk :

| INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below. |  |  |
| Judgment in Favor of<br>(List name(s) below)   | Judgment Against<br>(List name(s) below) | Judgment Amount To be Enrolled<br>(List amount(s) below) |
| CURTIS MILLS   | SOUTH CAROLINA STATE PORTS<br>AUTHORITY  | \$300,000.00   |
|  |  | \$   |
|  |  | \$   |
| If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:  |  |  |

RECEIVED  
 JUN 20 2018  
 SC Court of Appeals

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to Rule 60(b). Amounts to be computed such as interest



