



Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

---

## Cost of transcript

4 messages

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Fudge, Wanda" <WFudge@greenvillecounty.org>

Tue, Jun 19, 2018 at 9:03 PM

Hi Wanda,

Please give me an estimate of the cost of the transcript for the hearing yesterday.

Dan

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Fudge, Wanda" <WFudge@greenvillecounty.org>

Wed, Jun 20, 2018 at 11:14 AM

Hi Wanda,

Assuming that the page rate is the same as the prior transcripts, I would like to go ahead and order a transcript of Monday's hearing. Please let me know when it is ready to pick up, and how much it is.

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Fudge, Wanda** <WFudge@greenvillecounty.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 20, 2018 at 11:31 AM

Yes, sir, Mr. Albert. I apologize for not getting back in touch with you before now. I have just been a little busy this morning. Yes, the page rate will be the same. I don't anticipate the cost of the transcript will be that much as the hearing was not very long. I would estimate approximately \$30.00; could be less or more, of course. But I will let you know when the transcript is completed.

Thanks, Wanda

**Wanda Fudge, Court Reporter**

**The Honorable Charles B. Simmons, Jr.**

**Office of Master in Equity**

**Greenville County Courthouse**

305 E. North Street, Suite 313

Greenville, SC 29601

---

**From:** Dan Albert [mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 20, 2018 11:14 AM  
**To:** Fudge, Wanda  
**Subject:** Re: Cost of transcript

CAUTION: This email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

[Quoted text hidden]

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** *This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may contain information which is legally privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure. They are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this e-mail is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipients or otherwise have reason to believe that you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.*

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Fudge, Wanda" <WFudge@greenvillecounty.org>

Wed, Jun 20, 2018 at 11:35 AM

Thank you!

[Quoted text hidden]



Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

---

## Transcript of proceedings

19 messages

---

Scott, Lisa M. <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: "Dan.Albert7@gmail.com" <Dan.Albert7@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 11:21 AM

Mr. Albert,

I received your request for the transcript on the case you were in last week in front of Judge Miller. The estimate is \$160 and that includes postage. Upon receipt of a cashier's check for that amount, I will start working on it. We have 60 days from the receipt of said check to complete it.

As far as the audio goes, no, sir, you are not able to obtain a copy of that.

Thanks so much!

Lisa Scott

Circuit Court Reporter

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

---

Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Tue, Jan 17, 2017 at 1:48 PM

Lisa,

Thank you for getting back to me with the estimate. I wonder if you could confirm that you would have all of the judges questions and statements on the record as well, in addition to what counsel for plaintiff or I had to say. I am also wondering if it is permissible for me to order transcripts for any of the cases heard prior to my case. Do you have a copy of the roster of cases for January 4, 2017 that you could send to me so I could identify the other case that I'm interested in ordering the transcript for?

Dan  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

Scott, Lisa M. <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 17, 2017 at 2:00 PM

Mr. Albert,

Everything that's said while we're on the record is in the transcript. Now, I've had cases where two people were talking over each other and there's no way I can take down two people at the same time. I do, however, try to do my best to get it when I'm preparing the transcript though, but that's the only time that something that was said on the record doesn't make it to print.

As for the other cases, no, unfortunately I'm not allowed to prepare those for anyone. You have to be a party to the case.

Thanks,

Lisa Scott

---

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 1:48 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Lisa,

Thank you for getting back to me with the estimate. I wonder if you could confirm that you would have all of the judges questions and statements on the record as well, in addition to what counsel for plaintiff or I had to say. I am also wondering if it is permissible for me to order transcripts for any of the cases heard prior to my case. Do you have a copy of the roster of cases for January 4, 2017 that you could send to me so I could identify the other case that I'm interested in ordering the transcript for?

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Scott, Lisa M.** <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: "dan.albert7@gmail.com" <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 24, 2018 at 1:15 PM

Mr. Albert,

Again, I received a request from you on 4/20/18 for the transcript of date of service 1/4/17. Please refer to my original e-mail outlining the cost, etc.

Thanks so much!

Lisa Scott  
Circuit Court Reporter  
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

From: Scott, Lisa M.  
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 2:00 PM  
To: Dan Albert  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Tue, Apr 24, 2018 at 2:37 PM

My apologies, I forgot that I had already inquired about the price on the transcript last year. I will have a bank check prepared and mail it to you.

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Scott, Lisa M.** <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Thu, May 24, 2018 at 9:04 PM

Mr. Albert,

I put your transcript in the mail tonight.

Thank you,

Lisa Scott  
Circuit Court Reporter  
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

**From:** Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 24, 2018 2:37 PM  
**To:** Scott, Lisa M.  
**Subject:** Re: Transcript of proceedings

My apologies, I forgot that I had already inquired about the price on the transcript last year. I will have a bank check prepared and mail it to you.

Dan

On Tue, Apr 24, 2018, 1:15 PM Scott, Lisa M. <lscott@sccourts.org<mailto:lscott@sccourts.org>> wrote:  
Mr. Albert,

Again, I received a request from you on 4/20/18 for the transcript of date of service 1/4/17. Please refer to my original e-mail outlining the cost, etc.

Thanks so much!

Lisa Scott  
Circuit Court Reporter  
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

**From:** Scott, Lisa M.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 17, 2017 2:00 PM  
**To:** Dan Albert  
**Subject:** Re: Transcript of proceedings

Mr. Albert,

Everything that's said while we're on the record is in the transcript. Now, I've had cases where two people were talking over each other and there's no way I can take down two people at the same time. I do, however, try to do my best to get it when I'm preparing the transcript though, but that's the only time that something that was said on the record doesn't make it to print.

As for the other cases, no, unfortunately I'm not allowed to prepare those for anyone. You have to be a party to the case.

Thanks,

Lisa Scott

---

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com<mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 1:48 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Lisa,

Thank you for getting back to me with the estimate. I wonder if you could confirm that you would have all of the judges questions and statements on the record as well, in addition to what counsel for plaintiff or I had to say. I am also wondering if it is permissible for me to order transcripts for any of the cases heard prior to my case. Do you have a copy of the roster of cases for January 4, 2017 that you could send to me so I could identify the other case that I'm interested in ordering the transcript for?

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Sat, May 26, 2018 at 5:26 PM

Hi Lisa,

I received the transcript in the mail today, thank you. Would you also please email a PDF copy of the transcript to me?

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Scott, Lisa M.** <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Sun, May 27, 2018 at 9:55 AM

Mr. Albert,

Per our guidelines, there's an additional fee of \$35 to receive a pdf version of the transcript. The original quote I gave you was for the hardcopy and postage. My apologies for not explaining that better. If you still would like a pdf version, please mail another cashier's check to me at P.O. Box 4356, Anderson, 29622.

Thanks,

Lisa Scott

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
Date: 5/26/18 5:26 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Hi Lisa,

I received the transcript in the mail today, thank you. Would you also please email a PDF copy of the transcript to me?

Dan

On Thu, May 24, 2018, 9:04 PM Scott, Lisa M. <lscott@sccourts.org<mailto:lscott@sccourts.org>> wrote:

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com<mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com><mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com<mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com>>>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 1:48 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Lisa,

Thank you for getting back to me with the estimate. I wonder if you could confirm that you would have all of the judges questions and statements on the record as well, in addition to what counsel for plaintiff or I had to say. I am also wondering if it is permissible for me to order transcripts for any of the cases heard prior to my case. Do you have a copy of the roster of cases for January 4, 2017 that you could send to me so I could identify the other case that I'm interested in ordering the transcript for?

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Tue, May 29, 2018 at 1:52 PM

Hi Lisa,

On at least two other occasions I requested PDF copies of transcripts that were delivered to me, and I received those PDF copies without charge. For some reason the hard copy that you sent to me had the heavy duty staple removed and re-stapled at least twice, so I would rather not remove the staple another time in order to scan the hard copy to make my own PDF. If you can't send the PDF of the transcript to me at no charge, perhaps you can send another hard copy that is in better shape than the one I received at no charge? Either way would work for me, so feel free to choose the option that is most convenient for you.

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Scott, Lisa M.** <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Tue, May 29, 2018 at 1:58 PM

I'm not sure who sent the pdf at no charge; however, it is in our guidelines to charge for that. You have received what was requested and was originally paid for. I am the one who pulled the staple out because it didn't go all the way through the first time. There should be no problem if you want to scan the original into a pdf yourself.

Lisa Scott  
Circuit Court Reporter  
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 1:52 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Hi Lisa,

On at least two other occasions I requested PDF copies of transcripts that were delivered to me, and I received those PDF copies without charge. For some reason the hard copy that you sent to me had the heavy duty staple removed and re-stapled at least twice, so I would rather not remove the staple another time in order to scan the hard copy to make my own PDF. If you can't send the PDF of the transcript to me at no charge, perhaps you can send another hard copy that is in better shape than the one I received at no charge? Either way would work for me, so feel free to choose the option that is most convenient for you.

Dan

On Sun, May 27, 2018 at 9:55 AM, Scott, Lisa M. <lscott@sccourts.org<mailto:lscott@sccourts.org>> wrote:  
Mr. Albert,

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

From: Scott, Lisa M.  
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 2:00 PM  
To: Dan Albert  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Mr. Albert,

Everything that's said while we're on the record is in the transcript. Now, I've had cases where two people were talking over each other and there's no way I can take down two people at the same time. I do, however, try to do my best to get it when I'm preparing the transcript though, but that's the only time that something that was said on the record doesn't make it to print.

As for the other cases, no, unfortunately I'm not allowed to prepare those for anyone. You have to be a party to the case.

Thanks,

Lisa Scott

---

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com<mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com><mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com<mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com>><mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com><mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com>>>>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 1:48 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Lisa,

Thank you for getting back to me with the estimate. I wonder if you could confirm that you would have all of the judges questions and statements on the record as well, in addition to what counsel for plaintiff or I had to say. I am also wondering if it is permissible for me to order transcripts for any of the cases heard prior to my case. Do you have a copy of the roster of cases for January 4, 2017 that you could send to me so I could identify the other case that I'm interested in ordering the transcript for?

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Tue, Jun 5, 2018 at 11:16 AM

Ms. Scott,

Not only have I received PDF versions of hard copies of transcripts from the thirteenth District Court reporters in the past at no additional charge, every other transcript I have ever received has been hole punched and placed in a cover, but not stapled. The corner of your transcript that has been stapled and re-stapled is in no shape to remove the staple and scan into a PDF. Furthermore, there was a sandy debris on the transcript, which I would also not want to run through my scanner. Is it really that much of a hardship for you to email a PDF that would essentially cost you nothing but a minute or two to email it to me?

Another reason I would like to have the PDF is to do a review of the transcript to highlight any changes to your transcription that I might find. In the past, I have highlighted proposed changes in yellow and attached a comment to each highlighted text, and that seemed to work well for another court reporter in lieu of an errata sheet. Will that work for you?

Dan Albert  
321-474-9189  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Fri, Jun 8, 2018 at 4:04 PM

Ms. Scott,

I am not sure why you sent it to me, but I received a compressed copy of the transcript today, along with a note indicating that there is no option for me to identify words or phrases in the transcript that I believe were erroneously transcribed, because the transcript was not a deposition transcript. In another case involving a hearing, not a deposition, I provided the court reporter with errors that I identified by highlighting errors and proposed corrections and comments on a PDF of the transcript, and she made all the necessary corrections and provided replacement pages.

In reviewing the transcript, I noticed that there are significant words that have been erroneously transcribed. Since I am involved in appealing this matter before the South Carolina Court of Appeals, I believe that it is necessary and appropriate for you to consider the changes that I bring to your attention. Will you agree to do this, or must I file a petition with the court? If you will agree to do this, do you prefer that I set forth a list of changes in a Word document, or are you willing to send a PDF to me at no charge that I can use to identify errors by highlighting and suggest corrections by making comments for each area highlighted?

Let me know if you can work with me on this.

Dan Albert  
321-474-9189  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

Scott, Lisa M. <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 8, 2018 at 4:47 PM

Mr. Albert,

As previously stated numerous times and I've shown this to you in our Rule 607, the pdf is at a cost of \$35. Upon receipt of a cashier's check in the amount of \$35, I will gladly send one to you.

If you feel there are errors, please feel free to highlight the compressed copy and submit a challenge to the transcript. If you are in fact correct, then a corrected copy will be forwarded to you.

Thank you,

Lisa Scott  
Circuit Court Reporter  
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
Sent: Friday, June 8, 2018 4:04 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Ms. Scott,

I am not sure why you sent it to me, but I received a compressed copy of the transcript today, along with a note indicating that there is no option for me to identify words or phrases in the transcript that I believe were erroneously transcribed, because the transcript was not a deposition transcript. In another case involving a hearing, not a deposition, I provided the court reporter with errors that I identified by highlighting errors and proposed corrections and comments on a PDF of the transcript, and she made all the necessary corrections and provided replacement pages.





[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Dan Albert** <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
To: "Scott, Lisa M." <lscott@sccourts.org>

Sat, Jun 23, 2018 at 4:33 PM

Ms. Scott,

I read through the transcript again, and there are only seven words that I think need to be corrected:

Page 7, line 21: worn should be borne  
Page 8, line 11: block should be balk  
Page 10, line 12: expected should be expecting  
Page 14, line 5: mitigation should be litigation  
Page 36, line 23: navigator should be meta-data  
Page 39, line 11: confiscated should be obfuscated  
Page 39, line 22: my should be me

Since there are so few, would you please check these seven words and send the six corrected pages to me by email? It seems so unnecessary to force me to pursue a Rule 607 challenge.

Dan

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Scott, Lisa M.** <lscott@sccourts.org>  
To: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 24, 2018 at 6:58 PM

Mr. Albert,

I checked the audio against what you've stated below and page 7, line 21 is worn. That's what I have in my steno notes and that's what I'm hearing over and over and over. Every time I listen to it, you said "worn."

Please note, I have made the changes to the other ones you mentioned and have attached those pages.

Lisa Scott  
Circuit Court Reporter  
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

---

From: Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com>  
Sent: Saturday, June 23, 2018 4:33 PM  
To: Scott, Lisa M.  
Subject: Re: Transcript of proceedings

Ms. Scott,

I read through the transcript again, and there are only seven words that I think need to be corrected:

Page 7, line 21: worn should be borne  
Page 8, line 11: block should be balk  
Page 10, line 12: expected should be expecting  
Page 14, line 5: mitigation should be litigation  
Page 36, line 23: navigator should be meta-data  
Page 39, line 11: confiscated should be obfuscated  
Page 39, line 22: my should be me

Since there are so few, would you please check these seven words and send the six corrected pages to me by email? It seems so unnecessary to force me to pursue a Rule 607 challenge.

Dan

On Sun, Jun 17, 2018 at 11:25 PM, Dan Albert <dan.albert7@gmail.com<mailto:dan.albert7@gmail.com>> wrote:



June 25, 2018

SC Office of Court Administration  
1220 Senate Street, Suite 201  
Columbia, SC 29201

RECEIVED  
JUN 27 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

RE: Treybern Homeowners Association, Inc.. Respondent v. Daniel B. Albert,  
Appellant  
Civil Action No.: 2015-CP-23-03300  
Appeal No. 2018-000745

To Whom it May Concern:

As required, I am sending you a copy of all of the recent communications that I have had with the court reporters from whom I am ordering the transcripts in this appeal. Enclosed, please find copies of those communications. I am also providing copies of these communications to the Clerk of Courts office of the South Carolina Court of Appeals, as well as to opposing counsel.

Sincerely,



Daniel B. Albert  
2 Tennwood Dr  
Greenville, South Carolina 29609  
(321) 474-9189  
Appellant, *pro se*

Enclosures

cc: Dean A. Hayes  
McCabe, Trotter & Beverly, P.C.  
PO Box 212069  
Columbia, South Carolina 29221

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Daniel B. Albert  
2 Tennwood Dr.  
Greenville, SC 29609



1000



29211

U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
GREENVILLE, SC  
29608  
JUN 25, 18  
AMOUNT  
**\$1.42**  
R2304M115155-13

THE HONORABLE JENNY ABBOTT KEELINGS, CLERK  
SC COURT OF APPEALS  
PO BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 27 2018  
SC Court of Appeals