LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAH JAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 WANDO A-127
JASON MORRIS GUARDIAN
#199055 SB-2
LIEBER CI. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE SC 29472

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2013
SC Court of Appeals

IN RE CASES 2016-006400 & 2004-GS-28-0385 filed before the Court of Appeals in 2004/2005 out of Kershaw County et. al.

To: The SC Court of Appeals et al.

The (4) page document dated May 2, 2018 is the lead document.

This pleading is being filed for several purposes. First to challenge the courts jurisdiction to issue the letters in questions in cases 2014-000151 and 2016-000640. Its being filed to vacate the order issued in case 2016-000640 on April 26, 2018. Its being filed to motion to stay the mandate or remittitur in case 2016-000640. Its being filed to recall the remittitur in the 2004 Crawford direct appeal out of Kershaw County out of time and or beyond the time limit as well as for case 2014-000151. Its being filed to seek Habeas Corpus due to

Recent US Supreme Court precedent pursuant to Nelson v Colorado and Weaver v Massachusetts by Recalling these Remittiturs. Its being filed to suspend all Rules and consolidate the (3) cases in question.

There is nothing in the courts April 25, 2018 letter that restrict Jason Gourdine or the King-Khaliqah from filing before this court aka Laurence Crawford. There was in the past an order of restriction on the King-Khaliqah but he sued under case 2013-CP-400-0084 to render void that order as is stated by Exhibit Judge Lee #5.

If the court ever intended to use that order and it be legal. It must first address the issue of collateral estoppel which it cannot do until the respondent is made to respond on the court record and demonstrate he timely sought to defeat the affidavits and the one voiding jurisdiction in case 2013-CP-400-0004. By such the restrictive order cannot be used by the court and the King-Khalifah's filing must be accepted by the court where we are all also seeking to consolidate all (3) cases in question.

The documents are also filed to vacate the order in case

2016-000640 filed April 26, 2018.
The SC Court of Appeals abused its discretion by determining that the filing constituted a successive filing seeking rehearing. The filing was placed before the court as an exception to the successive rule which is a procedural limitation. The actions are filed as collateral attack upon all orders issued in these cases under the independent action rule for fraud upon the court for which there are no procedural limitations as is argued in the (44) page document. Therefore we seek these docu-

merits filed and all matters be properly addressed before this court. We challenge the SC court of Appeals Jurisdiction related to these matters. And want all documents previously sent filed in these cases. Also for purposes of seeking Appt Uncorrupted Habeas Corpus proceedings pursuant to Nelson v Colorado and Weaver v Massachusetts we have one year from their rulings to be timely. By this filing we are indeed timely. Recall the Remittiturs in all (3) cases. Look in your Records in 2004/2005 out of Kershaw County get the kings knowledge

case number and recall the remittitur in that case and recall it in Rowlands. stay the Gourdine remittitur and recall it if needed. Rule under the independent action rule for fraud upon the court for which is free from procedural limitations. We thank you.

Respectfully,

Jason Gourdine

Jaren M Gordon

Jahsiah Al mahdi

May 4, 2018

IN THE STATES of SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT of APPEALS

APPEALS FROM BERKELY AND KERSHAW COUNTIES

JUDGE FLOYD AND HARRINGTON

CIRCUIT JUDGES

CASES NO. 2016-000640 | 2004-GS-28-0385 ET AL,

STATES of SOUTH CAROLINA

RESPONDENT

VS.

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD ET AL,

APPELLANTS

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Affidavit of Service

We, Lawrence L Crawford II, Jason Morris Gourdine et al, do hereby certify that we have mailed and or served a copy of our Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice supplementing the previous filed Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial notice & motion to challenge the SC Court of Appeals jurisdiction to enter the opinion and or order in this case due to fraud upon the court, criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice & motion to enter writ & motion to stay and or

RECALL the REMITTITUR ; motion to UPDATE the opinion AND OR ORDER RELATED THERETO ; motion FOR RECUSAL ; motion FOR REHEARING ; motion TO STAY due to FRAUD in the SC SUPREME COURT AND still pending REVIEW AND motion TO motion THEREFOR dated FEBRUARY 16, 2018 ; motion to challenge the SC COURT of APPEALS jurisdiction to issue the letter dated April 25, 2018 et al, due TO FRAUD upon the COURT AND motion TO motion THEREFOR ; (44) pages dated MAY 2, 2018 on the SC COURT of APPEALS AND all involved parties by US mail postage prepaid

by placing it in the institutional mail box on MAY 4, 2018 Friday.

Respectfully,

Jahtah Al Mahdi

Jason M. Gourdine

Jason M Gourdine

MAY 4, 2018

4 of 4

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEALS FROM BERKELY AND KERSHAW
COUNTIES
JUDGES FLOYD AND HARRINGTON
CIRCUIT JUDGES

CASES NO.. 2016-000640 | 2004-
GS-28-0385 ET. AL.,

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
RESPONDENT

VS.

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD ET AL.,
APPELLANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL
NOTICE SUPPLEMENTING THE PREVIOUS

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals

In The State of South Carolina
In The Court of Appeals

Appeals From Berkely and Georgetown
Counties
Judges Floyd and Harrington
Circuit Judges

Cases No 2016-000640 ; 2004-
GS-28-0385 Et. Al.,

State of South Carolina
Respondent

Vs.

Lawrence L Crawford Et Al.,
appellants

Affidavit of Facts, Giving Judicial
Notice Supplementing the previous

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals

filed Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice ; motion to challenge the SC Court of Appeals Jurisdiction to enter the opinion and or order in this case due to fraud upon the court, criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice ; motion to intervene ; motion to stay and or recall the remittitur ; motion to vacate the opinion and or order related thereto ; motion for recusal ; motion for rehearing ; motion to stay due to fraud in the SC Supreme Court and still pending review and motions to motions therefor dated February 16, 2018 ; motion to challenge

the SC Court of Appeals jurisdiction to issue the letter dated April 25, 2018 et al due to fraud upon the Court and motion to motion therefore

---

To: The SC Court of Appeals et al.,

Here the court and parties will find:

(1) A copy of the letter dated April 25, 2018 from the Court of Appeals.

(2) A copy of the letter dated April 18, 2018 from the Court of Appeals.

(3) A copy of the letter

dated April 17, 2018 from the Court of Appeals,

(4) The cover letter that was sent to the court clocked stamped received on April 12, 2018 by the court.

(5) A copy of the Writ of Commissions dated May 4, 2018.

(6) A copy of Exhibit "Judge Lee #5". This is the affidavit (52) pages dated December 3, 2014.

(7) A copy of the affidavit of facts Giving Judicial notice; motion to strike the Respondents motion to strike the documents in the Appendix *** (15) pages.

dated DECEMBER 25, 2017 filed in the SUPREME COURT ON JANUARY 17, 2018.

(8) A copy of the Affidavit of facts Giving Judicial notice, motion to vacate the order deny ing the REHEARING in CASE 2014-000 LOSI and motion to REINSTATE the APPEAL due to FRAUD upon the COURT; *** (27) PAGES dated April 9, 2018 and all attachments REFERRED to therein. This now also applies to CASE 2016-000640.

All claims, ISSUES, defenses that ARE ASSERTED in these documents to include their attachments ARE now being ARGUED in CASE

2016-000640 and all the cases in total. These documents are being filed to challenge the SC Court of Appeals jurisdiction to issue the letters dated April 25, 2018, April 18, 2018 and April 17, 2018. Subject matter jurisdiction can be raised at any time, at any stage of the proceedings, cannot be waived even with consent, can be raised on direct appeal and the court shall not fail to take notice, South Carolina Department of Social Services v. Isaac, 418 SC 308, 792 SE2d 254 (SC App 2016); McCall v. Bright Harp, 399 SC 240, 730 SE2d 916 (SC App. 2012); Sheila R v.

David R, 396 SC 44, 719 SE2d 682 (SC App. 2011); US v Bensley, 495 F3d 142 (4th Cir 2007).

The Court of Appeals is conspiring under color of state law with the Respondent to make Ruling of law via the clerk failing to place such determinations on the court record as an act of machination to deprive us of appealable issues in the SC Supreme Court in Acts of fraud upon the court. We object. The letter dated April 25, 2018 does not bar me, Jason Gourdine or Lawrence Crawford explained in Exhibit "Judge Lee #5" from filing anything before this Court.

Therefore Gourdine and Crawford makes this filing and to prevent such it must be done on the court record. The King Khalifah Reviews the motion to intervene. The letters in question are issued in acts of fraud upon the court, using the clerk to present judicial determination to prevent issuing order in order to establish an incomplete record and deny us issues on Appeal constitute by your fraud as a decree from this court. Your fraud vitiates everything placing this court and the state in forfeiture on all causes of action presented which

WE motion FOR. WE motion FOR FORFEITURE due to your FRAUD. A judgment PROCURED by FRAUD, which you judged via these letters may be collaterally attacked, and this applies to judgments and decrees of all courts including this one. The letters also constitute as a decree which are void FOR FRAUD in your efforts to deny us appealable issues, Myles v. Dominos Pizza LLC, 2017 WL 238436 (DC miss. 2017); First Technology Capital, Inc v Braintree Inc, 2016 WL 7444943 (D Ky 2016); Martin v Target Corp of minnesota, F Supp 2d, 2013 WL 1187034 (D Mont 2013); McClain

v 1st Security Bank of Washington, 2016 WL 8504775 (W.D. Wash. 2016).

Let me make this abundantly clear before you ladies and gentlemen. You have no authority or power to override or overrule the United States Supreme Court. That court determined that once we place the (5) Exhibits, Exhibits Judge Lee #1-5 before this court. Where there is no written order, collateral estoppel can be shown by trial transcript, court documents on record and an oral determination addressing relevant facts of law on the issue. In that 2014 hearing documented in Exhibit Judge Lee #1 Judge Lee made a determination that once the

word "motion" is removed from the documents they do not have to be ruled on but stand unless timely contested by the court or parties which is also substantiated by Exhibit Judge Lee #4, the (31) page affidavit voiding the courts jurisdiction. With the various affidavits placed before the court, neither Judge Lee, nor any defendant timely (emphasis added) responded to defeat the affidavits. They did not resist their submission nor did they seek to appeal. By their failure they procedurally waived any challenge. Judge Lee's oral determination that the affidavits stand unless timely

contested is except supported by federal law going all the way up to the United States Supreme Court, Ferrara v Quadrozzi Equipment Leasing Corp., 2013 WL 3226755 (EDNY 2013); Universal Film Exchange Inc v Warner Bros Inc, 37 F.R.D. 4, 9 Fed. R. Serv. 2d 56(c); Anderson v Liberty Lobby Inc, 477 US 242, 106 S.Ct 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (US 1986); De Simone v Quickens Loans, Inc, FSupp 2d, 2011 WL 2470661 (SD Ind 2011); In re Clean Burn Fuels, LLC, 2014 WL 2987730 (Bkr.C 2014); In re Outdoor R.V. and Marine LLC, 2012 WL 345366 (DSC 2012); Miller v Parrish, FSupp 2d, 2013 WL 18680028 (Va 2013); Williams v Secretary of Veterans Affairs - FSupp 3d -, 2015 WL 5935169.

All parties were properly served and the courts inquiry is whether the plaintiffs complied with the Rules such that the trial court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants and the defendants have notice of the proceedings which all did. Therefore none are entitled to relief from the default judgment filed via exhibit Judge Lee # 2, especially in light of the fact that no such relief from judgment was timely sought by any of them. Res judicata and collateral estoppel attaches and it was an act of fraud upon the court voiding your jurisdiction for unconstitutional actions when you conspired to circumvent

the estoppel which is a jurisdictional challenge by using these checks to produce these letters also in order to deny us issues on appeal placing you in forfeiture on all claims before this court, mc clurg v Deaton, 380 SC 563, 671 SE2d 87 (SC App. 2008); In Re Riddle, 412 SC 630, 773 SE2d 590 (SC App. 2015); White Oak Manor, Inc v Lexington Ins Co, 407 SC 4, 753 SE2d 537 (SC App 2014); Delta Apparel, Inc v Jarina, 406 SC 257, 750 SE2d 615 (SC App. 2013); Charleston Harbor Resort & Marina v Davis, SE2d, 2016 WL 6404490 (SC 2016).

The documents were sought filed to establish the default

And collateral estopped and you used the clerk to prevent and circumvent their filing and deeply us appealable issues before the supreme court in acts of fraud upon the court voiding your jurisdiction, fraud cannot be pleaded in plaine only, but facts must be alleged from which inference of fraud is to be drawn, and such facts must reasonably tend to support such an inference and must be set out clearly. Matthew v Montgomery, 193 SC 118, 7 SE 2d 841 (mem) (SC 1940); Appling v State Farm mut Auto Ins Co, 340 F3d 769 (8th Cir 2003).

The United States supreme

is clear on this. The burden of proving estoppel is on us. Once we placed the (5) exhibits, "Judge Lee #'s 1-5" on the court record, which you used these clerks to block and circumvent Ruling. The Judges directly nor they by using these clerks can either claim that Joseph Rowland is not an Attorney until the SC Attorney General Respond on the court record to prove they timely challenged the affidavits of default and voiding of jurisdiction or explain how they did not have fair opportunity to address which they can not do which is proven by

the various fraudulent protective orders they filed to try to negate the default in acts of fraud which further void that courts jurisdiction. Until they Respond the court nor the clerks can legally claim that Rowland is not apt attorney. We object to this fraud and abuse of discretion, In Re Smith, 2016 WL 39 US 710 (Mod 2016); US v Sarabia, 661 F3d 225 (5th Cir 2011); In Re Quiao Lin, 576 B.R. 32 (N.Y. 2017); New Hamshire v Maine 532 US 742, 121 S.Ct 1808, 149 L.Ed.2d 968 (US 2001); Baker by Thomas v. General Motors Corp., 522 US 222, 108 S.Ct 657, 139 L.Ed.2d 580 (US 1998);

Gaines v. Stern, 2017 WL 2417051 (E.D. La 2017); Wells Fargo Bank N.A. v. Hamm Roman Two Inc LLC, 859 F.3d 295 (4th Cir 2017); Moseley v United States, 2018 WL 1187778 (D.C. 2018); Rubin v Islamic Republic of Iran, 138 S.Ct 816, 86 USLW 4064 (US 2018) (addressing the intellectual property of the Sotheby Corporation); Payne v United States, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 1377402 (D.C. Md 2016); In re Isley — B.R. —, 2015 WL 6697088 (4th Cir 2015).

Except if the state parties can prove that they did timely respond to the affidavits, which they can't. It is of no consequence because the United States nor the other 192

members of the United Nations did. Thus their failure to Respond would make the States failure moot because the United States failure would be binding on the State of South Carolina by the Supremacy Clause As is argued in Exhibit "Judge Lee #5: Kristy Kohl and Paul Gunter Appeared As is seen in Exhibits. "Judge Lee #1". An appearance may be expressly made by a party, such that the court acquires jurisdiction over the party, by informal written or oral declaration, or record entry. You have record entry allover the place As is seen by Exhibit "Judge Lee #1", or

it may be implied from some act done with the intention of appearing and submitting to the courts jurisdiction. The act done was the US Attorneys accepting pleadings and documents from the case, Stearns Bank Nat'l Assn v Greenwood Falls, L.P. (SC App 2007), 373 SC 331, 644 SE 2d 794, Re Hearing denied, Cert denied.

Once the court, Judge Lee and the parties were served the affidavits in question and none of them (emphasis added) timely moved to defeat them, challenge them or appeal them,

they legally became true and correct. Their silence is acceptance. Chimmeblys Management, Co. LLC v Affiliated F.M. Insurance Co., 152 FSupp3d 159 (2016); Bauer v Quest Communications Co. LLC, 743 FSupp3d 221 (2019).

In regard to the April 17, 2018 letter. We object to any claim that the Remittitur has been sent down in case 2014-000 1051. The (27) page document dated April 9, 2018 is filed also for the purpose of recalling the Remittitur and the Crawford Remittitur out of time and or beyond the time limit.

In regard to the April 18, 2018 letter. We object to any claim that Rowland or Crawford request for rehearing is a successive request for rehearing in the manner that the court construed. It is a collateral attack on the orders in question for acts of fraud upon the court to have these cases consolidated with case(s) 2016-000 640. Collateral attack for fraud upon the court carries an exception to the Rules. The power to vacate judgments for fraud upon the court is free from procedural limitations which include fraud by officers of the court like

You Judges and the SC Attorney General's office conspiring to remain silent on essential jurisdictional issues as you did in all (3) of these cases. There are no procedural limitations like successive. This includes for the purpose of seeking to vacate the order issued in case 2016-000640 on April 26, 2018 in the Gourdine case. We motion to stay the Remittitur in case 2016-000640 and move to vacate that order. All documents referred to in the April 25, 2018 letter and Gourdine case with these presently sent are deemed filed in all (3) of our cases in question. The orders are not

free from collateral attack due to fraud upon the court despite any claim of alleged seeking successive rehearing. In re Genesys Data Technologies, Inc, 204 F3d 124 (4th Cir 2000); United states v Garrard, 675 Fed Appx 263, 265 (4th Cir 2017); Fox Ex Rel Fox v Elk Run Coal Co Inc, 739 F3d 131, 87 Fed. R. Serv 3d 534 (4th Cir 2014).

Insomuch you have egregious structural errors in these cases now where you have and actual innocence claim under case 2004-GS-28-0385 the King-Khalifah case where they concealed evidence of actual innocence in the form

of the SLED investigative file
550 LOL4 proving they produced
false forensic evidence concealing
the true cause of death, with holding
this file, lying on the court record,
John Meadors, stating the file did
not exist. Then the court prevented
the King-Khaliyah to Act Pro Se
to place it on the record in viola-
tion of Discovery pursuant to Wearry
v Cain. Then you denied Gourdine
his Right to counsel of choice in
the form of the King-Khaliyah
and his duly Appointed Attorney
General creating structural error
that voids this courts jurisdiction
Rendering the orders issued

in all these cases void unless you addressed the issue of collateral estoppel which no court addressed, where it was required that the Respondent Respond. By the aforementioned the court prevented counsel of choice at critical stages of the proceedings. The king-khalifah and his Attorney General were my, Jason Gourdine's, counsel of choice. The king-khalifah seeking to act pro se was his counsel of choice. All these Rights are established by case 2013-CA-400-0084 making this right absolute by the default and collateral estoppel creating structural error

not subject to the harmless error doctrine, Kaley v U.S., 134 S.Ct 1090, 188 L.Ed.2d 46 (US 2014); US v Davila, 133 S.Ct 2139, 186 L.Ed.2d 139 (US 2013); US v Marcus, 560 US 258, 130 S.Ct 2159, 176 L.Ed.2d 1012 (US 2010); Appellate Court Rule 203(b).

We motion to stay the remittitur in case 2016-000640. We motion to recall the remittitur in case 2014-001051 and 2004-CR-28-0385 out of time and or beyond the time limit due to these structural errors which are jurisdictional in nature and due to fraud upon the court which is also error. We seek and motion

to vacate the order(s) filed April 26, 2016 in case 2016-000640 and to reinstate all cases in question, Estate of Braidwell v. Life and Hope Assembly of God, SE2d, 2015 WL 5047696 (SC 2015); Wise v. SC Dept. of Corr., 372 SC 173, 174, 642 SE2d 551 (2007); Hayward v. Stone, 496 F2d 844 (4th Cir 1974); Jaffee v. Brown, FSupp.2d, 2014 WL 2938275 (N.D. Cal. 2014); State v. Barnes, 413 SC 1, 774 SE2d 454 (SC 2015). We object.

To deny our right to counsel of choice when these rights were petitioned for before a competent court having jurisdiction to determine it under case 2013-CP-400-0084

violates the equal protections of the laws clause, Paul Adams v California Institution, 2016 WL 6464444; US v Hare, 820 F3d 93 (4th Cir 2016); Bodman v State, 403 SC 60, 742 SE2d 363 (SC 2013); Marshall v City of Rockhill, SE2d 2015 WL 3884258 (SC App. 2015).

A state may not exclude a person from practice of law or any other occupation in a manner or for reasons that contravene the laws of due process or the equal protections of the laws clause. The orders are invalidated due to invidiously discriminatory animus behind religious and racial hatred,

Schware v Board of Exam of State of N.M., 353 US 232, 77 S.Ct 752, 64 A.L.R. 2d 288, 1 L.Ed 2d 796 (US 1957); Jaffree v Sullivan, 2017 WL 3710066 (DC Neb 2017); Virginia Board of Medicine v Zackrison, 67 Va App. 461, 796 SE 2d 866 (2017); Doe v Rogers, 139 F.Supp. 3d 120 (DCC 2015); Bolls v Virginia Bd of Bar Examiners, 811 FSupp 2d 1260 (ED Va 2011).

The law dictates that fraud destroys the validity of everything that it enters. It reflects the most solemn acts or judgments or decrees. Labeo defines fraud as any cunning, artifice or deception used to circumvent

or deceive another. We want all jurisdictions ruled on with citings of law not just yours saying you see nothing. Address each issue. We object to yours silence which too is fraud. Mr Wells, in his very work on res judicata says fraud vitiates everything, Elliott v Piersol, 1 Pet 328, 340, 26 US 328, 340 (US 1828); Judd v. Burrows, 91 US 667-683 (US 1875); US v Throckmorton, 98 US 61-67 (US 1871); Wells Fargo Bank NA v Fargo, 2016 WL 2944561 (2016); 24 Sep Material Dist Republican Committee v Alcorp, 820 F3d 624 (4th Cir 2016); Jersey v Pagliaro

229 F. Supp. 647 (D.C. Pa 1964); Myles v. Domino's Pizza, LLC, 2017 WL 238 436 (D.C. Miss. 2017).

Your laws cannot exceed the authority of the law giver due to default and collateral estoppel. You have no more right to declare the exercise of jurisdiction silencing issues than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason against the constitution itself. We want each issue addressed independently producing citing of law for each issue not some vague fraudulent affirmation to conceal fraud

And remain silent. The claim to exercise constitutionally protected Rights cannot be converted into A crime. Since the state of South Carolina and the parties involved by their actions Under case 2013-CP-400-0084 has now converted this Sovereign liberty into a national and Global Privileges. The King-khaliffah, His Holy Commonwealth and all those whom he appoints, can engage in the Rights with impunity though still a Sovereign liberty protected Under contract as fiduciary their and Sovereign to the sole corporation, Hurtado

v California, 110 US 516 (US 1884); Miller v United States, 230 F2d 486, 489 (5th Cir 1956); Shuttlesworth v Birmingham, 373 US 262 (US 1963); Sherer v Cullen, 481 F. 945, 946; Miranda v Arizona, 384 US 436 (US 1966); Staub v City of Baxley, 355 US 313 (US 1958); United States v Jackson, 390 US 570 (US 1968); United States v Ettenyi-Jed Appx — 2017 WL 6523328 (6th Cir 2017); El-Amin Bey v Stumpf 825 FSupp 2d 537 (DNJ 2011); Branson v Standard Hardware Inc. 874 SW 2d 919 (1994); United States v Jewell 550 F2d 297, 299, 300 (1977); US v Korp, FSupp 2d, 2013 WL 2898056

(WD NY 2013); Jones v Com, 1998 WL 684203 (4th Cir 1998); SEC v. Framer, F.Supp3d, 2015 WL 5838867 (SD Tex 2015).

A structural error defies analysis by the harmless error standard. When a defendant is deprived the right to select his or her attorney, or even to act pro se, the precise effect of the violation cannot be ascertained, and because the government will, as a result, find it almost impossible to show the error was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt, the error is deemed structural. A viola-

tion of the 6th Amendment right to effective representation is not complete until the defendant(s) is prejudiced. By what occurred and prejudiced. All (3) cases prejudiced is established holding the Court of Appeals jurisdiction, Weaver v Massachusetts, 137 S Ct 1899, 198 L Ed 2d 420, 85 USLW 4433 (US 2017); Pirela v Horn, — Fed Appx — 2017 WL 4176224 (3rd Cir 2017); US v $41,320 US Currency, 9 F Supp 3d 582, 2014 WL 1266240; White v Mapis, 2014 WL 1513280 (DSC 2014).

In Regard to the lead issue where the judiciary by their language predetermine the outcome

the outcome of the proceedings, creating an instant double jeopardy issue, shifting the burden of persuasion upon the defendants via the mens rea elements of the offense and indisputably taking away the presumption of innocence. The United States Supreme Court has recently gave judicial review on an essential part of this issue and argument that voids the courts jurisdiction due to this unconstitutional action under the case of Nelson v Colorado, 137 S Ct 1249, 197 L Ed 2d 611, 85 USLW 4205 (US 2017). The US Supreme Court

determined the presumption of innocence lies at the whole foundation of criminal proceedings making it a structural error not subject to the harmless error doctrine. The court further determined the presumption of innocence is a principle so rooted in the traditions and conscience of the people as to be fundamental and to deprive such as the indictments do, is a violation of due process which voids the courts jurisdiction. Normally this would be an automatic reversible error. But due to the added compounding factors such as the grand jury going beyond the scope of the power and authority

giveups to them and by the language convicting us subjecting us to a form of modern day slavery, taking away the Right to vote, in violation of the 15th Amendment via egregious acts of fraud upon the court by these violations. Then you add the additional compounding factors of constructively amending the indictments on the mens rea elements even by attempted insufficient curative instruction "boilerplate". The amount of prejudice and DUE PROCESS violation becomes so egregious it requires that the sentence and conviction be vacated. A reversible conviction is reversible regardless

of the reasons which include the foreign sovereign immunity claims where you attached and or arrested the intellectual property of the sole corporation, and an invalid conviction is no conviction at all which also add to proving that Mountgomery v Louisiana, 136 Sct 718, 193 Led 2d 599 was correct about unconstitutional action voiding jurisdiction in even criminal cases, United States v Libous, 858 F3d 64 (2nd cir 2017); City of Lebanon v. Milburn, 286 Or App 212, 398 P3d 486 (2017). To ensure that the state court does not abuse its discretion and misrepresent the facts

in Acts of FRAUD upon the COURT and determine that this US SUPREME COURT CASE only apply to CASES WHERE A conviction has been VACATED for FREE RETURN. All one would have to do is RE-VIEW SUBSEQUENT CASES that addressed this matter and it would be PER-SPICUOUS that the US SUPREME COURTS determination applies to the structural foundation of all CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS from the point of ARREST until he pleads or a JURY determines GUILT being a fundamental right and cannot be stripped before that plea or conviction occurs which the indictments do, State v Thompson, 2018 WL 1702406, *6 (W. Va.);

Martin v United States, 2018 WL 1626578, * 2, D. Md; Dixon v Yordy, 2018 WL 1526006, * 5 D. Idaho.

The Judicial determination was made April 19, 2017. By the stamp on the documents they were received April 12, 2018 before the year expired. The motion to recall the remittitur acts as a Habeas Corpus. It is not required them to file repetitive filing in the Supreme Court. Estate of Baldwell v Life and Hope Assembly of God, SE 2d, 2015 WL 5047696 (SC 2015); Hayward v Stone, 496 F2d 844 (4th Cir 1974); Taylor v Brown, FSupp 2d, 2014 WL 2938275 (Neb Cali 2014); Wise v SC

Dept of Corr, 372 SC 173, 174, 642 SE 2d 551 (2007). The Unconstitutional Action voids your jurisdiction, Pyles v United States supra; Marbury v Madison 5th US (2 Cranch) 137, 180; Viles v United States, 28 F3d 1123 Crim Law 1163(1), 1165(1); Robinson v Armontrout, 27 F3d 877 Rehearing denied Cert. granted vacated US Sct 1247, 513 US 1186, 131 Led2d 129; Lowmiet v United States, 65 FSupp 3d 19 (2014); Johnson v United States - Sct -, 2015 WL 2457 3450 (US 2015); Montgomery v Louisiana, 136 Sct 718, 193 Led2d 599, 84 USLW 4063 (US 2016); Gulf Outdoors LLC v. Consolidation City of

Indianapolis ***, 187 FSupp3d 1002, 1012, SD Ill.; Hill v Snyder, 821 F3d 763, 765+ (6th Cir Mich); People v Solo NE3d, 2017 WL 1838423 (2017); 24 Senatorial Dist. Republican Committee v Alcorn, 820 F3d 624 (4th Cir 2016); Waeth v Board of Trustees, FSupp3d, 2016 WL 7753 86 (DC Md 2016). We motion to suspend all Rules. This Action is also filed as Habeas Corpus pursuant to Re-calling the Remittitur via Weaver v Massachusetts, 137 S Ct 1899 Ruled June 22, 2017 making this filing timely in one year.

Respectfully,
Jahtah Al Mahdi

Jason M Gourdine
et al.
Jason M Gourdine

May 2, 2018

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

April 17, 2018

The Honorable Julie J. Armstrong
100 Broad St Ste 106
Charleston SC 29401-2210

REMITTITUR

Re: The State v. Joseph T. Rowland
Lower Court Case No. 2011GS1005527, 2011GS1005528, 2011GS1005531
Appellate Case No. 2014-001051

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Joseph Todd Rowland, 290065
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Andrew Peper, Sr., Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Scarlett Anne Wilson, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

April 18, 2018

Joseph Todd Rowland, 290065
Lieber Correctional Institution
P. O. Box 205
Ridgeville SC 29472

Re: The State v. Joseph T. Rowland
Appellate Case No. 2014-001051

Dear Mr. Rowland:

The Court has received your correspondence titled "Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Motion to Vacate the Order Denying the Rehearing in Case 2014-001051 and to Reinstate the Appeal Due to Fraud Upon the Court; Motion to Intervene; Motion to Consolidate and Motion to Motion Therefore". The Court construes this as a successive request for rehearing. The Supreme Court and Court of Appeals have already denied your petitions for rehearing, therefore no action will be taken on your filings, and your documents are being returned to you.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Andrew Peper, Sr., Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Scarlett Anne Wilson, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211

1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

April 25, 2018

Joseph Todd Rowland, 290065
Lieber Correctional Institution
P. O. Box 205
Ridgeville SC 29472

Re: The State v. Joseph T. Rowland
Appellate Case No. 2014-001051

The State v. Jason Gourdine
Appellate Case No. 2016-000640

Dear Mr. Rowland:

This responds to your recent correspondence dated April 12, 2018, in reference to The State v. Jason Gourdine (2016-000640). Because you are not an attorney, we cannot accept your correspondence on Mr. Gourdine's behalf. Additionally, your appeal with appellate case number 2014-001051 has been remitted, ending this Court's jurisdiction. We will not accept any further filings from you.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Andrew Peper, Sr., Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Scarlett Anne Wilson, Esquire
John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire
Jason Morris Gourdine
Susan Barber Hackett, Esquire

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND
#290065 WANDO B-239
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

IN RE: CASE(S) 2014-0001051, 2016-000640 ET. AL.,

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,

THE (27) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED APRIL 9, 2018 IS THE LEAD DOCUMENT. THE REMAINDER OF THEM ARE ATTACHMENTS. DUE TO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S RECENT DETERMINATION IN CASE 2017-002108 , THAT COURT DETERMINED MY ISSUES FOR WRIT OF CERT. COULD NOT BE REVIEWED BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT PRESERVED IN THE REHEARING WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. THEREFORE, THESE PLEADINGS ARE BEING SUBMITTED TO REMEDY THEIR CONCERNS BY COLLATERALLY ATTACKING THE ORDER ISSUED FROM THIS COURT FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT. IF ANY REMITTITUR INVOLVED IN THESE CASES HAVE BEEN SENT DOWN THE DOCUMENT IS ALSO A MOTION TO STAY ALL REMITTITURS INVOLVED AND ALSO TO RECALL ANY REMITTITUR THAT HAS BEEN SENT DOWN EVEN OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT. PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD'S APPEAL WAS ADJUDICATED IN 2006 OUT OF KERSHAW COUNTY. THAT REMITTITUR IS BEING SOUGHT RECALLED OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT DUE TO THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT SURROUNDS THESE CASES. PLEASE FILE A COPY OF THE PLEADINGS IN EACH CASE THAT IS INVOLVED. BY WRIT OF COMMISSION FILED IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108 I AM LEGALLY ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE KING-KHALIFAH UNTIL THE COURT DETERMINES OTHERWISE BY CLEAR CITING OF LAW THAT WOULD DICTATE TO THE CONTRARY DUE TO THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING OUT OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FROM THE RICHLAND COMMON PLEAS COURT. THE DOCUMENT IS APPROPRIATELY SIGNED BY ALL NECESSARY PARTIES. PLEASE SEE THAT A COPY IS FILED WITHIN ALL CASES INVOLVED. I HAVE ALREADY ENSURED THAT A COPY OF THE

PLEADING IS ALREADY SERVED UPON THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AS RULES OF COURT REQUIRE. WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR KIND ASSISTANCE. STILL REMAIN,

RESPECTFULLY,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND

PS# ATTACHED IS AN ADDITIONAL SHEET TO THE (27) PAGE DOCUMENT. PLEASE CLOCK STAMP THE ADDITIONAL PAGE AND RETURN IT IN THE SELF ADDRESSED STAMPED ENVELOPE.

APRIL 9, 2018

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Joseph Todd Rowland, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2017-002108

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals


ORDER

After careful consideration of the Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; and Motion to Motion Therefor dated February 2, 2018; Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Renewing the Motion for Sanctions Due to Continual Acts of Fraud, Even Upon the Court, Criminal Conspiracy and Obstruction of Justice; Renewing the Motion for an Injunction and Protective Order and Motion to Motion Therefor dated February 12, 2018; and Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Motion to Challenge the S.C. Supreme Court's Jurisdiction to Enter the Order Dated February 16, 2018; Motion to Vacate the Order and to Reinstate Case 2017-000218 et. al.; Motion for Forfeiture Due to Fraud Upon the Court, Renewing All Previously Filed Motions, Petitions, Objections Etc. and Motion to Motion Therefore dated March 2, 2018, which we have construed together as a petition for rehearing, we are unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

_____ C.J.

_____ J.

_____ J.



J.

J.

Columbia, South Carolina

March 29, 2018

cc:
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Scarlett Anne Wilson, Esquire
Joseph Todd Rowland, 290065
The Honorable Julie J. Armstrong

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Joseph Todd Rowland, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2017-002108
Lower Court Case No's 2011-GS-10-05527; 2011-GS-10-05528 and 2011-GS-10-05531

ORDER

By order dated January 5, 2018, the State's motion to strike the appendix filed in this matter was granted. We also struck the petition for a writ of certiorari because it made reference to the materials that were not properly included in the appendix and raised questions not raised to the court of appeals in the petition for rehearing. Petitioner was given thirty days to file an amended appendix that contains only the materials specified by Rule 242(e), SCACR. He was given thirty days after the filing of the amended appendix to serve and file an amended petition for a writ of certiorari that does not reference any material that is not in the amended appendix or contain any question or argument unrelated to the issues raised to the court of appeals in the petition for rehearing. *Petitioner was warned that his failure to strictly comply with the requirements of the order may result in the dismissal of this matter.*

In response to our order, petitioner filed a motion entitled "Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Motion for Recusal; Motion to Challenge the S.C. Supreme Court's Jurisdiction to Strike the Documents in the Appendix and Deny the Motion for an Extension of Time; Motion to Vacate those Orders; Motion for Forfeiture and Motion to Motion Therefor" with exhibits. To the extent the motion challenges the January 5, 2018 order, it is denied. Rule 240(i), SCACR (This Court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the Court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding the party's appeal.). Petitioner's motions for recusal, for an extension of time, and for "a reset" are denied.

The State has filed a motion to dismiss this matter. In response to the motion to dismiss, petitioner filed an "Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Motion to Strike the Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Due to Additional Acts of Fraud Upon the Court; Renewing the Motion for Sanctions and Forfeiture and All Previously Filed Objections, Claims, Defenses and Motions; Supplementing the Writ of Certiorari and or Appendix in Response to the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Motion Therefor" with exhibits. Petitioner has *not* filed an amended appendix as required by this Court's order of January 5, 2018. Petitioner's motions to strike the State's motion to dismiss and to impose sanctions are denied. Based on petitioner's failure to file an amended appendix as required and the reasons set forth in the State's motion to dismiss, we grant the State's motion and dismiss this matter.

C.J.

J.

J.

J.

Columbia, South Carolina

February 16, 2018

cc:
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Scarlett Anne Wilson, Esquire
Joseph Todd Rowland, 290065
The Honorable Julie J. Armstrong

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JOSIAH GABRIEL JAHNAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 WANDO A-127
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, SC 29472

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals

IN RE: CASES 2016-000640; 2014-
000101SI AND THE CRAWFORD APPEAL
FROM KERSHAW COUNTY IN 2004

TO: THE SC COURT OF APPEALS,
JOSEPH JODD ROWLAND

ET AL,

I, THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH
AND JOSEPH JODD ROWLAND ACTING
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL
THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED
GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, RE-ESTABLISHED

by claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-cp-4000-0084 in the Richland County Court of Common Pleas, the King-Khaliyah, Jahyah Al mahdi aka Jonah Gabriel Jahyah T. Tishbite aka Lawrence L. Crawford, the Under-signed affiant(s), herein after do hereby solemnly swear and/or declare and/or affirm and/or state as follows: (A) affiants are competent to state the matters set forth herewith; (B) affiants have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein; (C) all facts stated herein are true, correct and complete in accordance with

affiants best firsthand knowledge and understanding, and if called upon to testify as witnesses the affiants shall state:

This document constitute an affidavit of facts Giving all parties Judicial notice, reaffirming the Writ of commission filed in the SC SUPREME COURT that Joseph Todd Rowland is officially acting Attorney General to the (U) Global Jurisdictions of the Re-established Global Theocratic State, the Foreign Sovereign Government established by contract, covenant, written in the Book of Daniel Chapt 3 verses 11-14 and chapter 11. Also seen in Isaiah 11:1-6 and

Zechariah 6 verses 12-13. Biblical law at common law supersedes all law as is argued within the documents submitted, Robin v Hardaway, 1 Jefferson 109, 1 Va Reports Ann 58, 61 (1772); Gregory v Baugh, 29 Va 681, 29 Va Rep. Ann. 466, 2 Leigh 665 (1831).

Jason Gourdine is a beneficiary of the Trust, and as fiduciary King-Khaliyah, I commission Joseph Rowland as acting Attorney General, to intervene in case 2014-000 1051, 2016-000 640 and my direct appeal filed in 2004. Joseph Todd Rowland is clocked with all superseding Attorney, Judicial and Legislative power and authority of the sole corporation and Crown as is given to him

by the lawgiver of the One True God, Yahweh Al Mahdi a member of the Sole Corporation. Due to the default, no law within any sovereign nation can be permitted to override the power and authority of the lawgiver which binds this court as party to the default, Cohens v Virginia, (6 Wheat) 19 US 264 (1821).

The duly appointed Attorney General, Joseph Todd Rowland, may in absence of some expressed degree or restriction by the crown to the contrary, exercise all superseding attorney, judicial and legislative power and authority as public interest of the Holy Commonwealth and Crown, may.

from time to time require, and
may institute, conduct and maintain
all such suits and legal and other wise
proceedings as I deem necessary
for the enforcement of the laws
of the Global Theocratic State which
supersede all Global Sovereign Nations,
via the decree of the King-Khalifah,
for the preservation of Godly order,
and the protection of all Rights,
titles, privileges and immunities
of the Sovereign power, sole
corporation and crown, to include
those of the Holy Commonwealth
to whom Jason Gourdine is an
African American is a member
of. Joseph Todd Rowland is
signature on this document
is his acceptance of this

Writ of Commission as it was initially established before the SC Supreme Court. This Writ of Commission cannot be Unmade by the courts, American Mut. Liberty Ins Co. v Plywood-Plastics Corp, 81 FSupp. 157 (DSC 1948); Obaraah v The New York City Dept of Educ, FSupp 3d, 2015 WL 4240733 (SDNY 2015); International Assn of Machinist Lodge 1652 (Charleston), 302 F2d 808, 49 LRRM (BNA) 2976 (4th Cir 1962). To do so would impair the obligations of the contract and any action on the part of the SC Court of Appeals related to the Guardine case would be annulled by the constitutions of the United

States and void your jurisdiction, Poindexter v Greenhow, 114 US 270, 5 Sct. 903, 29 Led 185 (US 1885); Alden v Maine, 527 US 706, 119 Sct 2240, 144 Led 636 (US 1999); Will v Michigan Dept of State Police, 491 US 59, 109 Sct 2304 (US 1989).

The Foreign Sovereign Crown and Sole Corporation, established by contract, by covenant protected by the US Constitution and Federal and State Probate Law has the paramount right and fiduciary duty to protect the lives, health, morals, comfort and general welfare of his Holy Commonwealth to which Jason Gourdine and Joseph Todd Rowland are members

of, Home Bldg & Loan Ass'n v Blaisdell, 290 US 398, 54 SCt 231, 88 ALR 1481, 78 LEd 413 (US 1934); Elliott v Board of School Trustees of Madison Consolidated Schools, 876 Fed, 2017 WL 5988226 (7th Cir 2017); North Carolina Ass'n of Educators, Inc v State, 368 NC 777, 786 SE2d 255 (NC 2016); Chiles v Chiles, 270 SC 379, 242 SE2d 426 (SC App. 1978).

We, Jahtah Al Mahdi, the fiduciary King Khalifah to the Global Thrones of the Re-established Global Theocratic State and his duly Appointed Attorney General Joseph Todd Rowland, the Undersigned Applicants, Hereinafter do hereby solemnly swear and or

9 of 10

declare and or affirm and or state as follows: (A) affiants are competent to state the matters set forth herewith; (B) affiants have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein; (C) all facts stated herein are true, correct and complete in accordance with affiants best first hand knowledge and understanding, and if called upon to testify the witnesses, affiants shall state the same.

Respectfully,
Jahtah at mahdi
Fiduciary King Khaliphah

MAY 4 2018

Joseph Todd Rowland
Joseph Rowland

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD AKA
JOSHUA GABRIEL JAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 WRPDO A 127
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, SC 29472

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals

IN RE: CASES 2016-000640 ; 2014-001051 AND THE CRAWFORD APPEAL FROM KERSHAW COUNTY IN 2004

TO: THE SC COURT OF APPEALS,
JOSEPH JODD ROWLAND

ET. AL.,

I, THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND JOSEPH JODD ROWLAND ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED

Exhibit

" Judge Lee #5 "

Certificate of Service

RECEIVED
DEC 05 2014
DIRECTOR

We, Crawford Cook et al, do hereby certify, that we have mailed and or served a copy of an affidavit of facts Giving Judicial Notice: Order, (52) pages dated December 3, 2014, on the SC Court of Appeals with all attachments Referred to within and on all other involved parties, by U.S. mail postage prepaid by depositing it in the institution mailbox on December 3, 2014. This being an Article III section 2 case arguing

preemption. It is deemed filed on that date. It is so ordered, Houston v Lack, 287 U.S 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct 2379 (1988).

Respectfully,

Jahtiah Al Mahdi

Chief Judge of The Global Theocratic Court And True Sovereign by default And Collateral Estoppel Et Al.

December 3, 2014

Authority Cook

Anthony Cook

State of South Carolina
Richland County
U.S District Courts
Court of Appeals
State of South Carolina
et al,

Anthony Cook;
Lawrence L Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel
Jahjah T. Tishbite
et al,
plaintiffs

Court of Common Pleas
5th Judicial Circuit
New Jersey et al,
for the 3rd Circuit
Court of Appeals
et al.,

Cases(s) 2013-CP-400-
0084; 2294; 2012-
2012-212769 and
all cases that were
appealed by Crawford
since 2000.

Affidavit of Facts
Giving Judicial
Notice : ORDER;

vs.

Judge Craig Brown;
The United States
et al,

Writ of Error

To: The SC Court of Appeals,
The Richland County Court
of Common Pleas et al,

Herein the parties will find a copy of a letter dated November 18, 2014.

Inasmuch, the SC Court of Appeals has jurisdiction over a matter via

final order or decree issued from the lower court. This document now presented is a final order issued by the Global Theocratic Khalifah / King who is also Chief Judge of the Global Theocratic Court by default which the SC Court of Appeals is barred from challenging by the doctrine of collateral estoppel. This establishes the SC Court of Appeals jurisdiction. It is so ordered.

All Rules for any defect in form, page limit, copy requirement or service is hereby suspend also due to the novelty

of the claims presentized. It is so ordered. All filing fees are waived.

The appellant gives all parties judicial notice and bring all parties attention to the attached Declaration of Sovereignty. Review pages (4) through (5); also points 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 28, 30, 29, 31, 33; also its notes on pages 35 through 41. The Sovereign gives all parties notice that by the default that currently exist in case 2013-CP-400-0084, the Sovereign Jahjah Al Mahdi, Crawford, is legally by that default, "Lawgiver of God" the one true God. Lawgiver is defined

As one who makes, imports, or transmits a law or system of laws. He is also a legislator. A Lawgiver under Theocratic Law, in this case foreign Law, defaulted on by all parties, which include the SC Court of Appeals who were served the pleadings in case 2013-CP-400-2294, and failed to timely respond, pursuant also to SC Rules of Civil Pro. Rule 44, is a combination Judge and legal attorney permitting him to file action on behalf of all the Theocratic Commonwealth which include all Africans and its diaspora binding all without their consent by

the existing default and collateral estoppel. This judicial and legislative power and authority defaulted by the courts and parties, overrides all legislative authority and every global court, foreign or domestic, state or federal as well as internationally. I give all courts and parties notice that Jah Jah al mahdi, now fully exercises his God given judicial and legislative power, in which their is no seperations of powers or of church and state, which binds the SC Court of Appeals, the Richland Court and all other courts by the existing Article

V & U default. All parties and courts are prevented from challenging this claim by the doctrine of collateral estopped, Kunst v Lorie, 404 SC 649, 746 SE 2d 360 (SC 2013); State v Hewins, 409 SC 93, 760 SE 2d 814 (SC 2014). Since you have egregious acts of fraud on the court and the parties have had opportunity to timely respond in cases 2006-CP 400-3567, 3568 and 3569 (and ogou) etc but instead conspired in fraud and violations of 18 U.S.C §§ 241, 242; 42 U.S.C § 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3), 1986 28 USC §§ 1331, 1332 and 1343 as well as 1602-1612 and CAT Treaty, they forfeit, In Re Rigoroso, 453 B.R. 612 (D.SC 2011). SCDC is to get that bogus abuse of privilege charge off me. 9 of 52

The SC Court of Appeals and Common Pleas Courts authority is derived from this state and its Constitution. The state served through the SC Attorney General has legally defaulted. South Carolina as a State is bound by the Us Constitution and the Supremacy Clause of Article 6 cl 2. The United States as a party in this action has defaulted. Thus also the SC Supreme Court; Court of Appeals and all other State courts within the State of South Carolina. Thus the SC Supreme Court, Court of Appeals and

all other state courts are bound by the supremacy clause of article 6 cl 2 rendering to the sovereign Sharia of Mahdi both legislative and judicial authority over any court, state or legislative body within this state and nation to enact or make void laws, overrule judicial determinations, render void or place into effect any order issued by any judge or himself, including all legislative enactments and any and all other acts given to him by his legislative and judicial power, authority and discretion as the highest judicial

and legislative authority within the 193 member states of the United Nations, which include the United States, and all courts are barred from challenging this power and authority by the doctrine of collateral estoppel and federal law defaulted on by the United Nations members, AES Sparrows Point LNG, LLC v Smith, 527 F3d 120, 66 ERC 1737, 170 Oil & Gas Rep. P. 14, 709 CJQ (md 2008). State law that conflicts with any of the now established right by federal laws is without effect, Cipollone v Liggett Group Inc, 505 U.S 504, 516, 112 S Ct 2608,

120 L.Ed.2d 407 (1992); U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 6, Cl. 2. The order issued by Judge Jean Toal barring Crawford from filing any type of civil action which was issued in acts of fraud to avoid suit is now overruled and rendered void. It is so ordered. SCDC Get that charge off of me.

Here the Court of Appeals will find attached are:

(3) The (20) page document dated November 4, 2014 with attachments filed in case 2013-CP-400-2294 et al.

(4) The (92) page default document dated May 2, 2014 that was filed in case 2013-CP-400-0084 et al.

(5) A copy of the (54) page document dated September 15, 2014 with its attachments filed in case "0084" et al..

(6) The (2) page letter that was sent to the Court of Appeals dated August 22, 2014.

(7) A copy of the (30) page document dated August 18, 2014 Rendering void all orders etc.. This document now applies to the SC Court of Appeals and all state courts.

(8) A copy of the (33) page document dated dated May 15, 2014 that was served on the SC Supreme Court. This document now applies to the SC

Court of Appeals.

(9) A copy of the (172) page document dated June 29, 2014 with its attachments served on all parties.

(10) A copy of a (24) page document dated October 10, 2014 with its attachments filed in case "2294" et al..

(11) A copy of a (38) page document dated October 17, 2014 with its attachments.

(12) A copy of the § 1983 action presently pending in the State of Georgia (40) pages date November 6, 2014.

(13) A copy of a letter dated July 30, 2014 and a (51) page document

dated July 29, 2014 and attachment served on all parties.

(14) A copy of a letter and (45) page document dated July 10, 2014; (1) page document dated June 9, 2014; (8) page document dated June 16, 2014; (8) page document dated July 5, 2014 with all attachments referred to within these documents.

(15) The protective order (13) pages dated December 3, 2014 and Attorney Kaye's letter (32) pages dated October 27, 2013.

Now let's get this party started. The SC Court of Appeals you now have jurisdiction to act by this document

being a final order and decree from a judge by default and collateral estoppel from the lower court and by way of novelty of claims, pursuant to the Chief Judge of the Global Theocratic Court. It is so ordered.

The SC Court of Appeals is to recall the remittiturs in case 2012-212769; The Crawford murder convictions from Kershaw County in 2004; The Crawford divorce and family Court cases out of Kershaw County and all remittiturs of the various parties listed within the (33) page document dated May 15, 2014 within (10) days. Within (10) days thereafter order is

to be issued by you vacating all the convictions referred to within those documents. The Crawford marriage to Sylvia Lee is to be reinstated, all orders issued from the Kershaw County Family Court related to the Crawford marriage and the taking away of his children are to be rendered void by order from the Court of Appeals. The County of Kershaw is ordered to replace Crawford's JAGUAR with a 2018 model (fully loaded) convertible with full tank of Gas, all insurance, tax and tags paid in full, full coverage for 5 years.

The State of South Carolina is to renew his drivers license. The SC Court of Appeals is to do this by judicial order. It is so ordered.

The SC Court of Appeals is to issue an order, ordering the defendants in cases 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569 and 2013-CP-400-0084 to give all relief sought within those cases within (20) days of the issuing of the SC Appeals Court order which is to be issued within (10) days of the sending of this document. All rights and laws of preemption apply to this document and all state cases involved.

1908.52

The SC Appeals Court order is to reflect this. It is so ordered.

The SC Court of Appeals is to sign the protective order seeking evidence dated December 3, 2014 within (10) days of receipt and have a copy immediately returned to Crawford and the Court is to forward a copy to the SC Attorney General, SLED, SCDC, the 5th Circuit and all involved parties. The Court has (10) additional days to complete this task. The defendants are to be in compliance to the order within (10) days of receipt or default on all claims. It is so

ORDERED.

No defendant is to be dismissed in either cases 2013-CA-400-0084 OR 2294. Discovery is to immediately be issued. Any defect in service is now waived by sanctions and Due to parties conspiring Under color of State law to either create a defect in service and or to avoid services. All defenses are deemed waived by collateral estoppel and the fraud engaged in by both the lower court and defendants. Case "2294" is now Reopen, Reinstated and legal counsel is to be immediately appointed

for the plaintiffs and class action certification given with notice given to all inmates within this state and nationally. Attached the court will find a copy of a (438) page PCR which contains the legal issues of controversy sought class action review that was filed in the Douglas Thompson case whose direct appeal was compromised by the SC Court of Appeals in acts of fraud on the Court. This conviction is to be vacated also. An order issued by the SC Court of Appeals showing compliance to all the aforementioned is to be issued within (10) days of receipt of this document.

All medical expenses now and in the future, and open account until death that resulted from the criminal acts done by these defendants that occurred to Crawford and his family are to be covered in full, no deductables which include dental, plastic surgeries etc whatever is deemed needed covered by the Head Sovereign. It is so ordered.

The judicial holdings made in States Country as well as States mean apply in those holdings retro-active and collateral review are

hereby overruled and rendered void. The inmates of this state and all states will be able to argue subject matter jurisdiction based upon the defects in their indictments as jurisdictional prerequisites which will void, arrest, halt, check, constraint the courts and prosecutors power as was done before those holdings were made. Attorney Hall and the firm of McKay, Cauthen, Settana & Stubley PA are to recuse and or disqualify themselves from representing anyone in this case except themselves.

They are defendants in a pending federal action. SCDC and the Attorney General are required to hire other legal counsel within (10) days of receipt of this document. The Court of Appeals is to issue within (10) days an order requiring the same. It is so ordered.

Crawford and Donald Barker, Anthony Cook, John Sutcliffe are to remain in their same room and housing unit until released and or transferred from state custody. No move for faith based reasons initiated by Lieber CI is to occur. The Room on Wando B utilized for muslim prayer is to remain under

Crawford's control and use until his release. He is also permitted to go to C&D side for religious functions being Prophet and High Priest of God, due to the default. It is so ordered.

Religious groups are permitted to grow their hair as needed and beards. Muslim oil is to be kept by Muslims in their personal possession at all times not to exceed two ounces. Halal and Kosher meals are to be offered in SCDC. Prayer beads, cufis, Yamakas etc can be worn at all times as desired. It is so ordered. And order outside institution.

SCDC is to repair the word processor

of Crawford sending it to the company for full repairs, replace 2 re-inkable ribbons, his radio, Koss headphones, coffee pot, 2 pair shades, 2 bottles of black ink pad ink, 40 in centered and 2 lbs of muslim oil his choice of fragrance within 10 days of receipt of this order or default. They are to ensure his extra legal boxes remain secure in properly control until his release or transfer. The additional legal boxes he has in his direct possession as the chosen are to remain in his direct possession since they will be continually pleaded for pending court action

or all parties default. It is so ordered.

The historical police powers of the States are to be superseded by the federal act when it is clear and manifest purpose of Congress as is by the existing default, federal law, the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act, the Conventions Against Torture Treaty and International Human Rights Laws, when congressional intent is [redacted] explicitly stated in the language of federal statute, conflicting state laws are expressly preempted, especially in light of the default. The Supremacy Clause in this case forbids state efforts to change

or curtail a federal and in this case International right that has now been established by the existing default (ei judicial and legislative authority), Pinney v Nokia Inc, 402 F3d 430 CA4 (Md 2005); PPL Energy, LLC v Nazarian, 753 F3d 467 CA4 (Md 2014); Haley, 2014 WL 4954678.

In regard to the Notice of motion and motion to Dismiss defendant Brynn Stirling that was filed by Attorney Hall dated November 18, 2014 and the order issued by Judge Benjamin in case 2013-CP-400-002294. That document is now stricken from the court record by sanctions, now imposed upon you

due to acts of criminal conspiracy, fraud and violations of 18 USC § 242 in your efforts to create defects in service and or avoid service. The same objections previously made related to Michael Brown and other defendants apply to Stirling. You are barred from asserting these defenses by collateral estoppel from case "0084" and the same procedural default that exist in case "0084" exist in "2294". Benjamin Mueller had jurisdiction under Rule 82(c) to make the determination she did at the time she did it without an order to remand being issued on September 12, 2014.

The order to dismiss is overruled and rendered void by sanctions now imposed. Benjamin is now required. Legal counsel is to be appointed for the plaintiffs within (10) days of receipt of this document or default is to be rendered in the court record. It is so ordered. Immunity is waived.

The SC Court of Appeals now have jurisdiction by final order and decree by the Global Theocratic King who is also Chief Justice of the Global Theocratic Court emanating from the lower court by the default that has occurred for which the

SC COURT of APPEALS is barred from challenging PURSUANT to collateral ESTOPPEL mandating immediate action being taken by this COURT as ordered. Let those give ear and sum up the day. DROP whatever you are doing. STRAP your infants upon your backs. GRAB your children by the hand and feed them with hope and justice along the WAY. TRUST in God who sustains all. CHANGE not your garments. BORROW and ask for what money you need. OVERDRAW your checking accounts. OVERTAX your CREDIT CARDS, but come. FOR Gods appointed King and Khalifah will make

good the global debt, pressed down, shaken together and over flowing.

Come by plane, by bus, or by train. Come by car, by boat, whatever mode of travel remains. Travel 1000's of miles with no luggage, no undue burden. Get speeding tickets if pleased be but hasten, hitchhike, bike or trike, or hire horse or kite but come, what may, by night or day, and stand with God's appointed King for the hour is at hand. Let Freedom Ring !!! throughout the land. At last, ... We stand !!! All truth passes through three stages. First it is ridiculed. Secondly, it is violently

opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self evident. Out of such things dare to hope may come a new America. Be audacious enough to venture to dream that there may come a new global consciousness.

Let us stand before the forces of global power indomitable, intrepid, resilient in all focus and purpose for in this deed there can be no bridge too far, no valley wide enough, nor ocean to deep to venture across that shall prevent or deny us our hearts aim. We journey forth a global force, a product from the source of the

original fountain of sovereign power and authority, the one true God himself, for all the world to see.

Do not flinch. Do not turn away. For in the blinking of an eye, within a moment's instance, this global theocratic government thus stands upon the highest mountaintop for all the world to see O' Zion's Gate! We spew forth justice and fairness as one spews forth breath and fire proceedeth out of our mouths. We can light it up! Let the evil ones of this decaying world dare attempt to put it out. We drink the cup of rightly godly dignity. We soldier up! We stand in God's

Holy might and strength. With fervor we unsheath from its scabbard thee shinning sword of truth. Let it knight every head, man or woman, boy or girl that bows to thee one true God. Let it strengthen every knee that yields to this glory. With battle axe in hand let us protect every heart, body and soul that is this. O' Holy command wealth know ye this, ... that thou arth Kings!!! Khaliphs!!! Imams!!! Priest O' servants and slaves of thee most High God.

There has never been a moment in global history more honorable, more inspiring than this, than this Holy pilgramage of those who know

the one true God and seek to serve him, pouring to the side of Christ fiduciary Heir, his forerunner, the Elijah, Al Mahdi, to unite under one God ordained banner in preparation for the return of Christ Jesus the Messiah, my great etc Grand Uncle, based upon the teachings of Moses, muhammad and Christ combined (PBUT) to give glad tidings to the [redacted] global embattled masses. no more will we allow our youth to be gunned down within the streets !!!

Confrontation of the forces of good and evil, light and darkness is inevitable to address the most

passionate plea of human rights either made; That is to be cathartic, purified, purged, in contemplation, in preparation for the eternal Kingdom of the most High God, who is alone, having no partners. He is not Christ. He is above Christ who is in harmony, submission to his commands as we are. To those that know their God, boldly join hands with us as we walk away from this bloody trail of sin, capitalistic greed, darkness, debauchery and malice which nurtures and buffriends evil. As we march, let us take the Highway of truth, purity, Righteousness,

justice, fairness and light. For no soul can successfully serve two masters who operate within opposite sides of the spectrum.

I stand before the global nations with great conviction in my stance that the release of 3.2 million inmates within this nation, nor the remainder of global prisoners is not the nations of the world deathbed. My only concern which I ponder with uncertainty is how costly this nations resistence will make the funeral.

Our whole campaign has been centered around truth, justice, fairness, the presumption of innocence, absent

of all fraud, misrepresentation, which produces this present snake, this monster and modern day slavery, disproportionately effecting African Americans, and yet all citizens, despite the existence of any actual criminal allegation. OURS is also a campaign against the injustices and criminal acts of the global slave trade and Jim Crow here in America and abroad whose debt has yet to be tallied; the disproportionate wealth gap that globally exist, the hunger, sin, debauchery and inopportunity sanctioned by perverted power and authority.

In focusing the attention of the

global nations that presently exist on this blight and flagrant denial of these injustices that without a doubt exist within all nations of the world. We are exposing the very origin, the root cause, the beast itself that globally exist that will inevitably lead your global nations to the gates of hell. We shall not be turned around. Nor are we by any means weary of the battle. For it shall endure until the end Unless you with all sagacity choose justice and fairness under the auspices of heaven. We are on the move now. Not

Even the march of mighty global armies can halt us. No prison wall shall muffle truth! For greater are they with us. Than they that are with the evil ones of this world. This is not the day of the United States, nor western nations, nor eastern nations, nor southern nations. It is the day of the Global Theocratic Nation, its Holy commonwealth and their place before the entire world. This is done in order that that which the one true Gods Holy Prophets have spoken, that marvellous truth, shall not fall to the ground void. Let God

be true and all the world be proven a liar. The hour is at hand. Let my people go !!!,... Pharoah!

Inasmuch, our release is not to be construed as to void any filed writ of Habeas Corpus class action that is presently pending within any Federal court for as long as one prisoner remains within captivity within your jails and at Gitmo, I as Inquirer, legal representative with all power and authority to file action, still bring action on behalf of my people, African Americans as well as all inmates

who consider themselves to be muslim, christian or jew. so the federal actions still stand and are valid before all federal courts as well as false imprisonment class action torts before all state courts, also under § 1983.

Insomuch, there are (3) three theories which congress provided that the supremacy clause preempts state law: (1) under "express preemption" - congress expressly declares its intent to preempt state law (ei the foreign sovereign immunity act, the convention against torture treaty, the anti peonage act etc); (2) under

"field preemption" - Congress impliedly preempts state law when federal law so thoroughly occupies a legislative field as to make reasonable the inference that Congress left no room for the states to supplement it (ei sovereign power and Immunity in this case A sovereign who in this field is also Judge of the Highest Court within the 193 member U.N states; Americans with Disabilities Rights in field of disabled etc); and, (3) under "conflict preemption" - Congress impliedly preempts state law when it actually conflicts with federal law. Compliance

to state law at this juncture would be an impossibility requiring that the state law be set aside (ei you cannot make a King / Khalifah / Sovereign of a foreign state amenable to a court without his consent which in this case is withdrawn or you do not have, The Amistad, 40 U.S. 518, 15 Pet. 518, 1841 WL 5024, 2006 A.M.C. 2955, 10 Led 826, U.S Supt. January 1841; English v. Thorn, 676 FSupp. 761 (SD miss 1987); In Re Green, 980 F2d 590 (9th Cir 1992); Yicks Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356; United States v Lee, 106 U.S. 196, 1 S Ct 240 (1882); Perry v United States, 294 U.S. 330, 55

S(t 432 (U.S 1935); Will v Michigan State Police, 105 L.Ed.2d 45 (1989); Lessing v Smith 4 Wheat 9, 20 (1829); Afroyim v Rusk, 387 U.S 253 (1967); United States v Wheeler, 98 S(t 1079 At 1083; Civil Rights Act of 1964 § 201, 42 U.S.C.A § 2000(a); 18 U.S.C § 1116; L' Europeenne de Banque v La Republic de Venezuela, 700 F.Supp. 114, 121 (S.D.N.Y 1988) (Extending Rule to cover plaintiffs); Cohens v Virginia, 6 Wheat 264, 411; Nichols v United States, 7 Wall 122, 126; Carr v United States, 98 U.S 433; F.Supp2d, 2013 WL 2370526

The Supremacy Clause provides that:

This Constitution, And the laws

of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made (ei CAT Treaty; FSIA), or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the Supreme law of the land; and the Judges in every state shall be bound thereby, any thing in the Constitutions or laws of any state to the contrary notwithstanding. By the default that presently exist and Federal law under the Supremacy Clause. The SC Court of Appeals, Supreme Court and all States and parties are bound, Jahtah al Mahdi is Chief Judge over the Global Theocratic Court that


bypass power supersedying all global courts and the parties are barred from chal-lenging this by the doctrine of collateral estoppel, Skogsdill v. Sebelius, FSupp 2d, 2013 WL 5214883 (DSC 2013); Norfolk Southern Ry Co. v. City of Alexandria, 608 F3d 150 CA 4 (Va 2010); King v Caro-lina First Bank, FSupp 2d, 2014 WL 2548357 (DSC 2014); Peter B. v. Sanford, FSupp 2d, 2012 WL 2149784; Tarrant Regional Water Dist v. Herrmann, 133 S Ct 2120, 186 L Ed 2d 153 (US 2013) compliance is mandatory.

The SC Court of Appeals is to either draw up a subsequent order demonstrating compliance and ordering

compliance to all items listed within this "document - order" or the chief Judge of the SC Court of Appeals is to add his name at the bottom of this order to that of the Chief Judge of the Global Theocratic Court within (10) days and return the signed copy to the Global Theocratic Chief Judge and ensure that a copy is served on all parties by the Clerk of Court immediately after signing ordering compliance within the timeframe of (10) days as designated by Yahjah Al Mahdi. The parties are to serve proof of

their compliance to these orders within that same (10) day period given to them or default will be rendered against them (and you) in all court records and they (and you) will be subject to further sanctions and criminal penalties. Not only is scdc to get that bogus abuse of privilege charge out of crawford's records they recently place on him in retaliation. When jahjah al mahdi makes his request for legal supplies. Liebler is not to shorten, reduce or diminish that request in any way as a means to

hinder his access to the courts or be in default. Leave my hair alone, no steel cuffs only plastic restraints.



It is so ordered

December 3, 2014

Jahjah Al Mahdi
Chief Judge of the Global Theocratic Court and True Sovereign by default and Collateral Estoppel

---

It is so ordered

Chief or Senior Judge
SC Court of Appeals

Date

Exhibit

Foreign Sovereign

#3

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
ET. AL., #300839 WANDO A-127
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

RECEIVED
2017 OCT 24 AM 10: 13
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOURTH CIRCUIT

IN RE: 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,

THE RULES ARE SOUGHT SUSPENDED FOR ALL THE ABOVE CAPTIONED CASES. PLEASE SEE THAT A COPY OF ALL THESE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED ARE FILED WITHIN ALL CASES CAPTIONED ABOVE. THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT IS THE LEAD DOCUMENT. THE REMAINDER ARE ATTACHMENTS TO IT. SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS MAY BE FILED WITHIN A FEW OF THE CASES ALREADY. JUST PLEASE MAKE SURE A COPY OF THESE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE FILED WITHIN ALL CASES CAPTIONED FOR RECUSAL PURPOSES AND TO ADDRESS OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO THE CASES IN TOTAL. WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE. STILL REMAIN,

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI

OCTOBER 5, 2017

CC: david duren

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,


DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


WE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO REINSTATE CASES 17-7068 AND 17-7186 ET. AL.,; MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7139; 17-7134; 17-6925; 17-7068; 17-7137; 17-7186; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME; MOTION FOR AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION; MOTION FOR EN BANC REVIEW IN CASE 17-1415 AND THIS APPEAL AND TO VACATE

ALL ORDERS RELATED TO PRIOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES, ALSO VIA TRUSTEE AUSTIN, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID AND OR CERTIFIED, BY PLACING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON OCTOBER 5, 2017. IT IS DEEMED FILED THAT DATE, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).


RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI




ANTHONY COOK




ROBERT MITCHELL




david duren



YAHYA MUQUIT



CHRISTOPHER WILSON



OCTOBER 5, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA

(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186 ET. AL.,

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO REINSTATE CASES 17-7068 AND 17-7186 ET. AL.,; MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7139, 17-7134, 17-6925, 17-7068, 17-7137, 17-7186; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME; MOTION FOR AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION; MOTION FOR EN BANC REVIEW IN CASE 17-1415 AND THIS APPEAL AND TO VACATE ALL ORDERS RELATED TO PRIOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW, [14] PAGES DATED JULY 2, 2017.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST JUDGES HARWELL, MERCHANT, WOOTEN AND DEFENDANTS; MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES TO ADD THE NAME OF JUDGE STUART RABNER OF THE N.J. SUPREME COURT; ALSO SEEKING CLOCKED STAMPED COPIES, [23] PAGES DATED JULY 8, 2017.

(3) A COPY OF THE § 1983 ACTION THAT MAKE UP THE PARALLEL CASES SUBJUDICE WITHIN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

(4) A COPY OF THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299 PENDING WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT.

(5) A COPY OF THE ORDER AND DETERMINATION MADE IN CASE 17-1415 FILED AUGUST 28, 2017.

(6) A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE KAYMANI WEST IN CASE 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW FILED AUGUST 23, 2017.

(7) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND THE DEFENDANTS; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, SEEKING AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [19] PAGES DATED AUGUST 10, 2017 ON THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. WE GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOTICE. THIS DOCUMENT IS FILED WITH THE GREENVILLE DIVISION CLERK AND COURT UNDER CASES 9:16-cv-

3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND SERVED ON ALL CASES AND JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT SUBJUDICE VIA THE CHARLESTON DIVISION CLERK OF COURT. IT IS SERVED UPON ALL JUDGES AND CASES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT VIA THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE , JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN. THIS DOCUMENT IS SERVED AS LIEN OF SERVICE OF THAT DOCUMENT. PLEASE HAVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CLERK OR CASE MANAGER OBTAIN A COPY OF IT ELECTRONICALLY AND FILE IT WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS LEAD DOCUMENT BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED.

(8) A COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING FILED WITHIN THE RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS THAT IS REMOVED TO ALL PARALLEL CASES SUBJUDICE. THE RICHLAND COURT CASE NUMBER IS 2004-385. A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS FORTH COMING.

(9) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; SUPPLEMENTING THE CAUSES OF ACTION IN ALL PENDING FEDERAL AND STATE CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS; SUBMITTING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY AND CRIMINAL ACTS;***, [30] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 7, 2016 FILED IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA AND THE OTHER RELATED CASES.

THE PETITIONERS GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE CASES CAPTIONED ARE ESSENTIALLY INDEPENDENT ACTIONS FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND OR PARALLEL APPEALS ORIGINATING FROM THE APPEAL UNDER 17-6960 AS THEY ALSO APPEAR UNDER 17-1695 AND OR 17-6693 AND OR 17-6925 AND OR 17-7139 AND OR 17-7134 AND OR 17-7068, TO INCLUDE THE ANTHONY COOK APPEAL OF CASE 8:16-cv-3327-RBH-JDA THAT IS HOPEFULLY BEING PROCESSED AS WE SPEAK.

WE MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES AND IF WITHIN ANY CASE CAPTIONED OR IN THE MIDST OF BEING PROCESSED, THE NAME OF THE KING-KHALIFAH, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE DO NOT APPEAR AS A PARTY WITHIN ANY OF THESE CASES CAPTIONED. THOSE CASES REFERRED TO MUST AND ARE NOW AMENDED

TO ADD THE KING-KHALIFAH TO PROTECT HIS ACQUIRED INTEREST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ., EIE GUAM-v.-LONG-TERM-CREDIT-BANK,-JAPAN, 322 F3d. 635(9TH.Cir.2003); VERLINDEN-B.V.-v.-CENTRAL-BANK-OF-NIGERIA, 401 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d. 81(U.S.1983); CAPITAL-TRANS,-INTERN, LLC.-v.-INTERNATIONAL-PETROLEUM-INV.-CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013); THORTON-v.-MARYLAND-GENERAL-HOSP., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1943065(Md.2013); BRADDY-v.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 1031301(E.D.Va.2016); ADAIR-ASSET-MANAGEMENT,-LLC.-v.-U.S.-DEPT. OF-HOUSING-URBAN-DEVELOPMENT, 2016 WL 3248569(2016); SAUNIER v.-BOEING-COMPANY, F.Supp.2d., 2014 WL 1646953(2014). I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AS THE RIGHTFUL HEIR AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE VOLUNTARILY JOIN IN ALL CAPTIONED CASES TO PROTECT MY ACQUIRED INTEREST.

WE EXPAND THE SCOPE AND INCLUSION IS GRANTED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND KING-KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHERE THERE IS NO SEPARATION OF POWERS AS TOLD WITHIN THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY DEFAULTED ON, WHOSE ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY SUPERSEDE ALL NATIONAL AND OR GLOBAL COURTS, WHICH INCLUDE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, BY THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OUT OF THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN COLUMBIA, S.C. TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTY TO THE DEFAULT THAT BINDS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL NATIONAL AND OR GLOBAL COURTS AND GOVERNMENTS. ALL DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925 ARE NOW FILED WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION. JUDGES INSTRUCT THE CASE MANAGER TO COMPLETE THIS TASK. APPELLATE COURT RULES ARE SUSPENDED FOR ALL CASES CAPTIONED. THIS INCLUDES FOR ANY DEFECT IN FORM OR PAGE LIMIT FOR ANY DOCUMENT FILED NOW OR IN THE FUTURE. **IT IS SO ORDERED**, APPELLATE RULE (2); U.S.-v.-MERCADO, 199 F3d. 1329 (TABLE) 1999 WL 958465; U.S.-v.-REID, 506 Fed. Appx' 209, 2013 WL 239076(4th.Cir.2013); CAPERTON-v.-BEATRICE-POCAHONTAS-COAL CO. 585 F2d. 683(4th.Cir.1978).

THE COURT CAN REVIEW CASES WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING THAT THESE ARE PARALLEL APPEALS OR RELATED CASES BEFORE THE COURT ADDRESSING SIMILAR ISSUES, CLAIMS AND DEFENSES ALSO FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING CAUSE TO STAY, RES JUDICATA, THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT ENGAGED IN BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND OTHER ISSUES DONE WITHIN THESE RELATED CASES AND OR PARALLEL APPEALS, HARBISON v. BELL, 556 U.S. 180, 129 S.Ct. 1481(U.S.2009); SOUTHERN PAC. R. CO. v. U.S., 168 U.S. 1, 18 S.Ct. 18, 42 L.Ed. 355(U.S.1897); NOELL CRANE SYSTEMS GMbH v. NOELL CRANE SYSTEMS SERVICES, 677 F.Supp.2d. 852(2009); KNIGHT v. MANUFACTURES & TRADERS TRUST CO., 84 F.Supp.3d. 436 (D.Md.2015); BARDES v. SOUTH CAROLINA, F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 1498190(DSC.2010).

WE GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH OFFICIALLY EXERCISES ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM BY THE DEFAULT. UNLESS THE COURT CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT THE RICHLAND COURT, THE UNITED STATES, THE MEMBERS STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ETC. TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 EXERCISING THE AFOREMENTIONED POWER AND AUTHORITY, TO INCLUDE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER; OR THEY CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND OR VOIDED DUE TO REMOVAL TO THE FEDERAL COURT. THE CLAIM OF FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER, TO INCLUDE THAT OF SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER, MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH AND CREDIT WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS. ALL CASES CAPTIONED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND, LIMITING AND RESTRICTING ALL COURTS JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING, EXCEPT GRANT ALL RELIEF THAT IS DEMANDED. THIS IS ISSUE ON APPEAL AND GRANTED. TRUSTEE IS APPOINTED IN THE FORM OF JUDGE AUSTIN. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO YOUR JURISDICTION. ONCE JURISDICTION IS ACQUIRED, ...IT IS EXCLUSIVE, EVEN BY WAY OF FEDERAL AND OR INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, AS WELL AS BY ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTON, INHABITANTS OF TOWN OF FAIRFIELD v. TIME WARNER CABLE NORTHEAST LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 1565237(2015); IN RE: CHINEESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGA-

TION, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 1584060(2016); FERRARA v. QUADROZZI EQUIPMENT LEASING CORP., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); UNIVERSAL FILM EXCHANGE INC. v. WALTER READE INC., 37 F.R.D. 4, 9 Fed. R. SERV.2d. 56(e); ANDERSON v. LIBERTY LOBBY INC., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); DeSIMONE v. QUICKEN LOANS, INC., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2470661(S.D.Ind. 2011); IN RE: CLEAN BURN FUELS, LLC., 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); IN RE: OUTDOOR R.V. AND MARINE, LLC., 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); WILLIAMS v. SECRETARY OF VETERAN AFFAIRS, --F.Supp.3d.--,2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); LaPENNA v. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INS. CO., 316 Fed. Appx' 894, 2009 WL 485274(11th.Cir.2009); MILLER v. PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013). IT IS SO ORDERED.

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES AND DEFENSES THAT ARE ARGUED WITHIN ALL ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE NOW ISSUES ON APPEAL IN THESE CASES TO INCLUDE THE SEEKING OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ADDRESSING EACH SPECIFIC ISSUE AND CONCERN RAISED WITHIN THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS FOR ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. ANY CLAIM OF REPETITIVE FILING AND OR ABUSE OF COURT IS DEEMED WAIVED AND OF NO EFFECT UPON THESE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY. ANY ADDITIONAL AND OR SUBSEQUENT FILING WAS CAUSED AND OR CREATED BY THE CONSPIRING FEDERAL COURTS INVOLVED FRAUD AND INDEPENDENT ACTION FOR THAT FRAUD WHERE WE SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN SEPARATED BY THE COURT, WHEN SUCH SEPARATION WAS BASED UPON THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT AND OR THE ANTI-TERRORISM EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT AND THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL BY THE LITIGATION PRESENTED. THEY CAUSED AND OR FORCED ANY REPETITIVE AND OR INDEPENDENT ACTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO BE FILED. THUS, SANCTIONS BY THEIR FRAUD ARE IMPOSED BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT AND THE COURT IS IN FORFEITURE OF SUCH A CLAIM. IT IS SO ORDERED.

NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION PRESENTED WITHIN THESE CASES, ARE THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA UNCONSTITUTIONAL?

NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION

PRESENTED AND THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT BINDS THE UNITED STATES, ITS EMPLOYEES AND THIS COURT. IS JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN REQIRED BY LAW TO FULFILL HER DUTIES AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE?

NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE DEFAULT AND THE CLAIMS MADE WITHIN THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND THAT WHICH IS ARGUED IN THE MANDAMUS THAT ESTABLISHES CASE 16-2299. DO THE KING-KHALIFAH HAVE STANDING TO CHALLENGE SAME SEX MARRIAGE WITHIN THIS NATION?

NOTICE: THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DUE TO ONE OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES BEING THE THRONE OF KING DAVID, KING SOLOMON, THE QUEEN OF SHEBA AND THEIR ONLY SON, BEING LEGAL HEIR TO THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE WHOSE ANCESTORS WERE BROUGHT HERE BY BRUTAL, TORTUROUS FORCE. AS THE RIGHTFUL LEGAL LOST HEIR. DO WE HAVE LEGAL STANDING TO SUE FOR THE INJUSTICES DONE VIA THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE AND JIM CROW AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THESE CASES?

BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND DEFENDANTS OUTRAGEOUS ACT OF FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION, SANCTION ARE IMPOSED AND THEY ARE IN FORFEITURE OVER THESES ISSUES, BARLOW-v.-COLGATE-PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); MORRIS v.-WACHOVIA-SECURITIES,-INC., 448 F3d. 268, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. P. 93, 858 CA4 (Va.2006); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC.-v. AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 1451636(Va.2015); NOBREGA-v. HINKLE, 576 Fed. Appx' 224 CA4 (Va.2014). **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

NOTICE: THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DOES ROSS-v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(U.S.2016) APPLY TO HABEAS CORPUS AND DUE TO THE FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE ENGAGED IN BY BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES AND OR THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS. DO WE HAVE TO EXHAUST WITHIN THE STATE COURT , OR CAN WE IMMEDIATELY FILE FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITHIN THE FEDERAL COURT? SEE TAYLOR-v.-TAYLOR, 2016 WL 5118113. ALSO SEE CAL. JURS.3d. PENAL AND CORRECTIONAL IN-

STITUTIONS § 1001, RIGHT OF PRISONERS TO RELIEF BY HABEAS CORPUS (2016).

WE GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES NOTICE. ALL DOCUMENTS THAT ARE NOW FILED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925 ARE NOW DEEMED FILED WITHIN ALL OTHER CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. JUDGES IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, YOU ARE TO INSTRUCT THE COURT CLERK AND OR CASE MANAGER TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THIS DEMAND. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, LEGAL FOREIGN, VOLUNTARILY JOIN IN ALL CASES PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT TO PROTECT MY ACQUIRED INTEREST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. I WAS PERMITTED TO BE PARTY IN CASE 17-6960. BY WHAT IS ARGUED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, THIS MUST BE PERMITTED FOR ALL CASES INVOLVED. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

IF ANY OF THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN; JUDGES DIAZ, THACKER, DAVIS, GREGORY, DUNCAN, HAMILTON, AND WE CAN ADD JUDGE SHEDD, ARE PRESIDING OVER ANY OF THESE CASES CAPTIONED AND REFERRED TO. WE MOTION FOR YOUR RECUSAL THE SAME WAY AND FOR ESSENTIALLY THE SAME REASONS ARGUED IN CASES 17-6693; 17-6960 AND 17-6925. EN BANC REVIEW IS TO BE GIVEN BY THE REMAINING 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER ACTS OF FRAUD. WE INFORMED THE COURT THAT PARTIES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WERE POTENTIALLY INVOLVED. NOW THAT JUDGE SHEDD IS REVEALED COMMITTING AN ILLEGAL ACT, THAT HE KNOWS IS ILLEGAL. HIS NAME RELATES BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS WITHIN ALL PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES. THEIR PRESENCE PRODUCE A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL AND THEY CANNOT SIT UPON THEIR OWN CASES BASED UPON THE FRAUD THAT THEY ARE PARTY TO AS IS ARGUED UNDER CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, UNITED STATES v. QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899 (U.S.2016); U.S. v. ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. HACKLEY, 662 F3d. 671 CA4 (Va.2011).

IF SOMEHOW CASE 17-7068 HAS BEEN CLOSED AND OR THE MANDATE HAS BEEN SENT TO THE LOWER COURT. WE MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE AND REINSTATE CASE 17-7068 BY WHAT IS ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHED

DOCUMENTS AND PARALLEL APPEALS AND OR RELATED CASES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT SHALL GRANT THIS RELIEF. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

IF THERE IS ANY CONCERN ABOUT A 3 STRIKE ISSUE RELATED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH OR ANY PARTY INVOLVED. THOSE PAST STRIKES ARE VOID AND ILLEGAL WHICH WILL BE ELABORATED ON, AND THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER EXIST AT THE TIME OF ALL OF THESE CASES FILING AS IS ARGUED IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925. STATE PRISONER CLAIM THAT HE SUFFERED UNPROVOKED ASSAULTS BY PRISON OFFICIALS WHICH RESULTED IN SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURIES, THAT HE WAS THREATENED WITH SEVERE BODILY INJURY OR DEATH UPON ENTRY INTO PRISON, BEARING A NEXUS TO THESE PROCEEDINGS, AND THAT PRISONER LIVED IN AN ATMOSPHERE OF CONSTANT FEAR IN WHICH HE KNOWS NOT WHEN NEXT VIOLENT ASSAULT MAY OCCUR, WERE SUFFICIENT TO DEMONSTRATE EXISTENCE OF IMMINENT DANGER OF SERIOUS PHYSICAL HARM, AS REQUIRED TO COME WITHIN EXCEPTION TO THREE STRIKE RULE PRECLUDING PRISONER WHOSE 3 PRIOR ACTIONS WERE DISMISSED FROM PLEADING IN FORMA PAUPERIS, JOHNSON-v.-WARNER, 200 Fed. Appx' 270, 2006 WL 2711957 (4th.Cir.2006). IT IS A DANGER GREATER THAN FEAR WHERE REPEATED AND RECENT ATTACKS HAVE ALREADY OCCURRED AND THE CONDUCT THREATENS CONTINUING AND FUTURE INJURY. IT IS A PATTERN OF CONDUCT EVIDENCING THE LIKELIHOOD OF IMMINENT SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY, BRYAN-v.-McCALL, 2016 WL 529574(DSC.2016); JOHNSON-v.-KISER, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 293286(W.D.Va.2012); SAYRE-v.-KING, F.Supp. 3d., 2014 WL 4414509(W.D.Va.2014); COLE-v.-ELLIS, 2015 WL 6407205 (N.D.Fla.2015); HENDERSON-v.-GEORGIA, 2015 WL 4492743(Ga.2015).

IN REGARDS TO CASES 17-7068; 17-7134; 17-7139 ET. AL. AS ARGUED BEFORE THE COURT IN CASES 17-6693; 17-6925. YOU HAVE THE DEFENDANTS POTENTIALLY LISTED INCORRECTLY IN THESE CASES. IT APPEARS FROM THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVE SERVED ON US, THAT THE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED AS "THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953" ONLY? IF THIS IS THE CASE, IT MUST BE CORRECTED BEFORE THESE CASES CAN MOVE FORWARD. THIS BECOMES A CHALLENGE TO YOUR JURISDICTION BASED UPON THE FRAUD THAT HAS POTENTIALLY OCCURRED. THIS IS NOT A FORTUITOUS ACT ON THE PART OF THE CONSPIRING JUDGES WHICH FURTHER WARRANT THEIR RECUSAL AND DISQUA-

LIFICATION. THE ACT OF LISTING THESE DEFENDANTS INCORRECTLY IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES IS AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MACHINATION DESIGNED TO PREVENT US FROM BEING HEARD ON THE CLAIMS MADE. THIS IS WHAT IS OCCURRING. THERE ARE ESSENTIALLY (2) PRONGS THAT MUST BE MET BEFORE THE COURT CAN DEEM A CASE AS BEING FRIVOLOUS. THEY ARE:

(1) THE PLAINTIFF(S) MUST BE IN A POSITION WHERE HE IS MAKING EFFORTS TO SUE A PERSON WHO UNDER THE ALLEGATIONS MADE WITHIN THE COMPLAINT CANNOT BE SUED.

(2) IS WHERE THE PLAINTIFF(S) INFRINGES UPON A RIGHT THAT IS NOT ESTABLISHED.

THE JUDGES AND CONSPIRING PARTIES KNEW THAT LEGALLY THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DEMONSTRATES THAT WE ARE NOT INFRINGING UPON RIGHTS THAT WE DID NOT LEGALLY ESTABLISH BECAUSE THE ACTION UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AND BECAUSE THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ESTABLISHES THE RIGHTS SOUGHT TO BE EXERCISED AND OR INVOKED, BARRING ANY FURTHER CHALLENGE IN THIS REGARD. SO THEIR INTENT WAS TO SHOW THAT WE DID NOT MEET THE FIRST PRONG. THAT BEING THAT WE WERE SUING PEOPLE WHO COULD NOT BE SUED FOR THE CLAIMS MADE. FOR EXAMPLE, WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES FOR ESTABLISHING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA. YET, THE UNITED STATES IS NOT LISTED AS A DEFENDANT. WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES AND THE OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR THEIR ARRESTING, ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHERE THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN TO THE NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT BY GIVING THE RIGHT TO MARRY TO GAYS AND LESBIANS. WE CAN'T SUE THESE JUDGES FOR THIS. THIS IS WHY THEY FAILED TO LIST THE DEFENDANTS PROPERLY. WE ARE SUING THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR

CONSPIRING WITH THE JUDGES AND FOR OTHER ACTS THEY'VE DONE. YET, THEY ARE NOT LISTED AS PARTY. WE ARE SUING THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND ITS JUDGES, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND ITS JUDGES ALL THESE COURTS' JUDGES BY BY NAME INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE STATE-v.-GENTRY FRAUD. YET, THEY ARE NOT LISTED. WE ARE SUING FOR REPARATIONS FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE AND JIM CROW LAWS IN THIS NATION. YET, THE PARTIES FOR THIS CLAIM ARE NOT LISTED. WE ARE SUING FOR THE INJUSTICES THAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH IS REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES. YET, THESE DEFENDANTS ARE NOT LISTED BY THE JUDGES CONSPIRING TO AID THEM AVOID SUIT. LOOK AT THE § 1983 THAT ESTABLISHES THE PARALLEL CASES, AS IT WAS FOR THE WRIT OF ERROR THAT ESTABLISHES APPEAL OF CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. ON PAGE (2) OF THE [44] PAGE COMPLAINT ATTACHED WHERE IT ASKED FOR DEFENDANT NO.(1). IT IS LISTED "SEE ATTACHED SHEETS AND THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT". THIS IS A HAND WRITTEN DOCUMENT. IT WAS THEN TYPED DOWN AND NOW EXIST AS THE (14) PAGE DOCUMENT IN QUESTION THAT THE JUDGES CALLED S.C.D.C. AND TOLD THEM TO BLOCK COPIES TO PREVENT ITS FILING SO THEY COULD IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE LIST THESE DEFENDANTS AS THEY PRESENTLY HAVE THEM LISTED AND FRAUDULENTLY SAY THAT WE ARE SUING PEOPLE WHO CAN'T BE SUED FOR THE CLAIMS MADE WITHIN THE COMPLAINTS AND OR WRITS OF ERROR IN ACTS OF MACHINATION. WE OBJECT AND DEMAND THAT THE NAMES OF ALL THE DEFENDANTS SOUGHT TO BE LISTED WITHIN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES BE NOW AMENDED AND ADDED TO THE RECORD. THE HAND WRITTEN (34) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, WHICH IS NOW TYPED AND EXIST AS THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE IS SUPPOSE TO BE FILED IN THE (3) CASES REFERRED TO AND FILED IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND david duren CASE, WAS BLOCKED BEING FILED AND OR IGNORED IN THE OTHER PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND IS NOW ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF ALL COMPLAINTS AND OR WRITS OF ERROR FOR ALL PURPOSES WHICH INCLUDE INFORMING THE COURT OF WHO THE DEFENDANTS ARE WITHIN THESE CASES. WE WANT ALL DEFENDANTS NAMES LISTED INDIVIDUALLY AS REQUIRED.

FROM ON PAGE (7) OF THE COMPLAINT, THE "LEES" AND "ABRAMS"

WERE OMITTED IN THEIR FRAUD. ON THAT PAGE WE AGAIN INFORMED THE COURT THAT THE REMAINDER OF THE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED WITHIN THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. ON PAGE (15) IT IS CLEARLY STATED WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES. NONE OF THESE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED IN THE ACTUAL RECORD IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS AND MACHINATION SO THEY COULD CRIMINALLY, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND CLAIM THAT WE ARE TRYING TO SUE JUDGES FOR ALL OF THESE CLAIMS ONLY, WHEN IT IS OVERWHELMINGLY CONSPICUOUS THAT THE JUDGES CANNOT BE SUED FOR ANY OF THESE CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY MADE, EXCEPT FOR THEY BEING PARTY TO THE CONSPIRACY WHERE THE LAW REQUIRES THAT WHOM THEY CONSPIRED WITH BY NAME MUST BE LISTED AS DEFENDANTS. IT BECOMES PERSPICUOUS THAT THE JUDGES PRESENCE IN THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES IS OF SUCH A DETRIMENTAL AND PREJUDICIAL MAGNITUDE, THAT THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WARRANTING SANCTIONS, RECUSAL, DISQUALIFICATION AND THAT OUR REQUEST AND MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY BE GRANTED. WE WANT THE DEFENDANTS AMENDED IN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES, ALL THAT ARE CAPTIONED, TO REFLECT THE PARTIES AS THEY WERE ORIGINALLY INTENDED FOR THEM TO BE FILED AND CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY AND WE WANT INJUNCTION TO REQUIRE THIS. **NOTICE:** ALL THE AFOREMENTIONED ARE ISSUES ON APPEAL. WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); KOLON INDUSTRIES INC. v. E.I. DUPONT De NEMOURS & CO., 748 F3d. 160 CA4 (Va.2014); CATAWBA INDIAN NATION v. STATE, 407 S.C. 526, 756 S.E.2d. 900(S.C.2014); ARATA v. VILLAGE WEST OWNERS ASS'N INC., 2011 WL 11735004, * 2+, S.C. App.; WILSON v. GMAC MORTG. LLC, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967(DSC.2015); U.S. v. LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); GREAT AMERICAN INC. CO. v. NEXTDAY NETWORK HARDWARE CORP., 73 F.Supp. 3d. 636(2014); BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC. v. AL TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636(Va.2015); NUCAR CORP. v. BELL, 251 F.R.D. 191, 194(DSC.2008); UNITED STATES v. QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); ULUSE v. U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2009

WL 3052608(DSC.2009); ABEBE-v.-SEYMOUR, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1130660; JOHNSON-v.-UNITED-STATES-DEPT.-OF-JUSTICE, 2016 WL 4593467(D.Md.2016); HALLAL-v.-MARDEL, 2016 WL 6494411; BRAZELL v.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); BELLE-GRAIN-v.-BERTHELSEN, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 10847(DSC.2012); CARTER v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 2014 WL 5325234(DSC.2014); EPSTEIN-v.-WORLD ACCEPTANCE-CORP., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015); BENTON-v.-BURNS, 11 Fed. Appx' 328, 2011 WL 640248 CA4 (2011); BACCUS-v.-MARCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC.2014); PULLIAM-v.-ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 104 S.Ct. 1970(U.S.1984); EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880).

THE JUDGES BLOCKED THESE DEFENDANTS AS BEING LISTED ALSO AS A MEANS TO AVOID RECUSAL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS. WE OBJECT. THE PARTIES WERE ALREADY GIVEN NOTICE BY THE ACTIONS PENDING THROUGH THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS UNDER CASES 16-2299; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415 AND OTHER PLEADINGS RELATED TO THE PENDING PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH PERSONALLY WROTE THE S.C. FEDERAL ATTORNEY AND U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE INFORMING THEM OF ALL CASES ADMONISHING THEM THAT IT WOULD BE IN THEIR BEST INTEREST TO MAKE AN APPEARANCE SINCE THEY APPEARED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 NOW REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES. YOU HAVE ALL THE DEFENDANTS NAMES UNDER CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA VIA THE (34) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. THUS, THEIR NAMES RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND OR WRIT OF ERROR IN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES. ALL NAMES MUST BE LISTED INDIVIDUALLY NOT MERELY BY REFERRING TO A CASE OR AFFIDAVIT, GOODMAN-v.-PRAXAIR-INC., 494 Fed. Appx' 458, 68 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 850(4th.Cir.2007); JOHNSON-v.-MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL-INC., 2017 WL 1957071(2017); RUSSELL-v.-S.N.-SERVICING-CORPORATION, 2017 WL 1449211(N.D.Va.2017); GREEN-v.-BRADLEY COMPANY, 194 F.Supp.3d. 479 (DSC.2016).

THE DEFENDANTS THAT ARE TO BE PROPERLY LISTED TO CORRECT THIS FRAUD IN THE PREVIOUS CASES AS WELL AS CASES 17-7134; 17-7068; 17-7139 ET. AL.,; THE ANTHONY COOK CASE UNDER 8:16-cv-3327-RBH HOPEFULLY BEING PROCESSED, MUST BE LISTED IN A SIMILAR FASHION WITH A SLIGHT VARIATION DUE TO INDEPENDENT ACTION ISSUES SUBSEQUENTLY RAISED. YOUR JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND OR RE-

STRICTED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD IF ALL OF THE DEFENDANTS ARE NOT PROPERLY LISTED, AND BY THIS FRAUD THE COURT MUST REMAND TO ALLOW THIS INJUSTICE TO BE CORRECTED, U.S. v. PIERCE, 400 F3d. 176 CA4 (Va.2005); COLE v. BLANKENSHIP, 30 F2d. 211 CA4 (1929); LOUGHAN v. U.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); SMITH v. CLARK/-SMOOT/-RUSSELL,--F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015); LUCAS v. BRISTOL-CONDOMINIUMS-PROPERTY-OWNERS-ASS'N, S.E.2d., 2015 WL 3885837(S.C.App.2015); FOX-EX-REL-FOX v. ELK-RUN-COAL CO. INC., 739 F3d. 131 CA4 (2014); NELSON v. U.S. BANK N.A., 2015 WL 6852712(DSC.2015); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG-COUNTY-DEPT. OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013).

NOTICE: THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LEGAL ISSUES SUBMITTED UNDER CASE 17-6693 THAT ARE ALSO FILED FOR ALL PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES AND BY THE LITIGATION SUBMITTED THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, DO WE HAVE A RIGHT TO CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION, ALSO BY THE ATTORNEY POWERS GIVEN TO THE KING-KHALIFAH BY THE DEFAULT.

INASMUCH, THE COURT IN CASES 17-7139; 17-7134 AND 17-7068 REQUIRED WE FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND SUBMIT INFORMAL BRIEF. EVEN THOUGH THIS ISSUE IS ADDRESSED IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925 WHICH APPLIES TO ALL OF THESE CASES OF CONCERN. WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL OCTOBER 16, 2017 BECAUSE THE 6 MONTH STATEMENTS MUST BE SENT TO S.C.D.C. HEAD QUARTERS AND THE TURN AROUND TIME CAN TAKE UP UNTIL A MONTH BEFORE WE RECEIVE THEM BACK. THEREFORE, WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL THEN TO FILE THE DOCUMENT AND ANY POTENTIAL INFORMAL BRIEF OR BRIEF OF ANY KIND. NEVERTHELESS, BY ALL DOCUMENTS FILED IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, TO INCLUDE THOSE HERE WITH ATTACHED. WE MOTION TO WAIVE THE FILING FEES FOR ALL APPELLANTS IN THESE CASES DUE TO ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONVERSION DONE BY JUDGE SEYMOUR AND THE CLAIMS ARGUED WITHIN THOSE CASES WHICH ARE NOW FOR ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT.

TO ESTABLISH CAUSE OF ACTION TO RECOVER DAMAGES FOR CONVERSION, WHERE JUDGE SEYMOUR STOLE MONEY FROM ROBERT MITCHELL'S

COOPER TRUST FUND ACCOUNT, WHICH WAS MONEY OWED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH, GIVING HIM THE RIGHT OF CLAIM. A PLAINTIFF MUST SHOW LEGAL OWNERSHIP OR AN IMMEDIATE SUPERIOR RIGHT OF POSSESSION TO A SPECIFIC IDENTIFIABLE THING AND MUST SHOW THAT THE DEFENDANT(S) EXERCISED AN UNAUTHORIZED DOMINION OVER THE THING IN QUESTION TO THE EXCLUSION OF THE PLAINTIFF(S) RIGHTS. ROBERT MITCHELL OWED THAT MONEY TO THE KING-KHALIFAH GIVING US BOTH STANDING TO CHALLENGE AND SEEK SANCTION FOR THIS INJUSTICE WHICH ARE GRANTED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. ALL FILING FEES FOR ALL PARTIES ARE WAIVED. THE UNITED STATES IS IN DEFAULT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS VIA THE DEFAULT HAD NO RIGHT TO ATTACH, ARREST OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY "GRANT", "FRANCHISE", "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" ORIGINATING FROM THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH HAD RESTRICTIONS WHICH YOU VIOLATED WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. YOU HAD NO AUTHORIZATION TO GIVE THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY TO THE SODOMITES AND GOMMORAHRITES OF YOUR NATIONS. CAUSE IS ESTABLISHED. WE MOTION FOR AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION BY SOMEONE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION, KINOJUX I.R.S. V. I.R.S. INTERNATIONAL INC., 2016 WL 5936875(N.Y.2016); NAT'L CTR. FOR CRISIS MGMT., INC. V. LERNER, 91 A.D.3d. 920, 920-21, 938 N.Y.S.2d. 138, 138-39(2nd.DEPT.2012).

JUDGE KAYMANI WEST IN ONE OF THE PARALLEL APPEALS ISSUED AN ORDER ON AUGUST 23, 2017 CLAIMING SHE COULD NOT READ SOME OF THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS FILED. THE ATTACHED REFERRED TO ORDER IS NOW SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL. WE INFORMED THE COURT IN CASES 17-6969; 17-6693 AND 17-6925 ALSO ARGUED IN THE (19) PAGE INJUNCTION THAT WE ARE BORROWING A TYPEWRITER TO WHICH WE DO NOT ALWAYS HAVE ACCESS TO DUE TO STATE INTERFERENCE. JUDGE WEST ABUSED HER DISCRETION. THIS ORDER IS VACATED AND ON REMAND BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS CONSPICUOUS BY WHAT IS ARGUED IN THE (19) PAGE INJUNCTION THAT THE STATE INTERFERENCE DO INDEED RISE TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WHERE THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES DESTROYED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TYPEWRITER, SUBJECTING HIM TO

PHYSICAL ASSAULTS AND OTHER OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF RETALIATION BECAUSE THEY KNOW HE SUFFERS FROM A LIFE LONG DISABILITY TO HIS HANDS WHICH IS WHY HE TRACES HIS LETTERS IN EFFORTS TO MAKE HIS WRITING MORE LEGIBLE. THE DISABILITY TO HIS HANDS CREATED BY THE ACTS OF OFFICIAL MENTAL TORTURE HE WAS SUBJECTED TO BY THESE DEFENDANTS, ALONG WITH THE DESTRUCTION OF HIS PROPERTY THEY KNEW HE DID NEED TO AID IN ACCESS TO THE COURT, WAS DONE TO IMPEDED HIS ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND OBSTRUCT JUSTICE AND SUBJECT HIM TO INTENSE PHYSICAL PAIN THEY KNEW HE WOULD SUFFER IN WRITING BY HAND IN HOPES OF DETERRING HIM FROM FREELY EXERCISING THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS. THIS ALSO IS TANTAMOUNT TO ACTS OF PHYSICAL TORTURE IN VIOATION OF THE C.A.T. TREATY FOR WHICH THEY ARE BEING SUED FOR. THE DAMAGE TO THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HANDS IS IRREPARABLE AS THE DOCTORS HAVE REPEATEDLY SAID. JUDGE KAYMANI WEST, CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, ISSUES THIS ATTACHED ORDER WITHIN THE DISTRICT COURT WHERE IN THIS ACT OF MACHINATION SHE HAS ESSENTIALLY PENALIZED THE KING-KHALIFAH IN ACTS OF RETALIATION FOR HIS DISABILITY WHERE THIS ORDER SERVES AS A MEANS, AN OBSTACLE TO BAR OR RESTRICT HIS ACCESS TO THE COURT IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203 (a)b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. SINCE THE KING-KHALIFAH BY WAY OF HIS DISABILITY CANNOT MAKE HIS LEGAL DOCUMENTS ANY MORE LEGIBLE THAN HE HAS, SPECIFICALLY AT TIMES WHEN HE DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO A TYPEWRITER. DAMAGES ARE NOW CLEARLY SHOWN AND THE INJUNCTION THAT IS NOW SERVED ON THE LOWER COURT "MUST" BE GRANTED. THE ORDER PRODUCED BY JUDGE WEST PRODUCES EVIDENCE OF DAMAGES VIA THE DISABILITY AND BY THE STATE INTERFERENCE ARGUED IN THE INJUNCTION, IT DEMONSTRATES INDEED THAT THE STATE INTERFERENCE DOES RISE TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. THEREFORE, BY THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, THE INJUNCTION MUST AND IS GRANTED. WE MOTION THAT THE COURT INSTRUCT JUDGE WEST TO SIGN THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER. DUE TO ADA RIGHTS AND THE COMPLEXITY OF THE CASE IT MUST BE GRANTED.

JUDGE WEST, BY THE ISSUING OF SUCH AN ORDER SUBJUDICE ON AUGUST 23, 2017; THIS HAS GOT TO BE THE MOST STUPID, IGNORANT, UNREASONABLE ORDER I HAVE EVER SEEN IN MY ENTIRE LIFE. BY SUCH AN ORDER JUDGE WEST HAS ESSENTIALLY ORDERED A "BLIND MAN" TO STOP BEING BLIND. SHE HAS ORDERED A "PARALYZED MAN" TO STOP BEING PARALYZED. SHE ORDERED A "LAME MAN" WITH NO LEGS TO GET UP AND DANCE THE TENNESSEE WALTZ AND DANCE THE "CHARLESTON" , THEN DANCE A BALLET, BREAK DANCE THEN JUMP UP AND DO (20) JUMPING JACKS. JUDGE WEST IN AN EGREGIOUS MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE HAS ORDERED A DISABLED PLAINTIFF, THE KING-KHALIFAH, TO STOP BEING DISABLED IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, WHICH IS LUDICROUS, UNREASONABLE AND CRIMINAL IN ACTS OF RETALIATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. BY SUCH ACTION, LEGAL COUNSEL MUST BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT THE CLASS MEMBERS TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES FROM OCCURRING. WE MOTION FOR SUCH, BUT THE KING-KHALIFAH DO NOT WAIVE HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION. LET COUNSEL ACT AS STAND-BY TO ASSIST THE KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUCH MATTERS AS FILING, TYPING OR ANY OTHER MATTER THAT MAY STAND AS AN OBSTACLE DUE TO THE DISABILITY IN HIS HANDS CAUSED BY THESE DEFENDANTS. THE KING-KHALIFAH SHALL RETAIN HIS RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION WHILE LEGAL COUNSEL BE APPOINTED IN FULLNESS FOR THE OTHER CLASS MEMBERS. BY THE DEFAULT AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE KING REMAIN AS LEAD PLAINTIFF. THE APPOINTING OF LEGAL COUNSEL AND THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION NOW BECOMES MANDATORY. **IT IS SO ORDERED,** LASKY v. MORRISTOWN T.P., 425 N.J. SUPER. 530, 42 A.3d. 202 (N.J.2012); RULE 23(g) Fed. RULES OF PRO.; ROYSTERS v. NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE, 439 N.J. SUPER. 554, 110 A.3d. 934(N.J.2015); CATTUNA v. SARA LEE CORP., A.2d., 2010 WL 3418354 (2010); BARTLETT v. NEW YORK STATE BD. OF LAW EXAMINERS, 970 F.Supp. 1094 (S.D.N.Y.1997); KIMEL, 528 U.S. at 86, 120 S.Ct. 631; FERRELL V. ESTELLE, 568 F2d. 1128, 1132-1133 CA5 (1978); PEOPLE v. RIVERA , 125 MISC.2d. 516, 528, 480 N.Y.S.2d. 426, 434 (Sup.Ct.1984); TENNESSEE v. LANE, 541 U.S. 509, 124 S.Ct. 1978, 158 L.Ed.2d. 820(2004); BABY NEAL FOR AND BY KANTER v. CASEY, 43 F3d. 48 (3rd.Cir.1994); LACKY v. GREENTREE, 330 S.C. 388, 498 S.E.2d.

898 (S.C.1998).

NOTICE: THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DUE TO THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES. DO WE MEET THE CRITERION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, THE COMPLEXITY OF THE CASE, AND DUE TO THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE?

42 U.S.C.A. § 12203 PROVIDES:

* 4 CHAPTER 126 OF TITLE 42 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE IS COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) SECTION 42 U.S.C. § 12101 ET. SEQ. SECTION 12203 OF THE ADA, WHICH AIMS TO PROHBIT **RETALIATION AND COERCION"** PROVIDES:

(a) **RETALIATION;**

NO PERSON SHALL DISCRIMINATE AGAINST ANY INDIVIDUAL BECAUSE SUCH INDIVIDUAL HAS OPPOSED ANY ACT OF PRACTICE MADE LAWFUL BY THIS CHAPTER (WHICH INCLUDE ACCESS TO THE COURTS) OR BECAUSE SUCH INDIVIDUAL MADE A CHARGE, TESTIFIED (AS WE DO AGAINST THESE DEFENDANTS SEEKING SANCTIONS), ASSERTED OR PARTICIPATED IN ANY MANNER IN AN INVESTIGATION (SUCH AS THE ONE WE PRESENTLY SEEK BEFORE THE COURT), OR PROCEEDING, OR HEARING UNDER THIS CHAPTER.

(b) **INTERFERENCE, COERCION OR INTIMIDATION:** IT SHALL BE UNLAWFUL TO COERCE, INTIMIDATE, THREATEN, OR INTERFERE WITH ANY INDIVIDUAL IN THE EXERCISE OR ENJOYMENT OF, OR ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OR HER HAVING ENJOYED, OR ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OR HER HAVING AIDED OR ENCOURAGED ANY OTHER INDIVIDUAL IN THE EXERCISE OR ENJOYMENT OF, ANY RIGHT GRANTED OR PROTECTED (RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS) BY THIS CHAPTER. THE PLAINTIFFS IN THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES WERE AIDING THE KING-KHALIFAH IN THE EXERCISE AND ENJOYMENT OF HIS CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHT OF FREEDOM OF RELIGION, ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND BY THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES VIA THE DEFAULT, FOREIGN SOVEREIGN RIGHTS UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT.

THE REMEDIES AND PROCEDURES AVAILABLE UNDER SECTION 12117, 12133 AND 12188 OF THE TITLE SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO AGGRIEVED PERSONS FOR VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (a) AND (b) OF THIS SECTION WITH RESPECT TO SUBCHAPTER 1, SUBCHAPTER 11 AND SUBCHAPTER 14 OF THIS CHAPTER. THE KING REMAINS PROPRIA PERSONA. WE RENEW THE DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL CHARGES AND A FULL INVESTIGATION BY AN INDEPENDENT AGENT NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION . THE PARTIES ARE CONSPIRING TO AVOID PROSECUTION, SUIT, AND THE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS. THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE RICO ACT. **NOTICE:** THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT IS ON APPEAL, WALKER v.-BEAUMONT-INDERENDENT-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 2016 WL 6666833; CABI v.-BOSTON-CHILDREN'S-HOSPITAL, 161 F.Supp.3d. 136(2016). FOR THE COURT TO NOT INSTRUCT THE JUDGES TO SIGN THE INJUNCTION WOULD DEMONSTRATE A CONSCIOUSNESS OF GUILT, EARLY-v.-STATE, S.E.2d.,--2016 WL 6092514(Sup.Ct.2016); STATE-v.-TONEY, S.E.2d., 2014 WL 2575415(2014); STATE-v.-INMAN, 395 S.C. 539, 720 S.E.2d. 31(2011).

INSOMUCH, THE LAW FIRMS AND OR ATTORNEYS THAT WE'VE SPOKEN TO THAT WOULD BE WILLING TO TAKE THESE CASES UPON THE ISSUING OF AN ORDER FROM THE COURT ARE:

(1) EVANS, MOORE LLC (843) 995-5000;

(2) DAVIDSON AND LINDERMANN P.A. (803) 806-8222;

(3) NELSON, MULLINS WHO ALSO HAVE A PRO BONO COMMITTEE , JAMES ROLLINS (617) 573-4722 OR NORAH ROGERS (803) 255-9546 THEIR "800" NUMBER IS 1-800-237-2000.

ANY OF THESE ATTORNEYS CAN BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT THE CLASS MEMBERS AND OR ACT AS STAND-BY FOR THE KING-KHALIFAH AS HE PARTAKES IN HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION . THE STATE AND OR FEDERAL INTERFERENCE IN THIS INSTANCE RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WHERE THESE JUDGES ARE TRYING TO RESTRICT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ACCESS TO THE COURT ESSENTIALLY PENALIZING HIM IN ACTS OF RETALIATION DUE TO HIS DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA. WE SEEK SANC-

TIONS. WE ALSO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, CHANEY-v.-LEWIS, 801 F2d. 1191, 1196 (9th.Cir.1986); KNAUBERT-v.-GOLDSMITH, 791 F2d. 722(9th.Cir. 1986); TOWNSEND-v.-SAIN, 372 U.S. at 313, 83 S.Ct. 757; ANDERSON v.-HEIZE, 358 F2d. 479, 481 (9th.Cir.) CERT. DENIED 358 U.S. 889, 79 S.Ct. 131, 3 L.Ed.2d. 116 (1958); BASQUA-v.-COUNCIL, 186 N.J. 127, 142 (2008); MONROE-v.-PAPE, 365 U.S. 167, 183, 81 S.Ct. 473, 482, 5 L.Ed.2d. 492(1961); RATSY-v.-BOARD-OF-REGENTS-OF-STATE-OF-FLORIDA, 457 U.S. 500, 102 S.Ct. 2557 (1962); ALTMAN, 541 U.S. 677(U.S.2004).

WE MOTION FOR A REHEARING EN BANC IN CASE 17-1415 WHICH SHALL BE GRANTED BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT THESE CASES NOW BEING OFFICIALLY ON REMAND FROM THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. ALL ORDERS ISSUED IN CASES 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415 DUE TO STRUCTURAL ERROR ARE VOID AND VACATED. ALL OF THESE CASES ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT, RESTRICTING, LIMITING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF THAT IS DEMANDED WITHIN THESE CASES FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

(1) JUDGE GREGORY AND DIAZ ACTED IN RETALIATION BECAUSE WE PEEPED THE MOVE THEY INTENDED TO DO IN CASE 17-6960 SO WE MOTIONED FOR THEIR RECUSAL. IN RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) THEY COMPROMISED SHEDD AND MADE A FRAUDULENT RULING TO GET AHEAD OF US MOTIONING FOR THEIR RECUSAL IN THIS CASE.

(2) THEIR JUDGMENT CONFLICTS WITH A RECENT DECISION MADE BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359 (U.S.2016).

WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CASES THE 4th. CIRCUIT JUDGES CITED RELATED TO RECUSAL SUCH AS 811 F2d. 818. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CASES MARCHANT AND AUSTIN CITED RELATED TO RECUSAL SUCH AS: 384 U.S. 563; 988 F2d. 1335; 581 F2d. 1114; 946 F2d. 335; 15 F3d. 319; 2012 WL 2805717 WHERE THESE JUDGES CONSPIRED IN COURTS

TO WHICH THEY HAD NO JURISDICTION TO MAKE THE CLAIMS APPEAR FRIVOLOUS WHEN THEY WERE NOT VIA THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CASES JUDGES WEST AND BAKER CITED RELATED TO RECUSAL- 882 F2d. 913; 733 F2d. 304; 691 F2d. 666; 726 F2d. 158; 581 F2d. 1114; 510 U.S. 540; 330 F3d. 658. ALL THESE, INCLUDING THE GRISMORE CASE THAT WAS OVER RULED BY THAT SAME CIRCUIT. ALL OF THESE CASES THE JUDGES IN TOTAL CITED AT BEST, THE LATEST CITED CASE IS FROM 2012. THE REMAINDER OF THEM ARE FROM THE 80'S THROUGH 2003. THE JUDGES ARE ATTEMPTING TO NULLIFY U.S. SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED IN 2016 WITH LOWER COURT CASES OVER (20) YEARS OLD AND THAT ARE NOT FROM THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT; ATTEMPTING TO GIVE THE LOWER, LESSER COURTS, POWER OVER THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ITSELF. WE OBJECT. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(U.S.2016) GAVE CLARITY TO THESE EARLIER CITED CASES. THE LOWER COURTS CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO OVER RIDE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WITH OLD CASES TO RENDER VOID OR WATER DOWN THEIR RULINGS MADE IN 2016. I, WE OBJECT. THE CRITERION ESTABLISHED BY THE WILLIAMS CASE IS (3) PRONG TO WHICH IF ONLY ONE OF THESE EXIST, RECUSAL BECOMES MANDATORY. THEY ARE: (1) A JUDGE CANNOT SIT UPON HIS OWN CASE; (2) HE CANNOT SIT IF HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME; (3) HE CANNOT SIT IF THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. EXTRA-JUDICIAL SOURCE FOR BIAS IS NOT THE STANDARD FOR RECUSAL AS WAS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE AFOREMENTIONED ARE THE (3) PRONG STANDARD AND CRITERION. ALL (3) OF THESE EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES TO WHICH THESE JUDGES ARE DEFENDANTS, TO INCLUDE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY WARRANTING SANCTIONS AND RECUSAL, BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md. 2017); PEGG-v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); JOHNSON v.-BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); GRAHAM-v.-GAYRON, 831 F3d. 176 (4th.Cir.2016); BERGER-v. U.S., 255 U.S. 22, 41 S.Ct. 230, 65 L.Ed. 481(U.S.1921); CANADA v.-MILLER, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1512245(2014); BOOTH v.-BALLARD, 2016 WL 1275054(2016)(DEMONSTRATING THAT A HEARING MUST OCCUR IN THE DISTRICT COURT); UNITED STATES v. QUINONES,--F.Supp.3d.--,2016 WL 4413149(2016).

NO MAN CAN TRY A CASE TO WHICH HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME. EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS WAS ONLY **"ONE OUT OF MANY"** EXAMPLES THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS REFERRING TO AND ADDRESSING. WHEN THE OBJECTIVE RISK OF ACTUAL BIAS **"OF ANY KIND"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, THE FAILURE TO RECUSE IS **"[N]OT"** A HARMLESS ERROR BECOMING STRUCTURAL IN NATURE WHICH VOID'S THE COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. JUDGES DIAZ AND GREGORY WERE REQUIRED TO RECUSE THEMSELVES AS WERE THE LOWER COURT JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

WATCH THE LANGUAGE USED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. "ON THE QUESTION OF RECUSAL, THE COURT ASKS **"[N]OT"** WHETHER A JUDGE HARBORS AN ACTUAL BIAS (FOR EXAMPLE, JUDICIAL BIAS AS IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ASSERTED), BUT **"INSTEAD"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) WHETHER, AS AN OBJECTIVE MATTER THERE IS A **"[P]OTENTIAL"** FOR BIAS OF **"ANY KIND"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL", WHICH IS CONSPICUOUS THAT SUCH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL DOES EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES AS IS EVIDENCED BY WHAT OCCURRED INVOLVING THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN, CAPEATON-v.-A.T.-MASSEY-COAL-CO.-INC., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208 (U.S.2009); JOHNSON-v.-STEVENSON, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); KENWOOD-GARDENS CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-v.-WHALEN-PROPERTIES,-LLC., 2016 WL 6788052, * 11+ (Md.2016).

(3) EVERY WRIT OF MANDAMUS RULING IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION BY THE STRUCTURAL ERROR WHERE EVERY MANDAMUS RULING WAS RENDERED BY INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT WHICH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT DEMANDED AS A PRE-REQUISITE FOR ENTRY INTO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. EVEN THE CASES JUDGES GREGORY, DIAZ AND SHEDD CITED VIOLATE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES, INCLUDING IN-RE:-BEARD, 811 F2d. 818 IS TAINTED AS WELL WHICH VOID THE ORDER IN CASE 17-1415. THE CASES ARE ILLEGAL AS IS ANY ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415, AS WELL AS ANY ORDER ISSUED WITHIN ALL OF THESE CASES LISTED

WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT FOR THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES JUST AS IS ARGUED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925. THIS WILL BE ELABORATED ON LATER WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT.

REFERRING BACK TO THE ISSUE OF THE JUDGES. THE JUDGES BLOCKED THE DEFENDANTS FROM BEING LISTED PROPERLY AS A MEANS TO AVOID RECUSAL. PER THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S ENTRY NUMBER (16) FILED AUGUST 8, 2017 IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM. WE GAVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL WITHIN (14) DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THAT ORDER ONLY TO BE SUBJECTED TO FRAUD UPON THE COURTS INVOLVING ZANCHELLI AND THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT. A SIMILAR SITUATION OCCURRED IN CASE 1:17-cv-1468-HMH-SVH TO WHICH WE OBJECT. THE ORDER ISSUED BY MARCHANT ACTED AS A FINAL ORDER ON THEIR FAILURE TO RECUSE AND TRANSFER VENUE; ADDING ANTHONY COOK AS PARTY DUE TO REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1)(WHERE WE ARE DEFENDANTS RELATED TO CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS), 2679, 1602-1612 ET SEQ.(WHICH IS WHY MARCHANT IN THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PREVENTED REMOVAL TO PREVENT THE EVIDENCE IN THAT CASE FROM ENTERING THE COURT WHICH WE OBJECT TO); CONSOLIDATION (WHICH IS ANOTHER REASON THEY LISTED THE DEFENDANTS INCORRECTLY TO DENY CONSOLIDATION WHICH WE OBJECT TO); THE JUDGES SEPARATING US; THEY MAKING USE OF THE PLRA AND OR THE AEDPA; DENIAL OF CHANGE OF VENUE AND CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION. THUS, WE IMMEDIATELY SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL THE ORDERS IN BOTH CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 1:17-1468-HMH-SVH OR IT WOULD HAVE CAUSED IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THEY BEGAN. THIS DIVESTED BOTH COURTS OF JURISDICTION PENDING THAT REVIEW.

THE JUDGES ARE THE ONES WHO IN ACTS OF MACHINATION SEPARATED US IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THIS IS WHY THE PARALLEL CASES EXIST AND WHY THE KING-KHALIFAH, CRAWFORD, HAD TO HAVE HIMSELF ADDED AS A PARTY IN EACH OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES TO PROTECT HIS INTEREST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. WHICH IS PERMITTED UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES ALSO BEING THE FIDUCIARY HEIR. SEPARATE

FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS WERE FILED TO ADDRESS ANY CONCERN IN THIS AREA. WE MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES IN BOTH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT TO REFLECT THAT CRAWFORD IS PARTY IN EACH INDIVIDUAL CASE AND THE NAMES OF THE DEFENDANTS BE LISTED AS THOSE CONTAINED IN THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE IN QUESTION. THIS IS PARALLEL LITGATION WHERE RES JUDICATA ATTACHES.

WE WANT THE COURTS TO PAY SPECIAL ATTENTION TO THE (23) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 8, 2017 AND THE (14) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 2, 2017 SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT AND FILED IN CASES 9:17-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. WE EXPAND THE SCOPE AND INCLUDE THESE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE TO BE FILED IN ALL CASES LISTED IN THE CAPTION TO ADDRESS ISSUES OF COMITY, PARALLEL LITIGATION, RES JUDICATA, CHANGE OF VENUE AND DISQUALIFICATION OF THE JUDGES INVOLVED. SEE U.S. EX REL. KNIGHT v. RELIANT HOSPICE INC., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 1321584(DSC. 2011); HARBISON v. BELL, 556 U.S. 180, 129 S.Ct. 1481(U.S.2009); MIDDLETON v. NISSAN MOTOR CO. LTD., F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 3612572 (DSC.2012); SOUTHERN PAC. R. CO. v. U.S., 168 U.S. 1, 18 S.Ct. 18, 42 L.Ed. 355(U.S.1897); NOELL CRANE SYSTEMS GMbH v. NOELL CRANE SYSTEMS SERVICES, 667 F.Supp.2d. 852(2009); KNIGHT v. MANUFACTURERS & TRADERS TRUST CO., F.Supp.3d. 436(D.Md.2015); BARDES v. SOUTH CAROLINA, F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 1498190(DSC.2010).

NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. YOU HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT INVOLVING PARTIES IN BOTH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT. WE OBJECT. PURSUANT TO FEDERAL APPELLATE COURT RULE, RULE 4(d). ZANCHELLI OR ANY OTHER 4TH. CIRCUIT CLERK SHOULD HAVE NEVER IN ACTS OF CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, HELD UP THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM TO ALLOW JUDGE MARCHANT TO PRODUCE A FRAUDULENTly OBTAINED REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE MATTERS RELATED TO THESE APPEALS. A SIMILAR SITUATION OCCURRED UNDER CASE 1:17-cv-1468-HMH-SVH WHERE THE CONSPIRING JUDGES IGNORED THE FIRST NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL TO CONCEAL THEIR CRIMES, THEREUPON CLOSING THE CASE WHEN THEIR JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED. THEREAFTER,

THEY SENT UP THE APPEAL BASED UPON A SUBSEQUENT LETTER AND OR DOCUMENT, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT HOLDING THEIR NOTICE, TO ALLOW THE DISTRICT COURT TO CLOSE THAT CASE BEFORE IT WAS SENT UP FOR APPEAL WHEN THEIR JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED BY THE FIRST NOTICE. WE OBJECT.

WE RENEW THE PREVIOUS FILED MOTIONS AND SEEK ADDITIONAL SANCTIONS TO REMEDY THIS EGREGIOUS INJUSTICE AND ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS. WE WANT CASE 1:17-cv-1468-HMH-SVH REINSTATED, OPENED, AND WE WANT THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ISSUED BY MARCHANT RENDERED VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. EVIDENCE BY THE ACTIONS OF THE CLERKS WOULD SERVE TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES WHOM RECUSAL IS SOUGHT FOR ARE INVOLVED. WE RENEW THE MOTION FOR THE JUDGES RECUSAL AND DISQUALIFICATION OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, TO INCLUDE RENEWING THE MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE ALL CASES INVOLVING THE KING-KHALIFAH AND PLAINTIFFS AND VENUE BE TRANSFERRED TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY. WE SEEK BY SANCTION THAT NOT ONLY THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, BUT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AS WELL BE IN FORFEITURE OF PRESENTING IN ANY MANNER THE LEGAL STANCE, POSITION OR ADJUDICATION THAT WAS PRODUCED IN BOTH CASES SUBJUDICE AND OR IS CONTAINED WITHIN THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ISSUED BY MARCHANT IN ANY FORM. EVEN IF THE COURTS ATTEMPT TO MODIFY THE LANGUAGE IN ANY MANNER TO MAKE THOSE SAME CLAIMS CONTAINED THEREIN. THEIR JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED AND THE FRAUD TAINTS THE ACTIONS RENDERING THEM VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, ALSO FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, U.S. v. LAWRENCE SUPRA.; IN-RE:-WALLACE, 649 Fed. Appx' 298(MEM)(4th.Cir.2016); IN-RE:-WHOLESALE-GROCERY-PRODUCTS-ANTITRUST-LITIGATION, 849 F3d. 761, 96 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 1207(8th.Cir.2017); IN-RE:-DUROSER, 2015 WL 4068243(N.D.N.Y.2015); BROWN-v.-ELI-LILLY-AND-CO., 654 F3d. 347(2nd.Cir.2011); SHANKLIN-v.-SEALS, 461 Fed. Appx' 313, 2012 WL 29186(4th.Cir.2012); RUSS-v.-HEWITT, 69 Fed. Appx' 185, 2003 WL 21546021(4th.Cir.2003); KAMP-v.-WARDEN-OF-GRAHAM-C.I., 2014 WL 6809522(DSC.2014); ASHCROFT-v.-IQBAL, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937(U.S.2009); UNITED-STATES-v.-ROGERS, 101 F3d. 247(2nd.Cir.1996). WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE

4TH. CIRCUIT.

THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PRODUCE CONCRETE EVIDENCE, THAT OUR CLAIM AS TO WHY THEY INCORRECTLY LISTED THE DEFENDANTS IN THE CIVIL ACTIONS THE WAY THEY HAD THEM LISTED WAS TRUE AND NOT A CONCLUSORY CLAIM, SO WE OBJECT TO THIS STATEMENT MADE BY MARCHANT IN THAT REPORT. WE WARNED THE 4TH. CIRCUIT OF THEIR INTENTIONS BEFORE THEY ACTED WHICH ARE NOW CLEARLY MANIFESTED REQUIRING SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURTS AND VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PRODUCED BY MARCHANT AND THE ACTS OF HODGES ETC. IN CASE 1:17-cv-1468 PROVES WE WERE CORRECT AND THE PRESENCE OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES ESTABLISH THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS THEIR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE OBJECT, IANNELLI v. U.S., 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d. 616; BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC v. AL TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S. v. STERLING, 724 F3d. 482 CA4 (Va.2013); WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016); BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md. 2017); CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN BANK N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); CALDWELL v. WINQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC. 2014); GRUPO SUPRA, 124 S.Ct.1920(U.S.2004).

REFERRING BACK TO THE ISSUE OF BIAS REGARDING THE JUDGES. THE (14) PAGE AND (23) PAGE DOCUMENTS THEMSELVES, ALONE, EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE MANY OTHERS TO INCLUDE THOSE IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 MARCHANT IS CONSPIRING TO PREVENT FROM BEING REVEALED, AND OTHERS TO WHICH THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES ALONG WITH THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORR. ARE CONSPIRING TO BLOCK FILING, ARE MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TO NOT ONLY PROVE REMOVAL UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. THEY ALSO PROVE BOTH OVER-WHELMING "PERSONAL" AS WELL AS "JUDICIAL" BIAS. WHEN YOU HAVE THE JUDGES GOING OUT OF THEIR WAY TO CALL US "RACIST", "VULGAR" AND "SEXIST"? THIS PROVES JUDGE MARCHANT LIED IN FRAUD WHEN

HE SAID IN THE REPORT THAT THE ACTION WAS "INCOMPREHENSIBLE", BECAUSE THE COURT IN CASE 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW DID NOT MAKE THIS CLAIM AND FURTHER AFFIRMED THAT THE PLEADING CONSTITUTE AN "AFFIDAVIT". SUCH ASSESSMENT COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DONE IF THE PLEADINGS WERE "INCOMPREHENSIBLE". WE OBJECT. RES JUDICATA ATTACHES FROM JUDGE KAYMANI WEST. WHAT MORE DO YOU NEED TO ESTABLISH "PERSONAL" BIAS. "PERSONAL" IS DEFINED AS---PERTAINING TO, OR CONCERNING A PARTICULAR PERSON; REFERRING OR DIRECTED TO A PARTICULAR PERSON IN AN OFFENSIVE MANNER; PERTAINING TO THE BODY, CLOTHING OR APPEARANCE (PHYSICALLY OR CHARACTERISTICALLY). WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE MOTION TO REINSTATE CASE 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW, THE ORDER PRODUCED FROM IT; THE REPORT AND WHAT MARCHANT AND WOOTEN DID IN BOTH CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; WHAT JUDGES HARWELL AND AUSTIN DID IN CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA; WHAT THE JUDGES DID IN CASE 1:17-cv-01468-HMH-SVH, IGNORING THE INITIAL NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL AND CLOSING THAT CASE; WHAT THEY DID TO david duren IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CONSPIRING WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL; ALSO WHAT JUDGE BAKER DID IN CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB AND THE PLEADINGS IN THEIR TOTALITY. IT IS CONSPICUOUS THAT IT HAS GOTTEN SERIOUSLY PERSONAL BETWEEN THE JUDGES AND PLAINTIFFS, SO MUCH THAT THE JUDGES ARE ENGAGING IN CONSTANT ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY. WE OBJECT TO JUDGE MARCHANT'S CLAIMS THAT THE STATE CASE, 2013-CP-400-0084 DO NOT BEAR ON OR HAVE ANY CONNECTION TO THE CLAIMS BEING MADE OR THAT IT DOESN'T WARRANT REMOVAL TO ESTABLISHED THE FACTS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1)(DEFENDANT IN A CRIMINAL CASE/ CONVICTION ISSUES), 2679 (PROPERTY INFRINGMENT BY THE UNITED STATES), 1602-1612 (FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT CLAIMS) WHICH IS WHY HE DO NOT WANT THIS EVIDENCE TO ENTER THE COURT. IT WILL INDISPUTABLY PROVE THE FACTS. LETS SEE. ORDER THE ISSUING OF THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER. ALONG WITH THE (23) AND (14) PAGE DOCUMENTS RECENTLY FILED. THEY HIGHLIGHT THE REPEATED, CONTINUOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY WHICH IS WHY JUDGE MARCHANT IS CONSPIRING TO PREVENT THE REMOVAL OF THE STATE CASE. WE OBJECT. WE MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF THE JUDGES AND

CHANGE OF VENUE TO BE GRANTED BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT VIA THESE PARALLEL APPEALS AND OR RELATED CASES. WE WANT CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION FOR THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY, IN RE: NAT. FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION, 775 F3d. 570, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 563(3rd.Cir.2014); IN RE: WHOLESALE GROCERY PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION SUPRA.; ALILA KATITA V. U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 2016 WL 4992464(N.D. Cal.2016); FLEISCHMAN V. ALBANY MEDICAL CENTER, 639 F3d. 28, 79 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 494(2nd.Cir.2011); SCOTT V. CLARKE, 61 F.Supp.3d. 569(W.D.Va.2014); BUMGARNER V. N.C.D.C., 276 F.R.D. 452(N.C.2011); BURNETT V. TAHEY, 699 F3d. 804(MEM)(4th.Cir. 2012); KARSJENS V. PIPER, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 24613(8th Cir. 2017).

EVEN THOUGH THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION SUBMITTED BY JUDGE MARCHANT IS TAINTED BY FRAUD AND CAN'T BE USED. WE OBJECT TO MARCHANT IN THE REPORT STATING THAT THE DOCUMENT CONTAINS DISPARAGING AND INFLAMMATORY LANGUAGE; THAT THE CASE CAN BE CHARACTERIZED AS "BUSSWORDS" OR "LEGAL GIBBERISH", CITING ROCHESTER V. MCKIE, 2011 WL 2671228(DSC.2011) AND YOCUM V. SUMMER , 1991 WL 171389 (N.D.Ill.1991). FIRST, THESE CASES ARE VOID DUE TO THEIR USE OF THE PLRA AND OR AEDPA WHICH ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THIS RENDERS HIS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION VOID FOR MAKING USE OF SUCH CITINGS OF LAW. SECONDLY, THE USE OF THESE CASES IS MISPLACED AND IS LIKE COMPARING "APPLES TO ORANGES". THERE IS NOTHING IN THE CASES CITING BY THE JUDGE THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT THOSE CASES INVOLVED PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS, OR CLAIMS INVOLVING MATTERS OF PUBLIC, SOCIAL, RELIGIOUS OR POLITICAL INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY WHERE BY THOSE CASES DO NOT INVOLVE PROTECTED SPEECH. SINCE THIS IS THE CASE FOR THE PLAINTIFFS FILINGS; THUS, THE LANGUAGE CONTAINED THEREIN IS "PROTECTED SPEECH" AND CANNOT BE CHARACTERIZED AS "BUSSWORDS" OR "LEGAL GIBBERISH". "GIBBERISH", IS DEFINED AS---CONFUSING, UNITELLIGIBLE OR MEANINGLESS SPEECH OR LANGUAGE. OUR PLEADINGS CANNOT BE CHARACTERIZED AS SUCH. JUDGE MARCHANT ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT IN HIS EFFORTS TO KEEP THE EVIDENCE FOUND UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FROM COMING INTO THE COURT AND BEING MADE A PART OF THE COURT RECORD.

FUTHERMORE, WE TAKE YOU TO ISAIAH 14:29 (THE AUTHORIZED KING JAMES BIBLE), THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION. IT READS: "REJOICE NOT THOU, WHOLE PALESTINA, BECAUSE THE ROD OF HIM THAT SMOTE THEE IS BROKEN (MEANING THIS MAN WILL COME AT A TIME WHEN THE PALESTINIANS ARE SUBJECT TO APARTHEID AS THEY ARE NOW. ISRAEL, IS THE "ROD". IT IS BROKEN [STOPPED] BECAUSE I AM BOTH THEIR KING-KHALIFAH, THE FIDUCIARY HEIR.); FOR OUT OF THE "SERPENT'S ROOT" (SATAN'S ATTACK UPON MY LIFE. "ROOT"---MEANING SOURCE, ORIGIN OR CAUSE. "CAUSE" IS FURTHER DEFINED AS---"CAUSE FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW", "PRISON".) . SHALL COME A "COCKATRICE"--MEANING A BOLD, ARDENT, STOCKY, "PERNICIOUS" (EMPHASIS ADDED) FELLOW.); AND HIS "FRUIT" (THAT WHICH COMES FORTH FROM HIM, THAT 7 TIMES THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH THING FORETOLD BY PROPHESY TO COME), SHALL BE THAT OF A "FIERY FLYING SERPENT". MY PERSONALITY, WHICH INCLUDES MY SPEECH, IS ESTABLISHED AND PROTECTED BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT TEN THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE I WAS BORN. IT IS AN "ACT OF GOD" ORDAINED UNDER CONTRACT PROTECTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. THE DETERMINATION MADE BY JUDGE MARCHANT MUST BE REJECTED WHERE SUCH ACCEPTANCE WOULD BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND PENALIZE THE KING-KHALIFAH FOR AN "ACT OF GOD" WHICH IS IMPERMISSIBLE BY LAW. THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE OR UNMAKE THE CONTRACT AFTER THE FACT WITH EX POST FACTO LAW. SUCH WOULD BE A MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE, PEUGH-v.-U.S., 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W. 4372(2013); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); GRAHAM-v.-McFADDEN, 2015 WL 505536 (DSC.2015); OGDEN-v.-SANDERS, 25 U.S. 213 (1827); TRUSTEES-OF CONCINNATI-SOUTHERN-RY-v.-PORTER, 21 OHIO N.P. (N3) 441, 1918 WL 96530.

IN FURTHER ADDRESSING THIS MATTER, AND THE OUTRAGEOUS ACTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, FRAUD UPON THE COURTS IN JURISDICTIONS WHERE THEY HAD NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING EXTRA-TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION CLAIMS. PART OF THE REASON THE JUDGES INVOLVED DID WHAT THEY DID, WAS BECAUSE THEY DID NOT LIKE THE WAY THEY WERE TALKED TO, DEMONSTRATING "PERSONAL" AS WELL AS "JUDICIAL" BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTI-

TUTIONAL LEVEL, WHICH IS EVIDENT BY WHAT MARCHANT SAID IN THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION. THE JUDGES INVOLVED DID THE ACTS IN RETALIATION AGAINST US IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) ; 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AND IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. JUST LIKE THE DEFINITION OF PERSONAL BIAS DICTATES. THE JUDGES RETALIATED AGAINST US, INCLUDING MARCHANT, WOOTEN, WEST AND AUSTIN, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REGULATING AND RESTRICTING OUR SPEECH, BECAUSE THEY FOUND IT PERSONAL, INFLAMMATORY, DISPARAGING, AND OFFENSIVE. THEIR ACTIONS WOULD CHILL A PERSON OF ORDINARY FIRMNESS FROM CONTINUING TO ENGAGE IN THE PROTECTED SPEECH. LOOSE, FIGURATIVE OR HYPERBOLIC LANGUAGE IS PROTECTED WHERE THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES INVOLVE MATTERS OF PUBLIC, SOCIAL, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY PRODUCING PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS. THE USE OF ROCHESTER AND YOCUM ARE MISPLACED. THERE WAS NO PHYSICAL THREAT MADE AGAINST ANY OF THESE JUDGES. THE JUDGES SHOULD HAVE NEVER DONE THE ACTS THEY'VE DONE IN THESE CASES IN RETALIATION WARRANTING RECUSAL AND SANCTIONS, SABATINI v. REINSTEIN, --F.Supp. 3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); HALE v. EMPORIA STATE UNIVERSITY, 2016 WL 3277264(D.Kan.2016); LAROCHELLE v. WILMAC CORPORATION, 210 F.Supp.3d. 658(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE v. RECTOR AND VISITORS OF GEORGIA MASON UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D.Va. 2016); SNYDER v. PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir2009); BALTIMORE SPORTS & SOCIAL CLUB, INC. v. SPORT & SOCIAL, LLC, 2017 WL 526499, * 3+, D.Md.; SCIRIO v. BLACK CAT BAIL BONDS, 2010 WL 1463470, * 4 (DSC.2010); MORRIS v. DORMA AUTOMATIC S. INC, 537 Fed. Appx' 254, 255 (4th.Cir.[S.C.] 2013); JOHNSON v. BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); BERGER v. U.S, 255 U.S. 22, 41 S.Ct. 230, 65 L.Ed. 481(U.S.1921); CANADA v. MILLER, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1512245(2014); BOOTH v. BALLARD, 2016 WL 1275054(2016)(DEMONSTRATING EVIDENTIARY HEARING MUST OCCUR).

NOTICE: THESE ISSUES ARE ON APPEAL. CAN THE KING-KHALIFAH ACT AS ATTORNEY FOR OTHER INMATES WHO ARE SUBJECTS UNDER THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES? WHAT IS "JAHJAH AL MAHDI, CRAWFORD'S" STATUS AT THE TIME OF FILING THESE CASES? DID JUDGE MARCHANT ABUSE HIS DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT WHEN HE ASSERTED

THAT THERE WAS NO RELIEF AVAILABLE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. IN HIS EFFORTS TO PREVENT THE EVIDENCE FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FROM ENTERING THE FEDERAL COURT?

FIRST, YOU ARE DEALING WITH THE FRAMING OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN. THE KING-KHALIFAH IS A DEFENDANT IN A CRIMINAL CASE WHERE HIS DUE PROCESS MATTERS WERE COMPROMISED ON DIRECT APPEAL GIVING WAY TO HABEAS CORPUS WHICH PRESENTLY EXIST UNDER CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM SOUGHT CONSOLIDATED TO THE EXISTING PARALLEL § 1983 CASES ARGUING THAT PROCESS WAS CORRUPTED BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. THUS THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. § 1443(1) DO ATTACH AS A DEFENDANT WHICH IS ALSO PRESENTED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL CASES.

SECONDLY, WE TAKE YOU TO THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION UNDER ISAIAH 11:1-5. IT READS: "AND THERE SHALL COME FORTH A "ROD" (A KING/ SOVEREIGN) OUT OF THE STEM OF JESSE (KING DAVID'S FATHER) AND A "BRANCH" (A DESCENDENT) SHALL GROW OUT OF HIS ROOTS (THE KING-KHALIFAH IS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR). AND THE SPIRIT OF THE LORD SHALL REST UPON HIM, THE SPIRIT OF WISDOM AND UNDERSTANDING, THE SPIRIT OF COUNSEL (JAHJAH AL MAHDI POSSESSES ATTORNEY POWERS) AND MIGHT, THE SPIRIT OF KNOWLEDGE AND OF THE FEAR OF THE LORD; AND SHALL MAKE HIM OF QUICK UNDERSTANDING IN THE FEAR OF THE LORD: AND HE SHALL NOT JUDGE (JAHJAH AL MAHDI IS A JUDGE) AFTER THE SIGHT OF HIS EYES, NEITHER REPROVE AFTER THE HEARING OF HIS EARS: BUT WITH RIGHTEOUSNESS SHALL HE JUDGE THE POOR, AND REPROVE WITH EQUITY (JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS) FOR THE MEEK OF THE EARTH: AND HE SHALL SMITE THE EARTH (MEANING MY POWER AND AUTHORITY IS GLOBAL) WITH THE ROD OF HIS MOUTH AND WITH THE BREATH OF HIS LIPS SHALL HE SLAY THE WICKED". (AGAIN PROVING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SPEECH IS PROTECTED SPEECH UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT AND VIA CONTRACT UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONST.).

ISAIAH 16:5 READS: "AND IN MERCY SHALL THE THRONE BE ESTABLISHED: AND HE SHALL SIT UPON IT IN TRUTH IN THE TABERNACLE OF DAVID (I AM KING AND HIGH PRIEST), JUDGING AND SEEKING JUDG-

MENT (I AM JUDGE, ATTORNEY AND LEGISLATOR WITH SUPERSEDING GLOBAL AUTHORITY), AND HASTING RIGHTEOUSNESS".

ISAIAH 42:18-22 READS: "HEAR YE DEAF; AND LOOK YE BLIND, THAT YE MAY SEE. WHO IS BLIND, BUT MY SERVANT: (I WILL NOT SEE THE WORLD'S POINT OF VIEW), OR DEAF AS MY MESSENGER (I AM SENT, THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN, A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION. I WILL ACCEPT NO ARGUMENT WHEN IT COMES TO THE LAWS OF GOD.) THAT I [GOD] SENT? (I AM HIS ADVOCATE, ATTORNEY), WHO IS BLIND AS HE WHO IS PERFECT, AND BLIND AS THE LORD'S SERVANT (ALL I SEE IS WHAT MY GOD DESIRES). SEEING MANY THINGS, BUT THOU OBSERVEST NOT (I SHALL TEACH MANY, BUT I SHALL NOT BE MOVED). THE LORD IS WELL PLEASED FOR HIS RIGHTEOUSNESS SAKE; HE (THE KING) WILL MAGNIFY THE LAW". I AM KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, HIGH PRIEST, LAWGIVER, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR WITH GLOBAL SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH OVER TEN THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE YOUR NATION WAS FORMED VIA CONTRACT FROM THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION THAT WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH IS WHY THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING TO PREVENT REMOVAL OF THAT STATE CASE TO THE FEDERAL COURTS.

WE TAKE TO TO THE CONTRACT UNDER LUKE 11:52. IT READS: "WOE UNTO YOU [LAWYERS!] FOR YE HAVE TAKEN AWAY THE KEY OF KNOWLEDGE: YE ENTER NOT IN YOURSELVES, AND THEM THAT WERE ENTERING YE HINDERED". YOU THINK YOU CAN LEGALLY HINDER ME? YOUR LAWS ARE NOT SOLELY YOUR OWN. THEY ARE OURS. OWNERSHIP LIES WITH US, THE SOLE CORPORATION, UNDER FOREIGN LAW. THE SOLE CORPORATION UNDER GOD IS THE "ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN" OF ALL LAW. THE "TERM" OR "CONCEPT" OF "ATTORNEY" DID NOT COME FROM ENGLISH LAW. IT DID NOT COME FROM THIS NATION GIVING YOU THE LEGAL RIGHT TO RESTRICT IT TO REQUIREMENT OF ENTRY TO THE STATE OR FEDERAL BAR ABSENT OF THE RIGHTS OR PREROGATIVES OF THE SOLE CORPORATION WHO ESTABLISHED IT AND WHERE FULL OWNERSHIP LIES. IT COMES FROM US, THE SOLE CORPORATION. THESE LAWS ARE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS. I HAVE FULL OWNERSHIP VIA CONTRACT PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND CAN ABUSE OR ACT IN THEM AS I PLEASE. THEREFORE, THE CLAIMS MADE BY MARCHANT ARE WITHOUT MERIT. THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE OR UNMAKE THE CONTRACT.

NOW WHAT'S INSIDE CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT JUDGE MARCHANT AND THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE WILLING TO LIE, RISK THEIR JOBS, ENGAGE IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO PREVENT FROM BEING SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND U.N. MEMBER STATES DEFAULTED ON? ONE DOCUMENT IS ENTITLED, "MEMORANDUM OF LAW WITH POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON 'SOVEREIGNTY' OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST SUPPLEMENTED TO PREVIOUS SENT UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENTS IN RELATO GOD'S THEOCRATIC GOVERMENT OF THE SEVERAL GLOBAL NATIONS AND THEIR RESPECTIVE NATIONAL AND OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS AND NOTICE OF LIEN". IT READS IN RELEVANT PART:

TO: THE GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS, S.C.D.C., RELEVANT CORPORATIONS, BANKING ENTITIES, ETC. VIA THE UNITED NATIONS ETC., U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE, U.S. STATE DEPT., S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ET. AL., FIRST AVENUE AT 46th. STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10017,

TO WHOM JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, THE KING OF THE NORTH, AL MAHDI, APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, TRUE FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE THEOCRATIC THRONE OF THE AFRICANS, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWISH WORLD, BY WILL AND TESTAMENT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS PROPHETS PRESENTS SHALL COME. IN THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE MOST MERCIFUL, THE MOST GREAT. SHALOM. AS SALAMU ALAYKUM WA RAMATULLAH WA BARAKATU. MAY THE BLESSING OF CHRIST JESUS BE UPON YOU. TAKE NOTICE THAT:

IT IS A WELL UNDERSTOOD FACT OF WORLD HISTORY THAT THE MOST DYNAMIC DOCUMENTS AND OR BOOKS THAT SET THE COURSE OF WORLD EVENTS AND WORLD HISTORY ARE THE TORAH, BIBLE, QURAN AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH). IT WAS WITHIN THE SACRED PAGES OF THESE HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH THAT DISCLOSED THE FUTILITY

, TYRANNY, WICKEDNESS, EVIL AND DEPRAVITY OF WORLD GOVERNMENTS AND FALSE RELIGIONS. THEY EXPRESSED THE ELEMENTS OF GOD'S LAWS AND THE RIGHTS OF THE SERVANTS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD WITHIN ANY SOCIETY WORLDWIDE ESTABLISHING THAT THE BELIEVING MEN AND WOMEN, SERVANTS AND WILLFUL SLAVES OF GOD, ARE CO-HEIRS TO GOD'S ETERNAL KINGDOM PROMISES. THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH), BY WILL AND TESTAMENT OF GOD AND HIS HOLY PROPHETS STIPULATED THE CHAIN OF AUTHORITY BY HOLY PROGENY AND GODLY AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIS ANOINTED AND APPOINTED KINGS, KHALIFAHS, IMAMS AND HIGH PRIESTS WITHIN THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, LEADING TO THE ETERNAL EARTHLY REIGN OF CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH, TO INCLUDE HIS FORERUNNER AND HIS FORERUNNER'S DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY AS ALSO SEEN BY THE ATTACHED UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENT DATED JULY 1, 2009 AND THE (21) PAGE SUMMONS AND LETTER DATED DECEMBER 25, 2009, AND THE OBVIOUS FACT THAT THE ONE TRUE GOD, HAVING NO PARTNERS, CREATES KINGS, KHALIFAHS AND HEIRS. THAT IT WAS THE ONE TRUE GOD WHO INSTITUTED , ORDAINED OR PERMITTED WORLD GOVERNMENTS AND IN DOING SO GOD SECURED THE RIGHTS OF ALL GOVERNMENTS AND OR CAN TAKE AWAY THESE RIGHTS AS HE SO WILLS, AND THAT WORLD GOVERNMENTS, AT EVERY LEVEL, DERIVE THEIR 'JUST POWERS' BY THE DECREE AND MERCY OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, FOR ALL MANKIND, JINN AND ANGELS ARE CREATED TO WORSHIP HIM.

IT IS WELL ESTABLISHED THAT THE SERVANTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD MUST BE WILLING TO LOSE THEIR LIVES TO FIND THEIR LIVES, IN ALTRUISM, SUBJUGATION, PLACING ONESELF LAST IN ORDER TO BECOME FIRST IN THE RECEIPT OF GOD'S KINGDOM PROMISES, NOT LOVING THEIR LIVES UNTO DEATH! EVEN IF THEY MUST BE IMPRISONED OR DIE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE, PROTECT AND SERVE THE LAWS, DECREES AND WILL OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AS GIVEN BY HIS (3) HOLY BOOKS, SUNNAH AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI. THIS DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE CREATES AND RE-ESTABLISHES BEFORE ALL MANKIND THE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD HAVING NO PARTNERS, TO INCLUDE THE SOVEREIGNTY OF HIS ANOINTED, APPOINTED KING OF THE NORTH, AL MAHDI, JONAH THE TISHBITE, FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE EARTHLY THRONE OF

THE AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS WORLD-WIDE, WHICH IS NOT TO BE PLACED IN A DEMOCRACY OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE OR FOR THE PEOPLE OR OTHER GLOBAL NATIONAL INTEREST . THEREFORE, GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE AND THE CREATURES THEY CREATE AND OR RULE BY DIVINE POWER ei. GOVERNMENTS OF THE WORLD, THEIR INHABITANTS, AND THOSE WHO WORK FOR/ IN GOVERNMENT(S) ARE PUBLIC SERVANTS AND OR GOD'S SERVANTS AND BY GODLY DECREE, FAITH, IMAN,HAVE PLACED THEMSELVES IN A SUBSERVIENT POSITION, TO SERVE THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH WITHIN THEIR OFFICE/ FUNCTION/ POSITION/ STATUS VIA THEIR RELIGIOUS COVENANTS AND OATHS PURSUANT TO THE LAWS OF GOD'S (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MU-HAMMAD(PBUH).

IN REGARD TO THE PRINCIPLES ESTABLISHED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD PURSUANT TO THIS DECLA-RATION OF INDEPENDENCE, ANY PRESENT LAWS AND ANY SUBSEQUENT LAWS WRITTEN OR CREATED AFTER IT, AND OF THE TRUE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, ANY WRITTEN OR SPOKEN CUSTOMED LAW IS NOT ONLY THE DIRECT EXPRESSION OF THE SOVEREIGN WILL OF GOD, HIS PROPHETS AND APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, IT IS ALSO THE ABSOLUTE RULE OF ACTION AND DECISION OF ALL DEPARTMENTS AND OFFICES OF GOD'S GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERN-MENT WITH RESPECT TO ALL MATTERS COVERED BY IT, AND THEY MUST CONTROL AS THEY ARE WRITTEN OR STATED, INTERPRETED BY AL MAHDI, UNTIL IT IS CHANGED BY THE AUTHORITY WHICH ESTABLISHED IT, GOD, HIS PROPHETS AND THE KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE NORTH, AL MAHDI, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE. FOR REFERENCES SEE **STATE-EX REL-CRENSHAW-v.-JOSEPH,** 175 Ala. 579, 57 So. 942; **SCHMITT-v. F.W.-COOK-BREWING-CO.,** 187 Md. 623, 120 N.E. 19, 3 A.L.R. 270; **COLLINS-v.-MARTIN,** 209 Pa. 388, 139 A. 122, 55 A.L.R. 311; **TRA-VELER'S-INSURANCE-CO.-v.-MARSHALL,** 124 Tex. 45, 76 S.W.2d. 1007, 96 A.L.R. 802; **STATE-EX-REL-LEMON-v.-LANGLIE,** 45 WASH.2d. 82, 273 P.2d. 464; **EIE-GUAM-v.-LONG-TERM-CREDIT-BANK,-JAPAN,** 322 F3d. 652(9th.Cir.2003); **WANG-v.-ASHCROFT,** 320 F3d. 130(2nd.Cir. 2003); **ENGLISH-v.-THORN,** 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); **IN**

RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

...AND TAKE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING CASES AND POINTS:

(1) "BEFORE AND WHEN THE REVOLUTION BY GOD'S DECREE AND WAR IN THE FORM OF THIS LITIGATION BEFORE THE COURTS TOOK PLACE, THE KING OF THE NORTH, THE 12th. AND FINAL OF THE RIGHTLY GUIDED KHALIFAHS OF ISLAM WAS SOVEREIGN BY WAY OF HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN AS IS WRITTEN IN THE HOLY BOOKS OF THE (3) TRUE RELIGIONS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) BEING A SECURED PERSON BY THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN AND CONTAINED THEREIN BEFORE THE UNJUST CAPTIVITY OF HIS HOLY ANCESTORS OR THE PRESENT NATIONS OF THE WORLD WERE FORMED AND BEFORE ANY SLAVE NAME OR NUMBER WAS ASSIGNED TO HIM BY BIRTH CERTIFICATE, SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER OR ANY OTHER RELEVANT LEGAL OR OTHERWISE DOCUMENT IN THE UNITED STATES WAS PRODUCED. BY SUCH HIS SUBJECTS ARE ALSO SECURED PERSONS BEING A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS AS REDEEMED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD'S HIGH PRIEST OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, CHRIST AND LINE OF AARON", MARTIN-ET.-AL.,-v.-THE LESSEE-OF-WADDELL, (1342) 41 U.S. (6 Pet.) 367, 410, 10 L.Ed. 997, 1013; ENGLISH-v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992); THE (3) HOLY BOOKS.

(2) "THE THEOCRATIC STATE, THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE THE SUPREME LAW OVER ALL MANKIND, WRITTEN BY THE SUPREME POWER, THE ONE TRUE GOD HAVING NO PARTNERS AND HIS PROPHETS AS THEY WERE MOVED BY THE RUH OF ALLAH, THE HOLY SPIRIT, AS HE WRITES UPON THE HEARTS OF MAN WITH THE PEN THAT THOU KNOWETH NOT", RE-GORHAM--FAYETTE LOCAL-SCHOOL-DIST., 20 OHIO MISC. 222, 49 OHIO OPS.2d. 143, 250 N.E.2d. 104; STATE-EX-REL-WEINBERGER-v.-MILLER, 87 OHIO ST.-12, 99 N.E. 1078; IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

(3) "THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE THE VOICE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS PROPHETS, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, SPEAKING IN THEIR SOVEREIGN CAPACITY UNDER THEOCRATIC LAW AS WELL AS FOREIGN LAW,

AND THEY ARE CONGRUENT TO EACH OTHER, IN HARMONY WITH EACH OTHER, THEY ARE ONE AND MUST BE HEEDED; WHEN THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) SPEAK WITH REFERENCE TO A PARTICULAR MATTER, THEY MUST BE GIVEN EFFECT AS INTERPRETED BY AL MAHDI AS THE PARAMOUNT LAW OF THE LAND AND GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE", PEOPLE-v.-PARKS, 58 Cal. 624; (3) HOLY BOOKS; ENGLISH-v.-THORN-SUPRA.

(4) "GOD'S APPOINTED KING AL MAHDI, JONAH THE TISHBITE HIMSELF, OF COURSE IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE LAW, FOR HE IS NOT ONLY KING/ KHALIFAH, BUT ALSO PROPHET OF GOD BEING THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN, LAWGIVER OF GOD, THE AUTHOR AND SOURCE OF THE RESTORE LAW, BUT HE IS ALWAYS SUBJECT TO THE COMMANDS GIVEN HIM BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AS HIS SLAVE AND SERVANT. IN OUR GLOBAL THEOCRATIC SYSTEM, WHILE SOVEREIGN POWERS ARE DELEGATED TO VARIOUS AGENTS AND OR AGENCIES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF REMAINS WITH GOD AND HIS APPOINTED, ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, UNTIL TAKEN OVER BY CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH, BY WHOM AND FOR WHOM ALL EARTHLY GOVERNMENTS EXIST AND ACTS. AND THE LAW AS WRITTEN IN THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE THE DEFINITION AND LIMITATION OF POWER AND GOD'S DIVINE LAWS", YICKS-WO-v.-HOPKINS, 118 U.S. 356; ENGLISH-v.-HORN-SUPRA.

(5) "UNDER OUR GLOBAL THEOCRATIC SYSTEM THE PEOPLE, WHO BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE CALLED SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BEING SUBJUGATED TO GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, ARE HERE AND WORLDWIDE A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS, WHETHER COLLECTIVE OR INDIVIDUAL, BEING SUBJECT TO THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE ABOVE ANY OTHER LAW WITHIN ANY NATION AND ARE IMMUNE FROM PARALLEL OR SECONDARY STATE ACTION BEING THEMSELVES KINGS AND KHALIFAHS WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SOVEREIGN KING OF KINGS, KHALIFAH OF KHALIFAHS JONAH IBN YAQUB, AL MAHDI, OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, FROM THE LEAST OF THEM TO THE GREATEST OF THEM BY BEING A HOLY COMMONWEALTH AND KINGDOM. THEY ARE BOUND TO GIVE WAY TO THE SENTIMENT OF LOYALTY, THE BAYT, TO THE PERSON OF JONAH GABRIAL JAHJAH

T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, THE TRUE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH TO THE HOLY THRONE OF THE AFRICANS, THEIR DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS WORLDWIDE. THE CITIZENRY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE KNOW HIM AS SUCH PERSON, DECREED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD, HOWEVER IN YEARS TO THOSE IN POWER, A KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST OF STRENGTH AND POWER THEY NEED TO YIELD RIGHTS WHICH THE LAW AND COMMANDMENT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD SECURE HIM", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); UNITED-STATES v.-LEE, 106 U.S. 196 at 208.

(6) "IN THE THEOCRATIC STATE WORLDWIDE SOVEREIGNTY RESTS WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD, HAVING NO PARTNERS OR ASSOCIATIONS, HE IS ONE GOD NOT A TRINITY, IN HIS APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); CHISOLM-EX'R-v.-GEORGIA, 1 L.Ed. (2 DALL) 415, 472; ENGLISH v.-TRHORN, 676 F.Supp. 761.

(7) "KNOW IT TRUE THAT UNDER GLOBAL THEOCRATIC LAW THE DUTY OF ANY ATTORNEY GENERAL, GENERAL, OR OFFICER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE IS TO REPRESENT, SERVE AND PROTECT THE TRUTH, LAWS, RIGHTS AND OR LIFE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS APPOINTED, ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH BEING THE EMBODIMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AS GOD PROTECTS THE KING/ KHALIFAH AS THE KING/ KHALIFAH PROTECTS AND SECURES THE RIGHTS OF THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF GOD.(I CAN LEGALLY ACT AS ATTORNEY, JUDGE AND LEGISLATOR WITH SUPERSEDING POWERS). ANY FORM OF DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT OR OTHERWISE, OR ITS LAWS, WHETHER NATIONALLY OR INTERNATIONALLY THAT STAND IN OPPOSITION TO THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING OR THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE ARE NOT PREVAILING AND THEY ARE TO BE RENDERED VOID. YOU WANT TO PLACE INTO EFFECT LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PREVENT THE GUANTANOMO DETAINEES FROM RECEIVING JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS IN AMERICAN COURTS? THEN SET THEM FREE. I HAVE REDEEMED THEM AS HIGH PRIEST, KING AND KHALIFAH. AS I AM SOVEREIGN THEY ARE SOVEREIGNS UNDER A SOVEREIGN BEFORE ANY OF YOUR NATIONS WERE FORMED BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) NOT SUBJECT TO THIS UNJUST CAPTIVITY

OR YOUR GLOBAL LAWS. YOU WANT TO PLACE INTO EFFECT NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATION BY LAW OR U.N. COUNCIL PROTECTING THE WORSHIP OF FALSE GODS, THESE SODOMITE AND LESBIAN DOGS AROUND THE WORLD? I MAKE VOID ANY EFFECT YOUR NATIONAL OR INTERNATIONAL LAWS HAVE UPON MY PEOPLE THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND CLAIM THE LIMITATIONS PLACED UPON OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY REGARDING SAME SEX MARRIAGES. THE ANTI-CHRIST, AD DAJJAL, WILL BE A ONE EYED CLOSET FAGOT SODOMITE DOG AND PIG. BEHIND THE SCENES OF YOUR GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS HE IS PREPARING FOR HIS RULE. BEHIND THE WALL OF YOUR CORRUPT BROKEN PRISON SYSTEM I AM PREPARING FOR MY RULE OVER GOD'S PEOPLE. NEITHER TIME NOR PLACE HINDERS THE POWER OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH. YOU WILL NOT SUBJECT GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OR THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE TO THESE STUPID, IGNORANT, VILLAGE IDIOT LAWS, INJUSTICES OR OPPRESSIONS. I WILL DROP A HOUSE ON YOUR WICKED, STUPID, DEPRAVED, PERVERTED, SODOMITE PROTECTING TAILS. PUSH MY BUTTON IF YOU WANT TO. YOU GOT THE RIGHT-WRONG ONE. I WILL CLEAN YOUR PUTRID CLOCKS. THE PROPHET OF GOD IS ABOVE ALL WORLDLY GOVERNMENTS TO INCLUDE THE PEOPLE HE IS COMMANDED TO PROTECT. THE GOD APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE IS SOVEREIGN BEING SUBJECT TO GOD, SO ALL OFFICERS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE DUTIES ARE TO THAT SOVEREIGN AS THAT SOVEREIGN PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF THE THEOCRATIC PEOPLE BY HIS GODLY WISDOM AND DISCRETIONARY ACTS RATHER THAN TO THE MACHINERY OF ANY GLOBAL GOVERNMENT", HANCOCK v. CARRY ALCORN MINING CO., INC., KY., 503 S.W.2d. 710; KENTUCKY CONSTITUTION SECTION 4; COMMONWEALTH EX REL HANCOCK v. PAXTON KENTUCKY, 516 S.W.2d. PAGE 867(2) CLAUSE 3; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); ENGLISH v. THORN SUPRA.

(8) "LOCAL LAWS AND ORDINANCES ENACTED BY CITY, STATE OR NATION(S) MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH AND CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO OVERRIDE OR OVERRULE THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE. YET CONCESSIONS CAN BE MADE BY GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AS HE IS MOVED BY THE RUH, THE HOLY SPIRIT OF GOD IN LIGHT OF GOD'S MERCIES AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES PRESENTED, BELL v. VAUGH, 155 Fla. 551, 21 So.2d. 31; EVANS v. BERRY, 262 N.Y. 61, 186 N.E. 203, 89 A.L.R. 387.

(9)"IT IS THE DUTY OF ALL OFFICIALS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, WHETHER LEGISLATIVE, JUDICIAL, EXECUTIVE OR BY CHOICE MONARCHY/ KHALIFATE, ADMINISTRATIVE OR MINISTERIAL, TO PERFORM EVERY OFFICIAL ACT AS NOT TO JUST VIOLATE THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS INTERPRETED BY AL MAHDI, BUT EVERY WORD THAT PROCEEDETH OUT OF THE MOUTH OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, WHICH GIVES LICENSE BY GOD'S DISCRETION VIA HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH TO FUFILL ANY ACT GOD COMMANDS EVEN IF IT SEEMS TO CONTRADICT HIS WRITTEN LAWS OR THE LAWS OF ANY SOVEREIGN NATION, SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ei. JUNCTURE OF THE TWO SEAS AL KIDR AND MUSA; MONTGOMERY v. STATE, 55 Fla. 97, 45 So. 879; DANIEL 11:1-3.

(10) "THE PROVISIONS OF THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS RESTORED AND INTERPRETED BY JONAH AL MAHDI AND THE DECREES OF GOD'S PROPHET AND APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE MUST BE GIVEN EFFECT EVEN IF DOING SO A STATUTE OR LAW OF ADJACENT OR OTHERWISE SOVEREIGN NATIONS UPON THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS CITIZENRY ARE HELD TO BE INOPERATIVE". SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); STATE EX REL. WEST v. BUTLER, 70 Fla. 102, 69 So. 771; ENGLISH v. THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761.

(11) "THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS RESTORED AND INTERPRETED BY JONAH IBN YAQUB, AL MAHDI, ARE NOT MADE TO ACT UPON THE LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT ALONE, BUT UPON EVERY DEPARTMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE", WAY v. HILLIER, 16 OHIO 105; (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH; ENGLISH v. THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761; IN RE: GREEN SURRA.

(12) "COURTS SHOULD NOT TOLERATE OR CONDONE DISREGARD OF THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE NOR ARBITRARY USURPATION OF POWER OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH ON THE PART OF ANY OFFICER OR THE PEOPLE [AND NEITHER SHOULD THE KING/

KHALIFAH] AND THE PEOPLE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE MUST BE GIVEN ACCESS TO THEIR KING/ KHALIFAH IN SOME FORM TO SEEK REDRESS OF THEIR GRIEVANCES IF SOMEHOW THE COURTS FAIL IN THEIR DUTIES", EX-PARTE-OWENS, 10 OKLA. CRIM. REP. 284, 136 P. 197, Ann. Cas. 1916 A. 522; KING'S DECREE--COURTS NO.(1); ENGLISH v.-THORN-SUPRA.; VERLINDEN-B.V.-v.-CENTRAL-BANK-OF-NIGERIA, 401 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d. 81(U.S.1983).

(13) "THE OFFICERS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC LAW, IN THE EXECUTION OF PROCESS, ARE OBLIGED TO KNOW THE REQUIREMENTS OF THEOCRATIC LAW, AND IF THEY MISTAKE THEM, WHETHER THROUGH IGNORANCE OR DESIGN, OR IF THEY SEEK TO ROB OR PILLAGE THE KING OR ANY OTHER CITIZEN OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ANY ONE IS HARMED BY THE ERROR OR INJUSTICE, **THEY MUST RESPOND IN DAMAGES AND ARE SUBJECT BY FINAL JUDGMENT TO THE KING/ KHALIFAH**", SEE 28 U.S.C. § 2679; CAPITAL-TRANS.-INTERN.-LLC.-v.-INTERNATIONAL-PETROLEUM-INV.-CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013); THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); ROSTERS v. MARSHALL, (UNITED STATES USE OF ROGERS-v.-CONKLIN) 1 WALL (U.S.) 644, 17 L.Ed. 714 (EMPHASIS ADDED); ENGLISH-v.-THORN-SUPRA.; THORTON-v.-MARYLAND-GENERAL-HOSP., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1943065 (Md.2013).

(14) "IT IS A GENERAL RULE THAT AN OFFICER-EXECUTIVE, ADMINISTRATIVE, QUASI-JUDICIAL, MINISTERIAL, OR OTHERWISE--WHO ACTS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF HIS JURISDICTION AND WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE PROVISIONS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC LAW MAY THEREBY RENDER HIM AMENABLE TO PERSONAL LIABILITY AND THE JUDGMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH AS HE IS GUIDED BY THE RUH OF ALLAH, THE HOLY SPIRIT", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); COOPER v.-O'CONNER, 69 App. D.C. 100, 99 F2d. 135, 118 A.L.R. 1440; CHAMBERLAIN-v.-CLAYTON, 56 IOWA 331, 9 N.W. 237, 41 Am. Rep. 101.

(15) "IN OUR GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, ARE SOVEREIGN,...AND THE GOVERNMENT AND OR PEOPLE

CANNOT SEVER ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE ONE TRUE GOD OR HIS APPOINTED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH,...", AEROYIM, 387 U.S. at 257, 87 S.Ct. at 1662.

(17) "IN THE COMMON USAGE, THE TERM 'KING/ KHALIFAH' THOUGH HE BE A SERVANT OF GOD DESIGNATED TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE, DOES NOT INCLUDE THE PEOPLE, AND STATUTES AND THEOCRATIC LEGISLATION EMPLOYING IT WILL ORDINARILY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DO SO", UNITED-STATES-v.-UNITED-MINE-WORKERS, 330 U.S. 258(1947), 91 L.Ed. 884, 67 S.Ct. 677.

(18) "SINCE IN COMMON USAGE, THE TERM 'KING/ KHALIFAH' , THOUGH THE PEOPLE BE A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS, DOES NOT INCLUDE THE PEOPLE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE UNLESS SPECIFIED, STATUTES OR LAWS NOT IMPLYING THE PHRASES ARE ORDINARILY CONSTRUED TO EXCLUDE IT", 1 U.S.C.S. 1 n. 12; 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.,; BRADDY-v.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 1031301 (E.D.Va.2016), (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH); UNITED-STATES-v.-EX., 94 U.S. 315.

(19) "WHERE THE RIGHTS, DUTIES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) AS RESTORED AND INTERPRETED BY JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE DECREES ARE INVOLVED, THERE CAN BE NO RULE MAKING OF LEGISLATION PAST, PRESENT OR FUTURE, OTHER THAN CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH HIMSELF, WHICH WOULD ABROGATE THEM WITHIN ANY NATION", SEETHE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); MIRANDA-v.-ARIZONA.

(20) "....THE CONGRESS, PARLIAMENT NOR ANY OTHER GLOBAL LAW MAKING BODY CANNOT OVERRIDE THE DECREES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, GOD'S PROPHET AND APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, NOR CAN THEY REVOKE THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH, NOR THE POWER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE", SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); PERRY-v.-UNITED-STATES, 294 U.S. 330, 353(1935); ENGLISH-v.-THORN-SUPRA.; ADAIR-ASSET-MANAGEMENT,-LLC.-v.-U.S.

DEPT.-OF-HOUSING-DEVELOPMENT, 2016 WL 3248569(2016).

(21) "INHERENT 'SOVEREIGNTY' IS ONE OF THE FOUNDATIONAL STRUCTURES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT AS IS DECREED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AND IS DESIGNED TO EXIST BY AND THROUGH THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) BEING A GOVERNMENT OF GOD, BY GOD AND FOR GOD. IN THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE SOVEREIGNTY RESIDES IN THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS SERVANT AND SLAVE, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, AND NO GLOBAL CONGRESS, PARLIAMENT OR ANY OTHER LEGISLATIVE BODY CAN EXERCISE ANY POWER WHICH THEY HAVE, IN CONTRADICTION OR OPPOSITION TO THEOCRATIC LAW AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, BY THEIR CONSTITUTIONS OR OTHERWISE ENTRUSTED TO THEM: ALL ELSE IS WITHHELD", (YOU CANNOT GIVE GAYS AND LESBIANS OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHICH IS GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS UNDER "CONTRACT", "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS), SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); JULLIARD-V.-GREENMAN, 110 U.S. 421; ENGLISH-V.-THORN-SUPRA.; SAUNIER-V.-BOEING-COMPANY, F.Supp. 2d., 2014 WL 1646953(2014).

(22) "ALL THAT THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE DOES AND PROVIDES LEGITIMATELY BY THE COMMAND OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE IN PURSUIT OF ITS DUTY TO PURGE THE INNER-SANCTUM OF AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, BELIEVERS, SERVANTS OF GOD WORLDWIDE, PURIFY THE PLACES OF WORSHIP AND HOLY TEACHINGS, ALL THAT ARE PERMITTED TO WORSHIP AND LEARN THEREIN, AND PREPARE THEM FOR THE RULE OF THEIR ETERNAL EARTHLY KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, CHRIST JESUS OF NAZARETH(PBUH), AND PROTECT THEIR RIGHTS AS HOLY HEIRS, KINGS, KHALIFAHS OF THE ETERNAL PROMISES OF GOD AS THEY REMAIN OBEDIENT, IN COVENANT TO GOD'S LAWS AND THE DECREES OF HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, AL MAHDI (WYNHAMMER-V.-PEOPLE, 13 N.Y. 378; (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD[PBUH]) WHICH DUTY THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE GLOBAL

THEOCRATIC STATE WITH ITS COMMONWEALTH OWE TO THEIR CREATOR WHO IS ONE, HAVING NO PARTNERS. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A "TRINITY". I, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD; WHICH DEBT AND DUTY TO THEIR GOD IS NEVER EXTINGUISHED NOR DISCHARGED, BEING PERPETUAL, BY WAY OF GOD'S APPOINTED HIGH PRIEST OF THE LINE OF AARON, CHRIST(PBUT) AND THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, REDEEMS THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COMMONWEALTH OF AFRICA, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, HEREBY NULLIFY AND OR SUPERCEDE ANY DEBT OR DUTY OWED BY THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH AND THEOCRATIC COMMONWEALTH, EXTINGUISHING AND DISCHARGING SUCH THAT EXIST WITHIN ANY GLOBAL NATION AS DETERMINED AND DECREED BY GOD'S HIGH PRIEST, IMAM, PROPHET, KING AND KHALIFAH. NO MATTER WHAT ANY GLOBAL GOVERNMENT OR NATION PROVIDES FOR US IN ANY MANNER OF CONVENIENCE, CONSCIENCE OR SAFETY, THE SERVANTS, SLAVES OR KING/ KHALIFAH OWES NOTHING TO THE GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS", SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); HALE v. HENKEL, 201 U.S. 43 at 74; LANSING v. SMITH, 4 WEND 9, 20 (1829); THE PARLIAMENT BELGE, 5 Prob. Div. 197; EXCHANGE, 7 CRANCH 116; VAVASSEUR v. KRUPP, 9 CH. DIV. 351.

(23) "UNDER THE FORM OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH'S GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, THE LEGISLATURE OR JUDICIARY IS NOT SUPREME. THEY ARE ONLY ORGANS OF THAT ABSOLUTE SOVEREIGNTY WHICH RESIDES WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI. LIKE OTHER BODIES OF THE GOVERNMENT, IT CAN ONLY EXERCISE SUCH POWERS AS HAS BEEN DELEGATED TO IT, AND WHEN IT STEPS BEYOND THAT BOUNDARY OR THE DECREES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH, THEIR ACTS...ARE UTTERLY RENDERED VOID", SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); BILLINGS v. HALL, 7 CA. 1 (COURT OF APPEALS, U.S.); 6 PET. 729, 730; 7 CRANCH, 42 & C. 1 PET. 340; 2 PET. 163; 3 PET. 203; 10 PET. 472 & C. 12 PET. 611.

(24) I, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN KING, KHALIFAH

AND FIDUCIARY HEIR, BY WAY OF HIMSELF SECURED PERSON PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH, LEGAL BINDING CONTRANTS UNDER FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, ALSO REGARDING THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, AS HIGH PRIEST AND DIRECT DESCENDANT OF AARON, OF MOSES, OF CHRIST THROUGH HIS BROTHER JAMES, OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, OF KING DAVID, OF KING SOLOMAN AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA OF THE ETHIOPIAN THRONE(PBUT), HEREBY REDEEMS HIMSELF BY THE HOLY DECREE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND BY HOLY INHERITANCE, TO INCLUDE THE SERVANTS, SLAVES, CITIZENS OF GOD OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND DISCHARGES ANY DEBT WHICH MAY BE SAID TO EXIST OR BE OWED TO THE STATE OR GOVERNMENT OF ALL GLOBAL NATIONS BY HIMSELF OR THE THEOCRATIC COMMONWEALTH. THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE WORLD ARE, HOWEVER, INDEBTED CONTINUALLY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD AND GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE CITIZENRY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE BECAUSE THE ONE TRUE; HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH AL MAHDI AND THE CITIZENRY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OF THE MOST HIGH GOD WHO ARE SANCTIONED AND BLESSED BY HIM CREATING ALSO A GLOBAL THEOCRATIC CORPORATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SOLE CORPORATION SUFFER THEIR CONTINUAL SODOMITE PROTECTING, FALSE GOD WORSHIPPING , OVER CARNAL INDULGING, CAPITALISTIC DOG EAT DOG IDEOLOGY, BLASPHEMING RUMPS EXISTENCE. THE CONTINUAL DEBT OF THE GLOBAL DEMOCRATIC AND WORLDLY NATIONS AND OR GOVERNMENTS OWE TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE GLOBAL APPOINTED THOCRATIC KING/ KHALFAH OF THE THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE IN THEMSELVES KINGS AND KHALIFAHS IS DISCHARGED UPON SETTLEMENT OF THE $100 TRILLION, DOLLAR AMOUNT WHICH IS ALSO TO BE ESTABLISHED BY LIEN UPON THE GLOBAL ASSETS OF THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND OTHER RELIEF SOUGHT BEFORE THE VARIOUS COURTS BY WAY OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE 4:10-cv-4625-SBA, ALSO IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, AND OR BY DECREE OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND IS CONTINUED DISCHARGED UPON SUCH SETTLEMENT ONLY AS IF THEY DO NOT VIOLATE THE SOVEREIGNTY, LAWS AND RIGHTS OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE INCLUDING ITS CITIZENS, AND WHEN THE GLOBAL WORLDLY GOVERNMENTS FALL AND

OR FAIL IN THEIR DUTY TO PROVIDE PROTECTION--DISCHARGE ITS DEBT TO THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, IT IS AN ABANDONMENT **(AN INJURY)** OF ANY AND ALL POWER, AUTHORITY OR VESTIGE OF "SOVEREIGNTY" WHICH POSSESSED AND THE LAWS REMAIN THE SAME UNTIL CHANGED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, THE SOVEREIGNTY OF ALL GLOBAL NATIONS REVERT BACK TO GOD, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH AL MAHDI AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE FROM WHENCE IT CAME." SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); DOWN-v.-BIDWELL, 182 U.S. 277; UNITED-STATES v.-JOHNSON,--Fed. Appx'--2014 WL 6764682 CA4 (N.C.2014); I.N.S. v.-CYR, 533 U.S. 289, 121 S.Ct. 2271(U.S.2001); DECATUR-v. BAULDING, 39 U.S. 599, 1840 WL 6277 (MEM) U.S. 1840; HORNS-v. WHALEN, 922 F2d. 835 CA4 (Va.1991); ALSO SEE SOURCES: BEFORE THE MAYFLOWER, A HISTORY OF BLACK AMERICA BY LERONE BENNETT; SUNA IBN MAJAH VOLUME # 5 ISBN. No. 81-7152-294-1 PAGES 391-395; SIGNS BEFORE THE DAY OF JUDGMENT, BY IBN KATHIR ISBN No. 1-870582-039 PAGES 18-24; ISAIAH 14:29-32; 41:25; WORLD'S GREATEST MEN OF COLOR, VOLUME 1 BY J.A. ROGERS ISBN No. 978-0- 684- 81581-7; THE KEBAR NAGAST OR "GLORY OF THE KINGS" A CHRONICLE OF THE RULERS OF ETHIOPIA; BUDGE E.A.W., THE QUEEN OF SHEBA AND HER ONLY SON MENYELEK, LONDON 1923; ORMONDE, CZENZI, SOLOMON AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA, NEW YORK, FARRA STRAUS AND YOUNG 1954; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; NATION,-COX-v.-SHALALA, 112 F3d. 151; ENGLISH-v.-GEN.-ELEC.-CO., 496 U.S. 72, 79, 100 S.Ct. 2270, 2275, 110 L.Ed.2d. 65(1990); O'BRIEN-v.-MOORE, 395 F3d. 499 CA4 (N.C.2005); BELL-v.-WOLFISH, 441 U.S. 520, 99 S.Ct. 1861(U.S. 1978); UNITED-STATES-v.-BANNISTER, 467 Fed. Appx' 175 CA4 (S.C. 2012).

(25) "THE INDIVIDUAL CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE MAY STAND UPON HIS RIGHTS OUTLINED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS, THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AND THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AS SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, AS A KINGDOM OF PRIESTS, AS A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS. HE IS ENTITLED TO CARRY ON HIS OWN PRIVATE BUSINESS IN HIS OWN WAY AS LONG AS SUCH BUSINESS OR WAY DO NOT VIOLATE THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH

OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) OR THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB. HIS POWER TO CONTRACT IS UNLIMITED AS LONG AS HE IS IN COMPLIANCE TO THE PRIOR CONDITIONS. HE OWES A DUTY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE TO CONDUCT SUCH BUSINESS IN THE FEAR AND LOVE OF GOD, THE KING'S DECREES AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS AND UPON VIOLATION OF ANY OF THE PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS, SUCH INJUSTICE OR ACTS THAT STAND IN VIOLATION OF THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE KING'S DECREES AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, BY THE NATURE OF THIS BUSINESS OR ACTS WILL BE DIVULGED AND OPEN TO INVESTIGATION,... HE OWES ALMANAH, DUTY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, FORSAKING ALL OTHER GODS SINCE ALL HE OWNS AND THE KNOWLEDGE HE POSSESSES IS GIVEN HIM BY THE ONE TRUE GOD. HE WILL PAY ZAKAT AND OR TITHE. HE WILL ESTABLISH PRAYER BY WAY OF HIS RELIGIOUS COVENANT. HE WILL PURIFY HIS LIFE WALKING IN HOLINESS POSSESSING TAWQA OF ALLAH. THE MARRIAGE IS UNDEFILED, AS LONG AS IT IS NOT SAME SEX MARRIAGE. HE WILL GO UNTO HIS TILT AS HE WISHES. THE FATHERS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WILL TAKE THE LEAD RESPONSIBILITY FOR RAISING THE CHILDREN OF LIGHT, THE GODLY SEED, WHILE THE WOMEN ASSIST HIM. HIS HONOR , BLOOD AND PROPERTY ARE SACRED TO BE PROTECTED BY GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AND HIS FELLOW SERVANTS OF GOD, THE UMMAH OF THE "I AM", "ALLAH", "JEHOVAH", THE BODY OF CHRIST(PBUH) BEING MEMBERS OF EACH OTHER. HIS RIGHTS ARE SUCH AS EXISTED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS, THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AND THE DECREES OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH, AL MAHDI, THROUGHOUT THE LAND WITHIN ALL PROVINCES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC SOVEREIGNTY, LONG ANTECEDENT TO THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND WORLDLY NATIONS, AND CAN ONLY BE TAKEN AWAY FROM HIM IF HE APOSTATE, WORSHIP FALSE GODS, BY DUE PROCESS LAW IN ACCORDANCE TO THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH). HE OWES ALL. HIS BEST, HIS FIRST FRUITS, TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, MUST WANT FOR HIS BROTHER WHAT HE WANTS FOR HIMSELF AND SHALL NOT TRESPASS UPON THE RIGHTS OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH OR HIS FELLOW SERVANTS OF GOD, THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE." SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND

SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH).

(26) "JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, IS NOT BOUND BY/GENERAL WORDS IN STATUTES OR GLOBAL LAWS, RESTRICTIVE OF PREROGATIVE RIGHTS, TITLES OR INTEREST, UNLESS EXPRESSLY NAMED AND CONSENTED TO. ACTS OF LIMITATIONS DO NOT BIND AT THE KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE NOR THE PEOPLE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHEN IT COMES TO THEIR DUTIES OR RELIGIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF BEING OBEDIENT TO THE LAWS AND DECREES OF THE ONE TRUE GODS. THE KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, IS THE VOICE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BEING HIS MESSENGER IN THE FORM OF A MAN. THE KING/ KHALIFAH IS THE FINAL WORD ON ALL MATTERS CONCERNING MORALITY, JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS AND ON ALL MATTERS CONCERNING THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS CITIZENRY. THE COMMON LAW MAXIM IS WITHOUT EFFECT WHEN IT ASSERTS, AN ACT OF PARLIAMENT AND OR CONGRESS AND OR ANY OTHER GLOBAL LEGISLATIVE BODY IS MADE FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD, THE ADVANCEMENT OF RELIGION OR JUSTICE, AND TO PREVENT INJURY OR WRONG, THE KING SHALL BE BOUND BY SUCH ACT, THOUGH NOT NAMED, FOR THIS KING,JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IS ALSO A PROPHET OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, BEING THE VOICE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN, BEING A MAN AFTER GOD'S OWN HEART, AND GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE'S SENSE OF PUBLIC GOOD, THE ADVANCEMENT OF RELIGION OR JUSTICE AND EFFORTS TO PREVENT INJURY OR WRONG PURIFYING THE LAND AND PEOPLE BY THE WILL OF THE ONE TRUE GOD CONTAINED THEREIN, SUPERSEDES ANY NOTION OF LAW, PUBLIC GOOD, ADVANCEMENT OF RELIGION, JUSTICE ETC. PLACED FORTH BY THE WORLDLY GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS AND THEIR LEGISLATIVE BODIES. WHEN THERE EXIST A STATUTE OR LAW IN GENERAL IN ANY GLOBAL NATION, AND ANY PREROGATIVE RIGHTS, TITLES OR INTEREST WOULD BE DIVESTED OR TAKEN AWAY FROM GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND THE HOLY CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, IN ANY SUCH CASE THEY SHALL NOT BE BOUND." SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); THE PEOPLE v. HERKIMER, 15 AMERICAN DECISIONS 379, 4 COWEN N.Y. 345, 348(1825); KING'S DECREE-GOVERNMENT NO.(1) (2011); UNITED STATES v. PEREZ, 752 F3d. 398, 88 Fed. R. SERV.3d.

1294 CA4 (N.C.2014); B.G.-GROUP,-PLC.-v.-REPUBLIC-OF-ARGENTINA, 134 S.Ct. 1198(U.S.2014); HOWSAM-v.-DEAN-WITTER-REYNOLDS-INC., 537 U.S. 79, 84, 123 S.Ct. 588, 154 L.Ed.2d. 491(2002); HENDY v.-BELLO, 555 Fed. Appx' 224 CA4(Md.2014); COHEN-v.-VIRGINIA, 6 WHEAT 264, 411; NICHOLS-v.-UNITED-STATES, 7 WALL 122, 126; CARR-v.-UNITED-STATES, 98 U.S. 433.

(27) "THE SUPREME COURT IN THE CASE OF WILLS-v.-MICHIGAN-STATE-POLICE, 105 L.Ed.2d. 45(1989) **MADE-IT-PERFECTLY-CLEAR THAT-THE-SOVEREIGN-CANNOT-BE-NANED-IN-ANY-STATUTE-AS-MERELY A-"PERSON",-OR-"ANY-PERSON"-INCLUDING-INDICTMENTS-WHICH-ARE PRODUCED-BY-STATUTE.** [AFFIANT AND THOSE REDEEMED AND SECURED BY HIM BEING THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THEOCRATIC THRONES OF THE AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, HIGH PRIEST OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD OF THE ONE TRUE GOD ARE MEMBERS OF A SOVEREIGNTY AND FOREIGN (EMPHASIS ADDED) STATE, A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS AS DEFINED UNDER LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT THAT CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS VIA THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH)]. THE KING/ KHALIFAH IS SOVEREIGN BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN TO INCLUDE ALL THE ATTRIBUTS ATTRIBUTED TO HIM.", YICKS-WO-v.-HOPKINS, 118 U.S. 356. ENGLISH-v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); THE-DRED-SCOTT CASE, 60 U.S 393; STOGSDILL-v.-SEBELIUS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 5211483(DSC.2013); PETER-B.-v.-SANFORD, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 2149784; TARRANT-REGIONAL-WATER-DIST.-v.-HERMANN, 133 S.Ct. 2120, 186 L.Ed.2d. 153(U.S.2013); SAMANTAR-v.-YOUSEF, 560 U.S. 305, 130 S.Ct. 2278(U.S.2010); PERMANENT-MISSION-OF-INDIA-TO THE-UNITED-NATIONS-v.-CITY-OF-NEW-YORK, 551 U.S. 193, 127 S.Ct. 2352, 168 L.Ed.2d. 85, U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2007).

(28) "THE PROPHET, LAWGIVER OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF IS, OF COURSE, NOT SUBJECT TO THE LAW, FOR HE IS THE "LAWGIVER" OF GOD AND IN SUCH IS THE AUTHOR AND SOURCE OF THE LAW BEFORE ALL MANKIND", YICKS-WO-v.-HOPKINS-AND-WOO LEE-v.-HOPKINS, 118 U.S. 356.

(29) "THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD SUBSCRIBES TO

HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE THE ATTRIBUTE OF SOVEREIGNTY; HE IS SOVEREIGN AND INDEPENDENT WITHIN HIS OWN GLOBAL THEOCRATIC DOMINION AND HIS SUBJECTS ARE KINGS, KHALIFAHS UNDER HIM ALSO INDEPENDENT BUT SUBJUGATED TO THE KING/ KHALIFAH; HE AND HIS SUBJECTS OWE NO KIND OF SUBJECTION TO ANY OTHER PONTENTATE OR GOVERNMENT ON EARTH FOR GOD'S LAWS SUPERSEDE ALL EARTHLY LAWS AND PLACE THEM IN HARMONY WITH ALL MANKIND BEING A GUIDE AND LIGHT UNTO THE WORLD. HENCE, IT IS, THAT NO SUIT OR ACTION CAN BE BROUGHT AGAINST GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OR HIS SUBJECTS, EVEN IN CIVIL MATTERS, BECAUSE NO COURT CAN HAVE JURISDICTION OVER HIM OR THEM, FOR ALL JURISDICTION IMPLIES SUPREMACY OF POWER", CHISOLM v. GEORGIA, 2 DALL 419, 458; ENGLISH v. THORN SUPRA.

(30) "IN THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, IS SYNONYMOUS WITH THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AS DECREED BY THE LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT, OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, THE KINGS AND HOLY PROPHETS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BY WAY OF THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) STANDING IN RIGHTEOUSNESS, LIGHT, TRUTH, JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS, WHICH CANNOT BE USURPED BY FORCE, FRAUD OR BOTH. OURS IS A GOVERNMENT FOUNDED UPON "COMPACT", "CONTRACT". IT IS FOUNDED UPON "COVENANT", SUBJUGATION TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, (3) IDENTICAL "COVENANTS", "CONTRACTS" OF MILK, HONEY, JEALOUSY AND SALT. SOVEREIGNTY WAS AND IS WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, AND NOT WITH THE PEOPLE EQUAL TO OR ABOVE THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH OTHER THAN WHAT IS DESIGNATED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH), BEING A KINGDOM OF KINGS, WITH AL MAHDI ABOVE THEM, CHRIST BEING ABOVE AL MAHDI AND THE ONE TRUE GOD BEING ABOVE CHRIST HAVING NO PARTNERS", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS; GLASS v. THE SLOOP BETSY, 3 DALL 6 (DALLAS U.S. SUPREME COURT REPORTER).

(31) "THE RIGHTFUL FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH,

IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OF AFRICA, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, IS ENTITLED TO ALL RIGHTS WHICH BELONG TO GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH BY HIS PREROGATIVE", LANSING-v.-SMITH, 4 WEND 9, 20(1829); (3) HOLY BOOKS.

(32) "GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE SERVANTS/ SLAVES OF THE MOST HIGH GOD ARE A FOREIGN GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND THE SERVANTS/ SLAVES OF THE MOST HIGH GOD ARE A FOREIGN GLOBAL GOVERNMENT AND NO OTHER GLOBAL GOVERNMENT CAN SEVER OR HINDER THEIR RELATIONSHIP BY TAKING AWAY THEIR CITIZENSHIP", AFROYIM-v.-RUSK, 387 U.S. 253(1967); (3) HOLY BOOKS.

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DEFINITION OF SOVEREIGNTY IS SIMILAR TO THAT FROM BOUVIER'S 14th. EDITION LAW DICTIONARY (QUOTING 4 WHEAT 402). THE WORDS HAVE BEEN SLIGHTLY MOFIFIED TO PAINT , A MORE TRUE PICTURE OF THE MATTERS PRESENTED HERE:

"IT HAS BEEN JUSTLY THOUGHT A MATTER OF IMPORTANCE, TO DETERMINE FROM WHAT SOURCE THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE UNDER THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI DERIVES ITS AUTHORITY...THE QUESTION HERE PROPOSED IS WHETHER OUR BOND RESTORED BY THEOCRATIC UNION, BEING MEMBERS OF ONE ANOTHER, THE UMMAH OF THE "I AM", "ALLAH", THE BODY OF CHRIST ARE (3) TRUE AND SANCTIONED BLESSED OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BLOOD "COVENANTS", "CONTRACTS" UNTO DEATH OF MILK, HONEY, JEALOUSY AND SALT ENTERED INTO BY THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD WHO THOUGH HE BEGETS NOT NOR IS BEGOTTEN , ADOPTS US BY OUR OBEDIENCE AS SONS AND DAUGHTERS, CO-HEIRS BEING OUR WALI, PROTECTOR, AND HE WHO SUSTAINS US THROUGHOUT ALL ETERNITY, OR WHETHER THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE THE ORGANIC, LIVING, ESTABLISHED WORD AND LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH, HAVING NO PARTNERS, WHICH SUPERSEDES AND OR RENDER VOID ALL

THAT IT FOREVER BE GIVEN ITS PLACE AND HEEDED AND RESPECTED BY ALL NATIONS. TO THIS THE SOLE CORPORATION, GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISSHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE ANSWER THUS: THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, THE KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE SERVANTS, SLAVES OF THE MOST HIGH GOD, THE "I AM", "ALLAH", "JEHOVAH", "YHWH", TO HIM BELONGS THE BEST OF NAMES, OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE SANCTIONED AND BLESSED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD...ORDAIN, ESTABLISH AND WILL STAND BEHIND, WILL WALK IN THE TRUTH, RIGHTEOUSNESS AND LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AS THEY EXIST RESTORED BY JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI EVEN UNTO DEATH... THE GOVERNMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, A FOREIGN STATE, AS RULED BY JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, HAD ONLY DELEGATED POWER FROM THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH WHO RISES ABOVE HIS ISTAWA(THRONE) IN HONOR, GLORY AND POWER, AND EVEN IF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH AL MAHDI, OR THE SERVANTS/ SLAVES/ CITIZENS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD AND GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE HAD AN INCLINATION, THEY HAD NO AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER THE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED SOVEREIGN KING, KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB EXCEPT TO THE KING/ KHALIFAH, IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST JESUS CHRIST OF NAZARETH(PBUH) UPON HIS APPEARANCE AS DECREED BY THE "I AM", "ALLAH". THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FIDUCIARY HEIR, GOD'S APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE RESTORED AND ADOPTED THE TEACHINGS , PRECEPTS, STATUTES AND LAWS OF THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) BEING RULING LAW THROUGHOUT THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC DOMINION; WHICH BINDS THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS COMMONWEALTH OF AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS WORLDWIDE AND ALL OTHER GOVERNMENTS OF THE WORLD WITHOUT THEIR OR ANY OTHER GLOBAL NATION'S CONSENT. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND OR GOVERNMENT RULED BY JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, ORDAINED AND SANCTIONED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AND SOLE CORPORATION CARRIES BATTLE AXE, SWORD, SPEAR, HELMET, BREASTPLATE, BODY SHIELD AND BUCKLER, BY WAY OF THEIR GOD APPOINTED IMAM, HIGH PRIEST, KING AND KHALIFAH, DECREED BY THE "I AM", "ALLAH", TO EXECUTE JUSTICE, FAIRNESS

OR WRATH UPON ANY GLOBAL EVILDOER WHO WOULD USURP THE DECREES, LAWS AND AUTHORITY OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AS A WHOLE, THEREFORE, EMANATES FROM THE ONE TRUE GOD AS RULED BY HIS FIDUCIARY HEIR, HIS APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND ALSO FROM THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) RESTORED AND OR INTERPRETED BY JONAH IBN YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND NOT FROM THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC CITIZENRY OR COMMON-WEALTH THOUGH THEY BE KINGS AND KHALIFAHS THEMSELVES. THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE CONTAINED THEREIN, WHETHER MADE BEFORE OR SINCE THE ADOPTION OF THE RESTORED AND OR INTERPRETED TEACHINGS OF AL MAHDI, ARE SUBORDINATE, THE KING-KHALIFAH ALSO BEING A MESSENGER AND PROPHET OF THE ONE TRUE GOD TO UNITE ALL AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS AROUND THE ENTIRE WORLD UNDER ONE BANNER TO PREPARE THEM FOR THE RETURN OF GOD'S APPOINTED CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH, MY GREAT ETC. GRAND UNCLE(PBUH)", ENGLISH-v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761.

GOD'S APPOINTED KING OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE SOLE CORPORATION, IS THE FOUNTAIN OF SOVEREIGNTY. THE WHOLE IS ORIGINALLY WITH HIM AS HIS OWN. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERN-MENT, PROVINCES, ORGANIZATIONS, CORPORATIONS, PLACES OF LEARNING, MOSQUES, SYNAGOGUES, CHURCHES, ENTITIES, AGENCIES AND OR AGENTS ETC. ARE BUT TRUSTEES ACTING UNDER A DERIVED AUTHORITY, AND HAVE NO POWER TO DELEGATE WHAT IS NOT DELEGATED TO THEM. BUT THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, JONAH AL MAHDI, AS THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN, MIGHT TAKE AWAY WHAT HE HAD LENT AND ENTRUST TO WHOM HE PLEASE. HE HAS THE WHOLE TITLE, AND AS ABSOLUTE PROPRIETOR, HAVE THE RIGHT OF USING AND ABUSING--JUS UTENDI ET ABUTENDI. IT IS A MAXIM CONSECRATED IN THEOCRATIC LAW, FOREIGN LAW, IN PUBLIC LAW AS WELL AS COMMON SENSE AND NECESSITY OF THE CASE THAT A SOVEREIGN IS ANSWERABLE FOR HIS ACTS ONLY TO HIS GOD AND HIS CONSCIENCE ALSO BEING IN THIS CASE PROPHET, LAWGIVER OF GOD....THERE IS NO AUTHORITY WITHIN ANY GLOBAL NATION ABOVE THE SOVEREIGN AND PROPHET JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, TO WHICH AN APPEAL CAN BE MADE BEING THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN. SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF

MUHAMMAD(PBUH); 4 WHEAT 402 (BOUVIER'S 14th. EDITION LAW DICTIONARY: "SOVEREIGNTY").

(33) "THE CONGRESS, PARLIAMENT OR ANY OTHER GLOBAL LEGISLATIVE BODY CANNOT REVOKE THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI TO OVERRIDE HIS WILL AS THUS DECLARED. THIS INCLUDES ANY GLOBAL COURT, PERRY v. UNITED STATES, (1935) 294 U.S. 330, 353; ENGLISH v. THORN SUPRA.; UNITED STATES v. WHEELER, 98 S.Ct. 1079 at 1083(1978).

NOTE: THE ABOVE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ARE NOT EXHAUSTIVE AND ADDITIONS CAN BE MADE AND OR ADDED AT ANY TIME.

" A SOVEREIGN IS ANSWERABLE ONLY TO GOD AND CONSCIENCE".

CAVEAT

THAT THE WORLD BANK, ALL MEMBER STATES AND NATIONS OF THE UNITED NATIONS, THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA, ALL OTHER GLOBAL NATIONS, THE U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE, THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CARIOLNA, THE UNITED STATES AND THE SEVERAL STATES CONTAINED THEREIN, THE VATICAN, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, ALL GLOBAL BANKING ENTITIES AND ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS LISTED IN THE U.N. DOCUMENT AS WELL AS THOSE LISTED IN THE DOCUMENTS FILED IN CASE 4:10-cv-4625-SBA IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT, , THOSE LISTED IN CASES 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294 IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, UPON RECEIPT OF THIS MEMORANDUM OF LAW ON THE SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, REDEEMED AND SECURED BY GOD'S HIGH PRIEST OF THE LINE OF AARON AND THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD WITH POINTS AND AUTHORITIES (VIA CERTIFIED MAIL # [SEE PAGE 1] NOTICE AND DEMAND IS MADE UPON YOU TO REVIEW AND RESPOND TO THE ABOVE MEMORANDUM AND EACH "POINT" AND "AUTHORITIES" AS ENUMERATED ABOVE AND ITS LIEN AND DOCUMENT UPON THE PUBLIC RECORD AND IN CASES

4:10-cv-4625-SBA AND 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294, AND BY CERTIFIED, U.S. MAIL TO THE SOVEREIGN AS IS ADDRESSED BELOW, WITHIN (15) DAYS UPON RECEIPT OF THIS MEMORANDUM, ALLOWING UP TO (3) DAYS GRACE FOR RETURN MAIL DELIVERY.

FAILURE TO DO SO, AS BY EITHER A PUBLIC SERVANT WHO BY OATH OF OFFICE OR DUTY AS AN "OFFICER", "AGENT" OR "EMPLOYEE" OF A GOVERNMENT CREATED CORPORATION, MINICIPALITY, ETC. AND OR BY AND THROUGH YOUR POSITION, OFFICE OR SUPERIOR KNOWLEDGE OF LAW, WILL PLACE YOU IN DEFAULT, AND THE PRESUMPTION WILL BE TAKEN UPON THE PRIVATE, COURT AND PUBLIC RECORD THAT YOU AND YOUR OFFICE AND OR GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS AND OR COMPANIES, CORPORATIONS, ORGANIZATIONS AND OR ENTITIES, FULLY AGREE TO THE "POINTS AND AUTHORITIES" WITH ITS LIEN(S) CONTAINED WITHIN THIS MEMORANDUM AND THAT THE "POINTS AND AUTHORITIES" WITH ITS LIEN(S) **ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND CERTAIN** (F.R.C.P. 8d),...AND THAT GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, AS NAMED BELOW AND HIS SEAL [redacted] IS SOVEREIGN WITHIN THE COLLECTIVE CAPACITY OF SAID APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT AND POSSESS TRUE SOVEREIGN POWER, 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(4); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(2)(3)(A).....

THIS DOCUMENT IS WHAT THE UNITED STATES AND THE REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS DEFAULTED ON. THIS IS WHAT THE U.S. CONGRESS, THE U.S. SENATE, THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT, THE U.S. TREASURY, HILLARY CLINTON, ERIC HOLDER, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL DEFENDANTS INVOLVED DEFAULTED ON AND IS WHY JUDGE MARCHANT AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE DO NOT WANT TO COME INTO THE FEDERAL COURT AS EVIDENCE. UNLESS THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER EMERGING FROM THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT IS NOT COMPROMISED BY ACTS OF FRAUD AND OR REMOVAL. THE DOCUMENT LEGALLY STANDS AND IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND AND I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM SOVEREIGN BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT

WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS, WHICH INCLUDE ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES AND ATTRIBUTES THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY ME TO PREVENT EVIDENCE OF THEIR CRIMES FROM ENTERING THE COURT. YOUR LAWS HAVE NO EFFECT UPON ME AND I CAN ACT IN ANY CAPACITY I SO DESIRE DUE TO PROPER SERVICE, NOTICE AND DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THIS DOCUMENT IS ONLY THE "TIP" OF THE ICEBERG AS TO WHAT IS FILED WITHIN THAT STATE CASE. THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY THAT'S BEFORE THIS COURT RELATED TO THE GOURDINE CASE ARE PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THE RICHLAND CASE. REPARATIONS FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE, LAND APPROPRIATION OF ANCESTRAL LANDS WITHIN THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA, LAND CALLED "FADAK" GIVEN TO MY GREAT ETC. GRANDMOTHER FATIMA BY THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH), THE "GITMO" DETAINEES SITUATION, ALL OF THIS AND MORE IS DEFAULTED ON BY THE GLOBAL NATIONS TO INCLUDE THE UNITED STATES WHICH IS WHY THEY DO NOT WANT THIS EVIDENCE TO ENTER INTO THE FEDERAL COURT. THE "2013" PREFIX IS A MISNOMER. THE ORIGINAL CASE NUMBERS ARE 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569 STILL PENDING BEING MADE A PART OF THE "2013" CASE ESTABLISHING EQUITABLE TOLLING FOR THE HABEAS CORPUS PROVING WE WERE DILIGENT FOR OVER (10) YEARS WORKING TO HAVE THESE MATTERS HEARD BEFORE THE COURT ONLY TO BE SUBJECTED TO FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) ATTACHES AND WE DO NOT HAVE TO EXHAUST FOR ANY CASE TO WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH IS PARTY. THE 2013-CP-400-0084 CASE ESTABLISHES A PAPER TRAIL AND EVIDENCE EXPANDING OVER (10) YEARS THAT PROVE THEIR CRIMES ARGUED WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES REQUIRING REMOVAL . JAHJAH CAN ACT AS ATTORNEY OR IN ANY CAPACITY HE SO DESIRES UNLESS IT CAN BE PROVEN BY CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANTS TIMELY RESPONDED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT ESTABLISHED BY THE FILED AFFIDAVITS. THE DEFENDANTS IN TOTAL MUST BE SERVED ALL THE PLEADINGS WITHIN THIS COURT AND BELOW TO GIVE THEM OPPORTUNITY TO REBUT, BUT SINCE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL WHO IS PARTY TO THE DEFAULT IN QUESTION IS BEFORE THIS COURT UNDER CASE 17-6960. LET HIM PROVE THAT HE OR THE ATTORNEY FOR HIM TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOW. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING AND KHALIFAH WITH ALL RIGHTS ESTABLISHED TO DEFEND MY PEOPLE

IN ANY GLOBAL COURT WITHOUT EXCEPTION, AND NO ONE CAN LEGALLY PREVENT ME DUE TO THE DEFAULT. YOU CANNOT CREATE LAW EX POST FACTO TO FORCE ME TO BREACH MY FIDUCIARY DUTIES AND CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION AS FIDUCIARY HEIR OBLIGATED TO DEFEND MY GOD AND MY PEOPLE. THE FEDERAL COURT HAD JURISDICTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1346, 2201, 2202, 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.,; ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2; ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10; THE C.A.T. TREATY; THE RICO ACT; FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3), 1986, 12203(a)(b) OF ADA; THE ANTI-PEONAGE ACT, THE 1st., 4th., 5th., 6th., 7th., 8th., 13th., 14th., AND 15th. AMENDMENTS AND OTHER LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. **IT IS SO ORDERD** BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. THE FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES-v. SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD BANCORP-v.-DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); PEREZ-v.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016).

REPARATION WERE ARGUED FOR, FOUGHT AND WON BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE WHERE AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE, THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF KING SOLOMOM AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA, EVEN ARGUING DECEDENT DOMICILE CLAIMS FOR MY FORE FATHERS AND MOTHERS WHO DIED ON AFRICAN SOIL AND DURING THE MIDDLE PASSAGE. THESE DECEDENT DOMICILE CLAIMS ATTACHED AND WERE DEFAULTED ON BY THE (193) MEMBER STATE OF THE UNITED NATIONS. RACISM IS A STRUCTURAL RELATIONSHIP BASED UPON THE SUBORDINATION OF ONE RACIAL GROUP BY ANOTHER. GIVEN THIS PROSPECTIVE, THE DETERMINING FEATURE OF RACE RELATIONS IS NOT PREJUDICE TOWARD BLACKS OR BLACKS TOWARD WHITES, BUT RATHER THE SUPERIOR POSITION, NOT CULTURE, NOT GENETICS OR SOME FALLACIOUS SUPPOSE SUPERIOR INTELLECT OF WHITES, BUT THE SUPERIOR POSITION OF WHITES AND THE INSTITUTIONS--IDEOLOGICAL AS WELL AS STRUCTURAL--WHICH MAINTAIN THAT SUPERIOR POSITION. AFRICAN AMERICANS HAVE ENDURED ALL MANNER OF INDIGNITIES, SUFFERINGS, DEPRIVATIONS, LOSSES AND IMPAIRMENTS UNDER THE REIGN OF WHITE SUPREMACY IN ITS VARIOUS HISTORICAL AND CONTEMPORARY FORMS. THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS AND

AFTEREFFECTS OF WHITE DOMINATION SHALL LAST FOR GENERATIONS AMONG ALL BLACK PEOPLES, ITS PRIMARY SUBJECTS. THE CENTRAL FUNCTION OF WHITE COMMANDEERED APARTHEID WAS TO FORCIBLY AND EXPLOITATIVELY EXTRACT THE MATERIAL, HUMAN AND PRODUCTIVE WEALTH OF BLACK PEOPLES AND REDISTRIBUTE THEM TO THEIR WHITE OVERLORDS. THE WEALTH POWER AND INFLUENCE WHITES EXPROPRIATED THROUGH THEIR COERCIVE DOMINATION AND EXPLOITATION OF BLACK LAND, LABOR AND PRODUCTIVITY HAVE CONTINUED TO EXPONENTIALLY EXPAND AND PERPETUATE THEMSELVES BY COMPOUNDING THE INTEREST ON THEIR ORIGINAL AND CONCURRENT PRINCIPLE. WHITE DOMINATION OF BLACKS, EVEN IF CONFINED TO THE PAST, ALLOWED WHITES TO ACCUMULATE ASTRONOMICAL WEALTH. MOREOVER, IT HAS ALLOWED WHITES TO CAPITALIZE THAT WEALTH BY DEVELOPING SOCIOECONOMICAL, TECHNOLOGIES AND SOCIOPOLITICAL ADVANTAGES WHICH WILL FACILITATE THEIR CONTINUING ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL DOMINATION OF BLACKS IN THE PRESENT AND INTO THE FUTURE EVEN UNDER GOVERNMENTAL REGIMES WHICH DO NOT LEGALLY OR POLITICAL-LY SANCTION RACIAL DISCRIMINATION OF ANY KIND, WHETHER OF THE FORWARD OR REVERSE VARIETY. REPARATIONS MUST BE GIVEN TO OFF SET THIS INJUSTICE. AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, AS MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR, JAHJAH AL MAHDI IS CALLED UPON BY CONTRACT, COVENANT, TO ADDRESS THESE INJUSTICES WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. A STATE OR GOVERNMENT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE DEFAULT, MAY NOT EXCLUDE A PERSON FROM THE PRACTICE OF LAW (LAWGIVER) OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION (FIDUCIARY HEIR) IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS OR EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OF THE 14TH. AMENDMENT. TO PREVENT ME FROM ACTING IN THE CAPACITY ESTABLISHED BY THE DEFAULT (And Contract) WOULD DENY ME THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, SCHWARE-v.-BOARD-OF-EXAM.-OF-STATE-OF-N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S. 1957); FREIRE-v.-SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA-BOARD-OF-MEDICINE-v.-ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E. 2d. 866(2017); DOE-v.-ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS-v.-VIRGINIA-BD.-OF-BAR-EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260 (E.D.Va.2011).

NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. ATTACH THE COURT

AND PARTIES WILL FIND: A COPY OF THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299. ALL ARGUMENTS, CLAIMS AND ISSUES PRESENTED ARE NOW SOUGHT ADDRESSED IN THIS APPEAL. IF THE COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF PAGES 12 THROUGH 24 IT EXPLAINS THE TRUST AND BENEFICIARY NATURE OF THE TRUST. THE SOLE CORPORATION WANTS ITS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RETURNED. ALL SAME SEX MARRIAGES NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY ARE TO BE RENDERED VOID AND OF A NULLITY. DO IT BY JUDICIAL ORDER SINCE THE UNITED NATIONS IS PARTY TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

28 U.S.C. § 2679 PROVIDES:

"THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT SHALL HAVE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION FOR REMEDY AGAINST THE UNITED STATES PROVIDED BY SECTIONS 1346(b) AND 2672 OF THIS TITLE FOR INJURY OR LOSS OF PROPERTY (INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY/ THE RIGHT TO MARRY GIVEN TO YOU AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS), OR PERSONAL INJURY OR DEATH ARISING OR RESULTING FROM NEGLECT OR WRONGFUL ACT OR OMISSION OF ANY EMPLOYEE OF THE GOVERNMENT WHILE ACTING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF HIS OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT IS EXCLUSIVE TO ANY OTHER CIVIL ACTION OR PROCEEDING.....; SANDRA J. KOTTMAN PLAINTIFF v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 4185481(W.D.MISSOURI.2017); WARD v. AUERBAUCH, 2017 WL 2724938(D.C.Miss.2017).

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DO WE HAVE THE RIGHT FOR DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.C.t. 1002(2016). THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. WE WANT CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO INCLUDE ALL DOCUMENTS CONTAINED THEREIN. WE WANT THAT DNA OBTAINED AND TESTED TO MICHAEL LEE AND THE OTHER EVIDENCE SOUGHT VIA THE FORM 24. WE WANT THAT INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER GRANTED IMMEDIATELY.

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DID THE DISTRICT COURT ABUSE ITS DISCRETION BY NOT GIVING REVIEW VIA THE PROPERLY FILED WRIT OF ERROR. UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES THE WRIT OF ERROR WAS

THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO USE. SEE THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT ON PAGES 13-14.

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITGATION PRESENTED DID WE ESTABLISH EQUITABLE TOLLING BY OUR CONTINUAL DILIGENCE SINCE 2006?

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION, TO INCLUDE THAT ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENT SEEKING INJUNCTION. DID WE MEET THE CRITERION FOR FILING HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(B)?

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION, TO INCLUDE THAT ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENT SEEKING INJUNCTION. DID WE MEET THE CRITERION FOR FILING HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(C)?

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DO HUMPHREYS OR PREISER APPLY SINCE THE CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT WHICH IS WHAT CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS AMONG OTHER THINGS?

NOTICE: ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. WE WANT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO PRODUCE A DOCUMENT FILED BY THEM IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DEMONSTRATING THAT ANY OF THEM TIMELY FILED RESPONSE TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT DOCUMENTS FILED AT THE END OF 2015.

THE LAWGIVER OF GOD RIGHTS, TITLES AND SOVEREIGNTY MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND SWAY. FOR THERE IS A HIGHER LOYALTY THAN TO LOYALTY TO THIS COUNTRY. THAT IS LOYALTY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, UNITED STATES v. SEEGER, 380 U.S. 163, 172, 85 S.Ct. 850, 13 L.Ed.2d. 733(U.S.1965). ALSO SEE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT 5 U.S.C. § 556(d).

NOTICE: IF ANY OF THE CASES LISTED WITHIN THR CAPTION HAVE BEEN CLOSED. WE MOTION TO REINSTATE ANY SUCH CASE. IF ANY OF THE CASES MANDATE HAS BEEN SENT TO THE LOWER COURT. WE MOTION TO RECALL IT TO HAVE ALL ISSUES ADDRESSED WHERE DUE TO PRESENT

STATE INTERFERENCE WE HAVE THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES, S.C.D.C., CONSPIRING TO PUSH US PAST THE DEADLINES ESTABLISHED BY THE COURT TO FORCE A PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE ISSUE. THEY ARE MAKING EFFORTS TO PREVENT AND OR DELAY OUR MAIL FROM GOING OUT. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THAT OUR FILINGS BE DEEMED TIMELY.

NOTICE: THE APPEAL OF THESE PARALLEL CASES WILL BE HEARD BASED UPON APPEAL OF CASE 2:17-1127-JMC-MGB; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA. ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE FILED WITHIN THESE CASES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES SHALL SIT EN BANC ABSENT OF THOSE JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED. COMITY ATTACHES.

NOTICE: DO RES JUDICATA ATTACH BY JUDGE WEST IN APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-6925 WHERE SHE DETERMINED THE § 1983 ACTION FILED FIT THE LEGAL DESIGNATION AS BEING AN AFFIDAVIT REQUIRING THE PARTIES TO BE SERVED BEFORE THE CASES ARE DISMISSED ONCE THE LISTING OF THE PARTIES IS CORRECTED WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS? DO WE HAVE A RIGHT TO AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING, THE APPOINTMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL AS SOUGHT AND REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §455(c)? THESE ARE ISSUES ON APPEAL.

NOTICE: WE RENEW THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOW BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE ON THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL. EVER SINCE THESE PARALLEL OR RELATED CASES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUT BEGAN. THE COURT CONSISTENTLY SENT US FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS WITH PLRA WRITTEN ALL OVER THEM. THUS, THE CHALLENGE OF ADDRESSING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW SHIFTED FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT BECAUSE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WAS ALSO MAKING USE OF THESE PROVISIONS, COMPROMISING THE PROCEEDINGS ESTABLISHING STRUCTURAL ERROR WHICH VOID JURISDICTION. NOW IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE ISSUE, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN CASE 17-7186 NOW SENDS DIFFERENT IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS? WE OBJECT AND A COPY OF FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS UNDER PLRA IS NOW FILED FOR CASE 17-7186 TO STOP THE

POTENTIAL FRAUD. IT IS CONSPICUOUS THAT ONE OR TWO OF THE JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED ARE INVOLVED IN THIS ACT AS WELL. SANCTIONS ARE IMPOSED AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS IN FORFEITURE ON THE ISSUE. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

INSOMUCH, THE LITIGATION ADDRESSING THESE UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS IS SEEN ON PAGES 15-22 OF THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT. ITS NOT GIBBERISH OR UNINTELLIGIBLE. NOT ONLY ARE THE ORDERS AND CASES THAT ARE NOW BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ARE TAINTED AND VOID, BECOMING ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS. BUT ALSO ALL ORDERS ISSUED WITHIN THE LOWER DISTRICT COURTS BY JUDGES HODGES, BAKER, WEST, AUSTIN AND MARCHANT. ALL OF THEM MUST BE RENDERED VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION, TO INCLUDE IT BEING ADDRESSED THAT JUDGE AUSTIN VIOLATED HER DUTIES AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES INVOLVED HAVE BROKEN THE LAW BY THEIR ORDERS AND THE ESTABLISHING OF ALL OF THESE CASES AS THEY PRESENTLY EXIST. THE USE OF AEDPA BY HODGES; EVERY CASE THAT NOW EXIST WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT; EVERY CASE CITED BY JUDGES MARCHANT, BAKER, WEST AND JUDGE AUSTIN THEY USED TO DENY CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION OR JOINDER OF PARTIES, OR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS OR RECUSAL AS CITED, TO INCLUDE CASES SUCH AS 612 F3d. 237; 136 S.Ct. 627; 262 F3d. 1194; 15 F3d. 319; 2012 WL 2805712; 2013 WL 3051155; 42 U.S.C. § 1997 IF IT HAS ANY CONNECTION RELATED TO THE CLINTON BILL; 534 U.S. 516; 548 U.S. 81; GENERAL RULE ORDER UNDER 3:07-MC-5014; 5:17-cv-0105; 4:16-cv-2939; 1:16-cv-3853; 4:16-cv-3104; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA; 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 0:16-cv-992; 2013 WL 1316025; 2008 WL 249167; 407 U.S. 163; 509 F2d. 1405; 213 F3d. 1320; 175 Fed. Appx' 552; ANY CASE THAT WAS FILED IN THE PAST SINCE 2006 BY ALL OTHER PAST PARTIES TO THESE CASES; TO INCLUDE EVERY CASE FILED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FOR WHICH THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT OR ANY OTHER FEDERAL COURT UNJUSTLY ISSUED A "STRIKE" IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS , OR THAT THEY PREVIOUSLY RULED ON AND OR DISMISSED. ALL OF THESE CASES ARE TAINTED AND CANNOT ANY LONGER BE USED BY ANY COURT BEING ILLEGAL. ALL OF THESE CASES TAINTS THEIR ORDERS RENDERING THEM VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND AN ABUSE OF

DISCRETION, BECAUSE ALL OF THESE CASES AND CITINGS OF LAW ARE RENDERED INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT AND OR THE ANTI-TERRORISM EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT ORIGINATING FROM THE OMNIBUS CRIME BILL UNDER 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 SET IN PLACE BY THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION'S WAR ON DRUGS CAMPAIGN MAKING THEM ILLEGAL.

WE EXPAND THE SCOPE AND SEEK INCLUSION EVEN IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND THE BOOK ENTITLED, "MASS INCARCERATION DURING THE AGE OF COLOR BLINDNESS, THE NEW JIM CROW", BY MICHELLE ALEXANDER ISBN. NO. 978-1-59558-643-8 AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATOR AND THE DOCUMENTARY "13" THAT AIRED ON PBS IS NOW A PART OF ALL COURT RECORDS INVOLVED. UNLESS YOU BY SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE CAN SUFFICIENTLY REBUT THE EVIDENCE AND STATISTICS GATHERED BY THESE INDEPENDENT SOURCES. IF THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW, THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL BY THE EVIDENCE AND LITIGATION PRESENTED, WHICH THEY ARE, STANDING IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880) AND THE SLAUGHTER HOUSE CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873.

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR ON ISSUES SUCH AS THESE, WHICH INCLUDE THE STATE V. GENTRY CASE, PLRA, AEDPA AND 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 AS A WHOLE. IF RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW. THE COURT EXPLAINED THAT IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO DETERMINATION AT ALL. WHERE DIRECT AND OR COLLATERAL REVIEW PROCEEDINGS PERMIT PERSONS TO CHALLENGE THE LAWFULNESS OF THEIR CONFINEMENT, STATES CANNOT REFUSE TO GIVE RETROACTIVE EFFECT TO SUBSTANTIVE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT THAT DETERMINES THE OUTCOME OF THAT CHALLENGE OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION (ei. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, SUCCESSIVE, JURISDICTIONAL REQUISITES OR PREREQUISITES, PLRA AND AEDPA FILINGS ETC.). A CONVICTION OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION RENDERED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW IS NOT MERELY

ERRONEOUS. BUT IT IS ILLEGAL AND VOID, AND CANNOT BE A LEGAL CAUSE OF IMPRISONMENT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. A SENTENCE, CONVICTION, LEGISLATION, OR EVEN A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IMPOSED IN VIOLATION OF A SUBSTANTIVE RULE (ei. INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND YOU DON'T USE LAW OR LEGISLATION THAT DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICANS) OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW IS NOT MERELY ERRONEOUS, BUT CONTRARY TO LAW, AND IT FOLLOWS, AS A GENERAL PRINCIPLE, THAT A COURT HAS NO AUTHORITY (AUTHORITY=POWER =JURISDICTION) TO LEAVE IN PLACE A CONVICTION, SENTENCE, LEGISLATION (SUCH AS THAT WHICH VIOLATE EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES), OR A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THAT VIOLATES A SUBSTANTIVE RULE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE CONVICTION, SENTENCE, LEGISLATION, OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION BECOMES FINAL BEFORE THE RULE IS ANNOUNCED. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION DUE TO YOUR USE OF THE PLRA AND OR THE AEDPA PROVISIONS AND YOU CONSPIRING TO CIRCUMVENT RULING UNDER CASE 17-7186. YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD FOR TRYING TO GET PAST RULING UNDER 17-7186 AND YOU FORFEIT ON THE CAUSES PRESENTED. THE STATE-v.-GENTRY CASE, THE PLRA, THE AEDPA, ALL OF THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS DETERMINATIONS, 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 AND OTHER ISSUES ARGUED WITHIN ALL OF THESE CASES CANNOT STAND AND YOU MUST GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT BY LAW AND DETERMINATION OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. **IT IS SO ORDERD**, MONTGOMERY-v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEFT-OUTDOORS-LLC-v.-CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANAPOLIS-***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL-v.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE-v.-SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017).

SINCE THE MONTGOMERY CASE WAS ISSUED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. A FEW STATES, COURTS OR PARTIES, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, MADE EFFORTS TO SKIRT, CIRCUMVENT, THE REQUIREMENT OF GRANTING RELIEF, FRAUDULENTLY ASSERTING AND OR ARGUING AGAINST WHETHER OR NOT THE RULING WAS TO BE GIVEN RETROACTIVE EFFECT, WHICH OF COURSE IT DOES HAVE RETROACTIVE EFFECT, TO INCLUDE WHAT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUST TRIED

TO PULL UNDER CASE 17-7186. WE ARE NOT GOING TO PLAY GAMES WITH THIS LAW LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, BECAUSE EVEN THOUGH IT IS TO BE GIVEN RETROACTIVE EFFECT. WE WERE NOT DECEIVED BY THE "VERBAL VOODOO", "THE WORD WINX", "THE LINGUISTIC LURING INTO LETHARGY", "THE JUDICIAL CHICANERY" OR DECEPTIVE LEGAL MANEUVERS OR TACTICS EMPLOYED AND ENGAGED IN BY THOSE CONSPIRING COURTS OR PARTIES, TO INCLUDE WHAT JUST OCCURRED RELATED TO CASE 17-7186. LETS **"NAIL"** THIS THING AND KILL ANY EFFORT FOR THE CONSPIRING PARTIES TO ATTEMPT TO USE THIS MANEUVER OR ANY OTHER. WE WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT WE ARE FULLY COGNIZANT OF WHAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ESTABLISHED BY THE **MONTGOMERY** CASE. EVEN THOUGH THAT CASE DEALT WITH JUVENILES. THE SUBSIDIARY FACT HERE IS THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CLEARLY ADDRESSED WHAT OCCUR WHEN COURTS MAKE USE OF **"ANY"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW, LEGISLATION, SENTENCE ETC. RELATED TO CONVICTION OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS.

INSOMUCH, WHAT MAKES THE RELIEF THAT WE SEEK MANDATORY AND AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION FOR FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND GRANT, IS THE ESSENTIAL LANGUAGE USED AND ADJUDICATED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WHICH THE AFORESAID CONSPIRING COURTS FAILED TO ADDRESS, SKIRTING, CIRCUMVENTING THE OBLIGATION TO GRANT RELIEF. THE FOCUS IS NOT SO MUCH ON WHETHER THE RELIEF BY USE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW APPLIES RETROACTIVELY, EVEN THOUGH IT DOES. THE CONSPIRING COURTS ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD TO DIVERT ATTENTION AWAY FROM THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S ESSENTIAL LANGUAGE. THE EMPHASIS IS ON SECTIONS "20-27" OF THE **MONTGOMERY** CASE WHERE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT STATED IN [H]AEC [V]ERBA:

"THE LAW EXPLAINED THAT IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO LAW DETERMINED AT ALL".

BY THIS LANGUAGE, IT IS PERSPICUOUS THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ADJUDICATED AND USED. THEIR CLEAR INTENT WAS TO MAKE THE USE OF **"ANY"** UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS, LEGISLATION, JUDICIAL DETER-

MINATIONS OF THIS MAGNITUDE A **"CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR"** NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THEIR INTENT WAS TO MAKE IT **"JURISDICTIONAL"** IN NATURE, WHICH **"VOIDS"** JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THEREFORE, WHETHER YOU WANT TO APPLY IT RETROACTIVE OR NOT. IT DOESN'T MATTER, BECAUSE THE USE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OF THIS MAGNITUDE IS **"STRUCTURAL"** (ei. **"FOUNDATION"**, **"WHOLE"**) AND IS "JURISDICTIONAL" (ei. AUTHORITY= JURISDICTION=POWER TO HEAR AND DETERMINE), WHICH CANNOT BE WAIVED BY THE PLAINTIFFS, AND DUE TO THE INFIRMITIES CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME EVEN AFTER A FINAL ORDER HAS BEEN ISSUED, AND THE COURT SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE. THUS, WHETHER OR NOT SUCH A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION APPLIES RETROACTIVELY IN THIS CASE IS IRRELEVANT, EVEN THOUGH IT DOES. IT IS ALSO **"JURISDICTIONAL"** AND **"VOID"** JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, TAINTING THE ENTIRE FRAMEWORK OF ALL THE PROCEEDINGS INVOLVED, VINES v. UNITED STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARVONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED, CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA 136 S.Ct. 718(2016); WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); GRUPO DALAFLUX v. ATLAS GLOBAL GROUP, L.P., 124 S.Ct. 1920(2004).

JUDGES GREGORY, SHEDD, DIAZ, THE OTHER 4TH. CIRCUIT CASES CAPTIONED, MARCHANT, WEST, HODGES AND BAKER BROKE THE LAW. TRUSTEE AUSTIN VIOLATED THE TRUST, HER DUTIES AS TRUSTEE, BY USING THIS LAW WARRANTING SANCTIONS. THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AND THOSE SOUGHT WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MUST RECUSE. JUDGE AUSTIN MUST BE REQUIRED TO FULFILL HER DUTIES AS TRUSTEE. THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. WITH THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA BEING UNCONSTITUTIONAL; THE COURT IS REQUIRED TO ALLOW US THE RIGHTS ESTABLISHED FOR INMATES PRIOR TO THE TIME THESE ENACTMENTS WERE ESTABLISHED. JAHJAH MUST BE PERMITTED TO ACT UPON THE RIGHTS ESTABLISHED BY THE CONTRACT WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS. THUS, JOINT FILING AND CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION IS PERMITTED AS IT WAS BEFORE THESE PROVISIONS EVOLVED. TO NOT ALLOW SUCH WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. YOU ADD TO THIS THE INVOKING OF ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLA-

TIVE SUPERSEDING POWER GIVEN TO JAHJAH AL MAHDI BY THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, ALLOWING HIM TO JOIN AS PARTY IN ALL PENDING PARALLEL CASES TO BRING ACTION BEFORE ALL COURTS DUE TO HIS ACQUIRED INTEREST UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. THE MANDAMUS CASES IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, ALL CASES USED BY GREGORY ,SHEDD, DIAZ ETC., ALSO JUDGES MARCHANT ETC. PRODUCED INVOKING THESE UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS ARE VOID AND ARE IF THERE WERE NO DETERMINATION OCCURRING AT ALL BY DECREE OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S STATED POSITION AS WELL AS BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHOSE SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDS THIS COURT BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE U.N. BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. HUMPHREYS NOR PREISER ARE BINDING ON US SINCE THE CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, PEOPLE v. SOLO SUPRA; N.L.R.B. v. AMAX COAL CO., DIV. OF AMAX INC., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789(U.S.1981); FIFTH THIRD BONCORP. v. DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.ED.2d. 457(U.S.2014); FOBES v. FORBES, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015 WY. 13 JAN. 23, 2015; SCOTT v. CLARKE, 61 F.Supp.3d. 569(W.Va.2014); BURNELL v. FAHEY, 699 F3d. 804 (MEM)(4TH.CIR.2012); U.S. v. $41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; BROWN v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014); MARSHALL v. ROCK HILL, S.E.2d., 2015 WL 3884258(S.C. App.2015); CAPITAL TRANS INTERN., LLC. v. INTERNATIONAL PETROLEUM INV. CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013).

JUDGE AUSTIN AS APPOINTED TRUSTEE OVER THESE CASES WAS REQUIRED TO ACT WITH THE UTMOST OF INTEGRITY. INSTEAD SHE ACTED WITH RECREANT AND OPPROBRIOUS INTENT, AS DID THE OTHER FEDERAL JUDGES. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE JURISDICTION OF ALL COURTS INVOLVED ESSENTIALLY ESTABLISHING A REMAND LIMITING, RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. ONCE JURISDICTION IS ACQUIRED,...IT IS EXCLUSIVE. YOUR ACTIONS VIOLATE THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED, PRESAULT v. I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN

v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-v.-HHGREGG-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OBARAH-v.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015). THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS, ALL OF THEM, ARE TO BE GRANTED. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI, KING, KHALIFAH
AND CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

ANTHONY COOK

ROBERT MITCHELL

david duren

YAHYA MUQUIT

CHRISTOPHER WILSON

OCTOBER 5, 2017

RECEIVED
APR 12 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

"ISSUES"

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-002108

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

APPENDIX

HERE WITHIN THIS APPENDIX THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND ALL PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF COMMISSION, [11] PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 20, 2017;

(2) EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 1". THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING OBJECTIONS

TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION****, [22] PAGES DATED MAY 16, 2017 FILED WITHIN THE FEDERAL PARALLEL RELATED CASES SUCH AS CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM. THIS IS ONLY ONE OF THE CASES THAT CASE 2017-002108 IS PETITIONED REMOVED TO;

(3) EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2". THIS DOCUMENT IS THE BRIEF FILED IN THE GOURDINE CASE WHICH CONTAIN THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY. IT IS ALSO FILED IN THE david duren CASE UNDER 2017-000605 PENDING IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT;

(4) EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THIS IS THE MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299 PENDING WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. IT EXPLAINS THE "TRUST" TO WHICH THE PETITIONER, THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL OTHER PETITIONERS WITHIN THE FEDERAL CASES ARE BENEFICIARIES OF. IT EXPLAINS THE RIGHT TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REGARDING THE RIGHT TO MARRY GIVEN TO THE SOLE CORPORATION TO PROTECT AND HOW THIS STATE AND NATION VIOLATED THE TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT", "GRANT" GIVEN TO THEM WHEN THEY GAVE THIS RIGHT TO THE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES OF YOUR NATIONS;

(5) EXHIBIT, "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN". THIS DOCUMENT IS TO BE FILED WITHIN BOTH CASES 2017-000605 AND CASE 2017-002108. IT GIVES FURTHER DETAILS INTO THE FACTS OF THESE RELATED CASES, THE FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY ALSO INVOLVING JUDGE ALISON LEE WHO SAT ON THE APPEAL OF CASE 2014-001051 CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR VOIDING THE S.C. COURT OF APPEAL'S JURISDICTION;

(6) EXHIBIT, "INJUNCTION". THIS DOCUMENT FURTHER HIGHLIGHTS THE ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT JUDGE LEE IS INVOLVED IN WITHIN MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS, WHERE SHE IS A DEFENDANT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL FEDERAL AND OR STATE CASES CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR IN CASE 2014-001051;

(7) EXHIBIT, "MAHDI # 1". THIS IS THE [49] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE;****, DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2017 FURTHER PROVING THE FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY JUDGE LEE IS PARTY TO CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR IN CASE 2014-001051 DUE TO HER

BEING A DEFENDANT IN THE PENDING FEDERAL PARALLEL IN THE DISTRICT CASES COURT AND 4TH. CIRCUIT;

(8) EXHIBIT(S), "duren #'S 1 AND 2". THESE [2] DOCUMENTS FURTHER HIGHLIGHT THE FRAUD INVOLVED IN BY JUDGE LEE AND THE OTHER STATE ACTORS ESTABLISHING STRUCTURAL ERROR IN CASE 2014-001051 DUE TO JUDGE LEE BEING A DEFENDANT IN THESE CASES AND DEMONSTRATING THAT WE MEET THE CRITERION UNDER ROSS-v.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) SHOWING WE DO NOT HAVE TO EXHAUST STATE REMEDIES BECAUSE THERE ARE NONE AVAILABLE DUE TO THE MACHINATION;

(9) EXHIBIT "DNA". THIS IS THE DNA APPLICATION THAT ESTABLISHES CASE 04-385 PENDING IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS. WE WANT THIS DNA EVIDENCE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND S.C. SUPREME COURT;

(10) EXHIBIT(S), "CHAIN OF CUSTODY #'S 1 AND 2". THESE DOCUMENTS CONTAIN THE LITIGATION ARGUING AGAINST THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY THAT IS SOUGHT REVIEW WITHIN CASE 2017-002108 DUE TO THE POTENTIAL PLANTING OF EVIDENCE AND WHERE THE STATE COURTS IN THEIR DETERMINATION ACTED AS LEGISLATORS NOT JUDGES STRIPPING DEFENDANTS OF THE PROTECTIONS PLACED UPON THEM BY STATUTORY INTENT;

(11) EXHIBIT, "REMOVAL". WE GIVE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, ALL JUDGES CONTAINED THEREIN AND ALL OTHER PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. CASE 2017-002108 IS PETITIONED REMOVED TO BOTH CASES 9:17-cv-2897-TLW-BM AND 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM;

(12) EXHIBIT, "INDICTMENTS". THESE ARE THE INDICTMENTS THAT I, JOSEPH ROWLAND, INTEND TO ARGUE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY FOR WHICH CLASS ACTION IS SOUGHT DIRECTLY EFFECT MY CASE AS WELL, TO INCLUDE EFFECTING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CASE AND ALL OTHER PETITIONERS INVOLVED WHICH IS WHY JUDGE LEE SAT ON THIS CASE TO HINDER AND OR PREVENT REVIEW GIVING WAY TO STRUCTURAL ERROR IN CASE 2014-001051;

(13) EXHIBIT, "APPEAL COURT ORDERS". THESE ARE THE ORDERS FROM CASE 2014-001051;

(14) EXHIBIT, "SEARCH WARRANTS #'S 1 AND 2". THIS IS THE LITIGATION ARGUING THE TAINTING AND OR INVALIDATION OF THE SEARCH WARRANT(S).

REFERRING BACK TO ITEM NUMBER (6) EXHIBIT "INJUCTION". WE GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES FURTHER NOTICE RELATED TO THIS DOCUMENT. IT PROVES THE OBSTRUCTION CONSPIRED IN BY THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, JUDGE LEE AND PARTIES WORKING WITH THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS TO HAVE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT UPON THESE PROCEEDINGS BY RESTRICTING AND OR DENYING COPIES. WE WANT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND S.C. SUPREME COURT TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AGAINST S.C.D.C. WHO WERE SERVED THE DOCUMENT TO STOP THE RETALIATORY ATTACKS. WE WANT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S PROPERTY THAT WAS DAMAGED, STOLE, DESTROYED OR GAVE AWAY TO INMATES AS PAYMENT FOR PHYSICAL ASSAULTS UPON US REPLACED AS IS SOUGHT BY THAT DOCUMENT WHICH INCLUDE THE OBTAINING OF THAT DNA.

INASMUCH, THE PETITIONER(S) DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ALL DOCUMENTS CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPENDIX INCLUDE QUESTIONS PRESENTED, WHICH ALSO INCLUDE THOSE PRESENTED ON A SUBSIDIARY LEVEL FAIRLY COMPRISED THEREIN AND ARE RELEVANT TO DOCUMENTS RULED ON OR DISMISSED THAT ARE FILED IN THE CASE.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND



NOVEMBER 17, 2017

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

Item # 2 listed

in lead document

2

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED
FEB 01 2018
S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-0001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-0002108 ET. AL.,

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

VS.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE AND ALL PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND MOTIONS; SUPPLEMENTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OR APPENDIX IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,


FIRST, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, RENEWS ALL PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND

MOTIONS PREVIOUSLY FILED RELATED TO VACATING THE ORDERS FOR ALL REASONS PREVIOUSLY ASSERTED WHICH INCLUDE ALL JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES CONTAINED THEREIN, BECAUSE NOW THAT THE RESPONDENT HAS SUBMITTED THIS MOTION TO DISMISS. HE HAS NOW OPENED THE DOOR FOR ALL DOCUMENTS FILED SUBMISSION TO RESPOND IN OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION AS WELL, U.S. v. WILLIAMS, 106 F3d. 1173(4th.Cir. 1997); U.S. v. CRAIG, 358 Fed. Appx' 446, 2009 WL 5175592(4th. Cir.2009); U.S. v. LEIGH, 61 Fed. Appx' 854, 2003 WL 1711227 (4th.Cir.2003); WILLIAMS v. BISHOP, 2015 WL 4984396(Md.2015).

LETS DEAL WITH THE RESPONDENT'S CONCERNS SYSTEMATICALLY, LOGICALLY, ONE BY ONE BUT BEFORE THAT, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS AGAIN TO STRIKE HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, BECAUSE LIKE ITS PRE-DECESSOR, IT TOO, IS CORRUPTED BY FURTHER ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DONE IN EFFORTS TO AID THE RESPONDENT TO SUBMIT THIS DOCUMENT AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION, MISREPRESENTATION AND CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN HIS ABUSIVE, OPPROBRIOUS CONDUCT TO INTERFERE WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AND TO PREVENT HIS REQUIRE-MENT AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLYING WITH SUBSTANTIVE AND PRO-CEDURAL DEMANDS OF DUE PROCESS LAW, TO CONCEAL HIS ARBITRARY , CAPRICIOUS BEHAVIOR IN THE CASES INVOLVED SUBJUDICE, AND HIS UNWILLINGNESS TO RESPOND WHICH WOULD PRODUCE OVERWHELMING EVI-DENCE THAT ALL FACTS STATED ARE TRUE AND BY FAR, THEY ARE NOT FRIVOLOUS. THE RESPONDENT IS BLOWING SMOKE AND IS WORSE THAN A PAPER TIGER. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES ON THE RECORD CHALLENGES THE RESPONDENT BEFORE THIS COURT. DON'T JUST TALK ABOUT IT,...BE ABOUT IT. PRODUCE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES SEEK WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS. OR THE RESPONDENT'S PLEADINGS ARE JUST A BUNCH OF FRAUD AND EMPTY RHETORIC DESIGNED TO CONCEAL HIS INABILITY TO MEET THE CHALLENGE AND HIS INVOLVEMENT DURING AND OR AFTER THE FACT IN CLASS A, B AND C FELONIES WHICH WOULD ALSO INDISPUTABLY PROVE, THAT IF ANY ONE TO THESE PROCEEDINGS ABUSED THE COURTS AND HOLD THE SANCTITY OF ITS STRUCTURAL APPARATUS IN CONTEMPT,...IT IS HE THAT IS BLATANTLY GUILTY OF THIS CHARGE AND NOT THE APPELLANT, AND IT IS HE WHO IS CONSPIRING TO DISRUPT THE COURT, AND THAT IT IS HIS CLAIMS THAT ARE MESMERIZINGLY FANTASTIC AND DELUSIONAL. HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! #1". THIS IS THE [34] PAGE COMPLAINT THAT WAS FILED IN CASE 2016-CP-400-0552. DON'T LET THE CASE NUMBER FOOL YOU. THIS IS THE ORIGIN OF ALL THAT IS PLACED BEFORE US. OBSERVE THAT IT IS CLOCKED STAMPED BY THE COURT FILED MARCH 13, 2007. IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE(S) 17-18 OF THIS DOCUMENT. HERE IS THE FIRST CONNECTION BETWEEN THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, AS IS FOR THOSE OTHERS SOUGHT RELEASED. IT IS THE (180) DAY RULE LITIGATION. IT WAS FILED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH since 2006 RESUBMITTED IN THIS DOCUMENT UPON REQUEST TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN. IT WAS GIVEN TO HIS PRESENT ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL OTHERS REFERRED TO IN THIS CASE AND THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE APPLIES TO ALL OF OUR CONVICTIONS DESPITE ANY VARIANCE AN ALLEGED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. THUS, I OBJECT TO THAT LUDICROUS CLAIM THAT THE DOCUMENTS HAVE NO CONNECTION TO THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL, WHEN THEY BLOCKED ITS REVEIEW BY DENYING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR WHERE COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHED WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE. DON'T LET THE CASE NUMBER ON THE ACTION FOOL YOU. JUDGE CHILDS INITIALLY SAT ON THIS CASE. SHE DISMISSED IT. THE KING-KHALIFAH THEN BROGHT THE MATTER BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT ALONG WITH (3) OTHER RELATED CASES COMPLAINING ABOUT THEIR DISMISSAL. THE FEDERAL COURT REMANDED THE (4) CASES STATING THEY SHOULD HAVE NOT DISMISSED THE CASES WHICH ESTABLISHED NEW CASES NUMBERS, 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568 AND 3569 WHERE THE FEDERAL COURT COMBINED TWO OF THE FOUR BECAUSE THEY WERE SIMILAR INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT CONSPIRING WITH S.C.D.C.. THE CASES WERE REINSTATED IN 2008 WHERE THE COURT HELD THEM IN LIMBO FOR (5) ADDITIONAL YEARS FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO FILE INDEPENDENT ACTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, INORDINATE DELAY AND AMONG OTHER THINGS FAILURE TO ALLOW DISCOVERY TO ISSUE WHICH CAUSED DELAY IN TRIAL. THEY ARE IN DEFAULT ON THESE MATTERS FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD AND IT BEING INEXCUSABLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL TO HOLD THESE CASES PENDING FOR (12) YEARS. DON'T LET THE "2013" PREFIX FOOL YOU. THE CASE IS OVER (12) YEARS OLD. BY THIS DOCUMENT ALONE, THE FRAUD THEY CONSPIRED IN IS CLEAR, DEFINITE AND CERTAIN TO ADD WHAT WAS DONE DIRECTLY IN OUR EFFORTS TO BRING THIS CASE. THE "CLARITY", NOT "NEBULOUS", HAS ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED BY THE LOWER COURT INVOLVED. BY THE (180) DAY ISSUE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES

AND THOSE OF US INVOLVED SHOULD BE HOME NOW BY THIS LEGAL ISUUE DUE TO RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE THESE PLEADINGS ARE AN INTRINSIC PART OF THAT CASE WHICH TAINTS THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO HE BEING PROCEDURALLY BARRED IN RAISING SUCH BY LAW. THUS, AGAIN, I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THE ACTS OF FRAUD ARE NEBULOUS OR FRIVOLOUS AND THE ATTORNEY TO THE (4) THRONES IS JUST GETTING STARTED.



(2) EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! # 2". THIS IS THE INTAKE SHEET FROM SLED INVESTIGATIVE FILE 5501014. YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS DOCUMENT AS IT IS EXPLAINED IN EXHIBIT, "RAGE!!! # 1". THIS IS WHY THE RESPONDENT'S OFFICE COMPROMISED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ON DIRECT APPEAL WHICH JUDGE HEARNS WAS PARTY TO THIS CONSPIRACY BEING GIVEN KNOWLEDGE OF THE WRONG ON THE COURT RECORD PURSUANT TO SEEKING LEAVE TO TERMINATE COUNSEL. THE KING-KHALIFAH ARGUED BEFORE THE TRIAL COURT THAT THEY DID NOT PROVE THE ELEMENTS OF "TIME AND PLACE" FOR THE MURDER WHICH ARE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE. THEY TOOK THE PRESERVATION OF THE ISSUE OUT OF THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT, DELETED IT, FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION, THEN KEPT THE TRANSCRIPT FROM HIM UNTIL WELL OVER (6) MONTHS. BY THAT TIME, THE COURT REPORTER SAID THE AUDIO NO LONGER EXISTED TO DO A RECONSTRUCTION HEARING, AND THEY FORCED AND KEPT STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL ON HIM AGAINST HIS WILL, TO KEEP HIM FROM ADDRESSING IT. THE INDICTMENT ALLEGED SHE DIED ON JANUARY 25, 2001 AND THAT SHE DIED IN KERSHAW COUNTY. IN TRUTH, SHE DIED ON JANUARY 26, 2001 AND SHE DIED IN RICHLAND COUNTY AS IS FURTHER PROVEN BY THE ACTUAL DEATH CERTIFICATE. JOHN MEADORS LIED ON THE COURT RECORD AT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S COMPETENCY HEARING AND STATED THIS FILE DID NOT EXIST. THE CORONER'S NOTES ARE IN THIS FILE DENYING HIM ESSENTIAL DISCOVERY EVIDENCE. IT IS CLEAR FROM THIS DOCUMENT THAT THE FILE DO INDEED EXIST DESPITE MEADOR'S PERJURY. LOOK AT THE CAUSE OF DEATH, AND NOTE THAT IT CLEARLY STATES IT IS AFTER THE AUTOPSY WAS DONE. THE CAUSE OF DEATH IS LISTED AS MALNOURISHMENT AND THERE IS A "QUESTION MARK" BY THE WORD "TRAUMA", WHICH SUPPORTS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CLAIM THAT THE CORONER, JOHNNY FELLORS, SHOWED UP AT HIS BOND HEARING AND STATED, "NO ALLEGED BEATING KILLED

HIS CHILD. AT MOST IT MAY HAVE BEEN A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR." IF THE "TRAUMA" OR "ALLEGED BEATING"IS QUESTIONABLE, WHAT IS THE KING-KHALIFAH DOING BEING CONVICTED FOR MURDER? WHAT DOES THIS DOCUMENT MEAN WHEN IT ASSERTS THAT THE INITIAL CAUSE OF DEATH IS "MALNOURISHMENT"? ITS DIABETES, WHICH IS THE EXACT QUESTION THE CORONER ASKED THE KING-KHALIFAH IF SHE HAD THIS THE NIGHT OF HER DEATH. IT DON'T ADD UP. SHE WAS 180 LBS. AT 11 YEARS OLD WITH THE BODY OF A GROWN WOMAN WHO ATE LIKE A HORSE. THE ONLY WAY TO POTENTIALLY PROVE THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH NOW IS TO TEST THAT DNA TO MICHAEL LEE AS IS SOUGHT BY THE CROWN. THIS IS WHAT THE RESPONDENT IS TRYING TO AVOID IN ACTS OF FRAUD BECAUSE IT WOULD PROVE THEY CONSPIRED TO CREATE A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES WANT THIS EVIDENCE AND THE SEARCH WARRANT THEY USED TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HOME PRODUCED NOW!!! STOP PLAYING THESE GAMES!!! PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) WE SOUGHT TO HELP HIM GET THIS ESSENTIAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE BY HAVING THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY HEARD IN OUR CASES BECAUSE JUDGE TOAL BLOCKED THE FILING OF HIS PCR BY FRAUD AND THE ISSUES ARE JURISDICTIONAL EFFECTING US ALL DESPITE ANY DIFFERENCES IN ALLEGED [redacted] ILLEGAL ACTIVITY AND THE RESPONDENT AND JUDGES CONSPIRED TO ATTACK OUR CASES, TO INCLUDE MY DIRECT APPEAL, TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE FROM SURFACING. THIS IS THE OTHER CONNECTION AND THE RELEVANCE OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED. THUS, I OBJECT TO THE CLAIM THERE IS NO CONNECTION TO MY CHARGES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONVICTION. I OBJECT TO THE CLAIM THAT THE ACTS OF FRAUD ARE NEBULOUS. THE STATE MUST PROVE THE CAUSE OF DEATH AND THEY FABRICATED IT AND ATTACKED MY DIRECT APPEAL TO PREVENT THIS FROM BEING REVEALED, ZIGGLER-v.-BUSH, 2015 WL 3775901(DSC.2015); RIFE-v.-BLANKENSHIP, 721 F2d. 983 CA4 (Va. 1983); BURBAGE-v.-U.S., 134 S.Ct. 881(U.S.2014); U.S.-v.-WHITEHEAD, 695 F3d. 288 CA4 (N.C.2012). THESE ARE CLEAR AND DEFINITE ACTS OF FRAUD ACCEPTED BY THE RICHLAND COURT AS NOT BEING FRIVOLOUS. THUS, RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES TAINTING HIS SUBMISSION RENDERING THE DOCUMENT UNCONSTITUTIONAL, INADMISSIBLE AND VOID. I MOVE TO STRIKE IT.

CONTEMPT?,...ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR? MY CONTEMPT IS WITH JUDGES AND STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIALS WHO THINK THAT THEY CAN ENGAGE

IN PRACTICES AND ISSUES OF JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THAT SPIT IN THE FACE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" AND STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE U.S. SUPREME COURT AND DUE PROCESS LAW, AND BY SUCH, "THEY" ENGAGE IN OUTRAGEOUS BEHAVIOR. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, LIKE THE RESPONDENT, WITH THE OTHER OFFICIALS INVOLVED HERE, AND A SYSTEM OF JUSTICE WHICH IN ITS ORIGINAL CONCEPTION WAS DESIGNED TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE COMMON PEOPLE, BUT HAS NOW BECOME A CESS POOL, A SWAMP OF FOUL, RAT, STINKING DEVILS AND DEMON DOGS, BENT ON A MISSION TO OBTAIN CORRUPT, FRAUDULENT, UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DECISION BY MANIPULATING THE INTEGRITY OF THE SYSTEM ITSELF FOR THEIR ULTERIOR MOTIVES IN EFFORTS TO RAPE AND PILLAGE THE POPULOUS. CONTEMPT?,...MY CONTEMPT IS WITH JUDGES AND GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO BLOODY THEIR ROBES LIKE A BUNCH OF RECREANT BASTARDS AND JUST BECAUSE THEY KNOW SOME LAW, THEY TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PUBLIC WHO BY OATH THEY WERE TO PROTECT AND SERVE, LEAVING THEM IN DIRE STRAITS BECAUSE THEY, THE PEOPLE, DO NOT THEMSELVES KNOW LAW. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH COURTS WHO DESTROY PEOPLES LIVES AND FAMILIES, LIKE YOUR FOREFATHERS DID DURING THE TIME OF SLAVERY AND JIM CROW LAWS BEHIND LIES AND OPPROBRIOUS GOVERNMENTAL ACTION WITH NO MERCY OR REGARD FOR TRUTH OR WHAT IS "JUST AND FAIR" BEFORE THE FACE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. MY CONTEMPT IS WITH TREACHEROUS, UNSCRUPULOUS INDIVIDUALS AND DEMON DOGS OF THIS WORLD THAT MAKE JUDICIAL AND LIFE DECISIONS WITHOUT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THAT GOD IS REAL AND HE IS WATCHING THEM, AND THEY ACT OR LEGISLATE OR MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATION LIKE THEY KNOW BETTER THAN GOD, LIKE YOUR GLOBAL LAWS ARE BETTER THAN GOD'S LAWS, AND TO ME THAT IS THE HIGHEST CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR OF THE HIGHEST COURT OF JUSTICE IN EXISTENCE IN THE ANNULS OF HUMAN THOUGHT. SO DON'T YOU RESPODENT, AND THESE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIALS, RECREANT DEVILS, HYPOCRITICAL MOCKERS AT FEAST, TELL ME ABOUT CONTEMPT OR ABUSIVE BAHAVIOR. I AM HERE TO ADDRESS THE MOST EGREGIOUS ACTS OF CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR LAID BEFORE US, THE COMPROMISING OF MY DIRECT APPEAL AND THE FRAMING OF THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AS WELL AS THE CONTEMPT FOR THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. WHEN YOU HEAR ME SPEAK AS HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL, YOU HEAR THE VOICE OF THE KING-KHALIFAH SPEAKING UNDER GOD'S LAWS WHICH SUPERSEDE YOUR LAWS. I SPEAK IN THE VOICE OF THE

CROWN. "ACTUS SERVI IN IIS QUIBUS OPERA EJUS COMMUNITER ADHIBITA EST, ACTUS DOMINI HABETUR"---THE ACTS AND WORDS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES, IN WHICH HE IS EMPLOYED BY THE CROWN ARE THE WORDS AND ACTS OF THE CROWN ITSELF. THEREBY, THEY ARE ACTS OF GOD, AS HIS LAWGIVER PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT. "ACTUS DELNEMINI FACIT INJURIAM"---ACTS OF GOD DOES WRONG TO NO ONE. THUS, THE WORDS I SPEAK ARE PROTECTED SPEECH INVOLVING MORAL, SOCIAL AND POLITICAL INTEREST PRODUCING PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS WHERE IT WOULD BE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID YOUR JURISDICTION IF THE COURT PENALIZED THEIR EXERCISE BURDENING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT WHICH WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE AND ARTICLE IV § 2 AS WELL AS ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. "LEGITIME IMPERANTI PARERE NECESSE EST"---THE ONE WHO COMMANDS BY THE DECREE OF GOD, MUST BE OBEYED. "CONSUETUDO VINCIT COMMUNEM LEGEM"---THE CUSTOMS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH ARE THE LAWS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ARE THE ACTS OF HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL. "DORMIUNT ALIQUANDO LEGES NUNQUAM MORIUNTUR"---GOD'S LAWS MAY SOMETIMES SEEMINGLY SLEEP, BUT THEY NEVER DIE. THE KING-KHALIFAH THROUGH HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL RESTORES ALL THINGS AS THE CONTRACT COMMANDS. THE SLEEPER HAS AWAKEN. "DROIT NE DONE PLUIS QUE SUIT DEMAUDE"---GOD'S LAWS THAT SUPERSEDE THIS COURT'S GIVE NO MORE THAN WHAT IS DEMANDED. "LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUT SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA"---THE LAWS OF GOD IS THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH POSSESS (WHICH INCLUDE THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY); FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING-KHALIFAH, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH ARE RULED; AND IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH, NOR INHERITANCE. THIS INCLUDES THAT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY YOU GAVE THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION. THIS IS NOT FRIVOLOUS HAVING LEGAL BASIS IN PROBATE LAW AND FOUND IN BLACK LAW DICTIONARY. "NECESSITAS SUB LEGE NON CONTINETUR, QUIA QUOD ALIAS NON EST LICITUM NECESSITAS FACIT LEITUM"---NECESSITY IS NOT RESTRAINED BY LAW, SINCE WHAT OTHER WISE IS NOT LAWFUL NECESSITY MAKES LAWFUL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES SPEAK IN SERVICE OF THE CROWN AS THE KING-KHALIFAH ESTABLISHES HIS GOVERNMENT AS IS HIS RIGHT PROTECTED BY DEFAULT AND CONTRACT AS WELL AS UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10

AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THAT WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME JUSTIFYING PENALTY OR SANCTION. BY JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE VOICE OF THE CROWN IS NOT TO BE RESTRAINED. "FIAT JUSTITIA PEREAT MUNDUS"---LET JUSTICE BE DONE THOUGH THE WORLD PERISH!!!, SCHOONER-EXCHANGE-v.-McFADDEN, 7 CRANCH 116, 11 U.S. 116, 1812 WL 1310, 3 L.Ed. 287(U.S.1812); DOE-v.-FEDERAL-DEMOCRATIC-REPUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6, 16 (D.D.C.2016); DOGAN v.-BARAK, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6024416(C.D.2016); SABATINA-v.-REINSTEIN,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); SNYDER v.-PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir.2009); LAROCHELLE-v.-WILMAC CORPORATION, 210 F.Supp.3d. 658(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE-v.-RECTOR AND-VISITORS-OF-GEORGE-MASON-UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D. Va.2016).

(3) EXHIBIT, "COMPLEX CASE". THESE ARE COPIES OF LETTERS SENT TO THE COURT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 BY ATTORNEY HALL WHO IS THIS COURT'S ATTORNEY AS IS THE RESPONDENT'S IN THAT CASE. THIS DEMONSTRATES THAT THE CASE IS COMPLEX AND THAT THE FEDERAL AGENTS KRISTY KHOL AND PAUL GUNTER APPEARED IN THIS CASE MAKING THEM PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT. THUS, I OBJECT TO HIS CLAIM ITS HUNDREDS OF PAGES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG AS TO WHAT IS FILED IN THIS CASE. IT IS A COMPLEX CASE, POTENTIALLY THE LARGEST CASE IN THIS NATION'S HISTORY. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO SHOW THE COURT THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE JUDGE LEE SAT ON TO SUBSTANTIATE THE STRUCTURAL ERROR IN THE ROWLAND DIRECT APPEAL AND ESTABLISH THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

(4) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #1". THESE DOCUMENTS PROVE THE MATTERS WERE HEARD IN FULL HEARING BEFORE JUDGE LEE AND A SUBSEQUENT HEARING WAS ATTEMPTED UNDER JUDGE GRIFFITH WHERE THE JUDGES FAILED TO THROW THE CASE OUT, THOUGH THEY CANNOT BE THROWN OUT DUE TO THE FEDERAL REMAND, AND THE RESPONDENT MADE THESE SAME CLAIMS OF FRIVOLOUS AND SOUGHT TO DISMISS BUT IT WAS NOT GRANTED. THE JUDGES AGREED THAT THE CASE WAS NOT NORMAL, THAT IT WAS COMPLEX, BUT DID NOT DETERMINE THAT THE CASE WAS FRIVOLOUS NOR DID THEY GRANT THE STATE AND OR RESPONDENT'S MOTIONS OF DISMISSAL IN THAT APRIL 3, 2014 HEARING WHICH IS THE ESSENTIAL REASON THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THESE MATTERS PRESENT THEMSELVES NOW. JUDGE TOAL ATTEMPTED TO SIT ON THIS CASE BUT WAS FORCED TO RECUSE. SHE AND HEARNS DUE TO PAST INVOLVEMENT MUST RECUSE NOW. RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. THE RESPONDENT IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM RAISING THESE ISSUES AND OR CLAIMS WHICH WERE ADJUDICATED IN A FORMER SUIT AND ANY ISSUE WHICH MIGHT HAVE BEEN RAISED IN THE FORMER SUIT, SARA-Y.-WILSON-APPELLANT,-v.-CHARLESTON-COUNTY SCHOOL-DISTRICT-RESPONDENT,--S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 1075196(S.C. 2017); HARDWICK-v.-BANK-OF-AMERICA,-N.A., 2016 WL 3563083(DSC. 2016); EICHMAN-v.-FOTOMAT-CORP., 147 Cal. App.3d. 1170, 1174 (1983); KEARNEY-v.-FOLEY-AND-LARDNER-LLP, 2016 WL 5405552(2016). HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS TAINTED BY THIS FRAUD AND MUST BE STRUCK.

(5) EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 2-4". THIS IS ONE OF THE GREATER ACTS OF FRAUD, CONTEMPT AND ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR DONE BY THE RESPONDENT. THESE DOCUMENTS INVOLVE JUDGE LEE WHO SAT ON MY DIRECT APPEAL. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION IN ORDER THAT THE COURT WOULD HAVE

ON THE RECORD THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE TO WHICH JUDGE LEE WAS INVOLVED IN WITHIN THE RICHLAND COURT PROCEEDINGS CONNECTED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH WHICH MADE IT ESSENTIAL THAT SHE RECUSE OFF MY DIRECT APPEAL CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOID THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016) VOIDING THE ORDER THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IS USING AS A JURISDICTIONAL PREREQUISITE REQUIRING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO ENTERTAIN THESE MATTERS INVOKING THEIR ORIGINAL JURISDICTION. THIS TOO, TAINTS THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND THE PROCEEDINGS PLACING HIM IN FORFEITURE. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING."** IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS, HE PURPOSELY IN EFFORTS TO DECEIVE THIS COURT, DID NOT ADDRESS OR RESPOND TO THIS KEY ISSUE SOUGHT REVIEW PLACING HIM IN FORFEITURE. IF HE DID ADDRESS THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE. HE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ASSERT THAT THE DOCUMENTS HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH MY DIRECT APPEAL. JUDGE LEE WAS APPOINTED "TRUSTEE" BY THE KING-KHALIFAH AND FAILED IN HER DUTY AS TRUSTEE FOR WHICH SHE IS BEING SUED, NOT AS A JUDGE, BUT AS TRUSTEE. THE DEFAULT ESTABLISHING THE POWER THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES PRESENTLY EXERCISES, WAS DONE IN [4] PARTS. THE [92] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILE MAY 2014; THE [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DIRECTLY AFTER; THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT ATTACHED; AND THE [31] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015 WHICH COMPLETELY VOIDED THEIR JURISDICTION THAT THEY BLOCKED FILING FOR TWO MONTHS ATTEMPTING TO PERPETRATE MORE FRAUD TRYING TO FALSELY ALLEGE COOK DID NOT SIGN IT WHEN HE DID. THIS ACT OF FRAUD IS NOT NEBULOUS DESIGNED TO HAVE A DIRECT IMPACT ON MY APPEAL TO PREVENT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ENTRY INTO THE CASE. THE INITIAL DEFAULT DOCUMENT WAS FILED MAY 2014. THEY NEVER TIMELY FILED TO CHALLENGE THIS UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT NOR THE SUBSEQUENT [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT EXERCISING POWER. THE "GENTRY CODE" WAS NOT CRACKED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH UNTIL OCTOBER 2015 WHERE IT WAS FILED IN THE FORM OF THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT. THE FINAL AND COMPLETE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT WAS NOT FILED UNTIL DECEMBER 1, 2015 DUE TO THEY BLOCKING ITS FILING FOR TWO MONTHS AS INDICATED BY THE DATE OF THAT DOCUMENT. JUDGE LEE AND THE RESPONDENT HAD [30] DAYS TO RESPOND OR THE AFFIDAVITS STAND AS LAW. THEY WERE SO MYSTIFIED AT HOW THE KING-KHALIFAH DID THE LEGAL MOVE THAT THEY MISSED THE WINDOW TO RESPOND. THE FEDERAL JUDGES INVOLVED SCREAMED, AND JUDGE LEE THEN REALIZED THE MAGNITUDE OF THE POWER THEY INADVERTENTLY PERMITTED THE KING-KHALIFAH TO ACHIEVE. SO THEY CHOSE AN ACT OF FRAUD TO NEGATE THE VERITY OF THE DEFAULT BY TRYING TO OBTAIN FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO REMOVE THE CASE BY PETITION TO PROTECT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION WHICH ALSO VOIDED ANY ATTEMPT THEY MADE TO CORRECT THEIR ERROR. THE FEDERAL COURTS AGREED THAT THEIR ACTIONS WERE VOID. JUDGE LEE'S SILENCE IS ACCEPTANCE TO ACT AS TRUSTEE, WHICH IS OF NO CONSEQUENCE, BECAUSE BY THE DEFAULT VIEWED THROUGH THE [70] PAGE DOCUMENT IN THE APPENDIX, THEY ARE BOUND WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT. JUDGES BENJAMIN AND TOAL SUBSEQUENTLY TRIED TO FIX JUDGE LEE'S MISTAKE IN ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO ACHIEVE THAT SUPERSEDING POWER IN THEIR PROCEEDINGS, BUT BOTH JUDGES BENJAMIN AND TOAL FAILED DUE TO THE CASE BEING REMOVED. EVEN THE FEDERAL JUDGES TRIED TO AID THEM CORRECT THEIR ERROR, BUT THEY FAILED ALSO TO THIS PRESENT DATE. DUE TO THIS, THE RESPONDENT DOES NOT WANT TO BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THIS ISSUE, TO HIDE THE FACT THAT ALL OF THESE DOCUMENTS ARE ADMISSIBLE

AS JURISDICTIONAL EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH THE CONNECTIONS HE FRAUDULENTLY STATES DO NOT EXIST AND THE MAGNITUDE OF THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT PRESENTS ITSELF BY JUDGE LEE SITTING ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES DIRECT APPEAL, AND TO ALSO CONCEAL THE FACT THAT IF HE IS REQUIRED TO RESPOND. THERE WOULD THEN INDEED BE PLACED ON THE COURT RECORD EVIDENCE THAT WOULD DEMONSTRATE THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT, AND THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S TITLE AND THE RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES WITH ALL OF ITS SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY, WHICH INCLUDE EVERY ACT WE DO BEFORE THIS COURT, IS JUST, VALID AND CORRECT, DESPITE THE ACTS OF FRAUD HE IS ATTEMPTING BEFORE THIS COURT. DUE TO THIS ATTEMPTED FRAUD, HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS CORRUPTED, ILLEGAL, VOID AND INADMISSIBLE. YOU ADD TO THIS INJUSTICE THE FACT THAT RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. THE MOTION FOR DISMISSAL MUST BE STRICKEN. HE MUST BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE AND IT IS "HE", WHO ENDEAVORS TO ABUSE THE COURT, SHOW CONTEMPT OF ITS FUNCTIONS, DEMONSTRATING IMPROPER BEHAVIOR AND DISPLAYING REPENTANT DISREGARD FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF LAW. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CANNOT OBTAIN RULING PROCURED BY FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THAT A TRUSTEE IS NOT APPOINTED. ALL THAT THE LAW REQUIRES IS THAT THERE BE A "TRUST", WHICH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES EXPLAINED BY EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE" IN THE APPENDIX; THAT THERE ARE BENEFICIARIES, WHICH THERE ARE ALSO EXPLAINED BY THAT DOCUMENT; THAT THERE BE ONE WHO IS OVER THAT "TRUST", WHICH THERE IS VIA THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH; AND HIS INTENT IS TO APPOINT A "TRUSTEE", WHICH THE EXHIBITS PROVE. THE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO ESTABLISH THAT JURISDICTIONAL FACT ALSO, WHICH IS WHY THE APPENDIX CANNOT BE STRICKEN. JUDGE LEE DIVIDED HER LOYALTIES AND WAS REMOVED AS TRUSTEE. THEREUPON, THE KING-KHALIFAH APPOINTED JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WHICH THE MANDAMUS PROVES WHERE BY DEFAULT SHE IS LEGALLY BOUND EVEN WITHOUT HER CONSENT BEING AN EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION WHERE THESE RIGHTS ARE NOW ESTABLISHED THEREBY, BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); REGG v. HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App. 2013); CALDWELL v. WIQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C. App.2013); FERRARA v. QUADROZZI-EQUIPMENT-LEASING-CORP., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); MILLER v. PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013); GILLIAM v. GREENWOOD-PROFESSIONAL-INVESTIGATORS, INC., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8481972(S.C.App.2013); IN RE: BRANNON, 383 S.C. 374, 680 S.E.2d. 776(S.C.2009); MASSI v. WASHINGTON-CO., 2013 WL 5410810(DSC.2013); IN RE: BLACKWATER-SECURITY CONSULTING-LLC., 460 F3d. 576 CA4 (N.C.2006). HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

' (6) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 5". THESE ARE THE INDICTMENTS FROM VARIOUS STATES. THERE ARE [33] STATES THAT ADOPT THE FEDERAL PROVISIONS OF AN INDICTMENT VIA THEIR STATES CONSTITUTIONS. OF THE [33] STATES INVOLVED, ABOUT [25] HAVE THIS FATAL DEFECT OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY IN THEIR INDICTMENTS WHERE THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE IS TAKEN AWAY, THE BURDEN BY THE LANGUAGE IS SHIFTED, THE OUTCOME IS PREDETERMINED AND THE COURTS CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS REA ELEMENTS IN ATTEMPTS TO FIX IT BY INSUFFICIENT CURATIVE INSTRUCTION. THE FACT THAT ALL STATES THAT MAKE USE OF AN INDICTMENT DO NOT POSSESS THIS FATAL CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR

IS SUFFICIENT PROOF THIS IS NOT A FRIVOLOUS ISSUE BECAUSE THOSE STATES KNEW YOU COULD NOT DO THIS AND IT BE CONSTITUTIONAL. CLAIMS THAT CHALLENGE CONVICTION ARE HISTORICALLY NON FRIVOLOUS. SOUTH CAROLINA IS ONE OF THE STATES THAT FAILED TO CATCH UP. THIS ISSUE APPLIES TO ALL OF US DESPITE ANY VARIANCE IN ALLEGED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, AS THE RESPONDENT SO IGNORANTLY PUT IT. THIS IS A CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR, NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE IF YOU MUST CONSTRUCTIVELY AMEND THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS REA ELEMENTS IN EFFORTS TO CURE IT. THE CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT TRAPS YOU IN A QUAGMIRE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL ACTION WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION DUE TO IT EFFECTING THE **"FOUNDATION"** OF THE **"WHOLE"** PROCEEDINGS. THIS IS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OF SUCH A MAGNITUDE IT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. EVERY COURT AND STATE AROUND THE NATION IS VOIDING JURISDICTION FOR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR ACTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS, WHICH FALL UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION. SEE U.S. v. $41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp. 3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC. 2014); U.S. v. ALADEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); HUNT v. U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 5131716(DSC.2007); BROWN v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398(DSC.2014); WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. v. FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(N.C.2016); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S.2016); WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(U.S.2016); WEAVER v. MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899 (U.S.2017). FOR THE RESPONDENT TO ASSERT THAT THESE JURISDICTIONAL CLAIMS ARE FRIVOLOUS, IS NOT ONLY DUMB, STUPID AND PSYCHOTIC. IT IS ALSO FANTASTIC, DELUSIONAL AND A STRONG INDICATION THAT HIS MIND IS IN TRANSMIGRATIONAL FLUX IN ANOTHER DIMENSION OR ON ANOTHER PLANET. PERHAPS HE'S ON THE PLANET "ZOTT", DRINKING "MONKEY PEE" IN A COCONUT.

INSOMUCH, IN ORDER FOR A CASE TO BE DEEMED FRIVOLOUS, ESSENTIALLY TWO PRONGS MUST EXIST. (1) WE MUST BE MAKING EFFORTS TO SUE SOMEONE WHO CANNOT BE SUED. THE RIGHTS WERE LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR WHERE THEY DEFAULTED. (2) IF WE ARE INFRINGING UPON RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED. THIS IS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY HE MUST RESPOND TO PROVE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT. THERE ARE NON GOVERNMENT PARTIES AND JUDGES CAN BE SUED FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, WHERE THEY ACTED WITHOUT JURISDICTION, WHERE THEY DID NON JUDICIAL ACTS, THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS UNDER THE F.S.I.A. AND C.A.T. TREATY. CONGRESS CARVED EXCEPTIONS THERE. YOU HAVE THE HOBBS ACT VIOLATIONS AND THERE IS A CONSISTENT ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW THROUGHOUT THE DOCUMENTS PRESENTED. HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(7) EXHIBIT, "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 6-12". THESE DOCUMENTS ALSO INTRINSICALLY MAKE UP CASE "0084" EXPLAINING WHAT JUDGE LEE WAS OVER WHEN SHE SAT UPON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES DIRECT APPEAL. THE MOMENT SHE SAW THE KING-KHALIFAH'S NAME APPEAR ON ANY DOCUMENT BEFORE THAT COURT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT DOCUMENT WAS FILED BEFORE THE COURT AT THE TIME OF HER ENTRY INTO THE COURT. SHE KNEW BETTER, AND SHE WAS REQUIRED TO RECUSE. THE DAMAGE BY HER PRESENCE CANNOT BE DETERMINED PRODUCING A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT THERE IS SUIT AGAINST HER BY US PENDING. THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT EXPLAINS THE CONCEPT OF FRIVOLOUS. IT EXPLAINS THE JUSTIFICATION AMOUNT

FOR THE LIEN. IT EXPLAINS ALSO HOW THE DEFAULT OCCURRED. IT EXPLAINS THE ISSUE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, ALL OF IT SUBSTANTIALLY ROOTED IN AN ARGUABLE BASIS OF LAW. THE DOCUMENT GIVES GREATER DETAIL AS TO THE ADDITIONAL FRAUD THE RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN. HIS MOTION TO DISMISS IS PRESENTED BASED UPON FRAUD AND IS VOID, ILLEGAL AND MUST BE STRUCK. HE CAN TELL HIS STORY WALKING TO GET THAT EVIDENCE AND PREPARING FOR OUR RELEASE IMMEDIATELY!!! I FURTHER OBJECT TO THE RESPONDENT'S CLAIM THAT WE ARE USING BIBLE VERSES AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4] THRONES WILLINGNESS TO COMPLY IS BASED UPON A NON EXISTENT TITLE. "NEMO TENETUR INFORMARE QEI NESCIT SED QUISQUIS SCIRE QUOD INFORMAT"-- NO ONE WHO IS IGNORANT OF A THING IS BOUND OR PERMITTED TO GIVE INFORMATION OF IT, BUT EVERYONE IS BOUND TO KNOW WHAT HE GIVES INFORMATION OF. THE RESPONDENT HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT SPECIFICALLY HE IS DEALING WITH HERE. THE TORAH, THE BIBLE, THE QURAN AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE NO MERE VERSES. THEY ARE THE ESTABLISHING OF "CONTRACT", OF "COVENANT", OF "COMPACT", OF "GRANTS". THEY ARE WILLS AND TESTAMENTS OF THE EARTH'S GREATEST PROPHETS AND KINGS, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER LAW, EVEN UNDER THIS NATION'S CONSTITUTION, ESPECIALLY UPON THE EMERGING OF THE RIGHTFUL HEIR AND SOVEREIGN. THE HEIR AND KING-KHALIFAH HAS COME. FURTHER, HE IS IN DEFAULT WHICH PROCEDURALLY BARS HIM FROM MAKING THIS CLAIM ALSO BY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL UNTIL HE PRODUCES PROOF BEFORE THIS COURT HE TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THOSE AFFIDAVITS. I OBJECT. THE MOTION IS SUBMITTED AS AN ACT OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION TO EVADE THE ESTOPPEL AND OR RES JUDICATA. IT IS FRAUD. IT MUST BE STRUCK. HE IS IN DEFAULT ON THE DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY. I BRING THE COURT AND PARTIES ATTENTION BACK TO EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! # 6"; THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT; AND THOSE SENT TO JUDGE LEE. WE HAVE [3] DOCUMENTS IN QUESTION HERE GENTLEMEN. THE [92] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT OF DEFAULT FILED MAY 2014. THE SUBSEQUENT [95] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED ABOUT A MONTH LATER GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXERCISING OF SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY. THE [31] PAGE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVIT FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015 VOIDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION. PRODUCE BEFORE THIS COURT ANY DOCUMENTATION THAT PROVE YOU TIMELY (EMPHASIS ADDED) SOUGHT TO CHALLENGE THESE [3] DOCUMENTS THEN I WILL CONCEDE. UNTIL THEM HE CAN TELL HIS STORY WALKING WHILE HE GOES AND GET THAT EVIDENCE WE SEEK AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE . IF YOU FAIL AT THIS CHALLENGE, SINCE YOU NEVER ACTUALLY AND OR INADEQUATELY REBUTTED THE CLAIMS AND OR TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" AND "GRANT" GIVEN, WHICH WOULD ALSO REQUIRE THAT YOU PROVE THAT THE [3] HOLY BOOKS ARE FALSE, WHICH YOU CANNOT DO, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPEARANCE. FAIL AT THIS. THEREUPON, BY SUCH FAILURE, BY WRIT OF COMMISSION SIGNED BY THE CROWN. MY TITLE STANDS AS A MATTER OF LAW SINCE THESE RIGHTS WERE LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR BEFORE A RELIABLE COURT OF LAW AND DEFAULTED ON BY DUE PROCESS OF LAW. THE "CONTRACT" STANDS AND YOU NOR THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CAN IMPAIR RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. HOW DO YOU KNOW A REAL PROPHET OF GOD?,...WHEN THAT WHICH HE TELLS YOU COMES TO PASS (DEUT.18:21-22). RETURN OUR GOD'S, THE KING-KHALIFAH'S AS THE SOLE CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, TOLBERT-v.-STEVENSON, 635 F3d. 646(4th.Cir. 2011); FOX-v.-VICE, 563 U.S. 826, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011);

HIDRIA,-U.S.A.-INC.-v.-DELO, 415 S.C. 533, 783 S.E.2d. 839(S.C. 2013)(THE BURDEN WAS ON THE APPELLANT, SINCE HE CONSPIRED TO GIVE ANSWERS THROUGH HIS FRAUD MOTION. NOW IT SHIFTS BACK TO THE RESPONDENT BY THE DOCUMENTS NOW SUBMITTED OR YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE); GOURDINE-v.-KARL-STORZ-ENDOSCOPE-AMERICA,-INC., 223 F.Supp.3d. 475(DSC.2016); BRAZDELL-v.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); STATE-v.-BENNETT, 393 S.C. 526, 713 S.E.2d. 591(S.C.2011); McKELVEY-v.-REYNOLDS, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); DEL-ZOTTO-v.-UNIVERSAL-PHYSICIAN-SERVICES, LLC,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 5868547(DSC.2016); CHIMERY'S-MANAGEMENT,-CO.-LLC-v.-AFFILIATED-INSURANCE-CO., 152 F.Supp.3d. 159 (2016); FOBES-v.-FORBES, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 (2015); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP-v.-DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014); N.L.R.B.-v.-AMAX-COAL-CO.,,,,, 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2798(U.S. 1981); U.S.-v.-ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015). HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(9) EXHIBIT(S), "NEBULOUS/FRIVOLOUS-NOT! #'S 13 AND 14. I OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE [4] THRONES FAILED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO ANY ORDER. YOU HAVE FRAUD. THE ORDERS ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID. NO ORDER OR DETERMINATION CAN STAND IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND IT IMPOSES NO DUTY. IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD IN YOU PRODUCING THIS DOCUMENT WITH WHATEVER JUDGE IS OVER CASE 2017-000605. YOU HAVE THAT ATTORNEY FILING BRIEF OVER [60] DAYS PAST THE DEADLINE ORDERED WITHOUT AN EXTENSION OF TIME BEING ISSUED. YOU DID NOT SEEK TO DISMISS HER DUE TO NON COMPLIANCE TO ORDER. THE QUESTION BECOMES WHY? AND WHY FILE THAT BRIEF ONE DAY AFTER I FILED THE LAST PLEADING? ITS BECAUSE BY MY LAST PLEADING I ARGUED THAT THE S.C. SUPREME KNEW TO STAY THAT CASE PENDING APPEAL. SO YOU, BREAKING THE LAW AS USUAL, CONSPIRING, IGNORING THE APPEAL WHICH DIVEST THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION, TO NEGATE MY LAST FILING, YOU PEOPLE CRIMINALLY INSTRUCT HER TO FILE THE BRIEF NOW. THIS TOO, PROVES FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. HER BRIEF IS VOID AND THESE TWO CASES ARE NOW CONSOLIDATED. **IT IS SO ORDERED. "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING."** STOP THIS FOOLISHNESS AND GET THAT EVIDENCE, THE SEARCH WARRANT RELATED TO THE CROWN AND PREPARE FOR OUR RELEASE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIAL. MY CONTEMPT IS WARRANTED AND IT IS "YOU" WHO HAVE A DISREGARD FOR THE LAW. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE. WE DON'T FEAR YOU DEVILS. WE FEAR THE ONE TRUE GOD. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE. UNLESS YOU MEET THIS CHALLENGE WITHOUT ANY ADDITIONAL FRAUD. ALL RELIEF IS IMMEDIATELY GRANTED. THE SAME LAWS YOU CALL BIBLE VERSES ESTABLISH THE VATICAN AS A SOVEREIGN NATION . THEY HAVE AN ATTORNEY GENERAL. BY CONTRACT THE KING-KHALIFAH ASCENDS ABOVE THE POPE, AN UNPRECEDENTED ADVENT FORETOLD BY RELIGIOUS PROPHESY. IF THIS IS PERMITTED FOR THE VATICAN AND INDIAN NATIONS AS SOVEREIGN NATIONS. SUCH RIGHT OF APPOINTMENT OF AN ATTORNEY GENERAL IS OURS AS WELL BY THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE KING-KHALIFAH WANTS TO SEE HIS BABIES YOU RATS, SNAKES AND SCORPIONS STOLE FROM HIM, AND GET HIS MONEY. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**, BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC-v.-AL-TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S.-v.-HACKLEY, 662 F3d 671 CA4 (Va.2011); PAUL-ADAMS-v.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; HOLLOWAY-v.-PERRY, 2016 WL 4074149; BODMAN v.-STATE, 403 S.C. 60, 742 S.E.2d 363(S.C.2013); STERNS-BANK NAT'-ASS'N-v.-GREENWOOD-FALLS,-L.P., (S.C.App.2007) 373 S.C. 331, 644 S.E.2d. 793; ELLIOTT-v.-PIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26

; U.S. v. THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(U.S.1871); NUDD v. BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); TAYLOR v. U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016); SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAMS OF THE STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R. 2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir. 1992); McBURNEY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY v. VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S.2016); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, CAUSES OF ACTION ON THE STATE LEVEL THAT ARE ARGUED AND PRESENTED WITHIN ALL DOCUMENTS NOW FILED IN THIS CASE ARE NOW BEING ARGUED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN ITS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION. THIS CASE IS ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED UNLESS THE RESPONDENT CAN PRODUCE EVIDENCE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THOSE AFFIDAVIT ESTABLISHING THIS POWER AND AUTHORITY EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THIS MOTION TO DISMISS THE RESPONDENT NOW SUBMITS. ANSWER THE DOGGON' PLEADINGS!!! PRODUCE THAT EVIDENCE CORRUPT STATE OFFICIAL. BY YOUR ACTIONS "YOU" ARE THE ONE WHO ABUSED THE COURTS IN YOUR SHAM LEGAL PROCESS. YOUR FRAUD EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER AND IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE. MR. WELL, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING."**, NUDD v. BURROWS SUPRA.; U.S. v. THROCKMORTON SUPR.; 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCON SUPRA.. THE SERVANTS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD DON'T DO ANY RUMP KISSING. DO YOUR DOGGON' JOB AND GET THAT EVIDENCE AND STOP YOUR FRAUD. YOU DEVILS PUT YOUR PANTS ON ONE LEG AT A TIME THE SAME WAY AS DO THE KING-KHALIFAH. WHO HAS MORE RIGHT, YOU OR YOUR COURT, OR GOD AND HIS COURT? YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT", THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ALLOWING THE KING-KHALIFAH VIA HIS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR OATH OF OFFICE THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO GO AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES AND JUDGMENT OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH ESTABLISHED THEREBY OR STAND DOWN!!! PRESAULT v. I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN v. BROWN, F.Supp.2d. , 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS v. HHGREGG INC., F.SUPP.2d WL 1331166(N.C.2013); ORARAH v. NEW YORK DEPT. OF EDUC., F.Supp. 3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY INS. CO. v. PLYWOOD PLASTICS CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948).

YOUR LAWS CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND THE VOIDING

OF JURISDICTION IS. THUS, BY WRIT OF COMMISSION MY TITLE IS ESTABLISHED UNTIL YOU CAN PROVE YOU TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT OR THIS CORRUPT OFFICIAL HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THE DOCUMENTS FILED, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WILL BE TREASON AGAINST THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, ARE BOUND TO THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE OR GIVE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ESTABLISHED THEREBY. YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRUAD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMISSAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT, COHEN v. VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(U.S.1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME NOR CAN YOU CALL ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW CLAIMS FRIVOLOUS, ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU HAVE FRAUD AND THEY ARE PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM MAKING SUCH CLAIMS BY RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING [192] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BY THEIR ACTIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THE SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO A NATIONAL AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL WHOM HE APPOINTS, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITHOUT IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A "LIBERTY" PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT, HURTADO v. CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 56(U.S.1884); EL-AMEEN-BEY v. STUMPF, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537(D.N.J.2011); UNITED STATES v. ETENYI,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir.2017); SHUTTLE-WORTH v. BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S.1963); SHERER v. CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA v. ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB v. CITY OF BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313(U.S.1958); UNITED STATES v. JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968). LASTLY, HE FAILED TO RAISE THESE CLAIMS IN HIS FIRST MOTION WHEN HE COULD HAVE RAISED THEM THEN. RES JUDICATA ATTACHES THERE AS WELL. WE OBJECT.

IN THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD,
THE MOST MERCIFUL, THE MOST GREAT.
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND ACTING
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE GLORIOUS
(4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED
GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

JANUARY 27, 2018

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

TRUSTEE

Court Of Appeals
For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,

Petition From South Carolina
Case 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-
RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-
MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, 1429, 1428,
1424, 1425; The New Jersey
Supreme Court case 077386; 16-1519;
16-1953; 16-2141; 2015-CP-46-415;
2013-CP-400-0084 Et. Al.,

DOCKET No. 16-2299

Yahya MuQuit #318455; Anthony Cook #115157; Lawrence L. Crawford aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,

defendants

SUMMONS

TO: Judges Austin, Harwell, Seymour and Rogers,

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED, to answer and be in compliance (emphasis added) to this Writ Of Mandamus and all documents served upon you related to cases 16-1519, 16-1953 , 16-2141, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and or was previously served upon you, and you are to serve a copy of your answer and proof of compliance (emphasis added) upon the subscribers at the addresses shown below within (30) days after service thereof, exclusive of the day of such service, and if you fail to answer and be in compliance (emphasis added) to the documents in question, judgment and default shall be rendered against you for the relief demanded within this Mandamus and documents filed in case 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141.

Lawrence L. Crawford
aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite
#300839 Wando A-127
Yahya MuQuit
#318455 Ashley B-
Anthony Cook
#115157 Stono A-56
Lieber C.I. P.O. Box 205
Ridgeville, S.C. 29472

4th. Circuit
November 3, 2016

Court Of Appeals
For The 4th. Circuit Et. Al.,

Petition From South Carolina
Cases 8:16-cv-3327, 3194, 3328-RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER; 0:16-cv-992, 1429, 1428, 1424, 1425; The New Jersey Supreme Court case 077386; 16-1519; 16-1953; 16-2141; 2015-CP-46-415; 2013-CP-400-0084 Et. Al.,

---

DOCKET No. 16-2299

Yahya MuQuit #318455; Anthony Cook #115157; Lawrence L. Crawford aka Jonah Gabriel Jahjah T. Tishbite #300839

petitioners

Vs.

JUDGES WHO ISSUED ORDER IN CASE 16-1953 Et. Al.,

defendants

Affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Filing Writ Of Mandamus; Motion For Declaratory Judgment; Motion For Recusal; Motion For Consolidation; Motion To Transfer Venue; Motion To Ensure And Or Require The Trustee Austin To Act In A Proper Or Restored Manner

Pursuant To Her Corporate And Or Visitatorial etc. Powers; Motion To Challenge Jurisdiction; Motion To Vacate The Separation Order And Motion To Motion Therefor

---

IN RE: Crawford, Cook, MuQuit and cases 8:16-cv-3194, 3327, 3328-RBH-JDA; 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER.

TO: The 4th. Circuit Court Of Appeals,
The S.C. District Court Et. Al.,

In pursuant to Article 4 § 20 powers of justices and or judges at chambers, each of the justices and or judges at chambers, including the 4th. Circuit Court of Appeals, and all other courts on record. Shall have the same powers at chambers, to issue Writ Of Habeas Corpus, Mandamus, Quo Warranto, Certiorari,....and pursuant to Rule 65 and 21 of State and or Federal Rules of Procedure.

Pursuant to rule 57 of state and or federal rules of Civ. Procedure and or S.C. Code Ann § 15-53-10 through § 15-53-140 and or 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. In cases of actual controversy,....any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the right and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such Declaration whether or not further relief is or could be sought****.

The petitioners give all parties judicial notice. Here attached the 4th. Circuit will find:

(1) The separation orders issued in cases 8:16-cv-3327-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3194-RBH-JDA; 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA.

(2) A copy of The affidavit Of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Seeking To Suspend Or Relax The Appellate Court Rules; Seeking To Expand The Scope And For Inclusion****, (58) pages

dated July 21, 2016.

This document is also being filed as a challenge to both the S.C. District Court and 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction and or limitations placed thereupon which will be elaborated on later. Subject Matter Jurisdiction can be raised at anytime, cannot be waived and the court shall not fail to take notice, Grupo Dalaflux v. Atlas Global Group,L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct.1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); Loumiet v. United States, 65 F.Supp.3d 19(2014); U.S. v. Tisdale, F.Supp.2d 2007 WL 2156666 (DSC.2007); Sebelius v. Auburn Regional Medical Center, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); Sizward v. Riddle, F.Supp.2d 2013 WL 707018 (DSC.2013).

First, we motion for both Judges Austin and Harwell's recusal as judges on this case and motion that Judge Austin be required to fulfill her duties as Trustee appointed by the King-Khalifah. They both are defendants. Austin is being sued for injunctive relief to require her to fulfill her duties as Trustee. The U.S. Supreme Court was unequivocal in the case of Trustees Of Darthmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. A Mandamus is an appropriate vehicle to use to ensure and or require that Trustee Austin act in a proper or restored manner pursuant to her corporate and or visitatorial powers given to her by the King-Khalifah established by the default and claims of collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084, that they conspired in fraud, criminal conspiracy and obstruction of justice to negate but failed. She cannot sit as Trustee and Judge. We object. A Trustee's duty of loyalty prohibits both self dealing and conflicts of interest: Thus, the Trustee must neither (1) deal with the Trust property or designated matters related to the Trust for the benefit of himself or third parties; (2) or place herself in a position inconsistent with the interest of the Trust, Omoa Wireless, S. de R.L. v. U.S., 244 F.R.D. 303(M.D.2004); Kreman v. Blank, 55 B.R. 1018(MD.1985); Quick v. Formula Telecom Inc, F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 572513(2011); Forbes v. Forbes, 341 P3d 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015.

Insomuch, pursuant to legal documents filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Judge Austin via the superseding legislative and judicial powers of the King-Khalifah via the default to which The United States and other 192 Member States of The U.N. are party to. Judge Austin now has powers pursuant to S.C. Code Ann § 25-1-2820; S.C. Const. Art. XVII § 1A; S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-1080(By this she cannot sit); S.C. Code Ann § 62-7-816 paragraph (24); S.C. Code Ann § 17-17-30 To grant Habeas Corpus; S.C. Code Ann § 14-5-380 (By commission of the King and Global Theocratic Court her powers reach Globally); S.C. Code Ann § 38-14-70 (She can make laws consistent with the King-Khalifah's decrees). Judge Lee was Trustee the time the Georgia case was filed. Her misdealings led to her being replaced by Judge Austin, S.C. Public Interest Foundation v. S.C. Transp. Infrastructure Bank, 403 S.C. 640, 744 S.E.2d 521(S.C.2013); A. Cruickshank, IV, Esquire, 2010 WL 3505049; University Of Southern California v. Movan, 617 S.E.2d 135(S.C.App2005); Wilson v. Dallas, 743 S.E.2d 746, 754+ (S.C.2013); Phillip v. Quick, 731 S.E.2d 327, 328(S.C.App.2012); Bennett v. Carter, 2015 WL 5968253, *2 (S.C. App.2015); American Sur. Co. v. Hamrick Mills, 9 S.E.2d 433(S.C. App.1940); Draughan v. U.S., 113 F.Supp.3d 1266, 1278(D.Kan.2015)

Judge Austin's jurisdiction was limited, restricted to releasing us, ordering our removal and seeing that relief sought was granted, not to issue a separation order. Thus, the act is void. Once jurisdiction is acquired, in this case given to her to discharge all matters and debts, it is exclusive. Thus, this even places a limit on the 4th. Circuit's jurisdiction other than the terms specified by the King-Khalifah, whose powers now legally supersedes all Global Courts by the default. The court had jurisdiction over us by appearance. Thus, the claims of Sovereign power and other attributes given to the King-Khalifah via the default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 must be given full faith and credit, to include Austin's appointment as Trustee, Mints v. U.S., 842 F2d 1291, 1291 CA4(S.C.1988); Dawson Ex Rel. Estate Of Dawson v. U.S., 333 F.Supp.2d 488, 492(DSC.2004); Campeau v. U.S.,

2015 WL 1308282, *9(N.D.Ga.2015); Brown v. TransSuburban U.S.C., Inc., 2015 WL 6675088 CA4(Va.2015); Baron v. Brackis, 312 F.Supp. 2d 808 CA4(Va.2004); Anderson v. LeGrand, 2012 WL 529812 *4(ED. Va.2012).

Austin is also given power and authority under 11 U.S.C.A. § 1104; 11 U.S.C.A. § 1302; 11 U.S.C.A. § 727; 15 U.S.C.A. § 78 fff-1; 15 U.S.C.A. § 704; S.C. Code Ann § 11-37-50; S.C. Code Ann § 11-50-60; S.C. Code Ann § 49-17-1400(For lien assessment and remedies on default); S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-370(Order or Decree as a lien or Judgment); 11 U.S.C.A. § 1141; S.C. Code Ann § 14-23-260. Thus, jurisdiction is impeached by review of the Chief Justice of The Global Theocratic Court being the highest court by default and collateral estoppel, Knight v. Episcopal Church Of U.S., 2010 WL 2926156 (DSC.2010). She has jurisdiction, but only to act in accordance to the wishes of the King-Khalifah, IN RE: Southern Metal Products Corporation, 26 F.Supp. 666(Ala. 1939); Republic Of Iraq v. ABB, AC, 920 F.Supp.2d 517(N.Y.D.C. 2013); Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 376 U.S. 398, 84 S.Ct. 923, 11 L.Ed.2d 804(U.S.1964)(where acts of King-Khalifah in his home must be deemed on Foreign soil); Remington Rand Corporation-Delaware v. Business System Inc., 830 F2d 1260(3rd. Cir.1997).

She also has power under U.S.C.A. Amend. 13 and 36 U.S.C. A. § 140405. She must be made to fulfill her duties. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek Mandamus to require this, Herring Asociates, Inc v. Gervais, 2016 WL 475174; IN RE: Vaugh, 536 B.R. 670; IN RE: Melito, 2015 WL 6142959, *1+ Bkrpcy MD.Fla.; IN RE: Christianson, 2015 WL 6125537, *1 Bkrpcy W.D.N.Y.; Willes v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A., 2012 WL 5250553, *6 D.Md.; El-Bey v. Rolgalski, 2015 WL 1393580, *3 D.Md.; Babatunde v. Ward, 2016 Wl 375045 *6(DSC). Judge Austin was without jurisdiction to issue that separation order because it was in violation of the Trustee duty to do so, conflicting with the King-Khalifah's decrees who is the Fiduciary Heir of The (4) Global Thrones. WE motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek to remedy this via Mandamus.

WE motion to consolidate all cases together for several reasons. (1) The parties are indispensable to the action and complete relief cannot be granted where the Crawford case in Richland County establishes the rights of collateral estoppel and default for all parties. Race is a predominating factor and religious hatred where they framed the King-Khalifah and attacked the other plaintiffs cases compromising case 2013-CP-400-2294 to which we all are joint parties. The few who were not officially made a part of the record in case 2013-CP-400-2294 were blocked by acts of fraud upon the court where that case was removed to the Kentucky District Court at the time it was dismissed divesting them of jurisdiction at the time they fraudulently acted. Further, that court failed to bring before it all the involved plaintiffs. Thus, any order produced by them cannot be deemed a final order where the rights of all parties were never adjudicated voiding their jurisdiction for Due Process violation. In such, our convictions are already invalidated via the False Imprisonment Tort which is case 2013-CP-400-0084. We motion for Declaratory Judgment, Surrato v. Building Service 32 B.J. Pension Fund, 554 F.Supp.2d 399; Ocampu v. Building Service 32 B.J. Pension Fund, F.Supp.3d, 2014 WL 687227(2014); Cejaj v. Building Service 32 B.J. Health Fund, F.Supp.2d 2004 WL 414834; Stewart v. Abend, 495 U.S. 207, 110 S.Ct. 1750(U.S.1990); Martin v. Waddell's Lesee, 41 U.S. 367, 16 Pet. 367, 1842 WL 5744; Virginia Marine Resources Comm'n v. Chincoterger Inn, 287 Va. 371, 757 S.E.2d 1 (2014).

Collateral estoppel attaches to all parties since the S.C. Attorney General and The United States were party to the default. We further seek the consolidation because in truth, the separation order issued by Judge Austin do not establish a complete separation. When you look at the order. It is perspicuous that the separation only involves the (117) page Writ Of Error. There are two Writs of Errors filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, where all (3) of us are party to each. Since the order does not address the severing of the first Writ Of Error filed January 2016 and only addresses the one they in acts of

fraud upon the court filed in case 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA. Then we are, Cook and Crawford, still attached to the MuQuit case since the order does not address that January 2016 filing. Do you see the mess they made of our Due Process matters? We motion for sanctions and the court and all parties be deemed waived any opposition in this case going forward, that discovery issues, Attorney be appointed and we be removed to a pre-release camp pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c), Stillwagon v. City Of Deleware, 2016 WL 6094157(2016); Lennar Mare Island, LLC. v. Steadfast Insurance Company, 2016 WL 5847010(2016).

We further seek to vacate the separation order because in order to issue it. Judge Austin had to make a determination on some of the underlying merits of the case to do so, and no filing fee was paid, nor were there any filing in forma pauperis documents filed. This is jurisdictional and is required before she can say anything to the merits. Once she made effort to separate us when we are suing the United States for initiating PLRA and AEDPA. By her making use of this provision to separate us. She is by her order essentially saying our claim that the provision is unconstitutional has no merit. See attached (58) page document dated July 21, 2016. This taints the order voiding it where the fee was not paid, nor were there any in forma pauperis documents filed. See (40) page document dated October 25, 2016 for citing of law. We motion for Declaratory Judgment on this issue. Also see Thompson v. Finn, 2016 WL 5724369(2016).

Further, the PLRA only speak to inmates who are inmates in name only. Nothing in the PLRA speak to as to whether or not the plaintiffs are prohibited from filing jointly if they are all beneficiaries to a Trust seeking joint relief pursuant to that Trust, which is also what this case represents. There is Trustee appointed. Nothing in the PLRA gives any impression that Congress intended to invalidate joint filing under Federal Probate and International Probate laws. We are beneficiaries to a Trust which will be elaborated on further. Nothing in the PLRA demonstrates that Congress intended to invalidate Probate statutes and International law that permit joint filing, IN

RE: Gentry, 2016 WL 4061248(2016); IN RE: Edwards, 501 B.R. 666(2013); Chabot v. Chabot, F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 1706744(2011) ; Jakoblec v. Merrill Lynch Life Ins. Co., F.Supp.2d 2011 WL 1706744(2011); Marcus v. Quattrocchi, 715 F.Supp.2d 524(2010); Parrish v. Alameda County, F.Supp.2d 2007 WL 2904253(2007). Thus, jurisdiction again by decree would jointly fall under Judge Austin as was the King-Khalifah's terms. Once jurisdiction is acquired as it is now. It is exclusive essentially creating a remand which limits all court's jurisdiction under Foreign Law, defaulted on in this case subjudice, Brown v. Brown, F.Supp. 2d, 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); Harris v. hhgregg Inc., F.Supp. 2d, 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); Karnalcheva v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 871 F.Supp.2d 834(2012). We Object.

Further, the PLRA only speaks to inmates who are inmates in name only, because the PLRA speaks nothing to as to whether or not plaintiffs are prohibited from filing jointly if they are Foreign citizens and or a Foreign State. Decedent domicile issues attach to this case. We are dealing with an unprecedented event, a legal binding Contract, Covenant, Testament, between God and Adam and Eve, who was a King, creating a sole corporation. The Quran confirms the Biblical Text stating Adam was a "Vicegerant" , "King", whom King David and Christ trace their lineage back to, who were also Kings. Pursuant to this legal binding contract. Once the Heir appears, he automatically make every Christian, Jew, Muslim, African and its diaspora Kings and Priest, Khalifahs and Imams, with the same rights established by the F.S.I.A.. See King James Bible, Exodus 19:6; Isaiah 66:21; Revelations 5:10. A Sole Corporation is a series of persons holding office, a continuous legal personality that is attributed to successive holders of certain Monarchical or Ecclesiastical position, such as Kings, Bishops, High Priests and the like. This continuous personality is viewed by legal fiction as having the qualities of a Corporation C.I. Corporation aggregate. Contracts cannot be made or unmade by the courts. A Testament is defined as a legal document dispositing of a person's property after death which includes all rights and titles, tangible, intangible and intellectual. A Covenant is a contract which is not to be made broken by the courts. As The Fiduciary Heir, King, Jahjah has legal authority to defend and sue to protect the Contract/ Covenant, American Mut. Liberty Ins. Co. v. Plywood -Plastics Corp., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948).

A District Court shall not have jurisdiction of a Civil action in which any party, by assignment or otherwise, has been improperly or collusively made or joined to invoke the jurisdiction of such court, 28 U.S.C. § 1359 section 1441(d) consistently has been interpreted to allow Foreign States that are named as third-party plaintiffs in a state case already in progress to remove to federal court. The policies that led Congress to provide a Federal Forum to Foreign States is just as strong when those States acquire an interest in ongoing litigation, and when they voluntarily join such litigation, it is as if they are named originally as party. We hold that a Foreign State that acquires an interest in state court litigation by assignment may remove the case to Federal Court under The F.S.I.A., consoli-

date and be heard jointly, even if the Foreign State joins the litigation voluntarily. I, Jahjah Al Mahdi, give notice. I voluntarily join all plaintiffs involved cases to include those listed in case 2013-CP-400-2294; Reinstate that case and remove them, EIE Guam v. Long Term Credit Bank, Japan, 322 F3d 635(9th.Cir. 2003); Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank Of Nigeria, 461 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d 81(U.S.1983). It is Decreed.

Since there is nothing in the provisions of the PLRA that speaks to these concerns or gives any indication that Congress intended to render void clearly established Federal and International Probate statutes, laws or Treaties. Where the language of a Treaty is clear of ambiguity. There is no room for construction. The F.S.I.A. permits joint filing.

"TOUT CE QUE LA LOI NE DEFEND PAS EST PERMITS"---That which the law does not forbid is permitted. Congress did not intend The Act to deprive of F.S.I.A. rights established under Probate laws or Treaties, Rull v. Secretary Of Dept. Of Health And Human Services, Fed. Cl. 2004 WL 2958453(U.S.F.C.2004); Socierty For Propagation Of Gospel In Foreign Parts Of Town Of New Haven, 21 U.S. 464, 1823 WL 2477(U.S.1823).

Congress expressed no opinion as to whether or not PLRA applies to inmates who are beneficiaries of a Trust where exclusive jurisdiction would lie before a Trustee appointed by a Foreign State, City Of Columbia v. Ours Garage and Wrecker Services Inc., 536 U.S. 424, 122 S.Ct. 2226(U.S.2002). Consolidate these cases please, Oldland v. Gray, 179 F2d 408(10th.Cir.1950); Guino v. Goard, 380 F3d 670; Wilder v. Virginia, 46 F. 676(W.Va. 1891) Rosen v. Rozan, 179 F.Supp. 829. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and seek all the aforementioned by Mandamus.

Insomuch, there is a recent documentary done and aired on PBS called "13". The evidence gathered by Michelle Allexander is undisputable. The governor of Virginia is presently now making efforts to restore the voting rights of inmates. The question is clear. Do the PLRA and AEDPA provisions which are an intrinsic part of the Clinton War on Drugs Campaign, disproportionately target African Americans? We all know the answer is yes. Put it on the record. We motion for Declaratory Judgment. If the answer is yes, which of course it is. They run afoul of EX Parte Virginia and can no longer be used by the courts. We object.

Here attached the court will find:

(4) A copy of the [92] page default document dated May 2, 2014.

(5) A copy of the [95] page subsequent document exercising power via the default dated December 8, 2014 with [9] page attachment.

(6) The [152] page document dated September 14, 2015 explaining the legal terms the default is established.

(7) The [31] page document dated October 7, 2015 voiding their jurisdiction for Due Process violation and appoint-

ing Judge Lee as Trustee.

When the conspiring parties realized the magnitude of what took place by the submitting of these documents. They had to act in additional crimes of conspiracy and fraud to negate the facts, and to get around the facts, that by their conspiratorial efforts took place. The parties went beyond the time to "LEGALLY" (emphasis added) respond to the documents and defeat them. Thereby they FORFEIT challenge where none was timely made.

An additional act which demonstrates the fraud. Is that Austin in using the PLRA asserted we had to exhaust. So Austin essentially said "BUNK" the U.S. Supreme Court in Ross v. Blake, 136 S.Ct.1850(2016). I don't care that we with the state actors are engaging in fraud, machination, criminal conspiracy, misrepresentation and other outrageous acts including stealing inmate funds. They still must exhaust. We object and motion for Declaratory Judgment where they held these cases up in a deadend within the state courts for well over (10) years when you look at the fraud occurring in the Gentry case involving the S.C. Supreme Court. the highest court is a defendant and defaulted. There are no available state remedies and some relief they don't have the power to grant or refuse to grant. We motion for Declaratory Judgment and file Mandamus to correct this injustice.

Now what is the Trust to which the plaintiffs as Christians, Jews, Muslims, and Africans are the beneficiaries of? The answer in part lies within Black Law Dictionary, which reads, LE LEY EST LE PLUS HAUT ENHERITANCE QUE LE ROY AD, CAR PAR LE LEY, IL MESME ET TOUNTS SES SUJETS SONT RULES, ET SI LE LEY, NE FRUIT, NUL ROY NE NUL ENHERITANCE SERRA". This is law, Foreign Law, Theocratic Law, which is perspicuous because it is found in Black Law Dictionary. Thus, no one can assert this is a conclusory claim. It interprets for the purpose of this case.-- "THE LAWS OF GOD ARE THE HIGHEST INHERITANCE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH POSSESSES (INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY); FOR BY THE LAWS OF GOD, THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL OF HIS SUBJECTS ARE RULED: AND

IF THERE WERE NO LAWS OF GOD, THERE WOULD BE NEITHER GOD APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH, NOR INHERITANCE". This is defaulted on by the parties subjudice.

This is the heart of the matter and the source of the controversy related to most, if not all, the claims that are placed before the court. Christ confirmed this in the New Testament whenever he spoke his parables and said, "THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN IS LIKE,...". The "KINGDOM", embodies the laws, rules ordinances, commands, prohibitions, rewards etc. that govern servanthood to demonstrate that you are party to the legal binding contract established by God with "MAN AND WOMAN" that makes one entitled by, to the eternal inheritance promised by God, to paradise, eternal life.

The (4) basic, essential pillars to the contract are "MILK", "HONEY", "JEALOUSY" and "SALT". These (4) pillars make Judaism, Islam and Christianity congruent, in harmony with each other. It makes them "ONE", demonstrating that all (3) of these legal binding Contracts, Wills, Testaments of inheritance are "ONE", and come from the same God. "MILK"---is obedience, servant hood. God milks us for all He Desires. He is an austere God. He has Dominion. "HONEY"---is for this obedience. We are given blessings, all the benefits of the contract(s) which include eternal life after the resurrection. "JEALOUSY"---which shows He is a jealous God and which also means "PROTECTION". He protects us; We protect, fight and stand for His Laws. This is why there are "cities of refuge" in the old testament and blasphemy laws in Israel and the Middle East. And for obedience to the first (3) pillars, conditions of the contract, we become "SALT". "SALT"---is a sweet savour in the mouth of our God. This is why Christ said, "THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN, CANNOT BE BROKEN", signifying that no one including the courts can unmake or dissolve the contracts made, Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; United Dominion Realty Trust, Inc., 307 S.C. 102; C.A.N. Enters Inc. v. S.C. Health And Human Servs. Fin. Comm'n, 296 S.C. 373, 377; M & q Polymers U.S.A., LLC. v. Tackett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130

S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.Ed.2d 998(2010); Lacke v. Lacke, 362 S.C. 302, 608 S.E.2d 147(S.C.2005). Thus, the King-Khalifah and his subjects, the plaintiffs, are required by the terms of the contract to protect its terms and prevent any party, court or nation from violating the terms. ei"A Land Flowing With Milk and Honey".

Is the Contract a perpetual contract established by God and His Holy Prophets and Kings which set the foundation for the Sole Corporation? All one would have to do is look at the contract in part in Zechariah 6:12-13; Numbers 18:1-8; Exodus 40:12-15; 1Kings 8:25; 1Chronicles 17:7-14; Isaiah 14:29-32; Isaiah 61:1-3; Malachi 3:1-4; Malachi 4:5-6; Sunnah ibn. Majah Volume 5 pages 391-396 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1 and the citing of law and references listed on pages (69) through (78) of the [92] page default document dated May 2, 2014, and the answer would obviously be yes. The Biblical and Islamic references refer to Jahjah, including the claim he would come out of prison betrayed by members of his own household. These are terms and conditions defined in the contract(s), which cannot be broken.

Are your nations' laws your laws, or are they ours? The United States Supreme Court addressed this in particularity in the case of Trustees of Darthmouth College. There can be property (ei Intellectual Property), that is owned by private individuals or corporations that is ubiquitously used in the Civil or Public arenas as a "CHARITY"(GRANT). But this do not negate the fact that the property used in the Civil or Public sphere is privately owned. See Trustees of Darthmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. This includes intellectual Property (ei The Right To Marry).

If the court would take notice of Genesis 17:1-7, thousands of years before your existence as a nation. God decreed your existence through Abraham to include the establishing of the Sole Corporation in the form of Kings which is an everlasting contract. If your nations were decreed before you came, then so were your laws; for a nation cannot exist without laws to

govern. Thus, God is the original fountain of all law (ei."Thou shalt not steal, rob, defraud etc."). This is a "GRANT" given to you though its ownership rests within the Sole Corporation as private property by contract. It is a "GRANT" with conditions.

Genesis 18:17-19 proves the "GRANT" when it said,"ALL THE NATIONS SHALL BE BLESSED BY HIM", and the command is given perpetually as a Trust to protect the terms of the contract as shall command this Trust to your children and future Kings for Justice and Judgment (ei "LAWS"). Thus, your laws are the property of the King-Khalifah who can make or break them to ensure that the terms of the contract remain intact perpetually as "LAWGIVER" of the Sole Corporation and original fountain (ei "GOD"), remaining true to His law that "WE ARE THE SALT OF THE EARTH".

United States Art. 1 § 10 of The Constitution declare THAT NO STATE SHALL MAKE ANY LAW IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS. The Charter(Covenant) was not dissolved by a break in the chain of successors or the revolution. Thus by God's special grace, knowledge and mere motion, for us, our heirs and successors will and have given, granted and appointed Kings, Khalifahs who are given the Trust and their appointed Trustee and successors shall forever hereafter be, in deed, act and name, a body corporate and politic, and that they, the said body corporate and politic, shall be known and distinguished, in all deeds, grants, bargains, sales, writings, evidences or otherwise howsoever, and in all courts forever now and hereafter, plea and be pleaded by the name of the King-Khalifah and or his appointed Trustee(s) Judge Austin, and that said Sole Corporation by the name aforesaid, shall be able, and in law capable for the use of The Global Theocratic State do whatever is needed or decreed by the King-Khalifah to maintain all terms of the contract between the Sole Corporation and The One True God. Thus, the King-Khalifah by these present, for us, our heirs and successors, bind without her consent as employee of The United States who is party to the default, create, make,

constitute and appoint Judge Austin to do among many things, discharge our debts. IT IS SO DECREED.

It can require no argument that the circumstances of this case constitute a perpetual Trust, a contract for which we are the beneficiaries and this private property has also been given as a "GRANT" to the nations, blessings, promised by God through Abraham, though now owned by Jahjah. Marriage is a religious contract which is an intrinsic part of our Covenant given by God to the Sole Corporation, which the world was to be blessed by it as are your laws. It is intellectual property privately owned, and restricted.

It is perspicuous that this element of the contract was given specifically to heterosexuals, because one specific term of the contract was "TO BE FRUITFUL AND MULTIPLY" by natural conception (ei SEX BETWEEN MAN AND WOMAN). To give our intellectual property to Sodomites(homosexuals) and Gomorrahrites (lesbians) by your laws is a breach of the contract and violation of the "CHARITY"(GRANT) given under conditions to which Jahjah as the Sole Corporation and King-Khalifah can challenge in any open court. The Legislature and or courts were restrained from violating the rights of our property. To past any law impairing the obligation of contracts is repugnant to the Constitution and you add to this fact that we are dealing with Religion also protected under the 1st. Amendment. It violates the Establishment Clause.

The provisions of the Constition never has been understood to embrace other contracts than those which respect property, in this case intellectual property, or some object of value, and confer rights which may be asserted in a court of justice. When the state legislature and or courts shall pass an act or judgment annulling the prohibitions of the marriage contract set in place by God and the Sole Corporation, His Prophets and Kings, or allowing other parties to annul these prohibitions (ei Gays and Lesbians), without the consent of God or The Sole

Corporation, it will be time enough to inquire, whether such an act is constitutional.

This is the point on which the cause essentially depends. This is not a grant of political power unconditioned. Marriage created a religious institution that by "CHARITY"(GRANT) the Sole Corporation allowed others, with limits to partake, and is intellectual property of a Foreign government. Your laws all together, especially in light of the default, also by contract, are not solely in the hands of your governments. The donors in this case do not become public property and the rightful King-Khalifah by default has appeared to claim all rights of the crown and priesthood. The Trustee Judge Austin is permitted and required to execute the Trust uncontrolled by legislative or judicial authority that violates the contract by decree of the Sole Corporation. Let it be done.

The Sole Corporation is an artificial being, invisible, intangible and existing only in contemplation of God's Laws which are the original fountain of all nations' laws. Being a mere creation of God's Laws and contract, it possesses all properties which the intent of its creation confers upon it either expressly or as incidental to its very existence. These are such as are supposed best calculated to effect the object for which it was created, which among things is to worship, maintain the contracts, and protect God's Laws and prohibitions from those who would seek to water them down or do them harm.

This includes, most important are immortality, and if, the expression may be allowed, individuality---properties by which a perpetual succession of many persons are considered as the same and may act as a single individual. "HAERES EST EADEM PERSONA CUM ANTECESSORE"---THE HEIR IS THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR. This enables the Sole Corporation to manage its own affairs and to hold property without the perplexing intricacies, the hazards and endless necessity, of perpetual conveyances for the purpose of transmitting it from hand to hand acting as "ONE" immortal being. This Sole Corporation does not share in the Civil government, nor do the terms, provisions and objects of the contract unless that be the purpose for which it was created. Thus, it is not subject to be annulled, modified or changed in any manner without consent (ei. Giving marriage to gays and lesbians). The will of the donor becomes the law of the donation (1 Bl. Com. 471). There can be no reason for implying in the CONTRACT/COVENANT given for a valuable consideration a power which is not only not expressed, but is in direct contridiction to its expressed stipulations. The King-Khalifah's intellectual property, marriage, whose origin stems from the Sole Corporation can only be given to heterosexuals by the terms of the contract. The particular interest of giving these provisions to Gays and Lesbians as it pertains to God and the Sole Corporation, never entered into the minds of the donors. It was never constituted as a motive for their donation.

It is not public office nor is it a Civil institution by its original creation. It is a religious institution, a

"CHARITY"(GRANT) given to the nations when God told Abraham they would be blessed by him and this contract, and through Adam.

An artificial immortal being was created by God and the Crown, capable of receiving, distributing and protecting forever, according to the will of God, and the Sole Corporation, the terms of the donations and contract(s). This consideration for which is stipulated, even pursuant to your Global laws, which "[m]ust" be "JUST AND FAIR" to which you have miserably failed (ei. Slavery, Jim Crow laws, PLRA, AEDPA) is the perpetual application of this practice of contract in the mode prescribed by God and Sole Corporation.

The Sole Corporation is the assignee of the Trust and rights, the Fiduciary Heir in the form of Jahjah Al Mahdi stands in place and distributes the bounty and the appointed Trustee, Austin, must distribute it in accordance to his wishes, this chain being immortal. One of the main objects here is a New Heaven and Earth and restore God's house to its original position before Satan took 1/3 of the Angels establishing Hell. It is not about monetary gain or individual power, but God's purpose which do not give way to debauchery, Sodomy and Mr. & Mrs. "FREAKY, WANNA SLIDE DOWN THE STRIP POLE". It is a contract on the faith of which real and personal property and estate has been conveyed to the Sole Corporation. The language of the contract is unambiguous and explicit to exclude such practice.

The law in this case is the law of all. Austin as Trustee via decree of the Sole Corporation and Global Theocratic Court has all power and jurisdiction to act on behalf of the King-Khalifah and plaintiffs who are beneficiaries of the Trust where her powers derive from a regal source. She must partake in the spirit of their origin.

All rights and contracts respecting property, which include intellectual property, remain unchanged by and or due to religious revolution or national revolution or creating of independent states. Christ brought the conditions of the old contract forward and embellished them within the New Contract every time he said, "THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN IS LIKE,...".; The old contract being a shadow of the new. The New Contract embellishing the old (ei. Christianity), and the 3rd. and final Contract (ISLAM) being components of "BOTH", making them "ONE", all falling under the care and authority of the Sole Corporation. The U.S. Constitution speaks with all clarity. "NO STATE, WHICH INCLUDES ITS JUDICIARY, SHALL PASS NO ACT, TO INCLUDE LAW, IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS". The Sole Corporation also via its appointed Trustee, as possessing the whole and legal, equitable interest and completely representing the donors and founders of the "CONTRACT/COVENANT" for the purpose of executing the Trust, has rights which are protected by the Constitution. Thus, the act of allowing the Sodomites and Gomorrahrites to marry and subjecting the King-Khalifah to your laws

via this false imprisonment to include his subjects as co-heirs and beneficiaries to the Trust is repugnant to the Constitution and are rendered to no effect by default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. Thus, the Clause within the U.S. Constitution Article 1 sec. 10 declaring that "NO STATE SHALL PASS ANY BILL OF ATTAINDER, EX POST FACTO LAW, OR ANY LAW IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS" bear on the claims made in this case, King v. Passmore, 3 T.R. 246; Phillip v. Bury, 1 Lord Raym 5 S.C. 2 T.R. 346.

The ingredients requisite to form a contract are parties, consent, and an obligation to be created or dissolved; these must all occur, because the regular effect of all contracts is, on one side, to acquire, and on the other, to part with, some property or rights, or to abridge or to restrain natural liberty, by binding the parties to do or restraining them from doing, something which before they might have done or omitted, Fletcher v. Peck, 6 Cranch 87, in which it was laid down that a contract is either executory or executed; by the former, a party binds himself to do or not to do a particular thing; the latter is one in which the object of the contract is performed, and this differs in nothing from a "GRANT"; but whether executed or executory, they both contain obligations binding on the parties, and both are equally within the provisions of the Constitution of the United States which forbid the states, governments, courts, to pass laws impairing the obligation of contracts.

Your nations' liberty was restrained in giving the right to marry to these people. Your nations were restrained from establishing laws like AEDPA and PLRA that disproportionately effect African Americans, institutionalizing slavery and Jim Crow, placing into effect indictments that take away the presumption of innocence and the other laws you promote which defy "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". Your nations are in violation of the contract and the rights of the beneficiaries of the Trust. Cause is established.

A contract is also a "GRANT" by meaning within the Constitution. A Corporation defined by Mr. Justice Blackstone (2 Bl. Com. 37) is to be a franchise with power to maintain perpetual succession, and to do corporate acts. To this "GRANT", "CONTRACT", "FRANCHISE" are God, the King, which in this case is Adam, his wife and co-heir Eve and the person for whom the benefit is created, or Trustee for them which is the Sole Corporation, and those they may for whatever reason appoint. The assent of both are necessary which we have in this case.

The subjects of the "GRANT" are not only privileges and immunities, but property, real and intellectual, or, which is the same thing, a capacity to acquire and hold property in perpetuity. Certain obligations are created binding both the grantor and grantees. On the part of the former, it amounts to an extinguishment of the King's prerogative to bestow the same identical franchise on another corporate body, because it would prejudice his prior "GRANT". You cannot grant the same rights of

the contract (ei. Marriage) on another corporate body (ei. Sodomites and Gomorrahrites), because it would prejudice the prior "GRANT" given to heterosexuals and violate the terms of the "Contract", "Covenant", "Grant", "Franchise", (2Bl. Com. 37).

You cannot make laws or subject people to laws that defy "JUSTICE, FAIRNESS" and the terms of the Contract, Covenant set in place by God, Adam and Eve who were your forefathers as well as mine, binding us all as mankind. "SEEING THAT ABRAHAM SHALL SURELY BECOME A GREAT AND MIGHTY NATION, AND ALL THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD SHALL BE BLESSED IN HIM? FOR I KNOW HIM, THAT HE WILL "COMMAND"(EMPHASIS ADDED) (NOT ASK) HIS CHILDREN AND HIS HOUSEHOLD "AFTER", "AFTER" HIM, AND THEY **"SHALL"** (MANDATORY) KEEP THE WAY(LAWS) OF THE LORD, AND DO JUSTICE AND JUDGMENT ****". And right after this very passage you have God's reference to Sodom and Gomorrah. Coincidence? Not on your life. The terms of the contract are unambiguous. Corrupt judges, crooked, racist, brutal cops, slavery, Jim Crow laws, PLRA, AEDPA, same sex marriage. Your nations speak blasphemies, and you violated the terms of the contract mankind, permitting Jahjah to legally intervene, abolish all unjust laws, indictments, marriages and restore all terms of the contract, to which Judge Austin is Trustee. Such is done and established by decree of Jahjah Al Mahdi.

This fulfills the Prophesy written in Mark 9:12 which state, "ELIJAH MUST FIRST COME AND RESTORE ALL THINGS". Every alteration of the Contract, Covenant, however unimportant, even though you claimed was in the interest of "Democracy", though objected to by the rightful heirs to the Kingdom Of God, impaired the obligation of the contract. For it is impossible that a new contract or construction should not violate the old contract, covenant, through Moses, Christ and Muhammad(PBUT), which are "ONE". The reason is obvious---A Covenant, Testament, is a contract, to the validity of which the consent of both parties is essential, and therefore it cannot be altered or added or

made or unmade by the courts without consent of the Sole Corporation which was never given in cases, and or is officially withdrawn. This includes any laws made by your global legislative bodies. Your nations have interpreted law or placed in effect laws that make the Sole Corporation question your sanity and intent, as though your nations were in a drunken stupor. My People, Christians, Muslims, Jews, Africans and its diaspora will have "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". You are in breach of the Trust, of the "GRANT", of Contract, allowing me and my people to jointly bring action as beneficiaries of the Trust and The Fiduciary Heir of The Sole Corporation. see King v. Passmore, 3 T.R. 246; Territt v. Taylor, 9 Cranch 43; 1 Bl. Com. 469, 475, 1 Kyd. on Corp., 13, 69, 189; 1 Wooddes, 471, & C.; Attorney General v. Whorwood, 1 Ves. 534; St. John's College v. Todington, 1 Bl. Rep. 84 S.C. 1 Burr. 200; Woods v. Woods, 2016 WL 4013754; M & G Polymers U.S.A., LLC v. Tachett, 135 S.Ct. 926(2015); Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 560 U.S. 242, 130 S.Ct. 2149, 176 L.ED.2d 998(U.S.2010); Trustees Of Darthmouth College v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; Tonbar v. Bangs, 69 U.S. 728, 1864 WL 6590, 17 L.Ed. 768, 2 Wall 728(1864); Oparah v. The New York City Dept. Of Educ., F.Supp.3d, 2015 WL 4240733 (N.Y.D.C.2015); International Ass'n Of Machinist Lodge 1652 v. Internal Aircraft Service Inc(Charleston), 302 F2d 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4th.Cir.1962). We seek Declaratory Judgment.

As aforesaid. The Trustee's duty of loyalty prohibits both self dealing and conflicts of interest: Thus, the Trustee(s) must neither (1) deal with Trust property for the benefit of himself or third parties, (2) place herself in a position inconsistent with interest of the Trust. Austin must recuse and [redacted] vacate the separation order. Duty of prudence, under the common law of Trust, require the Trustee to adhere to the law. PLRA and AEDPA are unconstitutional by the litigation presented. She broke the law established in Ex Parte Virginia by making use of it in this case in furtherance of the conspiracy. We As and motion for sanctions and any initial review or time for submission of motion for Summary Judgment by the defendants be

forfeited, hearing be set, hearing be scheduled, legal counsel be appointed, discovery issue and case be set for trial. All relief sought in case 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294 be granted via sanctions sought for the fraud, for the monies stolen from us, evidence spoliated, physical assaults, corrupt Judges, fictitious homicides being established to frame the King-Khalifah and racial animus consistently displayed. This is outrageous! We seek full faith and credit given to the default and we seek immediate removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c) and all other relief sought by all the (4) Mandamus that were filed. Judge Austin must be made to stand up and fulfill her duty as Trustee, Fifth Third Bon Corp. v. Dudenhoefter, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014). A Trustee shall invest and manage the Trust assets solely in the interest of the beneficiaries, Ws. 1977 & 4-10-905 Loyalty.

Under principles of equity, a Trustee bears an unwavering duty of complete loyalty to the beneficiaries of the Trust, to the exclusion of the interest of all others. To deter a Trustee from all temptation and to prevent any possible injury to the beneficiary, which occurred by her issuing this separation order, separating the plaintiffs from each other to cause harm to our proceedings. The Rule against the Trustee dividing her loyalties must be enforced with uncompromising rigidity. Self dealing by a Trustee or any Fiduciary is always suspect, and it is a universal Rule of equity that a Trustee shall not deal with Trust property to his or her own advantage without knowledge or consent of the Cestui Que Trust. She was given consent to establish the independent action, but not to separate us after that. She must be made to correct this injustice, Fobes v. Forbes, 341 P3d. 1041, 2015 Wy. 13 Jan. 23, 2015; N.L.R.B. v. Amex Coal Co.,...Div. Of Amax, Inc., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789(U.S.1981); Central States, Southeast and Southwest Arcas Pension Funds v. Central Transport, Inc., 472 U.S. 559, 105 S.Ct. 2833, 86 L.Ed.2d. 447(U.S.1985).

It is a general Rule of the common law (the reverse of that applied in ordinary cases) that a "GRANT", "CONTRACT",

"TESTAMENT" of a King-Khalifah at the suit of the grantee, is to be construed most beneficial for the King-Khalifah and most strictly against the grantee. Austin cannot be permitted to abuse the Trust. The laws which are connected to the convictions abuse the Trust and are called into account and are now given no effect being in egregious violation of the Contract. You are in forfeiture of all rights acquired under them by decree of The Global Theocratic King and Court whose power supersedes your global courts by the default and contract via such breach, emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084. Its time for prison reform and opportunity to fix this mess with these Sodomites your nations devised. It is perspicuous that any act of a legislature or court within your nations which take away any powers of franchise vested by the "COVENANT", "TESTAMENT", "CONTRACT" in the Sole Corporation, or its Trustee, or which restrains or controls the legitimate exercise of them, or transfer them to other persons without its assent is a violation of the obligations of that contract. If the Court and Legislature claim such an authority it must be written within the "WILL AND TESTAMENT", (3) HOLY BOOKS, to which it is not. Thus, the 4th. Circuit is bound to declare that the acts and injustices argued within the plaintiffs cases jointly and or separately do impair the obligations of the "COVENANT", "TESTAMENT", "CONTRACT" which in truth declare that there is no separation of church and state, which is obvious when your political candidates running for office consistently bring up their religious faith. The fact that the "CHARITY" (GRANT) given to you may be used in the public arenas don't make the Sole Corporation public. We are separate from you, a peculiar people, a chosen Kingdom and Priesthood designating limits placed on you via "CONTRACT" perpetually established restricted you giving this religious covenant to homosexuals and lesbians. As "SALT OF THE EARTH", by the (4) pillars we bring suit.

Insomuch, these claims can no longer be considered frivolous, or it be stated that we infringe upon rights that have

not been established. The claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 where the United States and other 192 Member States of the U.N. are parties to, make the claims true producing a preclusive effect preventing the courts from challenging these claims. Legal binding "CONTRACT" is placed before the courts.

It is perspicuous that the lower Federal and State Courts understood and know this to be true or they would have never engaged themselves in acts of machination, criminal conspiracy, obstruction and fraud, attempting to remand when there was no discretionary remand to allow the state actors to obtain the fraudulent, tainted protective orders to misrepresent the truth of this matter. Due to this dilatory, obstructive behavior, sanctions must be imposed and the claims of Sovereignty be given full faith and credit in all court(s) records, Robinson v. U.S., 2015 WL 1524406; U.S. v. Sterling, 724 F3d. 482 CA4(Va.2013); Turner v. U.S., 736 F3d. 274 CA4(N.C.2013); Loveless v. John Ford Inc., 232 Fed. Appx' 229, 2007 WL 1381597 CA4(Va.2007).

We motion for Declaratory Judgment on all issues and claims within this document and all those attached.

We motion to supplement the causes of action in all pending federal cases with the claims, issues and defenses argued within this document and seek this Mandamus to remedy all issues of concern.

We want the injunction sought and all relief in cases 16-1519, 16-1953, 16-2141 granted. We seek the recusal of Judge Austin and Harwell and Austin be required to stand in her position as Trustee and transferring all cases after consolidation to New Jersey where trial will commence. We seek that all plaintiffs in all the cases referred to be removed to the nicest federal pre-release camp this nation has pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c). We want our Master's, our God's intellectual property given to the King-Khalifah, the Sole Corporation by default and inheritance returned. Marriage by contract in its original conception is a religious covenant and practice. Not merely

a Civil act or public practice. It is restricted by contract which you violated. The Sole Corporation's right to challenge is established.

The right to issuance of the Writ is indisputable. Austin must exercise power as the King-Khalifah's Trustee. Recusal is sought and other crucial matters. The Writ issues in all cases where the party hath a right to have anything done and hath no other specific means of compelling its performance. Thereupon it must issue as a "WILDCARD" remedy. The primary purpose of a Writ Of Mandamus is to grant an established right and or enforce an imperative duty. By the documents continuously filed over the years, 10+, to include the claims of default and collateral estoppel emerging from case 2013-CP-400-0084 that they criminally, fraudulently conspired to negate and failed. We have established the rights with sufficient certainty and shown the imperative duty placed upon the conspiring State and Federal actors only to be defrauded, criminally delayed and unjustly denied. The Writ in the interest of "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" must issue, Porter v. Jedziniak, 334 S.C. 16, 18, 512 S.E.2d. 497, 498(1999) citing Williams v. City Of Greenville, 243 S.C. 82, 132 S.E.2d. 169(1963); Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, 52 L.Ed.2d. 72(N.C.1977); Robinson v. Leahy, D.C.Ill. 1975, 401 F.Supp. 1027; Vistamar Inc. v. Vazquez, D.C. Puerto Rico, 1971, 337 F.Supp. 375; Wilwording v. Swensen, 1971, 404 U.S. 249, 92 S.Ct. 407, 30 L.Ed.2d 418; Buise v. Hudkins, CA Ind. 1978, 584 F2d. 223; IN RE: Wainwright, CA5 (Fla.) 1975, 518 F2d. 173; Will v. United States, 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 273, 19 L.Ed.2d. 305(1967); Kerr v. United States District Court For The Northern District Of California, 426 U.S. 394, 405, 96 S.Ct. 2119, 48 L.Ed.2d. 725 (1976); 3 Blackstone at * 110; IN RE: First Federal Savings & Loan Association Of Dorham, 860 F2d 135, 138 (4th.Cir.1988); 198 F3d. at 511; 695 F2d. 1179.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muqit

November 2, 2016

Exhibit

Judge Lee #1

Julius W. McKay, II
Mark D. Cauthen
Daniel R. Settana, Jr.
M. Stephen Stubley
Janet Brooks Holmes
Peter P. Leventis

Kelli L. Sullivan*
Erin Farrell Farthing
Temus C. Miles, Jr.
David M. Bornemann
Brandon P. Jones
James E. L. Fickling

Law Offices
McKAY, CAUTHEN, SETTANA & STUBLEY, P.A.

P.O. Box 7217
Columbia, South Carolina 29202-7217

1303 Blanding Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Douglas McKay, Jr.
(1917-2008)

Telephone
(803) 256-4645
Fax
(803) 765-1839
E-Mail
mms@mckayfirm.com
Web
www.mckayfirm.com

*S.C. Certified Mediator

June 17, 2014

Via Hand Delivery:
The Honorable L. Casey Manning
Chief Administrative Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit
1201 Main St., Room 124
P.O. Box 192
Columbia, SC 29202-0192

Re: Anthony Cook v. Attorney General's Office et al.
Dominic Gallman v. Warden McKie, et al.
Case No: 13-CP-40-00084, 13-CP-40-02294
Claim Nos: 92579, 93510
Our File No: 9-384

Dear Judge Manning:

Thank you for speaking with me this morning regarding my request for continuance in this case. I represent SCDC and the Attorney General's Office in cases 2013-CP-40-2294 (which was #4 on the trial roster for the week of June 23, 2014), as well as the companion case -0084. We have several motions pending in both of these cases, each of which have repeatedly been continued at the request of Plaintiffs.

As I mentioned in our conversation this morning, you have previously recused yourself in these cases involving inmate Lawrence Crawford, a/k/a Jah Jah Tishbite, King of the North. He has named a litany of defendants and members of the judiciary, including yourself, in various complaints around the country. As part of our request for a continuance, we also respectfully requested that these cases be consolidated and placed on the Complex Case Roster. For the sake of judicial expediency, we believe that a singular Judge hearing all further matters in these cases would provide much needed continuity and clarity.

Thank you again for agreeing to take care of our request for a continuance in this matter. I have enclosed a proposed order for your signature, should it meet your approval. If I can provide anything further to the court, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Meghan Hall

Meghan Hazelwood Hall

cc: Anthony Cook #115157
Lawrence L. Crawford, #300839
Dominic Gallman #234627
Aerialle J. Crawford
Quinta D. Lee
Paul Gunter (via hand delivery)
Kristy Kohl (via hand delivery)

Meghan Hall

From: Manning, L. Casey Law Clerk (Eve Goodstein) <CManningLC@sccourts.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 4:07 PM
To: Meghan Hall
Cc: Paul Gunter (GUNTERP@rcgov.us); kohlk@rcgov.us
Subject: RE: Gallman v. SCAG; C/A No. 2013-CP-40-2294

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Meghan,

Judge Manning has signed your proposed order in this case.

Thank you,
Eve

From: Meghan Hall [mailto:mhall@mckayfirm.com]
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2014 12:26 PM
To: Manning, L. Casey Law Clerk (Eve Goodstein)
Cc: Paul Gunter (GUNTERP@rcgov.us); kohlk@rcgov.us; Manning, L. Casey Secretary (Ada H. James)
Subject: FW: Gallman v. SCAG; C/A No. 2013-CP-40-2294

Dear Eve,

I am following up again regarding our request for a continuance in the above-referenced matter. This case is presently #4 on the trial roster for the week of June 23, 2014. I failed to mention in my previous email that Judge Manning previously recused himself of matters involving one of the Plaintiffs in this case, inmate Lawrence Crawford, a/k/a Jah Jah Tishbite, as the Plaintiff claimed to have added him as a Defendant to another lawsuit. As such, we would seek a continuance on that additional ground, as well as the others I previously enumerated in my letters and emails to the Court. Finally, I am the associate handling this case, and I am scheduled to be out of town the week of June 23, 2014. I did not seek protection because this case was not ready for trial, and there are many outstanding motions in both 2013-CP-40-2994 and -0084, which require hearings before this case can be tried. We would respectfully request a continuance in this matter, and ask that these cases be consolidated and placed on the Complex Case Roster.

If I can provide any additional information to the court, or set up a status hearing, please let me know. I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,
Meghan Hall

Meghan Hazelwood Hall, Esq.
McKay, Cauthen, Settana & Stubley, PA
1303 Blanding Street (29201)
P.O. Drawer 7217
Columbia, SC 29202-7217
803-256-4645 ext. 132
803-765-1839 (fax)
mhall@mckayfirm.com

STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

NOTICE OF MOTION SCHEDULING

Exhibit
Benjamin
No. 2

March 20, 2014

Motion "MMSTAY - Affidavit of Facts Seeking to File Objection to Defendants'" for Case: 2013CP4000084 - Anthony #115157 Cook , plaintiff, et al vs CPL Bouch , defendant, et al has been added to the following Motions Roster:

668 - MOTION ROSTER APRIL 3, 2014 COURTROOM 3-B

This hearing of this motion has been scheduled for 4/3/2014 at 9:30 AM.

The above referenced case is scheduled for a Motion Hearing before Judge Alison R. Lee. The Plaintiff's Attorney is to notify the Defendant in writing of the time and date of all Default and Damages Hearings. All requests for continuances must be in writing with a $25.00 filing fee and received by the Chief Administrative Judge prior to the hearing. A request for a continuance does not guarantee that a case will be continued. Please notify the Court in writing if the Motions are resolved prior to the hearing. Please file any briefs or memorandum the Wednesday before the week of the hearing. ALL ATTORNEYS MUST SEND A PROPOSED ORDER OR MEMORANDUM OF LAW BY Wednesday, March 26, 2014 FOR THE MOTION HEARING THAT IS BEING HEARD ON HARD COPY AND DISK: OR IT CAN BE SENT BY EMAIL TO ALeeLC@sccourts.org.

Mail Notice To:	Court Info:
Anthony #115157 Cook Lieber Correctional Instution P O Box 205 Ridgeville, SC 29472	Richland County Common Pleas Richland County Judicial Center 1701 Main Street Columbia, SC 29201-9201

Judge James R. Barber III
Chief Adminstrative Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit

RICHLAND COUNTY
FILED
2014 APR -9 AM 10:00
JEANETTE W. WALDEN
C.C.P. & G.S.

STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

NOTICE OF MOTION SCHEDULING

February 11, 2014

Motion "MMFDEF - Affidavit of Facts Seeking to File Objections to Counsel Sub" for Case: 2013CP4002294 - Dominic #234627 Gallman , plaintiff, et al vs Warden McKie , defendant, et al has been added to the following Motions Roster:

648 - MOTION ROSTER MARCH 6, 2014 COURTROOM

This hearing of this motion has been scheduled for 3/6/2014 at 2:00 PM.

The above referenced case is scheduled for a Motion Hearing before Judge Eugene C. Griffith, Jr. The Plaintiff's Attorney is to notify the Defendant in writing of the time and date of all Default and Damages Hearings. All requests for continuances must be in writing with a $25.00 filing fee and received by the Chief Administrative Judge prior to the hearing. A request for a continuance does not guarantee that a case will be continued. Please notify the Court in writing if the Motions are resolved prior to the hearing. Please file any briefs or memorandum the Wednesday before the week of the hearing. ALL ATTORNEYS MUST SEND A PROPOSED ORDER OR MEMORANDUM OF LAW BY Wednesday, February 26, 2014 FOR THE MOTION HEARING THAT IS BEING HEARD ON HARD COPY AND DISK: OR IT CAN BE SENT BY EMAIL TO EGriffithLC@sccourts.org.

Mail Notice To:	Court Info:
Lawrence L. # 300839 Crawford Lieber Correctional Inst. Po Box 205 Ridgeville, SC 29472	Richland County Common Pleas Richland County Judicial Center 1701 Main Street Columbia, SC 29201-9201

Judge L. Casey Manning
Chief Adminstrative Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

Judge Lee #4

2

B

Certificate of Service

We, Cook, Crawford et al, do hereby certify that we have mailed and or served a copy of an affidavit of facts Giving Judicial Notice; Challenging the Richland Court's Jurisdiction; Responding to defendants motions and letters, (31) pages dated October 7, 2015 on the Richland Court, Judge Lee and all involved parties by US mail postage prepaid on October 8, 2015

RICHLAND COUNTY FILED
2015 OCT -8 AM 8:42

Anthony Cook
Anthony Cook
October 9, 2015

Respectfully,
Jahsiah al mahdi

State of South Carolina
County of Richland
U.S District Court
U.S District Court
Court of Appeals
et al.,

Court of Common Pleas
5th Judicial Circuit
State of New York
State of Georgia
2nd, 11th Circuits
et al.,

Anthony Cook,
Lawrence L Crawford aka Jonah Gabriel Jahtah
T. Tishbite et al.,
plaintiffs

Cases 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294;
9:15-cv-984 et al.,

v/s.

Affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial Notice; Challenging the Richland Courts Jurisdiction; Responding to defendants motions and letters

Cpl Bough; SCDC; State of South Carolina; The United States et al, defendants

RECEIVED
RICHLAND COUNTY
CLERK OF COURT
2011 DEC -1 AM 11:42

To: The Richland Court of Common Pleas, Judge Alison Lee et al, The defendants in this

Richland case filed motion for hearing which was originally scheduled October 1, 2015. They made claims that the plaintiffs were Amending the proceedings. We object to a hearing being granted and to any claims of amendment by the defendants.

The plaintiffs give judicial notice. On October 1, 2015 the plaintiffs filed a document entitled, " affidavit of Facts Giving Judicial notice Supplie.

regarding the newly filed Georgia Writ of Error, also the Crawford and Sutcliffe Appeals of cases 9:15-cv-183; 9:14-cv-150; 1:15-cv-2310 and all pending state and federal cases; motion for sanctions; motion to strike; motion for recusal; motion for en banc review; evidentiary hearing, the appointment of legal counsel, and to exceed any page limits; motions for an extension of time; motion to expand the scope and for inclusion; motion for an

documents filed on October 4, 2015 from the Richland Clerk of Court due to state interference to delay or impede service. You people know I have a disability to my hands.

What's argued within this document is why they want that motion granted Judge Lee. They are trying to play you. They are trying to deceive you. The defendants are involved in dilatory and obstructive behavior in their efforts to prevent removal

to the federal courts which include the destroying of evidence. They are conspiring across multiple state and federal jurisdictions to prevent removal because the case is sound and they don't want to pay all that money or accept the fact that a black man, an inmate, supposedly, beat them. I'm just keeping it real. They want to restrict me from placing on the court record their crimes and documenting the dilatory and

obstructive behavior as it manifest itself during the course of these proceedings. Thus we object to the hearing and motion they submitted because it would extremely prejudice us in documenting and addressing the dilatory and obstructive behavior in their efforts to prevent Removal.

Their intent is to push the case back into the state court and have a judge appointed that they know will make a bogus

Ruling to kill the default because they know we are using that default as a spring board to move the case in federal jurisdiction. We object. This is fraud upon the court.

They are trying to "play you" and the court, deceive you and misrepresent the facts. By no means are we amending the complaint. What is occurring is that the defendants are engaged in further, continual acts of dilatory and obstructive behavior to prevent removal and we

are merely documenting the attempts as they manifest themselves in our efforts to seek sanctions before irreparable harm can come to our proceedings. We object to any claim of us pretending. It is documenting sanctionable acts being continually perpetrated against us.

It becomes perspicuous as to what their intention is in their efforts to force this case back to the state courts. So to protect our DUE PROCESS Rights. I am now

required to act. Judge Lee I bring your, the court, and parties attention to pages 76 through 152 of (152) page document dated September 14, 2015. To prevent any further acts of fraud I am officially voiding this courts jurisdiction for due process violations as is argued within this document. Judge Lee you, yourself told me how the Affidavits were to be filed at your last hearing. Now these corrupt defendants want to penalize me

and deny me my DUE PROCESS rights because I was intelligent enough to pick up on your instructions given in the court? This is wrong Judge Lee. Now I must act. I am challenging, voiding the courts jurisdiction for DUE PROCESS violation. At this juncture only post proceedings as to how and when these people will pay us or when we are going to be released should and can be conducted before this court. This do

hearing they sought can now occur. I want this documented in the case file so that whoever is the administrative judge in the upcoming term will see this and acknowledge this in the court record until those post proceedings can occur. Don't let them penalize us because I followed your instructions and oral determinations Judge Lee. The default stands as a final determination via your determinations on the filing of the affidavits

And the voiding of jurisdiction for DUE PROCESS violations. Collateral estoppel now attaches and only post proceedings can be conducted before this court where they failed to "timely" (emphasis added) respond to that default document and subsequent (95) page document within (30) days of service upon them to rebut them.

With this foundation being laid. I, Jahtah Al mahdi, by default and collateral estopped, to include the voiding of the

Court of Common Pleas jurisdiction in CASE 2013-CP-400-0084; Neither are within the court official record. That I am the fiduciary Heir, King, Khalifah, Imam, High Priest and Lawgiver, the direct descendant of Gods Holy Prophets and Kings and I am beneficiary of the trust pursuant to the (4) Global Thrones of the Reestablished Global Theocratic State by divine decree with superseding power over all global courts etc. This is clearly written within the

last will and Testament of God's Holy Prophets and Kings Under Probate International law making all these Rights that are established by will and Testament TRUE. See Ecclestiastical Law Volume 1 pages 53 and 54; The ancient Law of mortmain (Probate Law); Zechariah 6:12-13; Book of Numbers 18:1-8; Book of Exodus 40:12-15; 1 Kings 8:25; 1 Chronicles 17:7-14; Isaiah 14:29-32; Isaiah 41:25; Book of Daniel 10:14; Book of Daniel 11:1-3; mark 9:12; Isaiah 61:1-3; Book of malachi

3:1-4; Malachi 4:5-6; Sunnah ibn Majah Volume 5 pages 391-396 ISBN No. 81-7151-294-1. The default now makes the (3) Holy Books and Sunnah "legally" (emphasis added) true and correct. Thus, the court and defendants can no longer call the claims frivolous or assert that I am attempting to act upon rights I have not established.

By these rights, titles, power and authority now established by the default and voiding of juris-

diction of the Richland Court to hear any other matter other than post matters. Also via the memorandum of Law and Declaration of Sovereignty; the (92) page default document and subsequent (95) page document exercising authority, that the United States; holding its appearance and defendants defaulted on. I legally and respectfully bind you Judge Lee without your consent as an employee of this state and by the Supremacy

Clause where the United States appeared, concealed their appearance and failed to plead making them party to the default. I am officially appointing you, Judge Lee, as my trustee by decree of the King, Khalifah and Chief Justice of the Global Theocratic State and Court whose power and authority now legally supersedes this court and all other global courts and governments. You are now officially my trustee Judge Lee. I want you

to discharge our debts in all criminal matters related to Jahtah, the king, Khalifah and my people; African Americans, Christians, muslims and Jews within this state pursuant to their convictions. make history count.

You shall, with all due respect, order that the SC Attorney General release Jahtah, Anthony Cook (PCR case 2015-CP-21-00851); Henry Plessbit case 2014-001231 since this case was filed before jurisdiction was established in appellate court; Mason Johnson (PCR case 2015-CP-460-00415); Anthony Lee (PCR case 2013-CP-02-0704);

Rynis Rivera (PCR Case 2014-CP-400-7536); Terrance McCrea (PCR Case 2015-CP-45-272); David A. Durant (PCR Case 2015-CP-43-2134); Robert Mitchell and John Sutcliffe (PCR Cases 2015-CP-10-2153 and 2015-CP-10-3080); all plaintiffs, inmates listed in Case 2013-CP-400-2294 with the exception of Yisin Harkin, Gaithers and Talan Peoples. They will remain in captivity. The Release is to occur within (30) days of the Clerk receiving this document which will be done by

certified mail without conditions.

Judge Lee, you shall order that the S.C. Attorney General be in compliance to that discovery request for evidence and depositions as sought via the Attorney Kays letter and form 24 which copies of, they were served, and have in their possession. It's still needed to prove their crimes.

You shall issue and order granting class action certification pursuant to the legal issues of religious prophesy pertaining to

the indictments and release the remaining inmates within the State of South Carolina over a period of (7) months which is not to be extended without consent of the king. All criminal records which include DNA data base shall be ordered expunged to give them a second chance to get their lives together. Don't worry. The wicked ones will come right back. The repented ones will benefit. This is Gods will.

You shall order that all

indictments in this state be changed to correct the structural error before anyone else is brought to trial. All that has to be done is to add the two lines of presumption of innocence language seen in the warrants to the indictments.

You shall issue an order to reclaim the intellectual property of the global theocratic state in the form of the right to "marry". You shall order that all same sex marriage be stayed and those existing within the

State of South Carolina shall be annulled. All global government laws must forever remain subordinate to Gods Laws. See The Book of Leviticus 18:22 and Romans 1:26-28.

Further, pursuant to all the damage, fraud, spoliation, obstruction, crimes and sanctions argued and also listed in cases 2006-CA-400-3567, 3568, 3569 and these cases, the unspeakable injury caused to the King-Khalifah, his family and his Holy commonwealth. I wish we

be compensated for and be granted all Relief "originally" filed in cases 2006-CA-400-3567, 3568, 3569 ; 2013-CA-400-0084 and default document, in fairness to the de-fendants. Additional Relief (ei punitive damages in additional amounts) will be sought within the Federal courts within Independent or pendent jurisdiction cases. The conviction Relief do not apply to Michael Slager or Dylan Roof in Charleston.

The Judge shall order that U.S., the state and various counties

issue a check to Jahjah Al Mahdi in the amount of $100 million; $50 million for Anthony Cook and John Sutcliffe; $30 million for Quinta and Aerialle Crawford to be given to Jahjah on their behalf to dispense to them as deemed proper by their father and the King-Khalifah. $200k is to be given to the remaining plaintiffs. This is initial immediate payment. Any Remaining balance is to be paid to the King, Cook and his children in

the amount of "1 million per year until paid in full. "100 k to the remaining plaintiffs until paid in full per year. failure will result in immediate freeze on all relevant assets.

Convictions Relief do not apply to known lesbians or homosexuals or transgenders. The Equal Protections of the laws clause is of no effect upon this determination whereas theocratic law, foreign law, supersedes state

and federal law. "Give not that which is holy unto the dogs, neither cast ye your pearls before swine" (Matthew 7:6). This applies to those convicted of hate crimes as well with exception of those related to homosexual or lesbian behavior.

You shall issue an order establishing legal name change acknowledging all rights and titles as sought within the default document for Crawford and his Holy Sovereign offspring.

This Relief is not appealable to any global court, the Global Theocratic court now being the highest court globally by default and collateral Estoppel. Judge Lee you are officially appointed as trustee to discharge the debt and shall ensure order be issued granting all the aforementioned within (30) days the Clerk receives this document before your term as Adm. Judge expires. Thank you.

Respectfully,
Jahsiah Al Mahdi

Anthony Cook
Anthony Cook
October 4 2015

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

Indictments

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF Kershaw)
STATE VS.)
JAVIER Juan Aguilar Rosas)
AKA:)
Race: Sex: M Age: 31)
DOB: SS#:)
Address:)
City,State,Zip: CHARLOTTE, NC 28202)
DL#: SID#:)
*CDL Yes☐ Nc☐ CMV Yes☐ Nc☐ Hazmat Yes☐ Nc☐)

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2008-GS-28-1448
A/W#: K253795
Date of Offense: 7/9/2008
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0010
CDR Code #: 0116

SENTENCE SHEET

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was ☐ CONVICTED OF or ☒ PLEADS
TO: Murder / Murder

in violation of § 16-03-0010 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0116
☐ NON-VIOLENT ☐ VIOLENT ☐ SERIOUS ☑ MOST SERIOUS ☐ Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) ☐ §17-25-45

The charge is: ☑ As Indicted, ☐ Lesser Included Offense, ☐ Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury. _____ (defendant's initials)
The plea is: ☑ Without Negotiations or Recommendation, ☐ Negotiated Sentence, ☐ Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: _____ Derieg, Lir | 76135 SC Bar# | _____ Defendant | _____ Attorney for Defendant | 70453 SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is commited to the ☑ **State Department of Corrections,** ☐ **County Detention Center,**
for a determinate term of 30 days/months/years or ☐ under the **Youthful Offender Act** not to exceed _____ years
and/or to pay a fine of $ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years and/or payment
of $ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended **probation** for _____
months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.
☐ CONCURRENT or ☐ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____ _____
☑ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections. 7-9-08
☐ The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code§17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922,it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal Domestic Violence) to ship,transport,possess,or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

☐**RESTITUTION:** ☐ **Deferred** ☐ **Def. Waives Hearing** ☐ **Ordered**
Total: $ _____ plus 20% fee: _____ $ _____
Payment Terms:
☐ Set by SCDPPPS
Recipient:

*Fine:		$
§ 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)		$
§ 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	$100	$ 100.00
§14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	$100	$
§ 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	$12	$
§ 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	$25	$
§ 47.12 (Public Def/Prob)	$500	$
§ 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	$25	$
§ 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	$100	$ 25.00
§ 50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	$50	$
§ 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	$40/ea	$
§ 90.7 (SCCJA Surcharge)	$5	$ 5.00
3% to County (if paid in installments)		$ 3.90
TOTAL		$ 133.90

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk
Court Reporter:

PTUP _____
_____ days/hours Public Service Employment
Obtain GED ☐
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp. _____
May serve W/E begining _____
Substance Abuse Counseling ☐
Random Drug/Alcohol testing ☐
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of $ _____ beginning _____
$ _____ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

ATTEST True, Correct & Certified Copy of Original on File in this Court
Clerk of Court Kershaw County

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel
§ 47.12 requires $500 be paid to Clerk during probation.

Presiding Judge
Judge Code: 212
Sentence Date: 4/24/09

SCCA/217 (11/2009)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF KERSHAW

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on August 20 2008, the Grand Jurors of Kershaw County present upon their oath:

MURDER

That Juan Aguilar Rosas did in Kershaw County between June 10, 2008 and July 9, 2008, feloniously, willfully and with malice aforethought, kill one Ada Judith Torruco by means of multiple stab wounds and that the said victim died as a proximate result thereof. All in violation of SC Code of Laws § 16-3-10

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

W. Barry Giese

Warren B. Giese, SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

Knafelc, KCSD

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

K253795

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: AUG 20 2008

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date:

DOCKET NO. 2008-GS-28-1448

The State of South Carolina

County of Kershaw

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

August TERM 2008

THE STATE
vs.

JUAN AGUILAR ROSAS

Indictment for

MURDER

SC Code: **16-3-10**
CDR Code:**0116**
Class **FEL/EXM(V)**

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

_____
Defendant

I _____
hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

_____
_____

_____
Defendant

Witness:

_____
C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

ATTEST: True, Correct & Certified Copy of Original on File in this Court

Clerk of Court Kershaw County

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF KERSHAW

AMENDED INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on February 17, 2010, the Grand Jurors of Kershaw County present upon their oath:

MURDER

That JAVIER AGUILAR ROSAS did in Kershaw County on June 10, 2008, feloniously, willfully and with malice aforethought, kill one Ada Judith Torruco by means of multiple stab wounds and that the said victim died as a proximate result thereof. All in violation of SC Code of Laws § 16-3-10

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

W. Barr

Warren B. Giese, SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

Knafelc, KCSD

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

K253795

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: FEB 17 2010

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date:

DOCKET NO. 2008-GS-28-1448

The State of South Carolina

County of Kershaw

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

February TERM 2010

THE STATE
vs.

Javier Aguilar Rosas

AMENDED Indictment for

MURDER

SC Code: **16-3-10**
CDR Code:**0116**
Class **FEL/EXM(V)**

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

Defendant

I _____
hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

Defendant

Witness:

C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

ATTEST True, Correct & Certified Copy of Original on File in this Court

Clerk of Court Kershaw County

ARREST WARRANT

K- 253795

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

[X] County/ [ ] Municipality of
KERSHAW COUNTY

THE STATE
against

ROSAS, JUAN AGUILAR
Address:
CHARLOTTE, NC 28208
Phone: _____ SSN: _____
Sex: M Race: W Height: 5'05 Weight: 150
DL State: NC DL#: 000000000000
DOB: [redacted] Agency ORI#: _____
Prosecuting Agency: _____
Prosecuting Officer: INV STEVE KNAEFLE
Offense: MURDER
_____ Offense Code: 0116
Code/Ordinance Sec: 16-03-0020

This Warrant is **CERTIFIED FOR SERVICE** in the [ ] County/ [ ] Municipality of _____. The accused is to be arrested and brought before me to be dealt with according to law.

_____ (L.S.)
Signature of Judge

Date: _____

RETURN

A copy of this arrest warrant was delivered to defendant ROSAS, JUAN AGUILAR on 7/10/2008.

J. Coleman #651
Signature of Constable/Law Enforcement Officer

RETURN WARRANT TO:
KERSHAW COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
1121 BROAD ST
P.O. DRAWER 1528
CAMDEN, SC 29020

AFFIDAVIT

Form Approved by
S.C. Attorney General
April 21, 2003
SCCA 618

CASE # 000702701

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
[X] County/ [ ] Municipality of)
KERSHAW COUNTY)

Personally appeared before me the affiant INV STEVE KNAEFLE who being duly sworn deposes and says that defendant ROSAS, JUAN AGUILAR did within this county and state on 06/10/08-07/09/08 violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of [X] County/ [ ] Municipality of KERSHAW) in the following particulars:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: MURDER
16-03-0020

I further state that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime set forth and that probable cause is based on the following facts:

THAT SOMETIME BETWEEN JUNE 10, 2008 AND JULY 9, 2008 THE DEFENDANT JAVIER AGUILAR ROSAS ALONG WITH A CO-DEFENDANT DID WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE DEATH OF MS. ADA JUDITH TORRUCO BY STABBING HER SEVERAL TIMES IN THE UPPER CHEST TORSO OF THE VICTIM, WHICH CAUSED THE DEATH OF THE VICTIM. THIS INCIDENT DID OCCUR IN THE WOODS WHICH IS OFF OF KELLY RD. IN CAMDEN KERSHAW COUNTY SOUTH CAROLINA.

Signature of Affiant Inv. Steve Knaefle

Affiant's Address 821 RIDGEWAY RD
LUGOFF, SC 29078

Affiant's Telephone 000-0000 000-0000

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
[X] County/ [ ] Municipality of)
KERSHAW)

ARREST WARRANT

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR MUNICIPALITY OR ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS COUNTY:

It appearing from the above affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that on 06/10/08-07/09/08 defendant ROSAS, JUAN AGUILAR did violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of [X] County/ [ ] Municipality of KERSHAW) as set forth below:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: MURDER
16-03-0020

Having found probable cause and the above affiant having sworn before me, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring him or her before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest Warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

Sworn to and subscribed before me)
on JULY 09, 2008)
_____ (L.S.))
Signature of Issuing Judge)
Judge Code: 560
R. E. HARTIS

Judge's Address P.O. DRAWER 1528
CAMDEN, SC 29020
Judge's Telephone 803-425-1500

Issuing Court: [X] Magistrate [ ] Municipal [ ] Circuit

ATTEST True Copy
Original on File in this Court
Joyce D. Dennis
Clerk of Court Kershaw County

ORIGINAL

BAIL set by

Judge: _____

on _____

Type and Amount: _____

Name of Surety: _____

PRELIMINARY HEARING held by

Judge _____

on _____

Defense Attorney: _____

Decision _____

_____

_____

DISPOSITION before

Judge _____

on _____

by _____

(indicate jury trial, bench trial, plea, not. pros., etc.)

Disposition _____

Sentence: _____

JURORS

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

WITNESSES

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____

Telephone: _____

CODEFENDANTS

_____

_____

ARREST WARRANT

K-002476

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

☐ County/ ☒ **Municipality of**

Goose Creek

THE STATE

against

WILSON, CHRISTOPHER DARNELL

Address:

GOOSE CREEK, SC 29445

Phone:) SSN:

Sex: M Race: B Height 5 ft. 6 in. Weight 131

DL State: SC DL#:

DOB: Agency ORI#: SC0080300

Prosecuting Agency: Goose Creek Police Department

Prosecuting Officer: POWELL, N.

Offense: Armed Robbery w/ Deadly Weapon

Offense Code: 139

Code/Ordinance Sec. 16-11-0330 (A)

This warrant is **CERTIFIED FOR SERVICE** in the

☐ County/ ☐ Municipality of

. The Accused is to be arrested and brought before me to be Dealt with according to law.

Signature of Judge

Date:

RETURN

A copy of this arrest warrant was delivered to defendant Christopher Darnell Wilson

On 01-05-2012

Signature of Constable/Law Enforcement Officer

RETURN WARRANT TO:
BERKELEY CO. GENERAL SESSIONS
300 CALIFORNIA AVE.

MONCKS CORNER, SC 29461

Court date/Time

Bond Amount Type

OCA Number

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
☐ County/ ☒ Municipality)
Goose Creek)

AFFIDAVIT

Form approved by S.C. attorney General
April 21, 2003
SCCA 618

Personally appeared before me the affiant N. POWELL who being duly sworn deposes and says that defendant **WILSON, CHRISTOPHER DARNELL** did within this county and state on **12/24/2011** Violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of ☐ County/ ☒ Municipality of **Goose Creek**) in the following particulars:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE:
Armed Robbery w/ Deadly Weapon

I further state that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit The crime set forth and that probable cause is based on the following facts:

SEE ATTACHED AFFIDAVIT

FILED
12 JAN 10 PM 4:17
MARY P. BROWN
CLERK OF COURT
BERKELEY COUNTY, SC

Signature of Affiant

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

☐ County/ ☒ Municipality of)

Affiant's address 519 N. Goose Creek Blvd
Goose Creek, SC 29445

Affiant's Telephone (843) 863-5200

ARREST WARRANT

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR MUNICIPALITY OR ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS COUNTY:

It appearing from the above affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that on 12/24/2011 defendant WILSON, CHRISTOPHER DARNELL did violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of ☐ County/ ☒ Municipality of **Goose Creek**) as set forth below:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE:
Armed Robbery w/ Deadly Weapon

Having found probable cause and the above affiant having sworn before me, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring him or her before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is paraciticable.

Sworn to and subscribed before me)
On 1-5-12)
Signature of Issuing Judge) Judge's Address 519 N. Goose Creek Blvd.
Judge Code: 6158) Goose Creek, SC 29445
Judge's telephone (843) 569-4230
Issuing court: ☐ Magistrate ☒ Municipal ☐ Circuit

ORIGINAL* ORIGINAL*** ORIGINAL*** ORIGINAL*** ORIGINAL*** ORIGINAL***

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Jefferson Circuit Court, Criminal Division

99CR2923-9

DECEMBER Term A.D., 1999

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Against

[redacted]

ROBBERY I
KRS 515.020 Class B Felony
10 to 20 years
UOR 12000
(7 COUNTS)

The Grand Jurors of the County of Jefferson, in the name and by the authority of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, charge:

COUNT ONE

That on or about the 29th day of September, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon BP Oil, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to BP Oil, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon BP Oil, who was not a participant in the crime.

COUNT TWO

That on or about the 30th day of September, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon Big Foot, 6204 Shepherdsville Road, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to Big Foot, 6204 Shepherdsville Road, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon Big Foot, 6204 Shepherdsville Road, who was not a participant in the crime.

CERTIFIED COPY OF RECORD
OF JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
TONY MILLER, CLERK
BY L. Bruce D.C.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Jefferson Circuit Court, Criminal Division

DECEMBER Term A.D., 1999

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Against

[redacted]

COUNT THREE

That on or about the 13th day of October, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon Super America, 5510 Bardstown Road, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to Super America, 5510 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon Super America, 5510 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime.

COUNT FOUR

That on or about the 10th day of November, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon Super America, 5510 Bardstown Road, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to Super America, 5510 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon Super America, 5510 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime.

CERTIFIED COPY OF RECORD
OF JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
TONY MILLER, CLERK
BY L. Bruce D.C.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Jefferson Circuit Court, Criminal Division

DECEMBER Term A.D., 1999

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Against

[redacted]

COUNT FIVE

That on or about the 13th day of November, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon Super America, 2720 Taylorsville Road, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to Super America, 2720 Taylorsville Road, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon Super America, 2720 Taylorsville Road, who was not a participant in the crime.

COUNT SIX

That on or about the 31st day of October, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon Dairy Mart, 4700 Bardstown Road, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to Dairy Mart, 4700 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon Dairy Mart, 4700 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime.

CERTIFIED COPY OF RECORD
OF JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
TONY MILLER, CLERK
BY [signature] D.C.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Jefferson Circuit Court, Criminal Division

DECEMBER Term A.D., 1999

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Against

[redacted] JR.

COUNT SEVEN

That on or about the 13th day of October, 1999, in Jefferson County, Kentucky, the above named defendant, [redacted], committed the offense of Robbery in the First Degree when, in the course of committing a theft, he used or threatened the immediate use of physical force upon New York Tailor, 5304 Bardstown Road, with the intent to accomplish the theft and (a) he caused physical injury to New York Tailor, 5304 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime; OR (b) he was armed with a deadly weapon; OR (c) he used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument upon New York Tailor, 5304 Bardstown Road, who was not a participant in the crime.

AGAINST THE PEACE AND DIGNITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

A TRUE BILL

Valerie L Powell

FOREPERSON

Div - 9

Wit: Det. John Minogue, 6903, JCPD

Joseph Gutmann/jg

Assigned Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney

12-6 1999

RECEIVED, from the Foreman of the Grand Jury, in their presence, and filed in open Court.

ATTEST: TONY MILLER, Clerk

By Valerie B. McCullen D.C.

TRUE BILL-COUNTS 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

CERTIFIED COPY OF RECORD
OF JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
TONY MILLER, CLERK

Exhibit Fed

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	CRIMINAL NO. 3:08-643
	)	
	)	21 USC § 846
	)	21 USC § 841(a)(1)
vs.	)	21 USC § 841(b)(1)(A)
	)	18 USC § 924(c)(1)
	)	18 USC § 924(c)(1)(A)(i)
	)	
[redacted]	)	**INDICTMENT**

COUNT 1

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

That beginning in or around January 2005, and continuing thereafter, up to and including the date of this Indictment, in the District of South Carolina, the Defendant, [redacted], knowingly and intentionally did combine, conspire and agree and have tacit understanding with others, both known and unknown to the grand jury, to knowingly, intentionally and unlawfully possess with intent to distribute and distribute cocaine and cocaine base (commonly known as "crack" cocaine), both Schedule II controlled substances, said conspiracy involving 5 kilograms or more of cocaine and 50 grams or more of "crack" cocaine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A);

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

BERKSHIRE, SS.

At the Superior Court holden at Pittsfield, within and for the County of Berkshire, for the transaction of criminal business, on the ***second Wednesday*** *of* ***February*** *in the year of our Lord* ***two thousand two***

THE JURORS FOR SAID COMMONWEALTH ON THEIR OATH PRESENT, THAT

[redacted]

of Pittsfield in the County of Berkshire, on or about the **twenty-eighth** day of **January** in the year of our Lord **two thousand two** at **Pittsfield**, in the County of Berkshire,

did conspire with Crystal J. Cargill, Allison M. Neil, Otis J. Prugel, and Emmitt I. Harvin (a/k/a Lefty) to violate the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 94C, to wit: Trafficking in Cocaine, in violation of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 94C, section 40.

A TRUE BILL.

Assistant District Attorney for the Berkshire District

Jacqueline DuBois

Foreman

No. 2002-023-2

Oct 29, 2002 Commonwealth a nolle prosequi.

COMMONWEALTH

vs.

[redacted]

CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE LAWS, TO WIT: TRAFFICKING IN COCAINE

(Ch. 94C, sec. 40)

BERKSHIRE, SS. SUPERIOR COURT.

FEBRUARY *Sitting, A.D. 2002*

February 14 *A.D. 2002*

Returned by the Grand Jury and filed by order of Court.

ATTEST:

Helen Stapel **CLERK**

PLEA

...ndant arraigned on 2/20/2002
...ded Not Guilty, released on
...al re... ...ance (FORD,

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF BERKELEY)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on July 18, 2012 the Grand Jurors of Berkeley County present upon their oath:

Armed Robbery

That CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON did in Berkeley County, South Carolina, on or about December 24, 2011, while armed with a deadly weapon, to wit: a pistol, take and carry away personal property of Riley Kemp from or in the immediate presence of Riley Kemp, described as follows: a wallet, with intent to deprive Riley Kemp of possession by use of force, threats or intimidation, in violation of §16-11-0330(A) of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

BENJAMIN T SHELTON
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF PICKENS)

In the Court of General Sessions

State of South Carolina,)
)
vs.)
)
Bobbie Albert McCann,)
)
Defendant.)

Case No.: 2012-GS-39-0499

VERDICT

As to the charge of Criminal Sexual Conduct w/ a Minor First Degree, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

1. ____ Not Guilty.
2. X Guilty.

Foreperson of the Jury

APRIL 24th, 2012
Pickens, SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF PICKENS)

INDICTMENT FOR
CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR FIRST DEGREE

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on FEB 21 2012 the Grand Jurors of Pickens County present upon their oath:

That BOBBIE ALBERT MCCANN did in Pickens County, on or about the dates of December 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009, willfully and unlawfully commit a sexual battery on E.D., who was less than eleven years of age, to wit: digital penetration of E.D.'s vagina. This is in violation of §16-3-655(A)(1)[formerly 16-3-655(1)] of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF Pickens)
STATE VS.)
Bobbie Albert Mccann)
AKA:)
Race: WHITE Sex: M Age: 49)
DOB: [redacted]1962 SS#: [redacted])
Address: [redacted])
City,State,Zip: Pickens, SC 29671)
DL#: [redacted] SID#:)
*CDL Yes☐ No☐ CMV Yes☐ No☐ Hazmat Yes☐ No☐)

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2012GS3900499
A/W#: 2012GS3900499
Date of Offense: 12/1/2007
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0655(A)(1)
CDR Code #: 0385

SENTENCE SHEET 6-30yrs

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was ☒ CONVICTED OF or ☐PLEADS
TO: Sex / Criminal sexual conduct with minor or Attempt - victim under 11 yrs of age - First degree
in violation of § 16-03-0655(A)(1) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0385
☐ NON-VIOLENT ☒ VIOLENT ☐SERIOUS ☒MOST SERIOUS ☒Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) ☐§17-25-45

The charge is: ☒ As Indicted, ☐Lesser Included Offense, ☐Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury. (defendant's initials)
The plea is: ☒Without Negotiations or Recommendation, ☐ Negotiated Sentence, ☐ Recommendation by the State.
ATTEST:
Barwick, Jenny SC Bar# 70128 Defendant Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is commited to the ☒ **State Department of Corrections,** ☐ **County Detention Center,**
for a determinate term of 25 days/months/years or ☐ under the **Youthful Offender Act** not to exceed ____ years
and/or to pay a fine of $ ____; provided that upon the service of ____ days/months/years and/or payment
of $ ____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with **probation** for ____
months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.
☒ CONCURRENT or ☐ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: ____
☒ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections.
☐ The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922,it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal Domestic Violence) to ship,transport,possess,or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

☐**RESTITUTION:** ☐ Deferred ☐ Def. Waives Hearing ☐Ordered
Total: $ ____ plus 20% fee: $ ____
Payment Terms: ____
☐ Set by SCDPPPS ____
Recipient: ____

PTUP ____
____ days/hours Public Service Employment
Obtain GED ☐
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp. ____
May serve W/E begining ____
Substance Abuse Counseling ☐
Random Drug/Alcohol testing ☐
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of $ ____ beginning ____
$ ____ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other: ____

*Fine:		$
§ 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)		$
§ 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	$100	$ 100.00
§ 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	$100	$
§ 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	$12	$
§ 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	$25	$
Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob)	$500	$
§ 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	$25	$ 25.00
§ 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	$150	$
§ 50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	$50	$
§ 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	$40/ea	$
Proviso 90 5 (SCCJA Surcharge)	$5	$ 5.00
3% to County (if paid in installments)		$ 3.90
TOTAL		$133.90

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, § 47.12 requires $500 be paid to Clerk during probation.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk
Court Reporter: Danielle Hanks
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge
Judge Code: 222
Sentence Date: 24 APRIL 2012

WITNESSES
Nita Garner Burgess
Pickens County Sheriff's Office
1/25/2010

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER
DIRECT PRESENTMENT
DOB: 09-18-1962
SS# 259-96-3130

ACTION OF GRAND JURY
TRUE BILL
DATE
FEB 21 2012
Foreperson of Grand Jury

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date: APRIL 24th 2012

DOCKET NO. 2012-GS-39-0499
The State of South Carolina
County of Pickens

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
FEB 21 2012 TERM 2012

THE STATE
vs.
BOBBIE ALBERT MCCANN

Indictment for
0385
CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR FIRST DEGREE
VIOLATION § 16-03-0655(A)(1)

SLB20110603488

WITNESSES

Officer Ratliffe
Charleston City Police Department

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

1109136

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M611692

DATE OF ARREST

June 4, 2011

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury SEP 1 2 2011
Date:

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury *Date:*

INDICT

DOCKET NO. **2011GS1005527**

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

September Term 2011

THE STATE

vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND
DOB:
W/M

Indictment for

Trafficking Cocaine

FILED

9/28/2011 9:29:39 AM
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG
CLERK OF COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on September 12, 2011 the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

Trafficking Cocaine

That in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or about June 3, 2011, the Defendant, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, unlawfully and knowingly did sell, manufacture, cultivate, deliver, purchase, or bring into this State; or did provide financial assistance or otherwise aid, abet, attempt, or conspire to sell, manufacture, cultivate, deliver, purchase, or bring into this State; or did possess or attempt to possess a controlled substance or a controlled substance analogue, to wit: Cocaine, in excess of 28 grams; in violation of 44-53-370 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

STEPHANIE B. LINDER
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

SLB20110603488

WITNESSES

Officer Ratliffe
Charleston City Police Department

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

1109136

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M611693

DATE OF ARREST

June 4, 2011

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: SEP 1 2 2011

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury *Date:*

INDICT

DOCKET NO. **2011GS1005528**

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

September Term 2011

THE STATE

vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND
DOB
W/M

Indictment for

Possession with Intent to Distribute Marijuana

FILED

9/28/2011 9:29:39 AM
JULIE J ARMSTRONG
CLERK OF COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on September 12, 2011 the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

Possession with Intent to Distribute Marijuana

That in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or about June 3, 2011, the Defendant, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, did manufacture, distribute, dispense, deliver, purchase; or did aid, abet, attempt, or conspire to manufacture, distribute, dispense, deliver, or purchase; or did possess with the intent to manufacture, distribute, dispense, deliver, or purchase a controlled substance or a controlled substance analogue, to wit: Marijuana; in violation of 44-53-370 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

STEPHANIE B. LINDER
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

SLB20110603488

WITNESSES

Officer Ratliffe
Charleston City Police Department

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

1109136

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M611694

DATE OF ARREST

June 4, 2011

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: SEP 12 2011

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury *Date:*

INDICT

DOCKET NO. **2011GS1005529**

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

September Term 2011

THE STATE

vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND
DOB
W/M

Indictment for

Unlawful Possession of a Stolen Pistol

FILED
9/28/2011 9:29:39 AM
JULIE J ARMSTRONG
CLERK OF COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on September 12, 2011 the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

Unlawful Possession of a Stolen Pistol

That in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or about June 3, 2011, the Defendant, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, did unlawfully have in his possession, a firearm with an obliterated serial number, or a stolen firearm to wit: .45 caliber handgun, all in violation of Section 16-23-30 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

STEPHANIE B. LINDER
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

SLB20110603488

WITNESSES

Officer Ratliffe
Charleston City Police Department

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

1109136

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M611696

DATE OF ARREST

June 4, 2011

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury SEP 1 2 2011
Date:

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury *Date:*

INDICT

DOCKET NO. **2011GS1005531**

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

September Term 2011

THE STATE

vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND
DOB:
W/M

Indictment for

Possession of a Firearm During Commission of a Violent Crime

FILED

9/28/2011 9:29 39 AM
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG
CLERK OF COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on September 12, 2011 the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

Possession of a Firearm During Commission of a Violent Crime

That in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or about June 3, 2011, the Defendant, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, did possess a .45 caliber handgun or visibly display what appeared to be a knife during the commission, or attempted commission, of Trafficking, a violent crime. This is in violation of 16-23-490 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

STEPHANIE B. LINDER
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

~~Item~~ # 6

listed in the head

document

=

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

FEB 21 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-0001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-0002108 ET. AL.,

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


I, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES ET AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED, A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, EVEN UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT POST OFFICE BOX 11330 COLA., S.C. 29211, ON THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL POST OFFICE BOX 11549 COLA., S.C. 29211 AND ON THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS VIA BRYAN STIRLING AT 4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD COLA., S.C. 29221, BY U.S. MAIL AND OR CERTIFIED, BY PLACING THEM IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 12, 2018.

I ACT ON BEHALF AND COMMAND OF THE CROWN OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", WHICH INCLUDE MYSELF, AS IS INDICATED BY MY AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SIGNATURE ON THIS DOCUMENT OF SERVICE DUE TO THE FRAUD PERPETRATED BY JUDGE TOAL ETC. BLOCKING HIM VOIDING THAT ORDER ALSO BEING CHALLENGED VIA JURISDICTION. THERE IS NO ORDER BLOCKING ME, AND THERE IS JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE TO THE ESTABLISHING OF ALL ORDERS CONNECTED IN ANY MANNER TO THESE CASES AFFIRMED BY ME AS ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, AS ALSO SOUGHT BY MOTION TO INTERVENE IN ALL MATTERS.

RESPECTFULLY,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND



JAHJAH AL MAHDI



FEBRUARY 12, 2018

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-0001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-0002108 ET. AL.,

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, EVEN UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

I, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT, HEREINAFTER DOES HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR STATE AS FOLLOWS: (A) AFFIANT IS COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH;

(B) AFFIANT HAS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT'S BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS A WITNESS AFFIANT SHALL SO STATE;

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. WE PREVIOUSLY INFORMED THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ON THE COURT RECORD, ABOUT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT WITH S.C.D.C. HINDERING AND IMPEDING OUR ACCESS TO THE COURT(S), HINDERING AND IMPEDING OUR PROSECUTING THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND THE COURT'S DEMANDS UPON US TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO PROCEDURAL RULES OF COURT AND THE MANDATES OF DUE PROCESS LAW, PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, HODGKINS v. PETERSON, F.Supp. 2d., 2000 WL 3312826(S.D.Ind.2000); U.S. v. SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS v. WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014).

ON WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2018, OFFICER MEGGETT COMES TO WANDO DORM, TO INFORM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THAT SHE AND S.C.D.C. WILL STOP AND HALT THEIR OBSTRUCTIONIST AND CONSPIRATORIAL BEHAVIOR. OFFICER MEGGETT THEN INFORMS ME, WITH THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD BEING PRESENT, THAT WHATEVER COPIES I NEEDED. ALL I HAVE TO DO IS GIVE THEM TO "COLONEL", AN INMATE HERE, AND HE WILL BRING THEM TO HER TO MAKE ANY NEEDED COPIES. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES THEN GOT WITH THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, AND GATHERED UP THE NEEDED COPIED DOCUMENTS OFFICER MEGGETT INSTRUCTED ME SHE, THEY, WOULD MAKE THE COPIES OF, AND WE GOT THEM TO HER ON FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2018. OFFICER MEGGETT THEN CALLS S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL. S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL THEN CALLS THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE THEN CALL THE CONSPIRING JUDGES IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO CONDUCT A SECRET, MEETING OF THE MINDS, EX PARTE HEARING AND OR MEETING. ONCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE PARTIES NOW DETERMINE THE MAGNITUDE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS INTENDED TO BE FILED TO FURTHER ESTABLISH THE COURT RECORD AND JURISDICTIONAL FACTS. THEY INFORMED S.C.D.C. GENERAL COUNSEL

TO INSTRUCT OFFICER MEGGET NOT TO COPY THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO PREVENT THIS ESSENTIAL EVIDENCE FROM BEING MADE A PART OF THE COURT RECORD TO PREVENT JUDICIAL REVIEW AND IMPEDE OUR ABILITY TO BE FULLY HEARD ON THE ISSUES THAT ARE PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT. I OBJECT TO THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, FORFEITURE AND IMMEDIATE RELIEF IN THE FORM OF AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER TO STOP THE FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY. NEXT THING YOU KNOW THEY WILL ATTEMPT TO TRANSFER ONE OF US, SEPARATE ME FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH, TO HINDER THIS CASE FROM MOVING FORWARD AND TO ITS PROPER RESOLUTION. I MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO THE CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION BEFORE THIS COURT. YOU ARE IN ADDITIONAL VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES ALSO FOR VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md.2017); PEGG v. HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); GRAHAM v. GAYRON 831 F3d. 176(4th.Cir.2016); U.S. v. TEJADA, 455 Fed. Appx' 719, 2011 WL 3891825 CA4 (S.C.2011); U.S. v. ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'---, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); STATE v. FERGUSON, 221 S.C. 300, 70 S.E.2d. 355(S.C.1952); ARATA v. VILLAGE WEST OWNERS ASS'N INC., S.E.2d., 2011 WL 11735004(S.C.App.2011); IN RE: BUILDING MATERIAL CORP. OF AMERICAN ASPHALT ROOFING SHINGLE PRODUCTS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1827923(DSC.2013); STATE v. BRANDT, 393 S.C. 526, 713 S.E.2d. 591(S.C.2011); NORTH AMERICAN RESCUE PRODUCTS, INC. v. RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C. 2015); SOUTHEASTERN SITE PREP. LLC. v. ATLANTIC COAST BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS, LLC., 394 S.C. 97, 713 S.E.2d. 650 (S.C. App.2011).

ONCE THE RESPONDENT, AND POTENTIALLY, THE JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED WITHIN THIS COURT, IN AN EX PARTE SECRET COUNSEL IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS", IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, DETERMINED WHAT WE INTENDED TO FILE USING OFFICER MEGGETT, AND CONSPIRED TO CIRCUMVENT FULL, PROPER AND FAIR REVIEW, SUCH ACTION IS EGREGIOUSLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL, COMPROMISING THE **"ENTIRE"** PROCEEDINGS AND CONSTITUTE A DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS. THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, GATHERED THE DOCUMENTS THEY THEMSELVES INFORMED US THAT THEY WOULD COPY BEFORE WE GATHERED THEM

AS REFERRED TO IN THE DOCUMENTS PLACED BEFORE THE COURT AS EXHIBITS. OFFICER MEGGETT AND S.C.D.C. AGREED TO COPY THE DOCUMENTS BEFORE WE GATHERED THEM. YET, NOW THAT THEY DETERMINED THAT THEY COULD NOT DEFEAT OR COUNTER THIS EVIDENCE OR THE CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND CAUSES OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES OF THIS COURT AND THE RESPONDENT, THEN INSTRUCT S.C.D.C. AND OFFICER MEGGETT NOT TO MAKE THE COPIES TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND PREVENT AND OR OBSTRUCT JUDICIAL REVIEW. WE OBJECT. DUE TO THESE RECENT AND CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I MOTION FOR AND RENEW THE PREVIOUS FILED MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FOR FORFEITURE AGAINST THE STATE, JOHNSON v. BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC v. ALTAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW v. COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); ARBY CONST. LLP v. ORR, 358 S.C. 10, 594 S.E.2d. 478(S.C.2004).

"EX DOLO MALO NON ORITUR ACTIO"---OUT OF FRAUD NO ACTION ARISES; FRAUD NEVER GIVES A RIGHT TO ACTION. NO COURT SHALL LEND ITS AID TO MAN WHO FOUND HIS CAUSE OF ACTION UPON AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL ACT. FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING. SILENCE OF THE COURT IN CORRECTING THIS INJUSTICE WILL EQUATE WITH FRAUD WHEN THERE IS A LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY TO SPEAK OR WHEN INQUIRY LEFT UNANSWERED WOULD BE INTENTIONALLY MISLEADING. FRAUD AND DECEIT WILL ARISE FROM SILENCE WHERE THERE IS A DUTY TO SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS WELL AS FROM SPEAKING UNTRUTH. PARTY IN INTEREST WILL BECOME LIABLE FOR FRAUD BY MERE SILENT ACQUIESCENCE AND PARTAKING IN THE BENEFITS OF THAT FRAUD, SUCH AS USING IT TO MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. FRAUD IN ITS ELEMENTARY COMMON LAW SENSE OF DECEIT...INCLUDES THE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS WE SEEK COPIED AND FILED, IN A SETTING OF FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION, SUCH AS THE KING-KHALIFAH BEING ABLE TO COPY AND FILED THESE LEGAL DOCUMENTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING THE RIGHTS OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". BUT MORE THAN THIS, A PUBLIC OFFICIAL, SUCH AS A JUDGE OR S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL IS A FIDUCIARY TOWARDS THE PUBLIC...AND IF HE DELIBERATELY CONCEALS MATERIAL INFORMATION FROM THEM HE IS GUILTY OF FRAUD, 424 F2d. 1021 U.S. v. HORTON,

R. PRUDDEN, NO. 28140, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, (5th.Cir.1970); RUBINSTEIN v. COLLINS, 20 F3d. 160 (1990); McNALLY v. UNITED STATES, 483 U.S. 350, 371-372, QUOTING UNITED STATES v. HOLZER, 816 F2d. 304, 307; BRANSON v. STANDARD HARDWARE, INC., 874 S.W.2d 919 (1994); POINDEXTER v. GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 303 (U.S. 1885); MORRISON v. CODDINGTON, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 ARIZ. 480 (1983); UNITED STATES v. TWEEL, 550 F2d. 297, 299, 300 (1977).

MEN ARE ENDOWED BY THEIR CREATOR WITH CERTAIN UNALIENABLE RIGHTS, LIFE, LIBERTY AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPINESS; AND TO SECURE, NOT GRANT OR CREATE THESE RIGHTS, GOVERNMENTS ARE INSTITUTED. THAT PROPERTY OR INCOME, WHICH A MAN HAS HONESTLY ACQUIRED AS THE KING-KHALIFAH DID BY PEACEFULLY FILING ACTION TO PETITION FOR AND ESTABLISH THESE RIGHTS BY DUE PROCESS LAW WHICH WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE PARTIES WHICH INCLUDE THIS STATE AND THE UNITED STATES, THE KING-KHALIFAH RETAINS FULL CONTROL OF...WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, BUDD v. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, 143 U.S. 517 (U.S.1892).

IT HAS NOW BECOME PAINFULLY OBVIOUS THAT THE ONLY WAY TO SECURE THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONERS AND LEVEL THE EVIDENTIARY PLAYING FIELD, IS FOR THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER THAT WE SEEK, FILED IN THE APPENDIX TO PROTECT OUR CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, TO ALLOW US TO SUBMIT THE EVIDENCE WE SEEK TO FILE AND PLACE THIS CASE IN PROPER FORM AND TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER RETALIATORY, CONSPIRATORIAL AND FRAUDULENT ACTION FROM OCCURRING IN THIS CASE. WE SEEK THAT THE MOTION FOR THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER BE RULED ON BEFORE THIS CASE PROCEED ANY FURTHER, TO HALT THE FRAUD AND MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE CONTINUALLY OCCURRING AND TO LEVEL THE EVIDENTIARY PLAYING FIELD BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT. WHERE WE HAVE BEEN DEPRIVED OF PROPER AND FULL OPPORTUNITY TO SUBMIT DEPOSITIONS, ADMISSIONS AND AFFIDAVITS IN THE FORM OF THESE DOCUMENTS SOUGHT AND BLOCKED COPIED, OBSTRUCTING THE FILING OF EVIDENCE IN ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY. THE COURT DO NOT HAVE THE FULL FACTS TO RELY UPON FOR SUMMARY DETERMINATION. TO TAKE AWAY ALL REMEDY FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF A RIGHT IS TO TAKE AWAY THE RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH WOULD NOT BE WITHIN THE POWER OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE, TRINSEY v. PAGLIARO,

229 F.Supp. 647 (D.C.Pa.1964); POINDEXTER v. GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270(U.S.1885).

THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW THESE DEVILS WILL BE TRYING TO TRANSFER THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES FROM THE KING-KHALIFAH AND THE OTHER PETITIONERS IN THIS CASE TO CAUSE IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO THIS CASE IN FURTHER ACTS OF RETALIATION LIKE THEY DID david duren UNDER CASE 2017-000605 WHICH IS ANOTHER REASON WE WERE FORCED TO FILE MOTION TO INTERVENE IN THAT CASE AND SEEK TO CONSOLIDATE IT WITH CASE 2017-0002108. UNLESS THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER TO STOP THE RETALIATORY, OBSTRUCTIVE AND FRAUDULENT ACTS IS GRANTED. A COURT CANNOT CONFER OR ESTABLISH JURISDICTION WHERE NONE EXIST AND OR IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND A COURT CANNOT MAKE A VOID PROCEEDING VALID, MYLES v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL INC. v. BANC-TEC INC, 2016 WL 7444943(D.C.Ky.2016); ORLANDO RESIDENCE, LTD. v. HILTON HEAD HOTEL INVESTIGATORS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1103027 (DSC.2013); NUDD v. BURROWS, 91 U.S. 677-683(U.S.1875); MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S. 2016); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

I, WE, MOTION THAT THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER FILED IN THE APPENDIX WHICH WAS ALREADY SERVED UPON THE RESPONDENT AND S.C.D.C. BE IMMEDIATELY GRANTED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT VIA SANCTIONS SOUGHT. WE ALSO GIVE JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT WE DO NOT WAIVE THE RIGHT TO HAVE THESE LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND EVIDENCE COPIED AND SUBMITTED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT BEFORE THIS CASE MOVES FORWARD. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS WARNED, BECAUSE WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL COMMANDS BY THE COURT ON THE SURFACE, MANY CITIZENS, BECAUSE OF RESPECT FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE LAW, YET IN THIS CASE WE HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT, ARE CUNNINGLY COERCED OR MANIPULATED INTO WAIVING THEIR RIGHTS DUE TO IGNORANCE. WE ARE NOT IGNORANT. WE DO NOT WAIVE OUR RIGHT TO HAVE THESE LEGAL DOCUMENTS COPIED AND SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE REQUIRING THE COURT TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER. TO TAKE AWAY ALL REMEDIES FOR THE ENFORCE-

MENT OF A RIGHT IS TO TAKE AWAY THE RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH IS NOT WITHIN THE POWER OF THE STATE. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT SHALL GRANT THIS BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT NOW PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNLESS THE RESPONDENT CAN PRODUCE EVIDENCE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT HE OR THE UNITED STATES TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS, WHICH INCLUDE S.C.D.C BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. THEY MUST PROVE THEY MOVED TO TIMELY DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RELIEF SOUGHT MUST BE GRANTED. **IT IS SO ORDERED,** HURTADO v. CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 516(U.S.1884); MILLER v. UNITED STATES, 230 F2d. 486, 489 (5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLE WORTH v. BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S. 1963); SHERER v. CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA v. ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436(U.S.1966); STAUB v. CITY OF BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313 (U.S.1958); UNITED STATES v. JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S. 1968); UNITED STATES v. ETENYI,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir. 2017); EL-AMEEN-BEY v. STUMPF, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537(D.N.J.2011); UNITED STATES v. MINKER, 350 U.S. 179, 187(U.S.1956); MILLER v. PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013); IN RE: OUTDOOR R.V. AND MARINE, LLC, 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012); ANDERSON v. LIBERTY LOBBY, INC, 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); BRADRES v. HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 514(DSC.2014); LORD & TAYLOR, LLC, v. WHITE FLINT, L.P., 780 F3d. 211 CA4 (Md. 2015); BUFF v. STERLING, 2015 WL 1578845(DSC.2015); U.S. v. SOUTH CAROLINA, 720 F3d. 518 CA4 (S.C.2013); WALL v. WADE, 741 F3d. 492 CA4 (Va.2014).

REFERRING BACK TO THE RESPONDENT'S CLAIM IN HIS PREVIOUS FILINGS THAT WE ARE USING "BIBLE VERSES". THOSE SAME "SO-CALLED" BIBLE VERSES ESTABLISH THE VATICAN ITSELF AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN NATION. ISRAEL IS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN NATION. THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS ARE EVEN PROTECTED BY "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" UNDER THEIR LAWS AS WELL ALSO PROTECTED UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY

ACT. TO DENY SUCH WOULD ALSO VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. BIBLICAL LAW AT COMMON LAW SUPERSEDES ALL LAWS AND CHRISTIANITY, JUDAISM AND ISLAM IS CUSTOM, AND CUSTOM IS LAW AS WAS PREVIOUSLY ARGUED BY US IN PRIOR PLEADING, ESPECIALLY SINCE IT IS PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AND YOU DEFAULTED ON ALL OF THIS, ROBIN v. HARDAWAY, (1790) 424 F2d..

INASMUCH, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE UNDERSIGNED, DOES HEREWITH SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM THAT AFFIANT ISSUES THIS AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS WITH SINCERE INTENT, THAT AFFIANT IS COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREIN, THAT THE CONTENTS ARE TRUE, CORRECT, COMPLETE, AND CERTAIN, ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE OF THE CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, EVEN UPON THE COURT, INVOLVING OFFICER MEGGETT, THE RESPONDENT AND S.C.D.C. REQUIRING SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES AND THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND IS REASONABLE AND JUST IN LIGHT OF THE CONTINUAL MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE MANIFESTING THEMSELVES BY THE CONSPIRING PARTIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT'S BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ACTING
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4)
GLOBAL THRONES OF THE
RE-ESTABLISHED THEOCRATIC STATE
AND COURT BY COMMAND OF THE
CROWN

FEBRUARY 12, 2018

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

Courtesy #1

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY

KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-000640

RECEIVED
FEB 22 2018
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE, ET. AL.,


APPELLANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO ENTER THE OPINION AND OR ORDER IN THIS CASE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; MOTION TO INTERVENE; MOTION TO STAY AND OR RECALL THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND OR ORDER RELATED THERETO; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION FOR A REHEARING; MOTION TO STAY DUE TO FRAUD IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND STILL PENDING REVIEW AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS 1015 SUMTER STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201 AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL POST OFFICE BOX 11549 BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID

BY PLACING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 16, 2018.


RESPECTFULLY,
JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND



JAHJAH AL MAHDI



FEBRUARY 16, 2018

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY
KRISTI LEA HARRINGTON, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-000640

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL.,

APPELLANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO ENTER THE OPINION AND OR ORDER IN THIS CASE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; MOTION TO INTERVENE; MOTION TO STAY AND OR RECALL THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND OR ORDER RELATED THERETO; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION FOR A REHEARING; MOTION TO STAY DUE TO FRAUD IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND STILL PENDING REVIEW AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE LETTER DATED FEBRUARY 7, 2018 SENT TO GOURDINE BY THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE, ATTORNEY SUSAN B. HACKETT.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT; RENEWING THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE AND ALL PREVIOUSLY FILED OBJECTIONS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND MOTIONS; SUPPLEMENTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND APPENDIX IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED JANUARY 27, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(3) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX AND DENY THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME; MOTION TO VACATE THESE ORDERS; MOTION FOR FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED JANUARY 9, 2018 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(4) THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE APPENDIX DUE TO ATTEMPTS AT FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, FILING OBJECTIONS IN OPPOSITION OF THAT DOCUMENT; MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED DECEMBER 25, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(5) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RETURN TO THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI; MOTION TO STRIKE ALL DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BY THE RESPONDENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; MOTION FOR FORFEITURE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (15) PAGES DATED DECEMBER 27, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(6) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186;***, [34] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(7) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES; MOTION FOR RECUSAL;***, [70] PAGES DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

WE MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION. ANY DOCUMENT REFERRED TO THAT IS NOT PRESENTLY SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILING IS BEING OBSTRUCTED FILING BY THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE, CONSPIRING WITH THE JUDGES OF BOTH THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND S.C. SUPREME COURT AND S.C.D.C. TO WHICH WE OBJECT TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD UPON THE COURT(S), MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL BY THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND PARTIES. THEREFORE, ANY LEGAL DOCUMENT NOT PRESENTLY SUBMITTED WE SEEK THAT THE COURT OBTAIN IT ELECTRONICALLY FROM THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108 AND 2017-000605, HODGKINS v. PETERSON, F.Supp.2d., 2000 WL 3312826(S.D.Ind.2000); U.S. v. SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS v. WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014).

INSOMUCH, I, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, WITH THE CONSENT OF JASON GOURDINE, AND BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN MOTIONS TO INTERVENE IN CASE 2016-000640. I, WE, MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO ENTER THE OPINION AND OR ORDER IN THIS CASE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. IF THE REMITTITUR HAS NOT BEEN SENT DOWN. I, WE, MOTION TO STAY IT. IF IT HAS BEEN SENT DOWN. I, WE, MOTION TO RECALL IT. I, WE, MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND OR ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 2016-000640 PENDING REVIEW OF THESE MATTERS BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ADDRESSING THE FRAUD THAT JUST OCCURRED INVOLVING THEM. I, WE, MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLU-

SION AND ALL DOCUMENTS FILED IN CASE 2017-0002108 ARE NOW DEEMED FILED IN CASE 2016-000640. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENTS ARE NOW ARGUED IN CASE 2016-000640. I, WE, MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL AND OR DISQUALIFICATION OF THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO YOUR ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO CIRCUMVENT OR ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING ESSENTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. I, WE, MOTION FOR A REHEARING OF CASE 2016-000640, BRAZDELL-v.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); EPSTEIN-v.-WORLD-ACCEPTANCE-CORP., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015); LAKE-CARRIER-ASS'N-v.-MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); BLUE-SKY TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC.-v.-AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); MR.T.-v.-MRS.T., 378 S.C. 127, 662 S.E.2d. 413(S.C.2008); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp. 3d. 19 (2014).

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, AT ANY STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, EVEN FOR THE FIRST TIME ON DIRECT APPEAL, CANNOT BE WAIVED BY THE APPELLANT, EVEN WITH HIS CONSENT, WHICH YOU DON'T HAVE, AND THE COURT SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE, EX-PARTE-CANNON, 685 S.E.2d. 814, 820 (S.C.App. 2009); McCANN-v.-BRIGHTHARP, 399 S.C. 240, 730 S.E.2d. 916(S.C. App.2012); SOUTH-CAROLINA-DEPARTMENT-OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES-v.-TRAN, 418 S.C. 308, 792 S.E.2d. 254(S.C.App.2016); ARNAL-v.-FRASER, 371 S.C. 512, 641 S.E.2d. 419(S.C.2007).

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS HAS THE INHERENT AUTHORITY TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENTS PROCURED BY FRAUD. DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES THAT SURROUND THIS CASE. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, CONSPIRING WITH THE RESPONDENT IN THIS CASE, AND THE STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, CIRCUMVENTED RULING, ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL AND FEDERAL QUESTION RELATED TO "JURISDICTION" THAT WERE PRESENTED IN THIS CASE, BECAUSE THEY KNEW OF THIS CASE'S DIRECT CONNECTION TO THE CASE IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE

2017-0002108. THEN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, CONSPIRING WITH THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE AND THE RESPONDENT, CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT GOURDINE WAS ENTITLED TO SEEK REHEARING WHERE THEY GAVE HIM A FRAUDULENT IMPRESSION IN AN ACT OF MISREPRESENTATION, THAT THE DIRECT APPEAL PROCESS WAS OVER TO DECEIVE AND MANIPULATE HIM INTO WAIVING ISSUES FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THE APPEALS COURT DISMISSED THE ACTION CONCEALING THIS FACT, THEN THEY GOT THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE TO SEND THIS FRAUDULENT LETTER INFORMING GOURDINE THAT HIS ONLY OPTION WAS PCR, WHEN IN TRUTH, HE HAD OPTION TO SEEK REHEARING. THEIR INTENT WAS ALSO TO PREVENT REVIEW WITHIN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN FUTURE APPEAL BY MANIPULATING GOURDINE INTO A PROCEDURAL DEFECT BY NOT SEEKING REHEARING WHERE THERE WOULD BE NO FINAL ORDER FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL PREREQUISITE FOR REVIEW IN THE SUPREME COURT. I, WE, OBJECT. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", AND THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND THE RESPONDENT IS IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT.** I, WE, MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE RELATED TO THOSE SANCTIONS, ROBINSON v. ESTATE OF HARRIS, 389 S.C. 360, 698 S.E.2d. 801(S.C.App.2010); CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN BANK N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App. 2013); SPRINGOB v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384(S.C.2014); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY TECHNOLOGY OF SOUTH CAROLINA INC. v. BAPTIST HEALTH SOUTH FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGMAN v. U.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); NORTH AMERICAN RESCUE PRODUCTS INC. v. RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C.2015).

THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES ARE IN VIOLATION OF RULE 502.1 OATH OF OFFICE AND THESE MATTERS ARE PRESENTLY BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT PURSUANT TO RULE 245 EXERCISING OF ORIGINAL JURISDICTION EVEN THOUGH IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT THEY DISMISSED THAT CASE. MOTION TO REINSTATE AND CHALLENGE THEIR JURISDICTION BY THEIR FRAUD IS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING FILED. I, WE, MOTION TO VACATE THE OPINION AND ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 2016-000640 DUE TO THE FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT PRODUCED IT. BY YOUR

FRAUD, CIRCUMVENTING RULING ON THESE SUBSTANTIAL JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES THAT ALSO PRODUCE SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION. THIS RENDERS THE PLEADINGS UNDER CASE 2016-000640 IN THEIR TOTALITY VOID AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS. THIS APPLIES TO CASE 2017-0002108 ALSO. BY SUCH ACTIONS YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY PLACED THE KING-KHALIFAH IN FORCED BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY BY THAT FRAUD, VIOLATING THE "TRUST" WHICH IS ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, IN YOUR ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHICH PLACES YOU IN FORFEITURE, IN VIOLATION OF YOUR CONTRACTS VIA YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES, BENNETT v. CARTER, 807 S.E.2d. 197(S.C.2017); PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA v. EPISCOPAL CHURCH, 421 S.C. 211, 806 S.E.2d. 82(S.C.App.2017).

THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT THAT YOU ALL JUST TRIED TO PULL VITIATES EVERYTHING AND PLACES THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE RESPONDENT IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION PRESENTED BEFORE ALL COURTS BY SANCTIONS DEMANDED. JUDGMENTS PROCURED BY FRAUD MAY BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED, AND THIS APPLIES TO JUDGMENTS AND DECREES OF ALL COURTS, MYLES v. DOMINO'S PIZZA LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL, INC. v. BANCTEC, INC., 2016 WL 7444943(D.C.Ky.2016); MARTIN v. TARGET CORP. OF MINNESOTA, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1187034(D.N.J.2013); McCLAIN v. 1st SECURITY BANK OF WASHINGTON, 2016 WL 8504775 (W.D.Wash.2016).

YOU CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO DENY ME, GOURDINE, MY ACCESS INTO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT BY REMAINING SILENT ON KEY, CRUCIAL, ESSENTIAL JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN YOUR FRAUD, AS USUAL COMPROMISING OUR STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEYS IN OUR CASES, DEMONSTRATING "COMMON DESIGN" RELATED TO CONSPIRACY, WORKING WITH THE RESPONDENT IN THESE CASES, VIOLATING MY, OUR, DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, DENYING US THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS BEHIND RACIAL AND OR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, PAUL ADAMS v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI v. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,--F.Supp.3d.--,

2016 WL 1755872; U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280 (DSC.2014); BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251 (D.C.Md.2017); PEGG v. HEARN-BERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017). I, WE, OBJECT AND MOTION FOR A REHEARING ON CASE 2016-000640.

KNOWING FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL INFORMATION NECESSARY TO PREVENT STATEMENT FROM BEING MISLEADING, LIKE YOU FAILED TO INFORM ME OF RIGHT AND TIME TO SEEK A REHEARING, TO PREVENT THE JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES FROM BEING PROPERLY PRESERVED FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN CASE 2016-000640 IS "FRAUD", PLACING YOU, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE RESPONDENT IN FORFEITURE. YOU HAVE CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS IN FRAUD TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS CIRCUMVENT, ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE SPECIFIC FEDERAL QUESTIONS PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT. "EX DOLO MALO NON ORITUR ACTIO"---OUT OF FRAUD NO ACTION ARISES; FRAUD NEVER GIVES A RIGHT OF ACTION. NO COURT SHALL LEND ITS AID TO MAN WHO FOUND HIS CAUSE OF ACTION UPON AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL ACT. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING".** SILENCE OF THE COURT BY ABSTAINING FROM RULING ON THE JURISDICTIONAL QUESTIONS EQUATE WITH FRAUD WHEN THERE WAS LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY TO SPEAK OR WHEN INQUIRY LEFT UNANSWERED WOULD BE INTENTIONALLY MISLEADING LIKE YOU PEOPLE TRYING TO MISLEAD ME, GOURDINE, INTO FILING PCR WHEN REHEARING BEFORE THE COURT OF APPEALS WAS AVAILABLE AS WELL AS S.C. SUPREME COURT REVIEW. FRAUD AND DECEIT WILL ARISE FROM SILENCE WHERE THERE IS A DUTY TO SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS WELL AS FROM SPEAKING UNTRUTH. PARTY IN INTEREST WILL BECOME LIABLE FOR FRAUD BY MERE SILENT ACQUIESCENCE AND PARTAKING IN THE BENEFITS OF THAT FRAUD, SUCH AS USING IT TO RENDER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. FRAUD IN ITS ELEMENTARY COMMON LAW SENSE OF DECEIT...INCLUDES THE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS THE RIGHT FOR REHEARING AND S.C. SUPREME COURT REVIEW, IN A SETTING OF FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION, SUCH AS THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL BEING ABLE TO PROTECT THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". BUT MORE THAN THIS, A PUBLIC OFFICIAL, SUCH AS A JUDGE OR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR APPELLATE COUNSEL, IS A FIDUCIARY TOWARDS THE

PUBLIC...AND IF HE DELIBERATELY CONCEALS MATERIAL INFORMATION FROM THEM, HE OR SHE IS GUILTY OF FRAUD, 424 F2d. 1021; U.S. v. HORTON, R. PRUDDEN, NO. 28140, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, (5th. Cir.1970); RUBINSTEIN v. COLLINS, 20 F3d. 160 (1990); BRANSON v. STANDARD-HARDWARE, INC., 874 S.W.2d. 919 (1994); POINDEXTER v. GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 303(U.S.1885); MORRISON v. CODDINGTON, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 ARIZ. 480 (1983); UNITED STATES v. TWEEL, 550 F2d. 297, 299, 300(1977); U.S. v. KORN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2898056(W.D.N.Y.2013); TONEY v. COM., 1998 WL 684203 (4th.Cir. 1998); SEC v. FARMER, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5838867(S.D.Tex.2015); U.S. v. MOSBERG, 866 F.Supp.2d. 275 (D.N.J.2011); U.S. v. WECHT, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 2223869(W.D.Pa.2008).

I, WE, MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL AND OR DISQUALIFICATION OF ALL JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, SINCE YOU CONSPIRED WITH THE RESPONDENT AS YOU SAT ON CASE 2014-0001051 DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO CASE 2016-000640 IN ACTS OF FRAUD CREATING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR THAT IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE MATTERS WILL BE HEARD BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ONCE WE DEAL WITH THAT RECENT, STUPID ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT THEY JUST TRIED TO PULL. YOUR PRESENCE, IN LIGHT OF YOUR FRAUD, PRODUCES A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. YOU ARE ALSO BEING SUED AS PARTIES IN THE PENDING PARALLEL CASES BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT ALONG WITH JUDGE LEE WHO FAILED IN HER DUTY AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DULY APPOINTED "TRUSTEE" WHERE SHE WAS BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION WHERE THE RIGHTS OF THE KING-KHALIFAH BY THE CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION EMERGING OUT OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 ARE LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); IN RE: SYNTAX-BRILLIAN CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016).

A COURT CANNOT CONFER OR ESTABLISH JURISDICTION WHERE NONE EXIST AND OR IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND A COURT CANNOT MAKE A VOID PROCEEDINGS VALID. TO CONSPIRE TO TAKE AWAY REMEDY LIKE MY, GOURDINE'S, REHEARING AND S.C. SUPREME

COURT REVIEW FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF A RIGHT IS TO TAKE AWAY THAT DUE PROCESS RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH IS NOT WITHIN THE POWER OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO THE STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, SUCH AS DUE TO YOUR FRAUD WHICH IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS, ITS JUDGMENTS AND OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION OF THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECUTING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED IN LAW AS TRESPASSERS". THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT GRANTING THE DISMISSAL IN CASE 2017-002108 AND THE OPINION PRODUCED IN CASE 2016-000640 SUBJECTING THE COURT(S) AND PARTIES TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION. THE FRAUD FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS SEC. 499, **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING"**, NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71 (U.S.1871); WELLS-FARGO BANK-N.A.-v.-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST. REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); TRINSEY-v.-PAGLIARO, 229 F.Supp. 647(D.C.Pa.1964); MYLES-v. DOMINO'S-PIZZA,-LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017).

THE APPELLANT GOURDINE RECEIVED THE OPINION AND OR ORDER ON FEBRUARY 11, 2018. THIS WOULD GIVE HIM (10) DAYS UNTIL FEBRUARY 21, 2018 TO SEEK REHEARING AND IT BE TIMELY. THUS, THIS PLEADING IS TIMELY BEING PLACED IN THE MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 16, 2018 WHERE IT SHOULD BE TAKEN OUT OF THE MAILBOX ON FEBRUARY 19, 2018. I, WE, INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY AND MOTION THAT YOU ALSO STAY THIS CASE. THESE MATTERS ARE PRESENTLY UNDER REVIEW IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WHERE THOSE CORRUPT CONSPIRING JUDGES GOT JUDGE WOOTEN, CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO CLOSE CASE 2:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM TO KILL THE REMOVAL AND ALLOW THEM TO ISSUE THE ORDER DISMISSING CASE 2017-0002108. THE FRAUD THEY JUST PULLED TAINTS ALL ORDERS ISSUED

IN CASE 2017-0002108 WHERE RES JUDICATA CANNOT ATTACH DUE TO THE FRAUD, NOR CAN THE COURT OF APPEALS OR ANY OTHER COURT USE THAT FRAUDULENTLY OBTAINED DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADJUDICATING ANY MATTER BEFORE THESE COURTS AND YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE DUE TO THESE RECENT ACTS OF FRAUD WHERE YOU COURTS ARE CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY ACROSS MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS. WE DON'T NEED YOU DEVILS STEPPING ON EACH OTHER'S TOES IN YOUR CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I, WE, GIVE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER AND JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE IS FORTHCOMING REGARDING CASE 2017-0002108 WITHIN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. BY YOUR RECENT ACTIONS YOU HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT WE ARE CORRECT OR YOU WOULD NOT HAVE HAD TO ENGAGE IN THE FRAUD. BY YOUR ACTIONS YOU'VE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THE RESPONDENT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE IN DEFAULT AND THE RESPONDENT AND PARTIES CAN PRODUCE NO EVIDENCE THAT WOULD DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THEREFORE, THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT FELT IT NECESSARY TO CONTACT JUDGE WOOTEN UNDER CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM WITH THE RESPONDENT, TO DISMISS THAT HABEAS CORPUS BECAUSE CASE 2017-0002108 WAS PETITIONED REMOVED THERE AND THEY COULD NOT DISMISS CASE 2017-0002108 UNTIL THE REMOVAL WAS DETACHED. THEY COULD NOT LET THE RESPONDENT BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND OR EVERY ACTION WE WERE TAKING BEFORE ALL COURTS WOULD INDEED BE DEEMED LEGAL, TRUE AND CORRECT. I, WE, OBJECT TO THE FRAUD. I, WE, TO INCLUDE JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT(S), HEREIN DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR STATE THESE FACTS, TO WIT, (A) AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH; (B) AFFIANT(S) HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS WITNESSES AFFIANT(S) SHALL STATE THE SAME. NOW STAY CASE 2016-000640. WE INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY , ULMET v. UNITED STATES, 888 F2d. 1028(4th.Cir.1989); SMITH

v.-BAYER-CORP.,--S.Ct.--, 131 S.Ct. 2368, 2382, 180 L.Ed.2d. 341(2011).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR STATUTE OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE **"FOUNDATION"** OF THE **"WHOLE"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS **"VOID"** AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO ACT OR DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR STATUTE OR ACT OR LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTION, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND IS INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 2016-000640 AND THE ORDER DISMISSING CASE 2017-0002108, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM ITS ENACTMENT OR WHEN IT WAS DONE...IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE...SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, OR LAW OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (SUCH AS ISSUING ORDERS OR OPINIONS), CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL FOR YOU), BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON (YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID.), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE IF YOU FAIL TO CORRECT IT.), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (THIS INCLUDES ALL OF YOUR RULINGS.)...A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE AN EXISTING LAW (THE LAW SET BEFORE THE GENTRY CASE STANDS.). ANY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, STATUTE, LAW OR ACT THAT RUNS COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SCHWARE, McBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY. NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS. NO COURT IS BOUND TO ENFORCE THEM. ALL LAWS, STATUTES, JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS, RULES AND PRACTICES THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE **"NULL"** AND **"VOID"**, MARBURY

v.-MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES-v.-UNITED-STATES 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES 65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); MONTGOMERY-v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEFT-OUTDOORS LLC.-v.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE-v. SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); ROBINSON v.-ARVONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; JOHNSON-v. UNITED-STATES,--S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450 (U.S.2015).

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS WARNED, BECAUSE WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL COMMANDS BY THE COURT ON THE SURFACE, MANY CITIZENS, BECAUSE OF RESPECT FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL, YET IN THIS CASE WE HAVE FRAUD IN BOTH HIGH COURTS, MANY CITIZENS ARE CUNNINGLY COERCED AND MANIPULATED INTO WAIVING THEIR RIGHTS DUE TO IGNORANCE. WE ARE NOT IGNORANT. WE CHALLENGE THE JURISDICTION OF BOTH COURTS AND WE DO NOT WAIVE THE RIGHT TO SEEK REHEARING IN CASE 2016-000640 OR VACATING THE ORDER IN CASE 2017-002108 DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT EXERCISING THAT RIGHT OF COLLATERAL ATTACK TO REMEDY THE FRAUD. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT", OF THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THE COURT(S) ALLOWING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH VIA HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT CONSISTENTLY MANIFEST ITSELF WITHIN THESE CASES. I DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR BOND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION OR OATH OF OFFICE THAT WOULD PERMIT YOU TO GO AGAINST THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH OR STAND DOWN. DUE TO THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TO PREVENT THE RESPONDENT FROM RESPONDING BECAUSE THE COURT KNEW THEY WERE IN DEFAULT IS **"FRAUD"** AND VOIDS ANY AND ALL ORDERS ISSUED IN CASE 2016-000640 AND 2017-0002108 PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

PLACED BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. **IT IS SO ORDERED,** PRESAULT v. I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN v. BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS v. HHGREGG, F.Supp.2d., WL 1331166(N.C.2013); OPARAH v. NEW YORK DEPT. OF EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY INS. CO. v. PLYWOOD PLASTICS CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157 (DSC.1948).

UNLESS THE RESPONDENT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OR THE UNITED STATES, NOT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108 IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DISMISSING THE CASE TO PREVENT THE REQUIREMENT THEY PRODUCE EVIDENCE TO DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL., SHALL GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 UNLESS THE RESPONDENT CAN DEMONSTRATE BY CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT HE TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT, SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAMS OF THE STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); McBURNEY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY v. VERMONT 2017 WL 2167123(2017); COHEN v. VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(U.S.1821); MILLER v. UNITED STATES, 230 F2d. 486, 489 (5th. Cir.1956); UNITED STATES v. ETENYI,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328 (10th.Cir.2017).

INASMUCH, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, JASON MORRIS GOURDINE ET. AL., THE UNDERSIGNED, DO HEREWITH SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM THAT AFFIANT(S) ISSUES THIS AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS AND ITS ATTACHMENTS WITH SINCERE INTENT TO SEEK REHEARING IN CASE 2016-000640 AND ADDRESS OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS, THAT THE AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREIN, THAT THE CONTENTS ARE

TRUE, CORRECT, COMPLETE, AND CERTAIN, ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE OF THE CONTINUAL ACTS OF FRAUD, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, EVEN UPON THE COURTS INVOLVED, ALSO INVOLVING THE RESPONDENT AND EVEN S.C.D.C., REQUIRING SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT AND THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT, AND IS REASONABLE AND JUST IN LIGHT OF THE CONTINUAL MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE MANIFESTING THEMSELVES BY THE CONSPIRING PARTIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING AND IF CALLED UPON WE SHALL TESTIFY AS TO THE SAME. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNITY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

RESPECTFULLY,
JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT, FOR HIMSELF AND THE KING-KHALIFAH BY COMMAND OF THE CROWN

FEBRUARY 16, 2018.

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

SC SUPREME COURT

Fraud # 1

=

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
TISHBITE; JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

C/A 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
2018 MAR 21 A 8:54
DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON, SC

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO INTERVENE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION TO REINSTATE; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER(S); RENEWING THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND TRANSFER OF VENUE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, BY PLACING IT IN THE MAILBOX ON MARCH 9, 2018. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DAY FRIDAY BEFORE THE WEEKEND, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND

MARCH 9, 2018

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
2018 MAR 15 A 9:09
DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND PETITIONER(S) Vs. JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL., DEFENDANT(S)	C/A 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO INTERVENE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION TO REINSTATE; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER(S); RENEWING THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND TRANSFER OF VENUE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO INTERVENE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION TO REINSTATE; MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER(S); RENEWING THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND TRANSFER OF VENUE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [24] PAGES THAT IS FILED IN CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM.

I GIVE THE COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, MOTIONS, PETITIONS ETC. THAT ARE ARGUED IN THIS ATTACHED DOCUMENT, TO INCLUDE THOSE ASSERTED WITHIN ALL EXHIBITS AND OR DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO THEREIN, ARE NOW BEING ARGUED WITHIN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM SEEKING TO REINSTATE THIS CASE. THE

PETITIONER RECEIVED THE COURT'S ORDER IN THIS CASE ON MARCH 1, 2018. THIS GIVES ME UNTIL MARCH 11, 2018 TO SEEK TO REINSTATE THIS CASE AND TOLL ANY TIME FOR APPEAL. THUS, THIS FILING IS TIMELY PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT WITHIN (10) DAYS PURSUANT TO HOUSTON-v.-LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, AND HIS DULY APPOINTED BY WRIT OF COMMISSION ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT(S), HEREINAFTER DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM AND OR STATE AS FOLLOWS: (A) AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH; (B) AFFIANT(S) HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS WITNESS(ES) AFFIANT(S) SHALL SO STATE;

I, WE, MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER(S) DISMISSING CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND I, WE, MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION TO ISSUE THE ORDER IN THIS CASE. ONCE JUDGE WOOTEN AND MARCHANT, IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRED ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, BY WHAT THEY DID WITHIN THIS CASE AND EVEN FILING AN APPEAL OF CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM ESTABLISHING CASE 17-1695 BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT DONE BY THEIR CONSPIRATORIAL ACTION, TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF THE STATE CASES INVOLVED, INCLUDING THOSE BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO ALLOW THEM TO INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION OVER CASE 2017-0002108, AS SIMILAR FRAUD THEY DID RELATED TO THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7410 AND THIS CASE. THE FRAUD MADE THE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM IRREGULAR VITIATING THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THEREFORE, JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID FOR ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION, FRAUD UPON THE COURT, VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING".** A JUDGMENT PROCURED BY FRAUD MAY BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED, AND THIS APPLIES TO ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES OF ALL COURTS,

MYLES v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL INC. v. BANCTEC INC, 2016 WL 7444943 (D.C.Ky.2016); MARTIN v. TARGET CORP. OF MINNESOTA, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1187034(D.N.J.2013); McCLAIN v. 1st. SECURITY BANK OF WASHINGTON, 2016 WL 8504775(W.D.Wash.2016).

INSOMUCH, BY THE ATTACHED (24) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED FEBRUARY 26, 2018, TO WHICH ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, CAUSES OF ACTION ARGUED WITHIN THAT DOCUMENT, TO INCLUDE ALL THOSE ARGUED WITHIN THE EXHIBITS REFERRED TO THEREIN, ARE BEING ARGUED IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM FOR THE PURPOSE OF VACATING THE ORDER(S) ISSUED IN THIS CASE AND TO HAVE CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM REINSTATED. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES , JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, DULY ASSIGNED BY WRIT OF COMMISSION, MOTIONS TO INTERVENE TO PROTECT ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE CROWN. JUDGE WOOTEN, CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW OR AUTHORITY, TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, DISMISSED THIS CASE IN AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, TO SILENCE THE DEFENDANTS AND TO PREVENT EVIDENCE OF THE DEFAULT FROM BEING ESTABLISHED WITHIN THE COURT RECORD WITH THE CRIMINAL, OBSTRUCTIVE INTENT TO PREVENT THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN FROM BEING ESTABLISHED WITHIN THE COURT RECORD AND FROM ATTACHING TO CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM BECAUSE THE PLAINTIFF(S) WERE ARGUING BEFORE THESE COURTS THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. IN THESE AND ALL OTHER PARALLEL RELATED CASES, SANDRA T. KOTTMAN PLAINTIFF v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 4185481(W.D.MISSOURI.2017); WARD v. HUERBAUGH, 2017 WL 2724938(D.C.Miss.2017); MONROE v. PAPE, 365 U.S. 167, 183, 81 S.Ct. 473, 482, 5 L.Ed.2d. 492(U.S.1961); SEBELIUS v. AUBURN REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); BOLIVIA REPUBLIC OF VENEZUELA v. HELMERICH & PAYNE INTERN DRILLING CO, 137 S.Ct. 1312, 197 L.Ed.2d. 663, 85 U.S.L.W. 4221(U.S.2017); DOE v. FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6 (D.D.C.2016).

FURTHER PROOF OF THE FRAUDULENT INTENT CONSPIRED AND

ENGAGED IN BY JUDGE WOOTEN, MARCHANT AND THEIR FEDERAL AND OR STATE CO-CONSPIRATORS, IS THAT THE COMPLAINT FILED UNDER CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM IS EXACTLY IDENTICAL TO THE COMPLAINTS FILED UNDER CASES 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB AND 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW AND WAS PRESENTED BEFORE ALL COURT ALSO AS AN "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS". BOTH MAGISTRATE JUDGES BAKER AND WEST DETERMINED BY FINAL ORDER ON THIS NON DISPOSITIVE ISSUE, THAT THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS ALONE WAS SUFFICIENT TO DEFEAT A SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL AND OR SUMMARY DISMISSAL, AND REQUIRED THAT THE CASE BE PLACED IN PROPER FORM BY SUBMITTING THE NECESSARY SUMMONS AND U.S. MARSHAL FORMS TO ALLOW THE DEFENDANTS TO BE SERVED AND THEY BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT BEFORE THE CASE CAN EVER BE DISMISSED, S.F.S. CHECK, LLC, v. FIRST BANK OF DELAWARE, 990 F.Supp.2d. 762(E.D. MICH.2013); AKBAR v. BANGASH, 2017 WL 4334912 (S.D.MICH.2017); McKELVEY v. REYNOLDS, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); SINGLETON v. DOBEY, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2285956(DSC.2013); GLANTON v. DOBEY, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1786416(DSC.2013); DEL-ZOTTO v. UNIVERSAL PHYSICIANS SERVICES, LLC, 214 F.Supp.3d. 499 (DSC.2016).

THEREFORE, JUDGE WOOTEN ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT WHERE HIS INTENT WAS TO CRIMINALLY SILENCE THE RESPONDENT, THE DEFENDANTS AND THE UNITED STATES, TO KEEP HIMSELF FROM BEING SUED, TO PREVENT THE FACTS FROM BEING ESTABLISHED IN THE COURT RECORD, TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2017-0002108 TO ALLOW THOSE STATE CO-CONSPIRATORS TO ACT, AND TO PREVENT EVIDENCE OF THE DEFAULT AND SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN FROM ATTACHING IN THIS CASE AND CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM, CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, VOIDING THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT CONSPIRING TO HAVE AN EFFECT UPON INTERSTATE COMMERCE, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION AND VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS. I, WE OBJECT. JUDGE WOOTEN, WHO IS EVEN A DEFENDANT IN THESE CASES REQUIRING THAT HE RECUSE, WAS PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM RAISING OR EVEN ADJUDICATING ANY ISSUE WHICH WAS DETERMINED IN A FORMER SUIT WHICH IS JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE, VOIDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION ALSO DUE TO THE INTENTIONAL FRAUD.

THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD IS A PARTY IN CASE 2:17-cv-01300-RMG-MGB BY MOTION TO INTERVENE AND IN CASE 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW VIA THE (70) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS***, DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FILED IN THAT CASE. RES JUDICATA ATTACHES FROM THOSE CASES. I, WE, OBJECT. THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF RES JUDICATA ARE IDENTITY OF PARTIES, IDENTITY OF SUBJECT MATTER, AND ADJUDICATION BY OR IN A FORMER SUIT. THE MERITS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE COMPLAINTS CONSTITUTE AN AFFIDAVIT, WHICH STAND ALONE TO DEFEAT ANY SUMMARY DISMISSAL REQUIRING THE ACTION(S) BE SERVED AND THE PARTIES RESPOND BEFORE DISMISSAL CAN OCCUR WAS ALREADY DETERMINED BY BOTH MAGISTRATE JUDGES BAKER AND WEST UNDER CASES 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW AND 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MGB JURISDICTIONALLY BARRING JUDGE WOOTEN FROM DISMISSING THIS CASE UNTIL THE PARTIES ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND DUE TO RES JUDICATA EMERGING FROM BOTH OF THE CASES AFOREMENTIONED. I, WE, OBJECT, FORD v. WATSON, 282 S.C. 66, 316 S.E.2d. 429(S.C.App.1984). ONCE THE COURT HAS DECIDED AN ISSUE OF FACT OR LAW NECESSARY TO ITS JUDGMENT. THAT DECISION PRECLUDES RELITIGATION OF THE ISSUE IN A SUIT ON A DIFFERENT CAUSE OF ACTION INVOLVING A PARTY TO THE FIRST CASE, IN RE: GUY, 552 B.R. 89(DSC.2016); SAN REMO HOTEL, L.P. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CAL., 545 U.S. 323, 125 S.Ct. 2491, 162 L.Ed.2d. 315(U.S.2005). EVEN THOUGH MAGISTRATE JUDGES DO NOT HAVE THE POWER TO ENTER A FINAL JUDGMENT ON DISPOSITIVE MATTERS IN A CASE. THE TWO, NOT ONE, MAGISTRATE JUDGES, WHICH DEMONSTRATE THE VALIDITY OF THEIR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, DO HAVE JURISDICTION TO ENTER FINAL JUDGMENT ON ALL NON DISPOSITIVE PRE-TRIAL MATTERS. THESE TWO MAGISTRATE JUDGES, WEST AND BAKER, BY THE CONGRUENCY OF THEIR DETERMINATION, DEMONSTRATE THE VERITY AND SOUNDNESS OF THAT JUDGMENT, WHICH SUCH JUDICIAL DECISION CAN ONLY BE OVER RULED IF THAT DETERMINATION WAS CLEARLY ERRONEOUS OR CONTRARY TO LAW, WHICH NEITHER OF THESE CIRCUMSTANCE EXIST IN THEIR DETERMINATIONS, MAKING JUDGE WOOTEN'S DECISION PROCEDURALLY, JURISDICTIONALLY BARRED, AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION PRODUCING ARBITRARY UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL ACTION AND VOID'S THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VILATION AND VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, PAUL ADAMS v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI v. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECUR-

ITY,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 1755875; U.S.-v.-HARE, 820 F3d. 93(4th.Cir.2016); TORKORNOO-v.-HELWIG, 2017 WL 4898260(D.C.Md. 2017); BONNER-v.-KILGORE, 2017 WL 1057633, * 11 N.D.Ala.; STRONG v.-U.S., 57 F.Supp.2d. 908, 1999 WL 543737(N.D.Cali.1999); GREAT-WEST-LIFE-&-ANNUITY-INS.-CO.-v.-AMERICAN-ECONOMY-INS.-CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 5954470(D.C.Nev.2013).

JUDGE WOOTEN KNEW EXACTLY WHAT HE WAS DOING, IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. HE COULD NOT ALLOW HIMSELF TO BE SUED FOR VIOLATIONS OF HIS OATH OF OFFICE. NOR COULD HE LET THE PARTIES RESPOND BECAUSE EVIDENCE WOULD HAVE BEEN PRODUCED DEMONSTRATING THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. ATTACHED TO THESE CASES, INCLUDING ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN WOULD HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. SO JUDGE WOOTEN AND THE CONSPIRING PARTIES, CRIMINALLY, FRAUDULENTLY SILENCED THE DEFENDANTS AND AVOIDED SUIT BY DISMISSING THE ACTION, WHICH INCLUDED BY DETACHING THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2017-0002108 AND 2013-CP-400-0084, TO PREVENT THEM FROM ANSWERING WHEN THERE WAS LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY FOR THEM TO ANSWER, WHEN RES JUDICATA ATTACHED ON THE ISSUES OF THE COMPLAINTS BEING ALSO ACTUAL AFFIDAVITS WHICH MAY ALONE DEFEAT SUMMARY DISMISSAL REQUIRING SERVICE OF THE PLEADINGS AND THE PARTIES BE REQUIRED TO ANSWER BEFORE THE CASE CAN BE PROPERLY DISMISSED. WE OBJECT. ANY FINAL, VALID JUDGMENT ON THE MERITS OF A PARTICULAR ISSUE BY A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION PRECLUDES ANY FURTHER SUIT BETWEEN THE PARTIES OR THEIR PRIVIES ON THE SAME CAUSE OF ACTION, PROGRESS-BULK-CARRIERS-v.-AMERICAN-S.S.-OWNERS-MUT.-PROTECTION AND-INDEM.-ASS'N-INC., 2 F.Supp.3d. 499(E.D.N.Y.2014); INTELLIGENT-VERIFICATION-SYSTEMS,-LLC.-v.-MICROSOFT-CORP., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 846012(E.D.Va.2015); MONTGOMERY-v.-INTERNAL-REVENUE SERVICE,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2018 WL 953331(D.D.C.2018); HAY-GROUP MANAGEMENT,-INC.-v.-SCHNEIDER,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2018 WL 655595 (E.D.Pa.2018).

FURTHER, IF THE COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF THE LITIGATION THAT ESTABLISHES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE AND EXHIBIT # 7, THE 3rd. CIRCUIT DOCUMENT FILED IN CASES 14-2811 AND 14-1364. ONCE THE SNAKE, BASTARD, CORRUPT JUDGE WOOTEN MADE USE OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT PROVISIONS ORIGINATING FROM THE

OMNIBUS CRIME BILL UNDER 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143. THE PROCEEDINGS PRODUCED A STRUCTURAL ERROR WHICH VOIDED THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION WHERE THIS LEGISLATION WAS DESIGNED TO DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICANS BY THE EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY MICHELLE ALEXANDER IN THE BOOK ENTITLED MASS INCARCERATION DURING THE AGE OF COLORBLINDNESS THE NEW JIM CROW ISBN NO. 978-1-59558-643-8, AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATOR AND THE DOCUMENTARY "13" WHICH AIRED ON PBS WHICH VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER EX-PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(U.S.1880) AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES KNEW THIS BEFORE THEY DID IT BY THE LITIGATION PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE. I, WE, OBJECT AND CHALLENGE THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION. THIS PLACES THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT IN FORFEITURE CORRUPT, BASTARD, DOG OF JUDGES AND ALSO CONSTITUTE AN ADDITIONAL ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY KNOWING USE OF AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE. THE PREJUDICE IS ESTABLISHED BY YOUR FRAUD AND VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. ACTS OF CONGRESS AND OR THE COURTS THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IS SUPREME TO ANY ACT OF THE COURTS OR LEGISLATURE. I, WE, MOTION TO REINSTATE CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AS WE DID FOR CASE 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM ALSO BECAUSE YOU DISMISSED THESE CASES IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND RETALIATION FOR THE USE AND EXERCISE OF PROTECTED SPEECH IN VIOLATION OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT BECAUSE YOU DID NOT LIKE THE WAY YOU WERE TALKED TO AND TO AID IN CONCEALING THE FACT THAT YOU WERE DISMISSING CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TO CONCEAL WHAT YOU WERE DOING RELATED TO CASE 2017-0002108 PENDING IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, EVANCHO-v.-PINE-RICHLAND-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d. 267(W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S. 2016); U.S.-v.-ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-RON-PAIR-ENTERPRISES-INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE:-ARGO-CREDIT, LLC,--B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); 1997 WL 10291 U.S.-(APPELLATE-BRIEF)-BRIEF-OF-SENATORS-ORIN-G.-HATCH,-STROM-THURMOND

ET.-AL.,; PULLIAM-v.-ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(U.S.1984); FORRESTER-v.-WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); U.S.-v.-LAWRENCE, F.Supp. 3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251 (D.C.Md.2017); SABATINA-v.-REINSTEIN,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE-v.-RECTOR-AND-VISITORS-OF-GEORGIA MASON-UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D.Va.2016); SNYDER-v. PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir.2009).

FURTHER, ONCE JUDGE WOOTEN AND PARTIES ENGAGED IN THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT THAT ESTABLISHED A FRAUDULENT APPEAL CREATING CASE 17-1695 AND THAT FRAUD WHICH IS ARGUED UNDER CASES 17-7410 AND 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, AND A MOTION FOR RECUSAL WAS APPROPRIATELY AND TIMELY SOUGHT WHERE IN THIS INSTANCE HE ALSO SAT UPON HIS OWN CASE TO AVOID BEING SUED. HIS PRESENCE WITHIN THESE CASES CREATED A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE WHICH ALSO VOIDS THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION, FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. NOT ONLY DID HE SIT UPON HIS OWN CASE, BUT HIS PRESENCE ALSO PRODUCED A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WHICH IS SELF EVIDENT BY THE EVENTS THAT MANIFESTED THEMSELVES WITHIN THESE CASES, VOIDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION, UNITED-STATES-v.-QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, * 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); KOLON-INDUSTRIES-INC.-v.-E.I.-DUPONT-De-NEMOURS-&-CO., 748 F3d. 160 CA4 (Va.2014); KENWOOD-GARDENS-CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-v.-WHALEN PROPERTIES,-LLC., 2016 WL 6788052, * 11+ (Md.2016).

THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD PULLED AN UNPRECEDENTED LEGAL MOVE BY APPOINTING AN ATTORNEY GENERAL IN THE FORM OF JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND ESTABLISHING HIS AUTHORITY BY WRIT OF COMMISSION BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES INVOLVED, THEN CALL JUDGE WOOTEN AND OTHER INVOLVED JUDGES OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT. THEREUPON, THE RESPONDENT AND THE S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS", IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND MACHINATION, DETERMINED THAT THEY COULD NOT LET IT BE PER-

MITTED THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE UNITED STATES BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND IN CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108 BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE INSTANTLY BEEN PROVEN THAT THE UNITED STATES, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS WERE IN DEFAULT, THAT COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHED AND ALL CLAIMS OF FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON ALL GLOBAL COURTS, WHICH INCLUDE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND THE PARALLEL CASES, AS WELL AS CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM WAS TRUE, CORRECT AND VALID. THEREFORE, JUDGE WOOTEN MADE FRAUDULENT RULING UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM TO PERMIT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION OVER CASE 2017-0002108 TO DISMISS THAT CASE TO PREVENT EVIDENCE FROM APPEARING ON THE COURT RECORD IN CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108 THAT THE PARTIES WERE IN DEFAULT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, WHICH WOULD HAVE IMMEDIATELY ESTABLISHED ALL SUPERSEDING FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER, AUTHORITY, RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE CROWN WHICH IS ARGUED UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND ALL OTHER RELATED STATE AND FEDERAL CASES BEFORE THE COURTS. THUS, THIS ACTION IMPAIRS THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND IS ANNULLED BY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, POINDEXTER v. GREEHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 5 S.Ct. 903, 29 L.Ed. 185(U.S.1885); ALDEN v. MAINE, 527 U.S. 706, 119 S.Ct. 2240, 144 L.Ed.2d. 636(U.S.1999); WILL v. MICHIGAN DEPT. OF STATE POLICE, 491 U.S. 58, 109 S.Ct. 2304 (U.S.1989).

JURISDICTION UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM IS VOID FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT WHERE BY THEIR ACTIONS THEY CONSPIRED TO EFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE BY GIVING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO MARRY TO GAYS AND LEBIANS, FOR VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS, FOR CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, VIOLATIONS OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN EX PARTE VIRGINIA, WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA AND OTHER STRUCTU-

RAL ERRORS ARGUED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT AND PRIOR PLEADINGS. THESE STRUCTURAL ERRORS DEFIES ANALYSIS BY HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THERE IS "TRUSTEE" APPOINTED OVER THESE CASES IN THE FORM OF JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN WHO IS LEGALLY BOUND BY HER OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE U.S. CONSTITUTIONS WHERE THESE RIGHTS OF THE CROWN ARE NOW LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION WHERE BY YOUR FRAUD YOU PLACED THE KING-KHALIFAH IN FORCED BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY. JUDGE AUSTIN IS BEING SUED IN THIS CASE NOT AS A JUDGE, BUT AS A "TRUSTEE" FOR VIOLATIONS OF HER OATH OF OFFICE FOR NEGLECTING HER DUTY AS DULY APPOINTED "TRUSTEE" APPOINTED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH HIMSELF. THUS, SHE IS NOT IMMUNE FROM SUIT AS A "TRUSTEE" AND FOR VIOLATIONS OF HER OATH OF OFFICE. THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST"AS IS EXPLAINED IN "EXHIBIT TRUSTEE" PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS CASE, EDEN v. GOOD YEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO., 858 F2d. 198(4th.Cir.1988); CURTIS v. CAFE' ENTERPRISES INC., 2016 WL 6916786(N.C.2016); ELLIOTT v. BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES OF MADISON CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS,--F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); WEAVER v. MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA v. HORN,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017); GARCIA FINANCIAL GROUP v. VIRGINIA ACCELERATORS CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001); TRUSTEES OF DARTHMOUTH COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; SPIRES v. SCHOOLS, F.Supp 3d.--, 2017 WL 41274774(DSC.2017); FIFTH THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578 (U.S.2014).

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, BEFORE ANY COURT, CANNOT BE WAIVED, CAN BE RAISED TO VACATE YOUR ORDERS AND STOP YOUR FRAUD AND ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS, UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL ACTION AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS DONE IN THIS CASE, BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF INTERNATIONAL UNION OPERATING ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. v. FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY DEPT.

OF SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY-TECHNOLOGY-OF-S.C.-INC.-v.-BAPTIST-HEALTH SOUTH-FLORIDA,,,,, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGHMAN-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC. 2014); GRUPO-DALAFLUX-v.-ATLAS-GLOBAL-GROUP-L.P., 124 S.Ct. 1920(U.S.2004); SEBELIUS-v.-AUBURN-REGIONAL-MEDICAL-CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013).

KNOWING FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL INFORMATION NECESSARY TO PREVENT STATEMENT FROM BEING MISLEADING, LIKE YOU DISMISSED THESE CASES TO DETACH THE REMOVAL OF CASE 2017-0002108 TO ALLOW IT TO BE DISMISSED TO PREVENT DISCLOSURE THAT THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES ARE IN DEFAULT TO PREVENT THE RIGHTS FROM ATTACHING IN CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM IS "FRAUD"; PLACING THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND THE RESPONDENT IN FORFEITURE. YOU HAVE CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING COMMERCE AS WELL AS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS, ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, TO STEAL AND OR ATTACH AND OR ARREST AND OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN AND SOLE CORPORATION AND TO PREVENT THE TRUTH OF THE DE-FAULT AND SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN FROM BEING ESTABLISHED BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. "EX DOLO MALO NON ORITUR ACTIO"---OUT OF FRAUD NO ACTION RAISES; FRAUD NEVER GIVES A RIGHT OF ACTION. NO COURT SHALL LEND ITS AID TO MAN WHO FOUND HIS CAUSE OF ACTION UPON AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL ACT. **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING".** SILENCE BY THE COURT, AS AN ACT OF MACHINATION, INTENTIONALLY, PURPOSELY, SILENCING THE RESPON-DENT AND THE UNITED STATES TO PREVENT EVIDENCE OF THEIR DEFAULT FROM BEING REVEALED AND PREVENT THE ESTABLISHING OF JURISDIC-TIONAL FACTS EQUATE WITH FRAUD WHEN THERE WAS LEGAL AND MORAL DUTY FOR THE RESPONDENT AND DEFENDANTS TO SPEAK, AND BY YOUR FRAUD YOU SILENCED THEM AND THE UNITED STATES, OR WHEN INQUIRY LEFT UNANSWERED AS YOU DID CONCEALING THE TRUTH OF THE DEFAULT WOULD BE INTENTIONALLY MISLEADING TO ALLOW THE COURT TO MISREPRE-SENT THE FACTS. THE INQUIRY WAS LEFT UNANSWERED ALLOWING YOU TO FRAUDULENTLY MISREPRESENT THE FACTS IN THESE CASES. FRAUD

AND DECEIT WILL RAISE FROM SILENCE, AS THE DISTRICT COURT SILENCED THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES IN ACTS OF MACHINATION WHERE THERE IS DUTY TO SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS WELL AS FROM SPEAKING UNTRUTH. PARTY IN INTEREST WILL BECOME LIABLE FOR FRAUD BY MERE SILENT ACQUIESCENCE AND PARTAKING IN THE BENEFITS OF THAT FRAUD, SUCH AS USING IT TO RENDER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. FRAUD IN ITS ELEMENTARY COMMON LAW SENSE OF DECEIT...INCLUDE THE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS AIDING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN CASE 2017-0002108 CONCEAL THE DEFAULT AND BY THE DISTRICT COURT SILENCING THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES, IN A SETTING OF FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION, SUCH AS THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL BEING ABLE TO PROTECT THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". BUT MORE THAN THIS, A PUBLIC OFFICIAL, SUCH AS A JUDGE OR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, IS A FIDUCIARY TOWARDS THE PUBLIC....AN IF HE OR SHE DELIBERATELY CONCEALS MATERIAL INFORMATION FROM THEM, LIKE CONCEALING THE FACT THAT THE DEFAULT IS INDEED LEGALLY BINDING UPON THIS COURT ESTABLISHING SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN, THE SOLE CORPORATION, HE OR SHE IS GUILTY OF "FRAUD", 424 F2d. 1021; U.S.-v.-HORTON, R. PRUDDEN, NO. 28140, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, (5th.Cir.1970); RUBINSTEIN-v.-COLLINS, 20 F3d. 160(1990); BRANSON-v.-STANDARD-HARDWARE INC., 874 S.W.2d. 919(1994); POINDEXTER-v.-GREENHOW, 114 U.S. 270, 303(U.S.1885); MORRISON-v.-CODDINGTON, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 ARIZ. 480(1983); UNITED-STATES-v.-TWEEL, 550 F2d. 297, 299, 300(1977); U.S.-v.-KORN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2898056(W.D.N.Y. 2013); TONEY-v.-COM., 1998 WL 684203(4th.Cir.1988); SEC-v.-FARMER F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5838867(S.D.Tex.2015); U.S.-v.-MOSBERG, 866 F.Supp.2d. 275 (D.N.J.2011); U.S.-v.-WECHT, F.Supp.2d., 2008 WL 2223869(W.D.Pa.2008).

THE HOUR IS AT HAND CORRUPT, BASTARD, GOING TO HELL JUDGES . ITS TIME FOR ME TO USHER IN THE GLOBAL RULE OF MY GREAT ETC. GRAND UNCLE, JESUS CHRIST OF NAZARETH. ITS FOR THIS PURPOSE THAT I HAVE COME INTO THE WORLD!!! IT TIME TO KICK THE TIRES AND LIGHT THE FIRES!!! OUR GOD LIVETH!!! HE RISES ABOVE HIS THRONE IN HONOR AND GLORY!!! WHO IS GREATER THAN HE!!! THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN CANNOT BE BROKEN!!! ONCE MORE TO THE BRINK!!!

BEFORE THE ONE TRUE GOD STANDING IN JUDGMENT IS THE END OF ALL JOURNEYS!!! A COURT CANNOT CONFER OR ESTABLISH JURISDICTION WHERE NONE EXIST AND OR IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND A COURT CANNOT MAKE A VOID PROCEEDING VALID. TO CONSPIRE TO TAKE AWAY REMEDY LIKE THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HABEAS CORPUS AND THE PARALLEL § 1983 ACTIONS BY THE FRAUD YOU JUST ENGAGED IN, GIVEN TO HIM FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IS TO TAKE AWAY THE DUE PROCESS RIGHT ITSELF. SUCH WOULD NOT BE WITHIN THE POWER OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE OR THIS COURT. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO THE STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, SUCH AS DUE TO YOUR FRAUD AND THE STRUCTURAL ERRORS RELATED TO WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA AND THE USE OF PLRA AND OR AEDPA PROVISIONS WHICH VIOLATE EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, WHICH ARE ALL UNCONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATING DUE PROCESS, ITS JUDGMENTS, AND OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO A REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION TO THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECUTING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED IN LAW AS TRESPASSERS". THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS, INCLUDING THE DETERMINATIONS MADE UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AS WELL AS CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION, ALSO FOR VIOLATIONS OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE, YOU CORRUPT, BASTARD, GOING TO HELL JUDGES. MAY YOU ROAST IN THE FIRES OF HELL FOREVER, BUT NOT UNTIL YOUR BODIES ARE INFLICTED WITH TERMINAL DISEASE AS YOU HAVE THUS BEEN JUDGED BY GOD'S PROPHET AND APPOINTED KING-KHALIFAH. THE FRAUD YOU'VE ENGAGED IN FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS OR DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD AS ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS SEC. 499, **"FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING"**, ELLIOTT-v.-PIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340(U.S.1828); NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(U.S.1875); U.S. v.-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-67(U.S.1871); WELLS-FARGO-BANK-N.A. v.-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); TRINSEY-v. PAGLIARO, 229 F.Supp.647(D.C.Pa.1964); MYLES-v.-DOMINO'S-PIZZA,

LLC., 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017).

THE LAW DICTATES THAT NEITHER JUDGES OR GOVERNMENT ATTORNEYS ARE ABOVE THE LAW, UNITED STATES v. ISAACS, 493 F2d. 1124, 1143(7th.Cir.1974); PULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(U.S.1984). IN OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM, FEW MORE SERIOUS THREATS TO INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY THAN A CORRUPT, BASTARD JUDGE OR JUDGES ACTING IN COLLUSION OUTSIDE OF THEIR JUDICIAL AUTHORITY WITH OTHERS AND EVEN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH TO DEPRIVE CITIZENS OF THEIR RIGHTS AS HAS OCCURRED HERE. IN THE CASE OF MARSHAL-SEA, 77 ENG. REP. 1027 (K.B.1613), SIR EDWARD COKE FOUND THAT ARTICLE 39 OF THE MAGNA CARTA RESTRICTED THE POWERS OF JUDGES TO ACT OUTSIDE THEIR JURISDICTION. SUCH PROCEEDINGS WOULD BE VOID, AND ACTIONABLE. WITHOUT SUCH JURISDICTION EXISTING, AND WHERE SUCH JUDGMENTS ARE PROCURED BY FRAUD, SUCH AN ORDER ENTERED BY THE COURT IS ABSOLUTELY VOID, IN RE: MATTER OF HAGUE, 412, MICH. 532, 544; 315 N.W.2d. 524 (1982). ALL PROCEEDINGS FOUNDED UPON VOID JUDGMENTS PROCURED BY FRAUD ARE THEMSELVES REGARDED AS INVALID, OLSON v. LEITH, 71 WYO. 316, 257 P.2d. 342. THE JUDGMENTS OBTAINED BY THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT WERE VOID BECAUSE THE DISTRICT COURT EXCEEDED ITS JURISDICTION TO ENTER THEM. WHERE A COURT AFTER ACQUIRING JURISDICTION OF A SUBJECT MATTER AS HERE, ALSO INVOLVING INTENTIONAL FRAUD UPON THE COURT, TRANSCENDS THE LIMITS OF THE JURISDICTION CONFERRED, AS WHEN A JUDGE SITS UPON HIS OWN CASE, OR MAKES USE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTES SUCH AS THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, TO INCLUDE ENGAGE IN OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE BY STEALING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN AND SOLE CORPORATION, ITS JUDGMENTS ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID, ARMSTRONG v. OBUCINO, 300 Ill. 140, 143, 133 N.E. 58(1921).

INSOMUCH, THE ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT(S), HEREIN DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR DECLARE AND OR AFFIRM AND OR STATE THESE FACTS, TO WIT, (A) AFFIANT(S) ARE COMPETENT TO STATE THE MATTERS SET FORTH HEREWITH; (B) AFFIANT(S) HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS STATED HEREIN; (C) ALL

FACTS STATED HEREIN ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFFIANT(S) BEST FIRSTHAND KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY AS WITNESS(ES) AFFIANT(S) SHALL STATE THE SAME TO PROVE YOUR FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THE ACTIONS OF THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND PARTIES INFRINGE UPON THE "TRUST" AND VIOLATE THEIR OATH OF OFFICE SUBJECTING ALL PARTIES TO CHARGES ACCORDANCE WITH FEE OF $1 MILLION PER VIOLATION. UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVITS AS THOSE THAT ESTABLISH THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES ARE REQUIRED TO TIMELY RESPOND AND REBUT THE TRUTHS EXPRESSED IN EACH PARAGRAPH, CATEGORICALLY AND ON EACH POINT FOR POINT BASIS WITHIN THE AFFIDAVITS , 5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 3333, 7311; S.C. RULE 502.1; DEL-ZOTTO-V. UNIVERSAL-PHYSICIANS-SERVICES,-LLC., 214 F.Supp.3d. 499(DSC. 2016).

WHEN AN ACT OF PARLIAMENT OR LEGISLATORS OR JUDGES IS AGAINST THE COMMON RIGHT OF THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN CROWN AND REASON, OR REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION SUCH AS UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10, OR IS IMPOSSIBLE TO PERFORM BY GAYS AND LESBIANS AS IS INDICATED BY THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, SPECIFICALLY, THAT THOSE WHO MARRY **"[M]UST"** "BE FRUITFUL AND MULTIPLY", MEANING BE ABLE TO SEXUALLY PROCREATE , THE COMMON LAW, EVEN AS THAT RELATED TO THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" SHALL CONTROL IT, AND ADJUDGE SUCH TO BE VOID.

ACCORDING TO VAN-HORNE'S-LESSEE-V.-DORRANCE, 2 U.S. 304, 316 (F.CAS.) 2 DALL 304(U.S.1895). A STATUTE, AND WE CAN ADD "LAW" SHALL NEVER HAVE AN EQUITABLE CONSTRUCTION IN ORDER TO OVERTHROW OR DIVEST AN ESTATE, ESPECIALLY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS, ESPECIALLY ONE GIVEN BY CLEAR "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", WHICH INCLUDES THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO HIM BY INHERITANCE VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION. EVERY STATUTE AND OR LAW, DEROGATORY TO THE RIGHTS OF PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN, OR THAT TAKES AWAY THE ESTATE OF A CITIZEN, OUGHT TO BE CONSTRUED STRICTLY AND IN FAVOR OF THE CROWN OR YOU VIOLATE THE CONTRACT WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS AS WELL AS THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION

UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT. SEE UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY, REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, SUPP. A/59/22 NO. 1, PP.7-11 (MAR. 1-5, 2004); THE U.N. GENERAL ASSEMBLY, CONVENTION ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, RES. 59/38, ARTS. 5, 10-12 (DEC. 2, 2004)(ADOPTING A RESTRICTIVE THEORY OF IMMUNITYAND WITHDRAWING IMMUNITY FOR LOSS OF PROPERTY WHERE, AMONG OTHER REQUIREMENTS, "THE ACT OR OMISSION OCCURRED IN WHOLE OR IN PART IN THE TERRITORY OF THE OTHER STATE"). ALSO SEE EXHIBIT "TRUSTEE". ALSO SEE THE "CONTACT", THE "COVENANT" UNDER LUKE 11:52; ISAIAH 11:1-5; ISAIAH 14:29-32; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; ISAIAH 16:5; ISAIAH 32:1-4; ISAIAH 42:18-22; JEREMIAH 23:5-6; JEREMIAH 33:15-21 (CRUCIAL ONE); EZEKIEL 34:22-30; EZEKIEL 37:22; DANIEL 11:1-3; MALACHI 4:4-6. ALSO SEE SIMON-v.-REPUBLIC-OF-HUNGARY,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4402293 (D.D.C.2017); ZSCHERNIG-v.-MILLER, 389 U.S. 429, 88 S.Ct. 664, 19 L.Ed.2d. 683(U.S.1968).

IF THE STATE OR GOVERNMENT CAN COMPEL THE SURRENDER OF ONE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT AS A CONDITION OF ITS FAVOR, IT MAY, IN LIKE MANNER, COMPEL A SURRENDER OF ALL, TO INCLUDE THE LAWS OF NATURE WHICH REFLECT THE LAWS OF GOD. CAN MAN CAUSE GOD TO SURRENDER HIS RIGHTS OR HIS LAWS? SUCH AN ATTEMPTED OFFENSE WOULD BE UNPARDONABLE. THE POSITION LUDICROUS. IT IS INCONCEIVABLE THAT GUARANTEES EMBEDDED WITHIN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, WHICH INCLUDE THAT ALL SOVEREIGN NATIONS ARE ANSWERABLE TO NO ONE, EXCEPT GOD WHICH EQUATE TO HIS LAWGIVER, MAY BE THUS MANIPULATED OUT OF EXISTENCE, WHICH IN THIS CASE ARE NOW "LEGALLY" PROTECTED BY "CONTRACT", BY "COVENANT", SECURED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, ALSO NOW UNDER ARTICLE IV § 2 BY DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN GIVEN TO THE KING-KHALIFAH AS PART OF HIS INHERITANCE VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION, cf. PUBLIC-LICENSES-AND-PRIVATE-RIGHTS (BARNETT 1953); 33 OLR 10n. 32. STATES POWER TO GRANT PRIVILEGES ON ITS OWN CONDITION IS LIMITED, SO IT MAY NOT THEREBY REQUIRE RELINQUISHMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. YET, IN THIS CASE THE UNITED STATES AND (193) MEMBERS STATES OF THE U.N. ARE PARTY TO THE DEFAULT LEGALLY ESTABLISHING ALL SUPERSEDING RIGHTS, TITLES,

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE CROWN WHICH IS CONFIRMED BY THE CONTRACT AND INHERITANCE ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, BOLIVIA-REPUBLIC-OF-VENEZUELA-v.-HELMERICH-&-PAYNE-INTERN.-DRILLING-CO., 137 S.Ct. 1312, 197 L.Ed.2d. 663, 85 U.S.L.W. 4221(U.S. 2017); DOE-v.-FEDERAL-DEMOCRATIC-REPUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6 (D.D.C.2016); ARMIDILLO-DISTRIBUTION-ENTERPRISE INC.-v.-HAIYUN-MUSICAL-INSTRUMENTS-MANUFACTURE-CO.-LTD., F.Supp. 3d., 2014 WL 2815943(D.C.Fla.2014); CASSIER-v.-THYSSEN-BORNE-MISZA-COLLECTION-FOUNDATION, 737 F3d. 613(9th.Cir.2013); AL SHIMARI-v.-C.A.C.I.-INTERN.-INC., 679 F3d. 205(4th.Cir.2012).

THE COURTS HAVE EXPLAINED, THE HISTORY OF THE REMEDY CLAUSE INDICATES THAT ITS PURPOSE IS TO PROTECT ABSOLUTE COMMON-LAW RIGHTS RESPECTING PERSONS, PROPERTY (INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY), AND REPUTATION (FRAMING THE KING-KHALIFAH AND PLACING HIM IN FORCED BREACH OF HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES), AND THOSE RIGHTS EXISTED BY CONTRACT WHEN THE CONSTITUTION WAS ESTABLISHED WHICH YOU ALSO PROTECTED UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT RELIGION AND ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE. THE FILING OF A § 1983 IS APPROPRIATE, NOT SUBJECT TO HECK v. HUMPHREYS OR PRIESER WHERE ALL OF OUR CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH IS A FALSE IMPRISON-MENT TORT SEEKING RELIEF FROM CONVICTION AND THE STATE IS IN DEFAULT AND FORFEITURE AND THE COURT'S JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THE COURT HAS STATED THAT THE GUARANTEE OF REMEDY BY DUE COURSE OF LAW FOR INJURY TO PERSON, PROPERTY OR REPUTATION, LIKE YOU FRAMED THE KING-KHALIFAH FOR MURDER BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED TO PREVENT HIS ASCENT TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, "IS ONE OF THE MOST SACRED AND ESSENTIAL OF ALL THE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES" AND THAT "WITHOUT IT A FREE GOVERNMENT CANNOT BE MAINTAINED OR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY BE PRESERVED", SMOTHERS-v.-GRESHAM-TRANSFER-INC., 332 OR. 83, 23 P.3d. 333(2001); GEARIN v.-MARION-COUNTY, 110 OR. 390, 396, 233 P. 929.

THE COURTS ALSO STATED THAT THE PURPOSE OF THE REMEDY CLAUSE IS TO MAKE THE COMMON LAW MAXIM "THAT THERE IS NO WRONG WITHOUT REMEDY" A FIXED AND PERMANENT RULE OF LAW IN THIS STATE", PLATT-v.-NEWBERRY-ET.-AL., 104 OR. 148, 153, 205 P. 296(1922)

WHICH SUCH UNDERSTANDING APPLIES TO THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. THOSE STATEMENTS REFLECTS THIS COURT'S UNDERSTANDING THAT CERTAIN COMMON LAW RIGHTS, SUCH AS THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN, TO INCLUDE HIS RIGHT TO SECURE HIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, ALL NOW LEGALLY PROTECTED BY BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND DUE PROCESS LAW ARE "ABSOLUTE RIGHTS" THAT MUST BE PROTECTED FROM INFRINGEMENT ALSO PROTECTED UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT. "BIBLICAL LAW AT COMMON LAW SUPERSEDES ALL LAWS AND CHRISTIANITY, JUDAISM AND ISLAM IS CUSTOM, AND CUSTOM IS LAW". SO MUCH FOR THE CLAIM OF USING BIBLE VERSES, ROBINS-v.-HARDAWAY, 1 JEFFERSON 109, 1 Va. REPORTS ANN. 58, 61(1772); GREGORY-v.-BAUGH, 29 Va. 681, 29 Va. REP. ANN. 466, 2 LEIGH 665(1831). THE CONSTITUTION IS TO BE INTERPRETED ACCORDING TO COMMON LAW RULES, SCHICK-v.-U.S., 195 U.S. 65, 24 S.Ct. 826, 49 L.Ed. 99. THE UNITED STATES ADOPTED COMMON LAW OF ENGLAND WITH THE CONSTITUTION, CALDWELL-v.-HILL, 178 S.E. 383 (1934). THE U.S. CONSTITUTION MUST BE INTERPRETED IN LIGHT OF THE COMMON LAW AND PRINCIPLE AND HISTORY OF WHICH THE FRAMERS OF THE CONSTITUTION WERE FAMILIAR WITH, THE LANGUAGE OF THE CONSTITUTION COULD NOT BE UNDERSTOOD WITH REFERENCE TO THE COMMON LAW, U.S.-v.-WONG-KIM, ARK. 169 U.S. 649, 18 S.Ct. 456.

AS TO ESTABLISH BY EVIDENCE THAT SUCH STATE AND GOVERNMENTAL ACTION ARRESTING, ATTACHING, EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH AND THE SOLE CORPORATION. SIX UPSTATE LAWMAKERS, WHICH BY THE MULTIPLE STATES ESTABLISH EFFECT UPON INTERSTATE COMMERCE CLAIM, PURSUANT TO THE "PARODY MARRIAGE BILL" AND OR "MARRIAGE AND CONSTITUTION RESTORATION ACT", BY THESE LEGISLATIONS PRODUCED BY VARIOUS STATES LEGISLATORS. THEY SEEK TO ESTABLISH MARRIAGE AS A UNION BETWEEN A MAN AND A WOMAN ASSERTING THAT SAME SEX MARRIAGE WOULD BE CONSIDERED A "PARODY MARRIAGE" AND NOT TO BE RESPECTED, OR RECOGNIZED BY ANY STATE. REPUBLICAN REPRESENTATIVE STEVEN LONG, A SPONSOR OF THE BILL SAID THE PROPOSAL IS ABOUT PUTTING AN END TO THE LEGAL MESS HE BELIEVES NON-TRADITIONAL MARRIAGES HAVE CREATED. WE HAVE SITUATIONS WHERE PEOPLE ARE LOSING BUSINESSES AND LOSING JOBS SAID REPRESENTATIVE LONG. ALL BECAUSE THEY HAVE A DIFFERENT

STANDARD OF BELIEF. THUS, BY THIS EVIDENCE VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT IS ESTABLISHED, GUSTO-v.-U.S., 523 U.S. 1011, 118 S.Ct. 1201 (MEM) 140 L.Ed.2d. 329(U.S.1998); U.S.-v.-MILES, 122 F3d. 235(5th.Cir.1997); U.S.C.A. CONST. ART. 1, § 8 CL. 3; 18 U.S.C.A. § 1951; UNITED-STATES-v.-HENDERSON, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6084637(S.D.Tex.2016); UNITED-STATES-v.-RUE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5007930(S.D.Tex.2015); SIBLEY-v.-HERGENROEDER, F.Supp.2d., 2006 WL 3354137(D.C.Md.2006).

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

NOW ALL ACTS OF THE LEGISLATURE AND OR COURT APPARENTLY CONTRARY TO NATURAL RIGHT OF JUSTICE, ARE, IN OUR LAWS, AND MUST BE IN THE NATURE OF THINGS, CONSIDERED VOID. THE LAWS OF NATURE ARE THE LAWS OF GOD; WHOSE AUTHORITY CAN BE SUPERSEDED BY NO POWER ON EARTH WHICH MUST INCLUDE HIS LAWGIVER. THE KING-KHALIFAH AS GOD'S LAWGIVER IS TANTAMOUNT TO THAT POWER AND AUTHORITY VIA THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER GIVEN TO HIM BY CONTRACT OF THE SOLE CORPORATION ALSO NOW ESTABLISHED BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. YOUR LAWS CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF GOD'S LAWGIVER. NO COURT ON EARTH OR LEGISLATIVE BODY, BY THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED, WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS CAN OBSTRUCT OUR DUTY AS BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST" TO PROTECT GOD'S LAWS AND THAT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN QUESTION, NOR CAN THEY OBSTRUCT OUR OBEDIENCE TO THE ONE TRUE GOD FROM WHOSE PUNISHMENTS THEY CANNOT PROTECT US. ALL LAWS OR HUMAN CONSTITUTIONS WHICH CONTRADICTS GOD'S LAWS, WE ARE IN CONSCIENCE BOUND TO DISOBEY, AND THEY ARE RENDERED VOID BY DECREE OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN WHERE THEY VIOLATE THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION. SUCH HAVE BEEN THE ADJUDICATION OF OUR COURTS OF JUSTICE AND MUST REMAIN SO DUE TO THE CONTRACT AND DEFAULT BINDING UPON ALL COURTS, 8 CO. 118 A BONHAM'S CASE. HOB. 87; 7 CO. 14 A CALVIN'S CASE; COHEN-v.-VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264(U.S.1821). THIS SYSTEM, MATURED BY THE WISDOM OF AGES, FOUNDED ON PRINCIPLES OF TRUTH, JUSTICE, FAIRNESS, SOUND REASON, HAS RUTHLESSLY ABOLISHED IN MANY OF OUR STATES, ACTING LIKE A BUNCH OF BROKE BACK FAGOTS, RETARDED CRABS, CREATING LAWS THAT SPEAK BLASPHEMY, SPITTING IN THE FACE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HAVE RASHLY SUBSTITUTED IN ITS PLACE THE SUGGESTIONS OF REPROBATE MIND SOCIAL WORKERS,

AND SCHOLIASTS, WHO INVENT NEW CODES AND SYSTEMS OF PLEADINGS TO ORDER. BUT THIS ATTEMPT TO ABOLISH ALL SPECIES, AND ESTABLISH A SINGLE SPECIES OR GENUS, MAN WITH MAN, WOMAN WITH WOMAN, IS FOUND TO BE BEYOND THE POWER OF COURTS AND LEGISLATIVE OMNIPOTENCE. YOU CANNOT COMPEL THE HUMAN MIND NOT TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THINGS THAT DIFFER. THERE IS A HIGHER LOYALTY THAN LOYALTY TO THIS COUNTRY, AND THAT'S LOYALTY TO GOD. SAMUEL ADAMS STATED, "THE NATURAL LIBERTY OF MAN IS TO BE FREE FROM ANY SUPERIOR POWER ON EARTH, BUT NOT TO THE SUPERIOR POWER OF GOD WHO RESIDES ABOVE THE EARTH OR HIS LAWGIVER WHO HE HAS SENT. MAN IS NOT TO BE UNDER THE WILL OF LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY OF MAN, BUT ONLY TO THE LAWS OF NATURE AS HIS RULE". THE LAWS OF NATURE ARE THE LAWS OF GOD, AND WHAT IS THE LAW OF GOD? THAT NO MAN SHALL LAY WITH A MAN AS HE LAYETH WITH A WOMAN AND NO WOMAN SHALL LAY WITH A WOMAN AS SHE LAYETH WITH A MAN. SEE THE CONTRACT UNDER DEUTERONOMY 22:5 AND 23:17. ALSO SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD; U.S. v. SEEGER, 380 U.S. 163 (U.S.1965); McFAUL v. RAMSEY, 61 U.S. (20 HOW) 523, 525, 15 L.Ed. 1010, 1011(U.S.1858).

REFERRING BACK TO THE ISSUE OF THE REMEDY CLAUSE. THE HISTORY OF THE REMEDY CLAUSE INDICATES THAT ITS PURPOSE IS TO PROTECT ABSOLUTE COMMON LAW RIGHTS, SUCH AS THE RIGHTS OF GOD WHICH INCLUDE HIS SENT LAWGIVER, WHICH IN THIS CASE, ARE ALSO ESTABLISHED BY FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, RESPECTING PERSONS, PROPERTY AND REPUTATION, IN WHICH THIS STATE FRAMED THE KING-KHALIFAH BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AND ILLEGALLY ATTACHED, ARRESTED OR EXECUTED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE IN VIOLATION OF THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION UNDER THE F.S.I.A. IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO MARRY GIVING IT TO GAYS AND LESBIANS ALSO VIOLATING THE HOBBS ACT. "CONSENSUS FACIT LEGEM"---CONSENT MAKES THE LAWS, AND YOU NEVER HAD THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONSENT AS THE SOLE CORPORATION. "FICTIO CREDIT VERITALI"---FICTION YIELDS TO TRUTH, AND THE TRUTH OF THE MATTERS PLACED BEFORE ALL COURTS IS THAT ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE NOW LAW, "LEGALLY" ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH ARE BINDING UPON ALL COURTS CREATING AND SETTING INTO EFFECT AND FORCE ALL SUPERSEDING AT-

TORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY NOW EXERCISED BEFORE THIS COURT. "NIHIL POSSUMUS CONTRA VERITATEM"-- WE CAN DO NOTHING AGAINST THE TRUTH.

THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH AS ESTABLISHED LEGAL DOCUMENTS VIA "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ARE MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TO PROVE PEDIGREE AND ALL RIGHTS ESTABLISHED THEREBY. THE FACT THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ANCESTORS WERE KIDNAPPED AND BROUGHT HERE AGAINST THEIR WILL AND ENSLAVED SHALL HAVE NO ADVERSE EFFECT UPON THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION BEING THE LAW OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" WAS MADE AND THE LAW AT THE TIME THE CONTRACTS WERE ESTABLISH STANDS AS THE LAW HONORED TODAY AND THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) STANDS AS SUFFICIENT TO MEET THE MINIMUM PRIMA FACIE REQUIREMENT TO INVOKE THE PROVISIONS OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT TO ESTABLISH THE PROTECTION OF HIS LEGALLY DESIGNATED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BY PEDIGREE, GREGORY v. BAUGH, 2 LEIGH 665, 1831 WL 1924 (Va.1831); U.S. v. MID-CONTINENT PETROLEUM CORP., 67 F2d. 37(10th.Cir.1933); FOLKERSON v. HOLMES, 117 U.S. 389, 6 S.Ct. 780, 29 L.Ed. 915(U.S.1886); BREWSTER v. VILLA, 90 F2d. 853(5th.Cir.1937).

INSOMUCH, YOUR OATH OF OFFICE IS A QUID PRO QUO CONTRACT UNDER U.S. CONST. ARTICLE 6 Cl. 2 AND 3, DAVIS v. LAWYERS SURETY CORPORATION, 459 S.W.2d. 655 Tex. App., IN WHICH CLERKS, OFFICIALS AND OFFICERS OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PLEDGE TO PERFORM, SUPPORT AND UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION WITH ITS MANDATES AND PROHIBITIONS IN RETURN FOR SUBSTANCES SUCH AS WAGES, PERKS AND BENEFITS. THIS INCLUDE OATH TO UPHOLD THE VARIOUS STATES CONSTITUTIONS. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT NO STATE, NOR LEGISLATOR, NOR EXECUTIVE, NOR JUDGE CAN WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT VIOLATING HIS UNDERTAKING TO SUPPORT IT WHICH IS THE HEART OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE. BY WARRING AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION YOU'VE ENGAGED IN TREASONOUS ACTS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE DENYING US THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. REFUSING TO LIVE BY YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE PLACES YOU IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF THAT OATH OF OFFICE IN EVERY CASE, COOPER v. AARON, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401(1958); IN RE: NEELY, 390 P.3d. 728, 2017 WY. 25; AUERBOCK v. SAMUELS, 10 UTAH.

2d. 152, 349 P.2d. 1112, 1114; ALLEGANY CORP. v. KIRBY, D.C.N.Y. 218 F.Supp. 164, 183; KEETON PACKING CO. v. STATE, 437 S.W. 20, 28; U.S. v. ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); SMITH v. CLARK/SMOOT/RUSSELL,--F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. HARE, 820 F3d. 93(4th.Cir.2016); DONATONI v. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 1755871; WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S. v. ALEDEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); UNITED STATES v. WILL, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d. 392, 406(1980).

BY JUDGE WOOTEN AND THE OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE JUDGES ACTIONS CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO PREVENT AND SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES FROM RESPONDING TO PROVE THEY TIMELY CHALLENGED THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION. THIS ESTABLISHES COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN WHICH IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. BY THEIR FRAUDULENT ACTIONS THE UNITED STATES AND STATE COURTS ARE IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CLAIMS AND CAUSES INCLUDING HABEAS CORPUS, INCLUDING ESTABLISHING THAT THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID, TO INCLUDE ALL STATE AND FEDERAL CONVICTIONS ADJUDICATED UNDER THEM SINCE 1996. YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE. THE PARTIES WERE REQUIRED TO RESPOND AND YOU SILENCED THEM IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO CONCEAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND TO ILLEGALLY SEIZE A FOREIGN OFFICIAL CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING COMMERCE AND IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, WHICH ALSO VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION, UNITED STATES v. BURNS, 2016 WL 3910273; DENNIS v. SECRETARY PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,--F3d.--, 2016 WL 4440925(3rd. Cir.2016); WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78(U.S. 2016); U.S. v. BANK OF AMERICA, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 2777397(N.C. 2014). A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT MEANS A FOREIGN STATE IRRESPECTIVE OF RECOGNITION BY THE UNITED STATES. NOTICE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT IS NOT A CONDITION PRECEDENT TO EFFECTIVE NOTIFICATION OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN OFFICIAL TO THE UNITED STATES YOU RAT, STINKING, CORRUPT, BASTARD, DEMON DOG OF JUDGES, INHABITANTS

OF THE FIRES OF HELL, WHERE YOU CONSPIRED WITH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES IN CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM, 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND 2017-0002108 BY DETACHING THE REMOVAL TO ALLOW THEM TO DISMISS CASE 2017-0002108 TO CONCEAL EVIDENCE OF DEFAULT WHICH IS DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO THE FEDERAL CASES TO PREVENT IT FROM BEING REVEALED THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. DO INDEED ATTACH TO CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, UNITED STATES v. DIZDAR, CA2 (N.Y.) 1978, 581 F2d. 1031; 18 U.S.C. § 1116; WEEPE v. UNITED STATES, --U.S.--, 106 S.Ct. 179, 88 L.Ed.2d. 148(U.S.1985).

DID NOT THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS HOLY PROPHETS TELL YOU THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH SHALL COME? DID NOT THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS HOLY PROPHETS TELL YOU THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH SHALL ASCEND THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES YOU RAT, STINKING, CORRUPT, BASTARD GOING TO HELL JUDGES? I AM FIRE!!! LET US FINISH THIS DISCOURSE BETWEEN ME AND THEE TERMINAL DISEASE BODY DOGS. IN SCHEVER, v. RHOADES, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683(U.S.1974) ADJUDICATED THAT "WHEN A STATE OFFICIAL, LIKE THE RESPONDENT AND EVEN THE UNITED STATES CONSPIRING WITH YOU TO REMAIN SILENT TO CONCEAL THE DEFAULT GIVING THE KING-KHALIFAH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON THIS COURT, WHEN THEY ACT UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN A MANNER VIOLATIVE OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, THEY COME INTO CONFLICT WITH THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THAT CONSTITUTION, AND THEY ARE IN THAT CASE, EVEN PURSUANT TO THE C.A.T. TREATY AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, THEY IN THAT CASE ARE SURELY NOT IMMUNE AND OR ARE STRIPPED ON THEIR OFFICIAL OR REPRESENTATIVE CHARACTER AND IS SUBJECT IN THEIR PERSON TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR INDIVIDUAL CONDUCT. THE STATE AND OR GOVERNMENT HAS NO POWER TO IMPART TO THEM ANY IMMUNITY FROM RESPONSIBILITY TO THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN THIS CASE, TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES. BY LAW A JUDGE IS A STATE OFFICER AND OR FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THE JUDGE THEN ACTS NOT AS A JUDGE BUT AS A PRIVATE INDIVI-

DUAL AS THEY ALL DID CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, 5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 3333, 7311; S.C. RULE 502.1; BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC,-v,-AL-TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U,S,-v,-$41,320-U,S,-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240.

WHEN JUDGES ACT WHERE THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, OR ENGAGE IN FRAUD, OR THEY ENFORCE A VOID ORDER OR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THEY BECOME TRESPASSERS OF THE LAW, AND ARE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF TREASON SET AGAINST THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE AND THE CONSTITUTION. THE COURT IN YATES-v, VILLAGE-OF-HOFFMAN-ESTATES,-ILLINOIS, 209 F.Supp. 757(N.D.Ill. 1962) HELD THAT "NOT EVERY ACTION BY A JUDGE IS IN EXERCISE OF THEIR SPECIFIC FUNCTION...IT IS NOT A JUDICIAL FUNCTION FOR THEM TO COMMIT AN INTERNATIONAL TORT EVEN IF THAT TORT OCCURS IN THE COURTHOUSE". WHEN A JUDGE ACTS AS A TRESPASSER OF THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, WHEN THAT JUDGE DOES NOT FOLLOW THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE. THE JUDGE IS SUBJECT TO DISMISSAL, CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND LOSES SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND THE JUDGE'S ORDERS ARE VOID AND ARE OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT. ALL ACTS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID WHERE IN CONFLICT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. NO MAN SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN ARGUED IN THIS CASE BY WRIT OF ERROR AND IN ALL PENDING RELATED § 1983 ACTIONS, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, NOR SHALL HE BE ADJUDICATED OF OR TRIED FOR AN OFFENSE BY EX POST FACTO LAW WHERE EX POST FACTO LAW SHALL BE PASSED AND ALL SAID RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE INVIOLATE AND WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE WHERE THESE SUPERSEDING FOREIGN SOVEREIGN RIGHTS ARE NOW LEGALLY PROTECTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, R,A,M, OF-SOUTH-FLORIDA,-INC,-v,-W,C,I,-COMMUNICATORS-INC,, 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761; SCHOONER-EXCHANGE-v,-McFADDON, 7 CRANCH 116, 11 U.S. 116, 1812 WL 1310, 3 L.Ed. 287(U.S.1812); DOE-v,-FEDERAL-DEMOCRATIC-REPUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6, 16(D.D.C.2016); DOGAN-v,-BARAK, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6024416 (C.D.2016); U,S,-v,-STERLING, 724 F3d. 482 CA4 (Va.2013); BOARD OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION-OF-OPERATING-ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; NELSON-v,-U,S,-BANK-N,A,, 2015 WL 685271(DSC.2015).

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS WARNED, BECAUSE WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL COMMANDS OF THE COURT ON THE SURFACE, MANY CITIZENS, BECAUSE OF RESPECT FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE LAWFUL, YET IN THIS CASE WE HAVE FRAUD UPON THE CONSPIRING COURTS INCLUDING THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, MANY CITIZENS ARE CUNNINGLY COERCED AND OR MANIPULATED INTO WAIVING THEIR RIGHTS DUE TO IGNORANCE. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES ARE NOT IGNORANT. WE CHALLENGE THE JURISDICTION OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, MOTIONS TO INTERVENE IN THESE CASES TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE CROWN. WE MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER(S) AND TO REINSTATE CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM. WE MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF JUDGES WOOTEN AND MARCHANT AND FORFEITURE. THE STRUCTURAL ERRORS VOID YOUR JURISDICTION AS WELL DUE TO YOUR FRAUD. WE MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY VIA THE WRIT OF ERROR DUE TO YOUR FRAUD UPON THE COURT WHICH MUST BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT OUR CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY CASE 2013-CP-40-0084 WHICH IS A FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT CHALLENGING CONVICTION AND WHERE THE STATE IS IN DEFAULT ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION. WE EXERCISE THE RIGHT OF COLLATERAL ATTACK TO REMEDY THE FRAUD. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE "CONTRACT", OF THE "GRANT", GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF BOTH THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE THIS COURT CORRUPT BASTARD JUDGES ALLOWING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT CONSISTENTLY MANIFEST ITSELF IN THESE CASES. WE DEMAND THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR BOND OR OATH OF OFFICE OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD PERMIT YOU TO GO AGAINST THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH OR STAND DOWN! DUE TO YOU CORRUPT, BASTARD, DEMON DOG JUDGES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT AND IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TO PREVENT AND SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES

FROM RESPONDING IN CASES 2017-0002108, 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM BECAUSE ALL OF YOU KNEW GOOD AND WELL THEY WERE IN DEFAULT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS **"FRAUD"** AND **"VOIDS"** ANY AND ALL ORDERS ISSUED WITHIN THESE CASES PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION LAID BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. **IT IS SO ORDERED,** PRESAULT v. I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN v. BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS v. HHGREGG, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); OPARAH v. NEW YORK DEPT. OF EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C. 2015); AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY INS. CO. v. PLYWOOD PLASTICS CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157 (DSC.1948).

UNLESS THE RESPONDENT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OR THE UNITED STATES, NOT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 2017-0002108 OR THIS CORRUPT, BASTARD, COCKROACH OF JUDGES UNDER CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM DISMISSING THE CASES IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND INFORMATION AND TO SILENCE THEM TO PREVENT THE REQUIREMENT THAT THEY PRODUCE EVIDENCE THAT DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTS TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY ARE INDEED IN DEFAULT. THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT AND ALL OTHER COURTS SHALL VACATE OUR CONVICTIONS AND GRANT ALL RELIEF DEMANDED IN ALL PENDING PARALLEL § 1983 ACTIONS SOUGHT BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OUT OF RICHLAND COUNTY S.C.. UNLESS THE RESPONDENT OR THE UNITED STATES CAN DEMONSTRATE BY CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT THEY TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISSDICTION. CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM LIKE THE OTHERS ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND, LIMITING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. "NIHIL POSSUMUS CONTRA VERITATEM"---YOU CAN DO NOTHING AGAINST THE TRUTH. **IT IS SO ORDERED,** SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAMS OF THE STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.

1957); FAGIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.D.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF BAR EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va. 2011); PEREZ v. CHIMES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir. 1992).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES BEFORE A COMPETENT COURT HAVING JURISDICTION TO HEAR THE MATTERS, WHICH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES WILL VERIFY. I GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES WHO APPEARED ON THE RECORD AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO ALL PARTIES UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, WHERE WE WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. THEREUPON, THAT CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THE PARALLEL § 1983 ACTIONS PENDING, INCLUDING THE HABEAS CORPUS UNDER CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND THESE CORRUPT, BASTARD, DOG JUDGES CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO INAPPROPRIATELY ENTERTAIN JURISDICTION OVER THESE CASES TO ENGAGE IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND TO CONCEAL THE DEFAULT AND SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND UNITED STATES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE BY GIVING THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES (GAYS AND LESBIANS) THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY WHICH IS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN BY INHERITANCE GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS WHERE YOU VIOLATED THE TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT", "GRANT", ALLOWING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL TO LEGALLY INTERVENE AND CORRECT THE INJUSTICE. THE RIGHT TO SOVEREIGNTY EXTENDS TO ALL THINGS AND PERSONS NOT PRIVILEGED, THAT ARE WITHIN THE TERRITORY OR BORDERS, AS THE BORDERS AND TERRITORY OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE EXIST WITHIN EVERY NATION ON THE FACE OF THE PLANET. THEY EXTEND TO ALL STRANGERS RESIDENT

HEREIN WHEN YOU WERE REPEATEDLY TOLD THAT THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD DENOUNCE THE AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP YOUR NATION FORCED UPON HIM AND HIS ANCESTORS BY SLAVERY AND THE KING-KHALIFAH ADOPTS THE CITIZENSHIP OF HIS ISRAELI FOREFATHERS KING DAVID AND KING SOLOMON INVOKING THE ISRAELI LAW OF RETURN TO SIT UPON THEIR THRONE AS THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" COMMANDS. THE SOVEREIGN RIGHT BY THE DEFAULT DO NOT ONLY EXTEND TO THOSE WHO ARE NATURALIZED, AND TO THOSE WHO ARE DOMICILED THEREIN, HAVING TAKEN UP THEIR ABODE WITH THE INTENTION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE, BUT ALSO TO THOSE WHO RESIDENCE IS TRANSITORY. ALL STRANGERS ARE UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE SOVEREIGN, AND EVEN MORE SPECIFIC, UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND ALSO UNDER THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY WHICH IS HIS CONSTITUTION, WHILE THEY ARE WITHIN ITS BORDERS OR TERRITORIES. THE CONSTITUTION PROVISIONS EMPLOY THE WORD "PERSON", THAT IS ANYONE WHO WE HAVE PERMITTED TO PEACEFULLY RESIDE WITHIN OUR BORDERS, AS IS FOR THE KING-KHALIFAH, AND MAY RESORT TO THE COURTS FOR REDRESS OF INJURY DONE HIM IN HIS LANDS, AS HIS HOME WAS LOST, HIS GOODS AS THE ITEMS CONTAINED THEREIN WERE LOST AND THOSE DESTROYED BY S.C.D.C., HIS PROPERTY AS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY YOU GAVE THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHMITES, HIS PERSON OR REPUTATION AS YOU FRAMED HIM PRODUCING A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED WHICH IS ANOTHER REASON YOU CRIMINALLY SILENCED THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES TO KEEP THESE FACTS FROM BEING REVEALED CORRUPT , BASTARD JUDGES. THE REAL PARTY PLAINTIFF FOR WHO THE NOMINAL PLAINTIFF SUES IS NOT SHOWN TO HAVE ENTERED OUR LAND IN AN UNLAWFUL MANNER. UNLESS YOU COUNT THIS NATION'S TREACHEROUS, UNLAWFUL, CRIMINAL, TORTUROUS ACTS RELATED TO THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE. THUS, HE, THE KING-KHALIFAH TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, MAY ENTER AND RESIDE IN THE LAND OF THE UNITED STATES AND BE EQUALLY PROTECTED BY THE LAWS, ALLOWING HIM TO EXERCISE ALL SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM SO AS LONG AS HE CONFORMED TO THE DUE PROCESS MECHANISM OF THE COURT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS AND TITLES ARGUED, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH DID INDEED DO. THE FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH MAY OWN PROPERTY AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, EVEN PURSUANT TO THE F.S.I.A., WILL PROTECT HIS "PROPERTY", "RIGHTS", "TITLES", "PRIVILEGES" AND "IMMUNITIES". THE UNITED

STATES HAS SAID, WE AS A PEOPLE HAVE SAID TO THOSE OF FOREIGN NATIONS AND BIRTHS THAT THESE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES SHALL ASSURE YOU OF OUR GOOD FAITH THEY ARE THE WRITTEN SURETY TO YOU OF OUR PROUD BOAST THAT THE U.S. IS THE HAVEN OF REFUGE OF THE OPPRESSED OF MANKIND, BUT I CAN'T TELL BY THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT YOU CONTINUALLY ENGAGE IN. THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS, IN THIS INSTANCE VIA 28 U.S.C. § 2679 AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT CONNECTIONS, TO PROTECT THEIR PROPERTY AND PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE, THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF FIDUCIARY PROPHET, KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE ONE TRUE GOD WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON ALL GLOBAL COURTS AND NATIONS AND THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT VIA THESE CORRUPT, BASTARD, COCKROACH, GOING TO HELL, DEMON DOG JUDGES CANNOT IMPAIR THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WHO IS UNDER "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", OR HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL ON ACCOUNT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, VAN-HORNE'S-LESSEE-v.-DORRANCE, 2 U.S. 304(U.S.1795); PERRY-v.-U.S., 294 U.S. 330, 353(U.S.1935); LEIBERG-v.-VITANGELI, 70 OHIO App. 479, 47 N.E.2d. 235, 238-239(1942); McBURNEY-v.-YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); HENRY-v.-VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); SCHOENFELD-v.-SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273(2nd.Cir.2016); CARLISLE-v.-U.S., 83 U.S. 147, 154(U.S.1873).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED BY AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW AND OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE **"FOUNDATION"** OF THE **"WHOLE"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT AND OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS **VOID** AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO LAW OR ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS

ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR STATUTE AND OR ACT AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTS, BUT IS **WHOLLY VOID** AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE MAKING RULINGS IN CASES 2017-0002108, 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM, 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-01633-TLW-BM AND ALL RELATED STATE AND FEDERAL CASES, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE TIME OF ITS ENACTMENT AND OR WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE....IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE....SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR LAW AND OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (THERE IS NO DUTY TO REGARD IT AS VALID.), CONFERS NO RIGHTS (YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO THE FRAUD YOU PRODUCED AND YOU ARE IN FORFEITURE.), CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL, S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ETC.), BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON [EMPHASIS ADDED] (WHICH MEANS YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE CORRUPT, BASTARD, COCKROACHES OF JUDGES SINCE YOU VIOLATED YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE AND CONSPIRED WITHIN STATE COURTS WHERE YOU HAD NO JURISDICTION), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID BY USE OF THE PLRA AND OR THE AEDPA, ALSO FOR VIOLATING THE HOLDINGS UNDER EX PARTE VIRGINIA AND WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA AND THE ISSUES ARGUED RELATED TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE.)....A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE IN YOUR FRAUD UPON THE COURT YOU RATS AND SNAKES. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE AN EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW BEFORE YOUR FRAUD STANDS. THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE AEDPA, THE PLRA AND THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE WAS INITIATED STANDS, ALLOWING US TO JOINTLY FILE, SEEK CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION, ARGUE INDICTMENTS UNDER SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION ETC. STANDS.). INDEED INSOFAR AS A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION AND OR STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR ACT RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE, McBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, ALL CITED FRAUD CASES.), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY. NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL

DETERMINATION OR LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS (LIKE THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE), JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS, (LIKE WHAT YOU DID IN THESE CASES.), RULES, STATUTES (LIKE THE CLINTON BILL THAT PRODUCED THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA.) AND PRACTICES (LIKE YOUR FRAUD CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS TO SILENCE THE RESPONDENT AND THE UNITED STATES TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY ARE IN DEFAULT ESTABLISHING THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH'S SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON ALL GLOBAL COURTS AND NATIONS, TO ALSO CONCEAL YOUR NATIONS VIOLATING THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" BY GIVING GAYS AND LESBIANS THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY IN VIOLATION OF ALSO THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE AS ARGUED IN CASE 2017-0002108 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, AND IN VIOLATION OF THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION TO THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT.), WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE **"NULL"** AND **"VOID"**. WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AS IS FOR THE OTHER CASES TO ALLOW THESE ISSUES AND YOUR FRAUD TO BE APPROPRIATELY ADDRESSED, MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES v. UNITED STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARVONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp. 3d. 19 (2014); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES,--S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEFT OUTDOORS, LLC. v. CONSOLIDATION CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL v. SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir. MICH.); PEOPLE v. SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th. Cir.2016); IN RE: BARTLEY, 2016 WL 6068862(4th.Cir.2016); UNITED STATES v. DENEDO, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); UNITED STATES v. APPLE MAC PRO COMPUTER,--F3d.--, 2017 WL 1046105(3rd.Cir.2017); UNITED STATES v. GREGORY HOLT AKA ABDUL MAALIK MUHAMMAD, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D.La.2017); STERN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016); CLARKE v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 390294(N.C.2017).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN BINDING UPON THIS


COURT ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS LAW TO WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, THE FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES AND HIS DULY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, OFFICIALLY INVOKES AND EXERCISES BEFORE THIS COURT. THIS COCKROACH, BASTARD OF A JUDGE , CORRUPT PISS ANT AND HIS SLIME BALL SLUG OF A FLUNKY JUDGE MARCHANT, TERMINAL DISEASE STRICKEN BODIES GOING TO HELL JUDGES AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT NOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA NOR THE STATES OF THIS NATION NOR ANY OTHER NATION SINCE THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTIES TO THE DEFAULT AS WELL, CANNOT DIMINISH THE RIGHTS OF THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, AND HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, THE PEOPLE, ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT WHICH IS NOW LEGALLY PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND DUE PROCESS LAW. IT IS ESTABLISHED AS BOTH A "LIBERTY" AS A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH AND AS A "PRIVILEGE" BY WHAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE COURT BY THEIR FRAUD WHICH THESE BASTARD, CORRUPT, COCKROACHES OF JUDGES IN THESE CASES CONSPIRED TO CONCEAL IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. NO STATE SHALL CONVERT A LIBERTY INTO A PRIVILEGE, LICENSE IT, AND EXCHANGE A FEE THEREFOR, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THE UNITED STATES IS IN DEFAULT AND THE DECREE OF THE CROWN SUPERSEDE AND ESTABLISH THIS FACT FOR THESE CASES, MURDOCK v. PENNSYLVANIA, 319 U.S. 105 (U.S.1943).

YOUR LAWS AND JUDICIAL DETERMINATION CANNOT EXCEED THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAWGIVER, WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH INDEED IS BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. YOU HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO DECLINE THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION WHICH IS GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS BY THIS DOCUMENT, THAN TO USURP THAT WHICH IS NOT GIVEN. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WOULD BE TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. THE SEVERAL STATES LEGISLATORS AND THEIR JUDICIARIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THOSE COCKROACH, BASTARD, CORRUPT, DEMON DOGS OF JUDGES IN THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, ALL STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES ARE BOUND BY THEIR SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF AN OATH TO SUPPORT THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. IF YOU WILLFULLY LEGISLATE, OR GIVE A JUDICIAL


DETERMINATION VIOLATING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS LEGALLY ESTABLISHED THEREBY, YOU ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD, PERJURY AND OTHER FEDERAL AND OR STATE CRIMES WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION, STRIPPING YOU OF IMMUNTY, SUBJECTING YOU TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AS WELL AS DISMISSAL FOR ALSO BREACH OF THAT CONTRACT. I AM SURE THAT VILLAGE IDIOT RACIST, BASTARD, DAVID DUKES, KU KLUX KLANSMAN, DEMON DOG, DONALD DUCK, DUNCE TRUMP, YOUR TWIN BROTHER, WILL ENJOY PLACING OTHER JUDGES IN YOUR SPOTS. THAT FOOL LOVES THIS SORT OF THING YOU SODOMITE AND GOMORRAHRITE PROTECTING DEVILS. IF HE DON'T DO IT, BY SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY I WILL DO IT. I'LL SE YA' AT THE PARTY. THE LAWGIVER OF GOD HAS SPOKEN, COHEN-v.-VIRGINIA, (6 WHEAT) 19 U.S. 264 (U.S.1821). THE CLAIM TO EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS CANNOT BE CONVERTED INTO A CRIME. SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE NEW JERSEY AND OTHER STATES COURTS, THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS, BY THEIR ACTIONS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 HAS NOW ALSO CONVERTED THIS FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN LIBERTY INTO A NATIONAL AND GLOBAL PRIVILEGE, WHICH INDEED THEY HAVE. THE FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH, HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH, AND ALL THOSE WHOM HE APPOINTS, CAN ENGAGE IN THE RIGHTS WITH IMPUNITY. YET, IT IS STILL A FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN LIBERTY PROTECTED UNDER CONTRACT AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AND SOVEREIGN VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES, HURTADO v.-CALIFORNIA, 110 U.S. 516 (U.S.1884); MILLER-v.-UNITED-STATES, 230 F2d. 486, 489(5th.Cir.1956); SHUTTLES-WORTH-v.-BIRMINGHAM, 373 U.S. 262(U.S.1963); SHERER-v.-CULLEN, 481 F. 945, 946; MIRANDA-v.-ARIZONA, 384 U.S.436(U.S.1966); STAUB-v.-CITY-OF-BAXLEY, 355 U.S. 313(U.S.1958); UNITED-STATES-v.-JACKSON, 390 U.S. 570 (U.S.1968); UNITED-STATES-v.-ETENYI,--Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 6523328(10th.Cir.2017); EL-AMEEN-BEY-v.-STUMPF, 825 F.Supp.2d. 537 (D.N.J.2011). **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

RESPECTFULLY?,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, THE LION AND KHALIFAH OF ALLAH, CHIEF JUSTICE TO THE (4)

THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT



JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE (4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT



MARCH 9, 2018

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-001051

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-002108

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT(S)

Vs.

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND,

PETITIONER(S)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND
#290065 WANDO B-239
LIEBER C.I P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472
PETITIONER(S)

TABLE-OF-AUTHORITIES

FOR THE TABLE OF AUTHORITIES SEE EXHIBIT GOURDINE # 2 PAGES I THROUGH XI.

STATEMENT-OF-ISSUES-IN-CERTIORARI

(1) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, INCLUDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BEING MADE VOID FOR THAT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, DUE TO THE FRAUD RELATED TO THE STATE COMPROMISING MY HIRED COUNSEL AND JUDGE ALISON LEE SITTING ON THIS CASE WHEN SHE IS A DEFENDANT IN CASES PENDING BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT INVOLVING THE PETITIONER AND HIS CO-PETITIONERS, REQUIRING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO NOW ALLOW FULL REVIEW OF ALL ISSUES OF CONCERN THAT THE PETITIONER WAS DEPRIVED OF HEARING DUE TO THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXIST IN CASE 2014-001051?

(2) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR, AND ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION , AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 6th. AND 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, BY DENYING THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, ENTRY INTO THE APPEAL PROCESS TO PROTECT HIS ACQUIRED INTEREST UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT DUE TO THE LITIGATION PRESENTED IN BOTH EXHIBIT(S) "TRUSTEE" AND "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN" WHICH VOID THEIR JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION?

(3) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR, AND ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION, ACTING AS LEGISLATORS, NOT JUDGES, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 4th., 6th. AND 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, WHEN THE STATE WAS PERMITTED TO ESTABLISH THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY BY TESTIMONY ALONE, STRIPPING DEFENDANTS OF DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS WHERE THEIR ARE ALLEGATIONS OF PLANTING OF EVIDENCE, WHICH COULD HAVE BEEN DIMINISHED OR PREVENTED HAD THE STATE COURTS ADHERED TO THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS PLACED INTO EFFECT BY THE STATE LEGISLATORS, CAUSING EXTREME PREJUDICE TO THE PETITIONER'S DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE?

(4) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR IN THEIR DETERMINATION, AND DID THEY ABUSE THEIR DISCRETION, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 4th., 6th. AND 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED BY THE COURT(S) VALIDATING THE SEARCH WARRANT AS IS ARGUED IN EXHIBIT(S) "SEARCH WARRANTS #'S 1 AND 2?

(5) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th., 15th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOILATED, REGARDING THE INDICTMENT(S) AS CONSTRUCTED, BY THE LANGUAGE AND OR CHARGE CONTAINED THEREIN, WHICH DID CREATE AND OR FORM CONCLUSIVE PRESUMPTION(S), THAT TOOK AWAY THE PETITITIONER'S PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, AND AUTOMATICALLY SHIFT THE BURDEN OF PERSUASION TO THE DEFENDANT, IN REGARD TO THE CRUCIAL ELEMENTS OF DISPUTE, PREDETERMINING IN ADVANCE THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDINGS?

(6) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, BY THE INDICTMENT FOR TRAFFICKING COCAINE'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY ALLEGE THE DRUG AMOUNTS AND THE SUB SECTION THE PETITIONER IS SAID TO HAVE VIOLATED; THE INDICTMENT FOR POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE MARIJUANA'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY LIST THE DRUG AMOUNTS AND SUB SECTION ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN VIOLATED; THE INDICTMENT FOR POSSESSION OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A VIOLATE CRIME ALLEGING, "DID POSSESS A .45 CALI-

BER HANDGUN OR VISIBLY DISPLAY WHAT APPEARED TO BE A KNIFE DURING THE COMMISSION, OR ATTEMPTED COMMISSION, OF TRAFFICKING***", GIVING WAY TO CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT OF THE INDICTMENTS, IN THE BODY OF THE CHARGING INSTRUMENT?

(7) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, AS WELL AS RULES OF COURT, RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE S.C. CONSTITUTION, AS WELL AS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BEING MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, AND OR THEY WERE PROHIBITED FROM ENACTING AND OR INVOKING AND OR CONTINUING THEIR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTIONARY "[P]OWERS" DUE TO THE "[P]ROCEDURAL [D]EFECT" IN THAT THERE WAS NO "[W]RITTEN(EMPHASIS ADDED) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE OBTAINED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE V § 4 OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA CONSTITUTION?

(8) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, BY THE TRIAL COURT AND OR PLEA COURT ALLOWING CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT OF THE INDICTMENT(S) AT THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL AND OR PLEA HEARING?

(9) DID THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN STATE-v.-GENTRY-SUPRA ERR, ENGAGING KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, IN THEIR EFFORTS TO ESSENTIALLY ESTABLISH MODERN DAY SLAVERY IN VIOLATION OF THE ANTI-PEONAGE ACT, AND ABUSED THEIR DISCRETIONARY POWERS, WHICH THEY EVEN CONSPIRED TO TAKE AWAY OUR RIGHT TO VOTE, AND OR DONE SO SURREPTITIOUSLY, IN VIOLATION OF THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT. AND IN SUCH, THE COURT'S JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, AND THE COURT WAS PROHIBITED FROM ENACTING AND OR INVOKING AND OR CONTINUING ITS SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTIONARY POWERS, EVEN THOUGH THEY DO INDEED POSSESS SUCH POWER VIA STATE LEGISLATIVE STATUTES AND OR CONGRESSIONAL INTENT, DUE TO THE INDICTMENT DEFECTS ARGUED WITHIN THIS CASE, IN VIOLATION OF THE PETITIONER'S 4th., 5th., 6th., 13th., 14th., 15th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITU-

TION, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, ALSO 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3), 1986; 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 1001 AND THE LAWS OF DUE PROCESS?

(10) DID THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ERR, AND ABUSED ITS DISCRETION, AND WAS THE PETITIONER AS A BENEFICIARY OF "THE TRUST", AND THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AS A BENEFICIARY OF "THE TRUST", 1st., 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, ALSO THEIR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, AS WELL AS THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, ALSO ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, BY THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA VIA THE SUPREME COURT, PERMITTING SAME SEX MARRIAGE, WHEN SUCH WAS GIVEN TO THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS THAT IS UNDER "CONTRACT" VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION, WHERE SUCH ACTION VIOLATE THE TERMS AND WOULD BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS; AND MUST ALL RELIEF SOUGHT VIA THE WRIT OF COMMISSION BE GRANTED?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON JUNE 3, 2011, THE PETITIONER WAS ARRESTED AND CHARGED WITH TRAFFICKINGCOCAINE 28g-100g S.C. CODE ANN § 44-53-370, PWID MARIJUANA S.C. CODE ANN § 44-53-370, UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A VIOLENT CRIME S.C. CODE ANN § 16-23-490, UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF A FIREARM BY A CONVICTED FELON S.C. CODE ANN. § 16-23-30, AND POSSESSION OF A STOLEN FIREARM S.C. CODE ANN. 16-23-30. AT THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS , CONVENED ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2011, THE PETITIONER WAS INDICTED ON THE ABOVE CHARGES BY A GRAND JURY. THE HONORABLE JUDGE ROGERS M. YOUNG SR. PRESIDED OVER A JURY TRIAL ON MAY 12-13, 2014.

THE PETITIONER MOVED TO SUPPRESS THE SEARCH WARRANT ON THE BASIS THAT IT DID NOT GIVE THE MAGISTRATE INFORMATION SUFFI-

CIENT TO RISE TO THE LEVEL OF PROBABLE CAUSE, AND FURTHER, THAT IT CONTAINED AN ERROR SO EGREGIOUS THAT IT FAILED AS A MATTER OF LAW. (TT.PP.70, 71). THE COURT DENIED THE PETITIONER'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS BASED ON THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES GIVING RISE TO THE LEVEL OF PROBABLE CAUSE REQUIRED. (TT.PP.74, 75).

THE PETITIONER MOVED FOR A DIRECT VERDICT AT THE CLOSE OF THE SATE'S CASE, ASSERTING THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED DID NOT SUFFICE TO CREATE A JURY ISSUE AS TO CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION. (TT.PP.47, 52). THE COURT DIRECTED A VERDICT OF NOT GUILTY ON THE CHARGE OF POSSESSION OF A STOLEN FIREARM S.C. CODE ANN. § 16-23-30, BUT DENIED THE PETITIONER'S DIRECT VERDICT MOTION REGARDING THE REMAINING (3) CHARGES ON THE BASIS THAT THERE WAS SUFFICIENT CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH WOULD SUPPORT A CONVICTION BASED ON CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION OF THE DRUGS AND A GUN DURING THE COMMISSION OF A VIOLENT CRIME. (TT.PP. 219).

THE JURY FOUND THE PETITIONER GUILTY OF TRAFFICKING COCAINE 28g.-100g. S.C. CODE ANN. § 44-53-370, PWID MARIJUANA S.C. CODE ANN. § 44-53-370, AND UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A VIOLENT CRIME S.C. CODE ANN. § 16-23-490 AND SENTENCED THE PETITIONER TO (20) YEARS IN PRISON. (TT.PP.280, 281).

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER RENEWED HIS PRETRIAL MOTIONS AND DIRECT VERDICT MOTION, AND PETITIONER ALSO MADE ADDITIONAL POST-TRIAL MOTIONS ON HIS OWN ACCORD. (TT.PP. 271-274, 281). THE PETITIONER FILED HIS NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAL ON MAY 15, 2014.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

THE S.C. SUPREME COURT HAS BEFORE IT WHAT MAY POTENTIALLY BE THE LARGEST, MOST COMPLEX, MOST EXTRAORDINARY CASE IN EARTH'S JUDICIAL HISTORY. ONE DEALING WITH THE EMERGENCE OF THE RIGHTFUL LOST FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST TO THE (4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE FULFILLING OF JEWISH, ISLAMIC AND CHRISTIAN PROPHESY, WHO WAS FRAMED FOR THE MURDER OF HIS OWN CHILD BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED BECAUSE HE MADE THE AFORE SAID CLAIMS PRESENTED WITHIN THIS CASE. IT IS STATED UNDER LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT THAT THIS MAN FORETOLD TO COME BY THOSE RELIGIOUS PROPHESIES WOULD ESTABLISH THE KINGDOM, PURGING ALL GLOBAL BELIEVERS IN PREPARATION FOR CHRIST'S RETURN AS HIS FORERUNNER; THIS KINGDOM ALSO FORETOLD IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL CHAPTER 3. HE IS WHOM THE CHRISTIANS CALL "THE ELIJAH" OF BIBLE PROPHESY; WHOM THE MUSLIMS CALL "THE MAHDI"(MEANING THE GUIDED ONE), WHO WILL BE LED TO THE RESTING PLACE OF THE "ARK OF THE COVENANT" AND RE-BUILD THE TEMPLE IN JERUSALEM AND RULE UPON THE THRONE OF KING DAVID OF ISRAEL AS HIS DIRECT DESCENDANT. BY THE AFORESAID PROPHESIES, IT WAS ALSO FORETOLD THAT HE WOULD CAUSE THE PRISON DOORS TO OPEN AND PROCLAIM A DAY OF LIBERTY FOR THE CAPTIVES AS IS WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 61:1-3. THIS IS WHAT THE LEGAL ISSUES NOW PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT REPRESENT, TO INCLUDE ALL OTHER MATTERS RELATED THERETO. HE RESTORES ALL THINGS.

DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY AND NOVEL CLAIMS MADE WITHIN THIS CASE, AN UNPRECEDENTED EVENT. YOU HAVE OUR STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, COMPROMISING AND INFLUENCING THE PETITIONER'S HIRED ATTORNEY AND JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. APPEALS COURT, WITH THE AID OF OTHER STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS DOING EVERY THING IN THEIR POWER, EVEN CRIMINAL ACTS, TO PREVENT WHAT THEY KNOW IS THE REALIZATION OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY FROM MANIFESTING ITSELF BEFORE THE WORLD COMPROMISING THE PETITIONER'S DIRECT

APPEAL. ADDITIONALLY, THEY ATTACKED THE OTHER INMATES CASES AND DUE PROCESS MATTERS INVOLVED, IN ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, BECAUSE THE PETITIONER AND THEY SOUGHT TO AID THE KING-KHALIFAH HAVE THE LEGAL ISSUES HEARD EVEN WITHIN OUR OWN PENDING CRIMINAL CASES AS BENEFICIARIES OF "THE TRUST". AS THE RIGHTFUL FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH, PROPHET, LAWGIVER, ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION, PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, HE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, HAS THE LEGAL RIGHT AND AUTHORITY TO END SAME SEX MARRIAGE GLOBALLY, BEING HIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BY INHERITANCE, WHICH IS ALSO WHY THE STATE ACTORS AND JUDGES ENGAGED IN THE FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION WITHIN MY DIRECT APPEAL TO PREVENT THIS ISSUE AND OTHER LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY FROM BEING HEARD. THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE OR UNMAKE THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WITH EX POST FACTO LAW. WRIT OF COMMISSION IS GIVEN TO ME. AS ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL. I NOT ONLY ADDRESS ALL ISSUES RELATED TO MY CASE, BUT ALSO ALL OTHER MATTERS RELATED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL PETITIONERS THAT ARE PARTIES WITHIN THESE RELATED STATE AND OR FEDERAL CASES. CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION IS DEMANDED AS WELL.

JUDGE ALISON LEE CAME FROM PRESIDING OVER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO GET A SECOND OPPORTUNITY TO ATTACK THESE CASES WHEN SHE FAILED IN THE RICHLAND COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WHERE SHE IS A DEFENDANT WITHIN THE PENDING FEDERAL CASES CREATING A CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR WHICH VOIDS THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, DUE TO THERE BEING NO WAY IN TELLING WHAT SORT OR THE MAGNITUDE OF THE INFLUENCE SHE HAD UPON THE DECISIONS OF THAT COURT AND JUDGES, CORRUPTING THE FOUNDATION AND WHOLE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, PLACING THE STATE IN FORFEITURE OF THE CAUSES PRESENTED BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT, REQUIRING THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT NOW ADDRESS ALL MATTERS AS IF NO REVIEW AT ALL OCCURRED IN THE S.C. APPEALS COURT DUE TO THE FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL ERROR. INASMUCH, (1) THERE ARE NOVEL

QUESTIONS OF LAW IN THIS CASE; (2) THERE IS A DISSENT BEING ARGUED INVOLVING JUDGE PLECONES OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT RELATED TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE, WHERE FACTS NOW CLEARLY ESTABLISHED WOULD SERVE TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT JUDGE PLECONES WAS CORRECT IN HIS DISSENT. WE ARE ARGUING AGAINST THE PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE; (3) THERE ARE SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS INVOLVED WHERE WE ARE ARGUING AGAINST SEVERAL OTHER PRECEDENT SETTING CASES; (4) THERE ARE FEDERAL QUESTIONS INVOLVED, SUCH AS THOSE PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND WHERE THE ACTS OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE OF THE HOLDINGS MADE BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT UNDER WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016). THUS, THE GRANTING OF THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI BECOMES MANDATORY ESTABLISHING THIS CASE BEFORE THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT.

BY MY COMMISSION AS NEWLY APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, WITH ALL SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN. I, JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND, AM COMMANDED BY WRIT OF THE KING-KHALIFAH TO BRING THESE MATTERS BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN ORDER THAT ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND DECREES OF THE KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES WHOSE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WHERE YOUR ATTORNEY APPEARED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND FAILED TO TIMELY RESPOND TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION AS ALSO DID THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S ATTORNEY WHO ARE ONE IN THE SAME. ALL RIGHTS, TITLES AND PRIVILEGES ARE NOW PROTECTED BY THE DEFAULT AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE MANDATES OF DUE PROCESS LAW.

INSOMUCH, THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REPETITIVE OR REDUNDANT PRODUCING EXTENSIVE LITIGATION, UNNECESSARILY BURDENING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WITH SUCH REDUNDANT PLEADING WHEN THE PETITIONER FEELS THAT THE BEST AND MOST EXPEDIENT METHOD FOR ADDRESSING IS SIMPLY TO MAKE A SHORT AND CONCISE REFERENCE TO THE ISSUES, SUMMARIZING OF EACH OF THE ISSUES SOUGHT TO BE ADDRESSED AND THEN REFER THE COURT TO THE FULL LITIGATION THAT

IS EMBODIED WITHIN THE EXHIBITS CONTAINED WITHIN THE APPENDIX. THE PETITIONER FEELS ADOPTING THIS METHOD WOULD BE BEST FOR EXPEDIENCY SAKE.

THE PETITIONER(S) GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES FURTHER JUDICIAL NOTICE. BY WHAT IS ARGUED WITHIN ITEM # (6) EXHIBIT "INJUNCTION". THERE IS STATE INTERFERENCE AND WE ARE RESTRICTED IN OBTAINING COPIES IN ACTS OF CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WHICH RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. THEREFORE, A COPY OF THE EXHIBITS LISTED WITHIN THE APPENDIX IS FILED WITH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, BUT WERE NOT DIRECTLY SERVED ON THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND APPENDIX MINUS THE EXHIBITS ARE INDEED SERVED UPON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. DUE TO THE STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL PRODUCING EXTREME PREJUDICE. THE DOCUMENTS SERVED ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ARE SERVED IN LIEN OF THE EXHIBITS GIVING YOU NOTICE OF THEIR EXISTENCE AND FILING WITH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WHERE YOU MUST NOW OBTAIN COPY ELECTRONICALLY AND WE MOTION THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CLERK SEND COPY OF THE EXHIBITS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ELECTRONICALLY. MANY OF THESE EXHIBITS HAVE ALREADY BEEN SERVED UPON THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL VIA THE OTHER PENDING STATE AND OR FEDERAL CASES, AS WELL AS THE david duren CASE UNDER 2017-000605 IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. THUS, THE APPENDIX SERVED UPON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MUST BE DEEMED SUFFICIENT NOTICE ALLOWING HIM TO OBTAIN ANY NEEDED COPY ELECTRONICALLY DUE TO THE STATE INTERFERENCE THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL HIMSELF IS CONSPIRING IN. SEE EXHIBIT "INJUNCTION". ALSO SEE, HODGKINS v. PETERSON, F.Supp.2d., 2000 WL 33128726 (S.D.Ind.2000); UNITED STATES v. SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS v. WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813 (D.C.Pa.2014).

ARGUMENT

(1) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, INCLUDING THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BEING MADE VOID FOR THAT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, DUE TO THE FRAUD RELATED TO THE STATE COMPROMISING MY HIRED COUNSEL AND JUDGE ALISON LEE SITTING ON THIS CASE WHEN SHE IS A DEFENDANT IN CASES PENDING BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT INVOLVING THE PETITIONER AND HIS CO-PETITIONERS, REQUIRING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO NOW ALLOW FULL REVIEW OF ALL ISSUES OF CONCERN THAT THE PETITIONER WAS DEPRIVED OF HEARING DUE TO THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXIST IN CASE 2014-001051?

BY THIS FIASCO OF A DIRECT APPEAL IN THE APPEALS COURT, YOU HAVE ONE OF THE MOST OUTRAGEOUS, FRAUDULENT PROCEEDINGS OCCURRING IN THIS STATE'S HISTORY. DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THIS CASE. YOU HAVE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, WITH THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES BEING PARTY TO IT, THIS INCLUDES WITH THE SUPPORT OF OTHER STATE, FEDERAL EVEN POTENTIALLY INTERNATIONAL PARTIES, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, TO PREVENT WHAT THEY KNOW IS THE REALIZATION OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY FROM OCCURRING, IN ACTS OF MACHINATION, FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS. THE PARTIES CONSPIRED TO PREVENT THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY FROM OBTAINING FAIR AND PROPER REVIEW WITHIN MY DIRECT APPEAL, DENYING THE PETITIONER THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS, DICKENS v. AETNA LIFE INS. CO., 677 F3d. 228 CA4 (W.Va.2012); U.S. v. BANK OF AMERICA CORP., F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 2777397(N.C.2014); MINA v. CHESTER COUNTY, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 6550543(2015); BODMAN v. STATE, 403 S.C. 60, 742 S.E.2d. 363(S.C.2013); MARSHALL v. CITY OF ROCK HILL, S.E.2d., 2015 WL 3884258(S.C.App.2015); RAUL ADAMS v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; U.S. v. HARE, 820 F3d. 93(4th. Cir.2016); STATE v. FERGUSON, 221 S.C. 300, 70 S.E.2d. 355(S.C.

1952); U.S. v. TEJADA, 445 Fed. Appx' 719, 2011 WL 3891825 CA4 (S.C.2011).

THIS IS WHAT HAS TAKEN PLACE HERE. ATTORNEY PEPPER WAS RECENTLY CAUGHT AND ARRESTED ON A DRUG CHARGE HIMSELF. FROM WHAT THE PETITIONER COULD GATHER FROM THE EVENING NEWS, IT WAS COCAINE RELATED. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND THE S.C. APPEALS COURT JUDGES BEING PRIVY TO IT, MAKES A CONCEALED, COVERT DEAL WITH ATTORNEY PEPPER, TO BE LENIENT AND OR DISMISS WHATEVER CHARGES HE HAS PENDING IF THE ATTORNEY FOR THE PETITIONER AID THEM IN THROWING THE PETITIONER UNDER THE BUS ON HIS DIRECT APPEAL TO PREVENT REVIEW OF THE LEGAL ISSUES DUE TO THE MAGNITUDE OF WHAT IS BEING ARGUED BEFORE THIS COURT. I OBJECT. THEY THEN BRING JUDGE ALISON LEE UP TO THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, PROMOTING HER FROM THE RICHLAND COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, WHO SAT ON THE VERY CASE WHICH IS AT THE HEART OF THE CONTROVERSY IN THESE MATTERS, SPECIFICALLY CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, WHERE SHE DROPPED THE BALL ON THAT CASE LEADING TO THE DEFAULT TO WHICH WE ARE EXERCISING, AND WHERE SHE IS NOW A DEFENDANT IN THE PENDING FEDERAL CASES ADDRESSING THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL ACTS DONE WITHIN THOSE PROCEEDINGS AND WITHIN OTHER JURISDICTIONS TO WHICH SHE HAD NO POWER OR AUTHORITY TO ACT. NOW IN ACTS OF MACHINATION AND CONSPIRACY, SHE ENTERS THE JURISDICTION OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS IN EFFORTS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND CORRECT HER FAILURE IN THE RICHLAND COURT, TO PREVENT REVIEW OF THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHESY AND AID THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO PREVENT AND OR HINDER SUCH REVIEW WHEN SHE IS A DEFENDANT IN CASE 9:17-cv-2897-TLW-BM, THE VERY CASE THAT CASE 2017-002108 IS PETITIONED REMOVED TO, WHICH INCLUDE THE OTHER PENDING FEDERAL PARALLEL CASES. THIS IS OUTRAGEOUS AND AN EGREGIOUS ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND CONSPIRACY, IN THEIR EFFORTS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW AND 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. COMPROMISING MY HIRED ATTORNEY TO INVOLVE HIM IN THEIR MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE VIOLATES THE CODE OF PROFESSIONAL ETHICS AND DEFIES JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS CREATING A MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE, GRAHAM v. McFADDEN, 2015 WL 505536(DSC.2015); FOSTER v. REYNOLDS, 2015 WL 5920736(DSC.2015); STATE v. HENDERSON,

205 Kan. 231, 468 P.2d. 136(1970); PEOPLE v. BLALOCK, 197 COLO. 320, 592 P.2d. 406(1979); STRICKLAND v. WASHINGTON, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d. 674(1984); WILLIAM v. TAYLOR, 529 U.S. 362, 391, 120 S.Ct. 1495, 146 L.Ed.2d. 389(2000); UNITED STATES v. ECHLES, 352 F2d. 892(7th.Cir.1965); U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); STATE v. BRANDT, 393 S.C. 526, 713 S.E.2d. 591(S.C.2011); NORTH AMERICAN RESCUE PRODUCTS, INC. v. RICHARDSON, 411 S.C. 371, 769 S.E.2d. 237(S.C. 2015); BARLOW v. COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014).

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS UNEQUIVOCAL WHEN IT COME TO THE ISSUE OF JUDGE LEE SITTING UPON THE PETITIONER'S DIRECT APPEAL. THE COURT DETERMINED:

"NO MAN CAN TRY A CASE TO WHICH HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME. EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS WAS ONLY **"ONE OUT OF MANY"** EXAMPLES THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS REFERRING TO AND ADDRESSING. WHEN THE OBJECTIVE RISK OF ACTUAL BIAS **"OF ANY KIND"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, THE FAILURE TO RECUSE IS **"[N]OT"** A HARMLESS ERROR BECOMING STRUCTURAL IN NATURE WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION". JUDGE LEE SHOULD HAVE RECUSED HERSELF THE MINUTE SHE SAW THE KING-KHALIFAH'S NAME CONNECTED TO MY APPEAL IN ANY MANNER.

WATCH THE LANGUAGE FURTHER USED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. "ON THE QUESTION OF RECUSAL, THE COURT ASK **"[N]OT"** WHETHER A JUDGE HARBORS AN ACTUAL BIAS (FOR EXAMPLE, JUDICIAL BIAS OR PERSONAL BIAS OR EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS) BUT **"INSTEAD"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) WHETHER, AS AN OBJECTIVE MATTER THERE IS A **"[P]OTENTIAL"** FOR BIAS OF **"ANY KIND"**(EMPHASIS ADDED) THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL", WHICH IS PERSPICUOUS THAT SUCH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL DOES EXIST IN THIS CASE VOIDING THE S.C. APPEALS COURT'S JURISDICTION, CAPERTON v. A.T. MASSEY COAL CO., INC., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208(U.S. 2009); JOHNSON v. STEVENSON, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); KENWOOD GARDENS CONDOMINIUMS, INC. v. WHALEN PROPERTIES, LLC., 2016 WL 6788052, * 11+ (Md.2016).

INASMUCH, DUE TO THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, THE PETITIONER'S DIRECT APPEAL STANDS IN BLATANT VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT UNDER WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016). THUS, THE PETITIONER AND THE KING-KHALIFAH DEMAND SANCTIONS AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE ON ALL ISSUES, CLAIMS AND DEFENSES ARGUED BY THE PETITIONER AND HIS CO-PETITIONERS FOR THE SAKE OF JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT MUST NOW MAKE AND GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT ACTING UPON ITS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION, GRANT ALL RELIEF AND GIVE REVIEW AS IF NO REVIEW OCCURRED IN THE S.C. APPEALS COURT AT ALL WHERE BY THEIR ACTS OF MACHINATION REVIEW WITHIN THAT COURT IS NO LONGER REQUIRED, SEE ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (2016). THEREBY THESE ISSUES ARE NOW LEGALLY ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, SOUTH-EASTERN-SITE-PREP., LLC. v. ATLANTIC-COAST-BUILDERS-AND-CONTRACTORS, LLC., 394 S.C. 97, 713 S.E.2d 650(S.C.App.2011); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC. v. AL-TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S. v. HACKLEY, 662 F3d. 671 CA4 (Va.2011); PCS-NITROGEN, INC. v. ROSS-DEVELOPMENT-CORP., 127 F.Supp.3d. 611(DSC.2015); CROUCH-CONST. CO. INC. v. CAUSEY, 405 S.C. 155, 747 S.E.2d. 482(S.C.2013); MASSI v. WASHINGTON-CO., 2013 WL 5410810(DSC.2013).

(2) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR, AND ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION , AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 6th. AND 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, BY DENYING THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, ENTRY INTO THE APPEAL PROCESS TO PROTECT HIS ACQUIRED INTEREST UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT DUE TO THE LITIGATION PRESENTED IN EXHIBIT(S) "TRUSTEE", "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN", GOURDINE #'S 1 AND 2", WHICH VOID THEIR JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION?

THE PETITIONER BRINGS THE COURT AND PARTIES ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT(S) "GOURDINE #'S 1 AND 2", WITH EMPHASIS ON EXHIBIT GOURDINE # 2 PAGES [45] THROUGH [50] ISSUE # 5 OF THE GOURDINE

BRIEF. ALSO EXHIBIT(S) "TRUSTEE", AS WELL AS "FOREIGN SOVERIGN" PAGES [36] THROGH [70]. EVEN THOUGH THE GOURDINE BRIEF ARGUES HOW HE WAS DENIED COUNSEL OF CHOICE AT CRITICAL STAGES OF HIS PROCEEDING. THE SAME APPLIES DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER IN THE FORM OF DENIAL OF COUNSEL OF CHOICE VIA THE FIDUCIARY HEIR WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS BINDING ON THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COURTS IN THEIR TOTALITY DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 ALSO PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION WHERE BY THIS DENIAL THE COURT BURDENED THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT, VIOLATING DUE PROCESS LAW, VOIDING THEIR JURISDICTION FOR THAT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. DUE TO THE DEVELOPMENT WHERE THEY COMPROMISED MY OTHER HIRED COUNSEL. THIS PREJUDICED THE PETITIONER CAUSING IRREPARABLE HARM TO THE DIRECT APPEAL PROCESS. THE DOCUMENTS AFORE MENTIONED AS EXHIBITS ARE SUFFICIENT TO SUBSTANTIATE AND ARGUE THIS ISSUE BY THE LITIGATION CONTAINED THEREIN.

(3) DID THE APPEALS COURT AND OTHER STATE COURTS ERR, AND ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION, ACTING AS LEGISLATORS, NOT JUDGES, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 4th., 6th. 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, WHEN THE STATE WAS PERMITTED TO ESTABLISH THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY BY TESTIMONY ALONE, STRIPPING DEFENDANTS OF DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS WHERE THERE ARE ALLEGATIONS OF PLANTING OF EVIDENCE, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN DIMINISHED OR PREVENTED HAD THE STATE COURTS ADHERED TO THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS PLACED INTO EFFECT BY THE STATE LEGISLATORS, CAUSING EXTREME PREJUDICE TO THE PETITIONER'S DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE?

INSOMUCH, IN THE CASE OF WILEY v. CITY OF CHICAGO, 361 F3d. 994(7th.Cir.2004). YOU HAVE OFFICERS PLANTING DRUGS TO FRAME A PERSON FOR A CRIME WHICH WAS ALSO REPEATED PATTERN OF OFFICER BRODERICK INVOLVING DEFENDANTS IN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS. THIS PATTERN OF PLANTING EVIDENCE CONTINUED UNTIL THIS PRESENT DATE NATIONALLY WHERE IN COOK COUNTY ILLINOIS SGT. RONALD WATTS AND HIS CREW BETWEEN 2003-2008 FRAMED DEFENDANTS BY PLANTING

DRUGS ON THEM. THIS IS A COMMON PRACTICE BY POLICE OFFICERS NATIONALLY. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONE STATE DROPPED (16) CASES THE WEEK OF NOVEMBER 13, 2017 WHERE DRUGS WERE PLANTED ON THOSE DEFENDANTS BY STATE OFFICERS.

IN THE STATE OF BALTIMORE MD. ON NOVEMBER 20, 2016 VIDEO SHOWED OFFICERS PLANTING DRUGS AND OTHER EVIDENCE ON SHAMERE COLLINS WHERE THAT CASE HAD TO BE DROPPED. BALTIMORE POLICE COMMISSIONER KEVEN DAVIS SAID THIS WAS A CONSTANT PATTERN THAT HAD TO BE CORRECTED. MARILYN J. MOSBY, THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BALTIMORE ON JANUARY 24, 2017 RELEASED VIDEO DISMISSING DOZENS OF CASES SHOWING OFFICERS PLANTING EVIDENCE. YOU HAVE VIDEO BEING SHOWN NATIONALLY OF OFFICERS PLANTING GUNS AND KNIVES AFTER KILLING INNOCENT VICTIMS.

IN OTHER RELATED NEWS, NOT FAKE NEWS, YOU HAVE OFFICERS IN VIDEO, SCHEDULED TO PARTICIPATE IN OVER (123) CASES WHICH HINGED SOLELY ON OFFICER TESTIMONY, WHERE THOSE CASES HAD TO BE THROWN OUT IN BALTIMORE DUE TO CREDIBILITY ISSUES AND THE PLANTING OF EVIDENCE. ITS UBIQUITOUS. SIMILAR CASES ARE OCCURRING IN NEW YORK, NEW JERSEY, PHILADELPHIA, CALIFORNIA, TEXAS AND THE LIST GOES ON AND ON. SIMILAR EVENTS HAPPENED IN THE PETITIONER'S CASE. DUE TO THE STATE COURTS GOING AGAINST THE STATUTES SET INTO PLACE TO ADDRESS THIS CONSTANT THREAT OF ARBITRARY STATE ACTION BY REQUIRING THAT THE CHAIN BE ESTABLISHED BY SIGNED AND NOTARIZED FORMS. THE PETITIONER WAS PREJUDICED IN HIS ABILITY TO ESTABLISH THE CLAIM OF PLANTING OF EVIDENCE DUE TO THE COURTS ASSERTING THAT THE CHAIN CAN BE ESTABLISHED BY TESTIMONY ALONE. THIS STRIPPED ME OF PROTECTIONS PLACED AROUND ME BY THE STATE LEGISLATORS CAUSING SEVERE DAMAGE WHERE THE STATE COURTS ACTED AS LEGISLATORS, NOT JUDGES, GOING AGAINST THE LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND PROTECTION PLACED UPON THE PETITIONER BY THE STATUTE, DENYING ME THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. THE CLAIM AND LITIGATION FOR THIS ISSUE IS FURTHER SEEN IN EXHIBIT(S) "CHAIN OF CUSTODY 1 AND 2".

(4) DID THE APPEALS COURT ERR IN THEIR DETERMINATION, AND DID THEY ABUSE THEIR DISCRETION, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 4th., 6th., AND 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED BY THE COURT(S) VALIDATING THE SEARCH WARRANT AS IS ARGUED IN EXHIBIT(S), "SEARCH WARRANT #'S 1 AND 2"?

INASMUCH, IT IS THE PETITIONER'S CONTENTION THAT THE COURT(S) ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION BY NOT INVALIDATING THE SEARCH WARRANT THEREBY ALLOWING THE EVIDENCE TO ENTER INTO THE COURT RECORD. IMMEDIATELY AFTER TRIAL THE JUDGE MADE HIS DETERMINATION AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES TO VALIDATE THE SEARCH WARRANT AND MAKE HIS FINAL RULING PROBABLE CAUSE EXISTED, WHICH ALLOWED THE EVIDENCE TO COME IN. (SEE TT.PP. 48-49).

SUBSEQUENTLY, AFTER THE SUPPRESSION HEARING THE PROSECUTION'S OPENING ARGUMENT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE HEARING CALLED UPON HER FIRST WITNESS INV. COBB (JENNIFER HABBSTAD) FOR TESTIMONY AND PRESENTMENT OF EVIDENCE. (SEE TT.PP.53). THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL LAWYER PROPERLY OBJECTED TO THE INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE AND LAID THE FOUNDATION THAT THE STATE HAS NOT ESTABLISHED THE CHAIN OF EVIDENCE ON THE GROUNDS INV. COBB'S TESTIMONY WAS "HEARSAY" AND WAS INSUFFICIENT BECAUSE SHE WAS THE RECOVERY AGENT AT THE END OF THE CHAIN AND NOT THE OFFICER WHO FOUND THE SEIZED DRUGS. (SEE TT.PP. 57, 59-62, 67).

BY THESE EVENTS THAT OCCURRED BEFORE THE COURT, THE COURT THEREBY MADE A RULING OF LAW ON THIS ISSUE DETERMINING THAT THE DRUGS COULD NOT COME INTO EVIDENCE RIGHT NOW UNTIL SOMEBODY COULD COME IN HERE AND SAY THEY PICKED UP THE DRUGS AND HANDED IT TO HER. (SEE TT.PP. 62).

THUS, BY THIS ACTION, THE JUDGE DID INDEED MAKE A RULING ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE CHAIN WAS INSUFFICIENT AND NOT PROPERLY ESTABLISHED AS FACTS OF EVIDENCE, BY THE TRIAL JUDGE'S OWN DETERMINATION.

THEN THE STATE RESTED AND THE COURT PROCEEDINGS STOPPED

AND ABOUT AN HOUR LATER THE PROSECUTION CALLED UPON DET. OLSON AND DET. SUMNER TO PROVIDE TESTIMONY WHERE THERE IS QUESTION OF PLANTING OF EVIDENCE OR THEY BEING ACTUALLY THE ONE WHO FIRST FOUND THE EVIDENCE AND THERE WAS NO INITIAL FORM SIGNED TO SUBSTANTIATE OR AID IN PROVING EITHER PRODUCING THIS MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE. SGT. BRANDON RATCLIFF, PARTY TO THE WARRANT, WAS DEMOTED AS A NARCOTIC AGENT FOR POLICE MISCONDUCT SIMILAR TO THE BALTIMORE AND ILLINOIS OFFICERS JUSTIFYING THE CLAIM HE POTENTIALLY LIED TO OBTAIN THE WARRANT IN THE FIRST PLACE WHICH GIVES FURTHER REASON AS TO WHY THEY FAILED TO INITIATE THE PROPER FORMS IN DISCOVERY OF THE ALLEGED DRUGS. THIS DEMOTION OCCURRED A YEAR BEFORE I WENT TO TRIAL.

NEVERTHELESS, LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT THE JUDGE DID INDEED MAKE A RULING ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE EVIDENCE BY INSTRUCTING THE PROSECUTOR INSOMUCH THAT THE CHAIN WAS INSUFFICIENT. AND BY THESE TWO ELEMENTS BEING ESTABLISHED THAT THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL LAWYER PROPERLY OBJECTED TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE EVIDENCE AND TO THE HEARSAY OF THE FIRST WITNESS TESTIMONY NOT ESTABLISHING THE CHAIN BECAUSE SHE DID NOT FIND THE DRUGS, AND THEN FOR THE JUDGE TO MAKE A RULING ON TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE EVIDENCE TO BE INSUFFICIENT WHEN THE PROSECUTION TRIED TO HAVE THE DRUGS ADMITTED WITHOUT ESTABLISHING THE CHAIN. WITH ALL THAT BEING SAID THE PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY SUBSEQUENT TO THE OTHER OFFICERS TESTIMONY AFTER THE JUDGE ALREADY MADE HIS RULING ON THE ADMISSIBILITY PRIOR, WAS NOT REQUIRED TO CONTINUALLY KEEP OBJECTING BECAUSE THE ATTORNEY OBJECTED (3) DIFFERENT TIMES TO THE INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE WHICH CAUSED THE JUDGE TO MAKE A RULING ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE EVIDENCE. THEREFORE, THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL LAWYER WAS NOT REQUIRED TO RENEW HIS OBJECTION TO THE DRUGS BEING ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AND THE ISSUE, AND THE TWO ELEMENTS STANDING ALONE PRESERVE THE ISSUE FOR REVIEW ON APPEAL. LASTLY, IT IS THE PETITIONER'S CLAIM THAT BY SUCH INJUSTICE, HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED WHERE THIS MATTER RELATED TO THE SEARCH WARRANT AND EVIDENCE BECOMES JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE BEING ARGUED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND DO NOT HAVE TO BE PRE-

SERVED BY A TIMELY OBJECTION, VOIDING JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, WHICH CAN BE RAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME ON APPEAL, STATE v. TRAPP, 420 S.C. 217, 801 S.E.2d. 742(S.C.2017); SOUTH CAROLINA DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES v. TRAN, 418 S.C. 308, 792 S.E.2d. 254(S.C.App.2016); U.S. v. $41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280 (DSC.2014); U.S. v. ALADEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); BROWN v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398(DSC.2014); STATE v. WOOD, NO. 3900 Dec. 6, 2004(S.C.Ct.App.); STATE v. MUELLER, 319 S.C. 266 (Ct.App.1995); STATE v. FORRESTER, 343 S.C. at 642, 541 S.E.2d. at 840; STATE v. WILES, 383 S.C. 151(2009); RENO v. RENO, 249 Ga. 855(1982); STATE v. MOORE, 357 S.C. 458(2004).

(5) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 13th., 14th., 15th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, REGARDING THE INDICTMENT(S) AS CONSTRUCTED, BY THE LANGUAGE AND OR CHARGE CONTAINED THEREIN, WHICH DID CREATE AND OR FORM CONCLUSIVE PRESUMPTION(S), THAT TOOK AWAY THE PETITIONER'S PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, AND AUTOMATICALLY SHIFT THE BURDEN OF PERSUASION TO THE DEFENDANT, IN REGARD TO THE CRUCIAL ELEMENTS OF DISPUTE, PREDETERMINING IN ADVANCE THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDINGS?

THIS ISSUE AND LITIGATION IS SEEN WITHIN EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2"ON PAGES (1) THROUGH (21). THIS ISSUES APPLIES TO MY CASE, THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ALL CO-PETITIONERS. IT IS SOUGHT CLASS ACTION REVIEW.

(6) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, BY THE INDICTMENT FOR TRAFFICKING COCAINE'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY ALLEGE THE DRUG AMOUNTS AND THE SUB SECTION THE PETITIONER WAS SAID TO HAVE VIOLATED; THE INDICTMENT FOR POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE MARIJUANA'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY

LIST THE DRUG AMOUNT AND SUBSECTION ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN VIO-- LATED; THE INDICTMENT FOR POSSESSION OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A VIOLENT CRIME ALLEGING, "DID POSSESS A .45 CALIBER HAND GUN OR VISIBLY DISPLAY WHAT APPEARED TO BE A KNIFE DURING THE COMMISSION, OR ATTEMPTED COMMISSION, OF TRAFFICKING***", GIVING WAY TO CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT OF THE INDICTMENTS, IN THE BODY OF THE CHARGING INSTRUMENT?

THE LITIGATION FOR THIS ISSUE IS SEEN IN EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2", ON PAGES (28) THROUGH (35). EVEN THOUGH GOURDINE ARGUED THIS LITIGATION FOR HIS INDICTMENT DEFECTS RELATED TO HIS ATTEMPTED MURDER CHARGE. THE CRUCIAL ELEMENTS RELATED TO MY DRUG CHARGE, DRUG AMOUNTS WITH THEIR LIMITATIONS, SUB SECTIONS ARE ARGUED IN THE SAME VEIN. THUS, THE LITIGATION IN EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2", ON PAGES (28) THROUGH (35) IS SUFFICIENT TO ADDRESS MY INDICTMENT DEFECT ISSUES. THE INDICTMENT DEFECT ISSUE IS ALSO A CLASS ACTION ISSUE, ALSO BEING ARGUED FOR THE KING-KHALIFAH, WHERE HIS INDICTMENT DOES NOT PROPERLY LIST THE "TIME AND PLACE" OF THE ASSAULT AND DEATH FOR THE CHARGE OF MURDER WHERE THE ASSAULT AND DEATH OCCURRED ON TWO DIFFERENT DATES IN TWO DIFFERENT COUNTIES. ITS BEING ARGUED FOR THE DEFECTS IN THE INDICTMENTS OF THE CO-PETITIONERS.

(7) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5th., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, AS WELL AS RULES OF COURT, RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE S.C. CONSTITUTION, AS WELL AS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BEING MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, AND OR THEY WERE PROHIBITED FROM ENACTING AND OR INVOKING AND OR CONTINUING THEIR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTIONARY "[P]OWERS" DUE TO THE "[P]ROCEDURAL [D]EFECT" IN THAT THERE WAS NO "[W]RITTEN"(EMPHASIS ADDED) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE OBTAINED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE V § 4 OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA CONSTITUTION?

THE LITIGATION FOR THIS ISSUE IS SEEN IN EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2", ON PAGES (52) THROUGH (61). IT APPLIES TO THE PETI-

TIONER WHERE I FALL UNDER THE RULE BEFORE THE 2013 REVISION THOUGH THAT REVISION IS TO BE RENDERED VOID AND INVALID DUE TO VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE UNDER EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880). I WAS ARRESTED ON JUNE 11, 2011. THE CASE WASN'T CONCLUDED UNTIL MAY 13, 2014. IT APPLIES TO THE KING-KHALIFAH WHERE HE SPENT 4½ YEARS IN THE COUNTY JAIL AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE FALLING UNDER THE OLD LAW CONVICTED IN 2004. THIS IS A CLASS ACTION ISSUE THAT WAS ALREADY DEFAULTED ON BY THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND S.C. SUPREME COURT AND JUDGES UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AS WERE ALL ISSUES ARGUED FOR CLASS ACTION IN THIS DOCUMENT. RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES TO ALL OF THE CLASS ACTION SOUGHT ISSUES. YOU ARE GIVEN NOTICE.

(8) DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER'S 5tn., 6th., 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, AND HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, BY THE TRIAL COURT AND OR PLEA COURT ALLOWING CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT OF THE INDICTMENT(S) AT THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL AND OR PLEA HEARING?

THE LITIGATION FOR THIS ISSUE IS FOUND WITHIN EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2", ON PAGES (65) THROUGH (77). LIKE GOURDINE AND THE KING-KHALIFAH, CONSTRUCTIVE AMENDMENT OF THE INDICTMENTS OCCURRED ON MY CRUCIAL ELEMENTS AND OR FACTS WHICH WAS NOT PASSED UPON BY THE GRAND JURY IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. THIS IS A CLASS ACTION ISSUE ALSO AS SUCH DID OCCUR RELATED TO THE CO-PETITIONERS CASES.

(9) DID THE S.C. SUPREME COURT IN STATE-v.-GENTRY-SUPRA, ERR, ENGAGING KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, IN THEIR EFFORTS TO ESSENTIALLY ESTABLISH MODERN DAY SLAVERY IN VIOLATION OF THE RICO ACT AND ANTI-PEONAGE ACT, AND ABUSED THEIR DISCRETIONARY POWERS, WHICH THEY EVEN CONSPIRED TO TAKE AWAY OUR RIGHT TO VOTE, AND OR DONE SO SURREPTITIOUSLY, IN VIOLATION OF THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT. AND IN SUCH, THE COURT'S JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, AND THE

STATE COURTS WERE PROHIBITED FROM ENACTING AND OR INVOKING AND OR CONTINUING THEIR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTIONARY POWERS, EVEN THOUGH THEY DO INDEED POSSESS SUCH POWER VIA STATE LEGISLATIVE STATUTES AND OR CONGRESSIONAL INTENT, DUE TO THE INDICTMENT DEFECTS ARGUED WITHIN THIS CASE, IN VIOLATION OF THE PETITIONER'S 4th., 5th., 6th., 13th., 14th., 15th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, ALSO 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3), 1986; 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 1001 AND THE LAWS OF DUE PROCESS?

THE LITIGATION FOR THIS ISSUE IS SEEN WITHIN EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2", ON PAGES (84) THROUGH (115). WE ARE AGRGUING AGAINST THE PRECEDENT RELATED TO MANY OF THESE ISSUES INCLUDING THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE. THIS ISSUE APPLIES TO THE PETITIONER, THE KING-KHALIFAH, THEIR CO-PETITIONERS AND IS A CLASS ACTION SOUGHT ISSUE.

(10) DID THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ERR, AND WAS THE PETITIONER AS A BENEFICIARY OF "THE TRUST", AND THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AS A BENEFICIARY OF "THE TRUST", 1st., 4th., 5th., 6th. 14th. AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED, AS WELL AS ARTICLE IV § 2, ALSO THEIR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED, AS WELL AS THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, ALSO ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, BY THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA VIA THE SUPREME COURT, PERMITTING SAME SEX MARRIAGE, WHEN SUCH WAS GIVEN TO THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS THAT IS UNDER "CONTRACT" VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION, WHERE SUCH ACTION VIOLATED THE TERMS AND WOULD BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS; AND MUST ALL RELIEF SOUGHT VIA THE WRIT OF COMMISSION BE GRANTED?

THE LITIGATION FOR THIS ISSUE IS SEEN IN BOTH EXHIBIT(S) "TRUSTEE" AND "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN". WE WANT OUR GOD'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BACK GIVEN TO THE KING-KHALIFAH AS AN INHERITANCE VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION ESTABLISHED BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND

ALL OTHER RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE WRIT OF COMMISSION.

CONCLUSION


INSOMUCH, I, AS APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE BY AUTHORITY GIVEN TO ME BY THE KING-KHALIFAH HEREBY INVOKE AND EXERCISE ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CROWN BY WRIT OF COMMISSION AND FILE THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ALSO AS AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS. YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY SEIZED, EXECUTED, ATTACHED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CROWN AND SOLE CORPORATION. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL MUST RESPOND, NOT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. STILL, THE ATTORNEYS FOR BOTH OF YOU WHO IS ONE IN THE SAME PERSON, MUST DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND THE VOIDING OF JURISDICTION BY UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RIGHTS, TITLES AND PRIVILEGES STAND. IN SUCH, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, NOR ANY STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY I AND THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY THE DEFAULT AND CONTRACT PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED, OR TO ME BY WRIT OF COMMISSION, TO PRACTICE LAW (ei. A.G., LAWGIVER, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR OF GOD WHEN THESE TOO ARE OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS WITH RESTRICTIONS), OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" (ei. FIDUCIARY, KING, KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OR ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE ATTORNEY, FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES v. SCHOOLS, --F.Supp. 3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d.457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S. 2014); PEREZ v. CHIMES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); McKELVEY v. REYNOLDS, 2016 WL 6518337(DSC.2016); IN RE: CLEAN FUELS, LLC., 2014 WL 2987330 (N.C.2014).

THE KING-KHALIFAH FULFILLED HIS DUTY BY THIS NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH THE RIGHTS BEFORE THE GLOBAL NATIONS. HE GAVE ALL REQUIRED PARTIES FAIR AND PROPER NOTICE. HE PROPERLY SERVED THE PARTIES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THEY APPEARED AND WHERE HE WAS SUBJECT TO EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, AND THEY DEFAULTED IN THAT STATE CASE AND IT WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CREATING THOSE PENDING PARALLEL CASES. TO EXCLUDE ME FROM ACTING AS A.G. AND JAHJAH AL MAHDI FROM PRACTICE OF LAW AS KING, KHALIFAH AND LAWGIVER OF GOD WITH HIS SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT; TO HINDER ME AS A.G. OR HIM AS FIDUCIARY HEIR ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS VIA CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, JUST LIKE THIS NATION DID FOR THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES WHEN IT CAME TO SAME SEX MARRIAGES, WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. TO THIS WE VEHEMENTLY OBJECT. AS A MATTER OF LAW LET IT BE KNOWN IN AND FOR THE RECORD. THE A.G. AND KING-KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, DEMAND THAT THE JUDGES OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT PRODUCE YOUR BOND OR OATH OF OFFICE OR WRIT OF COMMISSION AND CITING OF LAW THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT JUDGES OR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL CAN VIOLATE THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS IS SOVEREIGN WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY , JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT OR STAND DOWN!!! THIS POWER IS HEREBY INVOKED, SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAM OF STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 72 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992); COOPER v. AARON, 358 U.S. 78 (U.S.1958).

THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE

OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE TIME OF ITS ENACTMENT...IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED BEING JURISDICTIONAL EFFECTING THE FOUNDATION AND WHOLE OF THE PROCEEDINGS...SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY, CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL FOR YOU), BESTOWS NO POWER (JURISDICTION) OR AUTHORITY ON ANYONE, AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE ONCE NOTIFIED OF THE PROBLEM AND YOU FAIL TO CORRECT) AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS (THE GENTRY CASE AND ALL OTHER MATTERS ARGUED) PERFORMED UNDER IT...A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE AN EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE GENTRY STANDS). INDEED INSOFAR AS A STATUTE OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (ei. THE CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY, NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, RULES, STATUTES AND PRACTICES WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE NULL AND VOID, BONNETT-v.-VALLER, 116 N.W. 885, 136 Wis. 193(1908); NORTON-v.-SHELBY-COUNTY 118 U.S. 425(U.S.1886); MARBURY-v.-MADISON, 5th. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; MONTGOMERY-v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEFT-OUTDOORS-LLC-v.-CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANAPOLIS***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D. ILL.; HILL-v.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE v.-SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017).

RESPECTFULLY,
JOSEPH TODD ROWLAND



NOVEMBER 14, 2017


لَا إِلٰهَ إِلَّا اللّٰه
مُحَمَّدًا رَسُولُ اللّٰه
RECEIVED
APR 13 2018
SC Court of Appeals
Emblem
of
Al Mahdi

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

Foreign Sovereign

#1

2

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

RECEIVED
JAN 17 2018
S.C. SUPREME COURT

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON; JASON MORRIS GOURDINE; LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD; THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; WARDEN McFADDEN ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, CHRISTOPHER WILSON ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186; MOTION FOR A STAY; MOTION TO WAIVE THE (6) MONTH STATEMENTS

IN CASE(S) 17-7410 AND 17-7428; MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON DECEMBER 22, 2017.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON

DECEMBER 22, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA

(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

---

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON; JASON MORRIS GOURDINE; LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD; THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; WARDEN McFADDEN ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186; MOTION FOR A STAY; MOTION TO WAIVE THE (6) MONTH STATEMENTS IN CASE(S) 17-7410 AND 17-7428; MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: TO GIVING ALL CASES CAPTIONED NOTICE OF EVENTS RELATED TO BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186.

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE PETITIONERS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. HERE THE COURT IN BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186 WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "17-6960". THIS IS THE (65) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR;***, DATED OCTOBER 15, 2017. A TYPED VERSION OF THE PLEADING IS ALREADY FILED IN ALL PARALLEL CASES.

(2) PLRA APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED WITHOUT PAYMENT OR FEES FOR GOURDINE, WILSON AND CRAWFORD, THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI.

(3) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE". THE [14] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL;***, DATED JULY 2, 2017.

(4) EXHIBIT, "MAHDI". A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST JUDGE HARWELL****, [23] PAGES DATED JULY 8, 2017.

(5) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THIS IS THE DOCUMENT THAT MAKES UP CASE 16-2299.

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, PETITIONS, MOTIONS ARGUED WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS ARE NOW BEING ARGUED WITHIN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186. ENOUGH GAMES HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. YOU ARE TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES. WE MOTION FOR THIS AND THAT MOTION IS TO BE NOW GRANTED BY YOU BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE

SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY ARE BINDING UPON THIS COURT DUE TO THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES IS PARTY TO THAT DEFAULT WHICH IS ALSO PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION MUST NOW BE DEEMED FORFEITED DUE TO THE OBSTRUCTION, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND FRAUD ENGAGED IN BY THESE DEFENDANTS AND ALL COURTS INVOLVED ALSO PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL BY THE JUDGES ALSO USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, HODGKINS v. PETERSON, F.Supp.2d., 2000 WL 33128726 (S.D.Ind.2000); U.S. v. SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS v. WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014); BARDRES v. HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 514(DSC.2014); LORD & TAYLOR, LLC v. WHITE-FLINT, L.P., 780 F3d. 211 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. SOUTH CAROLINA, 720 F3d. 518 CA4 (S.C.2013); WALL v. WADE, 741 F3d. 492 CA4 (Va.2014) . **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

THE PETITIONERS GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE TO ENSURE THERE IS NO MISREPRESENTATION OF THE FACTS. WE ARE NOT ARGUING "THEOCRATIC LAW". THIS IS A MISNOMER. WE ARE ARGUING "FOREIGN LAW", WHICH HAPPEN TO HAVE THEOCRATIC COMPONENTS WHICH WAS ARGUED UNDER RULE 44 OF S.C. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT THAT WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS WHICH INCLUDE THE VATICAN AND THE UNITED STATES, WHERE CRAWFORD, THE KING-KHALIFAH, IS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY THAT IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED VIA INTERNATIONAL AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT THAT CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS WHICH WAS ARGUED AND ESTABLISHED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL COURT. SO WHEN I TELL YOU THAT THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED WITHIN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL CASES, THEY ARE SUSPENDED UNLESS YOU CAN SHOW THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR THE UNITED STATES MOVED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVIT(S) OF DEFAULT AND

VOIDING OF JURISDICTION IN A TIMELY MANNER OR PRODUCE AN ORDER THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY FRAUD OR REMOVAL. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT I AM OFFICIALLY EXERCISING THAT POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN BY THE DEFAULT AND "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. WHERE THERE IS CONTRACT AND FIDUCIARY DUTY,...THERE IS OBLIGATION. THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IS THE LAW THAT BINDS JAHJAH AL MAHDI TO ACT RENDERING VOID CASE 17-7532 AND STAYING THAT CASE DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, AND RENDER VOID ANY ACT DONE BY ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT MAKE (OR ALTER) THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION (MAKE ANOTHER INTERPRETATION OTHER THAN THAT WHICH IS ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, RENDERING ITS OBLIGATION OR POWER VOID BY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR USE OF EX POST FACTO LAW). I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM SOVEREIGN BY WAY OF MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN WITH ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE ATTRIBUTES, (10) THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED WHERE THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION BASED UPON OR STANDING UPON SUCH EX POST FACTO LAW TO BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND OBSTRUCT JAHJAH AL MAHDI IN HIS FIDUCIARY DUTIES TO MY GOD AND MY HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-v.-THE-NEW-YORK-CITY DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT-LODGE-1652-v.-INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT-SERVICE INC.-(CHARLESTON), 302 F2d. 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4TH.Cir. 1962); ERIE-R.-CO.-v.-THOMPKINS, 304 U.S. 64(1938); OGDEN-v. SANDERS, 25 U.S. 213(1827); TRUSTEES-OF-DARTHMOUTH-COLLEGE-v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; REUGH-v.-U.S., 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W. 4372(2013); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); SPIRES-v.-SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d. --, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP-v.-DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S. 2014); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992); ANDERSON-v. LIBERTY-LOBBY-INC., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); MILLER-v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028

(Va.2013); WILSON v. GMAC MORTG., LLC, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967(DSC.2015). ALSO SEE EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE".

THE "TERM" OR "CONCEPT" OF A "JUDGE" OR "ATTORNEY" DO NOT COME FROM YOU OR YOUR LAWS. THEY DO NOT COME FROM YOUR NATION, NOR DO THEY COME FROM ENGLAND OR ENGLISH LAW. THEY COME FROM US, THE SOLE CORPORATION, AND IS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS, AS IS THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY, SET IN PLACE THROUGH ADAM AND ABRAHAM , MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS IS ARGUED WITHIN EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THEIR OWNERSHIP IS WITH ME, JAHJAH, AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE SOLE CORPORATION BEING THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN OF ALL LAW AND SOVEREIGN POWER. YOU CANNOT LEGALLY ATTACH, ARREST OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN SOLE CORPORATION WITHOUT CONSENT, WHICH IS WITHDRAWN, ABSENT OF ITS RIGHTS AND PREROGATIVES. DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, AND BY THE "COVENANT", "CONTRACT", AS FIDUCIARY HEIR PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE COURT CANNOT BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS UNDER CONTRACT TAKE AWAY THE KING'S RIGHTS OR PREROGATIVES, OR BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT. THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT IS ALSO INVOKED WHERE THE TAKING, ATTACHING ETC. RELATED TO THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, ALSO INVOLVING THE OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS IN EFFORTS TO DISMANTLE MY VOTING AND DISCRETIONARY POWERS ON THESE MATTERS, DESTROYING THE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHERE THERE IS NO "JUSTICE OR FAIRNESS" IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT, THUS DESTROYING MY CONTROL AS THE SOLE CORPORATION. THE F.S.I.A. APPLIES WHERE BY YOUR ACTS THERE IS DISCRIMINATION BASED UPON NATIONALITY, RELIGION, SUCH AS MY ISRAELI DESCENT AND THE FACT THAT I AM MUSLIM, CHRISTIAN AND JEW COMBINED, A NOVELTY, AND I AM OF AFRICAN BLOOD. THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, THESE LEGAL TERMS OR CONCEPTS, ARE IMMOVABLE, WHICH INCLUDE THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BEING IMMOVABLE PROPERTY HERE IN THE UNITED STATES FALLING UNDER THE F.S.I.A. THE U.N. GENERAL ASSEMBLY, CONVENTION ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY

OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, RES. 59/38, ARTS. 5, 10-12 (DEC. 2, 2004)(ADOPTING A RESTRICTIVE THEORY OF IMMUNITY AND WITHDRAWING IMMUNITY FOR LOSS OF PROPERTY WHERE, AMONG OTHER REQUIREMENTS, "THE ACT OR OMISSION OCCURRED IN WHOLE OR IN PART IN THE TERRITORY OF THE OTHER STATE"); UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY, REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, SUPP. A/59/22 NO. 1, PP.7-11 (MAR 1-5, 2004). ALSO SEE EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". ALSO SEE THE CONTRACT UNDER LUKE 11:52; ISAIAH 11:1-5; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; ISAIAH 16:5; ISAIAH 32:1-4; ISAIAH 42:18-22; JEREMIAH 23:5-6; JEREMIAH 33:15-21 (CRUCIAL ONE); EZEKIEL 34:22-30; EZEKIEL 37:22; DANIEL 11:1-3; ALSO SEE SIMON v. REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4402293(D.D.C.2017); BOLIVIA REPUBLIC OF VENEZUELA v. HELMERICH & PAYNE INTERN, DRILLING CO., 137 S.Ct. 1312, 197 L.Ed.2d. 663, 85 U.S.L.W. 4221(U.S.2017).

STATE AND OR FEDERAL REGULATION IN THIS CASE, OF DESCENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF DECEDENT'S ESTATE MUST GIVE WAY IF SUCH REGULATION IMPAIRS THE FIDUCIARY DUTY AND SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL, LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE POWER OF THE CROWN, IMPAIRING EFFECTIVE EXERCISE OF U.S. FOREIGN POLICY RELATED TO OUR FOREIGN LEGAL SYSTEM TO INCLUDE THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY AND POWER OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES, ZSCHERNIG v. MILLER, 389 U.S. 429, 88 S.Ct. 664, 19 L.Ed.2d. 683(U.S.1968); DOE v. FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6 (D.D.C.2016); ARMADILLO DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISES INC. v. HAIYUN MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS MANUFACTURE CO., LTD., F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 2815943(D.C.Fla. 2014); CASSIER v. THYSSEN BORNEMISZA COLLECTION FOUNDATION, 737 F3d. 613(9th.Cir.2013); AL SHIMARI v. C.A.C.I. INTERN, INC., 679 F3d. 205(4th.Cir.2012).

IN THE GLOBAL NATIONS CONSPIRING TO ATTACH, EXECUTE OR ARREST THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN GIVING SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. SUCH ACTION PRODUCES VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT WHICH SET FORTH PROHIBITION AGAINST CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH COMMERCE BY ROBBING, ATTACHING, EXECUTING OR ARRESTING THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS VALID UNDER COMMERCE CLAUSE ON

ITS FACE AND IS APPLIED TO THESE DEFENDANTS WHO HAVE ESSENTIALLY ROBBED AND HIGH-JACKED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, REMOVING THE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON IT BY THE "GRANT" UNDER "CONTRACT", WHERE CATERING AND WEDDING SERVICES, HOTEL AND HONEY-MOON ACCOMMODATIONS, FINANCIAL VENTURES SUCH AS CREDIT CARD AND OTHER BANKING USAGES NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY PRODUCE COMMERCE ACTIVITIES AND ARE DONE AND MADE ACROSS STATE AND INTERNATIONAL BORDERS. THE APPLICATION OF YOUR STATE AND OR FEDERAL, EVEN INTERNATIONAL LAWS COULD BE BASED ON DE MINIMUS NEXUS TO INTER-STATE COMMERCE, SO LONG AS STATUTE OR LAW REGULATED ACTIVITY WHICH, THROUGH REPETITION, IN AGGREGATE HAD SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT ON INTERSTATE COMMERCE, WHERE MANY OF THESE WEDDING SERVICES ARE EVEN DONE ON THE INTERNET, WHICH INCLUDE WEDDING GIFTS SUCH AS THOSE MATTERS THAT ARE PRESENTLY BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COM-MISSION...ADDRESSING FREE SPEECH, RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION PRODUCING INTERSTATE COMMERCE CLAIM. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT, GUSTO v. U.S., 523 U.S. 1011, 118 S.Ct. 1201 (MEM) 140 L.Ed.2d. 329(U.S.1998); U.S. v. MILES, 122 F3d. 235(5th.Cir.1997); U.S.C.A. CONST. ART. 1, § 8 Cl. 3; 18 U.S.C.A. § 1951; UNITED STATES v. HENDERSON, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6084637(S.D.Tex.2016); UNITED STATES v. RUE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5007930(S.D.Tex.2015); SIBLEY v. HERGENROEDER, F.Supp.2d., 2006 WL 3354137(D.C.Md.2006).

DUE TO THE AIDS THAT'S PRODUCED BY SUCH ACTIVITY, THE DEBAUCHERY AND VIOLATIONS OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH PRACTICES, THAT STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT" WHICH CLEARLY STATE, "THOU SHALT NOT LAY WITH A MAN AS THOU LAYETH WITH A WOMAN", "THE WOMAN SHALL NOT WEAR THAT WHICH PERTAINETH TO A MAN AND A MAN SHALL NOT WEAR THAT WHICH PERTAINETH TO A WOMAN FOR ALL THAT DO SO ARE AN ABOMINATION UNTO THE LORD THY GOD", "THERE SHALL BE NO WHORE OF THE BELIEVERS NOR A SODOMITE OF THE BELIEVERS". SEE DEUTERONOMY 22:5 AND 23:17. THIS IS CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS WITH RESTRICTIONS AS THUS STATED IN PART. THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER WHOSE AUTHORITY OVER THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY EXISTING VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION HAS THE PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT

THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE WHICH INCLUDE HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO RESIDE WITHIN ALL GLOBAL AND OR NATIONAL BORDERS, HOME-BLDG.-&-LOAN-ASS'N v.-BAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT-v.-BOARD-OF-SCHOOL-TRUSTEES-OF MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS,--F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir. 2017); NORTH-CAROLINA-ASS'N-OF-EDUCATORS,-INC.-v.-STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016). SINCE THE KING-KHALIFAH WAS NOT PARTY TO ANY OF THESE ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS IN QUESTION DEALING WITH THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND YOUR COURTS HAD NO CONSENT, THE RULINGS ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, GORDON-v.-T.B.C.-RETAIL-GROUP,-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016); REED-v.-BIG-WATER-RESORT,-LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN-RYAN-BUILDERS,-INC.-v.-CRYTAL RIDGE-DEVELOPEMENT,-INC., 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625(4th.Cir.2015); WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); BIRELA-v.-HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017).

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL MUST RESPOND, NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES OR YOUR CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ADDRESSING SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 RENDERING ILLEGAL THESE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS MUST RESPOND AND DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION DONE BY AFFIDAVIT(S) IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, TITLES AND IMMUNITIES STAND. IN SUCH, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, NOR YOUR CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES CONSPIRING TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND CIRCUMVENT RULING, ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, NOR THE STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER OF GOD, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR), OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT",

"CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY-KING-KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OR ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OR ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAMS OF THE STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF BAR EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260 (E.D.Va.2011); PEREZ v. CHIMES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992). WE MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT. IT IS GRANTED.

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES . I GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE WE WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, THEREUPON THAT CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES SUB-JUDICE. THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS IN THIS INSTANCE VIA THE F.S.I.A. CONNECTIONS, TO PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF PROPHET , KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY AND THE COURTS CANNOT IMPAIR THE KING-KHALIFAH ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, McBURNEY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S. 2013); HENRY v. VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); SCHOENEFELD v. SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273(2nd.Cir.2016).

NOW LETS WITH THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PRODUCED IN THE FORM OF CASE 17-7532 AS THEY DID IN CASE 17-6960 RENDERING THIS CASE VOID AND IN FORFEITURE FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, WHICH INCLUDE ALL ORDERS PRODUCED THEREIN MAKING THIS CASE ILLEGAL AND A CRIMINAL ACT TO PROCEED WITH IT AS FILED. THIS INCLUDE WHAT ASHLEY BROWNLEE DID IN CASE 17-7186. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION. JURISDICTION LIES WITH TRUSTEE JUDGE AUSTIN WHERE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND RESTRICTED. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION IS RAISED IN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 AS IT WAS IN CASE 17-6960 AND THIS CASE AND ALL PARALLEL CASES SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE. SEE EXHIBIT, "17-6960".

WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER A PERSON HAS STANDING TO SUE, THE COURT MUST FOCUS ON THE STATUS OF THE PARTY WHO HAS FILED THE COMPLAINT. BY THE PLEADINGS WITHIN THESE CASES TO INCLUDE THOSE UNDER CASE 16-2299, EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH BY "CONTRACT" WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE UNDER ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WHERE ALL ACTS WHERE DONE SPECIFICALLY TO THE KING AND THE OTHER PLAINTIFFS WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", WHO SOUGHT TO AID THE KING-KHALIFAH EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C.A. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. WHAT AND WHO I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AM, AS WELL AS MY HOLY COMMONWEALTH IS, THE PETITIONERS IN THESE PARALLEL APPEALS, WAS ESTABLISHED BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" BY MY, OUR, ORIGINAL STATUS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED WHICH CANNOT BE UNMADE BY THE COURTS WITH EX POST FACTO LAW. THE FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES v. SCHOOLS, --F.SUPP.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); PEREZ v. CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); SCOTT v. SOUTH-CAROLINA 2017 WL 875858(DSC.2017); MILLER v. SCATURO, 2016 WL 3951668 (DSC.2016); GRUPO-DALAFLUX v. ATLAS-GLOBAL-GROUP-L.P., 541 U.S.

567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); U.S.-v.-TISDALE, F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 2156666(DSC.2007); SEBELIUS-v.-AUBURN-REGIONAL-MEDICAL-CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); SIZWARD-v.-RIDDLE, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 707018(DSC.2013).

THE CASE 17-7532, AS DID CASE 17-6960, POSSESS SEVERAL FATAL STRUCTURAL DEFICIENCIES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE RENDERING CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186 VOID FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION ESTABLISHING FORFEITURE BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. FIRST, WE MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL OF JUDGES DIAZ, THACKER, DAVIS, HAMILTON, GREGORY, DUNCAN AND SHEDD IN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186. AS LONG AS ANY OF THESE JUDGES SIT UPON THESE AND THE OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS YOU HAVE A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. UNTIL THE ISSUE OF RECUSAL IS RULED ON, ON THE COURT RECORD, UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF § 1983, NOT A MANDAMUS OR § 2254, WHICH YOU IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT FILED THE APPEAL OF CASE 17-7532 UNDER. THE PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL DUE TO THE FRAUD AND BECAUSE CASE 17-7532 POSSESSING THIS STRUCTURAL ERROR AS DO CASE 17-7186 IF THESE JUDGES SIT. THE LANGUAGE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT USED IN WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016) IS CLEAR AND UNEQUIVOCAL GIVING CLARITY TO ALL PREVIOUSLY ADJUDICATED CASES ON THE SUBJECT. THERE ARE (3) PRONGS. IF ONE EXIST RECUSAL IS MANDATORY. WATCH THE LANGUAGE USED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. NO MAN SHALL SIT UPON HIS OWN CASE NOR CAN A MAN TRY A CASE TO WHICH HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME NOR SHALL HE SIT IF THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS WAS ONLY **"ONE OUT OF MANY"** EXAMPLES THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS REFERRING TO AND ADDRESSING. WHEN THE OBJECTIVE RISK OF ACTUAL BIAS **"OF ANY KIND"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, THE FAILURE TO RECUSE IS **"[N]OT"** A HARMLESS ERROR BECOMING STRUCTURAL IN NATURE WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOILATION. IN CASE 9:17-cv-1803-TMC SUBJUDICE

AS WELL AS IN THE PARALLEL APPEALS IS A COPY OF THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT. BY THIS DOCUMENT, THE JUDGES SOUGHT WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MUST RECUSE THEMSELVES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW IN CASE 17-6960, ALL PARALLEL CASES, AS WELL AS IN CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 TO RULE ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL NOT UNDER MANDAMUS, NOT UNDER SOME FRAUDULENTLY PRODUCED § 2254 APPEAL, BUT UNDER § 1983 TO WHICH THEY ARE PARTY TO THESE PROCEEDINGS EVEN VIA THE WRIT OF ERROR WHICH MUST BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983. THUS, ANY SUBSEQUENT ACTION UNTIL THIS IS DONE CREATES A DUE PROCESS CLAIM THAT VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BY THESE ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES FOR WRIT OF CERT., POTENTIALLY ORDERING US TO BE PARTY TO AN ILLEGAL PROCEEDING WITHOUT FIRST RULING ON THE SUBMITTED MOTION FOR RECUSAL. FURTHER, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, GETS JENNIFER RICE TO ISSUE A RULE 40(d) NOTICE IN CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141 WHEN THOSE PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL AND NO SUCH NOTICE CAN ATTACH BY LAW. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES THEN UNDER CASE 17-7186 USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE AS A JUDGE/PROXY, TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, BLOCKS THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 WHICH IS SUBSTITUTED AS THE INFORMAL BRIEF TO AGAIN DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES AND OBSTRUCT JUSTICE USING THESE CLERKS AS PROXY TO PREVENT FULL AND PROPER JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE ISSUES WITHIN THESE CASES IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. WE OBJECT TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD BY THESE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES AND ACTS OF MACHINATION REQUIRING THEIR RECUSAL AND FORFEITURE OF THE ISSUES SOUGHT DUE TO SANCTIONS WHICH ARE SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC JUDGE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI. SEE LETTER DATED DECEMBER 5, 2017 FILED IN CASE 17-7186. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM DECIDING FEDERAL QUESTIONS BEFORE CASE 17-7532 OR 17-7186 PROCEED CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US ISSUES ON APPEAL WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. STOP USING

THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, AS JUDGES TO SKIRT RULING, TO HIDE YOUR ABUSES OF DISCRETION AND ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. STOP RULING ON ISSUES OF APPEAL SUBSTITUTING MANDAMUS FOR APPEAL. A MANDAMUS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE FOR AN APPEAL AND THE CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE FOR A JUDGE IN ACTS OF MACHINATION TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES, ABSTAINING FROM RULING ON CRUCIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN QUESTION RECUSAL. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF CLEARLY ESTABLISHED STATUTES, ARTICLE III SECTION 1, AS WELL AS YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE MAKING YOUR ACTIONS CRIMINAL IN DEFIANCE TO CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND THAT OATH OF OFFICE HAVING THESE CLERKS ACTING AS JUDGES TO BLOCK, HINDER AND IMPEDE FULL REVIEW IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3) AND 1986 AS WELL AS 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISES INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC., --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S. 1972); U.S. v. $41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMOND ET. AL.,; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); PULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565 (1984); EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676 (1880); ROCHE v. EVAPORATED MILK ASS'N, 391 U.S. 21, 63 S.Ct. 938, 87 L.Ed. 1185(U.S.1943); IN RE: WILLIAMS, 381 Fed. Appx' 284, 2010 WL 2231958(4th.Cir.2010); WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES, 2015 WL 11109497, * 1, E.D.Va..

INASMUCH, ON THE QUESTION OF RECUSAL AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. "THE COURT ASKS '[N]OT' WHETHER A JUDGE HARBORS AN ACTUAL BIAS (FOR EXAMPLE EXTRA JUDICIAL OR PERSONAL BIAS AS IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ASSERTED IN THEIR ACTS OF FRAUD), BUT 'INSTEAD' (EMPHASIS ADDED) WHETHER , AS AN OBJECTIVE MATTER THERE IS A '[P]OTENTIAL' FOR BIAS OF **"ANY KIND"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL", WHICH IS CONSPICUOUS THAT SUCH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL

OF BIAS DOES EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AS IS EVIDENCED BY WHAT OCCURRED INVOLVING THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN, WHICH INCLUDE HOW THIS CASE IS FRAUDULENTLY FILED UNDER 17-7532 AND THE JUDGES USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186, ATTEMPTING TO FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATE CHRISTOPHER WILSON TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS THAT DO NOT HAVE THE PLRA LANGUAGE ON THEM TO CIRCUMVENT THE STRUCTURAL ERROR, ACTING AS LEGISLATORS, NOT JUDGES, WHERE THE LEGISLATORS CLEARLY DETERMINED THAT FILING BEFORE THIS COURT MUST BE DONE PURSUANT TO THE PLRA, TO ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE PROVISIONS, THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED. THIS IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW OR THE CASES ARE ILLEGAL AND THE JUDGES, CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS ARE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE. FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND STRUCTURAL ERROR EXIST. WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED TO ADDRESS IT. RULE ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL UNDER § 1983 BEFORE ANY SUB-SEQUENT MATTER OCCURS OR ALL PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID UNDER 17-7186, 17-7532 AND ALL OTHER PENDING PARALLEL APPEALS, CAPEATON-v.-A.T.-MASSEY-COAL-CO.-INC., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208(U.S.2009); JOHNSON-v.-STEVENSON, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); KENWOOD-GARDENS-CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-v.-WHALEN PROPERTIES-LLC., 2016 WL 6788852, * 11+ (Md.2016); DACRES-v.-ATTORNEY-GENERAL-U.S., 615 Fed. Appx' 79 (3rd.Cir.2015); IN RE:-SYNTAX-BRILLIAN-CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016); IN-RE:-LUNDY, 2016 WL 6108524(D.OHIO.2016).

LETS FINISH DRIVING THE "STAKE" IN THESE RAT, STINKING, VAMPIRES HEARTS. WHAT'S GOING ON HERE CORRUPT JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT? THIS IS THE SAME STUPID CRIMINAL MESS AND STUNT YOU JUDGES PULLED UNDER CASE 17-6960. THE ENTIRE CASE UNDER 17-7532 IS CORRUPTED BY FRAUD RENDERING IT VOID. WHERE IS THE FILING FEE PAID FOR CASE 17-7532? WHERE ARE THE FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS? AS IN CASE 17-6960, YOU DID NOT REQUIRE SUCH FOR CASE 17-7532. IF YOU DID, WHICH WE HAVE NOT SEEN, THE SAME STRUCTURAL ERROR EXIST IN CASE 17-7532 AS IT DOES IN CASES 17-6960, 17-6693, 17-6925 AND THE OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS PENDING BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. ITS PERSPICUOUS IN YOUR FRAUD THAT YOU MADE A MISTAKE. SO WE ATTACHED THE PLRA IN FORMA PAUPERIS

DOCUMENTS FOR THIS CASE TO HELP YOU OUT A LITTLE BIT. WE DON'T WANT YOU TO FALL AND BUMP YOUR HEAD. WATCH THAT FIRST STEP, ITS A "DOOSEY". NOW RULE ON THE DOGGON' ISSUES!!! STOP THE FRAUD AND FOOLISHNESS. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS IN CASE 17-6960 DID NOT ISSUE ANY ORDER STATING THAT THE FILING FEES WERE WAIVED. THIS IS CLEAR ERROR AND MANIFEST INJUSTICE. THIS CONSTITUTES AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND PLAIN ERROR BY YOUR FRAUD AND MACHINATION. UNLESS THE COURT RULES THAT SUCH A FILING FEE OR FORMS ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, WHICH THE COURT DID NOT DO. THE COURT CANNOT ACT AS A LEGISLATOR IN VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE AND UNDO A CLEAR LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENT REQUIRED FOR FILING WITHIN THIS COURT. THE JUDGES ARE NOT LEGISLATORS WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE OBJECT, BANK-MARKAZI-v.-PETERSON, 136 S.Ct. 1310, 194 L.Ed.2d. 463, 84 U.S.L.W. 4222(U.S.2016); U.S.-v.-BASTON, 818 F3d. 651(11th.Cir.2016).

IT IS NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S OR ANY COURT'S PLACE TO CHANGE THE MEANING OF A CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS STATUTE; WHERE STATUTE'S LANGUAGE IS PLAIN AND UNAMBIGUOUS, AND CONVEYS A CLEAR AND DEFINITE MEANING, RULES OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION ARE NOT NEEDED AND THE COURT HAS NO RIGHT TO IMPOSE ANOTHER MEANING. WHAT THE LEGISLATORS SAY IN THE TEXT OF THE STATUTE IS CONSIDERED THE BEST EVIDENCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND WILL, STATE v.-MILES,--S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 3611694(S.C.2017); SIGNOR-v.-KEEL, S.E.2d, 2017 WL 117711(S.C.2017); SMITH-v.-TIFFANY, 419 S.C. 548, 799 S.E.2d. 479(S.C.2017); STAR-ATHELETICA,-LLC.-v.-VARSITY BRANDS,-INC., 137 S.Ct. 1002, 197 L.Ed.2d. 354, 85 U.S.L.W. 4139(U.S.2017); ENCINO-MOTOR-CARS,-LLC.-v.-NAVARRO, 136 S.Ct. 2117, 195 L.Ed.2d. 382, 84 U.S.L.W. 4424.

BY THAT WHICH WAS SET IN PLACE BY THE LEGISLATURE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT RULES AND DUE REQUIRE THAT A FEE OF $505.00 BE PAID OR 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) REQUIRES AN AFFIDAVIT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS TO BE SUBMITTED BEFORE ANY MATTER CAN PROCEED. THIS IS JURISDICTIONAL, SO WE DECIDED TO HELP YOU OUT BY SENDING THE ONES ATTACHED. JUST LIKE THE COURT UNDER 17-6960; THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-7532 IS PROHIBITED FROM SAYING ANYTHING ABOUT

THIS CASE. THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED FOR CASE 17-7532 AS IT IS ALSO FOR CASE 17-7186. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

THE COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 17-7532 UNLESS YOU HAVE ENTERED AND SERVED UPON US AN ORDER WAIVING THE FILING FEES EXPLAINING WHY (EMPHASIS ADDED) SUCH FEES WERE WAIVED. WE GIVE YOU JUDICIAL NOTICE. SINCE THE DOCUMENTS FOR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS ARE NOW ATTACHED FOR BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186, THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA MUST BE ADDRESSED ON THE COURT RECORD BEFORE THESE CASES CAN PROCEED OR THE PROCEEDINGS BECOME ILLEGAL. BY THIS FAILURE THE COURT ACTED AS A LEGISLATOR, NOT A JUDGE, OVERRULING CONGRESS WITHOUT A PROPER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION EXPLAINING "WHY", WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THIS IS A JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISES INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC, --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); UNITED STATES v. STE BRI ENTERPRISES INC., 2017 WL 4226873(D.C.OHIO. 2017); THOMPSON v. FINN, 2016 WL 5724369(2016); DAHER v. OWENS, 2014 WL 1159629; IN RE: DUROSER, 2015 WL 4068243(N.D.2015); STONE v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON N.A., 2014 WL 61480; ROPICK v. COMMISSION OF SOCIAL SEC., 32 F.Supp.3d. 157; ENCANNATION v. BRANDT, 2015 WL 7078682(N.D.N.Y.2015); WEST v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 5375782(2016); MOCHE v. GEORGIA PACIFIC CORPORATION, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 9307307(2015); IN RE: GJEADE, 535 B.R. 329 (2015); BLAKELY v. WARD, 738 F3d. 607(4th.Cir.2013). WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT BRIEF AND THE COURT RULE ON THESE ISSUES UNDER CASE 17-7532 BEFORE ANY INFORMAL BRIEF BE REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTIONS OR ORDER US TO BE PARTY IN AN ILLEGAL PROCEEDING, LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); U.S. v. $41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; BROWN v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014); 1997 WL 10291 U.S.(APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF U.S. SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMONG ET. AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); PULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(1984); BACCUS v. MARCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC.2014); EX

EX PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880).

LETS GO FURTHER. I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE APPEAL UNDER CASES 17-7186, 17-6960 AND 17-7532. WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE IN THESE (3) APPEALS BESIDES THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN ACTS OF FRAUD USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE AS PROXY TO BLOCK THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND CONSPIRING TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA? THE ANSWER,...IS NOTHING. THE STRUCTURE OF THE CASES SUB-JUDICE ARE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME. SO THEN WHAT THE HECK DO THESE CORRUPT JUDGES UNDER CASES 17-6960 AND 17-7532 DOING HAVING THESE CASES FILED AS HABEAS CORPUS AND CASE 17-7186 IS ESSENTIALLY FILED AS A § 1983 ACTION? AND IF CASE 17-7532 IS A HABEAS CORPUS WHICH IS WHY McFADDEN IS LISTED AS RESPONDENT. WHY IS IT NOT LISTED WITHIN THE RECORD UNDER CASE 17-7532 THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL IS MAKING AN APPEARANCE AS IN CASE 17-6960? IF THEY ARE REQUIRED TO APPEAR IN CASE 17-6960, THEN THEY ARE REQUIRED TO APPEAR UNDER CASE 17-7532 DUE TO YOUR FRAUD. **IT IS SO ORDERED**, BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT.

NONETHELESS, THE ANSWER FOR CASE 17-7532 IS THE SAME FOR CASE 17-6960. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, SINCE THEY FAILED IN THEIR ACTS OF MACHINATION IN CASE 17-6960 THEIR PLAN IS TO USE CASE 17-7532 AND THE ACTIONS DONE BY ASHLEY BROWNLEE UNDER CASE 17-7186 TO DEFRAUD US BY FILING THE CASE(S) INCORRECTLY AND BLOCK THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FROM BEING FILED AND CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA BY FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATING WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE ISSUE. THEY NEVER EXPECTED US TO ENTER THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WITH THE DELUGE OF SUBSEQUENT CASES THAT FOLLOWED WHICH CAN NOW ACT AS A "MARKER" TO HIGHLIGHT AND PROVE THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL INTENT CONSPIRED IN BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PARTIES, CLERKS, CASE MANAGERS AND JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED IN THEIR EFFORTS TO PROTECT THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE CASES AVOID SUIT. THEY KNEW WE SOUGHT RECUSAL OF JUDGES. SUCH IS NOT WARRANTED UNDER § 2254,

BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED UNDER § 1983 AND THEY WANTED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY WERE SITTING UPON THEIR OWN CASES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 MAKING CASE 17-7532 ILLEGAL LIKE THE OTHERS AFOREMENTIONED. WE ARE SEEKING REPARATIONS FOR SLAVERY AND JIM CROW AS RULER OF THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE, ONE OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES; WE ARE SEEKING RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION; VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 2679, THE F.S.I.A., THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE RICO ACT AND OATH OF OFFICE AND OTHER § 1983 ISSUES WHICH COULD NOT HAVE BEEN GRANTED UNDER § 2254. SO IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND MACHINATION, JUST LIKE YOU DID UNDER CASE 17-6960, THEY ESTABLISHED THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7532 TO DEFRAUD US AND PREVENT FAIR, PROPER AND FULL REVIEW OF THE ESSENTIAL ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT BY FILING THE APPEAL, NOT JUST UNDER CASE 17-6969, BUT ALSO UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS A § 2254 ACTION AS OPPOSED TO A § 1983 WHILE ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186 CONSPIRED TO BLOCK THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. WE OBJECT.

WE OBJECT TO CASE 17-7532'S USAGE FOR ANYTHING. STAY THESE CASES AS WE SOUGHT. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** THE ENTIRE CASE UNDER BOTH CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186, DUE TO ASHLEY BROWNLEE'S RECENT ACTIONS INVOLVING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES ARE CORRUPTED BY FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND THE CASES STAND IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 PRODUCED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH AND JASON M. GOURDINE DID NOT ENTER THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNDER § 2254 AND BROWNLEE, ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES IS BLOCKING THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND TRYING TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT OR ABSTAIN RULING ON FEDERAL QUESTION. THE CASE SUB-JUDICE UNDER 17-7532 WAS ENTERED IN THE DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO WRIT OF ERROR WHICH BY THE MANDATES OF THE ALL WRITS ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1651 MUST BE HEARD UNDER § 1983 NOT § 2254, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES AND BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, RAUL-ADAMS-v.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION

, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI v. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 1755871; U.S. v. HARE, 820 F3d. 93 (4th. Cir.2016). THIS VOIDS BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186'S JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION PLACING YOU IN FORFEITURE FOR ALL RELIEF SOUGHT. **IT IS SO ORDERED,** WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S. v. ALEDEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); HUNT v. U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 5131716(DSC.2007).

ONCE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, EVEN CONSPIRING WITH PARTIES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, ENGAGED IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONST., VIOLATIONS OF THE RICO ACT, THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, THE A.D.A. AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW CITED, BY ESTABLISHING CASES IN A MANNER THAT WE DID NOT ORIGINALLY FILE THEM, ALTERING OUR INTENDED PROCEEDINGS, SITTING UPON CASES TO WHICH THEY ARE DEFENDANTS OR TO WHICH THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL IN VIOLATION OF U.S. SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT, SPOLIATING WRITS OF ERROR, PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE ALSO TO PREVENT OUR RIGHT TO TIMELY REINSTATE CASE 4:16-cv-2939, 3101-3107-MBS-TER WITHIN (10) DAYS OF ORDER, CONSPIRING WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS TO NOT PICK UP AND TRANSPORT THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK TO THE STATE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS SO THEY CAN MAKE USE OF THEM WITHIN THESE FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS, INSTRUCTING ASHLEY BROWNLEE TO OBSTRUCT FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IN CASE 17-7186 AND ATTEMPTING TO MANIPULATE HIM INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON ESSENTIAL ISSUES AND GET AROUND THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXIST BY USE OF PLRA, JENNIFER RICE CONSPIRING TO ATTACH A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141, INSTRUCTING S.C.D.C. IN PHYSICAL ATTACKS UPON US, DESTROYING PROPERTY NEEDED TO ACCESS THE COURTS AND TELLING S.C.D.C. NOT TO MAKE COPIES OF EVIDENCE AND LEGAL DOCUMENTS OR DENY INJUNCTIONS TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE AND DOCU-

MENTS SUBMISSION SO THE FEDERAL COURTS COULD PRODUCE FRAUDULENT REPORTS, ORDERS, RECOMMENDATIONS OR DETERMINATIONS, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AS WELL AS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT. THE PROCEEDINGS IN THEIR TOTALITY BECAME IRREGULAR AND INVALID WARRANTING THE WRIT OF ERROR. WHERE CIVIL DISABILITY IN THIS CASE EXIST SUCH AS THE ILLEGAL DEPRIVING OF PARENTAL RIGHTS OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, WHERE ALL COURTS WERE REPEATEDLY TOLD THAT I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING-KHALIFAH DENOUNCE HIS AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP AND ADOPT THE CITIZENSHIP OF HIS ISRAELI FOREFATHER KING DAVID OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE THRONE OF ISRAEL AND THE OTHER (3) GLOBAL THRONES, THE DEPRIVING OF SOVEREIGN RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF THE F.S.I.A. DECLARED BY DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, TO INCLUDE THE ESTABLISHING BEFORE THE COURT THE BENEFICIARY RIGHTS WHERE THEY IMPAIRED THE OBLIGATION OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", "COMPACT" IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONST., VIOLATING RIGHTS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2, AS WELL AS THE ILLEGAL ARRESTING AND OR ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE RESTRICTIONS ESTABLISHED BY THE "GRANT", "CONTRACT" IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY YOUR NATIONS GAVE THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES AS IS ARGUED IN CASE 16-2299 EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT; ALL ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084; AND WHERE THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY FUNDAMENTAL STRUCTURAL ERROR, TO INCLUDE THE SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND THE CONSPIRING STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS ATTACKED THE BENEFICIARY PLAINTIFFS IN THE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN RETALIATION BECAUSE THEY SOUGHT TO AID HIM SEEK REDRESS FOR CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF PLUIPA AND 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000, 12203(a)(b), 1985(2), 1985(3) AND 1986. WRIT OF ERROR WAS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO FILE NOT JUST UNDER CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, BUT ALSO UNDER 9:17-cv-01803-TMC OR ANY OTHER RELATED CASE WHERE THESE ISSUES COULD COULD NOT POSSIBLY BEEN HEARD UNDER § 2254. THE HOLDINGS MADE IN ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) ATTACH AND APPLY TO THE STATE COURTS AND THE S.C.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AS WELL WHERE THEY ENGAGED IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION AND MISREPRESENTATION, IT CREATES A SITUATION WHERE THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE REMEDIES. ARTICLE III DYNAMICS EXIST IN THESE CASES WARRANTING THE FILING OF THE WRIT OF ERROR THAT WAS SUBMITTED BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT, NOT MERELY § 2254 WHICH WAS ATTACHED DUE TO THE CONVICTIONS ALREADY BEING INVALIDATED BY THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT WHICH IS CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE WRIT OF ERROR IS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO USE UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE NEITHER THE KING-KHALIFAH NOR JASON GOURDINE ENTERED THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254, BUT ENTERED THE COURT UNDER THE ALL WRITS ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1651, WRIT OF ERROR, WHICH WAS TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983. THEREFORE, DUE TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD UPON THE COURT CONSPIRED IN BY ALL PARTIES CASE 17-7532 CANNOT PROCEED AS CONSTRUCTED UNTIL IT BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE SAME FASHION AS THE COURT DID UNDER CASE 17-7186, THAT WRIT OF ERROR IS FILED, AND REMAND TO CORRECT THE FRAUD. CASE 17-7186 CANNOT PROCEED UNTIL THE COURT ACCEPTS THE PLRA FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS WE SENT THEM FOR THAT CASE, STOP BLOCKING THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 SUBMISSION AS THE INFORMAL BRIEF IN CASE 17-7186 AND RULE ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, STOP THE FRAUD!!! I, WE, MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, UNITED STATES v. DENEDO, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); UNITED STATES v. APPLE MAC PRO COMPUTER, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 1046105 (3rd.Cir.2017); UNITED STATES v. GREGORY HOLT AKA ABDUL MAALIK MUHAMMAD, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D. La.2017); STERN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016); CLARKE v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 390294(N.C.2017); IN RE: BARTLEY 2016 WL 6068862(4th.Cir.2016).

INSOMUCH, THE OATH OF OFFICE IS A QUID PRO QUO CONTRACT UNDER U.S. CONST. ARTICLE 6 Cl. 2 AND 3, DAVIS v. LAWYERS SURETY CORPORATION, 459 S.W.2d. 655, 657 Tex. App., IN WHICH CLERKS, OFFICIALS AND OFFICERS OF THE GOVERNMENT PLEDGE TO PERFORM, SUPPORT AND UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES AND STATES CONSTITUTIONS WITH ITS MANDATES IN RETURN FOR SUBSTANCES SUCH AS WAGES, PERKS AND BENEFITS. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT NO STATE, NOR LEGISLATOR, NOR EXECUTIVE, NOR JUDGE CAN EVER

WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT VIOLATING HIS UNDERTAKING TO SUPPORT IT WHICH IS THE HEART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, COOPER v. AARON, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401(1958); IN RE: NEELY, 390 P.3d. 728, 2017 Wy. 25.

ANY JUDGE, CASE MANAGER, CLERK OR OTHER STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIAL WHO DOES NOT COMPLY WITH HIS OATH OF OFFICE REGARDING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES WARS AGAINST THAT CONSTITUTION AND ENGAGES IN A FORM OF TREASONOUS ACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND IN THIS CASE IN VIOLATION OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH. IF A JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK DOES NOT FULLY COMPLY WITH THE CONSTITUTION THEN HIS ORDERS AND OR THEIR ACTS ARE VOID, THEY ARE WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND SUCH A PERSON ENGAGES IN ACTS OF TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. PROPONENTS ARE SUBJECTED TO PENALTIES AND REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, CONSPIRACY UNDER 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242 AND IN THIS CASE 1001, AS WELL AS TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE III SECTIONS TO INCLUDE THIS OUTRAGEOUS FRAUD, AUERBOCK v. SAMUELS, 10 UTAH.2d., 152, 349 P.2d. 1112, 1114; ALLEGHANY CORP. v. KIRBY, D.C.N.Y. 218 F.Supp. 164, 183; KEETON PACKING CO. v. STATE, 437 S.W. 20, 28.

REFUSING TO LIVE BY YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE PLACES ALL CONSPIRING PARTIES IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS IN EVERY CASE. VIOLATING YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE IS NOT JUST CAUSE FOR IMMEDIATE DISMISSAL AND REMOVAL FROM OFFICE, IT IS A FEDERAL CRIME.... FEDERAL LAW REGULATING OATH OF OFFICE BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IS DIVIDED INTO (4) PARTS ALONG WITH AN EXECUTIVE ORDER WHICH FURTHER DEFINES THE LAW FOR PURPOSES OF ENFORCEMENT, 5 U.S.C. § 3331, PROVIDES THE TEXT OF THE ACTUAL OATH OF OFFICE MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE REQUIRED TO TAKE BEFORE ASSUMING OFFICE. 5 U.S.C. § 3333 REQUIRES MEMBERS OF CONGRESS SIGN AN AFFIDAVIT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY HAVE TAKEN THE OATH OF OFFICE REQUIRED BY 5 U.S.C. § 3331 AND HAVE NOT OR WILL NOT VIOLATE THAT OATH OF OFFICE DURING THEIR TENURE OF OFFICE AS DEFINED BY THE 3rd. PART OF THE LAW, 5 U.S.C. § 7311 WHICH EXPLICITLY MAKES IT A FEDERAL CRIMINAL OFFENSE FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE

WHICH THERE ARE SIMILAR PROVISIONS THAT APPLY TO ALL JUDGES, CLERKS, CASE MANAGERS AND EVEN FOR STATE OFFICIALS. FOR ANYONE EMPLOYED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING MEMBERS OF CONGRESS TO ADVOCATE THE OVERTHROW OR VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IN THE MANNER THAT OCCURRED WITHIN THESE CASES 18 U.S.C. § 1918 PROVIDES PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF "OATH OF OFFICE" DESCRIBED IN 5 U.S.C. § 7311. WHENEVER A JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK ACTS WHERE HE OR SHE DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, THE JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK ENGAGES IN ACT OR ACTS OF TREASON, ESPECIALLY AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, UNITED STATES v. WILL, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d. 392, 406(1980); COHEN v. VIRGINIA, 19 U.S. (6 WHEAT) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed. 257(1821).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR FEDERAL ATTORNEYS REQUIREMENT TO RESPOND TO THE PLEADINGS SINCE THEY APPEARED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THESE FEDERAL CASES. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN SCHEVER v. RHOADES, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683(1974) ADJUDICATED THAT "WHEN A STATE OFFICER ACTS UNDER A STATE LAW IN A MANNER VIOLATIVE OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, HE COMES INTO CONFLICT WITH THE SUPERIOR AUTHORITY OF THAT CONSTITUTION, AND HE IS IN THAT CASE STRIPPED OF HIS OFFICIAL OR REPRESENTATIVE CHARACTER AND IS SUBJECT IN HIS PERSON TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS INDIVIDUAL CONDUCT. THE STATE HAS NO POWER TO IMPART TO HIM ANY IMMUNITY FROM RESPONSIBILITY TO THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN THIS CASE, TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES. BY LAW A JUDGE IS A STATE OFFICER AND OR FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THE JUDGE THEN ACTS NOT AS A JUDGE BUT AS A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL.

THERE IS TRUSTEE OVER THESE CASES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES SUPERSEDE ALL. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO ALL STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, ITS JUDGMENTS OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO A REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION TO THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECU-

TING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS, OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED, IN LAW, AS TRESPASSERS". YOU CAN'T ATTACH A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO CASES 16-1953 OR 16-2141 AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE CAN'T BLOCK THE PLRA FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS OR THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FROM BEING FILED IN CASE 17-7186, ELLIOTT V. RIERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340(1828).

WHEN JUDGES, CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACT WHEN THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, OR THEY ENFORCE A VOID ORDER OR ACT (AN ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGES WITHOUT JURISDICTION) THEY BECOME TRESPASSERS OF THE LAW, AND ARE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF TREASON SET AGAINST THEIR OATHS AND THE CONSTITUTION. THE COURT IN YATES V. VILLAGE OF HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS, 209 F.Supp. 757(N.D.Ill. 1962) HELD THAT "NOT EVERY ACTION BY A JUDGE OR A CLERK OR A CASE MANAGER IS AN EXERCISE OF THEIR SPECIFIC FUNCTION...IT IS NOT A JUDICIAL, CLERICAL OR CASE MANAGER FUNCTION FOR THEM TO COMMIT AN INTERNATIONAL TORT EVEN THOUGH THE TORT OCCURS IN THE COURTHOUSE". WHEN A JUDGE OR OFFICIAL ACTS AS A TRESPASSER OF THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, WHEN THE JUDGE OR OFFICIAL DOES NOT FOLLOW THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, THE JUDGE AND OR OFFICIAL IS SUBJECT TO DISMISSAL, CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND LOSES SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND THE OFFICIAL'S ACTS AND THE JUDGE'S ORDERS ARE VOID AND ARE OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT.

ALL ACTS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID, WHERE IN CONFLICT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. NO PERSON SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS LAW, NOR SHALL HE BE ADJUDICATED OF OR TRIED FOR ANY OFFENSE BY AN EX POST FACTO LAW WHERE EX POST FACTO LAW SHALL BE PASSED AND ALL SAID RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE INVIOLATE AND WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, R.A.M. OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. V. W.C.I. COMMUNICATORS, INC., 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761.

CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD IS BREACH OF LEGAL OR EQUITABLE DUTY WHICH, IRRESPECTIVE OF MORAL GUILT, IS DECLARED BY LAW TO BE FRAUDULENT BECAUSE OF ITS TENDENCY TO DECEIVE OTHERS OR VIOLATE CONFIDENCE, DAVES V. LAWYERS SUR. CORP., 459 S.W.2d. 655(1970);

CORDIAL v. ERNST & YOUNG, 199 W.Va. 119, 483 S.E.2d. 248(1996).

FORCED BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY BY FRAUD TO BREACH CONTRACT IS PUNITIVE IN NATURE BY THESE CONSPIRING PARTIES. THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", EDEN v. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO., 858 F2d. 198(4th.Cir.1988); CURTIS v. CAFE ENTERPRISES INC., 2016 WL 6916786(N.C.2016); HOME BLDG & LOAN ASS'N v. BLAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT v. BOARD OF SCHOOLS TRUSTEE OF MADISON CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th. Cir.2017); NORTH CAROLINA ASS'N OF EDUCATORS, INC. v. STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016).

THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS INCLUDING THE ACTS OF ASHLEY BROWNLEE AND JENNIFER RICE ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES. IT FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS AND DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD TO BE ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", NUDD v. BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(1875); U.S. v. THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(1871); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS IS 17-7186 IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL ERRORS. THESE STRUCTURAL ERRORS DEFIES ANALYSIS BY HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE ESPECIALLY SINCE THERE IS TRUSTEE APPOINTED, WEAVER v. MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S. 2017); PIRELA v. HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir. 2017); GARCIA FINANCIAL GROUP v. VIRGINIA ACCELERATORS CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001) WHICH CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME TO VOID ALL ORDERS AND STOP THIS ARBITRARY JUDICIAL, CLERICAL OR OTHERWISE ACTIONS ISSUED AND OR DONE IN BOTH CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186, BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF INTERNATIONAL UNION OPERATING ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.

v.-FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016).

SINCE THERE WAS NO RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AS THEY RELATE TO EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880) AND THE-SLAUGHTER HOUSE-CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873 AND INSTEAD THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CHOSE FRAUD UNDER CASE 17-7186 TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS USED PRIOR TO THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION'S OMNIBUS CRIME BILL TO CIRCUMVENT RULING. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND PARTIES VIA SANCTIONS SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT MUST BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE. ACTS OF CONGRESS OR THE COURTS THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW. THE CONSTITUTION IS SUPREME TO ANY ACT OF THE COURTS OR LEGISLATURE, EVANCHO-v.-PINE-RICHLAND-SCHOOL DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d 267(W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR-v.-U.S., 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016).

INSOMUCH, YOUR ACTIONS IN HANDLING THESE MATTERS VIOLATE THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE ALL COURTS ALLOWING ME TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, PRESAULT v.-I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS v.-HHGREGG-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); ORARAH-v.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); GORDON-v.-TBC-RETAIL-GROUP,-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF A RULING, AND WE CAN ADD THE ACTS OF THE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS JENNIFER RICE AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE, HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE

PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW AND OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE **"FOUNDATION"** OF THE **"WHOLE"**(EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO ACT, OR DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR ACT AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTS, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE ATTACHING A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO IT BY RICE IN CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141 OR BROWLEE SENDING FRAUDULENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND BLOCKING THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IN CASE 17-7186 OR THIS CASE GOING FORWARD UNDER 17-7532, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE DATE OF ITS ENACTMENT AND OR WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE....IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE....SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES CANNOT BLOCK OUR FILINGS OR ATTACH TO THEM), CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL, CLERICAL, CASE MANAGERS), BESTOWS NO POWER (NONE OF YOU HAVE JURISDICTION INCLUDING THE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS TO DO WHAT THEY'VE DONE.) OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON (EMPHASIS ADDED), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE ONCE WE'VE NOTIFIED YOU OF THE WRONGS, AND YOU FAIL TO CORRECT OR CONTINUE IN THEM.), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (DONE BY JENNIFER RICE AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES IN FRAUD AS WELL AS THE PLRA AND STATE v. GENTRY ISSUES.)....A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE ANY EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW WERE ENACTED MUST NOW STAND ALLOWING US TO JOINTLY FILED, SEEK CLASS ACTION, ETC.). INDEED INSOFAR AS A STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR ACT RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE, McBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS

PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY, NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, RULES (LIKE THE RULE 40(d) NOTICE FILED BY RICE), STATUTES (THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA) AND PRACTICES (LIKE THE JUDGES USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS TO BLOCK FILING OF DOCUMENTS, STEALING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS AND THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION TO THE F.S.I.A.), WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE **"NULL"** AND **"VOID"**, MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES v. UNITED STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARVONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES,--S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S. 2016); GEET OUTDOORS LLC v. CONSOLIDATION CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL v. SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6TH.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE v. SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NEVER EXPECTED THE DELUGE OF PROCEEDINGS THAT HAS NOW ENTERED INTO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT WHICH NOW CREATE EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE THE FRAUD WHEN COMPARED WITH CASE(S) 17-7186 AND 17-6960. AS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE AS A MATTER OF LAW LET IT BE KNOWN IN AND FOR THE RECORD. I, AS KING-KHALIFAH, DEMAND THAT JENNIFER RICE, ASHLEY BROWNLEE, ALL CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS, ALL JUDGES TO PRODUCE THEIR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD ALLOW ANY CLERK, CASE MANAGER OR JUDGE TO NEGATE THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER BOTH THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. FAIL TO DO THIS THEREUPON ALL RELIEF AND DEMANDS MUST BE GRANTED NOT DENIED ON THE COURT RECORD PRODUCING THE BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION AND CITING OF LAW BEFORE CASE 17-7532 OR 17-7186 CAN BE DEEMED LEGAL DUE TO THIS FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL

ERRORS AND ALL ISSUES BE PRESERVED AS DUE PROCESS REQUIRES. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** I, WE, WANT AND MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT. PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. IN CASES OF ACTUAL CONTROVERSY,...ANY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, UPON THE FILING OF AN APPROPRIATE PLEADING, MAY DECLARE THE RIGHT AND OTHER LEGAL RELATIONS OF ANY INTERESTED PARTY SEEKING SUCH DECLARATION WHETHER OR NOT FURTHER RELIEF IS OR COULD BE SOUGHT***. I, WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT BRIEF TO RESET ONCE THESE MATTERS ARE RULED ON, ON THE COURT RECORD TO PRESERVE ALL ISSUES FOR ANY NEEDED APPEAL BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED BY DUE PROCESS LAW, WILLIAM-DOUGLAS-BROWN-AND-BRENDA-HARRIET BROWN-v.-CSX-TRANSPORTATION-INC., 2017 WL 1028579(4th.Cir.2017); ALLSTATE-INSURANCE-COMPANY-v.-INGRAHAM, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3-COMMUNICATIONS-CORPORATION-v.-SERCO-INC.,--Fed. Appx'--, 2016 WL 7232118(4th.Cir.2016).

INASMUCH, BY THIS FIASCO OF DUE PROCESS THAT YOU CALL 17-7532. YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID PURSUANT NOT TO JUST CASE 17-6960, BUT CASE 17-7532 ALSO, TO INCLUDE ANY ORDER PRODUCED OR CONTAINED THEREIN. THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE POWER AND AUTHORITY SUPERSEDES THIS COURT BINDING UPON YOU VIA CONTRACT AND DEFAULT PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND AND THE COURTS UNDER BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND RESTRICTED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD THAT ESTABLISHED THIS TRAVESTY OF PROCEEDINGS, AND TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT YOU CAN VIOLATE THE PROVISIONS OF BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS IS SOVEREIGN WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT OR STAND DOWN!!! THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, NOR ITS CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR CORRUPT JUDGES, NOR THE STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY

BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH (10) THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION STANDING UPON EX POST FACTO LAW, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER OF GOD) OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY, KING, KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWERS AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS WHICH IN THIS CASE WOULD BE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AND FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES WHICH WOULD ALSO VIOLATE BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE SAME WAY THIS NATION DID FOR THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES BY ALLOWING THEM TO MARRY BY USE OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE SAME WAY WE HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO UNDO IT UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE WHERE YOU ATTACHED, EXECUTED OR ARRESTED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE IN VIOLATION OF CONTRACT WITH OUT OUR CONSENT. THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS AXE SWINGS BOTH WAYS. THE SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS PEOPLE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR WHERE THEY ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", ELLIOTT v. BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES OF MADISON CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS, --F3d.---, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); NORTH CAROLINA ASS'N OF EDUCATORS, INC. v. STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016); McBURNEY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); SCHOENEFELD v. SCHNEIDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273 (2nd. Cir.2016); SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAM OF STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 72 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); TRUSTEES OF DARTHMOUTH COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; FIFTH THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

YOU CANNOT OBTAIN JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS TAINTED BY FRAUD. YOUR JURISDICTION IS LIMITED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD.

THE DEFAULT IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT AND NATION AS IS THE CONTRACT, U.S. v. ABDUL-WAHAB, 715 F3d. 521 CA4 (Va.2013); BROWNING v. TIGER EYE BENEFIT CONSULTING, 313 Fed. Appx' 656, 2009 WL 497391 CA4 (Va.2009); U.S. EX REL NATHAN v. TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL NORTH AMERICAN INC., 707 F3d. 451 CA4 (Va.2013); U.S. v. JONES, 716 F3d. 851 CA4 (Va.2013); CHARLEY ENTERPRISE, INC. v. DICKEY BARBECUE RESTAURANTS, INC., 807 F3d. 553(4th.Cir.2015) (DEMONSTRATING ALL MUST BE RULED UPON TO PRESERVE FOR U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW); NELSO v. U.S. BANK N.A., 2015 WL 685271 (DSC.2015); U.S. v. ECCLESTON,--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN BANK N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850 (S.C.App.2013); SPRINGOB v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384 (S.C.2014); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY TECHNOLOGY OF S.C. INC. v. BAPTIST HEALTH SOUTH FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGHMAN v. U.S, 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); SMITH v. CLARKE/SMOOT/RUSSELL --F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015).

WE MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 AS WE DO THE VARIOUS OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED THEM OR THAT IS GOING ON IN THEM. STAY CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186!!! AND STOP PLAYING THESE GAMES. RULE ON THE MOTIONS, ALL OF THEM UNDER § 1983 NOT § 2254. EXTENSION OF TIME IS SOUGHT UNTIL THIS IS DONE. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE WHICH IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE, LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972). WE INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY. ALL ORDERS ISSUED UNDER CASE 17-7532 AND THE CLERK'S ACTS UNDER 17-7186 ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 ARE TAINTED BY THE FRAUD. THE MOTION TO STAY WAS CIRCUMVENTED AS WELL AS THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE CASES WILL BE HEARD UNDER APPEAL OF CASES 17-6693, 17-7410 AND 17-7139. ALL OTHER CASES ARE SOUGHT STAYED DUE TO INVOKING THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY. SANCTIONS ARE IMPOSED AND ADDITIONAL PLEADING

MAY SOON FOLLOW. PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO IS UNDER CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION WITH EX POST FACTO LAW OR STAND DOWN, REED v. BIG WATER RESORT, LLC, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN RYAN BUILDERS, INC. v. CRYTAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT, INC, 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625 (4th.Cir.2015); BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC. v. AL TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW v. COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO, 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md. 2014); JOHNSON v. U.S, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); YATES v. FORD MOTOR CO, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 6758983(E.D.N.C. 2015); W. GULF MAR. ASS'N v. I.L.A. DEEP SEA LOCAL 24, 751 F2d. 721, 728(5th.Cir.1985); IN RE: MORNING SONG BIRD FOOD LITIGATION, 2015 WL 12791472(D.C.Cal.2015); IN RE: NARANTO, 768 F3d. 332, 348(4th.Cir.2014); ULMET v. UNITED STATES, 888 F2d. 1028(4th.Cir. 1989); SMITH v. BAYER CORP, --U.S.--, 131 S.Ct. 2368, 2382, 180 L.Ed.2d. 341(2011); 202 NORTH MONROE, LLC. v. SOWER, 850 F3d. 265(6th.Cir.2017). IT IS SO ORDERED.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI, KING, KHALIFAH,
CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

JASON MORRIS GOURDINE

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON

DECEMBER 20, 2017

RECEIVED
APR 12 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Exhibit

Foreign Sovereign

2

COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

FILED
NOV 13 2017
U.S. Court of Appeals
Fourth Circuit

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA

(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

VS.

THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)


AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; RENEWING THE MOTIONS ETC. IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS; SUBMISSION OF BRIEF AND MOTION TO NOTION THEREFOR", (5) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017; FILING WRIT OF ERROR VACATING ORDERS ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 DUE TO FRAUD

UPON THE COURT; SUPPLEMENTING THE ISSUES ON APPEAL IN SUBSTITUTE INFORMAL BRIEF, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON NOVEMBER 9, 2017. IT IS DEEMED FILED THAT DATE, HOUSTON v. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK

Anthony Cook

ROBERT MITCHELL

Robert Mitchell

david duren

david duren

CHRISTOPHER WILSON

Christopher Wilson

YAHYA MUQUIT

Yahya Muquit

NOVEMBER 9, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA

(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428 ET. AL.,

---

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; RENEWING THE MOTIONS ETC. IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS; SUBMISSION OF BRIEF AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (5) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017; FILING WRIT OF ERROR VACATING ORDERS ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT; SUPPLEMENTING THE ISSUES ON APPEAL IN SUBSTITUTE INFORMAL BRIEF

HERE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND MOTION TO MOTION, (3) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017 THAT IS FILED IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM THAT ESTABLISH THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7410.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; ESTABLISHING SERVICE UPON ALL JUDGES AND CASES THROUGH THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE, JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN, (3) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017 THAT WAS SERVED ON HER RELATED TO CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA AND ALL OTHER RELATED PARALLEL CASES SUB JUDICE THAT ESTABLISH THE PARALLEL APPEALS WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT.

THE PETITIONERS GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES WITHIN ALL CASES CAPTIONED JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 FILED OCTOBER 31, 2017 IS VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. DUE TO THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT, WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED PURSUANT TO THE INDEPENDENT ACTION RULE, WHICH TAINTS THE ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 RENDERING IT VOID AND INADMISSIBLE. THIS MEANS THAT THE RULING OBTAINED FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT UNDER CASE 17-1415 CANNOT BE USED BY ANY COURT, SPECIFICALLY WITHIN ALL 4TH. CIRCUIT CASES PENDING, ALSO ESTABLISHING THAT NEITHER RES JUDICATA NOR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES REGARDING THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017, BLUE SKY TRAVEL AND TOURS, LLC. v. AL TAYYAR,--Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW v. COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES,--S.Ct.--,2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); YATES v. FORD MOTOR CO.,--F.Supp.3d.--,2015 WL 6758983(E.D.N.C.2015).

YOU HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE JUDGES PRESIDING OVER CASE 17-1415 ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. WHEN THEY SAW THE MAGNITUDE OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT

DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES UNDER CASE 17-1415 CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE FEDERAL U.S. ATTORNEYS, THE DEFENDANT THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER PARTIES TO MAKE THE DOCUMENT MOOT BY MAKING A FRAUDULENT RULING UPON IT FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES KNEW IF THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE U.S. FEDERAL ATTORNEYS WERE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS NOR THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, AS WAS SOUGHT UNDER CASE 17-6960, WOULD HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO PRODUCE ANY EVIDENCE TO PROVE THAT THEY, INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES, RESPONDED TIMELY TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH NOW GIVE JAHJAH AL MAHDI THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY TO ACT AS HE DO AND INTEND TO DO WITHIN THESE PROCEEDINGS. THE CONSPIRING 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES UNDER CASE 17-1415'S PLAN, SCHEME ~~SCHEME~~ AND PLOT WAS TO MAKE THE FRAUDULENT RULING THAT THEY DID IN EFFORTS TO RENDER THE DOCUMENT MOOT TO ALLEVIATE THE DUE PROCESS REQUIREMENT THAT THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS AND S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL RESPOND TO THE DOCUMENT BECAUSE THE JUDGES KNEW THEY COULD NOT DEFEAT THE CLAIMS OR SUCCESSFULLY REBUT THE DOCUMENT IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THUS, THE ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 IS VOID AND CANNOT BE USED BY THE COURT STANDING IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, AS WELL AS THE RICO ACT, PRODUCED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT WITH CLEAR INTENT AT MISREPRESENTATION, THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS NOR THE UNITED STATES COULD PRODUCE ANY EVIDENCE THAT WOULD SUBSTANTIATE THAT THEY TIMELY RESPONDED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION AND WAS DESIGNED TO AID THE DEFENDANTS AND THEIR EMPLOYER THE UNITED STATES AVOID SUIT. THUS, NOT ONLY IS THE ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 VOID FOR VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. IT CANNOT BE USED AS A BASIS FOR ANY DETERMINATION, WHICH INCLUDE RES JUDICATA OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MUST NOW RULE ON THE DOCUMENT WITHIN THE PENDING

PARALLEL APPEALS TO MAINTAIN THE INTEGRITY OF THE COURT AND THE PARTIES MUST BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND AS DUE PROCESS DEMANDS, INHABITANTS OF TOWN OF FAIRFIELD v. TIME WARNER CABLE NORTHEAST LLC, --F.Supp.3d.--,2015 WL 1565237(2015); IN RE: CHINEESE MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS LITIGATION, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 1584060 (2016); FERRARA v. QUADROZZI EQUIPMENT LEASING CORP., 2013 WL 3226755 (E.D.N.Y.2013); IN RE: CLEAN BURN FUELS, LLC, 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); WILLIAMS v. SECRETARY OF VETERAN AFFAIRS, --F.Supp.3d.--,2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); LaPENN v. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INS. CO., 316 Fed. Appx' 894, 2009 WL 485274(11th. Cir.2009); MILLER v. PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028 (Va. 2013); MORRIS v. WACHOVIA SECURITIES INC., 448 F3d. 268, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. P. 93, 858 CA4 (Va.2006); NORBREGA v. HINKLE, 576 Fed. Appx' 224 CA4 (Va.2014); U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--,2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); FOX EX REL FOX v. ELK RUN COAL CO. INC., 739 F3d. 131 CA4 (2014); NELSON v. U.S. BANK N.A., 2015 WL 6852712(DSC.2015); STRATTON v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); WALKER v. BEAUMONT INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, 2016 WL 6666833; CABI v. BOSTON CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, 161 F.Supp. 3d. 136(2016); BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251 (D.C.Md.2017); PEGG v. HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112 (4th.Cir.2017); U.S. v. LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866 (S.D.Va.2015); NUCAR CORP. v. BELL, 251 F.R.D. 191, 194(DSC.2008); GREAT AMERICAN INC. CO. v. NEXTDAY NETWORK HARDWARE CORP., 73 F.Supp.3d. 636(2014); IN RE: WALLACE, 649 Fed. Appx' 298 (MEM)(4th.Cir.2016).

FURTHERMORE, THERE IS A CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXISTS IN CASE 17-1415, NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE WHICH ALSO RENDERS THE ORDER AND CASE VOID. CASE 17-1415 WAS INITIATED INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA PURSUANT TO THE FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIAS DOCUMENTS. ONCE THE JUDGES UNDER THAT CASE ORDERED US TO SUBMIT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS INVOKING THIS PROVISION OF LAW WHICH VIOLATE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880), THE PROCEEDINGS BECAME ILLEGAL AND VOID. UNTIL A RULING WAS MADE ADDRESSING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES. CASE

17-1415 IS ILLEGAL AND VOID AND THE COURT WAS REQUIRED TO STAY THAT CASE UNTIL SUCH A DETERMINATION WAS MADE. WE OBJECT. THE LAW AS IS DETERMINED BY THE U.S SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THIS. IF A RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW. THE COURT EXPLAINED THAT IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE **"FOUNDATION"** OF THE **"WHOLE"** (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO DETERMINATION MADE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. BY THIS, THE ORDER AND DETERMINATION UNDER CASE 17-1415 IS TAINTED AND CANNOT BE USED IN ANY PENDING APPEAL AND RES JUDICATA NOR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES IN THESE CASES BY THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND STRUCTURAL ERROR, VINES v. UNITED STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARVONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED, CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES,--S.Ct.--,2015 WL 2473450(U.S. 2015); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S.2016); GEET OUTDOORS LLC v. CONSOLIDATION CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS***, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D. ILL.; HILL v. SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE v. SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017). WE OBJECT.

THE ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 FILED ON OCTOBER 31, 2017 AND PRIOR TO THAT IS ILLEGAL STANDING IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 MAKING IT A CRIMINAL ACT FOR ANY JUDGE, COURT OR PARTY TO USE IT EXCEPT FOR HIGHLIGHTING THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED IT WHERE IT WAS PRODUCED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN CASE 17-1415 CONSPIRED TO MAKE THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 MOOT TO AID THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE U.S. FEDERAL ATTORNEYS AND THEIR EMPLOYER THE UNITED STATES AVOID SUIT BECAUSE THE CONSPIRING 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES KNEW IF THE DEFENDANTS WERE PLACED IN A POSITION WHERE THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND, THEY COULD NOT PRODUCE ANY EVIDENCE THAT WOULD DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY MOVED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURIS-

DICTION TO STOP THE KING-KHALIFAH FROM INVOKING THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. WE OBJECT TO THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL DUE TO THE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASE 17-6960 IS REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO REBUT THE CLAIMS, NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN ANY MANNER TO INCLUDE THEIR FRAUDULENT EFFORT TO MOOT THE DOCUMENT TO PREVENT THAT RESPONSE AND AID THEM, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISE INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC., --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S. 1972); U.S. v. $41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMOND ET. AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); PULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565 (1984); EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676 (1880).

FURTHERMORE, THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 CANNOT BE DEEMED MOOT FOR ADDITIONAL REASONS:

(1) IT WAS NOT FILED WITHIN CASES 17-7410 AND 17-7428 AT THE TIME OF THE ISSUING OF THE ORDER IN CASE 17-1415. THUS, ANY FRAUDULENT DETERMINATION MADE WOULD NOT IMPACT THOSE PARALLEL CASES OR EFFECT THEIR BEING FILED AS INFORMAL BRIEF.

(2) BY THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS; SUBMISSION OF BRIEF AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (5) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017 SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT IS SUBMITTED IN SUBSTITUTION OF THE INFORMAL BRIEF FOR ALL CASES CAPTIONED. THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IS AN APPEAL DOCUMENT. CASE 17-1415 IS A WRIT OF MANDAMUS PROCEEDING. THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT THROUGHOUT ITS PAGES CLEARLY INFORM THE COURT THAT THESE ARE ISSUES ON APPEAL. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN CASE 17-1415 CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO RENDER THE DOCUMENT MOOT IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRE-

TION AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT CANNOT ISSUE RULING ON APPEAL ISSUES SUBSTITUTING A MANDAMUS PROCEEDINGS FOR AN APPEAL. THIS IS ESSENTIALLY WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IN VIOLATION OF CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LAW. A MANDAMUS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE AS AN APPEAL, ROCHE v. EVAPORATED MILK ASS'N, 391 U.S. 21, 63 S.Ct. 938, 87 L.Ed. 1185 (U.S.1943); IN RE: WILLIAMS, 381 Fed. Appx' 284, 2010 WL 2231958(4th.Cir.2010); WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES, 2015 WL 11109497, * 1, E.D.Va..

(3) BY THE [2] DOCUMENTS ATTACHED, THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (3) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017 IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM ESTABLISHING THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7410 THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT IS PENDING REVIEW IN RESPONSE TO THE REPORT AND RECONMMENDATION. BY THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; ESTABLISHING SERVICE UPON ALL JUDGES AND CASES THROUGH THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE, JUDGE JAQUELYN AUSTIN, (3) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2017. THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT IS PENDING REVIEW IN CASE 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA AND ALL PRESENTLY PENDING CASES SUB JUDICE THAT ESTABLISH ALL PARALLEL APPEALS PENDING BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IF JUDGE AUSTIN DID NOT ENGAGE IN ANY FRAUD. THUS, THE DOCUMENT IS NOT MOOT ESTABLISHING THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR THE U.S. FEDERAL ATTORNEYS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND. IT IS PENDING IN THE david duren STATE APPEAL BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. AGAIN, THE DOCUMENT IS NOT MOOT HAVING NEVER BEEN CHALLENGED BY THE RESPONDENT WITHIN THAT STATE CASE WHERE THAT STATE CASE IS REMOVED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT ESTABLISHING THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-6693. WE RENEW OUR MOTION THAT THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 BE ACCEPTED IN SUBSTITUTION OF THE INFORMAL BRIEF WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. THIS IS MOTIONED AND GRANTED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

SINCE THERE WAS NO RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AS THEY RELATE TO EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880) OR THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873. THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-1415'S JURISDICTION IS VOID AND ILLEGAL DUE TO THE EXISTING STRUCTURAL ERROR, FRAUD UPON THE COURT, VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION WHICH CORRUPTS THE ENTIRE CASE AND ALL ORDERS CONTAINED THEREIN, U.S.-v.-$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; BROWN-v.-U.S., 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014). THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING THIS CRUCIAL FEDERAL QUESTION EVEN VIA DECLARATORY JUDGMENT WHICH WAS CLEARLY SOUGHT EVEN THROUGH THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS IN ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR THAT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, PAUL-ADAMS-v.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI-v.-DEPARTMENT-OF-HOMELAND-SECURITY,--F.Supp.3d.--,2016 WL 1755871; U.S.-v.-HARE, 820 F3d. 93 (4th. Cir.2016); U.S.-v.-ALEDEKCHA, 2010 WL 4054267 (D.C.Md.2010); HUNT-v.-U.S., F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 5131716(DSC.2007); LAKE-CARRIER ASS'N-v.-MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972). THESE ARE NOW ALSO ISSUES ON APPEAL. THUS, THE DOCUMENT IS NOT MOOT.

INSOMUCH, YOUR ACTIONS VIOLATE THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE ALL COURTS ALLOWING ME TO INTERVENE AND RENDER VOID ALL ORDERS ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415, PRESAULT-v.-I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-v.-HHGREGG-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-v.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C. 2015).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS IN ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT JUDICIAL

NOTICE THAT I AM INVOKING AND EXERCISING SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY AND POWER AND I AM RENDERING OF A NULLITY, VOID, ALL ORDERS ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415 FOR VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, MACHINATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. CASE 17-1415 IS NOW ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND LIMITING AND RESTRICTING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF THAT IS SOUGHT. AS I FORETOLD YOU. THE "TERM" OR "CONCEPT" OF A "JUDGE" OR "ATTORNEY", "LAWYER" DO NOT COME FROM YOU OR YOUR LAWS. THEY DO NOT COME FROM YOUR NATION, NOR DO THEY COME FROM ENGLAND OR ENGLISH LAW. THEY COME FROM US, THE SOLE CORPORATION AND IS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH "RESTRICTIONS" THROUGH ADAM AND ABRAHAM, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS IS ARGUED IN CASE 16-2299. THEIR OWNERSHIP IS WITH ME, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH, LAWGIVER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION BEING THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN OF ALL LAW AND SOVEREIGN POWER. YOU CANNOT LEGALLY ATTACH, ARREST OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN SOLE CORPORATION WITHOUT CONSENT, WHICH IS WITHDRAWN DUE TO YOUR FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MACHINATION AND VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, ABSENT OF ITS RIGHTS AND PREROGATIVES. DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, AND BY THE "COVENANT", "CONTRACT", AS FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH AND LAWGIVER PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT CANNOT BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS UNDER CONTRACT TAKE AWAY THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS OR PREROGATIVES, OR BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THAT CONTRACT WITH EX POST FACTO LAW, TRUSTEES OF DARTHMOUTH COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201. ALSO SEE GENESIS 17:17; GENESIS 18:17-19; LUKE 11:52; ISAIAH 11:1-5; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; ISAIAH 16:5; ISAIAH 32:1-4; ISAIAH 42:18-22; JEREMIAH 23:5-6; JEREMIAH 33:15-21(A CRUCIAL ONE); EZEKIAL 34:22-30; EZEKIAL 37:22; DANIEL 11:1-3; MALACHI 3:1-6; PSALM 89; PSALM 132; REICH v. U.S., 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W. 4372(2013); U.S. v. WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); GRAHAM v. McFADDEN, 2015 WL 505536(DSC.2015); OGDEN v. SANDERS, 25 U.S. 213(1827); TRUSTEES OF CINCINNATI SOUTHERN RY. v. PORTER, 21 OHIO N.P. (N3) 441, 1918 WL 96530.

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE U.S. FEDERAL ATTORNEYS FOR THE UNITED STATES MUST RESPOND, NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY ATTEMPTING TO MAKE THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 MOOT TO PROTECT THEM AND CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. THE STATE AND FEDERAL ATTORNEYS MUST DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION BY UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES AND TITLES STAND. IN SUCH, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOR ANY STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR OF GOD), OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY, KING, KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, POWER OR AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OR ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES-v.-SCHOLLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD BANCORP-v.-DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); PEREZ-v.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016).

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH THE RIGHTS. I GAVE ALL REQUIRED PARTIES FAIR AND PROPER NOTICE. I PROPERLY SERVED THE PARTIES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH THEY APPEARED, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED IN THE STATE CASE AND THAT STATE CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT PRODUCING THESE FEDERAL CASES. TO EXCLUDE ME FROM PRACTICE OF LAW AS KING, KHALIFAH AND LAWGIVER OF GOD WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION BINDING UPON THIS COURT BY CONTRACT; AND TO HINDER ME IN MY OCCUPATION AS FIDUCIARY HEIR ESTABLISHED BY DUE PROCESS VIA

CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, JUST LIKE YOUR NATION DID FOR THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES WHEN IT CAME TO SAME SEX MARRIAGES, WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. I, WE, OBJECT. THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IS SUBMITTED AS INFORMAL BRIEF WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED IN THE CAPTION WHERE APPEAL RELIEF IS SOUGHT. IT IS SO ORDERED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT, SCHWARE v. BOARD OF EXAM OF STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 72 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp .3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011); IN RE:GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir. 1992).

YOUR JURISDICTION AND THE ORDERS ARE VOID UNDER CASE 17-1415 AND YOU SHALL GRANT ALL RELIEF DEMANDED, AS IN ALL PREVIOUS FILED MANDAMUS AND CASES INVOLVED DUE TO THE STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR, FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AS WELL AS FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, GARCIA FINANCIAL GROUP v. VIRGINIA ACCELERATORS CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001) WHICH CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME EVEN AFTER THE FINAL ORDER IN CASE 17-1415 DUE TO THE INFIRMITIES TO RENDER THOSE ORDERS VOID, BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. v. FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561(2016); CHRITIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN BANK N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850 (S.C.App.2013); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); LOUGHMAN v. U.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); SMITH v. CLARK/ SMOOT/ RUSSELL, --F3d.--,2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015).

AS THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CASE 17-1415 AND WITHIN ALL OTHER CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. AS A MATTER OF LAW LET IT BE KNOWN IN AND FOR

ALL RECORDS WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED IN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. I, THE KING-KHALIFAH, DEMAND THAT THE JUDGES IN CASE 17-1415 AND ALL OTHER CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT, THAT YOU PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND WRIT OF COMMISSION AND OR OATH OF OFFICE THAT WOULD ALLOW ANY JUDGE TO LEGALLY NEGATE THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. ALL RELIEF SOUGHT MUST BE GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE KING-KHALIFAH OR PRODUCE YOUR BOND, WRIT OF COMMISSION OR OATH OF OFFICE AND CITING OF LAW THAT DEMONSTRATE YOU CAN VIOLATE THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES OF A SOVEREIGN FOREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS IS SOVEREIGN WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT OR STAND DOWN!!! OR THE ORDERS IN CASE 17-1415 ARE VOID AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT SHALL ORDER IN CONGRUENCY WITH THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES ALL RELIEF SOUGHT AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE U.S. FEDERAL ATTORNEYS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND ON THE COURT RECORD. I, WE, MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND THESE TOO ARE ISSUES THAT ARE NOW ON APPEAL. PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. IN CASES OF ACTUAL CONTROVERSY,...ANY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, UPON THE FILING OF AN APPROPRIATE PLEADING, MAY DECLARE THE RIGHT AND OTHER LEGAL RELATIONS OF ANY INTERESTED PARTY SEEKING SUCH DECLARATION WHETHER OR NOT RELIEF IS OR COULD BE SOUGHT***, WILLIAM-DOUGLAS-BROWN-AND-BRENDA-HARRIET-BROWN-v.-CSX-TRANSPORTATION-INC., 2017 WL 1028579 (4TH.Cir.2017); ALLSTATE-INSURANCE COMPANY-v.-INGRAHAM, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3-COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION-v.-SERCO-INC.,--Fed. Appx"--, 2016 WL 7232118 (4th. Cir.2016).

IN REFERRING BACK TO THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, AFFIRMING WHAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ADJUDICATED IN THE MONTGOMERY CASE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE TIME OF ITS ENACTMENT...IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED...SINCE

AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY, CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL), BESTOWS NO POWER (JURISDICTION) OR AUTHORITY ON ANYONE, AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE ONCE WE NOTIFIED YOU OF THE PROBLEM IF YOU CONTINUE TO ACT) AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS(EMPHASIS ADDED WHICH INCLUDE THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE) PERFORMED UNDER IT....A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE ANY EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW WERE ENACTED MUST NOW STAND ALLOWING US TO JOINTLY FILE ETC.). INDEED INSOFAR AS A STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE LAND (THE CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, INDICTMENTS ARE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY, NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT, BONNETT v. VALLER, 116 N.W. 885, 136 Wis. 193(1908); NORTON v. SHELBY COUNTY, 118 U.S. 425(1886). ALL LAWS, RULES, STATUTES AND PRACTICES WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE NULL AND VOID, MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT

YAHYA MUQUIT

CHRISTOPHER WILSON

ANTHONY COOK

ROBERT MITCHELL

david duren

NOVEMBER 8, 2017

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF E... OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED ...

CERTIFIED MAIL®

7017 1070 0000 8670 2541

...anwood

...bo A 127

...O Box 205

...29472

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES
$ 009.85⁰
02 1P
0000835844
MAY 07 2018
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29472

RECEIVED
MAY 07 2018
MAIL ROOM
LIEBER C.I.

SC Attorney General Mail
SC Court of Appeals
At 1220 Sepulte Street
Columbia, SC 29201

RECEIVED
MAY 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals